



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING THIRD-ORDER
ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND FIRST-ORDER
OSCILLATORY PROBLEMS***

FIRAS ADEL FAWZI ALSHAREEDA

FS 2017 30



**RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING THIRD-ORDER
ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND FIRST-ORDER
OSCILLATORY PROBLEMS**

By

FIRAS ADEL FAWZI ALSHAREEDA

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2017

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright ©Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATIONS

To my beloved family and friends



© COPYRIGHT UPM

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING THIRD-ORDER
ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND FIRST-ORDER
OSCILLATORY PROBLEMS**

By

FIRAS ADEL FAWZI ALSHAREEDA

April 2017

Chair: Associate Professor Norazak Senu, PhD
Faculty: Science

In this study, new Runge-Kutta type methods (RKTG) are derived for directly solving third-order ordinary differential equations ODEs of the form $y''' = f(x, y, y')$. The derivation of third-, fourth- and fifth-order explicit RKTG methods using constant step length and an embedded explicit RKTG denoted by RKTGD methods of 4(3) and 5(4) pairs for variable step size have been derived. Numerical results obtained show that the new RKTG and RKTGD methods are more accurate and efficient than several existing methods in the literature.

In the second part, a Runge-Kutta type methods are derived for directly solving general third-order differential equations of the form $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$ denoted as (RKTGG). The derivation of third- and fourth-order explicit RKTGG methods using constant step size have been derived. Numerical results obtained for the new methods have shown efficiency and robustness in terms of accuracy and number of function evaluations.

Next, an explicit Runge-Kutta (RK) and modified Runge-Kutta (MRK) with dispersion and dissipation properties are studied for the integration of initial value problems (IVPs) of first-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs) possessing oscillating solutions. The constructions of RK and MRK methods for constant step size and embedded MRK pair for variable step length have been derived. The strategies in choosing the free parameters are also discussed. The impacts of dispersion and dissipation relations are tested on homogeneous and non-homogeneous test problems which have oscillating solutions.

Meanwhile, trigonometrically-fitted RK and MRK methods are constructed for integration of IVPs of first-order ODEs with periodic behavior. The derivation of fourth-order trigonometrically-fitted explicit RK and MRK methods using constant step size and embedded 6(5) pair trigonometrically-fitted explicit Runge-Kutta (ETFRK) method for variable step size have been derived. We analyzed the numerical results of RK, MRK and ETFRK methods and found that our new methods are more efficient than the existing methods.

In conclusion, the new codes developed are suitable for solving system of first-order ODEs in which the solutions are in the oscillatory form and third-order ODEs.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KAEDAH JENIS RUNGE-KUTTA UNTUK MENYELESAIKAN
PERSAMAAN PEMBEZAAN BIASA PERINGKAT KETIGA DAN MASALAH
AYUNAN PERINGKAT PERTAMA**

Oleh

FIRAS ADEL FAWZI ALSHAREEDA

April 2017

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Norazak Senu, PhD
Fakulti : Sains

Di dalam kajian ini, kaedah jenis Runge-Kutta (JRKA) yang baharu diterbitkan untuk menyelesaikan secara langsung persamaan pembezaan biasa (PPB) peringkat ketiga berbentuk $y''' = f(x, y, y')$. Terbitan kaedah tak tersirat JRKA peringkat-ketiga, -keempat dan -kelima yang menggunakan panjang langkah malar dan kaedah benaman tak tersirat JRKA ditandakan dengan BJRKA untuk pasangan 4(3) dan 5(4) untuk panjang langkah berubah telah diterbitkan. Keputusan berangka yang diperoleh menunjukkan bahawa kaedah yang baharu JRKA dan BJRKA adalah lebih jitu dan cekap berbanding dengan beberapa kaedah sedia ada di dalam sorotan literatur.

Di dalam bahagian yang kedua, kaedah jenis Runge-Kutta (JRKAA) diterbitkan untuk menyelesaikan secara langsung persamaan pembezaan peringkat-ketiga am berbentuk $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$. Terbitan kaedah tak tersirat JRKAA peringkat-ketiga dan -keempat yang menggunakan saiz langkah malar telah diterbitkan. Keputusan berangka yang dapati untuk kaedah baharu ini menunjukkan kecekapan dan keteguhan dari segi kejitian dan bilangan penilaian fungsi.

Seterusnya, kaedah tak tersirat Runge-Kutta (RK) dan Runge-Kutta terubahsuai (RKT) dengan ciri-ciri serakan dan lesapan dikaji untuk mengamir masalah nilai awal (MNA) PPB peringkat pertama yang mempunyai penyelesaian berayun. Pembinaan kaedah RK dan RKT untuk panjang langkah malar dan pasangan benaman RKT untuk panjang langkah berubah telah diterbitkan. Strategi pemilihan parameter bebas juga dibincangkan. Impak dari hubungan serakan dan lesapan diuji ke atas masalah ujian homogen dan bukan homogen yang mempunyai penyelesaian berayun.

Sementara itu, kaedah suai-trigonometri RK dan RKT dibina untuk mengamir MNA bagi PPB peringkat pertama khas yang mempunyai tingkah laku berkala. Terbitan kaedah suai-trigonometri tak tersirat RK dan RKT peringkat-keempat yang menggunakan saiz langkah malar dan pasangan 6(5) kaedah benaman suai-trigonometri tak tersirat Runge-Kutta (BSTRK) untuk saiz langkah berubah telah diterbitkan. Kami menganalisis keputusan berangka terhadap kaedah-kaedah RK, RKT dan BSTRK dan didapati kaedah baharu kami lebih cekap berbanding kaedah sedia ada.

Sebagai kesimpulan, kod baharu yang dibangunkan adalah sesuai untuk menyelesaikan sistem PPB peringkat pertama yang mempunyai penyelesaian bentuk berayun dan PPB peringkat tiga.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, All praise is to Allah the lord of the world, The Beneficent, The Merciful who in His infinite mercy gives me life, good health, strength, hope, guidance and perseverance to pursue this program to the successful completion. May Allah's Mercy and Peace be upon our noble prophet Muhammad Rasulillah Sallallahu Alaihi Wasallam, his family and companions. I would like to thank my deepest appreciation and gratitude to the chairman of the supervisory committee, Associate Prof. Dr. Norazak bin Senu for his invaluable assistance, advice and guidance throughout the duration of the studies. This work would not have been completed without his help that I received in various aspects of the research.

I am also grateful to the member of the supervisory committee, Professor Dr. Fudziah Ismaill and Professor Dr. Zanariah Abd. Majid. I also wish to express my thanks to my friends especially Mundher Abdullah Kaleel during my study in Universiti Putra Malaysia. Moreover, I would like to thank all staffs of the Department of Mathematics. I thank Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research in Iraq for providing me scholarship and support me.

