

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

MORPHOLOGY OF SALIVARY GLANDS AND ENZYMATIC PROFILES OF WHITE (Aerodramus fuciphagus) AND BLACK (Aerodramus maximus) EDIBLE BIRD'S NEST SWIFTLETS

HELEN ANAK MITIN

FPV 2013 23

# MORPHOLOGY OF SALIVARY GLANDS AND ENZYMATIC PROFILES OF WHITE (Aerodramus fuciphagus) AND BLACK (Aerodramus maximus) EDIBLE BIRD'S NEST SWIFTLETS



By

HELEN ANAK MITIN

# MASTER OF VETERINARY SCIENCE UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

2013



## MORPHOLOGY OF SALIVARY GLANDS AND ENZYMATIC PROFILES OF WHITE (Aerodramus fuciphagus) AND BLACK (Aerodramus maximus) EDIBLE BIRD'S NEST SWIFTLETS



Dy

**HELEN ANAK MITIN** 

Thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, University Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Veterinary Science.

**July 2013** 

## COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of University Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained withit the thesis for non-comerscial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made within express, prior, written of University Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © University Putra Malaysia



I wish to dedicate this thesis to my late father Mr. Mitin Anak Lakid And my beloved mother Mdm. Mohim Anak Nobek For their passion and compassion in nurturing the interest and knowledge by savoring only for the best in their children The pillar of my strength, Hermisen Anak Ina For your endless attention, love and devotion in this life

And to my superheroes

Clarisse Ulyssa and Isaac Victor

May this will be your inspiration and motivation for your future endeavours

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of University Putra Malaysia in Fulfilment of the requirement for the Master of Veterinary Science

## MORPHOLOGY OF SALIVARY GLANDS AND ENZYMATIC PROFILES OF WHITE (Aerodramus fuciphagus) AND BLACK (Aerodramus maximus) EDIBLE BIRD'S NEST SWIFTLETS

By

Helen anak Mitin

July 2013

### Chairman : Intan Shameha Binti Abdul Razak, PhD

Faculty : Veterinary Medicine

Swiftlets are birds within the swift family Apodidae (<u>Genus</u>: Aerodramus) where nine species of *Aerodramus* use their saliva to build nest but only two species produce the edible bird's nest (EBN), the *A. fuciphagus* and *A. maximus*. In Malaysia, *A. fuciphagus* the producer of white EBN are ranched in special houses equipped with bird calling system to attract the bird and with suitable in house temperature, darkness and humidity. The *A. maximus*, the producer of the black EBN is commonly found in the Borneo (Sabah and Sarawak) natural caves. The nest is black because 50 to 60 % of the nest consists of black feathers being glued together by saliva. Since both swiftlets come from the same species, the hypotheses of this study were to show that (1) There is no significance difference in the morphology of salivary glands between *A. fuciphagus* and *A. maximus*, (2) there are different reaction and characterization of enzymes assays between the EBN of *A. fuciphagus* and *ultrastructure* of the gland between female and male of *A. fuciphagus*. The objectives



of this study were (1) to define the morphology of salivary glands of A. fuciphagus and A. maximus, (2) to evaluate the presence and intensity of enzymes in the EBN of A. fuciphagus and A. maximus and (3) to define the ultrastructure of salivary glands in male and female A. *fuciphagus* and determine their intensity of enzymes. The A. fuciphagus and A. maximus birds were caught in selected areas of Terengganu and Gomantong Caves, Sabah, respectively. A total of 16 swiftlets were used in this study of which eight (8) was A. fuchipagus and another eight (8) were A. maximus. Birds were sacrificed using 15 mg/kg phenobarbarbitone (Doletal<sup>®</sup>, Vetoquinol) intramusculary before the salivary glands were removed and processed accordingly for microscopic and enzymatic evaluations. The histochemical staining (Alcian Blue pH 1.0, Alcian Blue pH 2.5, PAS Technique, Alcian Blue- PAS, Alcian Blue-Aldehyde fuschin) and routine Haematoxylin and Eosin staining were used for microscopic evaluations. Comparative enzymatic evaluation was also done on EBN of both species by using a semi-qualititative micro method colour metric test kits. The salivary glands of male and female A. fuciphagus were also collected for scanning electron microscope (SEM), transmission electron microscope (TEM) and comparative enzymatic of the salivary glands also was done using the semiqualititative micro method colour metric test kits. The results showed that the major gland (submandibular gland) was larger and it may vary in size. The glands stained using Alcian blue pH 1.0 and pH 2.5 showed a stronger reaction intensity of all the glangs Alcian blue pH 2.5 indicated that the glands consist of more carboxyl than sulphated mucins. In the lingual gland, the preglottal portion showed stronger reaction than the lingual portion. The glands were stained blue with Alcian Blue-PAS staining indicating the glands were acidic mucin. The Aldehyde Fuschin-Alcian Blue pH 2.5 staining showed the blue discoloration of the glands indicated that the

glands were carboxylated mucin and in PAS staining. Generally all the glands were strongly stained with magenta dicoloration indicating that the presence of glycogen. The salivary glands of both A. fuciphagus and A.maximus were acidic carboxylated mucin type. Qualitative enzymatic profilling showed that eight (8) types of digestive enzymes detected the in white EBN, while in black EBN there were ten (10) digestive enzymes detected using the ApiZYM<sup>®</sup>. The Black EBN showed better positive reaction compared to the white EBN. Moderate enzymes intensity (2+ to 4+) was detected for alkaline phosphatase, acid phosphatase and ß-galactosidase. Descriptive ultrastucture evaluation of the salivary glands in both male and female swiftlets showed no morphologically difference. Both sexes of the birds also showed high enzymes intensity (4+ to 5+) compared to a standard color chart and graded on the qualitative 0 to 5+ scale (reactions with reading of >3 (20 nmols) were considered strongly positive; 1 and 2 were considered weakly positive; and 0 was considered as negative/no activity) for alkaline phosphatase, leucinearylamidase, valinearylamidase, acid phosphatase, Naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase, ßgalactosidase and ß-glucuronidase in all oral glands. These studies provides a fundamental knowledge about the anatomical differences of the salivary glands in the white (A. fuciphagus) and black (A. maximus) and the enzymatic profilling of the salivary gland and EBN which can be used or manipulated to boost the swiftlets industry.

