



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***PREFERENCES FOR TIMBER SPECIES AMONG VALUE-ADDED WOOD  
PRODUCT MANUFACTURERS IN MALAYSIA***

**LIM CHOON LIAT**

**FH 2017 8**



**PREFERENCES FOR TIMBER SPECIES AMONG VALUE-ADDED  
WOOD PRODUCT MANUFACTURERS IN MALAYSIA**

By

**LIM CHOON LIAT**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**September 2017**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment  
of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

**PREFERENCES FOR TIMBER SPECIES AMONG VALUE-ADDED  
WOOD PRODUCT MANUFACTURERS IN MALAYSIA**

By

**LIM CHOON LIAT**

**September 2017**

**Chairman : Professor Jegatheswaran Ratnasingam, PhD**  
**Faculty : Forestry**

In the recent past, value-added wood products manufacturers in Malaysia have been increasingly using important wood materials to supplement the local resources. Although previously thought that the reducing supply and increasing cost of local wood resources is leading to increasing wood imports, these arguments have remained unsubstantiated. In fact, research investigating the attributes of sawn timber that influence its usage by the wood based manufacturers in Malaysia have been limited and unreported. Therefore, the objective of the study was to assess the attributes of sawn timber species for door, flooring and furniture manufacturers in Malaysia. Apart from identifying the success factors of the preferred species for particular wood products manufacturing, the study would also identify the general attributes that predetermines the success of the wood species selected in the manufacture of the three value-added wood products. The attributes explored were: cost, market/buyer preference, aesthetic quality, working properties, supply/availability, environmental friendly, product specification, durability, strength and hardness, and price premium for finished good. It is apparent that sawn timber attributes are important for many types of value-added product manufacturers in term of market opportunities and consumer preference. A questionnaire based survey was carried out in 2015 on 30 value-added manufacturer, in which there were ten manufacturers from each wood product category: door, floor and furniture. From each product category, 5 of the manufacturers relied on imported wood species, while the other 5 manufacturers used predominantly local wood species in their production. Such differentiation allowed for a constructive evaluation of the preferential usage of wood species in the various wood products categories. The respondents chosen were all export-oriented large-sized manufacturers, who had consented to participate in the study, located throughout Peninsular Malaysia. The study evaluated three aspects: the choice of sawn timber species for a particular types of wood products, the source of origin of sawn timber and the attributes of sawn timber that are perceived to be important in determining the choice of sawn timber species for a particular product . The result from the evaluation showed that the common local sawn timber species include Rubberwood (*Hevea*

*brasiliensis*), Meranti (*Shorea spp.*), Merpauh (*Swinntonia spp.*), Merbau (*Intsia spp.*) and Kempas (*Koompassia malaccensis*) while, the imported timber species preferred by the value-added wood products manufacturers were White Poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), Oak (*Quercus spp.*), Cherry (*Prunus spp.*) and Pine (*Pinus spp.*). The factor analysis on the ten attributes of sawn timber that affected its preferential usage were further simplified into 3 main groups: i) sawn timber properties ii) raw material sustainability and iii) consumer preference. Group 1 include variables such as working properties, aesthetic quality, durability and strength and hardness; Group 2 include variables such as environmental friendliness, supply/availability and price premium for finished good. Group 3 include variable such as product specification, cost and market preference. Although the choice of wood species used for particular application may differ, the general attributes that predetermines the wood species preferences for the manufacture of particular value-added wood products could be generalized. In this context, the results indicated that the cost, supply/availability, and market/buyer preference were among the most important factors influencing the selection of sawn timber species for the manufacturer of value-added wood products. This would seem to indicate that the wood products manufacturers appeared to emphasize on price stability, reliability of supply and preference of market/buyer. In other words, these manufacturers could not, or would not accept rapid price fluctuation, as considerations, in selecting their choice of sawn timber species. The results of the study has a far reaching implication on ensuring raw materials sustainability to ensure the continuous growth of the wood products industry in the country. As demonstrated in this study, wood species preferences are important criterion that must be taken into consideration on the wood raw materials supply management in the country.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**KEUTAMAAN UNTUK SPECIES KAYU Di KALANGAN PENGILANG  
KERJA KAYU TAMBAH NILAI Di MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**LIM CHOON LIAT**

**September 2017**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Jegatheswaran Ratnasingam, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Perhutanan**

Pada ketika ini, pengilang produk kayu nilai tambah di Malaysia semakin meningkatkan penggunaan bahan-bahan kayu import untuk menampul sumber-sumber tempatan. Walaupun sebelum ini ada pendapat bahawa pengurangan bekalan dan peningkatan kos sumber kayu tempatan yang membawa kepada peningkatan import kayu, hujah-hujah ini kekal tidak berasas. Malah, penyelidikan menyiasat sifat-sifat kayu gergaji yang mempengaruhi penggunaannya oleh pengilang kayu yang berpangkalan di Malaysia adalah terhad dan tidak dilaporkan. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menilai sifat-sifat spesies kayu bergergaji yang di guna pakai oleh pengilang pintu, lantai dan perabot di Malaysia. Selain dari mengenal pasti faktor-faktor kejayaan spesies pilihan oleh pengilang produk kayu tertentu, kajian itu juga akan dapat mengenal pasti sifat-sifat umum yang bakal menentukan kejayaan spesies kayu yang dipilih dalam pengilangan tiga produk kayu bernilai tambah. Sifat-sifat yang diterokai ialah: kos, keutamaan pasaran / pembeli, kualiti estetik, sifat kerja, pembekalan / ketersediaan, mesra alam, spesifikasi produk, ketahanan, kekuatan dan kekerasan, dan premium harga untuk barangan siap. Ia adalah jelas bahawa sifat-sifat kayu gergaji adalah penting untuk pelbagai jenis pengeluar produk nilai tambah dari segi peluang pasaran dan pilihan pengguna. Satu kajian berdasarkan soal selidik telah dijalankan pada tahun 2015 ke atas 30 pengilang nilai tambah, di mana terdapat sepuluh pengilang dari setiap kategori produk kayu: pintu, lantai dan perabot. Dari setiap kategori produk, 5 pengilang bergantung kepada spesies kayu yang di import, manakala 5 pengilang yang lain kebanyakannya menggunakan spesies kayu tempatan dalam pengeluaran mereka. Pembezaan sedemikian membenarkan penilaian yang membina mengenai keutamaan penggunaan spesies kayu dalam pelbagai kategori produk kayu. Responden yang dipilih ialah semua pengilang bersaiz besar berorientasikan eksport dari seluruh Semenanjung Malaysia, yang telah bersetuju untuk mengambil bahagian dalam kajian ini. Kajian ini menilai tiga aspek: pilihan spesies kayu bergergaji untuk sesuatu jenis produk kayu, sumber asal kayu bergergaji dan sifat-sifat kayu bergergaji yang di anggap penting dalam menentukan pilihan