Great appreciation goes to my beloved family especially my wife Noor Saad, and my children Rzan, Maryam and Ameen and special thanks to my parents for their unconditional love, support, understanding and encouragement.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 28 April 2017 to conduct the final examination of Firas Adel Fawzi Alshareeda on his thesis entitled "Runge-Kutta Type Methods for Solving Third-Order Ordinary Differential Equations and First-Order Oscillatory Problems" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Norihan binti Md Arifin, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Zarina Bibi binti Ibrahim, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Leong Wah June, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Ali Saifi, PhD

Professor
American University of Sharjah
United Arab Emirates
(External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 6 July 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Norazak Senu, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Fudziah Ismail, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Zanariah Abd. Majid, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Firas Adel Fawzi Alshareeda, GS 38493

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee

Associate Professor Dr. Norazak Senu

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee

Professor Dr. Fudziah Ismail

Signature: _____

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee

Professor Dr. Zanariah Abd. Majid

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)	1
1.2 The Initial Value Problems (IVPs)	1
1.3 Existence and Uniqueness of Solution	3
1.4 Runge-Kutta (RK) Methods	3
1.4.1 Algebraic Order Conditions for RK method	4
1.4.2 Local Truncation Error (LTE)	5
1.5 Analysis of Phase-fitted and Amplification-fitted RK Method	7
1.6 Modified Runge-Kutta (MRK) Method	8
1.7 Analysis of Phase-Lag of the MRK Method	9
1.8 Absolute Stability Analysis for MRK	10
1.9 Trigonometrically-fitting Explicit MRK Method	12
1.10 Embedded Runge-Kutta Methods	12
1.11 Problem Statement	14
1.12 Scope of Study	14
1.13 Objectives of the Study	14
1.14 Outline of Thesis	15
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 General Third-order ODEs	17
2.3 Phase-fitted and Amplification-fitted Explicit Runge-Kutta Method	18
2.4 Trigonometrically-fitted Explicit Runge-Kutta Method	19
2.5 Embedded Runge-Kutta Method	19
3 RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE (RKTG) METHODS FOR DIRECTLY SOLVING THIRD-ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	21
3.1 Introduction	21

3.2	Derivation of the Order Conditions for RKTG Method	21
3.3	Zero-Stability of the RKTG Method	27
3.4	Construction of the RKTG Methods	28
3.4.1	Derivation of Two-stage Third-order RKTG Method	28
3.4.2	Derivation of Three-stage Fourth-order RKTG Method	31
3.4.3	Derivation of Four-stage Fifth-order RKTG Method	33
3.5	Problems Tested	36
3.6	Numerical Experiments	37
3.6.1	Numerical Results I	37
3.6.2	Numerical Results II	44
3.6.3	Numerical Results III	51
3.7	An Application to a Problem in Thin Film Flow	59
3.8	Discussion and Conclusion	62
4	EMBEDDED RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE RKTG METHODS FOR DIRECTLY SOLVING THIRD-ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	64
4.1	Introduction	64
4.2	Derivation of Embedded RKTG Method	66
4.2.1	Derivation of Embedded 4(3) RKTG Method	66
4.2.2	Derivation of Embedded 5(4) RKTG Method	67
4.3	Numerical Experiments	69
4.3.1	Numerical Results I	69
4.3.2	Numerical Results II	77
4.4	Discussion and Conclusion	84
5	RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE (RKTGG) METHODS FOR DIRECTLY SOLVING GENERAL THIRD-ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	85
5.1	Introduction	85
5.2	Derivation of the Order Conditions for RKTGG Method	85
5.3	Zero-Stability of the RKTGG Method	90
5.4	Construction of the RKTGG Methods	91
5.4.1	Derivation of Three-stage Third-order RKTGG Method	91
5.4.2	Derivation of Five-stage Fourth-order RKTGG Method	92
5.5	Problems Tested	94
5.6	Numerical Experiments	95
5.6.1	Numerical Results I	95
5.6.2	Numerical Results II	100
5.7	Discussion and Conclusion	105
6	PHASE-FITTED AND AMPLIFICATION-FITTED RUNGE-KUTTA AND MODIFIED RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS FOR SOLVING OSCILLATORY PROBLEMS	106
6.1	Introduction	106
6.2	Derivation of Phase-fitted and Amplification-fitted for Five-stage Fourth-order MRK (PHAFRK4)	107
6.2.1	Local Truncation Analysis of PHAFRK4 Method	109

6.2.2	Problems Tested	109
6.2.3	Numerical Experiments	111
6.2.4	Discussion and Conclusion	119
6.3	Derivation of Phase-fitted and Amplification-fitted for RK Method	119
6.3.1	Local Truncation Error Analysis of PHAFRK4D	121
6.3.2	Numerical Experiments	122
6.3.3	Discussion and Conclusion	130
6.4	Derivation of Embedded Phase-fitted MRK Method	130
6.4.1	Local Truncation Error Analysis of PHMRKD5(4)	133
6.4.2	Numerical Experiments	134
6.4.3	Discussion and Conclusion	141
7	TRIGONOMETRICALLY-FITTED EXPLICIT RUNGE-KUTTA AND MODIFIED RUNGE-KUTTA FOR SOLVING PERIODIC PROBLEMS	142
7.1	Introduction	142
7.2	Derivation of Five-stage Fourth-order Trigonometrically-fitted Explicit RK (TFRK4) Method	142
7.2.1	Local Truncation Error Analysis of TFRK4 Method	144
7.2.2	Numerical Experiments	144
7.2.3	Discussion and Conclusion	152
7.3	Derivation of Seven-stage Fourth-order Explicit Trigonometrically-fitted MRK (TFMRK) Method	153
7.3.1	Local Truncation Error Analysis of TFMRK Method	154
7.3.2	Numerical Experiments	155
7.3.3	Discussion and Conclusion	163
7.4	Derivation of Embedded Trigonometrically-fitted RK (TFRKF6(5)) Method	164
7.4.1	Local Truncation Error Analysis of TFRKF6(5)	165
7.4.2	Numerical Experiments	168
7.4.3	Discussion and Conclusion	176
8	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH	177
8.1	Conclusion	177
8.2	Future work	178
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	179
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	183
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	185

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 <i>m</i> -stage explicit Runge-Kutta method	4
1.2 <i>m</i> -stage modified explicit Runge-Kutta method	9
3.1 The RKTG3 Method	29
3.2 The RKTG4 Method	32
3.3 The RKTG5 Method	35
3.4 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 3.1 with $X_{end}=2$	37
3.5 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 3.2 with $X_{end}=5$	38
3.6 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 3.3 with $X_{end}=2$	39
3.7 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 3.4 with $X_{end}=5$	40
3.8 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 3.5 with $X_{end}=2$	41
3.9 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 3.1 with $X_{end}=2$	44
3.10 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 3.2 with $X_{end}=5$	45
3.11 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 3.3 with $X_{end}=1$	46
3.12 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 3.4 with $X_{end}=5$	47
3.13 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 3.5 with $X_{end}=2$	48
3.14 Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 methods when solving Problem 3.1 with $X_{end}=1$	52

3.15	Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 methods when solving Problem 3.2 with $X_{end}=5$	53
3.16	Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 methods when solving Problem 3.3 with $X_{end}=1$	54
3.17	Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 methods when solving Problem 3.4 with $X_{end}=5$	55
3.18	Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 methods when solving Problem 3.5 with $X_{end}=2$	56
3.19	Comparison of error for RK5B, DOPRI5 and RKTG5 methods when solving Problem (3.65) with $h = 0.1$ and $k = 2$	59
3.20	Comparison of error for RK5B, DOPRI5 and RKTG5 methods when solving Problem (3.65) with $h = 0.01$ and $k = 2$	60
3.21	Comparison of RK5B, DOPRI5 and RKTG5 methods when solving Problem (3.65) with $h = 0.1$ and $k = 3$	60
3.22	Comparison of RK5B, DOPRI5 and RKTG5 methods when solving Problem (3.65) with $h = 0.01$ and $k = 3$	60
4.1	The RKTGD4(3) Method	66
4.2	The RKTGD5(4) Method	68
4.3	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F methods when solving Problem 3.1	70
4.4	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F methods when solving Problem 3.2	71
4.5	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F methods when solving Problem 3.3	72
4.6	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F methods when solving Problem 3.4	73
4.7	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F methods when solving Problem 3.5	74
4.8	Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) methods when solving Problem 3.1	77
4.9	Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) methods when solving Problem 3.2	78

4.10 Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) methods when solving Problem 3.3	79
4.11 Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) methods when solving Problem 3.4	80
4.12 Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) methods when solving Problem 3.5	81
5.1 The RKTGG3 Method	92
5.2 The RKTGG4 Method	93
5.3 Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 5.1	95
5.4 Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 5.2	96
5.5 Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 5.3	96
5.6 Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 5.4	97
5.7 Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 methods when solving Problem 5.5	97
5.8 Comparison of RKTGG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 5.1	100
5.9 Comparison of RKTGG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 5.2	101
5.10 Comparison of RKTGG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 5.3	101
5.11 Comparison of RKTGG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 5.4	102
5.12 Comparison of RKTGG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 methods when solving Problem 5.5	102
6.1 Butcher Tableau for five-stage fourth order RK method	107
6.2 Comparison of PHAFRK4, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.1	112