vii

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains Veterinar

### Morfologi Kelenjar Air Liur Walit Dan Profil Enzim Sarang Burung Walit Putih (*Aerodramus fuciphagus*) dan Hitam (*Aerodramus maximus*)

Oleh

**Helen Anak Mitin** 

**July 2013** 

## Pengerusi

Fakulti

# : Perubatan Veterinar

: Intan Shameha Binti Abdul Razak, PhD

Terdapat sembilan spesis burung walit daripada keluarga *Apodidae* (Genus: *Aerodramus*) yang menggunakan air liur untuk membina sarang tetapi hanya dua spesies yang menghasilkan sarang burung walit (SBW) yang boleh dimakan iaitu *A. fuciphagus* dan *A. maximus*. Di Malaysia, pengeluar putih SBW *A. fuciphagus* diternak di rumah khas yang dilengkapi dengan sistem bunyi untuk panggilan burung, rumah suhu, kegelapan dan peratus kelembapan yang sesuai. Manakala, pengeluar SBW hitam (*A. maximus*) biasanya ditemui di gua semula jadi kepulauam Borneo (Sabah dan Sarawak). Sarang ini berwarna hitam kerana 10 hingga 20% daripada sarang terdiri daripada bulu pelepah hitam yang dilekatkan bersama-sama dengan air liur. Oleh sebab kedua dua burung walit ini adalah dari Genus yang sama, hipotesis bagi kajian ini adalah untuk menunjukkan bahawa (1) tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan dalam morfologi kelenjar air liur di antara *A. fuciphagus* dan *A. maximus*, (2) terdapat reaksi dan pencirian enzim yang berbeza di antara SBW hitam dan SBW putih (3) tidak ada perbezaan ketara dalam pencirian enzim dan ultrastruktur kelenjar air liur betina dan jantan burung walit *A. fuciphagus*. Oleh yang



demikian, objektif kajian ini adalah (1) untuk menentukan morfologi kelenjar air liur A. fuciphagus dan A. maximus, (2) untuk menilai kehadiran dan intensiti enzim dalam SBW A. fuciphagus dan A. maximus dan (3) menentukan ultrastruktur kelenjar air liur dalam jantan dan betina A. fuciphagus dan menentukan intensiti reaksi enzim kelenjar air liur tersebut. A. fuciphagus dan A. maximus telah ditangkap di Terengganu dan Gua Gomantong, Sabah. Sebanyak 16 burung layang-layang telah digunakan di dalam kajian ini di mana lapan (8) adalah A. fuciphagus dan lapan (8) A. maximus. Burung dikorbankan menggunakan adalah 15 mg kg phenobarbarbitone (Doletal<sup>®</sup>, Vetoquinol) secara intramuskular sebelum kelenjar air liur telah dikeluarkan dan diproses sewajarnya untuk penilaian mikroskopik dan enzim. Perwarnaan histokimia (Alcian Blue pH 1.0, Alcian Blue pH 2.5, PAS Teknik, Alcian Blue-PAS, Alcian Blue-aldehid fuschin) dan rutin Haematoxylin dan Eosin telah digunakan untuk penilaian mikroskopik. Penilaian enzim dilakukan pada SBW kedua-dua spesies dengan menggunakan kaedah ujian semi kuantitatif kit mikro warna metrik. Kelenjar air liur jantan dan betina A. fuciphagus turut dikumpulkan untuk mikroskop imbasan elektron (SEM), mikroskop elektron transmisi (TEM) dan perbandingan enzim kelenjar air liur juga dilakukan dengan menggunakan kaedah ujian semi kuantitatif kit mikro warna metrik. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kelenjar utama (kelenjar submandibular) adalah kelenjar yang terbesar dan setiap burung mempunyai saiz yang berbeza. Perwarnaan histokimia Alcian biru pH 1.0 dan pH 2.5 menunjukkan reaksi lebih kuat dengan perwarnaan Alcian biru pH 2.5 pada semua kelenjar yang menunjukkan bahawa kelenjar terdiri daripada kelenjar mucin berkarboksil. Dalam kelenjar lidah, bahagian preglottal menunjukkan reaksi kuat daripada bahagian lingual. Perwarnaan Alcian Blue-PAS pula menunjukkan kelenjar air liur adalah mucin berasid. Perwarnaan Aldehid