spesies kayu bergergaji oleh produk tertentu. Hasil daripada penilaian ini menunjukkan bahawa spesies kayu gergaji tempatan biasa termasuk Kayu Getah (*Hevea brasiliensis*), Meranti (*Shorea spp.*), Merpauh (*Swinntonia spp.*), Merbau (*Intsia spp.*) dan Kempas (*Koompassia malaccensis*) manakala spesies pilihan kayu yang di import oleh pengilang produk kayu bernilai tambah adalah White Poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), Oak (*Quercus spp.*), Cherry (*Prunus spp.*) dan Pine (*Pinus spp.*). Analisis faktor pada sepuluh sifat-sifat kayu bergergaji yang menjejaskan keutamaan penggunaan telah dipermudahkan lagi kepada 3 kumpulan utama: i) sifat-sifat kayu bergergaji ii) kelestarian bahan mentah dan iii) keutamaan pengguna. Kumpulan pertama termasuk pembolehubah seperti ciri-ciri kerja, kualiti estetik, ketahanan serta kekuatan dan kekerasan; Kumpulan dua termasuk pembolehubah seperti keramahan mesra alam sekitar, bekalan / ketersediaan dan harga premium untuk barangan siap. Kumpulan ketiga termasuk pembolehubah seperti spesifikasi produk, kos dan pilihan pasaran. Walaupun pilihan spesies kayu yang digunakan untuk aplikasi tertentu mungkin berbeza, sifat-sifat umum yang menentukan terlebih dahulu spesies kayu pilihan untuk pengeluaran produk kayu bernilai tambah tertentu boleh diumumkan. Dalam konteks ini, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kos, bekalan / ketersediaan, dan pasaran / keutamaan pembeli adalah antara faktor yang paling penting yang mempengaruhi pemilihan spesies kayu gergaji oleh pengilang produk kayu bernilai tambah. Ini seolah-olah menunjukkan bahawa keutamaan pengilang produk kayu adalah memberi penekanan kepada kestabilan harga, ketersediaan bekalan dan pilihan pasaran / pembeli. Dalam erti kata lain, pengilang-pengilang ini tidak boleh, atau tidak akan menerima turun naik atau perubahan harga yang mendadak, sebagai pertimbangan mereka dalam pemilihan spesies kayu gergaji. Hasil kajian ini mempunyai implikasi yang meluas di dalam usaha untuk memastikan kelestarian bekalan bahan-bahan mentah dalam usaha untuk meningkatkan pertumbuhan industri produk kayu yang berterusan di negara ini. Seperti yang ditunjukkan dalam kajian ini, keutamaan spesies kayu adalah kriteria penting yang perlu diambil kira dalam pengurusan bekalan kayu mentah di negara ini.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor Professor Dr. Jegathewaran Ratnasingam, for his guidance, sage advice, insightful criticism, patience, encouragement and support throughout this study. To my committee members Associate Professor Dr. Shukri Mohamed for his continuous encouragement and valuable advice and guidance throughout this research. I am really very grateful and thankful to them.

To my beloved father, late Lim Guan Foo and beloved mum, Lau Hong Mo, who had been source of encouragement and inspiration to me throughout my life.

To Alice, who has been very supportive, thank you for your practical and emotional support. I dedicate this work and give special thanks to my wonderful children, Dexter, Megan and Jordan for being there for me throughout the entire master program.

I would also like to dedicate to my friends, colleagues, especially those from Malaysian Timber Industry Board, Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Forest Department Peninsular Malaysia, Sarawak Timber Industry Development Corporation, Malaysia Timber Certification Council, Forest Department Sabah for extending great assistance in making reference materials available for the thesis writing.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 13 September 2017 to conduct the final examination of Lim Choon Liat on his thesis entitled "Preferences for Timber Species among Value-Added Wood Product Manufacturers in Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Manohar a/l Mariapan, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Rasmina binti Halis, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Jamaludin Kasim, PhD**

Professor  
Universiti Teknologi MARA  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)



---

**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 30 November 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Jegathewaran Ratnasingam, PhD**

Professor

Faculty of Forestry

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

**Shukri Mohamed, PhD**

Associate Professor

Faculty of Forestry

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or n electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the universiti putra malaysia (research) rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No: Lim Choon Liat, GS36371

## Declaration by member of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Chairman  
Of Supervisory  
Committee

Professor Jegathewaran Ratnasingam

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member  
Of Supervisory  
Committee

Associate Professor Shukri Mohamed

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Objective	3
1.4 Scope of study	3
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Review of the Malaysian timber industries	4
2.1.1 Overview of the Malaysian timber sector	4
2.1.2 The Sub-Sectors and value chain in the timber industry	5
2.2 Development phase of the industry	7
2.2.1 Historical background of the Malaysian timber sector	7
2.2.2 Developmental phases of the Malaysian timber sector	7
2.2.3 The National Timber Policy (NATIP)	8
2.3 Sectoral performance	9
2.3.1 Export performance of the Malaysian timber sector 2000-2014	9
2.3.2 Performance of the saw log sector	11
2.3.3 Performance of the sawn timber sector	12
2.3.3.1 Export of sawn timber by major species	13
2.3.3.2 Import of sawn timber by major species	13
2.3.4 Performance of the veneer and plywood sector	14
2.3.5 Performance of the particleboard sector	15
2.3.5.1 Production of particleboard	15
2.3.5.2 Export of particleboard	15
2.3.5.3 Import of particleboard	16
2.3.6 Performance of the medium density fibreboard (MDF) sector	16
2.3.6.1 Production of medium density fibreboard	17
2.3.6.2 Export of medium density fibreboard	17
2.3.6.3 Import of medium density fibreboard	19
2.3.7 Performance of the mouldings and builders', joinery and carpentry (BJC) sector	19
2.3.7.1 Production of the mouldings and builders', joinery and carpentry (BJC) sector	19