6.3	Comparison of PHAFRK4, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.2	113
6.4	Comparison of PHAFRK4, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.3	114
6.5	Comparison of PHAFRK4, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.4	115
6.6	Comparison of PHAFRK4, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.5	116
6.7	Butcher Tableau for seven-stage fourth order RK method	119
6.8	Comparison of PHAFRK4D, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M, RK4Z and PHRKD methods when solving Problem 6.1	123
6.9	Comparison of PHAFRK4D, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M, RK4Z and PHRKD methods when solving Problem 6.2	124
6.10	Comparison of PHAFRK4D, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M, RK4Z and PHRKD methods when solving Problem 6.3	125
6.11	Comparison of PHAFRK4D, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M, RK4Z and PHRKD methods when solving Problem 6.4	126
6.12	Comparison of PHAFRK4D, RK5S, PLRK4, RK4M, RK4Z and PHRKD methods when solving Problem 6.5	127
6.13	Butcher Tableau RK method	131
6.14	Comparison of PHMRKD5(4), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK5(4)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.1	134
6.15	Comparison of PHMRKD5(4), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK5(4)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.2	135
6.16	Comparison of PHMRKD5(4), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK5(4)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.3	136
6.17	Comparison of PHMRKD5(4), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK5(4)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.4	137
6.18	Comparison of PHMRKD5(4), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK5(4)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.5	138
7.1	Butcher Tableau for five-stage fourth order RK method	143

7.2	Comparison of TFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.1	145
7.3	Comparison of TFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.2	146
7.4	Comparison of TFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.3	147
7.5	Comparison of TFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.4	148
7.6	Comparison of TFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.5	149
7.7	Butcher Tableau for 7-stage fourth order RK method	153
7.8	Comparison of TFMRK, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.1	156
7.9	Comparison of TFMRK, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.2	157
7.10	Comparison of TFMRK, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.3	158
7.11	Comparison of TFMRK, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.4	159
7.12	Comparison of TFMRK, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z methods when solving Problem 6.5	160
7.13	The RK6(5) method	164
7.14	Comparison of TFRKF6(5), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK6(5)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.1	169
7.15	Comparison of TFRKF6(5), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK6(5)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.2	170
7.16	Comparison of TFRKF6(5), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK6(5)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.3	171
7.17	Comparison of TFRKF6(5), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK6(5)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.4	172
7.18	Comparison of TFRKF6(5), MODPHARK5, MODRK54, RK6(5)F and RK5(4)D methods when solving Problem 6.5	173

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 Location for a_{21} and b_2 which give minimum $\ \tau_g^{(4)} \ _2$	30
3.2 Location for a_{21} and b_2 which give minimum $\ \tau_g^{(4)} \ _2$	32
3.3 Location for a_{42} and a_{43} which give minimum $\ \tau_g^{(6)} \ _2$	34
3.4 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 3.1 with $X_{end}=2$	42
3.5 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 3.2 with $X_{end}=5$	42
3.6 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 3.3 with $X_{end}=2$	42
3.7 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 3.4 with $X_{end}=5$	43
3.8 Comparison of RKTG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 3.5 with $X_{end}=2$	43
3.9 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 3.1 with $X_{end}=2$	49
3.10 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 3.2 with $X_{end}=5$	49
3.11 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 3.3 with $X_{end}=1$	49
3.12 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 3.4 with $X_{end}=5$	50
3.13 Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 3.5 with $X_{end}=2$	50
3.14 Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 when solving Problem 3.1 with $X_{end}=1$	57
3.15 Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 when solving Problem 3.2 with $X_{end}=5$	57

3.16	Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 when solving Problem 3.3 with $X_{end}=1$	57
3.17	Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 when solving Problem 3.4 with $X_{end}=5$	58
3.18	Comparison of RKTG5, RK5B, RK5F, DOPRI5 and RK4 when solving Problem 3.5 with $X_{end}=2$	58
3.19	Plot of the solution y_i for problem (3.65) for $k = 2, h = 0.01$	61
3.20	Plot of the solution y_i for problem (3.65) for $k = 3, h = 0.01$	61
3.21	Plot of graph for function evaluations against step size, h for $k = 3, h = 1/10^i, i = 1 \dots 4$.	62
4.1	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F when solving Problem 3.1 with $X_{end}=5$	75
4.2	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F when solving Problem 3.2 with $X_{end}=8$	75
4.3	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F when solving Problem 3.3 with $X_{end}=10$	75
4.4	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F when solving Problem 3.4 with $X_{end}=2$	76
4.5	Comparison of RKTGD4(3), RK4(3)Z, RK4(3)M, RK4(3)D and RK4(3)F when solving Problem 3.5 with $X_{end}=3$	76
4.6	Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) when solving Problem 3.1 with $X_{end}=10$	82
4.7	Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) when solving Problem 3.2 with $X_{end}=10$	82
4.8	Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) when solving Problem 3.3 with $X_{end}=5$	82
4.9	Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) when solving Problem 3.4 with $X_{end}=2$	83
4.10	Comparison of RKTGD5(4), RK5(4)B, RK5(4)F and DOPRI5(4) when solving Problem 3.5 with $X_{end}=3$	83
5.1	Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 5.1 with $X_{end}=2$	98

5.2	Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 5.2 with $X_{end}=2$	98
5.3	Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 5.3 with $X_{end}=4$	98
5.4	Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 5.4 with $X_{end}=5$	99
5.5	Comparison of RKTGG3, RK3, RKD3 and RKZ3 when solving Problem 5.5 with $X_{end}=2$	99
5.6	Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 5.1 with $X_{end}=3$	103
5.7	Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 5.2 with $X_{end}=2$	103
5.8	Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 5.3 with $X_{end}=4$	103
5.9	Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 5.4 with $X_{end}=1$	104
5.10	Comparison of RKTG4, RKS4, RKZ4 and RKE4 when solving Problem 5.5 with $X_{end}=2$	104
6.1	Comparison for PHAFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z problem 6.1 with $b=10000$	117
6.2	Comparison for PHAFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z problem 6.2 with $b=10000$	117
6.3	Comparison for PHAFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z problem 6.3 with $b=10000$	117
6.4	Comparison for PHAFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z problem 6.4 with $b=10000$	118
6.5	Comparison for PHAFRK4, RK5B, PLRK4, RK4M and RK4Z problem 6.5 with $b=10000$	118
6.6	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.1 with $h=0.00625, 0.0125, 0.025$ and 0.05 for $b=10000$	128
6.7	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.2 with $h=0.00625, 0.0125, 0.025$ and 0.05 for $b=10000$	128

6.8	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.3 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	128
6.9	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.4 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	129
6.10	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.5 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	129
6.11	Accuracy curves for embedded 5(4) of MRK methods for Problem 6.1 with $b=10000$	139
6.12	Accuracy curves for embedded 5(4) of MRK methods for Problem 6.2 with $b=10000$	139
6.13	Accuracy curves for embedded 5(4) of MRK methods for Problem 6.3 with $b=10000$	139
6.14	Accuracy curves for embedded 5(4) of MRK methods for Problem 6.4	140
6.15	Accuracy curves for embedded 5(4) of MRK methods for Problem 6.5 with $b=10000$	140
7.1	Efficiency curves for all methods for problem 6.1 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	150
7.2	Efficiency curves for all methods for problem 6.2 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	150
7.3	Efficiency curves for all methods for problem 6.3 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	150
7.4	Efficiency curves for all methods for problem 6.4 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	151
7.5	Efficiency curves for all methods for problem 6.5 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	151
7.6	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.1 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	161
7.7	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.2 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	161
7.8	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.3 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	161
7.9	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.4 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	162

7.10	Efficiency curves of all the methods for problem 6.5 with $h=0.00625$, 0.0125 , 0.025 and 0.05 for $b=10000$	162
7.11	Accuracy curves for embedded TFRKF6(5) method for Problem 6.1 with $\mathbf{b}=10000$	174
7.12	Accuracy curves for embedded TFRKF6(5) method for Problem for Problem 6.2 with $\mathbf{b}=10000$	174
7.13	Accuracy curves for embedded TFRKF6(5) method for Problem for Problem 6.3 with $\mathbf{b}=10000$	174
7.14	Accuracy curves for embedded TFRKF6(5) method for Problem for Problem 6.4 with $\mathbf{b}=10000$	175
7.15	Accuracy curves for embedded TFRKF6(5) method for Problem for Problem 6.5 with $\mathbf{b}=10000$	175

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ODEs	Ordinary Differential Equations
IVPs	Initial Value Problems
LTE	Local Truncation Error
MAXE	Maximum Error
RK	Runge-Kutta method
RK4	Fourth-order Runge-Kutta Method
MRK	Modified Runge-Kutta Method
RKTG3	The newly derived two-stage third-order RKTG method
RK3	The three-stage third-order RK method derived by Dormand (1996)
RKD3	The five-stage third-order RK method given in Dormand (1996)
RKZ3	The five-stage third-order RK method given in Hairer et al. (2010)
RKTG4	The new four-order three-stage RKTG method
RKS4	The four-stage fourth-order RK method derived by Butcher (2008)
RKZ4	The five-stage fourth-order RK method given in Hairer et al. (2010)
RKE4	The six-stage fourth-order RK method given in Lambert (1991)
RKTG5	The new four-stage fifth-order RKTG method
RK5B	The six-stage fifth-order RK method derived by Butcher (2008)
RK5F	The six-stage fifth-order RK method derived by Lambert (1991)
DOPRI5	The four-stage fourth-order RK method given in Dormand (1996)
TFRK6(5)	The new embedded 6(5) explicit RK method with trigonometrically-fitted
RKTG4(3)	The new 4(3) pair of embedded method
RKTG5(4)	The new 5(4) pair of embedded method

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)

The ordinary differential equation is a differential equation that contains only ordinary derivatives of one or more unknown functions with respect to a single independent variable. In practice, however, few of the problems originating from the study of physical phenomena can be solved exactly.

The n -th order ODEs can be written as:

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, \dots, y^{(n-1)}), n = 2, 3, 4, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

with initial conditions:

$$y(a) = y_0$$

and

$$y^{(i)}(a) = \eta_i, 0 < i \leq n - 1, x \in [a, b]$$

while the first order ODEs can be written as:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y(x)), y(a) = y_0 \quad (1.2)$$

for $x \in [a, b]$.

In (1.2), the quantity being differentiated, y is named as the dependent variable, while the quantity with respect to which y is differentiated, x is named as independent variable.

1.2 The Initial Value Problems (IVPs)

Ordinary differential equations (ODEs) are equations that involve an unknown function with independent variable and one or more of its derivatives. ODEs arise in many contexts of engineering and science such as fluid dynamics, radioactive decay and population growth. Many theoretical and numerical studies for such equations have appeared in the literature. The analytical way to solve ODEs is via application of integration technique. However, the anti-derivatives for most realistic systems of ODEs are difficult or impossible to find. Thus, numerical methods for ODEs have attracted considerable attention.

Definition 1.1 The initial value problems (IVPs) of third order is defined as:

$$y'''(x) = f(x, y, y'), \quad (1.3)$$

with initial conditions

$$y(x_0) = y_0, \quad y'(x_0) = y'_0, \quad y''(x_0) = y''_0$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and $y_0, y'_0, y''_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 1.2 The initial value problems (IVPs) of general third order is defined as:

$$y'''(x) = f(x, y, y', y''), \quad (1.4)$$

with initial conditions

$$y(x_0) = y_0, \quad y'(x_0) = y'_0, \quad y''(x_0) = y''_0$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and $y_0, y'_0, y''_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 1.3 The initial value problems (IVPs) of system first order differential equation is defined as:

$$y'(x) = f(x, y), \quad (1.5)$$

with initial conditions

$$y(x_0) = y_0, \quad y'(x_0) = y'_0, \quad x \in [a, b]$$

where

$$y(x) = [y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_s(x)]^T$$

$$f(x, y) = [f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y), \dots, f_s(x, y)]^T,$$

and y_0 is a given vector of initial conditions and their solution is oscillatory.

One way to solve (1.3)–(1.5) is by Runge-Kutta (RK) method. RK method can be divided into two groups which are explicit and implicit methods. An easy and quick way to distinguish the type of these methods is that the implicit methods need an iteration scheme, usually Newton type iteration during the integration, whereas the explicit methods do not. Therefore, the computations for implicit methods are more expensive than explicit methods. In addition to the implementation of the methods, accuracy and stability are to further factors for judging the efficacy of a method. In this study, we are focusing on solving problem (1.3)–(1.4) by using explicit Runge-Kutta type methods for directly solving third-order differential equations and solving problem (1.5) by

using RK methods for oscillating problems.

1.3 Existence and Uniqueness of Solution

Initial value problems describe a problem together with the behavior of its path taken at some initial points of the independent variable x . Some of the characteristic of initial value problems that answer this question, as given by Butcher (2008), are existence of solution, uniqueness of the solution if it exists and the sensitivity of the solution to a small perturbation to the initial information. One of the well known conditions that guarantees these characteristics is the Lipschitz condition.

Definition 1.4 A function $f : R \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$ is said to satisfy Lipschitz condition in its second variable if there exist a constant L such that for any $x \in [a, b]$ and $y_1, y_2 \in R^d$,

$$\| f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2) \| \leq L \| y_1 - y_2 \|, \quad (1.6)$$

where L is called Lipschitz constant.

Theorem 1.1 : (Existence and Uniqueness)

Let $f(x, y(x))$ be defined and continuous \forall points $(x, y(x))$ in a domain D defined by $x \in [a, b], y \in (-\infty, \infty)$, a and b are finite, and that $f(x, y(x))$ satisfies Lipschitz condition. Then for any given number ζ , \exists a unique solution $y(x)$ of the IVP (1.3), where $\forall (x, y(x)) \in D, y(x)$ is continuous and differentiable.

The proof is given by Henrici (1962).

1.4 Runge-Kutta (RK) Methods

In the fact, Runge-Kutta method is a most common one step method for solving IVPs of first-order ODEs. The general formulation of explicit RK method is given by,

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^m b_i k_i \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$k_i = f \left(x_n + c_i h, y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} k_j \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (1.8)$$

and the following the row-sum assumption holds

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}.$$

The m -stage explicit RK method in the matrix form for first-order equations or system of equations can be written using Butcher Tableau as given in (Table 1.1)

Table 1.1: m -stage explicit Runge-Kutta method

0					
c_2	a_{21}				
c_3	a_{31}	a_{32}			
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots			
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots			
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots			
c_m	a_{m1}	a_{m2}	\dots	$a_{m,m-1}$	
	b_1	b_2	\dots	b_{m-1}	b_m

The method is said to be explicit when $a_{ij} = 0$ for $i \leq j$ and implicit otherwise.

1.4.1 Algebraic Order Conditions for RK method

The order conditions for RK method may be obtained from direct expansion of Taylor series using the Local Truncation Error (LTE). The m -stage up to order six, RK methods are given as follows:

Order 1

$$\sum b_i = 1 \quad (1.9)$$

Order 2

$$\sum b_i c_i = \frac{1}{2} \quad (1.10)$$

Order 3

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum b_i c_i^2 = \frac{1}{6} \quad (1.11)$$

Order 4

$$\frac{1}{6} \sum b_i c_i^3 = \frac{1}{24}, \sum b_i a_{ij} c_j = \frac{1}{24} \quad (1.12)$$

Order 5

$$\frac{1}{24} \sum b_i c_i^4 = \frac{1}{120}, \frac{1}{4} \sum b_i c_i a_{ij} c_j = \frac{1}{120},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum b_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{120} \quad (1.13)$$

Order 6

$$\frac{1}{120} \sum b_i c_i^5 = \frac{1}{720}, \frac{1}{20} \sum b_i c_i^2 a_{ij} c_j = \frac{1}{720},$$

$$\frac{1}{10} \sum b_i c_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{720}, \frac{1}{6} \sum b_i a_{ij} c_j^3 = \frac{1}{720}$$

$$\sum b_i a_{i,j} a_{j,k} c_k = \frac{1}{720}. \quad (1.14)$$

Definition 1.5 A Runge-Kutta method has algebraic order p , when the method's Taylor series expansion agrees with the theoretical solution Taylor series expansion in the p first term:

$$y^{(n)}(x) = y_n^n(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

A Runge-Kutta must satisfy a number of equations, in the order to have a certain algebraic order. These equations are shown during the production of the method.