Fuschin-Alcian Blue pH 2.5 menunjukkan semua kelenjar berwarna biru yang menunjukkan bahawa kelenjar-kelenjar ini adalah mucin berkarboksi. Perwarnaan dengan PAS pula menunjukkan semua kelenjar diwarnakan dengan warna magenta kerana kehadiran glikogen. Kelenjar air liur kedua-dua A. fuciphagus dan A. maximus adalah jenis kelenjar mucin karboksi yang berasid. Profil enzim kualitatif menunjukkan bahawa lapan (8) jenis enzim pencernaan dikesan dalam SBW putih, manakala di SBW hitam terdapat sepuluh (10) enzim pencernaan dikesan menggunakan ApiZYM<sup>®</sup>. The SBW Hitam menunjukkan reaksi yang lebih baik positif berbanding SBW putih. Keputusan intensiti sederhana enzim (2 + 4 +) telah dikesan untuk phosphatase alkali, phosphatase asid dan B-galactosidase. Penilaian deskriptif ultrastruktur kelenjar air liur burung walit jantan dan betina tidak menunjukkan perbezaan morfologi. Kedua-dua jantina burung juga menunjukkan intensiti enzim yang tinggi dalam semua kelenjar mulut (4 + 5 +) jika perbandingan dengan carta warna standard yang bergred kualitatif 0 ke 5 + skala (tindak balas dengan bacaan> 3 (20 nmols) dianggap amat positif: 1 dan 2 dianggap lemah positif, dan 0 dianggap sebagai negatif / tiada aktiviti) untuk phosphatase alkali, leucinearylamidase, valinearylamidase, asid phosphatase, Naphthol -Iß-galactosidase phosphohydrolase, dan ß-glucuronidase. Kajian-kajian ini menyediakan pengetahuan asas tentang perbezaan anatomi daripada kelenjar air liur di putih (A. fuciphagus) dan hitam (A. maximus) dan profilling enzim kelenjar air liur dan EBN yang boleh digunakan atau dimanipulasikan untuk meningkatkan industri burung walit.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank God Almighty for channeling your energy and help me understand the awareness of the desire for knowledge by awaken me to improve myself to contribute this tiny knowledge that was gathered from this research back to the human race.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Intan Shameha Binti Abdul Razak for her invaluable devoted time, support and guidance throughout the research. Her willingness to motivate had contributed tremendously to this research.

My sincere appreciations to my committee members, Prof. Dr. Md Zuki Abu Bakar, Dr. Kamarudin Md. Isa and Prof. Dr. Mohd Zamri Saad who were abundantly helpful and offered invaluable assistance, support and guidance and without those knowledge and assistance this study would not been successful.

This research project would not have been possible without the funding from the Ministry of Agricultural and Agro based Industry Science Fund (Project No: 05-05-17-SF1014): Development of Domesticated Swiftlet *Aerodramus fuciphagus* And Establishing Its Husbandry Practices In Malaysia and Research University Grant Scheme (RUGS) No. 916311. Not forgetting the Department of Veterinary Services for allowing me to conduct the research and study while working.

xi

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to the Director of the Department Of Wildlife, Sabah, Datuk Dr. Laurentius Ambu and Director of Department of Veterinary Services and Animal Industry, Sabah, Dr. Yeo Boon Kiat, Manager of the Pusat Ternakan Haiwan Tersat Terengganu, Dr. Asrol Sany and En Sahar B. Tahir for their most valuable samples and kind assistance during birds sampling.

I take immense pleasure in thanking Dr. Khalid, Dr. Marwan, Dr. Lim Khun Hiong, Mr Khoo Choon Kiat, Dr Mehdi Ebrahimi and Puan Maizatulakmal Mokhtar for their guidance and useful suggestion in the preparing and processing of the samples during the conduct of the research.

No one walks alone in the journey of life and to my husband Hermisen Anak Ina together with my two wonderful children Clarisse and Isaac thank you for your patience and perseverance in walking through this journey with me. Your continuous undivided support and understanding make me stronger everyday.

Finally, I would like to thank all my siblings Jose, Anni, Maria and Flora for their lasting support without questioning and only hoping for the best in my life and carrier.

## APPROVAL

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on **4th July 2013** to conduct the final examination of Helen Anak Mitin on her thesis entitled "**Morphology Of Salivary Glands And Enzymatic Profiles Of White** (*Aerodramus fuciphagus*) **And Black** (*Aerodramus maximus*) **Edible Bird's Nest Swiftlets**" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Veterinary Science (Anatomy).

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

## Goh Yong Meng, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

### Azhar bin Kassim, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agricultural Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

### Jalila bt. Abu, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Universiti Putra Malaysia 43400 Serdang, Selangor. (Internal Examiner)

### Srihadi Agungpriyono, PhD

Associate Professor Bogor Agricultural University (External Examiner)

### NORITAH OMAR, PhD

Associate Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :

This thesis was submitted to the senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Veterinary Science (Anatomy). The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follow:

## Intan Shameha Binti Abdul Razak, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Veterinary Medicine (Chairman)

### Md Zuki Abu Bakar, PhD

Professor Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Mohd Zamri Saad, PhD

Professor Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Kamarudin Md. Isa, PhD

Director Department of Veterinary Services Johor (Member)

## **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## DECLARATION

### **Declaration by graduate student**

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_

Date: 4th July 2013

 $\bigcirc$ 

Name and Matric No.: Helen Anak Mitin (GS 27180)

### **DECLARATION**

### **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Guide to Thesis Preparation supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Intan Shameha Binti Abdul Razak, PhD

Signature: Name of Supervisory Committee: Md Zuki Abu Bakar, PhD

Signature: \_ Name of Supervisory Committee:

Mohd Zamri Saad, PhD

Signature: \_ Name of Supervisory Committee: Kamarudin Md. Isa, PhD

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

| ABS | STRAC               | Г                     |                           |  | v    |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|------|
| ABS | STRAK               |                       |                           |  | viii |
| AC  | KNOWI               | LEDGEN                | MENTS                     |  | xi   |
| API | PROVA               | L                     |                           |  | xiii |
| DE  | CLARA               | TION                  |                           |  | XV   |
|     | T OF T              | ABLES                 |                           |  | XX   |
| LIS | T OF FI             | IGURES                |                           |  | XX1  |
|     | T OF PI             | LATES                 |                           |  | XXV  |
| LIS | I OF A              | BRKEV                 | ATIONS                    |  | XXV1 |
| СН  | APTE <mark>R</mark> |                       |                           |  |      |
| 1.  | INTE                | RODUCI                | TION                      |  | 1    |
| 2.  | LITE                | RATUR                 | E REVIEW                  |  |      |
|     | 2.1                 | Species               | s of Swiftlets            | and Their Ecology                                  | 7    |
|     | 2.2                 | Swiftle               | t's Morpholo              | ogy and Identification                             | 13   |
|     | 2.3                 | Swiftle               | t's Life                  |  | 15   |
|     | 2.4                 | Cycles                | and Behavio               | ur Swiftlet's Diet                                 | 19   |
|     | 2.5                 | Edible                | Bird's Nest (             | (EBN)  | 20   |
|     | 2.6                 | Morph<br>Saliyar      | ological, His<br>v Glands | tological and Ultrastructural Evaluations of       | 26   |
|     | 2.7                 | Q <mark>ualita</mark> | tive Enzyma               | tic Studies and Profilling                         | 32   |
| 3.  | GEN                 | ERAL N                | IATERIALS                 | S AND METHODS                                      |      |
|     | 3.1                 | Anima                 | ls                        |  | 37   |
|     | 3.2                 | Edible                | Bird's Nest (             | (EBN)  | 38   |
|     | 3.3                 | Gross I               | Morphology a              | and Light Microscopic Examinations                 | 39   |
|     | 3.4                 | Scanni                | ng Electron N             | Microscope (SEM) Examinations                      | 40   |
|     | 3.5                 | Transn                | ission Electr             | on Microscope (TEM) Examinations                   | 40   |
|     | 3.6                 | Salivar               | y Glands Enz              | zymatic Evaluation                                 | 41   |
| 4   | THE                 | MORPH                 | IOLOGICA                  | L AND HISTOCHEMICAL                                |      |
|     | EVA                 | LUATIC                | NS OF TH                  | E A. fuciphagus and A. maximus                     |      |
|     | SALI                | VARY (                | GLANDS                    | <i>j,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,                              |      |
|     | 4.1                 | Introdu               | ction                     |  | 45   |
|     | 4.2                 | Materia               | als and Meth              | ods  | 46   |
|     |                     | 4.2.1                 | Animals an                | d Samples  | 46   |
|     |                     | 4.2.2                 | Gross Exar                | nination   | 46   |
|     |                     | 4.2.3                 | Microscopi                | c Examinations                                     | 46   |
|     |                     |                       | 4.2.3.1                   | Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) Staining<br>Procedure | 46   |
|     |                     |                       | 4.2.3.2                   | Histochemical Staining Procedures                  | 46   |

|    |           | 4.2.4 Statistical Analysis                              | 47       |
|----|-----------|---|----------|
|    | 4.3       | Results   | 17       |
|    |           | 4.3.1 Gross Examination                                 | 47       |
|    |           | 4.3.2 Histological Examinations                         | 53       |
|    |           | 4.3.2.1 Lingual Salivary Gland                          | 53       |
|    |           | 4.3.2.2 Submandibular Salivary Gland                    | 55       |
|    |           | 4.3.2.3 Palatine Salivary Gland                         | 57       |
|    |           | 4.3.3 Histochemical Staining                            | 59       |
|    |           | 4.3.3.1 Alcian Blue pH1 and pH 2.5                      | 59       |
|    |           | 4.3.3.2 Aldehyde Fuschin-Alcian Blue Staining           | 67       |
|    |           | 4.3.3.3 Alcian Blue ph 2.5 and PAS Staining             | 69       |
|    | 4 4       | 4.3.3.4 Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS)                      | 14       |
|    | 4.4       | Discussion  | /0<br>91 |
|    | 4.5       | Collectusion  | 01       |
| 5  | OUA       | LITATIVE ENZYMATIC PROFILLING OF THE WHITE              |          |
| 5. | (A, f)    | ucinhagus) AND BLACK (A. maximus) EDIBLE BIRD'S         |          |
|    | NEST      |   |          |
|    | 5.1       | Introduction  | 82       |
|    | 5.2       | Materials and Methods                                   | 84       |
|    |           | 5.2.1 Sample Processing and Testing                     | 84       |
|    |           | 5.2.2 Scoring Evaluations                               | 86       |
|    | 5.3       | Results   | 87       |
|    | 5.4       | Discussion  | 91       |
|    | 5.5       | Conclusion  | 95       |
| 6  | THE       | ULTRASTRUCTURE OF SALIVARY GLANDS IN MALE               |          |
| 0. | AND       | FEMALE A. fucinhagus AND THEIR ENZYMATIC                |          |
|    | INTE      | NSITY   |          |
|    | 6.1       | Introduction  | 96       |
|    | 6.2       | Materials And Methods                                   | 96       |
|    |           | 6.2.1 Animals   | 98       |
|    |           | 6.2.2 Enzymes extraction from the salivary glands       | 98       |
|    |           | 6.2.3 Sample Collection and Processing for SEM          | 100      |
|    |           | Examination   |          |
|    |           | 6.2.4 Sample Collection and Processing for TEM          | 100      |
|    |           | Examination   | 100      |
|    | 6.3       | Results   | 100      |
|    |           | 6.3.1 Enzymatic Evaluations of the salivary glands      | 100      |
|    |           | 6.3.2 Oltrastructure Evaluations of the Salivary Glands | 107      |
|    |           | 6.3.2.2 TEM Examination                                 | 107      |
|    |           |   | 111      |
|    | C A       | Discussion  | 110      |
|    | 0.4<br>65 | Conclusion  | 118      |
|    | 0.3       | CONCLUSION  | 123      |

| 6.5 | Conclusion | 12 | ) |
|-----|------------|----|---|
|-----|------------|----|---|