2.3.7.2	Export of the mouldings and builders', joinery and carpentry (BJC) sector	20
2.3.7.3	Import of the mouldings and builders', joinery and carpentry (BJC) sector	22
2.3.8	Performance of the Furniture Sector	23
2.3.8.1	Production of furniture	23
2.3.8.2	Export of furniture	24
2.3.8.3	Import of furniture	26
2.4	Capacity build-up and utilization	27
2.4.1	Industrial structure and capacity	27
2.4.2	The sawmill sector	28
2.4.3	The plywood/veneer sector	30
2.4.4	The particleboard sector	32
2.4.5	The medium density fibreboard sector	32
2.4.6	The furniture sector	33
2.5	Challenges to raw material supply	34
2.5.1	Introduction	34
2.5.2	Log supply from natural forest	34
2.5.3	Log supply from forest plantation	39
2.5.4	Importation of raw materials	42
2.5.4.1	Importation of raw materials in the region	44
2.5.5	Log production and consumption	44
2.5.6	Raw material export restriction	46
2.6	Workforce in the industry	46
2.6.1	Labour utilization	46
2.6.2	Labour productivity growth	49
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1	Background	52
3.2	Research question	52
3.3	Research instrument	52
3.4	Assessment of research instrument	53
3.4.1	Validity	54
3.4.2	Pilot test	54
3.4.3	Reliability test	54
3.5	Target respondents	55
3.6	Data collection	56
3.7	Data analysis	56
3.7.1	Descriptive statistics	56
3.7.2	Exploratory analysis	57
3.7.2.1	Correlation matrix	57
3.7.2.2	Factor analysis output	57
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1	Introduction	59
4.2	Companies background	59
4.3	Preferences of sawn timber species	60
4.3.1	Main selection for local sawn timber species	60
4.3.2	Main selection for imported sawn timber species	66
4.4	Sawn timber attributes	69
4.4.1	Effects of test factor on attributes	71
4.4.1.1	Effect of group of manufacturers on sawn timber attributes	71
4.4.1.2	Effect of sawn timber origin on attributes	72

4.5	Factor analysis	73
4.5.1	Sampling adequacy measurement	73
4.5.2	Factor loading	73
4.5.2.1	Sawn timber properties	74
4.5.2.2	Raw material sustainability	74
4.5.2.3	Consumer preference	74
4.5.3	Reliability test for construct	75
4.5.4	Implication of this study	76
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMEDATION</b>	<b>80</b>
5.1	Conclusion	80
5.2	Recommendation	81
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>82</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>91</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>101</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>102</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Number of licensed mills in the timber-based sector 2007-2015	6
2.2 Malaysia export of major timber products 2013-2016	10
2.3 Malaysia: Import of major timber products 2013-2015	11
2.4 Malaysia: Log production, import, export & consumption ('000 m <sup>3</sup> )	11
2.5 Malaysia : Export of logs by major species 2016	12
2.6 Malaysia: Import of logs by major species, 2012-2015	12
2.7 Malaysia: Sawn timber production, import, export and consumption 2012-2015	13
2.8 Malaysia: Export of sawn timber by major species, 2016	13
2.9 Malaysia: Import of sawn timber by major species, 2012-2015	14
2.10 Malaysia: Plywood production, import, export and consumption ('000 m <sup>3</sup> )	15
2.11 Malaysia: Production, consumption, export and import status of particleboard (m <sup>3</sup> )	15
2.12 Malaysia: Export of particleboard	16
2.13 Malaysia: Import of particleboard (2005, 2010-2015)	16
2.14 Production, consumption, export and import status of MDF in Malaysia ('000 m <sup>3</sup> )	17
2.15 Malaysia: Export of fibreboard (2005, 2010-2015)	18
2.16 Malaysia: Export destination of MDF 2013-2014	18
2.17 Malaysia: Import of fibreboard (2005, 2010-2015)	19
2.18 Malaysia: Production of moulding (m <sup>3</sup> )	20
2.19 Malaysia: Export of mouldings (2005-2015)	20
2.20 Malaysia: Export of timber mouldings to top 10 major countries	21
2.21 Malaysia: Export of timber mouldings and BJC to top 20 countries	21
2.22 Malaysia: Export of builders' joinery and carpentry (2005-2016)	22
2.23 Malaysia: Import of mouldings (2005-2016)	22
2.24 Malaysia: Import of builders' joinery and carpentry (2005-2015)	23
2.25 World: Major exporter of furniture 2015	24
2.26 Malaysia: Major export destination of furniture (2013-2015)	24
2.27 Malaysia: export of wooden furniture (2005-2016)	25
2.28 Malaysia: import of wooden furniture (2005, 2010-2016)	26
2.29 Malaysia: export and import value of furniture 2005-2015	26
2.30 Malaysia: Number of license of timber-based mills, 2015	27
2.31 Malaysia: Number of sawmills 2005-2015	28



2.32	Peninsular Malaysia: Sawmill capacity, sawn timber production, capacity utilisation, average log recovery rate from year 2003-2015	29
2.33	Sabah: Sawmill capacity, production and capacity utilisation, 2014-2015 (million m <sup>3</sup> )	29
2.34	Sarawak: Sawmill capacity, production and capacity utilisation, 2012-2016(million m <sup>3</sup> )	30
2.35	Malaysia: Number of plywood/veneer mills 2007-2015	30
2.36	Peninsular Malaysia: Plywood/veneer mills capacity, plywood/veneer production and capacity utilization 2004-2016 (million m <sup>3</sup> )	31
2.37	Sabah: Plywood/veneer mills capacity, plywood/veneer production and capacity utilisation 2013-2015 (million m <sup>3</sup> )	31
2.38	Sarawak: Plywood/veneer mills capacity, plywood/veneer production and capacity utilisation 2014-2015(million m <sup>3</sup> )	31
2.39	Particleboard mills in Malaysia	32
2.40	Installed capacity of particleboard plant 2015	32
2.41	Malaysia: No of licensed MDF factories	33
2.42	Installed capacity of MDF plant 2015	33
2.43	Malaysia: No. of licensed furniture mills	33
2.44	Malaysia: Total forested area and area under tree crops as compared to total land area (million hectares)	34
2.45	Malaysia: forested area by region (million hectares)	35
2.46	Malaysia: Permanent Reserve Forest by region (million hectares)	35
2.47	Allocation of annual coupe under 5 <sup>th</sup> - 11 <sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan	36
2.48	Peninsular Malaysia: Logs production 2003-2016 vs logs consumption	36
2.49	Peninsular Malaysia: Consumption of logs by the sawmill, plywood/veneer mills 2003-2016	37
2.50	Peninsular Malaysia major species of log processed by the sawmilling sector 2016	37
2.51	Peninsular Malaysia major peeler log species of log consumed by the plywood/veneer sector 2016	38
2.52	Yearly planting hectares and estimated log production (m <sup>3</sup> )	40
2.53	Sarawak: Log production from plantation forest	40
2.54	Sabah: Log production from plantation forest	41
2.55	Malaysia: Import of raw materials (2011-2015)	42
2.56	Malaysia: Log production and consumption 2010-2015	44
2.57	Sarawak : Log production and consumption (2011-2013)	44
2.58	Sabah : Log production, import, export and consumption (2012-2015)	45
2.59	Sabah : Log production and consumption (2012-2015)	45

2.60	Malaysia: Employment in the forestry and timber sector 2005-2014	46
2.61	Malaysia: Employment in the forestry and timber sector 2011-2014	47
3.1	The summary of the instrument	53
3.2	Rule of thumb for Cronbach-Alpha Coefficient	55
3.3	Reliability test of questionnaire	55
3.4	Classification of wood-base mills	56
4.1	Frequency distribution of the industries	59
4.2	15 local timber species identified from survey and its utilization	60
4.3	Malaysia Timber Industry Board list of most popular Malaysian timber species	64
4.4	Factors for choosing local sawn timber by the groups of manufacturers	65
4.5	21 imported species identified from survey and its origin	66
4.6	Europe species usage rate for flooring 2015	67
4.7	Janka Hardness of local timber and imported timber	68
4.8	Percentages of sawn timber attributes	70
4.9	Effect of groups of manufacturers on sawn timber attributes	72
4.10	Effect of sawn timber source of origin on sawn timber attributes	72
4.11	Measurement of sampling of adequacy	73
4.12	Three factor solutions from factor analysis	74
4.13	Reliability test analysis	75
4.14	Item-Total analysis	75
4.15	Malaysia: Installed capacity, utilization, recovery and timber resource consumption for the various timber sub-sector	76

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Malaysia – Major export earnings, 2015	4
2.2	Malaysia – Exports of commodities, 2015	5
2.3	Comparative production level	50
2.4	Comparative value added per employer	50
2.5	Comparative capital intensiveness	51
4.1	The preferred local sawn timber species by door, flooring and furniture manufacturers	63
4.2	The preferred imported sawn timber species by door, flooring and furniture manufacturers	67
4.3	Illustration of timber supply for the various sub-sectors	77

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian
BJC	Builders' Joinery and Carpentry
DOS	Department of Statistics
EPS	Earnings per Share
EU	European Union
EUTR	European Union Timber Regulation
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FDPM	Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia
FDS	Forestry Department Sarawak
IFRG	International Furniture Research Grant
IMP	Industrial Master Plan
ITTO	International Tropical Timber Organization
KLSE	Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange
MDF	Medium Density Fibreboard
MIER	Malaysian Institute of Economic Research
MITI	Ministry of International Trade and Industry
MPC	Malaysia Productivity Corporation
MPIC	Ministry of Plantation Industries and Commodities
MTC	Malaysian Timber Council
MTCC	Malaysian Timber Certification Council
MTIB	Malaysian Timber Industry Board
NATIP	National Timber Industry Policy
NFP	National Forest Policy

PLC	Public listed company
R&D	Research and Development
SFD	Sabah Forestry Department
SFM	Sustainable Forest Management
SME	Small and medium-size enterprises
STIDC	Sarawak Timber Industry Development Corporation



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

The Malaysian wood-based industry is an important socio-economic sector to the nation's economy, contributing RM 22.11 billion in export earnings in 2016, while providing employment to almost 240,000 workers (MTIB, 2017). Despite the challenges faced by increasing competition from other low-cost producing nations such as China and Vietnam, the Malaysian wood-based industry has continued to show positive growth over the years (Ratnasingam *et al.* 2013). Even though many would argue that the wood-based industry is a sun-set industry, it continues to grow despite under increasing pressure due to labour and raw material related problems (Ratnasingam, 2012).

The Malaysian wood-based industry started almost one century ago, being a net exporter of primary commodities especially saw logs and sawn timber, to cater for the needs of the colonial masters (Ratnasingam 2003; Ratnasingam and Ioras 2005). Since independence, however, the wood-based industry has been an important economic sector, which not only provides employment to the locals but also earns foreign exchange. The dependence on the export of primary commodities continued until the mid-1980s, when the government launched the 1<sup>st</sup> Industrial Master Plan (1986 – 1995), which targeted the wood-based industry as a sector that should strive for greater value-addition. Instead of exporting primary commodities, especially saw logs and sawn timber, the wood-based industry was encouraged to move into down-stream manufacturing activities, particularly wood-based panel production, furniture and joinery manufacturing. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Industrial Master Plan (1996 – 2005) focused on further value-addition activities, with an emphasis on furniture manufacturing and other value-added products. With the implementation of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Industrial Master Plan (2006 – 2020) the focus shifted towards high value-added products of original design that captures market segment that is willing to pay high prices (Ratnasingam 2003; Ratnasingam and Ioras 2005). With the various incentives and stimulus provided by the government under the various industrial master plan, the wood-based industry has been transformed into a multi-billion ringgit export sector, that continue to power ahead despite the competitive market situation. In fact, the wood-based industry in the country has repeatedly proven that it is not a sun-set industry despite decreasing challenges due to insufficient raw materials and labour supply (MTIB, 2014a).

## 1.2 Problem statement

The wood-based industry in Malaysia processes almost 28 million m<sup>3</sup> of wood raw materials per annum (Lim *et al* 2016). With an installed processing capacity of almost 47.8million m<sup>3</sup>, there is a shortfall of almost 40 % in capacity utilization (Lim *et al.* 2016). On the other hand, the production of raw materials, particularly from the natural forests and plantation (including rubberwood and other species only accounts for 20 % of the needs of the industry. Therefore, with the declining supply of timber resources in the domestic market for the manufacture of value added wood products, many manufacturers are exploring other wood resources from overseas, especially New Zealand, North America, and Europe. In 2014 for instance, almost 303,000 m<sup>3</sup> of imported wood resources was brought into the country (MTIB, 2015). Although the volume of imported wood resources is increasing, there is no apparent trend to the type and nature of wood resources imported (Ratnasingam and Lim, 2015). Therefore, the subject of preference for specific wood resources for value-added wood products manufacturing is an interesting research question. In fact, this topic has not been researched on previously in the Malaysian context.

Understanding the shift and historical developments in the use of imported wood materials by the Malaysian wood based manufacturer is important for industry practitioners, when anticipating the future competitiveness of the Malaysian wood based industry (Ratnasingam *et al.* 2015).

The increasing demand for green and environmental friendly wood-based products, in terms of legality and sustainability of the wood resource utilized, especially in the traditional market place such as Europe, USA and Australia, has necessitated Malaysian wood based product producer to comply with the various green label and certification as specified by the buyer (Ratnasingam *et. al.*, 2008a, 2008b; NEPCon., 2016). Wood-based manufacturers have to adjust to the public-procurement policies of importing countries which require the timber products be manufactured from sustainable and legally source timber (Brack, 2014; ITTO., 2015). With the on-set of the Europe Timber Regulation (EUTR) in March 2013, wood resources used for the manufacturing of timber products, being logs and sawn timber from natural forest, must be certified as being legal and sustainable, even if it is from the state-owned forest (Ratnasingham *et. al.*, 2014; European Commision, 2016).

Although plantation wood resources, such as rubberwood (*Hevea brasiliensis*) is considered as being environmental-friendly, it has its short comings, in that it is available as short length, and supply being affected during the rainy season (Hong, 1995, Hong et al., 1999). Despite being the primary wood resource for furniture manufacturing, there is an apparent shift towards other alternative wood resources that is eco-label compliant, and yet is suitable for its end use (Ratnasingam, 2013). The wood resource must fulfill the criteria, such as easy to work-on in terms of machining, relative ease of sanding and take finishes well and fulfills the structural strength expected of the product (Meyer *et. al.*, 1992; Briggs *et al.*, 1995).

### **1.3 Objectives**

Therefore the general objective of this study is to determine the preferences of the imported wood resources, among value added wood based manufacturers in Malaysia, that are suitable and economically viable for use in long sustainable basis. The specific objectives of this study are:

- i. To establish the current trend and species of wood of imported wood resources used in selected value-added wood products manufacturing sectors (furniture, flooring and door manufacturers), and
- ii. To determine the primary drivers of using imported wood resources among these value-added wood products manufacturers.

### **1.4 Scope of Study**

For the purpose of this study, the imported wood resources is confined to the sawn timber used in the wood-based industries in Malaysia, especially furniture and secondary wood based producer such as mouldings, furniture components, engineered door, and engineered floor manufacturer. This primarily due to the fact that these industries have shown a much more consistent tendency to use imported wood resources over the years compared to the other sectors within the wood-based industries.



## REFERENCES

- AIM, (2013) National Biomass Strategy 2020: New wealth creation for Malaysia's biomass industry. Agensi Inovasi Malaysia.
- Arowosoge, O. G. E., and Tee, N. T. (2010). "Evaluation of consumers' choice of wooden dining furniture in Southwestern Nigeria: A market strategy for furniture manufacturers and marketers," *African Journal of Biotechnology* 9(21), 3109-3115.
- Babbie, E. (2004) *The Practice Of Social Research*. Belmont: Wadsworth/Thomson Learning.
- Bennett, J., Blamey, R. (2001) *The choice modelling approach to environmental evaluation*, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA : Edward Elgar.
- Berkowitz, M. (1987) Product shape as a design innovation strategy. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*. 4(4), 274-283.
- Bhattacharya, M (2002) Industrail Concentration and Competition in Malaysian Manufacturing. *Applied Economics* 34(17):2127-2134.
- Bhattachali, B.N. and Bhattachali, G. (1972) *Productivity and Economic Development*. Tokyo: Asia Productivity Organization.
- Blamey, R., Bennett, J. (2001) 'Yea-saying and validation of a choice model' in Bennett, J., Blamey, R. (2001) *The choice modelling approach to environmental evaluation*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, 178-201.
- Boon-Kwee, Ng\* and Thiruchelvam, K. (2011) Technological innovations in Malaysia's wooden furniture industry: Knowledge and linkages.
- Bowe, S. A., and Bumgardner, M. S. (2004). "Species selection in secondary wood products: perspectives from different consumers," *Wood and Fiber Science* 36(3), 319-328.
- Brack, D. (2014) *Promoting legal and sustainable timber : using public procurement policy*. Energy Environmental Resources, The Royal Institute of International Affairs. Chatham House, London.
- Brandt J.P., Shook S. R. (2005) Attribute elicitation: Implications in the research context. *Wood Fiber Sci* 37(1):127-146.
- Briggs, D. G., Bialozynski L. (1995) Use of wood raw materials by the U.S millwork industry in 1990. *Forest Prod J* 45(2):27-34.
- Bull, L., and Ferguson, I. (2006) Factors influencing the success of wood product innovations in Australia and New Zealand. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 8(2006), 742-750.

- Bumgardner, M.S., Bowe, S. A. (2002) Species selection in secondary wood products: implications for product design and promotion. *Wood Fiber Sci* 34(3):408-418.
- Burdek, B. E. (2005) *Design: History, theory and practice of product design*. Boston: Birkhauser.
- Bush R. J., Sinclair S. A, Araman PA (1991) Determinant product and supplier attribute in domestic markets for hardwood lumber. *Forest Prod J* 41(2):33-40.
- Creusen, M. E. H., and Schoormans, J. P. L. (2005) The different roles of product appearance in consumer choice. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 22(1), 63-81.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of test. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297-334.
- CSIL (2015) *World Furniture Outlook 2015 / 2016*. Centre for Industrial Studies. Milano, Italy
- Department of Statistics. (2012) *Statistics on the Forest Product Industry*. Department of Statistic Database, Putrajaya.
- Dreyfuss, H. (1967) *Designing for people*. New York: Paragraphic Books.
- Eastin, I., Lane, C. L., Fight, R. D., Barbour, R. J. (1998) An assessment of the industrial market for clearwood softwood lumber. *Forest Prod J* 48(1 1/2):48-54.
- Economic Planning Unit. (2015) *Eleventh Malaysian Plan (2016-2020). Anchoring Growth On People*. Prime Minister Department, Malaysia
- European Commission (2016). *Guideline document for the EU Timber Regulation*. Commission Notice of 12.2.2016. Brussels, European Commission.
- FAO. (2009) *State of the World's Forest*. FAO, Rome. 2009
- FAOSTAT 2015. Production. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data>
- FDPM. (2014) *Forestry Statistics Peninsular Malaysia 2014*. Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia. Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia.
- FDPM. (2015) *Forestry Statistics Peninsular Malaysia 2015*. Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia. Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia.
- FDPM. (2016) *Forestry Statistics Peninsular Malaysia 2016*. Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia. Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia.
- FEP. (2016). *The Parquet Industry in 2015*. European Federation of the Parquet Industries. [http://www.parquet.net/files/2016-The parquet\\_industry\\_in\\_2015](http://www.parquet.net/files/2016-The%20parquet_industry_in_2015)

- Forest Department Sabah (2014) *The forest resource of Sabah: The management and the way forward*. Keynote paper by Sam Manan, Director of Forestry Sabah in : 17<sup>th</sup> Malaysian Forestry Conference. 'A Century of Forest Management : Lesson Learn & the Way Forward'. 11-12<sup>th</sup> November, 2014, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah
- Forest Department Sabah (2015) Annual Report 2014.  
Forest Department Sabah (2016) Annual Report 2015.
- Field, A. (2005), *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*, 2nd edition, SAGE, London.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS: Introducing Statistical Method* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Flowers J.C., Hassler C. C., Luppold W. G. (1990) Utilization of yellow-poplar in the architectural moulding and millwork industry. *Forest Prod J* 40(10):35-38.
- Forbes, C. L., Sinclair, S. A., Bush, R. J., Araman, P. A. (1994) Influence of product and supplier attributes on hardwood lumber purchase decisions in the furniture industry. *Forest Prod J* 44(2):51-56.
- Hair J. F., Anderson R. E., Tatham, R.L., Black, W. C. (1998) *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 5th edn. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 730 pp.
- H'ng, P.S., Zakiah, A., Paridah T. (2017) *Laminated Veneer Lumber From Malaysian Tropical Timber . Manufacturing and Design*. Malaysian Timber Industry Board.
- Ho, R. (2006). *Handbook of Univariate and Multivariate Data Analysis and Interpretation with SPSS*, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL.
- Hong, L.T. (1995). Introduction. In : *Rubberwood Processing and Utilisation*, L.T Hong and H.C. Sim (eds.) , Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.
- Hong L.T, Sim H.C. (1999) *Rubberwood- Processing and Utilization*. Processing and Utilization. Malayan Forest Record No.39, Forest Research Institute of Malaysia.
- IMP-3. (2006) *Third Industrial Master Plan (IMP) 2006-2020*. Malaysia, Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Kuala Lumpur.
- ITTO (2015) *The impact of timber procurement policies. An analysis of the economic effect of government procurement policies in tropical timber market*. ITTO Technical Series # 44. International Timber Tropical Organisation.
- Kaiser, H.F. (1960). The application of electronic computers to factor analysis. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 20, 141-151.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1970) A second generation little jiffy. *Psychometrika*. 35(4):401-405.
- Kaiser, H.F. (1974) An index of factor simplicity. *Psychometrika* 39: 31–36.

- Kinney, P.R and Gray C. D. (1999) SPSS for window made simple. Psychology Press Ltd, U.K.
- Kinney, P.R and Gray C. D. (2011) SPSS 19 made simple. East Sussex,U.K. Psychology Press Ltd, U.K.
- Kaplinsky, R., Memedovic, O., Morris, M. Readman, J. (2003) The global wood furniture value chain: what prospect for upgrading by developing countries. The case of South Africa. United Nation Developemnt Organisation.
- Krishnan U., Barbara S. (2017) Study of Timber Market of Malaysia and its Impact on the Economy and Employment. Journal of Advances in Agriculture. Vol.7, No.
- Lee, C. (2011) Trade, Productivity, and Innovation: Firm-level Evidence from Malaysian Manufacturing. Journal of Asian Economics 22:284-294.
- Lee, Y. W., Strong, D. M., Kahn, B. K., Wang, R. Y. (2002) AIMQ: a methodology for information quality assessment. Information & Management 40(2): 133-146.
- Lim, C.L.; Ratnasingam, J.; Geetha, R.; Shukri, M. (2016) The Malaysian timber sector, Its raw material and productivity conundrum. A publication of Malaysia Wood Moulding Council & Joinery Council in collaboration with Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Lim, S. C. and Gan, K. S. (2009) Identification and utilization of lesser known commercial timber in Peninsular Malaysia 12: Pagar Anak, Pepauh, Pepijat and Pepulut. Timber Technology Bulletin No 49, 2009. Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Mahadevan, R. (2001) Assessing the Output and Productivity Growth of Malaysia's Manufacturing Sector. Journal of Asian Economics 12:587-597.
- Martens, D. G. (1986) Produce Yellow Poplar furniture dimension of minimum cost by using Yellow Poplar. United States Deaprtment of Agriculture.
- Meyer C.J., Michael, J. H., Sinclair, S. A., Luppold, W. G. (1992) Wood material use in the U.S. wood furniture industry. Forest Prod J 42(5):28-30.
- MIDA. (2015) Incentives and Policies in the Resource-based Industries.
- MITI. (2006) Third Industrial Master Plan 2006-2020: Malaysia Towards Global Competitiveness. Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Malaysia.
- MITI (2016) Wood Based Industry. Ministry of International Trade and Industry.<http://www.miti.gov.my/index.php/pages/view/2443>
- MPIC. (2014) Exports of commodities, 2013. Ministry of Plantation Industries and Commodities, Malaysia.

- MPIC. (2015) Exports of commodities, 2014. Ministry of Plantation Industries and Commodities, Malaysia.
- MTIB, (2008) Reflection of a journey. Malaysian Timber Industry Board. ISBN:978-983-99606-2-4
- MTIB. (2013) Shaping the timber industry of Malaysia. Malaysian Timber Industry Board. ISBN 978-967-5384-42-4
- MTIB. (2014) Insurance Coverage, Financing and Incentives for Timber Industry. The Road Map 2013-2020. Malaysian Timber Industry Board.
- MTIB. (2014) The Export of timber and timber products, 1995-2013. Malaysian Timber Industry Board, Malaysia. Retrieved from [online] [http://www.mtib.gov.my/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=2034&Itemid=65&lang=en](http://www.mtib.gov.my/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=2034&Itemid=65&lang=en).
- MTIB. (2014) Annual Report 2013. Malaysian Timber Industry Board.
- MTIB. (2015) Annual Report 2014. Malaysian Timber Industry Board.
- MTIB. (2015) Malaysian Timber Statistics 2010-2014. Malaysian Timber Industry Board.
- MTIB. (2016) Announcement : Export Quota 2016 for Sawn Rubber Wood-MTIB.<http://www.mtib.gov.my/index.php?...rubberwood...export-quota-2016>
- MTIB. (2016) Malaysian Timber Statistics 2015. Malaysian Timber Industry Board.
- MTIB. (2017) Overview of timber industries in Malaysia. National Timber Industry Policy (NATIP) Revisit. Malaysian Timber Industry Board. August, 2017.
- MTC. (2012) 20 years of creating value. Malaysia Timber Council. ISBN 978-967-10831-1-6
- MTC. (2014) Annual Report 2013. Malaysia Timber Council.
- Murphy, K. R., and Davidshofer, C. O. (1952) Psychological testing: Principles and application. Frenchs Forest, NSW:Pearson Education Australia c2001. 214 p.
- NATIP. (2009) NATIP National Timber Industry Policy 2009-2020. National Timber Policy. Ministry of Plantation Industries and Commodities, Malaysia.
- NEPCon. (2016) Supply Chain Mapping of Malaysian Timber and Wood-based Industries. Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Nicholls, D. L, Roos, J. (2006) Lumber attributes, characteristic and species preferences as indicated by secondary wood products firms in the continental United States. Holz Roh- Werks 64:253-259.



- Ng, B.K., and Thiruchelvam, K. (2011) Sectoral Innovation System in Low-Tech Manufacturing: Types, Sources, Drivers and Barriers of Innovation in Malaysia's Wooden Furniture Industry. *International Journal of Institutions and Economies* 3(3): 549-574.
- Ng, B.K., and Thiruchelvam, K. (2012) The Dynamics of Innovation in Malaysia's Wooden Furniture Industry: Innovation Actors and Linkages. *Forest Policy and Economics* 14:107-118.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory* (2nd edition). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Nunnally & Berstein, 1994. *The assessment of reliability. Psychometric theory.* McGraw Hill, New York.
- Oscar J.N. (2013) *Sustaining the future supply of raw material for the development of timber downstream processing industry in Sarawak.* International Conference On Timber 2013. Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Poo, B.T., Rashid, Z.A., & Hassan, M.K.H. (2012). Manpower requirements of Malaysian manufacturing sector under the third industrial master plan. *Malaysian Journal of Economic Studies*, 49(1), 1-19
- PUSAKA (2014) Sarawak Timber Industry Development Corporation. Jan-March 2014  
[http://sarawaktimber.org.my/publication/PERKASA\\_JanMac2014\\_WEB\\_part01.pdf](http://sarawaktimber.org.my/publication/PERKASA_JanMac2014_WEB_part01.pdf)
- Ratnasingam J. (2000) Furniture Marketing: Is it a matter of Fashion? *Asian Timber* 19(5):28-31.
- Ratnasingam J. (2000) The Malaysian Wood Industry at the Crossroads. *Asian Timber* 19(12), 10-13.
- Ratnasingam J. (2002) The Malaysian Rubberwood Furniture Industry: A Critical Evaluation. Paper presented at Executive Forum on National Export Strategies, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Ratnasingam J. (2003) A matter of Design in the South East Asian Wooden Furniture Industry. *Holz als Roh-und Werkstoff* 61:151-154.
- Ratnasingam J. (2008) Internationalization of Furniture Design in Malaysia. Proc. IFCX International Furniture Conference & Exhibition, 13-46.
- Ratnasingam J. (2011) Sustainability of the Rubberwood Sector in Malaysia. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*, 39(2), 305-311.
- Ratnasingam J. (2012) Innovation in the Malaysian Wood Industry Current Status, Challenges and Future Potential. IFRG Report No 23, Singapore, 61p.

- Ratnasingam J. (2013) Innovation and Growth Strategies for the Malaysian Wood Industry. Exclusive Interview for Asian Timber, 32(6):15-17.
- Ratnasingam J. (2013) The ASEAN Boom. FDM Asia 25(1): 22-25.
- Ratnasingam J. (2015) The Malaysian Furniture Industry – Unravelling its Growth and Challenges to Innovation. UPM Press, Serdang, Selangor. (204 pp.)
- Ratnasingam J. (2017) The Malaysian Furniture Industry – Charting its growth potential. UPM Press, Serdang, Selangor. (108 pp.)
- Ratnasingam J. (2017) Consumer Behaviour And Furniture Markets In ASEAN. Asia Furniture Design And Manufacturing Asia. Vol 35 No 2 pg 7-11.
- Ratnasingam J. (2005) Status of Technology in the Malaysian Wood Industry. Asian Timber. First Asia Publishing, Singapore.
- Ratnasingam J.; Chin, K. A.; Shukri, M.; Lim, C.L.; Geeetha, R.; Abdul Latib, S. (2017) An analysis of labor and capital productivity in the Malaysian timber sector. BioResources , 12(1).
- Ratnasingam J, Ioras F (2003) The Sustainability of the Asian Wooden Furniture Industry. Holz Roh- Werkst 61:233-237.
- Ratnasingam J., and Ioras, F. (2005) The Asian Furniture Industry: Reality behind the statistic. Holz als Roh-und Werkstoff 63(1):64-67.
- Ratnasingam J, Ioras F (2006) Colonial British Forestry And The Years Thereafter. Tropical Resources Network Press, Kuala Lumpur. (105 pp.)
- Ratnasingam J., and Ioras, F. (2009) Foreign direct investment (FDI), added value and environmental-friendly practices in furniture manufacturing: the case of Malaysia and Vietnam. International Forestry Review 11(4): 464-474.
- Ratnasingam J, Ioras F (2014) Value-adding strategies for the wood products sector in the South East Asian Region. The Society of Manufacturing Engineers Publication, Staffordshire, United Kingdom. (239 pp.)
- Ratnasingam J., and Ioras, F., and Macpherson, T.H. (2007) Influence of wood species on the perceived value of wooden furniture: the case of the Rubberwood. Holz als Roh-und Werkstoff 65(6): 487-489.
- Ratnasingam, J., and Lim, C. L. (2015). *Preferences for Using American Hardwoods Among Value-Added Wood Manufacturers in Malaysia and Thailand – An Exploratory Study*, American Hardwood Export Council (AHEC), Hong Kong.
- Ratnasingam, J., Lim, T. W., Thanasegaran, G., Ioras, F., Vacalie, C., Coman, C., and Wenming, L. (2013). “Innovations in the forest products industry: The Malaysian experience,” *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj- Napoca* 41(2), 601-607.

- Ratnasingam J., Macpherson, T.H and Ioras, F (2008a) An assesment of Malaysian wooden furniture manufacturer's readiness to embrace chain of custody (COC) certification. *Holz als Roh-und Werkstoff* 66(5):339-343.
- Ratnasingam J., Macpherson, T.H and Ioras, F., and Abrudan, IV. (2008b) Chain of Custody Certification among Malaysian Furniture manufacturers: Status and Challenges. *International Forestry Review* 10(1):23-28.
- R Ratnasingam J., and Neelakandan, M. (2015) Raw Materials Requirement for the Wood-Based Industries in ASEAN. ITN Press, Singapore. (188 pp.)
- Ratnasingam J., Turnbull, C. and Swaminathan, S. (2014) Productivity Imperatives of the ASEAN Wood-Based Industries: An Assessment of Profitability, Sustainability and Risks. ITN Press, Singapore. (137 pp.)
- Rubio, D.M., Weger M.B., Tebb S.S., Lee E.S. and Raunch S. (2003) Objectifying content validity : Conducting a content validity in social science work research. *ProQuest Psychology Journals* 27 (2) : 94-104.
- Sales, C. (2001) Technological innovation in the wood sector. *Unasylnva- An international Journal of Forestry and Forest Industries* 52(3):46-61.
- Schwab, H.; Marutzky, R.; Meyer, B. (2012) European Regulations for Formaldehyde. Fraunhofer Institute for Wood
- Shmulsky, R. and Jones, P. D. (2011). *Forest Products and Wood Science: An Introduction*, 6th ed., Wiley-Blackwell, London.
- SME Corp. (2013). Guideline for New SME Defination. SME Corp. Malaysia. Secretariat to the National SME Development Council
- STIDC (2012) Annual Report 2011. Sarawak Industrial Development Corporation.
- STIDC (2015) Annual Report 2014. Sarawak Industrial Development Corporation.
- STIDC (2017) Status of Timber Industry in Sarawak. Presentation for National Timber Industry Policy (NATIP) by Sarawak Industrial Development Corporation.
- Thai, S. K. (2009) Forest plantation development in Malaysia and the potential of rubber wood as an important source of timber in the future. *International Conference On Timber Plantation Development. Proceedings of the 17<sup>th</sup> Malaysian Forestry Conference 'A Century of Forest Management: Lesson Learn & the Way Forward'*. 11-12<sup>th</sup> November, 2014, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.
- Thang, H.C. (2009) Asia-Pacific Forestry Sector Outlook Study. Working Paper Series. Working Paper No. APFSOS II/WP/2009/02



Thomas, E. (1997) Technology Scenerios in the Asia-Pacific Forestry Sector. Working Paper APFSOS/WP/25. Forest Research Support Programme for Asia & the Pacific. FAO.

Thurstone, L.L. (1931) Multiple factor analysis. Psychological Review. 38, 406-427

Tabachnick, B.G & Fidell L.S (2011) Using Multivariate Statistics. California State University,  
Northridge Boston • New York • San Francisco Mexico City • Montreal • Toronto • London • Madrid • Munich • Paris Hong Kong

Wong, S. K., Sapuan, A., Ridi, B., Baher@Biha R. *the essential roles of forest plantation toward sustainability of wood production and wood-based industries in Sarawak*. International Conference On Timber Plantation Development. Proceedings of the 17<sup>th</sup> Malaysian Forestry Conference. 'A Century of Forest Management : Lesson Learn & the Way Forward'. 11-12<sup>th</sup> November, 2014, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.

WWF., (2013) A guide to lesser known tropical timber species .Global Forest and Trade Network, WWF International. (89 pp.)