1.4.2 Local Truncation Error (LTE)

Dormand (1996) mentioned that the Local Truncation Error (LTE) is the amount or value by the exact solution fails to satisfy the numerical solution.

Consider the equations

$$y(x_{n+1}) = y(x_n) + h_n \Delta(x_n, y(x_n), h_n) \quad (1.15)$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \Phi(x_n, y(x_n), h_n) \quad (1.16)$$

$$t_{n+1} = y(x_n) + h \Phi(x_n, y(x_n), h_n) - y(x_{n+1}) \quad (1.17)$$

From the above equations, the local truncation error for the Taylor series method is

$$t_{n+1} = y(x_n) + h \Phi(x_n, y(x_n), h) - (y(x_n) + h_n \Delta(x_n, y(x_n), h)) \quad (1.18)$$

then yielding

$$t_{n+1} = \{h \Phi(x_n, y(x_n), h) - \Delta(x_n, y(x_n), h)\} \quad (1.19)$$

In terms of derivatives, and using the elementary differentials notation, the p th order method has LTE as

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= - \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{h^i}{i!} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \alpha_j^{(i)} F_j^{(i)}, \\ &= - \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{h^i}{i!} y^{(i)}(x_n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

The Taylor increment can be expressed in finite form as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_n, y(x_n), h) &= y'(x_n) \frac{h}{2} y''(x_n) + \dots + \frac{h^{p-1}}{p!} y^{(p)}(x_n) \\ &+ \frac{h^p}{(p+1)!} y^{(p+1)}(x_n + \eta h), \quad \eta \in (0, 1) \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

so the LTE derives from the last term of this polynomial. The value of η is not generally. Consequently, there exist a real positive number A independent of the h -step size such

that

$$\|t_{n+1}\| \leq Ah^{p+1} \quad (1.22)$$

and for a p th order method it is usual to write

$$t_{n+1} = O(h^{p+1}). \quad (1.23)$$

for any method of type (1.16) the local truncation error may be expressed as

$$t_{n+1} = \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} h^i \varphi_{i-1}(x_n, y(x_n)) \quad (1.24)$$

where the φ_i are called error functions. Using (1.20) the Taylor series scheme has error functions

$$\varphi_i = -\frac{y^{(i+1)}}{(i+1)!} \quad (1.25)$$

where the principal error function is φ_p and will be dominant influence on the local truncation error if h is sufficiently small Dormand (1996).

The general formula for the components of the local truncation error RK processes are

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h^i \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \left(\beta_j^{(i)} - \frac{a_j^{(i)}}{i!} \right) F_j^{(i)} \right] \\ t_{n+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h^i \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \tau_i^{(i)} F_j^{(i)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

where

$$\tau_i^{(i)} = \frac{\beta_i^{(i)} - a_i^{(i)}}{i!}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$$

are called error coefficients.

Below are y error coefficients up to order four for the RK processes,

1st Order:

$$\tau_1^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1} b_i - 1 \quad (1.27)$$

2nd Order:

$$\tau_1^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1} b_i c_i - \frac{1}{2} \quad (1.28)$$

3rd Order:

$$\tau_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1} b_i c_i^2 - \frac{1}{6}, \quad (1.29)$$

$$\tau_2^{(3)} = \sum_{i,j=1} b_i a_{ij} c_j - \frac{1}{6}. \quad (1.30)$$

4th Order:

$$\tau_1^{(4)} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1} b_i c_i^3 - \frac{1}{24}, \quad (1.31)$$

$$\tau_2^{(4)} = \sum_{i,j,k=1} b_i a_{ij} a_{ik} c_k - \frac{1}{8}, \quad (1.32)$$

$$\tau_3^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1} b_i a_{ij} c_j^2 - \frac{1}{32}, \quad (1.33)$$

$$\tau_4^{(4)} = \sum_{i,j,k} b_i a_{ij} a_{jk} c_k - \frac{1}{24}. \quad (1.34)$$

1.5 Analysis of Phase-fitted and Amplification-fitted RK Method

We consider the following linear scalar equation:

$$y' = iwy. \quad (1.35)$$

The exact solution of this equation with the initial value $y(x_0) = y_0$ satisfies

$$y(x_0 + h) = R(H) y_0, \quad (1.36)$$

where $R(H) = \exp(H)$. This means that after a period of time h , the exact solution experiences a phase advance $H = wh$ and the amplification remains constant. When applying the RK method (1.8) to (1.35) yield

$$y_{n+1} = R(H) y_0, \quad (1.37)$$

where

$$R(H) = 1 + Hb^T (I - HA)^{-1} e, \quad e = (1, \dots, 1)^T. \quad (1.38)$$

The numerical solution attain a phase advance $\arg R(H)$ and the amplification factor $|R(H)|$ where $R(H)$ is called the stability function of the method (1.8).

Denote the real and imaginary part of $R(H)$ by $U(H)$ and $V(H)$ respectively. Then, for small h we have

$$U(H) = 1 - H^2(b^T A e) + H^4(b^T A^3 e) - H^6(b^T A^5 e) + \dots, \quad (1.39)$$

$$V(H) = H(b^T e) - H^3(b^T A^2 e) + H^5(b^T A^4 e) - H^7(b^T A^6 e) - \dots. \quad (1.40)$$

For small h , $\arg R(H) = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{V(H)}{U(H)} \right)$ and $|R(H)| = \sqrt{U^2(H) + V^2(H)}$. van der Houwen and Sommeijer (1987) stated, the quantities

$$P(v) = v - \arg R(H), \quad D(v) = 1 - |R(H)| \quad (1.41)$$

which are called the phase-lag (or dispersion) and the error of amplification factor (or dissipation) of the method, respectively. If

$$P(H) = O(H^{q+1}), \quad D(H) = O(H^{p+1}), \quad (1.42)$$

then the method is called dispersive of order q and dissipative of order p , respectively. If

$$P(H) = 0, \quad D(H) = 0, \quad (1.43)$$

the method is called phase-fitted (or zero-dispersive) and amplification-fitted (or zero-dissipative), respectively. It is interesting to consider the phase properties of the update of the scheme (1.1). Suppose that the internal stages have been exact for the linear equation (1.35), that is, $Y_i = \exp(c_i H) y_0$, then the update gives

$$R(H) = 1 + H \sum_{i=1}^s b_i(H) \exp(c_i H). \quad (1.44)$$

Denote the real and imaginary part of $R(H)$ by $U(H)$ and $V(H)$, respectively. Then, for small h ,

$$U(H) = 1 - H \sum_{i=1}^s b_i(H) \sin(c_i H), \quad (1.45)$$

$$V(H) = H \sum_{i=1}^s b_i(H) \cos(c_i H). \quad (1.46)$$

Theorem 1.2 *The method (1.8) is phase-fitted and amplification-fitted if and only if*

$$U(H) = \cos(H), \quad V(H) = \sin(H) \quad (1.47)$$

1.6 Modified Runge-Kutta (MRK) Method

An explicit m -stage MRK formula is given by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(x_n + c_i h, Y_i) \quad (1.48)$$

where

$$Y_i = g_i y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} f(x_n + c_j h, Y_j). \quad (1.49)$$

The method is said to be explicit when $a_{ij} = 0$ for $i \leq j$ and implicit otherwise. The method in (1.48) and (1.49) can be reduced into Butcher tableau form (see Table 1.2)

Table 1.2: m -stage modified explicit Runge-Kutta method

0						
c_2	g_2	a_{21}				
c_3	g_3	a_{31}	a_{32}			
\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot			
\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot			
\cdot	\cdot	\cdot	\cdot			
c_m	g_m	a_{m1}	a_{m2}	\dots	$a_{m,m-1}$	
		b_1	b_2	\dots	b_{m-1}	b_m

1.7 Analysis of Phase-Lag of the MRK Method

To develop the MRK method, we utilize the test equation (1.35) based on van der Houwen and Sommeijer (1987). Then, we compare the theoretical solution and the numerical solution for this equation. By requiring that the solutions are in phase with maximal order in the step-size h , we derive the so-called dispersion relation. Applying the method (1.48) and (1.49) to the test equation (1.35) we obtain

$$y_n = a_*^n y_0$$

with

$$a_* = A_m(H^2) + iHB_m(H^2), H = wh \quad (1.50)$$

The amplification factor is $a_* = a_*(H)$, and y_n denotes the approximation to $y(x_n)$. A comparison of (1.50) with the solution of (1.35) leads to the following definition of the dispersion or phase error or phase-lag and the amplification error.

Definition 1.6 An explicit m -stage MRK, presented in Table (1.2) where the quantities:

$$t(H) = H - \arg[a_*(H)], \quad a(H) = 1 - |a_*(H)| \quad (1.51)$$

are called the dispersion or phase error or phase-lag and the amplification error respectively. If $t(H) = O(H^{r+1})$, and $a(H) = O(H^{s+1})$ then the method is said to be phase-lag of order r and dissipative of order s .

From the (1.51) it follows that,

$$a(H) = 1 - \sqrt{[A_m^2(H^2) + H^2 B_m^2(H^2)]}. \quad (1.52)$$

Meanwhile, for the modified Runge-Kutta method given in Table 1.2, the following formula is used for the direct calculation of the phase-lag order r and the phase-lag constant q

$$\tan(H) - H \left[\frac{B_m(H^2)}{A_m(H^2)} \right] = qH^{r+1} + O(H^{s+3}). \quad (1.53)$$

The analysis of phase-fitted (dispersion of order infinity) and amplification-fitted (dissipation of order infinity) are based on dispersion and dissipation quantities that have discussed above. The modified RK method is phase-fitted and amplification-fitted if the following conditions hold:

$$t(H) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a(H) = 0.$$

1.8 Absolute Stability Analysis for MRK

Consider the test problem of differential equation (1.35) and applying to MRK (1.48) and (1.49) form, then we have

$$Y_i = g_i y_n + \hat{h} \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} Y_j \quad (1.54)$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \hat{h} \sum_{i=1}^s b_i Y_i \quad (1.55)$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_s]^T, \mathbf{g} = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s]^T$$

Substitute in (1.55) gives

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \hat{h} [b_1 Y_1 + b_2 Y_2 + \dots + b_s Y_s]$$

or

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \hat{h} [b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_s] \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_s \end{bmatrix}$$

Substitute in (1.54) then

$$Y_1 = g_1 y_n + \hat{h} [a_{11} Y_1 + a_{12} Y_2 + \dots + a_{1s} Y_s]$$

$$Y_2 = g_2 y_n + \hat{h} [a_{21} Y_1 + a_{22} Y_2 + \dots + a_{2s} Y_s]$$

$$Y_s = g_s y_n + \hat{h}[a_{s1}Y_1 + a_{s2}Y_2 + \dots + a_{ss}Y_s]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_s \end{bmatrix} = y_n \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_s \end{bmatrix} + \hat{h} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1s} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{s1} & \dots & a_{ss} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_s \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y = y_n G + \hat{h} A Y, \quad (1.56)$$

$$Y = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_s], \quad G = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s],$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \hat{h} B Y, \quad (1.57)$$

where

$$B = [b_1 b_2 \dots b_s].$$

From (1.56)

$$Y - \hat{h} A Y = y_n G,$$

$$(I - \hat{h} A) Y = y_n G,$$

$$Y = (I - \hat{h} A)^{-1} y_n G. \quad (1.58)$$

Substitute (1.58) in (1.57), we have

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \hat{h} B (I - \hat{h} A)^{-1} y_n G,$$

$$Y_{n+1} = \left[1 + \hat{h} B (I - \hat{h} A)^{-1} G \right] Y_n. \quad (1.59)$$

1.9 Trigonometrically-fitting Explicit MRK Method

A generalized explicit modified Runge-Kutta MRK method formula is given in (1.48) and (1.49) led us to the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3 (see Liu et al. (2013))

Method (1.49) is of exponential order p if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\cos(v) + i \sin(v) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^s (iv)^k bA^{k-1} e, \quad (1.60)$$

where $v = w_i h$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$.

Definition 1.7 A Runge-Kutta method that integrates exactly the function e^{iwx} and e^{-iwx} or equivalently $\sin(wx)$ and $\cos(wx)$ with $w > 0$ the principal frequency of the problem when applied to the test equation $y' = iwy$ is said to be trigonometrically-fitted.

Let $y_n = e^{iwx_n}$ be the solution that integrate the ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} y'(x) &= f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0, \\ y'(x_0) &= y'_0, \quad x \in [a, b] \end{aligned} \quad (1.61)$$

Computing the value of y_{n+1} , y'_n and substitution in (1.49) we have:

$$e^v = 1 + iv \sum_{i=1}^m b_i g_i - v^2 \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} (Y_j e^{-iwx_n}). \quad (1.62)$$

where $v = wh$. Using the formula :

$$e^v = \cos(v) + i \sin(v) \quad (1.63)$$

and by comparing the real and imaginary part we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(v) &= 1 - v^2 \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} (Y_j e^{-iwx_n}), \\ \sin(v) &= v \sum_{i=1}^m b_i g_i. \end{aligned} \quad (1.64)$$

1.10 Embedded Runge-Kutta Methods

An explicit m -stage Runge-Kutta formula is given by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(x_n + c_i h, Y_i) \quad (1.65)$$

where

$$Y_i = y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} f(x_n + c_j h, Y_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (1.66)$$

with the associated Butcher tableau (see Table (1.1)), or in matrix form

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \end{array}$$

where A is matrix $(a_{i,j})_{m \times m}$, $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)^T$, $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$. In RK method the embedded pair $q(p)$ is based on the RK method (c, A, b) of order q and another RK method (c, A, b^*) of order $p < q$. An embedded pair is characterized by Butcher tableau

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \\ & b^* \end{array}$$

An embedded pair of explicit Runge-Kutta method is used in variable step-size algorithm because its provide a cheap error estimation. From embedded method we obtain an estimate

$$EST_{n+1} = \| y_{n+1} - y_{n+1}^* \| . \quad (1.67)$$

For the numerical integration of the equation (??) we used step-size control procedure by Raptis and Cash (1985):

- if $EST_{n+1} < \frac{Tol}{100}$, $h_{n+1} = 2h_n$,
- if $\frac{Tol}{100} \leq EST_{n+1} < Tol$, $h_{n+1} = h_n$,
- if $EST_{n+1} \geq Tol$, $h_{n+1} = \frac{h_n}{2}$ and repeat the step,

where Tol is the requested local error. It should be noted that the q th-order approximation y_n is used as the initial value for the $(n+1)$ th step, that mean the embedded pair is applied in local extrapolation mode or higher order mode.

1.11 Problem Statement

Initial value problem (IVP) of third-order ODEs of the form $y''' = f(x, y, y')$ where the second derivative do not appear explicitly and the general third-order ODEs $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$ often arise in many fields of applied sciences such as electromagnetic wave, thin film flow and gravity driven flow. The aim of this research is to develop algebraic order conditions for Runge-Kutta type methods to directly solve third-order ODEs $y''' = f(x, y, y')$ and $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$ and then derive a Runge-Kutta method based on the order conditions developed.

1.12 Scope of Study

First of all, the main purpose of this research is to construct Runge-Kutta type methods for directly solving third-order ODEs of the form $y''' = f(x, y, y')$ and general third-order ODEs of the form $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$. Then, the secondary aim for this research is to solve first-order ordinary differential equations (1.5) in which the solutions exhibit a pronounced oscillatory character and we are focusing on solving problem (1.5) by using explicit Runge-Kutta and modified Runge-Kutta methods for oscillatory problems with phase-fitted, amplification-fitted and trigonometrically-fitted techniques for constant and variable step size mode.

1.13 Objectives of the Study

This study concerns on the derivation of new and efficient codes that are based on the explicit RK method for solving systems of third-order ODE directly for both constant and variable step size and to develop an improved numerical methods based on RK method that can accurately and efficiently integrate first-order IVPs whose the solution is oscillatory in nature. Specifically, we suggest the following:

- To develop algebraic order conditions and to derive Runge-Kutta type methods for directly solving third-order ordinary differential equations $y''' = f(x, y, y')$.
- To construct embedded explicit Runge-Kutta type methods for directly solving third-order ordinary differential equations $y''' = f(x, y, y')$.
- To develop algebraic order conditions and to derive Runge-Kutta type methods for directly solving general third-order ordinary differential equations $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$.
- To derive phase-fitted and amplification-fitted explicit Runge-Kutta and modified Runge-Kutta (MRK) method for solving oscillatory first order ordinary differential equations.
- To construct embedded high order phase-fitted MRK method for solving oscillatory first order ordinary differential equations.

- To derive trigonometrically-fitted explicit Runge-Kutta and modified Runge-Kutta methods for solving oscillatory first-order ordinary differential equations.
- To construct embedded high order trigonometrically-fitted RK method for solving oscillatory first-order ordinary differential equations.

1.14 Outline of Thesis

In Chapter 1, a concise introduction on ordinary differential equations and development of the numerical methods, basic theory on algebraic order of RK method, dispersion order, dissipation order and local truncation error (LTE) for RK are discussed.

In Chapter 2, we are dealing with the review of the numerical methods for solving third-order and general third-order ODEs. Followed by with the review of the earlier works on numerical methods for solving first-order ODEs.

In Chapter 3, we derive two-stage third-order, three-stage fourth-order and four-stage fifth-order with algebraic order up to order six for solving directly third-order ODEs. The development of the new RK type method and the construction of order conditions for solving third-order ODEs of the form $y''' = f(x, y, y')$ are discussed. The numerical outcomes of the new methods for solving directly third-order ODEs with form $y''' = f(x, y, y')$ have been compared with methods that reduced the third-order ODEs to the system of first-order ODEs.

In Chapter 4, we construct the embedded pairs for RKTG methods for variable step-size where the higher order of the methods are based on the methods derived in Chapter 3. The methods have been compared with embedded existing RK methods for solving third-order ODEs.

In Chapter 5, the development of derive three-stage third-order and four-stage fourth-order and we discussed the strategies for obtained the new methods. The results have been compared with existing methods for directly solving general third-order ODEs of the form $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$.

In Chapter 6, we debated the phase-fitted and amplification-fitted for explicit RK and MRK methods. We also discuss the embedded pair of phase-fitted MRK. The choice of free parameters in getting the optimized pair are also discussed. The numerical results have been obtained and compared with existing methods.

In Chapter 7, we discussed the trigonometrically-fitted RK and MRK. Moreover, we discuss the embedded pair for explicit RK. The numerical outcomes compared with existing methods for solving oscillatory problems.

Lastly, the summary of the entire thesis, conclusions and future studies are given in Chapter 8.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anastassi, Z. and Simos, T. (2004). A dispersive-fitted and dissipative-fitted explicit runge-kutta method for the numerical solution of orbital problems. *New Astronomy*, 10(1):31–37.
- Anastassi, Z. and Simos, T. (2005a). Trigonometrically fitted runge-kutta methods for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation. *Journal of mathematical chemistry*, 37(3):281–293.
- Anastassi, Z., Vlachos, D., and Simos, T. (2009). A family of runge-kutta methods with zero phase-lag and derivatives for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation and related problems. *Journal of mathematical chemistry*, 46(4):1158–1171.
- Anastassi, Z. A. and Kosti, A. (2015). A 6 (4) optimized embedded runge-kutta-nyström pair for the numerical solution of periodic problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 275:311–320.
- Anastassi, Z. A. and Simos, T. E. (2005b). Trigonometrically fitted fifth-order runge-kutta methods for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation. *Mathematical and computer modelling*, 42(7):877–886.
- Avdelas, G., Simos, T., and Vigo-Aguiar, J. (2000). An embedded exponentially-fitted runge-kutta method for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation and related periodic initial-value problems. *Computer Physics Communications*, 131(1-2):52–67.
- Awoyemi, D. and Idowu, O. (2005). A class of hybrid collocation methods for third-order ordinary differential equations. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 82(10):1287–1293.
- Berghe, G. V., De Meyer, H., Van Daele, M., and Van Hecke, T. (2000). Exponentially fitted runge-kutta methods. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 125(1):107–115.
- Bettis, D. (1979). Runge-kutta algorithms for oscillatory problems. *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik ZAMP*, 30(4):699–704.
- Biazar, J., Babolian, E., and Islam, R. (2004). Solution of the system of ordinary differential equations by adomian decomposition method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 147(3):713–719.
- Boatto, S., Kadanoff, L. P., and Olla, P. (1993). Traveling-wave solutions to thin-film equations. *Physical Review E*, 48(6):4423.
- Butcher, J. C. (2008). *Numerical methods for ordinary differential equations*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Chawla, M. and Rao, P. (1985). High-accuracy p-stable methods for $y = f(t, y)$. *IMA journal of numerical analysis*, 5(2):215–220.

- Chen, Z., You, X., Shu, X., and Zhang, M. (2012). A new family of phase-fitted and amplification-fitted runge-kutta type methods for oscillators. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2012.
- Dormand, J. R. (1996). *Numerical methods for differential equations: a computational approach*, volume 3. CRC Press.
- Dormand, J. R. and Prince, P. J. (1980). A family of embedded runge-kutta formulae. *Journal of computational and applied mathematics*, 6(1):19–26.
- Duffy, B. and Wilson, S. (1997). A third-order differential equation arising in thin-film flows and relevant to tanner’s law. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 10(3):63–68.
- El-Mikkawy, M. and Rahmo, E.-D. (2003). A new optimized non-fsal embedded runge–kutta–nystrom algorithm of orders 6 and 4 in six stages. *Applied mathematics and computation*, 145(1):33–43.
- Faieza, S. and Ismail, F. (2011). An embedded explicit hybrid method for ordinary differential equations. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 8(1):32–36.
- Fang, Y., Song, Y., and Wu, X. (2008). New embedded pairs of explicit runge–kutta methods with fsal properties adapted to the numerical integration of oscillatory problems. *Physics Letters A*, 372(44):6551–6559.
- Fang, Y., You, X., and Ming, Q. (2013). A new phase-fitted modified runge–kutta pair for the numerical solution of the radial schrödinger equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 224:432–441.
- Fang, Y., You, X., and Ming, Q. (2014). Trigonometrically fitted two-derivative runge-kutta methods for solving oscillatory differential equations. *Numerical Algorithms*, 65(3):651–667.
- Fawzi, F., Senu, N., Ismail, F., and Majid, Z. (2016a). New efficient phase-fitted and amplification-fitted runge-kutta method for oscillatory problems. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 107(1):1314–3395.
- Fawzi, F. A., Senu, N., Ismail, F., and Majid, Z. A. (2016b). New phase-fitted and amplification-fitted modified runge-kutta method for solving oscillatory problems. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 12(2):1229–1242.
- Fehlberg, E. (1968). Classical fifth-, sixth-, seventh-, and eighth-order runge-kutta formulas with stepsize.
- Fehlberg, E. (1969). Low-order classical runge-kutta formulas with stepsize control and their application to some heat transfer problems.
- Franco, J. (2003). A 5 (3) pair of explicit arkn methods for the numerical integration of perturbed oscillators. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 161(2):283–293.
- Franco, J. (2004). Runge–kutta methods adapted to the numerical integration of oscillatory problems. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 50(3):427–443.

- Franco, J., Khiar, Y., and Rández, L. (2015). Two new embedded pairs of explicit runge-kutta methods adapted to the numerical solution of oscillatory problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 252:45–57.
- Gander, W. and Gruntz, D. (1999). Derivation of numerical methods using computer algebra. *SIAM review*, 41(3):577–593.
- Goeken, D. and Johnson, O. (1999). Fifth-order runge-kutta with higher order derivative approximations. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2(November):1–9.
- Guo, Y.-J. L. (2007). A third order equation arising in the falling film. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 11(3):pp–637.
- Hairer, E., Nørsett, S. P., and Wanner, G. (2010). *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations: Nonstiff problems. v. 2: Stiff and differential-algebraic problems*. Springer Verlag.
- Henrici, P. (1962). Discrete variable methods in ordinary differential equations.
- Hussain, K. A., Ismail, F., Senu, N., Rabiei, F., and Ibrahim, R. (2015). Integration for special third-order ordinary differential equations using improved runge-kutta direct method. *Malaysian Journal of Science*, 34(2):172–179.
- Ibrahim, Z. B., Othman, K. I., and Suleiman, M. (2007). Implicit r-point block backward differentiation formula for solving first-order stiff odes. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 186(1):558–565.
- Ismail, F. and Suleiman, M. B. (1998). Embedded singly diagonally implicit runge-kutta methods (4, 5) in (5, 6). for the integration of stiff systems of odes. *International journal of computer mathematics*, 66(3-4):325–341.
- Jator, S. N. (2010). Solving second order initial value problems by a hybrid multistep method without predictors. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 217(8):4036–4046.
- Lambert, J. D. (1991). *Numerical methods for ordinary differential systems: the initial value problem*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Langkah, K. B. D.-T. E., Majid, Z. A., Azmi, N. A., Suleiman, M., and Ibrahim, Z. B. (2012). Solving directly general third order ordinary differential equations using two-point four step block method. *Sains Malaysiana*, 41(5):623–632.
- Liu, S., Zheng, J., and Fang, Y. (2013). A new modified embedded 5 (4) pair of explicit runge-kutta methods for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation. *Journal of Mathematical chemistry*, 51(3):937–953.
- Mechee, M., Ismail, F., Siri, Z., and Senu, N. (2014a). A third-order direct integrators of runge-kutta type for special third-order and delay differential equations. *Asian Journal of Applied Sciences*, 7:102–116.
- Mechee, M., Ismail, F., Siri, Z., Senu, N., and Serdang, S. (2014b). A four stage sixth-order rkd method for directly solving special third order ordinary differential equations. *Life Sci. J*, 11(3).

- Mechee, M., Senu, N., Ismail, F., Nikouravan, B., and Siri, Z. (2013). A three-stage fifth-order runge-kutta method for directly solving special third-order differential equation with application to thin film flow problem. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013.
- Mehrkanoon, S. (2011). A direct variable step block multistep method for solving general third-order odes. *Numerical Algorithms*, 57(1):53–66.
- Momoniati, E. and Mahomed, F. (2010). Symmetry reduction and numerical solution of a third-order ode from thin film flow. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 15(4):709–719.
- Moo, K., Senu, N., Ismail, F., and Suleiman, M. (2013). New phase-fitted and amplification-fitted fourth-order and fifth-order runge-kutta-nyström methods for oscillatory problems. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2013. Hindawi Publishing Corporation.
- Myers, T. G. (1998). Thin films with high surface tension. *Siam Review*, 40(3):441–462.
- Paternoster, B. (1998). Runge-kutta (-nyström) methods for odes with periodic solutions based on trigonometric polynomials. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 28(2):401–412.
- Prince, P. and Dormand, J. (1981). High order embedded runge-kutta formulae. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 7(1):67–75.
- Raptis, A. and Cash, J. (1985). A variable step method for the numerical integration of the one-dimensional schrödinger equation. *Computer physics communications*, 36(2):113–119.
- Sakas, D. and Simos, T. E. (2005). A fifth algebraic order trigonometrically-fitted modified runge-kutta zonneveld method for the numerical solution of orbital problems. *Mathematical and computer modelling*, 42(7):903–920.
- Senu, N., Mechee, M., Ismail, F., and Siri, Z. (2014). Embedded explicit runge-kutta type methods for directly solving special third order differential equations $y = f(x, y)$. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 240:281–293.
- Senu, N., Suleiman, M., and Ismail, F. (2009). An embedded explicit runge-kutta-nyström method for solving oscillatory problems. *Physica Scripta*, 80(1):015005.
- Simos, T. (1993). A runge-kutta fehlberg method with phase-lag of order infinity for initial-value problems with oscillating solution. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 25(6):95–101.
- Simos, T. (1998). An exponentially-fitted runge-kutta method for the numerical integration of initial-value problems with periodic or oscillating solutions. *Computer Physics Communications*, 115(1):1–8.
- Simos, T. (2005). A family of fifth algebraic order trigonometrically fitted runge-kutta methods for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation. *Computational Materials Science*, 34(4):342–354.

- Simos, T. and Aguiar, J. V. (2001a). A modified phase-fitted runge–kutta method for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 30(1):121–131.
- Simos, T. E. and Aguiar, J. V. (2001b). A modified runge–kutta method with phase-lag of order infinity for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation and related problems. *Computers & chemistry*, 25(3):275–281.
- Stiefel, E. and Bettis, D. (1969). Stabilization of cowell’s method. *Numerische Mathematik*, 13(2):154–175.
- Troy, W. C. (1993). Solutions of third-order differential equations relevant to draining and coating flows. *SIAM journal on mathematical analysis*, 24(1):155–171.
- Tsitouras, C. and Simos, T. (2002). Optimized runge–kutta pairs for problems with oscillating solutions. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 147(2):397–409.
- Tuck, E. and Schwartz, L. (1990). A numerical and asymptotic study of some third-order ordinary differential equations relevant to draining and coating flows. *SIAM review*, 32(3):453–469.
- Van De Vyver, H. (2005). An embedded 5 (4) pair of modified explicit runge–kutta methods for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 16(06):879–894.
- Van de Vyver, H. (2006). An embedded phase-fitted modified runge–kutta method for the numerical integration of the radial schrödinger equation. *Physics Letters A*, 352(4):278–285.
- van der Houwen, P. J. and Sommeijer, B. P. (1987). Explicit runge-kutta (-nyström) methods with reduced phase errors for computing oscillating solutions. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 24(3):595–617.
- Varlamov, V. V. (1997). The third-order nonlinear evolution equation governing wave propagation in relaxing media. *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 99(1):25–48.
- Waeleh, N., Majid, Z. A., and Ismail, F. (2011). A new algorithm for solving higher order ivps of odes. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 5:2795–2805.
- Yap, L. K., Ismail, F., and Senu, N. (2014). An accurate block hybrid collocation method for third order ordinary differential equations. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2014.
- You, X. and Chen, Z. (2013). Direct integrators of runge–kutta type for special third-order ordinary differential equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 74:128–150.
- You, X., Zhang, Y., and Zhao, J. (2011). Trigonometrically-fitted scheifele two-step methods for perturbed oscillators. *Computer Physics Communications*, 182(7):1481–1490.
- Zhang, Y., Che, H., Fang, Y., and You, X. (2013). A new trigonometrically fitted two-derivative runge-kutta method for the numerical solution of the schrödinger equation and related problems. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2013.