 $\bigcirc$ 

| 7. GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION | 125 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| REFERENCES                           | 131 |
| APPENDICES                           | 149 |
| BIODATA OF STUDENT                   | 165 |
| LIST OF PUBLICATIONS                 | 166 |



# LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 4.1   | The Length and Width of the Submandibular and Lingual Glands. | 49   |



## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figures |  | Page |
|---------|--|------|
| 2.1     | Locations of the Natural Caves in Sabah and Sarawak  | 11   |
| 3.1     | Outline of research approach using live birds.   | 44   |
| 3.2     | Outline of research using White and Black EBN.   | 44   |
| 4.1     | Photograph of the submandibular salivary gland of <i>A</i> . <i>fuciphagus</i> .   | 50   |
| 4.2     | Photograph of the submandibular salivary gland of A. maximus.  | 50   |
| 4.3     | Photograph of the submandibular salivary glands showing the opening of the ducts.  | 51   |
| 4.4     | Photograph of the dorsal view of the lingual of A. maximus   | 51   |
| 4.5     | Photograph of the dorsal view of the lingual of the A. <i>fuciphagus</i> .   | 52   |
| 4.6     | Photograph of the palatine gland of A. <i>fuciphagus</i> .   | 52   |
| 4.7     | Photograph of the palatine gland of A. maximus.  | 53   |
| 4.8     | Cross section of the lingual gland showing the location of the lingual, preglottal and laryngeal portion.  | 54   |
| 4.9     | Histological section of the lingual portion of the lingual gland showing the mucus acini of pyramid.   | 55   |
| 4.10    | Histological section of the submandibular gland during hypothrophy.  | 56   |
| 4.11    | Histological section of the submandibular gland during hyperthrophy  | 57   |
| 4.12    | Histological section of the medial palatine glands showing the glandular lobules of the medial palatine gland and lateral palatine gland.  | 58   |
| 4.13    | Histological section of the lateral palatine gland showing the mucous and serous type cells with a pale and foamy cytoplasm with mucigen.  | 58   |
| 4.14    | Histological longitudinal section of the lingual gland stained<br>using Alcian Blue pH 1 shows poor alcianophillic reaction in<br>both lingual and preglottal portions of lingual gland. | 60   |

- 4.15 Histological section of the lingual gland stained using Alcian <sup>61</sup> Blue pH 2.5 shows satisfactory alcianophillic reaction in both lingual and preglottal portion.
- 4.16 Histological section of the laryngeal portion stained using Alcian 61 blue pH 1 shows poor alcianophilic reaction.
- 4.17 Histological section of the laryngeal portion stained using Alcian 62 blue pH 2.5 shows satisfactory alcianophilic reaction. x40
- 4.18 Histological section of the submandibular salivary gland stained 63 using Alcian blue pH 2.5 showing the satisfactory alcianophilic reaction.
- 4.19 Histological section of the submandibular salivary gland stained 63 using Alcian blue pH 1 showing poor alcianophilic reaction.
- 4.20 Histological sections of the palatine salivary gland stained using 65 Alcian blue pH 1 show poor alcianophilic reaction.
- 4.21 Histological section of the palatine salivary gland stained using 66 Alcian blue pH 2.5 shows satisfactory apical alcinophilic reaction..
- 4.22 Histological section of the lingual gland stained using Aldehyde 67 Fuschin–Alcian Blue Staining shows a mixture of carboxylated mucins at the lingual and sulphated mucin at preglottal portions.
- 4.23 Histological section of the submandibular gland stained using 68 Aldehyde Fuschin–Alcian Blue Staining shows the blue discoloration.
- 4.24 Histological section of the palatine gland stained using Aldehyde 69 Fuschin–Alcian Blue Staining shows the blue discoloration of the gland
- 4.25 Histological sections of the lingual gland stained using a 70 combined Alcian Blue pH 2.5 and PAS Staining show the blue discoloration.
- 4.26 Histological sections of the submandibular gland of A) *A*. 72 *fuciphagus* and B) *A. maximus* stained using a combined Alcian Blue pH 2.5 and PAS Staining show a stronger reaction in B.
- 4.27 Histological sections of the palatine gland A) *A. fuciphagus* and 73
  B) *A. maximus* stained using a combined Alcian Blue pH 2.5 and PAS Staining show a stronger reaction in A and PAS Staining.

- 4.28 Histological section of the lingual gland stained using PAS 74 staining show the magenta discoloration of both the lingual and preglottal portion of lingual gland.
- 4.29 Histological section of the submandibular gland stained using 75 PAS staining show the magenta discoloration.
- 4.30 Histological section of the palatine gland stained using PAS 75 staining show the magenta discoloration
- 5.1 Scoring of each enzyme for each sample of white EBN using 89 ApiZYM<sup>®</sup>.
- 5.2 Scoring of each enzyme for each sample of black EBN using 90 ApiZYM<sup>®</sup>.
- 6.1 Photographs show the different sizes of submandibular salivary 99 gland in male swiftlets (A and B) and female swiftlets (C and D).
- 6.2 Enzymatic gland assay using ApiZYM<sup>®</sup> system in male (B1) A. 103 *fuciphagus.*
- 6.3 Enzymatic gland assay using ApiZYM<sup>®</sup> system in male (B2) A. 104 *fuciphagus.*
- 6.4 Enzymatic gland assay using ApiZYM<sup>®</sup> system in female (B3) 105 A. *fuciphagus*.
- 6.5 Enzymatic gland assay using ApiZYM<sup>®</sup> system in female (B4) 106 A. fuciphagus.
- 6.6 SEM microphotograph of the dorsal surface of the swiftlets 108 tongue.
- 6.7 SEM microphotograph shows the conical lingual papillae at the 108 mid portion of swiftlet tongue.
- 6.8 SEM microphotograph shows the larygngeal pappillae at the 109 posterior part of the swiflets tongue.
- 6.9 SEM microphotograph shows the ventral view of the bifurcation 109 of the swiftlets tongue.
- 6.10 SEM microphotograph shows the opening of ducts of the lingual 110 salivary glands.
- 6.11 SEM microphotograph shows the lobulated surface of the 110 submandibular salivary gland.

- 6.12 TEM microphotograph shows the lingual gland consists of 112 tubules lining with pseudostratified epithelium.
- 6.13 TEM microphotograph shows the nucleus within cytoplasm 112 surrounded by mitochondria-rich cell.
- 6.14 TEM microphotograph shows a dense mucous droplet at higher 114 magnification.
- 6.15 TEM microphotograph shows the varicosities of the basal lamina 114 (BL).
- 6.16 TEM microphotograph shows the ciliated cell in the wall of a 115 mucous tubule.
- 6.17 TEM microphotograph shows the present of both Mucigens 116 (Muc) and Serus (Se) granules.
- 6.18 TEM microphotograph shows the contact between tubular and 117 acinar cells of the palatine gland with numerous interdigitations.
- 6.19 TEM microphotograph shows the acinar part of the palatine 118 gland was spherical or flattened cells and the tubular part (Figure 6.19a). The granules of the acinar part are seromucous and those of the tubular part are typically mucous (Figure 6.19b).

# LIST OF PLATES

| Plates |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 3.1    | Photograph showing the manner of the birds trapped in PTH Tersat, Terengganu.                                       | 42   |
| 3.2    | Photograph showing the method of sacrificing the birds.   | 42   |
| 3.3    | Photograph showing the bird's ( <i>A. maximus</i> ) carcasses were individually packed in 10% Buffered formalin.    | 43   |
| 3.4    | Photograph showing the entrance of the Gomantong Cave, Sabah.   | 43   |
| 5.1    | Photographs of the black EBN (A) and White EBN (B).   | 84   |
| 5.2    | Photographs of the clean ground black EBN (A) and white EBN (B).  | 85   |
| 5.3    | Photographs of the samples in centrifuge tubes.   | 85   |
| 5.4    | Photographs show the enzymes reaction in ApiZYM <sup>®</sup> plate for each capule for white (A) and black EBN (B). | 85   |

6

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| BL  | Basal lamina                     |
|-----|----------------------------------|
| EBN | Edible bird's nest               |
| ER  | Endoplasmic reticulum            |
| GA  | Golgi apparatus                  |
| М   | Mitochondria                     |
| Mu  | Mucigen                          |
| Му  | Myoepithelial nucleus            |
| МуС | myoepithelial cell               |
| Nuc | Nucleus                          |
| Ν   | Demylinated nerve                |
| H&E | Hematoxylin and Eosin            |
| PAS | Periodic Acid Fast Stain         |
| rER | Rough endoplasmic reticulum      |
| Se  | Serus granules                   |
| sER | Smooth endoplasmic reticulum     |
| SBW | Sarang burung walit              |
| SEM | Scanning Electron Microscope     |
| TEM | Transmission Electron Microscope |
|     |                                  |
|     |                                  |

### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Malaysia is the third biggest exporter of EBN to China after Indonesia and Thailand. Malaysia produces about 137 metric tons of edible bird's nests annually which are equivalent to RM 1 billion according to the Malaysian Bird's Nest Traders Association (Kamarudin *et al.*, 2010). According to Agrofood Statistic 2011 by the Ministry of Agricultural and Agro-based industry, there are approximately 4,586 bird houses registered with the Department of Veterinary Services, Malaysia where 4,415 of these houses located in Peninsular Malaysia, 55 houses in Sabah and 116 houses in Sarawak. Since the registration of premises was not compulsory at the moment, the real number of bird houses in Malaysia was estimated more than that.

There are two most common birds that producing the edible bird's nest in Malaysia. The swiftlets of the *Aerodramus sp.* have the ability to secrete the glutinous secretion from the mouth to bind with other materials to build their nest. In Asia, the swiftlets are known to use their saliva as the nest building material during breeding season (Marcone, 2005) and this EBN is used in famous Chinese delicacy bird's nest soup, drinks and cosmetics (Medway 1962b; Koon, 2000; Wong, 2006). The taxonomy of swifts and swiftlets had been disputed for a long time. As cited by Brooke (1970), Mayr (1937) mentioned the reason for this controversy was the high morphological similarity between swiftlet species. Brooke (1970) also cited that there were three main genera in the swift family, which are *Collocalia, Aerodramus* and *Hydrochous*.

There are another nine species of *Aerodramus* that uses their saliva to build up the nest but only two species that produces the edible bird's nest in Malaysia which are *Aerodramus fuciphagus* (*A. fuciphagus*) and *Aerodramus maximus* (*A. maximus*).

The *A. fuciphagus*, the producer of white EBN are ranched in non specific designed bird houses equipped with bird's calling system to attract the bird, suitable bird's house temperature, darkness and humidity control system, while *A. maximus* is commonly found in the Borneo island (Sabah and Sarawak states) natural caves. In Sabah, the Gomantong cave (in Sandakan province) is the most productive EBN producer as compared to Madai cave (Tawau Division) which is more for tourist attraction. The nest of the *A. maximus* is blackish in appearance due to the presence of a lot of feathers. *A. maximus* will roost in the nest that was built at the cliffs and clefts in the total darkness of the cave's wall. These birds has the ability to navigate in the darken caves through echolocations which are distinctive, penetrating call compose of irregular sharp clicks.

Recently, the swiftlets that colonize new buildings were claimed to come from other established colonies due to such rapid population expansion that likely to affect the genetic variation within the species over a long-term period. The increase of the bird colonies population and possibly genetic variations also due to the increase of number of bird's houses build all over the country. The strong support from the government in the bird's ranching activities as part of the poverty eradication program among the poor and small farmers has stimulated the mushrooming of the bird's house. The bird's nests that are very in sizes and shape which also determine the quality and grades of the EBN. Each nest takes about 30-45 days to complete in breeding season and in non breeding season it may takes about 60-80 days to complete. The nest is built by both male and female birds at night after spending the day looking for food (Nughoro and Wendrato, 1996). According to Lim and Cranbrook (2002), there was no intraspecific seasonal variation between nests constructed at different month of the year in white EBN, but there were significant differences in chemical constituents of carbohydrate in *A. maximus* and *A. fuciphagus*. Their field observation and anatomical examinations have shown that the saliva was produced from the mouth of both sexes during nest building.

The saliva is actively produced by a pair of salivary glands located at the floor of the mouth with the ducts open on the mucosa surface of the submandibular region. However, the type of enzymes in the salivary glands of EBN swiftlet's and their presence in the dried EBN are still unknown. As cited by McLelland 1975, the morphology of the salivary glands in birds has been studied for decades (Greschik, 1913; Bock, 1961) and the results showed that these glands are functional and efficient in birds which feed mainly on small insects or seed, less developed in those eating soft diet, and absent in some birds such as pelican (King and McLelland, 1984; Blanks, 1993). Grossly the salivary glands of black-nest swiftlet (*Aerodramus maximus*) were found relatively bigger during breeding season compared to the non breeding season (Lim and Cranbrook, 2002). In addition, Marshall and Folley (1956) and Medway (1962a) also reported that these glands fluctuated in size and activity, becoming enlarged during periods of nest building and diminished at other times, but this statement is not conclusive as the enlarged glands is not diminished but may

reduced in size. However, no histochemical evaluation of the salivary glands has been reported up to date on the salivary glands of *A. maximus* and *A. fuciphagus*.

There were broad and growing interests in knowing more about the components and the nutritional and medicinal values of the EBN. The present study of the EBN was expected to provide references for farmers, consumers and future researchers. The EBN is believed to have significant nutritional and medicinal values such as the potential for mitogenic response, epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like activity, antiinfluenza virus, hemagglutination-inhibitory activity, lectin binding activity, improvement of bone strength and dermal thickness, and hormone content. The earlier study reported that the main component of the EBN includes 32.3% watersoluble proteins, 38.7% carbohydrates, 16.9% hexose, 12.5% hexosamine, 0.7% fructose, 8.6% sialic acid and 20% inorganic ash (Kathan and Weeks, 1969). The 8.6% sialic acid activity has been used to study the sialidase activity of influenza virus (Howe *et al*, 1961b), myxovirus heamagglutination inhibitor and viral neuraminidase (Howe et al, 1961a). It was found that EBN extract could strongly inhibit infection with influenza viruses in a host range-independent manner (Howe et al, 1961b). A study by Wieruszeski et al. (1987) showed that the nest cement-like structure or the mucoid glycoprotein was mainly made of sialic acid rich in Oglycosylproteins. They were incorporated in various kinds of food products, including drink and food additives and also used as a cosmetic ingredient. There is dearth information related to the evaluation of the EBN swiftlet's salivary glands, and since the salivary glands of this birds has a special function which are not just related to their diet but also in the production of the precious EBN, this study was conducted to understand the function of the most important organ in black (A.

 $\bigcirc$ 

*fuciphagus*) and white (*A. maximus*) EBN swiftlets which are the salivary glands. Fucui and Daicheng (2012) had sketched the importance and bioactivities of the edible bird's nest but mainly focus on the EBN and the product of EBN. The unavailablity of the information in the literature on the morphology of the salivary glands of these birds had led to the in-depth study of the salivary glands. The possibility of the digestive enzymes present in the dried EBN and the type of enzymes in the salivary glands are still unknown.

The importance of this study was to have a good fundamental understanding of the anatomical and enzymatic profilling of the salivary glands of the EBN swiftlets. The preliminary qualitative study of the ezymatic profiling in EBN itself also helps in recognising the existance of the digestive enzymes which may be of an importance in the near future to evaluate the physiology and the efficiency of the digestive system in swiftlets. This information and knowledge will be the fundamental reference that can be used to get a sustainable bird population and edible bird's nest industry in the country. In this study, the hypotheses were

- 1. There is no significance difference in the morphology of salivary glands between *A. fuciphagus* and *A. maximus*.
- 2. There are different reactions and characterization of enzymes assays between the Edible bird's nest of *A. fuciphagus* and *A. maximus*.
- 3. There is no significance difference in the enzymes assays and ultrastructure of the gland between female and male of *A. fuciphagus*.

The objectives of this study were:

- 1. to study the morphology of salivary glands of *A. fuciphagus* and *A. maximus*
- 2. to determine the enzymatic profile in the edible bird's nest (EBN) of *A*. *fuciphagus* and *A. maximus*
- 3. to study the ultrastructure of salivary glands in male and female *A. fuciphagus* and to determine their enzymatic profile.



#### REFERENCES

- Adiwibawa, E. 2000. Pengelolaan Rumah Walet. Penerbit Kanisius. JL Cempaka 9, Deseran Yogyakarta 55281, Kotak Pos 1125, Yogyakarta 55011.
- Adunts, G.T., and Nersesyen, R.R. 1961. Activity of bile amylase. Izvet. Akad. Nank Armyan S.S.R. Biol. 14: 47-53.
- Adnyane, I. K. M., Zuki, A. B. Z, Noordin, M.M, Wahyuni, S and Agungpriyono, S. 2011. Morphological study of the infraorbital gland of the male barking deer, *Muntiacus muntjak*. Afr J Biotechnol. 10(77): 17891-17897.
- Agrofood Statistic 2011. Published by Strategic Planning and International Division, Ministry Of Agriculture and Agro-based Industry, Malaysia. Jan 2012.
- Agungpriyono, S., Yamada, J. Kitamura, N. 1995. Morphology of the dorsal lingual papillae in the lesser mouse deer, *Tragulus javanicus*. J. Anat.,187:635-40.
- Aiyesanmi, A. F., & Oguntokun, M. O. 1996. Nutrient composition of *Dioclea reflexa* seed \_ An underutilized edible legume. Rivista Italiana delle Sostanze Grasse, 73, 521–523
- Ajakaiye, C. O., & Bawo, A. 1990. Comparison of the chemical composition of *Trinervitermes germinatus* (Wasmann) with that of its feed. Nigerian Journal of Entomology, 2, 90–99.
- Al-Mansour, M. I. and Jarrar, B. M. 2004. Structure and secretions of the lingual salivary glands of the white-cheeked bulbul, *Pycnonotus leucogenys* (*Pycnontidae*). –Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 11:119–126.
- Andrews, P. M. 1976.Microplicae: characteristic ridge like folds plasmalema. J. Cell. Bio., 68:420-9.
- Anchalee, A., Harold, K. V., Kevin, A. F., Pongchai, H. and Kumthorn, T. 2008. Genetic Homogeneity Among Colonies of the White-Nest Swiftlet (*Aerodramus fuciphagus*) in Thailand Zoological Science 25: 372–380
- Angulo-Valadez, C.E., Cepeda-Palacios, R., Ascencio, F., Jacquiet, P., Dorchies, P., Romero, M.J., Khelifa, R.M. 2007. Proteolytic activity in salivary gland products of sheep bot fly (*Oestrus ovis*) larvae. Veterinary Parasitology 149:117–125

- Baca, O.G., Roman, M.J., Glew, R.H., Christner, R.F., Buhler, J.E. & Aragon, A.S.1993. Acid phosphatase activity in *Coxiella burnetii*: a possible virulence factor. Infection and Immunity 61: 4232-4239.
- Bancroft, J. D., and Cook H. C. 1984. Manual of Histological Techniques. Edinburgh, London, Melbourne, New York: Churchill Livingstone, pp. 102-103; 118-119.
- Bhattacharya, S and Ghose, K. C. 1971. Influence of Food on the Amylase System In Birds Comp. Biochera. Physiol., Vol. 40B, pp. 317 to 320
- Bhattacharya, S. and Ghose, K. C. 1970. Occurrence of biliary amylase in vertebrates. Influence of NaC1 and pH. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 37, 581-587.
- Black, J. 1977. The structure of the salivary glands of the human soft palate. Journal of Morphology 153: 107–118
- Bell, D. J., and Freeman, B. M., 1971. Physiology and Biochemistry of the Fowl, Vol. 1. London, New York: Academic Press, pp. 4-5, 26-27.
- Blanks, W.J. 1993. Applied Veterinary Histology. St. Louis: Mosby Year Book, 356 pp.
- Bock, W.J. 1961. Salivary glands in gray jays (*Perisoreus*). Auk, 78: 355-365.
- Boetius, A. and Felbeck, H. 1995. Digestive enzymes in marine invertebrates from hydrothermal vents and other reducing environments. Journal of Marine Biology, 122, 105–113.
- Bondi, A. M., Gabrielli M. G., Accili D., Sabbieti M. G., and Menghi G., 2000: Confocal evaluation of native and induced lectin binding contributes to discriminate between lingual gland glycocomponents in quail. Histol. Histopathol. 15, 1119±1125.
- Bruno, K. J. and Telma, S. M. Ultrastrudure of the parotid and submandibular glands of the Old World Marten (*Carnivora; Mustelidae*) Ann Anat (1998) 180: 31-36
- Brooke, R.K. 1970. Taxonomic and evolutionary notes on the subfamilies, tribes, genera and subgenera of the Swifts (Aves:Apodidae). Durban Mus. Nov. 9, 13–24.
- Brooke, R.K., 1972. Generic limits in old world Apodidae and Hirundinidae. Bull. Br. Ornithologists Club 92, 53–57.
- Bryant, D. M. and Tatner, P. 1990. Hatching asynchrony, sibling competition and siblicide in nestling birds: Studies of swiftlets and bee-eaters. Journal of Animal Behaviour. Volume 39. Issue 4. Pg 657-671

- Bryk, S. G., G. Gheri, E. Sgambati, and G. E. Orlandini, 1992: Histochemical detection of sugar residues in chick embryo developing lingual glands with horseradish-peroxidase conjugated lectins. Acta Histochem. 92, 127±137.
- Calhoum, M. L. 1933. The microscopical anatomy of the digestive tract of *Gallus domesticus*. Iowa State Coll J Sci 7:261–281
- Carpenter, G. and Cohen S. 1979. Epidermal growth factor. Ann Rev. Biochem. 48, 193-216
- