



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***IMPACT OF LAND USE CHANGES IN SUNGAI PULAI MANGROVE ON
SOCIOECONOMY OF THE LOCAL COMMUNITY***

NOOR SHAILA SARMIN

FH 2017 13



**IMPACT OF LAND USE CHANGES IN SUNGAI PULAI MANGROVE ON
SOCIOECONOMY OF THE LOCAL COMMUNITY**

By

NOOR SHAILA SARMIN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

August 2017

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to

my late father

Alim Uddin Chowdhury



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

IMPACT OF LAND USE CHANGES IN SUNGAI PULAI MANGROVE ON SOCIOECONOMY OF THE LOCAL COMMUNITY

By

NOOR SHAILA SARMIN

August 2017

Chairman : Associate Professor Mohd Hasmadi Ismail, PhD
Faculty : Forestry

The importance of mangrove ecosystem to the community and environment cannot be underestimated. Local community largely depends on this natural endowment for their subsistence and commercial activities. The mangroves in Sungai Pulai and its surrounding areas in Johor, Peninsular Malaysia were reduced due to anthropogenic pressures mainly for land use/covers (LUCs) changes for developments which affected the local mangrove-dependent communities (mainly fishermen) livelihood. The objective of the present study was to assess the spatiotemporal changes of LUCs in and around Sungai Pulai mangroves during 2004 to 2014 with possible anthropogenic causes of mangrove change and socioeconomic impacts to local community's livelihood. The study integrated the application of remote sensing (RS) and geographic information system (GIS) with household survey data. Satellite RS data and GIS were used to assess LUCs changes during the study period. A cross-sectional household survey was conducted using a structured questionnaire with 360 respondents in order to identify local community's present socioeconomic status and their perception on mangrove change issue, causes and impacts of mangrove change to their socioeconomics. For the change detection analysis, three multi-temporal Landsat imageries (Landsat 5 TM for 2004 and 2009, and Landsat 8 OLI-TIRS for 2014) were used. Supervised classification technique with maximum likelihood classifier (MLC) was employed to categorize the LUCs into five classes such as mangrove, other vegetation, oil palm, built up and water body. The accuracies of the mapping of the satellite imageries were 96.09% (2004), 96.83% (2009), and 98.52% (2014). Annual change rates for each LUCs type were also calculated. The finding showed that LUCs of the study area changed and built-up area was increased resulting reduction of mangroves or other LUCs. Annual build up area increasing rate was 905.39 ha/year (7.44%) and reduction rate of mangrove area was 114.17 ha/year (-1.14%), oil palm area was 370.90 ha/yr (-1.56%), other vegetation area was 409.02 ha/yr (-7.75%) and water body area was 11.53 ha/yr. At *mukim* level study, except Sungai Karang, all the *mukims* faced mangrove loss. In Malaysian perspective, though oil palm area has been increasing in recent days the change detection study found that oil palm coverage has been decreasing at the study area. Annually 155.06 ha, 27.69 ha, 468.56 ha, 117.68 ha, 112.99 ha and 23.33 ha of build up area increased in *mukim* Tanjung Kupang, Jelutong,

Pulai, Jeram Batu, Serkat and Sungai Karang, respectively. Therefore, annually 20.81 ha, 1.58 ha, 34.12 ha, 18.52 ha and 46.65 ha of mangrove area were reduced in *mukim* Tanjung Kupang, Jelutong, Pulai, Jeram Batu and Serkat, respectively.

Respondent's perceived urban development as the major cause of mangrove change followed by overharvesting/clear logging, coastal erosion, policy & management, accessibility to market & national highways, population pressure, migrant population pressure, farm size expansion, poverty rate, higher income from non-mangrove source, water & oil pollution, education level, agriculture expansion and aquaculture expansion. From the correlation analysis, urban development, population growth, migrant population growth, policy & management, coastal erosion, water & oil pollution, overharvesting and aquaculture were significantly related to mangrove change. However, the perceptions differed by *mukims* as the LUCs, pressures and drivers were different. Different ongoing mega development projects opened job opportunities at different employment levels which resulted an increase of population and migrants in the study area. *Mukim* Pulai and Tanjung Kupang faced huge infrastructural developments which directly affected mangrove coverage. *Mukim* Serkat also faced a drastic mangrove loss which resulted from the combined effect of the mixed development, shoreline erosion and oil pollution from the ship harboring at the port.

As negative impacts the respondents' perceived species and habitat loss as the highest priority followed by increase of coastal erosion, mangrove production reduced, mangrove income reduced, biodiversity threatened, increased migrants and increased risk of livelihood. However, as positive impacts respondents perceived new infrastructures developed for useful purpose replacing mangroves as number one followed by improved communication system and increased the overall quality of living standards. The study concluded that the area under investigation was facing a rapid development during the study period and conversion of mangroves and other LUCs to built-up areas impacted the local communities' livelihood both positively and negatively.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

IMPAK PERUBAHAN GUNA TANAH DI HUTAN PAYA BAKAU SUNGAI PULAI TERHADAP SOSIOEKONOMI MASYARAKAT SETEMPAT

Oleh

NOOR SHAILA SARMIN

Ogos 2017

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Mohd Hasmadi Ismail, PhD
Fakulti : Perhutanan

Kepentingan ekosistem hutan paya bakau terhadap masyarakat dan alam sekitar tidak boleh di pandang remeh. Sebahagian besar masyarakat tempatan bergantung kepada alam semulajadi bagi menyara kehidupan dan aktiviti komersial. Hutan paya bakau di Sungai Pulai dan kawasan sekitarnya di Johor, Semenanjung Malaysia telah berkurangan disebabkan oleh tekanan antropogenik terutamanya perubahan guna tanah/liputan untuk pembangunan yang memberi kesan kepada sumber pencarian masyarakat tempatan terutama nelayan. Objektif kajian ini dilakukan adalah untuk menilai perubahan guna tanah mengikut ruang-tempoh di dalam dan sekitar hutan paya bakau Sungai Pulai dari 2004 hingga 2014 yang berlaku disebabkan oleh kesan antropogenik kepada perubahan hutan paya bakau dan impak sosioekonomi terhadap kehidupan masyarakat tempatan. Kajian ini diintegrasikan dengan aplikasi penderiaan jauh dan sistem maklumat geografi (SMG) melalui data isi rumah. Satelit penderiaan jauh dan SMG telah digunakan untuk melihat perubahan guna tanah sepanjang tempoh kajian dijalankan. Survei rentas bagi data isi rumah telah dijalankan di kawasan kajian dengan menggunakan soal selidik berstruktur kepada 360 responden bagi mengetahui status sosioekonomi masyarakat tempatan dan persepsi terhadap isu, sebab dan impak perubahan hutan paya bakau ke atas sosioekonomi mereka. Analisis pengesanan perubahan diperoleh melalui imej Satelit Landsat bagi tiga siri tempoh yang berbeza (Landsat 5 TM bagi tahun 2004 dan 2009; dan Landsat 8 OLI-TIRS bagi tahun 2014). Teknik pengkelasan berselia secara pengkelasan ke tahap maksimum (MLC) telah digunakan dalam mengkategorikan liputan guna tanah kepada lima kelas iaitu hutan paya bakau, vegetasi lain, kelapa sawit, tebu bina dan badan air. Ketepatan pemetaan pada imej satelit adalah 96.09%(2004), 96.83%(2009) dan 98.52%(2014). Kadar perubahan tahunan bagi setiap jenis LUC juga diambil kira. Penemuan ini menunjukkan bahawa liputan guna tanah di kawasan kajian telah berubah terutama kawasan tebu bina telah meningkat dan memberi kesan kepada pengurangan keluasan hutan paya bakau dan liputan yang lain. Kadar tahunan di kawasan tebu bina meningkat kepada 905.39 ha/tahun (7.44%) manakala hutan paya bakau mengalami penurunan kepada 114.17 ha/tahun (-1.14%), kawasan kelapa sawit sebanyak 370.90

ha/tahun (-1.56%), kawasan vegetasi lain 409.02 ha/tahun (-7.75%) dan kawasan badan air 11.53 ha/tahun. Kajian di peringkat *Mukim*, semua mukim kecuali Sungai Karang mengalami kehilangan hutan paya bakau. Dari perspektif Malaysia, kawasan kelapa sawit meningkat saban hari dan kajian menunjukkan perubahan terhadap litupan kelapa sawit berkurangan di kawasan kajian. Setiap tahun, keluasan tepu bina mengalami peningkatan iaitu sebanyak 155.06 ha, 27.69 ha, 468.56 ha, 117.68 ha, 112.99 ha dan 23.3 ha di mukim Tanjung Kupang, mukim Jelutong, mukim Pulau, mukim Jeram Batu, mukim Serkat dan mukim Sungai Karang. Namun begitu, kawasan hutan paya bakau berkurangan setiap tahun sebanyak 20.81 ha, 1.58 ha, 18.52 ha dan 46.65 ha iaitu di mukim Tanjung Kupang, mukim Jelutong, mukim Pulau, mukim Jeram Batu dan mukim Serkat.

Responden berpendapat bahawa pembangunan bandar merupakan punca utama kepada perubahan hutan paya bakau diikuti oleh pembalakan berlebihan, hakisan pantai, polisi dan pengurusan, ketersampaian kepada pasaraya dan lebuh raya utama, pertambahan penduduk, pertambahan penduduk asing, pencemaran dan sebagainya. Berdasarkan persepsi kajian, pembangunan bandar, pertumbuhan penduduk, pertumbuhan penduduk asing, polisi dan pengurusan, hakisan pantai, pencemaran air dan minyak, pembalakan dan akuakultur merupakan hubungan yang signifikan terhadap perubahan hutan paya bakau. Namun, persepsi yang berbeza bagi beberapa mukim terhadap litupan guna tanah yang menjadikan tekanan dan panduan adalah berbeza. Perbezaan ke atas projek pembangunan mega yang berterusan membuka peluang pekerjaan di pelbagai peringkat dan menjadikan kepada pertambahan penduduk dan migrasi di kawasan kajian. Mukim Pulau dan Tanjung Kupang menghadapi pembangunan infrastruktur yang pesat dan secara langsung memberi kesan kepada litupan hutan paya bakau. Mukim Serkat juga mengalami kehilangan hutan paya bakau yang ketara akibat daripada pembangunan, hakisan pantai dan pencemaran minyak dari kapal dagang di pelabuhan tersebut.

Responden berpendapat bahawa kehilangan spesis dan habitat merupakan impak negatif yang tinggi diikuti oleh peningkatan hakisan pantai, pengurangan pengeluaran dan pendapatan hutan paya bakau, ancaman biodiversiti dan peningkatan warga asing. Namun begitu, responden berpendapat bahawa impak positif adalah infrastruktur yang baru dapat dibangunkan bagi kegunaan menggantikan hutan paya bakau sebagai yang pertama diikuti oleh sistem komunikasi yang lebih baik dan peningkatan kualiti taraf hidup. Kesimpulan kajian ini mendapati kawasan kajian menghadapi pembangunan yang pesat dan perubahan hutan paya bakau serta litupan guna tanah yang lain ke atas kawasan tepu bina yang memberi impak terhadap kehidupan masyarakat tempatan secara positif dan negatif.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, Grateful to Almighty ALLAH SWT for His blessings and for giving me the health, spirit and patience to complete this work successfully.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and deepest appreciation to my highly respected supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Hasmadi Ismail, Chairman of the Supervisory Committee, for his expertise, invaluable guidance, continuous support and meticulous editing for successful completion of this study.

I wish to express my gratitude and deepest appreciation to the members of my supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr. Pakhriazad Hassan Zaki, Department of Forest Management, Faculty of Forestry, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and Associate Professor Dr. Khairil Wahidin Awang, Department of Management and Marketing, Faculty of Economics and Management, UPM for their encouragement, support, constructive comments, and helpful suggestions throughout the project. I am also grateful to my ex-Supervisor Prof. Dr. Kamaruzaman Jusoff for encouraging me and for valuable suggestions at the early stage of my study.

I would like to extend my deepest and sincere appreciation to the Organization for Women in Science for the Developing World (OWSD), Trieste, Italy for providing me Ph.D Fellowship without which I could not complete my study. Many thanks are extended to the Head of the Department, all lecturers and staff members of the Department of Forest Management, Faculty of Forestry, UPM. I would like to acknowledge all staffs of the GIS lab for their technical assistance and kind co-operation during the field study. I'm really grateful to my lab mates for their support during the study period. Rizal, Iqbal, Kazu, Ameer, Shamsul, Mansur, Azma, Nina and Jannatara's name should be mentioned for their friendly support that made my journey smooth in a foreign country.

Special appreciation to the staffs of Johor Bahru District Office, Pontian District Office, South Johor Forest Range Office, Johor Bahru City Council, Johor Bahru Tengah Municipal Council (MPJBT), Department of Survey and Mapping Malaysia for providing necessary information and secondary data required for the study. I'm grateful to the respective *mukim* heads, village heads, fishermen community chairmen both from Johor Bahru and Pontian districts for their support during household data collection.

I am also thankful to my colleagues of Department of Agroforestry and Environment for their support and the authority of the Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Bangladesh for granting my leave during the study period.

Finally, my loving thanks to my beloved husband Mr. Monjurur Rahman, my lovely daughter Afrin Amena Mehjabin, my mom, my parents in law, my siblings and other members of my family for their continuous support, encouragement, patience and faithful prayers for the completion of my study.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 22 August 2017 to conduct the final examination of Noor Shaila Sarmin on her thesis entitled "Impact of Land Use Changes in Sungai Pulai Mangrove on Socioeconomy of the Local Community" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Rasmina binti Halis, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Manohar a/l Mariapan, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mohammad Firuz bin Ramli, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Md. Giashuddin Miah, PhD

Professor
Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University
Bangladesh
(External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 October 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Hasmadi Ismail, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Pakhriazad Hassan Zaki, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Khairil Wahidin Awang, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and deputy vice-chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Noor Shaila Sarmin, GS 38527

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee:

Lt. Cdr. Assoc. Prof. Gs. Dr. Mohd Hasmadi Ismail RMNVR

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Pakhriazad Hassan Zaki

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khairil Wahidin Awang

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	Page
ABSTRAK	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	v
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of the study	1
1.2	Problem statement	4
1.3	Research questions	5
1.4	Objectives of the study	5
1.5	Hypothesis	6
1.6	Significance of the study	6
1.7	Scope of the research	7
1.8	Limitations of the study	7
1.9	Organization of thesis	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Status of mangrove forests (global and Malaysian perspective)	9
2.3	Mangrove forests and functions	11
2.4	Trend of mangrove area change	13
2.4.1	Trend of world mangrove change	13
2.4.2	Trend of Malaysian mangrove change	14
2.5	Sungai Pulai mangrove forest reserve (SPMFR) in south Johor: Importance and role to the community	16
2.6	RS and GIS in forest management: Special reference to mangrove mapping, monitoring, and change detection	19
2.7	Characteristics of Landsat satellite sensors	22
2.8	Causes/factors of mangrove deforestation	24
2.9	Importance of local communities' perception study about deforestation impacts on socioeconomic status	31
2.10	Integration of satellite RS with socioeconomic study	33
2.11	Responses to mangrove deforestation	34
2.12	Summary	36
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	37
3.1	Study area	37
3.1.1	Location	37
3.1.2	Characteristics	38
3.1.2.1	Population and socioeconomic	38

	characteristics	
	3.1.2.2 Climate characteristics	38
	3.1.2.3 Topography and soils	39
	3.1.2.4 Vegetation characteristics and types	39
	3.1.2.5 Biodiversity	41
3.2	Theoretical background of the study	41
3.2.1	The Driver-Pressure-State-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework	41
3.2.2	The 'Driving forces – Change' (DF-C) model	43
3.2.3	Research framework	44
3.3	Subprogram one: Land use/cover change detection using RS data	46
3.3.1	Data and materials used for subprogram 1	46
3.3.2	Image acquisition	47
3.3.3	Image pre-processing	47
	3.3.3.1 Layer stacking	47
	3.3.3.2 Band combination	48
3.3.4	Digital image processing	48
	3.3.4.1 Image classification	48
	3.3.4.2 Ground truthing	49
	3.3.4.3 Accuracy assessment	52
3.3.5	Image post processing	53
	3.3.5.1 Image filtering	53
	3.3.5.2 Image subset	53
	3.3.5.3 Land use/cover (LUC) mapping	53
3.3.6	Change detection	53
3.3.7	<i>Mukim</i> level change detection	54
3.3.8	<i>Mukim</i> level LUC relationship	54
3.4	Subprogram two: Household survey using structured questionnaire	55
3.4.1	Selection of research design/approach and research instrument	55
3.4.2	Sampling procedure and respondent selection	55
3.4.3	Sample size selection	57
3.4.4	Preparation of questionnaire (survey instrument)	58
3.4.5	Pilot test of the instrument	59
3.4.6	Data collection	59
3.4.7	Data analysis	60

4	RESULTS	63
4.1	Section one: LUCs Change detection	63
4.1.1	Accuracy assessment (AA)	63
4.1.2	Image classification	66
4.1.3	Change matrix	72
4.1.4	<i>Mukim</i> level LUCs trend for 2004, 2009 and 2014	74
	4.1.4.1 LUCs change magnitude and annual change rate between 2004 and 2014	78
	4.1.4.2 Relationships of <i>mukim</i> level LUC area changes with mangrove area change in the study area	82
4.2	Section two: Household survey	83

4.2.1	Respondents' demographic profile, economic information and social aspects	83
4.2.1.1	Demographic profile	83
4.2.1.2	Economic information (occupational background, income, loan/incentives and ownership status)	85
4.2.1.3	Social aspects of the respondents	89
4.2.2	Perception of local community towards mangrove change	90
4.2.2.1	Cronbach's Alpha (CA) internal consistency for the items of mangrove change scale	90
4.2.2.2	Frequency and percent of local community's perception of mangrove change	91
4.2.3	Perception of local community on causes of mangrove change	91
4.2.3.1	Frequency, percent, total score and overall ranking of causes based on respondents' perception of the whole study area in general	92
4.2.3.2	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of causes based on respondents' perception of <i>mukim</i> Jelutong	93
4.2.3.3	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of causes based on respondents' perception of <i>mukim</i> Pulau	94
4.2.3.4	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of causes based on respondents' perception of <i>mukim</i> Tanjung Kupang	94
4.2.3.5	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of causes based on respondents' perception of <i>mukim</i> Jeram Batu	95
4.2.3.6	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of causes based on respondents' perception of <i>mukim</i> Serkat	96
4.2.3.7	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of causes based on respondents' perception of <i>mukim</i> Sungai Karang	97
4.2.4	Correlation analysis of mangrove change scale and cause items	98
4.2.4.1	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause items of the whole study area	98
4.2.4.2	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause items of <i>mukim</i> Jelutong	99
4.2.4.3	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause items of <i>mukim</i> Pulau	100
4.2.4.4	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause items of <i>mukim</i> Tanjung Kupang	101
4.2.4.5	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause items of <i>mukim</i> Jeram Batu	101
4.2.4.6	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause items of <i>mukim</i> Serkat	102

	4.2.4.7 Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause items of <i>mukim</i> Sungai Karang	103
4.2.5	Regression analysis of cause items (IVs) towards mangrove change scale (DV)	103
	4.2.5.1 Regression analysis of cause items (IVs) towards mangrove change scale (DV) of the whole study area in general	103
	4.2.5.2 <i>Mukim</i> level regression analysis of cause items (IVs) towards mangrove change scale (DV)	104
4.2.6	Perception of local community on impacts of mangrove change	105
	4.2.6.1 Perception of local community on negative impacts of mangrove change (whole study area)	105
	4.2.6.2 Perception of local community on positive impacts of mangrove change (whole study area)	107
4.2.7	Respondents' perception on the effectiveness of the responses or strategies taken by governments, NGOs, planners or managers	107
5	DISCUSSION	109
	5.1 Introduction	109
	5.2 LUCs change and mangrove loss	109
	5.3 The causes of mangrove loss	113
	5.4 The impacts of mangrove change	117
	5.4.1 Negative impacts of mangrove change	117
	5.4.2 Positive impacts of mangrove change	122
	5.5 The responses taken by government, NGOs, planners or managers	125
6	SUMMARY, IMPLICATIONS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	128
	6.1 Summary	128
	6.2 Implications	130
	6.2.1 Theoretical implications	130
	6.2.2 Implications for LUC management	130
	6.2.3 Socioeconomic implications	130
	6.3 Conclusion	131
	6.4 Recommendations	132

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

BIODATA OF STUDENT

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 World total mangrove forest extents and their distribution	10
2.2 World's 15 most mangrove-rich countries and their cumulative percentages	10
2.3 Region wise mangrove distribution in Malaysia in 2008 (million ha)	11
2.4 List of districts with mangrove area in Johor state	11
2.5 Trend of world mangrove areas by region and subregion, 1990-2010	14
2.6 Mangrove forest reserve area in Peninsular Malaysia in 1980, 1990, 2003 and 2010	15
2.7 Lists of Ramsar sites in Malaysia	18
2.8 Characteristics of Landsat series	23
2.9 Comparison of band characteristics of Landsat 5 <i>TM</i> and Landsat 8 <i>OLI</i>	24
2.10 Anthropogenic factors affecting mangrove deforestation in the study area	31
3.1 Detail ID of Landsat data used for the study	47
3.2 Region of Interest (ROI) for supervised classification	49
3.3 Land cover classes with number of ROI for accuracy assessment	52
3.4 List of <i>mukims</i> of the study area	54
3.5 Cohen's guideline about the relationships among variables	54
3.6 Sampling procedure for socioeconomic study	55
3.7 Selected villages from each <i>mukim</i> with population (household number) and sample number	56
3.8 <i>Mukim</i> level sample number and percent	57
4.1 Confusion matrix for the supervised classification for 2004 image	64
4.2 Confusion matrix for the supervised classification for 2009 image	65
4.3 Confusion matrix for the supervised classification for 2014 image	66
4.4 LUC class, area of extent (ha) and magnitude of change in 2004, 2009	71

and 2014	
4.5	LUC change matrix for 2004 and 2009 72
4.6	LUC change matrix for 2009 and 2014 73
4.7	LUC change matrix for 2004 and 2014 74
4.8	<i>Mukim</i> Tanjung Kupang LUC trend for 2004, 2009 and 2014 75
4.9	<i>Mukim</i> Jelutong LUC trend for 2004, 2009 and 2014 75
4.10	<i>Mukim</i> Pulau LUC trend for 2004, 2009 and 2014 76
4.11	<i>Mukim</i> Jeram Batu LUC trend for 2004, 2009 and 2014 76
4.12	<i>Mukim</i> Serkat LUC trend for 2004, 2009 and 2014 77
4.13	<i>Mukim</i> Sungai Karang LUC trend for 2004, 2009 and 2014 77
4.14	<i>Mukim</i> wise LUC, its magnitude and annual change rate during the study period (2004 to 2014) 78
4.15	Correlation results of the mukim level LUCs area change in the study area 83
4.16	Demographic profile of the respondents 84
4.17	Occupational background of the respondents 86
4.18	Secondary occupation and occupational change information 86
4.19	Duration of primary occupation 87
4.20	Respondents' mean monthly income 87
4.21	Receiving loans or incentives 88
4.22	Source of loan/incentive 88
4.23	Ownership of household assets 89
4.24	Social aspects of the respondents 89
4.25	Reliability test results of mangrove change scale 90
4.26	Perception of local community on mangrove changes 91
4.27	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of causes of mangrove change of whole study area 92
4.28	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of mangrove change causes of <i>mukim</i> Jelutong 93

4.29	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of mangrove change causes of <i>mukim</i> Pulau	94
4.30	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of mangrove change causes of <i>mukim</i> Tanjung Kupang	95
4.31	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of mangrove change causes of <i>mukim</i> Jeram Batu	96
4.32	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of mangrove change causes of <i>mukim</i> Serkat	97
4.33	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of mangrove change causes of <i>mukim</i> Sungai Karang	98
4.34	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause variables/items (for whole study area)	99
4.35	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause variables/items of <i>mukim</i> Jelutong	100
4.36	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause variables/items of <i>mukim</i> Pulau	100
4.37	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause variables/items of <i>mukim</i> Tanjung Kupang	101
4.38	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause variables/items of <i>mukim</i> Jeram Batu	102
4.39	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause variables/items of <i>mukim</i> Serkat	102
4.40	Correlation of mangrove change scale and cause variables/items of <i>mukim</i> Sungai Karang	103
4.41	Multiple Regressions output for the whole study area	104
4.42	<i>Mukim</i> level mangrove change (loss) regression equations	105
4.43	Perception of local community on negative impacts of mangrove change (whole study area)	106
4.44	Perception of local community on positive impacts of mangrove change (whole study area)	107
4.45	Frequency, percent, total score and ranking of the effectiveness of adaptive strategies	108

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Changes of mangrove area extent in Johor between 1990 and 2010	16
3.1 Location of study area in Johor state, Peninsular Malaysia	38
3.2 Mangrove characteristics (a) stilt root, (b) knee root (c) pneumatophores (d) viviparous germination	40
3.3 DPSIR frameworks for the study	42
3.4 Driving force- Change (DF-C) model	43
3.5 Study Framework	45
3.6 Landsat satellite raw images used for the study	46
3.7 GPS device (Garmin <i>GPS 72H</i>) used for recording the coordinates	47
3.8 Coordinates used for ground truthing	50
3.9 Images during ground truthing at each LUC type	51
3.10 Elements of data collection for socioeconomic study	60
3.11 Flowchart of the socioeconomic study	62
4.1 LUC Map for 2004	67
4.2 LUC Map for 2009	68
4.3 LUC Map for 2014	69
4.4 LUC percent in the study area (a) 2004, (b) 2009 and (c) 2014	70
4.5 Pattern of LUC change for 2004, 2009 and 2014	72
4.6 <i>Mukim</i> wise LUC percent for 2004	80
4.7 <i>Mukim</i> wise LUC percent for 2009	81
4.8 <i>Mukim</i> wise LUC percent for 2014	82
5.1 Oil palm areas converting to built-up areas	111
5.2 (a) Young coconuts destroyed by monkeys (b, c) monkeys at the human locality	118
5.3 (a,b,c,d) Coastal erosion due to mangrove loss and oil spill pollution	119

at Serkat

5.4	(a,b) Harvest amount shown by a fisherman when returning from fishing from the mangroves	120
5.5	Villages infrastructures (a) mosque, (b) surao (c, d) meeting hall, (e) road, (f) village park, (g) restaurant, (h, i, j) schools	123
5.6	Villages paved roads	124
5.7	Mangrove plantations (a, b)	125
5.8	Meeting with village head and discussion about ecotourism activities at Kg. Sungai Melayu	127

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A	Sample of Questionnaire (English version)	160
B	Pilot study results	166
C	Confusion matrix	168
D	Regression results (<i>mukim</i> level)	174
E	Perceived scores of negative impacts (<i>mukim</i> level)	177
F	Perceived scores of positive impacts (<i>mukim</i> level)	183

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Accuracy assessment
AIM	Amanah Ikhtiar Malaysia or incentives for old group of people
AirSAR	Airborne SAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar)
CA	Cronbach's Alpha
CLUEs	Conversion of Land Use and its Effects at Small regional extent
CM	Confusion matrix
DF-C	Driving forces-Change
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
DIP	Digital image processing
DOA	Department of Agriculture
DOSM	Department of Statistics Malaysia
DPSIR	Driver-Pressure-State-Impact-Response
DV	Dependent variable
EEA	European Environment Agency
ENVI	The Environment for Visualizing Images
EPUM	Economic Planning Unit Malaysia
ERTS	Earth Resource Technology Satellite
ETM+	Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
FCC	False colour composite
FDPM	Forestry Department of Peninsular Malaysia
FR	Forest Reserve
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
GPS	Global Positioning System
GT	Ground truthing
IM	Iskandar Malaysia
IMP	Integrated Management Plan
IR	Infra red
IRS	Indian Remote Sensing
IV	Independent Variable
JAKOA	<i>Jabatan Kemajuan Orang Asli/</i> Department of Indigenous people development

JB	Johor Bahru
JKM	<i>Jabatan Kebajikan Masyarakat</i> (State welfare Department)
JNPC	Johor National Parks Corporation
JSFD	Johor State Forestry Department
JUPEM	<i>Jabatan Ukur dan Pemetaan Malaysia</i> (Department of Survey and Mapping Malaysia)
Kg.	<i>Kampung</i> or Village
Landsat TM	Landsat Thematic Mapper
LDCM	Landsat Data Continuity Mission
LUC	Land use/cover
Malakoff	The Malakoff Corporation Berhad
MIR	Medium Infrared
MLC	Maximum Likelihood Classifier
MOSTE	Ministry of Science, Technology and The Environment
MPJBT	<i>Majlis Perbandaran Johor Bahru Tengah</i> (Johor Bahru Tengah Municipal Council)
MSS	Multispectral Scanner
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NCES	National Coastal Erosion Study
NEP	National Ecotourism Plan
NFA	National Forestry Act
NIR	Near Infrared
NRE	Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment
NTFPs	Non-timber forest products
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OLI	Operational Land Imager
PCC	Post Classification Comparison
Pixel	Picture elements
PRF	Permanent Reserve Forest
PSR	Pressure-State-Response
PTP	Port of Tanjung Pelepas
RBV	Return Beam Vidicon
RGB	Red-Green-Blue
RM	Ringgit Malaysia
ROI	Region of Interest

RS	Remote Sensing
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SD	Standard Deviation
SEZ	Special Economic Zone
SFM	Sustainable Forest Management
SJCRMP	South Johor Coastal Resources Management Plan
SPM	Sungai Pulai Mangroves
SPMFR	Sungai Pulai Mangrove Forest Reserve
SPOT	Système Pour d' Observation de la Terre
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
SS	Social Science
SWIR	Short wave Infrared
TCC	True colour composite
TIR	Thermal Infrared
TIRS	Thermal Infrared Sensor
TM	Thematic Mapper
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
USGS	United State Geological Survey
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VAG	Village action group
VJR	Virgin Jungle Reserve
WGS84	World Geodetic System 1984
XS	Multispectral

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Mangrove forest, status, and function: Mangrove forests may be defined as the forests located between the land and the sea, being inundated twice daily and having salt tolerant species with special characteristics (Giesen et al., 2007). Mangroves are the highly productive ecosystem located in intertidal tropical and subtropical regions. Presently mangroves occupy about 181,000 km² of tropical and subtropical coastlines (Jusoff, 2013). It is a salt tolerant and swamp ecosystem. Mangrove forests have special characteristics which help them to survive in the salty intertidal zone. Mangrove trees have specific characteristics or adaptations to survive to the salty, swamp ecosystem. For example, the trees have stilt root or pneumatophores. Stilt roots give support to the tree in the swampy soil while pneumatophores help them for respiration. The mangrove tree has a special germination process which increases both the germination and survival percent of seedlings in the muddy soil.

Being a valuable ecological and economic resource, mangrove ecosystem serves as nursery grounds and breeding sites for many important birds, fish, crustaceans, shellfish, reptiles, and mammals. Mangroves are a renewable source of wood; accumulation sites for sediment, contaminants, carbon and nutrients; and offer protection against coastal erosion (Jusoff, 2013). Mangrove ecosystem acts as a buffer zone between the terrestrial and marine ecosystem, stabilizes coastlines and river banks, and therefore play an important role in the protection of coastlines from storms and tides. Mangrove forests can reduce the impact of natural disasters such as hurricanes, cyclones, and tsunamis. Thus, mangrove forests provide a variety of environmental, economic and social benefits to the local, national, and global communities.

Malaysia is endowed with vast mangrove coverage. Malaysian mangrove represents 3.7% of the global total mangrove. In the Southeast Asia, it represents 11.7% after Indonesia which represents 60% of the global total mangroves (Giri et al., 2011). Most of the mangrove forests in Malaysia are concentrated to Sabah having 59% followed by Sarawak (23%) and Peninsular Malaysia (18%). In Peninsular Malaysia, the mangrove forest is dominant throughout the west coast. The Matang mangrove in Peninsular Malaysia is sustainably managed for over 100 years and identified as the best-managed mangrove forest in the world (Rahman & Asmawi, 2016).

Malaysian mangrove forest supports extremely rich biodiversity. The dynamic mangrove ecosystem serves many productive and protective functions both ecological and economical points of view. It supports over 60 different tree species, many animals, birds and fisheries. Mangroves are important nursery grounds and breeding sites for many important species. It provides timber, fuelwood, poles, firewood and

charcoals. It is an important carbon stocks and protects the coastal shoreline and habitat from the strong wave.

The Sungai Pulai Mangrove Forest Reserve (SPMFR) covering 9,126 ha is the largest mangrove area in Johor and the second largest in Peninsular Malaysia. The SPMFR and surrounding mangroves have diversified flora and fauna including 24 true mangrove species, 21 mangrove-associated species, 7 amphibians, 12 reptiles, 55 birds, 26 mammals and 111 fish species (Ramakrishna et al., 2001; Mohd Hasmadi et al., 2011). The plant species composition of the Pulai mangrove is comparatively higher than the other mangroves of Peninsular Malaysia, for example, Ayer Hangat or Kisap forest reserve in Langkawi and Matang mangrove in Perak (Norhayati et al., 2005). These mangrove forests are managed by the Johor State Forestry Department (JSFD) in supplying forest products, especially wood for pole and charcoal making. In Johor, total mangrove forest area has been classified as (48%) production forest mainly for timber and the remaining 52.4% for other functions including protection, conservation, recreation, research and education (Che Hashim et al., 2005). About 80% of the mangrove species are less than 20 years because the trees are felled in a 20-year rotation for commercial wood production (Mohd Hasmadi et al., 2011). The mangrove ecosystem has played a vital role in the socioeconomic well-being of the traditional coastal communities by providing a range of products for their livelihood. The mangrove change has an effect on the local communities' livelihood.

Remote sensing and forest monitoring: Remote Sensing (RS) is the science of obtaining information about objects or areas from a distance, typically from aircraft or satellites. It has been demonstrated as a powerful tool for studying such a place where it is difficult to reach or difficult to penetrate. Satellite RS is an effective tool for natural resources assessment from land to ocean.

RS along with Geographic Information System (GIS) serve many applications in monitoring and management of natural resources such as mapping, species identification, biodiversity monitoring, deforestation monitoring, vegetation dynamics monitoring, land cover change monitoring and change detection. (Ramachandran, 1993; Ramachandran et al., 1997, 1998; Mohd Hasmadi et al., 2011). It provides a timely and complete coverage for mangrove mapping where accessibility is difficult (Mohd Hasmadi et al., 2011). Thus, RS provides various guidelines and applications for sustainable coastal environment monitoring and management (Ramachandran, 1993; Ramachandran et al., 1997, 1998; Mohd Hasmadi et al., 2008; Havemann, 2009; Mohd Hasmadi et al., 2011; Hamdan et al., 2011; Heumann, 2011).

Two types of space-borne data used for mangrove mapping or monitoring are optical, and radar. Optical sensors rely on reflected sunlight whereas Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) satellites actively can emit microwave energy to their targets. Jusoff (2008) conducted an experiment to demonstrate the capability of National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA) airborne SAR (AirSAR) data to classify and map the tropical forest of Pulau Tioman, Malaysia and suggested that optical satellite remote sensing technology has great potential in Pulau Tioman to be further tested for forest mapping, monitoring and inventory purposes.

Optical sensors such as Landsat and Systeme Pour d' Observation de la Terre (SPOT) imageries have been applied to mangrove studies through visual interpretation (Gang & Agatsiva, 1992), vegetation index (Blasco et al., 1986; Chaudhury, 1990; Jensen et al., 1991), classification (Dutrieux et al., 1990; Aschbacher et al., 1995) and band ratioing (Ranganath et al., 1989; Kay et al., 1991; Long & Skewes, 1994). Multi-temporal Landsat data have been using to assess the historical changes in mangrove forests in many countries (Rasolofoharinoro et al., 1998; Kovacs et al., 2001; Muttitanon & Tripathi, 2005; Beland et al., 2006; Akingbogun et al., 2012). Mohd Hasmadi et al. (2011) reported that optical sensors (Landsat or SPOT) provide appropriate resolutions for studying changes in mangrove canopy extent and condition.

The importance of community's perception and socioeconomic study:

Socioeconomic status refers to the assets and owning goods of a household as well as the status of household members in the community (Krieger et al., 1997; Brady & Matthews, 2002). The study of population demography and socioeconomics are very important as it reflects the quality of living standard and strength of a nation (Banerjee et al., 2002). Socioeconomic factors are considered as one of the main causes of deforestation and the pace of deforestation depends on the socioeconomic condition of associated community (Turner & Meyer, 1991; Primavera, 2000; Liu et al., 2007a; FAO, 2010; Szantoi et al., 2012; Gong et al., 2013; Jusoff, 2013; Miyamoto et al., 2013). The change of socioeconomic status combined with other drivers have been reported to lead the deforestation or other environmental change (Allen & Barnes, 1985; Amelung & Diehl, 1992; Cropper & Griffiths, 1994; Ehrhardt-Martinez, 1998; Mather & Needle, 2000; Lin & Ho, 2003; Tanrivermis, 2003; Gong et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2013). Uses of household socioeconomic data combining with RS data have already been proved useful in many previous studies (Fox, 2003; Rindfuss et al., 2004, 2008; Overmars & Verburg, 2005; De Souza Soler & Verburg, 2010).

Integration of RS and social science (SS): The study of integrating RS and GIS with household survey or census data is gaining popularity in many interdisciplinary studies as it improves understanding of the processes along with the causes of land use/cover (LUC) changes (Geoghegan et al., 1998; Lambin et al., 1999; Mertens et al., 2000; Taubenböck et al., 2009; Bagan & Yamagata, 2012; Herrmann et al., 2014). This combined procedure can provide rapid information more accurately and in a cost-effective way, can handle vast quantities of spatial and non-spatial data which were previously impossible (De Andrade et al., 2010).

This technology is being applied in urban planning or urban development (Xu et al., 2000), monitoring the growth of settlements (Thomson & Hardin, 2000), environmental or forest monitoring, information on natural disasters like flooding, earthquake or tsunamis. Socioeconomic data are strongly related to the biophysical environmental data (Lo & Faber, 1997; Bagan & Yamagata, 2012) thus population and economy can also be integrated (Xu et al., 2000) with remotely sensed data. Multi-temporal Landsat data combined with socioeconomic data can be used for identification of factors of deforestation and to understand the complex interrelationships between LUC change and human population (Sydenstricker-Neto, 2012; Bagan & Yamagata, 2012; Badar et al., 2013). For the socioeconomic study, RS data can assist by giving the exact coordinate of the studied household or land use. RS

and GIS help to analyze and to present the survey data more easily and more attractively. On the other hand, survey data can be used to validate the RS data. Thus, the combined study of the application of RS and GIS with SS make the survey convenient for the researchers.

1.2 Problem statement

During 2000 to 2012 Malaysia has lost 4.5 million ha of forests i.e. 14.4% of its forest cover, which represents world's highest rate of deforestation at that time. Meaning, between these periods only Malaysia has lost forest cover equivalent to a football pitch in every 1.5 minutes (Yong, 2014). Mangrove forests are also included with this deforestation record. This deforestation is occurring on a quarterly basis. About 80% of the tropical forests in Malaysian Borneo have been heavily impacted by a logging operation. The logging is mainly done for construction of road and transportation and for timber and oil palm production (Yong, 2014). According to Samat et al. (2014), in Penang, urbanization and the new construction caused a massive migration of population and foreign workers to the newly built-up area which has put high pressure on the existing social services, increase pollution and other social problems. The authors reported that this urbanization also brings ecological and socioeconomic effects to the local community.

Currently, the southern coast of Peninsula Malaysia is in a transitional stage between the commercialization of coastal life (through the improved communications, new technologies, urbanization, and tourism development) and the isolated subsistence existence of rural 'Mangrove villages'. Since 1980, Johor Mangrove Forest has been experiencing a major decline in the Peninsular Malaysia. Hamdan (2012) reported that during 1990-2010 state Johor has lost about 6120.70 ha of mangroves from 29,797 ha in 1990 to 23,676 ha in 2010. In south Johor only the Iskandar Malaysia (IM) region lost 33% of mangrove coverage from 1989 to 2014 with annual deforestation rate 1.32% (Kanniah et al., 2015).

The mangroves of this area are under substantial pressure from large-scale development projects for infrastructure, urban development, industries, and harbour, as well as small-scale agriculture projects (Che Hashim et al., 2005). For example, many forest areas have been cleared for the development of Town Nusajaya as the new administrative centre of Johor state which affects the total mangrove coverage of this area. The development of the Tanjung Bin power plant and Port of Tanjung Pelepas (PTP) are additional examples of mangrove deforestation and causing erosion to the surrounding mangrove areas. Many forest areas have been cleared to make room for these development processes. The development of Puteri harbour is another cause because the dynamics of the water hydrology has been changed and thus the ecosystem has been altered. They impact directly on the mangrove ecosystem, causing coastal erosion and water pollution from associated dredging and reclamation works and traffic. The Ramsar site is under threat from the rapid development in the surrounding area. A large part of the forest reserves have been degazetted and much more on the way (Che Hashim et al., 2005).

All these developments lead to mangrove deforestation by reducing both the mangrove coverage and biodiversity. Both flora and fauna of this mangrove ecosystem are being affected by the rapid development. Loss of biodiversity may lead to the extinction of an important plant or animal species. The indigenous peoples and local forest communities of the adjacent 38 villages depend on the mangrove ecosystem for their livelihoods, income generation, socio-cultural, and spiritual link (Yong, 2014). The deforestation of mangroves also affects their livelihood, income source, socio-cultural and spiritual activities.

The Sungai Pulai mangroves (SPM) is a reserve forest only not protected and parts of this forest already converted to other land use like port development, power plant development, aquaculture, charcoal making industry, residential area and coastal road development (Hashim & Kadir, 1999; Giesen et al., 2007). There are also some ongoing development projects like Iskandar Malaysia (IM) and Forest City project. For sustainable management of this endowment, it is demanded to know the status of this forest, associated threats, and impacts of the change to the socioeconomics of local communities' livelihoods along with the responses taken by the managers or planners.

Recently, a number of studies have been conducted to know the current land use status, monitoring mangroves and change detection at the southern Johor (Azlan & Othman, 2009; Abd & Alnajjar, 2013; Deilami et al., 2014; Kanniah et al., 2015). Unfortunately, no comprehensive study has done yet on LUC changes and their impacts. There is a little information about the socioeconomic impacts of mangroves change in the study area. As mangrove status may differ spatiotemporally due to the combined action of different direct and indirect factors. Thus, an update of knowledge about the mangrove status of the study area is necessary that can support the managers, planners, environmentalists, or researchers by providing up to date information of the current land uses. Hence, this study has been planned to detect the changes of mangroves with other LUCs at the study area with associated anthropogenic factors and impacts of changes to the socioeconomy of the local community.

1.3 Research questions

- i) What is the current LUCs status in and around the SPMFR and how much mangroves area has been cleared for the last decade (2004 to 2014) and what is the rate of change within the 10 years period?
- ii) What anthropogenic factors (land use and socioeconomic factors) are mainly responsible for mangrove reduction?
- iii) Does the mangrove change have any socioeconomic impact to the local community?

1.4 Objectives of the study

The general objective of the study is to assess the spatiotemporal changes of LUCs and mangroves area using remote sensing technique and its impact to the socioeconomic of the local community near the SPMs, Johor, Malaysia. The specific objectives are as follows:

- i) To detect land use/cover (LUC) and mangroves changes in and around the Sungai Pulai Mangrove Forest Reserve (SPMFR) area for the year 2004, 2009 and 2014;
- ii) To determine the anthropogenic factors contributing to the mangrove change in the study area and;
- iii) To determine the impacts of mangrove changes on the socioeconomic status of the local community.

1.5 Hypothesis

Hypotheses are statements of assumption and/or predictions of concerning research problems. For conducting a research we need to develop hypotheses that can guide and give the direction of the study (Karim, 2001). For this study, regarding the mangrove change and its effect on local communities socioeconomic, following hypotheses are developed:

- i) There is a change (reduction) of the SPMFR and surrounding LUCs during the last 10 years (between 2004 to 2014);
- ii) There is a relationship between the mangrove change/loss and associated anthropogenic factors;
- iii) There are impacts (both positive and negative) of mangrove changes/loss to the socioeconomic condition of the local community.

1.6 Significance of the study

SPMFR and surrounding mangroves support a rich biodiversity dependent on mangrove including many rare species of plants, animals, and birds. The local community living in the surrounding area greatly depend on mangroves for their livelihood both subsistence and commercial.

The findings of this study are useful in several ways. Firstly, the mangrove change study (using multi-temporal satellite RS data) would provide information about the land use change dynamics of the SPMFR and surrounding area for the years 2004, 2009 and 2014. Land use maps for the studied area would become available for the mentioned years. Secondly, the household survey data would serve socioeconomic and livelihood information including their perception on mangrove change issue, awareness about deforestation, its causes and impacts on their socioeconomic condition. Thirdly, the combined study of applying RS and socioeconomic study would identify the potential land use and socioeconomic factors of mangrove change. It would supply available information about the drivers associated with the change with their magnitude of effect for the study area and also it would give a hint about the factors of mangrove change in the Peninsular Malaysia or whole Malaysia. Overall it would provide an understanding of change trend with a time of the socioeconomic condition.

Finally, information obtained from this study can be used as a baseline for mangrove forest monitoring and management for the studied area. These may help the

environmentalists, researchers or managers. The policy makers may use the information to formulate better policies.

1.7 Scope of the research

This study is an initiative to combine two disciplines. RS data and socioeconomic data have been tried to combine at *mukim* level (census tract unit). It makes information available for *mukim* level LUC status for the studied period along with the socioeconomic information which gives information on current land use pressures of the studied area and also an indication of future study. Due to financial and time limitations, more detail study on the smallest unit integration could not be done. For example, integration of RS data with household-level socioeconomic data using higher spatial resolution RS data, for instance, Quick bird data (spatial resolution 0.65m) is able to record coordinates for every household with household-level socioeconomic and land use information.

Model simulation can be studied using RS data with socioeconomic data through different modeling for instances, Conversion of Land Use and its Effects at Small regional extent (CLUE-s), Cellular automata, Markov chain modeling, which will make a better integration of RS and socioeconomic data at household level or village level data and will give a projection of future change of land cover and land use of study area. If available fund, resource manpower and time can be ensured then advanced study for model simulation would be studied for a complete integration of these two disciplines.

1.8 Limitations of the study

The study area is situated in the tropical humid region and optical Landsat data were used hence cloud problem was faced. Moderate resolution RS data and socioeconomic census data (*mukim* level) were used for this study. Hence integration at fine spatial level (at household level or village level) could not be conducted.

Another important issue is a selection of factors. Though many factors and underlying causes are related to the mangrove change, only a few anthropogenic factors (some spatial and socioeconomic factors) have been considered for this study due to limitations of time, finance, and manpower. The factors those are included in the study have been recorded from the analysis of previous literature, household survey and census reports.

For the survey, data were collected at specific time frame using the cross-sectional survey approach due to the above-mentioned limitations (time, fund and manpower) which may not completely be able to give a clear picture of the real situation. Another limitation for the survey data collection is the income data provided by the household heads by assumption as some of them haven't any record of their exact income.

1.9 Organization of thesis

There are 6 chapters in this thesis. Chapter 1 is the Introduction describing the background of mangrove forests and its role to the community. It also discusses about the application of remote sensing technology for LUC change detection. The problem statement, significance and objectives of the study are also presented in this chapter. Chapter 2 comprises the literature review which discusses previous related studies using remote sensing for forest change study. It also discusses the anthropogenic factors (both drivers and pressures) of mangrove change along with impacts of mangrove change to the communities' socioeconomic condition. The materials and methods have been described in Chapter 3. Chapter 4 describes the results of the study. Chapter 5 is the discussion of the findings obtained in chapter 4. Chapter 6 is the summary, implications, conclusion, and recommendation of the study including hints of future research.

REFERENCES

- Abd, H. A. A. R., & Alnajjar, H. A. (2013). Maximum Likelihood for Land-Use/Land-Cover Mapping and Change Detection Using Landsat Satellite Images: A Case Study "South Of Johor". *International Journal of Computational Engineering Research*, 3(6), 26-33.
- Abd Shukur, A. H. (2004). The use of mangroves in Malaysia. In: Promotion of mangrove-friendly shrimp aquaculture in Southeast Asia (pp. 136-144). Tigbauan, Iloilo, Philippines: Aquaculture Department, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center. To link to this document: <http://hdl.handle.net/10862/966>
- Aguiar, A. P. D., Câmara, G., & Escada, M. I. S. (2007). Spatial statistical analysis of land-use determinants in the Brazilian Amazonia: Exploring intra-regional heterogeneity. *Ecological modelling*, 209(2), 169-188.
- Aizpuru, M., Achard, F., & Blasco, F. (2000). Global assessment of cover change of the mangrove forests using satellite imagery at medium to high resolution. EEC Research Project No. 15017-1999-05 FIED ISP FR, Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy.
- Akingbogun, A. A., Kosoko, O. S., & Aborisade, D. K. (2012, May). Remote sensing and GIS application for forest reserve degradation prediction and monitoring. In *First FIG young surveyors conference knowing to create the future, Rome* (pp. 4-5).
- Allen, J. C. and Barnes, D. F. (1985). The causes of deforestation in developing countries. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 75, 163-184.
- Alongi, D. M. (2008). Mangrove forests: resilience, protection from tsunamis, and responses to global climate change. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 76(1), 1-13.
- Alongi, D. M. (2002). Present state and future of the world's mangrove forests. *Environmental conservation*, 29(03), 331-349.
- Alves, D. S. (2002). Space-time dynamics of deforestation in Brazilian Amazonia. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23(14), 2903-2908.
- Alves, D. S., Escada, M. I., Pereira, J. L., & De Albuquerque Linhares, C. (2003). Land use intensification and abandonment in Rondônia, Brazilian Amazônia. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 24(4), 899-903.
- Amelung, T., & Diehl, M. (1992). *Deforestation of tropical rain forests. Economic causes and impact on development*, Tübingen (Germany): J.C.B. Mohr.
- Aminu, M., Matori, A. N., Wan Yusof, K., & Zainol, R. (2013). A framework for sustainable tourism planning in Johor Ramsar Sites, Malaysia: a geographic information system (GIS) based analytic network process (ANP) approach,

- Ancog, R., & Ruzol, C. (2015). Urbanization adjacent to a wetland of international importance: The case of Olango Island Wildlife Sanctuary, Metro Cebu, Philippines. *Habitat International*, 49, 325-332.
- Ann, O. C. (1996). Coastal erosion management in Malaysia. In Proceeding of 13th Annual Seminar of the Malaysian Society of Marine Sciences. Department of Irrigation and Drainage. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. pp 1-11.
- Anon (1999). *Mangrove Fisheries of the Sungai Pulai Estuarine System*. Danish Cooperation for environment and development.
- Anon (2005). *Malaysian National Physical Plan 2005*. Forestry Department of Town and Country Planning. Ministry of Housing and Local Government.
- Arcury, S. S. (1990). Local attitudes towards community based conservation policy and programmes in Nepal: A case study in the Makadu-Barum conservation area. *Journal of environmental conservation*, 25, 320.
- Armitage, D. (2002). Socio-institutional dynamics and the political ecology of mangrove forest conservation in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Global Environmental Change*, 12(3), 203-217.
- Arshad, A., Japar Sidik, B., & Muta Harah, Z. (2001). Fishes associated with seagrass habitat. *Aquatic resource and environmental studies of the Straits of Malacca: current research and reviews*. Malacca Straits Research and Development Centre (MASDEC), Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, 151-162.
- Aschbacher, J., Ofren, R., Delsol, J. P., Suselo, T. B., Vibulsresth, S., & Charrupat, T. (1995). An integrated comparative approach to mangrove vegetation mapping using advanced remote sensing and GIS technologies: preliminary results. In *Asia-Pacific Symposium on mangrove ecosystems* (pp. 285-294). Springer Netherlands.
- ASEAN-USAID, C. R. M. P. (1991). The coastal environmental profile of South Johore, Malaysia. *ICLARM Tech. Rep.*, (24), Philippines.
- Asmawi, M. Z., & Ibrahim, A. N. (2013). The perception of community on coastal erosion issue in Selangor, Malaysia. *Journal of Clean Energy Technologies*, 1(3), 164-168.
- Ayukai, T. (1998). Carbon fixation and storage in mangroves and their relevance to the global climate change-in Hinchinbrook Channel in northeastern Australia. *Mangroves and Salt Marshes*, 2, 189-190.
- Aziz, H. K. (2012). Chapter 1 Introduction. In O. Hamdan, H. K. Aziz, I. Shamsudin, & R. S. Raja Barizan (Eds.), *Status of Mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia*, (pp. 1-9). Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Azlan, N. I., & Othman, R. (2009). Monitoring of mangroves area using remote sensing towards shoreline protection. 16th International Symposium GIS Ostrava 2009 - Proceedings on Seamless Geoinformation Technologies January 25-28th 2009 held in Czech Republic.
- Badar, B., Romshoo, S. A., & Khan, M. A. (2013). Integrating biophysical and socioeconomic information for prioritizing watersheds in a Kashmir Himalayan lake: a remote sensing and GIS approach. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 185(8), 6419-6445.
- Badola, R., & Hussain, S. A. (2005). Valuing ecosystem functions: an empirical study on the storm protection function of Bhitarkanika mangrove ecosystem, India. *Environmental Conservation*, 32(01), 85-92.
- Bagan, H., & Yamagata, Y. (2012). Landsat analysis of urban growth: How Tokyo became the world's largest megacity during the last 40 years. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 127, 210-222.
- Banerjee, A., Reddy, K. N., & Paul, P. (2002). Application of remote sensing and GIS in demographic and socio-economic analysis of Dehradun city. *Indian Cartographer*, MUIP-06, 275.
- Bandyopadhyay, S., & Tembo, G. (2010). Household consumption and natural resource management around national parks in Zambia. *Journal of Natural Resources Policy Research*, 2(1), 39-55.
- Barbier, E. B. (2006). Natural barriers to natural disasters: replanting mangroves after the tsunami. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 4(3), 124-131.
- Barbier, E. B. (2007). Valuing ecosystem services as productive inputs. *Economic Policy*, 22(49), 178-229.
- Barbier, E. B., & Cox, M. (2003). Does Economic Development Lead to Mangrove Loss? A Cross- Country Analysis. *Contemporary economic policy*, 21(4), 418-432.
- Barbier, E. B., Koch, E. W., Silliman, B. R., Hacker, S. D., Wolanski, E., Primavera, J., ... & Stoms, D. M. (2008). Coastal ecosystem-based management with nonlinear ecological functions and values. *science*, 319(5861), 321-323.
- Bashirullah, A. K. M., Mahmood, N., & Matin, A. K. M. A. (1989). Aquaculture and coastal zone management in Bangladesh. *Coastal Management*, 17(2), 119-127.
- Becker, B. K. (2005). Geopolítica da amazônia. *Estudos avançados*, 19(53), 71-86.
- Beland, M., Goita, K., Bonn, F., & Pham, T. T. H. (2006). Assessment of land- cover changes related to shrimp aquaculture using remote sensing data: a case study in the Giao Thuy District, Vietnam. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(8), 1491-1510.

- Blaikie, N. (2003). *Analyzing quantitative data: From description to explanation*. SAGE Publications Ltd. 352 pages.
- Blasco, F., Lavenu, F., & Bareza, J. (1986, January). Remote Sensing data applied to mangrove of Kenya coast. *Proceeding of International Symposium on Remote Sensing on the Environment*, 3: 1465-1480.
- Boillat, S., Dao, H., Bottazzi, P., Sandoval, Y., Luna, A., Thongmanivong, S., ... & Giraut, F. (2015). Integrating forest cover change with census data: Drivers and contexts from Bolivia and the Lao PDR. *Land*, 4(1), 45-82.
- Bouillon, S., Dehairs, F., Velimirov, B., Abril, G., & Borges, A. V. (2007). Dynamics of organic and inorganic carbon across contiguous mangrove and seagrass systems (Gazi Bay, Kenya). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 112(G2).
- Brady, S. S., & Matthews, K. A. (2002). The influence of socioeconomic status and ethnicity on adolescents' exposure to stressful life events. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 27(7), 575-583.
- Brondízio, E., Moran, E., Mausel, P., & Wu, Y. (1996). Land cover in the Amazon estuary: linking of the Thematic Mapper with botanical and historical data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 62(8), 921-930.
- Brown, W. H., & Fischer, A. F. (1918). Philippine mangrove swamps. Bulletin No. 17, Bureau of Forestry, Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Manila.
- Buckle, P., Mars, G., & Samle, S. (2006). New approaches to assessing vulnerability and resilience. *Australian Journal of Emergency Management*, (Winter), 8-12.
- Bujang, J. S., Zakaria, M. H., & Arshad, A. (2006). Distribution and significance of seagrass ecosystems in Malaysia. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management*, 9(2), 203-214.
- Cabahug Jr, D. M., Ambi, F. M., Nisperos, S. O., & Truzan Jr, N. C. (1986). Impact of community-based mangrove forestation to mangrove dependent families and to nearby coastal areas in Central Visayas: a case example. *Mangroves of Asia and the Pacific: Status and Management*. Natural Resources Management Center, Ministry of Natural Resources, Quezon City, Philippines, 441-466.
- Carmines, E. G., & Zeller, R. A. (1979). *Reliability and validity assessment* (Vol. 17). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage publications.
- Chan, H. T., Ong, J. E., Gong, W. K., & Sasekumar, A. (1993). Socioeconomic, ecological and environmental values of mangrove ecosystems in Malaysia and their present state of conservation. In: Clough, B. F. (Ed.). *The Economic and Environmental Values of Mangrove Forests and their Present State of Conservation in the South-East /Pacific Region*. Japan International Association for Mangroves, Okinawa, Japan, pp.41-82.

- Chan, H. T. & Salleh, M. N. (1986). The Traditional Use of the Mangrove Ecosystem in Malaysia. Mangrove Ecosystem Occasional Papers No. 1.
- Chatterjee, B., Porwal, M. C., & Hussin, Y. A. (2008, July). Assessment of tsunami damage to mangrove in India using remote sensing and GIS. In *Proceedings of XXI ISPRS Congress*.
- Chaudhury, M. U. (1990). Digital analysis of remote sensing data for monitoring the ecological status of the mangrove forests of Sunderbans in Bangladesh. In *Proceedings of the twenty-third International Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment. 18-25 April 1990, Bangkok, Thailand. Volume I*. (pp. 493-497). Environmental Research Institute of Michigan.
- Che Hashim, H., Shahsiah, A. K., & Che Ros, A. (2005). Management and conservation of mangroves: Johor experience. In M. I. Shahrudin, M. Azhar, U. Razani, A. B. Kamaruzaman, K. L. Lim, R. Suhaili, M. S. Jalil, & A. Latiff (Eds.), *Forest Biodiversity Series 4 SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT OF MATANG MANGROVES: 100 YEARS AND BEYOND*, (pp. 69-80). Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia.
- Cheng, H. K. (1994). The Biodiversity Value, Sustainability and Management of the Matang Mangroves. *World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) Malaysia Project Report (Project MYS 274/93)*.
- Chen, Z., Gong, C., Wu, J., & Yu, S. (2012). The influence of socioeconomic and topographic factors on nocturnal urban heat islands: a case study in Shenzhen, China. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 33(12), 3834-3849.
- Chmura, G. L., Anisfeld, S. C., Cahoon, D. R., & Lynch, J. C. (2003). Global carbon sequestration in tidal, saline wetland soils. *Global biogeochemical cycles*, 17(4), 1111.
- Chong, V. C. (2006). Sustainable utilization and management of mangrove ecosystem of Malaysia. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management*, 9, 249-260.
- Chong, V. C., Sasekumar, A., Leh, M. U. C., & D'cruz, R. (1990). The fish and prawn communities of a Malaysian coastal mangrove system, with comparisons to adjacent mud flats and inshore waters. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 31(5), 703-722.
- Chong, V. C., Sasekumar, A., & Wolanski, E. (1996). The role of mangroves in retaining penaeid prawn larvae in Klang Strait, Malaysia. *Mangroves and salt marshes*, 1(1), 11-22.
- Choo, C. K., Serina, R., Khor, H.M. (2009). S.O.S Files A journey to Sungai Pulai. Gelang Patah, Malaysia.
- Codjoe, S. N. A. (2007). Integrating Remote Sensing, GIS, Census, and Socioeconomic Data in Studying the Population-Land Use/Cover Nexus in Ghana: A Literature Update. *Africa Development*, XXXII (2):197-212. (ISSN 0850-3907).

- Cohen, J. E., Small, C., Mellinger, A., Gallup, J., & Sachs, J. (1997). Estimates of coastal populations. *Science*, 278(5341), 1209-1213.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd edn) (pp. 79-81). New Jersey Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Inc. Publishers.
- Cohen, W. B., & Goward, S. N. (2004). Landsat's role in ecological applications of remote sensing. *Bioscience*, 54(6), 535-545.
- Congalton, R. G. (1991). A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed data. *Remote sensing of environment*, 37(1), 35-46.
- Creswell, J. W. (2002). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (pp. 146-166). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Cropper, M., & Griffiths, C. (1994). The interaction of population growth and environmental quality. *The American Economic Review*, 84(2), 250-254.
- Cropper, M., Griffiths, C., & Mani, M. (1999). Roads, population pressures, and deforestation in Thailand, 1976-1989. *Land Economics*, 75, 58-73.
- Cui, Y. (2003). Remote sensing and GIS for supporting sustainable forest management certification in the tropics: a case study in Labanan concession, East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Unpublished MSc., ITC, Enschede*.
- Dahdouh- Guebas, F., Van Hiel, E., Chan, J. C. W., Jayatissa, L. P., & Koedam, N. (2004). Qualitative distinction of congeneric and introgressive mangrove species in mixed patchy forest assemblages using high spatial resolution remotely sensed imagery (IKONOS). *Systematics and biodiversity*, 2(2), 113-119.
- Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Collin, S., Seen, D. L., Rönnbäck, P., Depommier, D., Ravishankar, T., & Koedam, N. (2006). Analysing ethnobotanical and fishery-related importance of mangroves of the East-Godavari Delta (Andhra Pradesh, India) for conservation and management purposes. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 2(1), 24.
- Dall, W. H. B. J., Hill, J., Rothlisberg, P. C., Sharples, D. J., Blaxter, J. H., & Southward, A. J. (1990). The biology of the Penaeidae. *Advances in marine biology* (Vol. 27). Academic Press.
- Danielsen, F., Sørensen, M. K., Olwig, M. F., Selvam, V., Parish, F., Burgess, N. D., ... & Quarto, A. (2005). The Asian tsunami: a protective role for coastal vegetation. *Science(Washington)*, 310(5748), 643.
- DasGupta, R., & Shaw, R. (2013). Cumulative impacts of human interventions and climate change on mangrove ecosystems of South and Southeast Asia: an overview. *Journal of Ecosystems*, 2013, 379429, 15 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/379429>

- Davidson, D. J., Williamson, T., & Parkins, J. R. (2003). Understanding climate change risk and vulnerability in northern forest-based communities. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 33(11), 2252-2261.
- De Andrade, M. M. N., Szlafsztein, C. F., Souza-Filho, P. W. M., dos Reis Araújo, A., & Gomes, M. K. T. (2010). A socioeconomic and natural vulnerability index for oil spills in an Amazonian harbor: a case study using GIS and remote sensing. *Journal of environmental management*, 91(10), 1972-1980.
- De Espindola, G. M., De Aguiar, A. P. D., Pebesma, E., Câmara, G., & Fonseca, L. (2012). Agricultural land use dynamics in the Brazilian Amazon based on remote sensing and census data. *Applied Geography*, 32(2), 240-252.
- De Graaf, G. J., & Xuan, T. T. (1998). Extensive shrimp farming, mangrove clearance and marine fisheries in the southern provinces of Vietnam. *Mangroves and Salt Marshes*, 2(3), 159-166.
- Deilami, B. R., Ahmad, B. B., Saffar, M. R. A. S. & Umar, H. A. (2014). Using Remote Sensing and GIS to detect and monitor land use and land cover change in Iskandar Malaysia during 2007-2014. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 22(3), 390-394.
- Dekker, A. G., Vos, R. J., & Peters, S. W. M. (2002). Analytical algorithms for lake water TSM estimation for retrospective analyses of TM and SPOT sensor data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23(1), 15-35.
- Demaio, T.J. 2006. Improving survey quality through pre-testing. http://www.hr-survey.com/improving_surveys.htm (Retrieved on XXXXXX)
- DOSM. (2015). Report of Household Income and Basic Amenities Survey 2014. Corporate and User Services Division, Department of Statistics Malaysia [Http://www.dosm.gov.my](http://www.dosm.gov.my). (Retrieved on XXXX 2015)
- DOSM. (2010). Population and Housing Census of Malaysia : Population Distribution by local authority areas and mukims. Department of Statistics Malaysia Report.
- DOSM. (2000). Population and Housing Census of Malaysia : Population Distribution by local authority areas and mukims. Department of Statistics Malaysia Report.
- De Souza Soler, L., Escada, M. I. S., & Verburg, P. H. (2009). Quantifying deforestation and secondary forest determinants for different spatial extents in an Amazonian colonization frontier (Rondonia). *Applied Geography*, 29(2), 182-193.
- De Souza Soler, L., & Verburg, P. H. (2010). Combining remote sensing and household level data for regional scale analysis of land cover change in the Brazilian Amazon. *Regional Environmental Change*, 10(4), 371-386.

- DeVellis, R. F. (2012). *Scale development: Theory and applications*. (Vol. 26). Sage publications. Applied Social research methods series, V. 26. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif.: SAGE 2012.
- Dewalt, B. R., Vergne, P., & Hardin, M. (1996). Shrimp aquaculture development and the environment: people, mangroves and fisheries on the Gulf of Fonseca, Honduras. *World development*, 24(7), 1193-1208.
- Dom, N. C., Ahmad, A. H., Latif, Z. A., Ismail, R., & Pradhan, B. (2013). Coupling of remote sensing data and environmental-related parameters for dengue transmission risk assessment in Subang Jaya, Malaysia. *Geocarto International*, 28(3), 258-272.
- Donato, D. C., Kauffman, J. B., Murdiyarso, D., Kurnianto, S., Stidham, M., & Kanninen, M. (2011). Mangroves among the most carbon-rich forests in the tropics. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(5), 293-297.
- Dutrieux, E., Denis, J., & Populus, J. (1990). Application of SPOT data to a base-line ecological study of the Mahakam Delta mangroves (East Kalimantan, Indonesia). *Oceanologica Acta*, 13(3), 317-326.
- EEA. (1999). Environmental indicators: Typology and overview, Technical report No. 25, European Environmental Agency, Copenhagen, http://reports.eea.eu.int/TEC25/en/tab_content_RLR [Accessed September 15, 2013]
- Ehrenfeld, J. G. (2000). Evaluating wetlands within an urban context. *Ecological Engineering*, 15(3), 253-265. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574\(00\)00080-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574(00)00080-X).
- Ehrhardt-Martinez, K. (1998). Social determinants of deforestation in developing countries: A cross-national study. *Social Forces*, 77, 567-586.
- El-Kawy, O. A., Rød, J. K., Ismail, H. A., & Suliman, A. S. (2011). Land use and land cover change detection in the western Nile delta of Egypt using remote sensing data. *Applied Geography*, 31(2), 483-494.
- Ellison, A. M. (2008). Managing mangroves with benthic biodiversity in mind: moving beyond roving banditry. *Journal of Sea Research*, 59(1), 2-15.
- Eong, O. J. (1993). Mangroves-a carbon source and sink. *Chemosphere*, 27(6), 1097-1107.
- Etter, A., McAlpine, C., Wilson, K., Phinn, S., & Possingham, H. (2006). Regional patterns of agricultural land use and deforestation in Colombia. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 114(2), 369-386.
- Ewel, K., Twilley, R., & Ong, J. I. N. (1998). Different kinds of mangrove forests provide different goods and services: Biodiversity and function of mangrove ecosystems. *Global Ecology & Biogeography Letters*, 7(1), 83-94.

- Farber, S. (1987). The value of coastal wetlands for protection of property against hurricane wind damage. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 14(2), 143-151.
- Fauzi, A. (2001). Remote sensing for detecting tropical logged over forest: a case study in Labanan concession, East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Unpublished MSc Thesis ITC*, 91. Enschede, The Netherlands.
- FAO. (1994). Forest Resources Development Branch. *Mangrove forest management guidelines* (Vol. 117). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO. (1997). Asia-Pacific forestry sector outlook study. Country Report—Malaysia. Working Paper Series (FAO):7/FAO Bangkok (Thailand). Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, pp 30, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO. (2003). Status and trends in mangrove area extent worldwide. In M. L. Wilkie, S. Fortuna, (Eds.), *Forest Resources Assessment Working Paper No.63*. Rome: Forest Resources Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO. (2007). The World's Mangroves 1980-2005. FAO Forestry Paper 153, Rome: Forest Resources Division, pp 77, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO. (2010). *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010*. FAO Forestry Paper 163, Rome: Forest Resources Division, pp 340, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. www.fao.org/docrep/013/i1757e/i1757e00.htm.
- Foody, G. (2002). Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 80(1), 185-201.
- Forkuo, E. K., & Frimpong, A. (2012). Analysis of forest cover change detection. *International Journal of Remote Sensing Applications*, 2(4), 82-92.
- Fox, J. (2003). *People and the environment: Approaches for linking household and community surveys to remote sensing and GIS* (Vol. 1). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Fui, L. H. (1999). *The role of research and development in sustainable utilisation of Matang mangroves in Malaysia*. APAFRI Publication Series Number 2.
- Gan, R. (1999). Assessment of potential eco-tourism sites within Mangrove forest in Johore. Paper presented at a seminar on "Development and Management of Mangrove Forest in Johore" Johor Bahru, 24-25 June, Malaysia.
- Gang, P. O., & Agatsiva, J. L. (1992). The current status of mangroves along the Kenyan coast: a case study of Mida Creek mangroves based on remote sensing. In *The Ecology of Mangrove and Related Ecosystems* (pp. 29-36). Springer Netherlands.

- Gao, J. (1998). A hybrid method toward accurate mapping of mangroves in a marginal habitat from SPOT multispectral data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 19(10), 1887-1899.
- Gattuso, J. P., Frankignoulle, M., & Wollast, R. (1998). Carbon and carbonate metabolism in coastal aquatic ecosystems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 29(1), 405-434.
- Gawler, M. (2002). Strategies for wise use of wetlands: best practices in participatory management. In *proceedings of a workshop held at the 2nd International Conference on Wetlands and Developments*. (November 1998, Dakar, Senegal). Wetlands International IUCN, WWF Publication No. 56, Wageningen, The Netherlands. pp 47-55.
- Geist, H. J., & Lambin, E. F. (2002). Proximate causes and underlying driving forces of tropical deforestation. *BioScience*, 52(2), 143-150.
- Geoghegan, J., Pritchard, L., Ogneva-Himmelberger, Y., Chowdhury, R.R., Sanderson, S., & Turner II, B.L. (1998). Socializing the pixel and pixelizing the social in land-use and land-cover change. In D. Liverman, E.F. Moran, R.R. Rindfuss & P.C. Stern, *People and pixels: linking remote sensing and social science* (pp. 51-69). Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- German, E., & Pyne, S. (2010). Dhaka: the fastest growing megacity in the world. *Global Post*. <http://www.globalpost.com/dispatch/asia/100831/bangladesh-megacities-part-one>
- Gibbes, C., Southworth, J., & Keys, E. (2009). Wetland conservation: change and fragmentation in Trinidad's protected areas. *Geoforum*, 40(1), 91-104.
- Giesen, W., Wulffraat, S., Zieren, M., & Scholten, L. (2007). Mangrove guidebook for Southeast Asia. Food and Agricultural Organisation & Wetlands International, Bangkok, Thailand, pp 769.
- Giri, C. P., Kratzschmar, E., Ofren, R. S., Pradhan, D., & Shrestha, S. (1996, November). Assessing Land Use/Land Cover Dynamics in Two Identified "Hot Spot" Areas: Oudomxay Province of Lao PDR and Mekong Delta of Vietnam. In *Proceeding of The 17th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing*. Colombo, Sri Lanka, 4-8 November 1996.
- Giri, C., Pengra, B., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., & Tieszen, L. L. (2007). Monitoring mangrove forest dynamics of the Sundarbans in Bangladesh and India using multi-temporal satellite data from 1973 to 2000. *Estuarine, coastal and shelf science*, 73(1), 91-100.
- Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L. L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., ... & Duke, N. (2011). Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation satellite data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 20(1), 154-159.

- Giri, C., Long, J., Abbas, S., Murali, R. M., Qamer, F. M., & Thau, D. (2015). Distribution and dynamics of mangrove forests of South Asia. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 48, 101-111.
- Glover, E. K. (2005). *Tropical dryland rehabilitation: Case study on participatory forest management in Gedaref, Sudan*. Helsinki,, Finland: Doctoral Dissertation, University of Helsinki Tropical Forestry Report No. 27. 183p.
- Gong, C., Chen, J., & Yu, S. (2011). Spatiotemporal dynamics of urban forest conversion through model urbanization in Shenzhen, China. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 32(24), 9071-9092.
- Gong, C., Yu, S., Joesting, H., & Chen, J. (2013). Determining socioeconomic drivers of urban forest fragmentation with historical remote sensing images. *Landscape and urban planning*, 117, 57-65.
- Green, E. P., Mumby, P. J., Edwards, A. J., & Clark, C. D. (1996). A review of remote sensing for the assessment and management of tropical coastal resources. *Coastal Management*, 24(1), 1-40.
- Green, E. P., Clark, C. D., Mumby, P. J., Edwards, A. J., & Ellis, A. C. (1998). Remote sensing techniques for mangrove mapping. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 19(5), 935-956.
- Grinand, C., Rakotomalala, F., Gond, V., Vaudry, R., Bernoux, M., & Vieilledent, G. (2013). Estimating deforestation in tropical humid and dry forests in Madagascar from 2000 to 2010 using multi-date Landsat satellite images and the random forests classifier. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 139, 68-80.
- Hair, J., Bush, R., & Ortinau, D. (2006). Marketing Research within a changing environment. Revised international edition. *McGraw-Hill, New York, USA*, 589, 566.
- Hamdan, O., Aziz, H. K. & Shamsudin, I. (2010). *Kajian Perubahan Hutan Paya Laut Negeri Selangor, Kedah dan Kelantan*. FRIM Reports No. 91. 25 pp.
- Hamdan, O., Aziz, H. K., & Rahman, K. A. (2011). Remotely sensed L-Band SAR data for tropical forest biomass estimation. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 23(3), 318-327.
- Hamdan, O. (2012). Mangroves Threats and Changes. In O. Hamdan, H. K. Aziz, I. Shamsudin, & R. S. Raja Barizan (Eds.), *Status of Mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia*, (pp. 89-109). Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Hamdan, O., Mohd Azahari, F., Audi Hani, A., & Khairul Azwan, M. (2012). Distribution and Extents of Mangroves. In O. Hamdan, H. K. Aziz, I. Shamsudin, & R. S. Raja Barizan (Eds.), *Status of Mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia*, (pp. 59-87). Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A., Tyukavina, A., ... & Kommareddy, A. (2013). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *science*, 342(6160), 850-853.
- Harakunarak, A., & Aksornkoae, S. (2005). Life-saving belts: Post-tsunami reassessment of mangrove ecosystem values and management in Thailand. *Tropical Coasts*, 12(1), 48-55.
- Hashim, M., & Kadir, W. H. W. (1999). Comparison of Jers-1 and Radarsat synthetic aperture Radar data for mapping mangrove and its biomass. GIS Development Net, ACRS: <http://www.gisdevelopment.net/aars/acrs/1999/ps1/ps1017a.shtml>
- Hassan, B. A., Glover, E. K., Luukkanen, O., Jamnadass, R., & Chikamai, B. (2014). An assessment of the socio-economic and ecological impacts of environmental changes on rural livelihood: A study across Addado, Buhodle and Northern Galkaayo of central and northern Somalia. *Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*, 3(4), 279-291.
- Hauff, R. D., Ewel, K. C., & Jack, J. (2006). Tracking human disturbance in mangroves: estimating harvest rates on a Micronesian Island. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 14(2), 95-105.
- Havemann, T. (2009). Measuring and Monitoring Terrestrial Carbon: The State of the Science and Implications for Policy Makers. The United Nations Collaborative Programme on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Developing Countries.
- Hazarika, M. K., Samarakoon, L., Honda, K., Thanwa, J., Pongthanapanich, T., Boonsong, K., & Luang, K. (2000). Monitoring and impact assessment of shrimp farming in the East Coast of Thailand using remote sensing and GIS. *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 33(B7/2; PART 7), 504-510.
- Herrmann, S. M., Sall, I., & Sy, O. (2014). People and pixels in the Sahel: a study linking coarse-resolution remote sensing observations to land users perceptions of their changing environment in Senegal. *Ecology and Society*, 19(3), 29.
- Hersperger, A. M., Gennaio, M., Verburg, P. H., & Bürgi, M. (2010). Linking land change with driving forces and actors: four conceptual models. *Ecology and Society*, 15(4), 1.
- Heumann, B. W. (2011). Satellite remote sensing of mangrove forests: Recent advances and future opportunities. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 35(1), 87-108.
- Hobbs, N. T., Schimel, D. S., Owensby, C. E., & Ojima, D. S. (1991). Fire and grazing in the tallgrass prairie: contingent effects on nitrogen budgets. *Ecology*, 72(4), 1374-1382.

- Hong, P. N. (2006). The role of mangrove and coral reef ecosystems in natural disaster mitigation and coastal life improvement. The World Conservation Union, and Mangrove Ecosystem Research Division, Viet Nam National University, Hanoi. 386 pp.
- International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). (2005). Economic value of mangroves: a case study to value the shoreline protection, goods and services provided by healthy mangroves. IUCN Tsunami Response, 1–2.
- Jensen, J. R., Lin, H., Yang, X., Ramsey III, E., Davis, B. A., & Thoenke, C. W. (1991). The measurement of mangrove characteristics in southwest Florida using SPOT multispectral data. *Geocarto International*, 6(2), 13-21.
- Jeremy, M. (2006). Socioeconomic-Vegetation Relationships in Urban, Residential Land: The Case of Denver, Colorado. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 72(8), 911-921.
- Jusoff, K. (2006, May). UPM-APSB's AISA airborne hyperspectral imaging for individual mangrove mapping in Port Klang. In *Procs. National Seminar on Science, Technology & Social Science STSS2006*, 30-31st May, 2006, Swiss Garden Resort & Spa, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia, pp. 751-759.
- Jusoff, K. (2013). Malaysian mangrove forests and their significance to the coastal marine environment. *Polish journal of environmental studies*, 22(4), 979-1005.
- Jusoff, K. (2008). UPM-APSB AISA airborne hyperspectral technology for managing mangrove forest in Malaysia. *Modern Applied Science*, 2(6), 90.
- Jusoff, K., & Haszuliana, M. H. (1998). An overview of satellite remote sensing for landuse planning with special emphasis in Malaysia. *Remote Sensing Reviews*, 16, 209-231.
- Jusoff, K., & Souza, G. D. (1997). Use of satellite remote sensing in Malaysian forestry and its potential. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 18(1), 57-70.
- Kabir, M. H. 2015. Factors Influencing the Adoption of Integrated Pest Management (Ipm) by Vegetable Farmers in the Narsingdi district, Bangladesh. PhD thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Kairo, J. G., Wanjiru, C., & Ochiewo, J. (2009). Net Pay: Economic Analysis of a Replanted Mangrove Plantation in Kenya. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 28, 395-414.
- Kanniah, K. D., Najib, M., Ezzaty, N., & Vu, T. T. (2016). Forest cover mapping in Iskandar Malaysia using satellite data. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing & Spatial Information Sciences*, Volume XLII-4/W1, 2016 International Conference on Geomatic and Geospatial Technology (GGT) 2016, 3–5 October 2016, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Kanniah, K. D., Sheikhi, A., Cracknell, A. P., Goh, H. C., Tan, K. P., Ho, C. S., & Rasli, F. N. (2015). Satellite images for monitoring mangrove cover changes in

a fast growing economic region in southern Peninsular Malaysia. *Remote Sensing*, 7(11), 14360-14385.

Karim, A. M. (2001). Understanding social research (with applications). M.N.Mallick and Co, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Kasawani, I., Kamaruzaman, J., & Nurun Nadhirah, M. I. (2007, December). A study of forest structure, diversity index and above-ground biomass at Tok Bali Mangrove Forest, Kelantan, Malaysia. In *The 5th WSEAS International Conference on Environment, Ecosystems and Development (EED'07) Puerto De La Cruz, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain*.

Kasawani, I., Mohd Suffian, I., Kamaruzaman, J. and Masayuki, T. (2008). Analysis of Vegetation Indices for Mapping of Mangroves in Kelantan Delta, Malaysia. *Asian Journal of Geoinformatics*, Vol. 8 No. 4 October, 2008.

Kates, R. W., & Haarmann, V. (1992). Where the poor live: Are the assumptions correct? *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, 34(4), 4-28.

Kay, R. J., Hick, P. T., & Houghton, H. J. (1991). Remote sensing of Kimberley rainforests. In *Kimberley Rainforests*, edited by N. I. McKenzie, R.B. Johnston, and P. G. Kendrick. Chipping Norton: Survey Beatty & Sons. pp 41-51.

Kovacs, J. M., Wang, J., & Blanco-Correa, M. (2001). Mapping disturbances in a mangrove forest using multi-date Landsat TM imagery. *Environmental Management*, 27(5), 763-776.

Kovacs, J. M., Wang, J., & Flores-Verdugo, F. (2005). Mapping mangrove leaf area index at the species level using IKONOS and LAI-2000 sensors for the Agua Brava Lagoon, Mexican Pacific. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 62(1), 377-384.

Krieger, N., Williams, D. R., & Moss, N. E. (1997). Measuring social class in US public health research: concepts, methodologies, and guidelines. *Annual review of public health*, 18(1), 341-378.

Krishnamoorthy, R., Bhattacharya, A., & Natarajan, T. (1993). Mangroves and coral reef mapping of south Andaman Islands through remote sensing. In: *Sustainable Management of Coastal Ecosystems*. M.S. Swaminathan and R. Ramesh (Eds), *MSSRF & Anna University*, 143-151.

Kuenzer, C., Bluemel, A., Gebhardt, S., Quoc, T. V., & Dech, S. (2011). Remote sensing of mangrove ecosystems: A review. *Remote Sensing*, 3(5), 878-928.

Kühlmann, D. H. H. (1988). The sensitivity of coral reefs to environmental pollution. *Ambio* 17, 13-21.

- Lal, P. N. (2002). Integrated and adaptive mangrove management framework—an action oriented option for the new millennium. In *Mangrove Ecosystems* (Ed. Lacerda, L.D.) (pp. 235-256). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Lambin, E. F., Baulies, X., Bockstael, N. E., Fischer, G., Krug, T., Leemans, R., Moran, E.F., Rindfuss, R.R., Skole, D., Turner II, B.L., & Vogel, C. (1999). *Land-Use and Land-Cover Change (LUCC), Implementation Strategy. IGBP Report, 48/IHDP Report No. 10 ICBP*, Stockholm.
- Latiff, A. (2005). Conservation and management of mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia. In M. I. Shaharuddin, M. Azahar, U. Razani, A. B. Kamaruzaman, K. L. Lim, R. Suhaili, M. S. Jalil, & A. Latiff (Eds.), *Forest Biodiversity Series 4 SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT OF MATANG MANGROVES: 100 YEARS AND BEYOND*, (pp. 139-152). Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia.
- Laurance, W. F., Albernaz, A. K., Fearnside, P. M., Vasconcelos, H. L., & Ferreira, L. V. (2004). Deforestation in amazonia. *Science*, 304(5674), 1109-1111.
- Lewis, S. L., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Sonké, B., Affum-Baffoe, K., Baker, T. R., Ojo, L. O., ... & Ewango, C. E. (2009). Increasing carbon storage in intact African tropical forests. *Nature*, 457(7232), 1003-1006.
- Lillesand, T., Kiefer, R. W., & Chipman, J. (2014). *Remote sensing and image interpretation*. John Wiley & Sons. New York.
- Lim, H.F. 1999. *The Role of Research and Development in Sustainable Utilization of Matang Mangroves in Malaysia*. APAFRI Publication Series Number 2.
- Lim, H. F., Huda Farhana, M.M., Intan, N.B., Mohd Parid, M., Tariq, M.H., Norshakila, Y., Mohd Nasir, H. and Ahmad, F. P. 2010. Local Communities' Response to Mangrove/Rhu Replanting & Conservation in Peninsular Malaysia. Paper presented at Training Workshop on Mangrove Management and Restoration Techniques, Licuala Room, FRIM 26-29 July.
- Lin, G. C., & Ho, S. P. (2003). China's land resources and land-use change: insights from the 1996 land survey. *Land use policy*, 20(2), 87-107.
- Liu, H., & Zhou, Q. (2004). Accuracy analysis of remote sensing change detection by rule-based rationality evaluation with post-classification comparison. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 25(5), 1037-1050.
- Liu, J., Dietz, T., Carpenter, S. R., Alberti, M., Folke, C., Moran, E., ... & Ostrom, E. (2007a). Complexity of coupled human and natural systems. *science*, 317(5844), 1513-1516.
- Liu, P. L. F., Lynett, P., Fernando, H., Jaffe, B. E., Fritz, H., Higman, B., ... & Synolakis, C. (2005). Observations by the international tsunami survey team in Sri Lanka. *Science*, 308(5728), 1595-1595.

- Liu, X., Heilig, G. K., Chen, J., & Heino, M. (2007b). Interactions between economic growth and environmental quality in Shenzhen, China's first special economic zone. *Ecological Economics*, 62(3), 559-570.
- Liu, Z. G., Li, J. B., Lim, B. L., Seng, C. Y., Inbaraj, S., & Sun, Z. (2008). Local spatial statistics for remotely sensed image classification of mangrove. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*. Vol. XXXVII. Part B7 (719-724) Beijing.
- Lo, C. P., & Faber, B. J. (1997). Integration of Landsat Thematic Mapper and census data for quality of life assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 62(2), 143-157.
- Long, B. G., & Skewes, T. D. (1994, March). GIS and remote sensing improves mangrove mapping. In *Proceedings of the 7th Australasian Remote Sensing Conference*, Melbourne (pp. 545-550).
- Long, B. G., & Skewes, T. D. (1996). A technique for mapping mangroves with Landsat TM satellite data and geographic information system. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 43(3), 373-381.
- Lu, Q., Söderlund, L., Wu, P., & Li, J. (2005). Cultivated land loss arising from the rapid urbanization in China. In *Proceedings SUSDEV-CHINA Symposium: Sustainable Agroecosystem Management and Development of Rural-Urban Interaction in Regions and Cities of China/Leif Söderlund, Jouko Sippola and Mitsuyo Kamijo-Söderlund (eds.)*. MTT.
- Macleod, R. D., & Congalton, R. G. (1998). A quantitative comparison of change detection algorithms for monitoring Eelgrass from remotely sensed data. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 64(3), 207-216.
- Mahapatra, K., & Kant, S. (2005). Tropical deforestation: a multinomial logistic model and some country-specific policy prescriptions. *Forest policy and Economics*, 7(1), 1-24.
- Malaysia's 5th National Report to Convention on Biological Diversity. (2014). Biodiversity and Forestry Management Division. Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment of Malaysia, (www.nre.gov.my). p 23-27.
- Manjula, K. R., Singaraju, J., & Varma, A. K. (2013). Data Preprocessing in Multi-Temporal Remote Sensing Data for Deforestation Analysis. *Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 13(6), 19-25.
- Manson, F., Loneragan, N., & Phinn, S. (2003). Spatial and temporal variation in distribution of mangroves in Moreton bay, subtropical Australia: A comparison of pattern metrics and change detection analysis based on aerial photographs. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 57, 653-666.
- Mas, J. F. (1999). Monitoring land-cover changes: a comparison of change detection techniques. *International journal of remote sensing*, 20(1), 139-152.

- Mather, A. S., & Needle, C. L. (2000). The relationships of population and forest trends. *The Geographical Journal*, 166(1), 2-13.
- Mazda, Y., Magi, M., Nanao, H., Kogo, M., Miyagi, T., Kanazawa, N., & Kobashi, D. (2002). Coastal erosion due to long-term human impact on mangrove forests. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 10(1), 1-9.
- McCarthy, J. J. (2001). *Climate change 2001: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: contribution of Working Group II to the third assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Mertens, B., Sunderlin, W. D., Ndoye, O., & Lambin, E. F. (2000). Impact of macroeconomic change on deforestation in South Cameroon: Integration of household survey and remotely-sensed data. *World Development*, 28(6), 983-999.
- Mertler, A. C., & Vannatta, R. A. (2005). *Advanced and multivariate statistical methods: Practical application and interpretation*. (Pyrzczak, Publishing, California, USA).
- MOSTE. (2000). Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment. Malaysia Initial National Communication. United Nation Framework Convention on Climate Change.
- Miththapala, S. (2008). Mangroves. Coastal Ecosystems Series Vol. 2. Colombo Sri Lanka: Ecosystems and Livelihoods Group Asia IUCN, pp. 28.
- Miyamoto, M. (2007). 18 Road Construction and Population Effects on Forest Conversion in Rubber Villages in Sumatra, Indonesia. In Y. C. Dubé and F. Schmithüsen (Eds.), *Cross-Sectoral Policy Developments in Forestry* (pp. 140-146). CABI Publishers Oxfordshire, UK.
- Miyamoto, M., Mohd Parid, M., Noor Aini, Z., & Michinaka, T. (2013, February). Socio-economic analysis of forest area change in Peninsular Malaysia. In *Workshop on REDD+ Research Project in Peninsular Malaysia February* (Vol. 4, No. 2013, pp. 92-100).
- Moberg, F., & Rönnbäck, P. (2003). Ecosystem services of the tropical seascape: interactions, substitutions and restoration. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 46(1), 27-46.
- Mohd Hasmadi, I., Pakhriazad, H. Z., & Kamaruzaman, J. (2008). Mangrove canopy density of Sungai Merbok Forest Reserve, Kedah from Landsat TM. *Malaysian Forester*, 71(1), 67-74.
- Mohd Hasmadi, I., Pakhriazad, H. Z., & Shahrin, M. F. (2009). Evaluating supervised and unsupervised techniques for land cover mapping using remote sensing data. *Geografia: Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 5(1), 1-10.

- Mohd Hasmadi, I., Pakhriazad, H.Z., & Norlida, K. (2011). Remote sensing for mapping RAMSAR heritage site at Sungai Pulai mangrove forest reserve, Johor, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*, 40(2), 83-88.
- Mubarak, H. T., & Azian, M. (2012). Mangrove Ecosystem. In O. Hamdan, H. K. Aziz, I. Shamsudin, & R. S. Raja Barizan (Eds.), *Status of Mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia*, (pp. 11-25). Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- MuiHow, P., Wong, W., MeaHow, G., Kamlun, K. U., Kodoh, J., Teo, S., ... & Tsuyuki, S. (2014). Deforestation, forest degradation and readiness of local people of Lubuk Antu, Sarawak for REDD+. *Sains Malaysiana*, 43(10), 1461-1470.
- Muttitanon, W., & Tripathi, N. K. (2005). Land use/land cover changes in the coastal zone of Ban Don Bay, Thailand using Landsat 5 TM data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26(11), 2311-2323.
- Nayak, S., & Bahuguna, A. (2001). Application of remote sensing data to monitor mangroves and other coastal vegetation of India. *Indian Journal of Marine Sciences*. Vol.30(4): pp. 195-213.
- Nguyen, H. H., McAlpine, C., Pullar, D., Johansen, K., & Duke, N. C. (2013). The relationship of spatial-temporal changes in fringe mangrove extent and adjacent land-use: Case study of Kien Giang coast, Vietnam. *Ocean & coastal management*, 76, 12-22.
- Nobre, A. M. (2011). Scientific approaches to address challenges in coastal management. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 434, 279-289.
- Norhayati, A., Nizam, M.S., Juliana, W.A., Shukor, M.N., Fera Fizani, A.F., Hafizah, S., Sariah, H., Jamiliah, J., Intan Nordira, A.K., & Latiff, A. (2005). Comparison of mangrove tree species composition at selected locations in Peninsular Malaysia. In M. I. Shaharuddin, M. Azahar, U. Razani, A. B. Kamaruzaman, K. L. Lim, R. Suhaili, M. S. Jalil, & A. Latiff (Eds.), *Forest Biodiversity Series 4 SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT OF MATANG MANGROVES: 100 YEARS AND BEYOND*, (pp. 253-263). Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia.
- Nunnally, J. (1978). Psychometric theory. *Aufgabe, New York ua: Mc Graw-Hill*.
- Nyariki, D. M. (2009). Household data collection for socio-Economic research in agriculture: approaches and challenges in developing countries. *J Soc Sci*, 19(2), 91-99.
- Nzunda, N. G., Munishi, P. K. T., Soka, G. E., & Monjare, J. F. (2013). Influence of socio-economic factors on land use and vegetation cover changes in and around Kagoma forest reserve in Tanzania. *Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management*, 6(5), 480-488.

- Ocampo-Thomason, P. (2006). Mangroves, people and cockles: Impacts of the shrimp-farming industry on mangrove communities in Esmeraldas Province, Ecuador. In: Environment and Livelihoods in Tropical Coastal Zones (eds C. T. Hoanh, T. P. Tuong, J.W. Gowing and B. Hardy), CAB International, pp 140- 153.
- OECD. (1993). OECD core set of indicators for environmental performance reviews, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Environment Monographs No. 83, OECD, Paris.
- Olaniyi, A. O., Abdullah, A. M., Firuz, M. R., & Alias, M. S. (2012). Assessment of drivers of coastal land use change in Malaysia. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 67, 113-123.
- Olaniyi, A. O., Abdullah, A. M., Firuz, M. R., & Alias, M. S. (2011). Assessing the effects of socio-economic factors on agricultural land use in Malaysia. *Elixir Agriculture*, 37, 3790-3797.
- Othman, J., Mastura, S. S., & Alias, M. S. (2009). Land use and deforestation modelling of river catchments in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*, 38(5), 655-664.
- Othman, M. A. (1994). Value of mangroves in coastal protection. In *Ecology and Conservation of Southeast Asian Marine and Freshwater Environments including Wetlands* (pp. 277-282). Springer Netherlands.
- Overmars, K. P., & Verburg, P. H. (2005). Analysis of land use drivers at the watershed and household level: Linking two paradigms at the Philippine forest fringe. *International Journal of Geographical information science*, 19(2), 125-152.
- Pallant, J. (2013). SPSS SURVIVAL MANUAL: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS. 5th edition. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Peijun, D. U., Xingli, L. I., Wen, C. A. O., Yan, L. U. O., & Zhang, H. (2010). Monitoring urban land cover and vegetation change by multi-temporal remote sensing information. *Mining Science and Technology (China)*, 20(6), 922-932.
- Peña, J., Bonet, A., Bellot, J., Sánchez, J. R., Eisenhuth, D., Hallett, S., & Aledo, A. (2007). Driving forces of land-use change in a cultural landscape of Spain. In E. Koomen, J. Stillwell, A. Bakema, & H. J. Scholten (Eds.), *Modelling Land-Use change Progress and Application*, (pp.97-115). Springer.
- Phillips, O. L., Malhi, Y., Higuchi, N., Laurance, W. F., Núñez, P. V., Vásquez, R. M., ... & Grace, J. (1998). Changes in the carbon balance of tropical forests: evidence from long-term plots. *Science*, 282(5388), 439-442.
- Powell, S. L., Pflugmacher, D., Kirschbaum, A. A., Kim, Y., & Cohen, W. B. (2007). Moderate resolution remote sensing alternatives: a review of Landsat-like sensors and their applications. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 1(1), 012506-012506.

- Primavera, J. H. (1998). Mangroves as nurseries: shrimp populations in mangrove and non-mangrove habitats. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 46(3), 457-464.
- Primavera, J. H. (1995). Mangroves and brackishwater pond culture in the Philippines. In *Asia-Pacific Symposium on Mangrove Ecosystems* (pp. 303-309). Springer Netherlands.
- Primavera, J. H. (2000). Development and conservation of Philippine mangroves: institutional issues. *Ecological Economics*, 35(1), 91-106.
- Rahman, M. A. A., & Asmawi, M. Z. (2016). Local residents' awareness towards the issue of mangrove degradation in Kuala Selangor, Malaysia. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 222, 659-667.
- Raja Barizan, R.S. & Shamsudin, I. (2012). Research and Development on Mangrove Rehabilitation. In O. Hamdan, H. K. Aziz, I. Shamsudin, & R. S. Raja Barizan (Eds.), *Status of Mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia*, (pp. 121-132). Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Ramachandran, S. (1993). Coastal Zone Information System—Pilot project for Rameswaram area. *Report submitted to Department of Ocean Development, Government of India*, 40.
- Ramachandran, S., Krishnamoorthy, R., Sundramoorthy, S., Parviz, Z. F., Kalyanamuthiah, A., & Dharanirajan, K. (1997). Management of Coastal Environments in Tamilnadu and Andaman & Nicobar Islands based on Remote Sensing and GIS approach. MAEER'S MIT. *Pune Journal*, IV (15 & 16), *Special issue on Coastal Environmental Management*, 129-140.
- Ramachandran, S., Sundramoorthy, S., Krishnamoorthy, R., Devasenapathy, J. & Thanikachalam, M. (1998). Application of Remote Sensing and GIS to Coastal Wetland Ecology of Tamilnadu and Andaman & Nicobar group of Islands with special reference to Mangroves. *Current Science*, 75(3), 101-109.
- Ramakrishna, S., Murugadas, T. L., & Sim, C. H. (2001) Ecological Assessment of Sungai Pulai Mangrove Forest Reserve and Proposed Tanjung Piai State Park, Johor: To Determine Their Status as Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Site). Wetlands International.
- Ranganath, B. K., Dutt, C. B. S., & Manikiam, B. (1989). Digital Mapping of Mangroves in Middle Andamans of India. *Proceedings of the 6th Symposium on Coastal and Ocean Management*. In *Coastal Zone'89*: (pp. 741-750). ASCE.
- Rasolofoharinoro, M., Blasco, F., Bellan, M. F., Aizpuru, M., Gauquelin, T., & Denis, J. (1998). A remote sensing based methodology for mangrove studies in Madagascar. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 19(10), 1873-1886.
- Razak Mohd. (2009). Human vulnerability towards socioeconomic development: A case study in Kg Pendas Laut and Kg Tebing Runtuh in the District of Gelang patah, Johor. *Prosiding Perkem IV*, Jilid 2, 513-520.

- Rebelo, L. M., Finlayson, C. M., & Nagabhatla, N. (2009). Remote sensing and GIS for wetland inventory, mapping and change analysis. *Journal of environmental management*, 90(7), 2144-2153.
- Reis, E. J., & Guzman, R. (1992). An econometric model of Amazon deforestation, IPEA. *Rio de Janeiro*.
- Rindfuss, R. R., Entwisle, B., Walsh, S. J., An, L., Badenoch, N., Brown, D. G., ... & Gutmann, M. (2008). Land use change: complexity and comparisons. *Journal of land use science*, 3(1), 1-10.
- Rindfuss, R. R., Walsh, S. J., Mishra, V., Fox, J., Dolcemascolo, G. P. (2004). Linking household and remotely sensed data, methodological and practical problems. In: J. Fox, R. R. Rindfuss, S. J. Walsh, V. Mishra,. (Eds.), *People and the environment*, (pp 1-31). Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston.
- Rönnbäck, P., Crona, B., & Ingwall, L. (2007). The return of ecosystem goods and services in replanted mangrove forests: perspectives from local communities in Kenya. *Environmental Conservation*, 34(04), 313-324.
- Rönnbäck, P., Troell, M., Kautsky, N., & Primavera, J. H. (1999). Distribution Pattern of Shrimps and Fish Among Avicennia and Rhizophora Microhabitats in the Pagbilao Mangroves, Philippines. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 48(2), 223-234.
- Rönnbäck, P., Macia, A., Almqvist, G., Schultz, L., & Troell, M. (2002). Do penaeid shrimps have a preference for mangrove habitats? Distribution pattern analysis on Inhaca Island, Mozambique. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 55(3), 427-436.
- Roy, S. D., & Krishnan, P. (2005). Mangrove stands of Andamans vis-à-vis tsunami. *CURRENT SCIENCE-BANGALORE*-, 89(11), 1800-1084.
- Rylands, A. B., & Brandon, K. (2005). Brazilian protected areas. *Conservation biology*, 19(3), 612-618.
- Saenger, P., Hegerl, E. J., & Davie, J. D. S. (1983). Global status of mangrove ecosystems. Commission on Ecology Papers, no. 3. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, Gland, Switzerland. *The Environmentalist*, 3.
- Saito, H., Bellan, M. F., Al-Habshi, A., Aizpuru, M., & Blasco, F. (2003). Mangrove research and coastal ecosystem studies with SPOT-4 HRVIR and TERRA ASTER in the Arabian Gulf. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 24(21), 4073-4092.
- Samat, N., Ghazali, S., Hasni, R., & Elhadary, Y. (2014). Urban Expansion and its Impact on Local Communities: A Case Study of Seberang Perai, Penang, Malaysia. *Pertanika J. Soc. Sci. & Hum.* 22(2): 349-367.

- Santosh. P.B. (2003). Detecting and Characterizing Selective logging in Tropical Forest. MSc. Thesis. Enschede, ITC.
- Sasekumar, A., Leh, C. M. U., Chong, V. C., Rebecca, D., & Audery, M. L. (1989, November). The Sungai Pulai (Johor): a unique mangrove estuary. In *Proceedings of the 12th Annual Seminar in Research Priorities for Marine Sciences in the 90's* (pp. 191-211).
- Sathirathai, S., & Barbier, E. B. (2001). Valuing mangrove conservation in southern Thailand. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 19(2), 109-122.
- Schlauderer, R. (1997). Socio-economics of the Introduction of Alley Cropping in Traditional Farming, Farming Systems and Resource Economics in the Tropics (edt. W. Doppler), Vol. 29. *Vauk-Verlag, Kiel*.
- Seto, K. C., & Fragkias, M. (2007). Mangrove conversion and aquaculture development in Vietnam: A remote sensing-based approach for evaluating the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands. *Global Environmental Change*, 17(3), 486-500.
- Shah, K. U., Dulal, H. B., Johnson, C., & Baptiste, A. (2013). Understanding livelihood vulnerability to climate change: Applying the livelihood vulnerability index in Trinidad and Tobago. *GeoForum*, 47, 125-137.
- Singh, A. (1989). Review article digital change detection techniques using remotely-sensed data. *International journal of remote sensing*, 10(6), 989-1003.
- Singh, R. B., & Singh, S. (2014). Human-Induced Biome and Livelihood Security. In *Livelihood Security in Northwestern Himalaya* (Eds.), R. B. Singh & R. Hietala), Springer Japan, pp53-66.
- SJFMP. (1996-2005). State of Johor Forest Management Plan. Rancangan Pengurusan Hutan Negeri Johor (1996-2005), Jabatan Perhutanan Negeri Johor, Aras 2, Bangunan Sultan Ibrahim, Jalan Bukit Timbalan, 80000 Johor Bahru.
- SJFMP. (2006-2015). Summary of the State of Johor Forest Management Plan for the period between 2006-2015. State of Johor Forest Management Plan.
- Solomon, S. (Ed.). (2007). *Climate change 2007-the physical science basis: Working group I contribution to the fourth assessment report of the IPCC* (Vol. 4). Cambridge University Press.
- Sonya, S., Brady, M. S., & Karen, A. M. (2001). The influence of socioeconomic status and ethnicity on adolescent's exposure to stressful life events. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 27(7), 575-583.
- Spalding, M., Kainuma, M., & Collins, L. (2010). World atlas of mangroves. A collaborative project of ITTO, ISME, FAO, UNEP-WCMC. *Earthscan*. UK. pp319.

- Stone, R. (2006). A rescue effort for tsunami-ravaged mangrove forests. *Science*, 314(5798), 404-404.
- Suhaili, R. (2012). Management of Mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia. In O. Hamdan, H. K. Aziz, I. Shamsudin, & R. S. Raja Barizan (Eds.), *Status of Mangroves in Peninsular Malaysia*, (pp. 49-57). Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Sujakhu, N. M., Ranjitkar, S., Niraula, R. R., Pokharel, B. K., Schmidt-Vogt, D., & Xu, J. (2016). Farmers' Perceptions of and Adaptations to Changing Climate in the Melamchi Valley of Nepal. *Mountain Research and Development*, 36(1), 15-30.
- Sydenstricker-Neto, J. (2012). Population and deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon: a mediating perspective and a mixed-method analysis. *Population and Environment*, 34(1), 86-112.
- Szantoi, Z., Escobedo, F., Wagner, J., Rodriguez, J. M., & Smith, S. (2012). Socioeconomic factors and urban tree cover policies in a subtropical urban forest. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, 49(3), 428-449.
- Tan, K. S., Hisham, N., Ghazali, M., Ong, H. L., & Mohd Zulkefli, A. (2007). Geotextile tubes for protection of mangrove coast in Malaysia.
- Tanrivermis, H. (2003). Agricultural land use change and sustainable use of land resources in the Mediterranean region of Turkey. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 54(3), 553-564.
- Taubenböck, H., Wurm, M., Setiadi, N., Gebert, N., Roth, A., Strunz, G., ... & Dech, S. (2009, May). Integrating remote sensing and social science. The correlation of urban morphology with socioeconomic parameters. 2009 Urban Remote Sensing Joint Event. *IEEE*. 978-1-4244-3461-9/09. pp. 1-7.
- Thampanya, U., Vermaat, J. E., Sinsakul, S., & Panapitukkul, N. (2006). Coastal erosion and mangrove progradation of Southern Thailand. *Estuarine, coastal and shelf science*, 68(1), 75-85.
- Thomson, C. N., & Hardin, P. (2000). Remote sensing/GIS integration to identify potential low-income housing sites. *Cities*, 17(2), 97-109.
- Thongmanivong, S. (1999, October). Land Use Changes in the Upper Ca River Basin, Xiangkhuang Provinces, Lao PDR 1995-97: Effects of Roads and Rivers. In *Proceedings of a Workshop supported by GTZ Sustainable Management of Resources in the Lower Mekong Basin Project on the Application of Resource Information Technologies in Forest Land and Resources Management*, October 18 - 20, 1999 Hanoi, Vietnam.
- Tian, L., Chen, J., & Yu, S. (2013). How has Shenzhen been heated up during the rapid urban build-up process?. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 115, 18-29.

- Tong, P. H. S., Auda, Y., Populus, J., Aizpuru, M., Habshi, A. A., & Blasco, F. (2004). Assessment from space of mangroves evolution in the Mekong Delta, in relation to extensive shrimp farming. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 25(21), 4795-4812.
- Twilley, R. R., Chen, R. H., & Hargis, T. (1992). Carbon sinks in mangroves and their implications to carbon budget of tropical coastal ecosystems. In *Natural Sinks of CO₂* (pp. 265-288). Springer Netherlands.
- Turner, B., & Meyer, W. B. (1991). Land use and land cover in global environmental change: Considerations for study. *International Social Science Journal*, 43(130), 669-679.
- Ukwetang, J. O., Neji, H. A., & Onuoha, C. (2013). Assessing Indigenous Communities Socio-Economic Status as Catalyst for Forest Resources Conservation in Akamkpa Local Government Area, Nigeria. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 4(13), 67-71.
- UNFCCC. (2007). Investment and financial flows to address climate change. pp272, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
- Upadhyay, V. P., Ranjan, R., & Singh, J. S. (2002). Human-mangrove conflicts: The way out. *Current Science*, 83(11), 1328-1336.
- Valiela, I., Bowen, J. L., & York, J. K. (2001). Mangrove Forests: One of the World's Threatened Major Tropical Environments. *Bioscience*, 51(10), 807-815.
- Vance, D. J., Haywood, M. D. E., Heales, D. S., Kenyon, R. A., Loneragan, N. R., & Pendrey, R. C. (1996). How far do prawns and fish move into mangroves? Distribution of juvenile banana prawns *Penaeus merguensis* and fish in a tropical mangrove forest in northern Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 131, 115-124.
- Vedwan, N., & Rhoades, R. E. (2001). Climate change in the Western Himalayas of India: a study of local perception and response. *Climate research*, 19(2), 109-117.
- Veldkamp, E., Weitz, A. M., Staritsky, I. G., & Huising, E. J. (1992). Deforestation trends in the Atlantic Zone of Costa Rica: a case study. *Land Degradation & Development*, 3(2), 71-84.
- Verburg, P. H., Schulp, C. J. E., Witte, N., & Veldkamp, A. (2006). Downscaling of land use change scenarios to assess the dynamics of European landscapes. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 114(1), 39-56.
- Vermaat, J. E., & Thampanya, U. (2006). Mangroves mitigate tsunami damage: A further response. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 69(1), 1-3.
- Vo, Q. T., Oppelt, N., Leinenkugel, P., & Kuenzer, C. (2013). Remote sensing in mapping mangrove ecosystems—An object-based approach. *Remote Sensing*, 5(1), 183-201.

- Walters, B. B. (2005). Patterns of local wood use and cutting of Philippine mangrove forests. *Economic Botany*, 59(1), 66-76.
- Walters, B. B. (2003). People and mangroves in the Philippines: fifty years of coastal environmental change. *Environmental conservation*, 30(03), 293-303.
- Walters, B. B. (2004). Local management of mangrove forests in the Philippines: successful conservation or efficient resource exploitation? *Human Ecology*, 32(2), 177-195.
- Walters, B. B. (2006). Mangrove forests and environmental security. In: J. I. Innes, I. K. Edwards, D. J. Wilford, (Eds.), *Forests in the balance: linking tradition and technology*. Abstracts, XXII IUFRO World Congress, Brisbane, Australia, August 8–13, 2005. *Int. For. Rev.* 7(5), 290.
- Walters, B. B., Rönnbäck, P., Kovacs, J. M., Crona, B., Hussain, S. A., Badola, R., ... & Dahdouh-Guebas, F. (2008). Ethnobiology, socio-economics and management of mangrove forests: a review. *Aquatic Botany*, 89(2), 220-236.
- Wan Izatul, A.W.T., Norhayati, M. T., & Mohd Lokman, H. (2012). The existing legislative, administrative and policy framework for the mangrove biodiversity management & conservation in Malaysia. *Journal of Politics and Law*, 5(1), 180-188.
- Wang, J. & Ji, W. (2012). Spatial-temporal pattern analysis of land use change in Dehua county of China from remote sensing images. National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 41101543/D011103), 978-1-4673-0875-5/12/\$31.00©2012 IEEE.
- Wang, L., Sousa, W. P., & Gong, P. (2004a). Integration of object-based and pixel-based classification for mapping mangroves with IKONOS imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 25(24), 5655-5668.
- Wang, L., Sousa, W. P., Gong, P., & Biging, G. S. (2004b). Comparison of IKONOS and QuickBird images for mapping mangrove species on the Caribbean coast of Panama. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 91(3), 432-440.
- Wang, Q. & Otsubo, K. 2001. Relationship between Agricultural Land Use Change and Socioeconomic Factors in North-East China in Recent Decades. In Land Use and Cover Change, R. B. Singh, J. Fox and Y. Himiyama (eds.), Science Publishers Inc. Enfield (NH), USA.
- Wang, Y., Bonyne, G., Nugranad, J., Traber, M., Ngusaru, A., Tobey, J., ... & Makota, V. (2003). Remote sensing of mangrove change along the Tanzania coast. *Marine Geodesy*, 26(1-2), 35-48.
- Watmough, G. R., Atkinson, P. M., & Hutton, C. W. (2013). Predicting socioeconomic conditions from satellite sensor data in rural developing countries: A case study using female literacy in Assam, India. *Applied Geography*, 44, 192-200.

- Webb, E. L., Evangelista, M. A., & Robinson, J. A. (2000). Digital land-use classification using space-shuttle-acquired orbital photographs: a quantitative comparison with Landsat TM imagery of a coastal environment, Chanthaburi, Thailand. *Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing*, 66(12), 1439-1449.
- Wells, S., & Kapos, V. (2006). Coral reefs and mangroves: implications from the tsunami one year on. *Oryx*, 40, 123-124.
- Wells, S., & Ravilious, C. (2006). *In the front line: shoreline protection and other ecosystem services from mangroves and coral reefs* (No. 24). UNEP/Earthprint. Cambridge, UK, pp33.
- Weng, Q. (2002). Land use change analysis in the Zhujiang Delta of China using satellite remote sensing, GIS and stochastic modeling. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 64, 273-284.
- Wilkie, M. L., & Fortuna, S. (2003). Status and trends in mangrove area extent worldwide. *Forest Resources Assessment Programme. Working Paper No. 63*. FAO, Rome.
- Williams, N. (2005). Tsunami insight to mangrove value. *Current Biology*, 15(3), R73-R173.
- Withana, N. R. P., & Auch, E. (2014). Perceptions of Climate Change Risk to Forest Ecosystems: A Case Study of Patale Community Forestry User Group, Nepal. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, International Journal of Environmental, Chemical, Ecological, Geological and Geophysical Engineering*, 8(8), 599-606.
- Wu, J. (2008). Land use changes: economic, social, and environmental impacts. *Agricultural & Applied Economics Association is maintained*, 23(4).
- Xu, H., Wang, X., & Xiao, G. (2000). A remote sensing and GIS integrated study on urbanization with its impact on arable lands: Fuqing City, Fujian Province, China. *Land Degradation & Development*, 11(4), 301-314.
- Xu, J., & Grumbine, R. E. (2014). Integrating local hybrid knowledge and state support for climate change adaptation in the Asian Highlands. *Climatic change*, 124(1-2), 93-104.
- Xu, J., Grumbine, R. E., Shrestha, A., Eriksson, M., Yang, X., Wang, Y. U. N., & Wilkes, A. (2009). The melting Himalayas: cascading effects of climate change on water, biodiversity, and livelihoods. *Conservation Biology*, 23(3), 520-530.
- Yamane, T. (1967). *Elementary Sampling Theory*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc., pp. x-405.
- Yong, C. (2014). Deforestation Drivers and Human Rights in Malaysia a national overview and two sub-regional case studies. *A Report of International*

workshop on Deforestation Drivers and the Rights of Forest Peoples, held in Palangka Raya, Indonesia, 9-14 March, Forest Peoples Program. SACCESS and JKOASM.

Zaremohzzabieh, Z., Samah, B. A., Omar, S. Z., Bolong, J., & Shaffril, H. A. M. (2014). Fisherman's acceptance of information and communication technology integration in Malaysia: Exploring the moderating effect of age and experience. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 14(9), 873.

Ziauddin, A. L. & Siti Aishah, H. (2010). Integrated shoreline Management Plan-ISMP. Pp 51-64 in Abd Rahman A.R. *et al.* (Eds) *Proceedings Seminar Kebangsaan Pemuliharaan Hutan Pesisiran Pantai Negara 2009: Memulihara Pesisiran Pantai Bersama Masyarakat*. 1-2 Disember 2009, Kuching, Sarawak.

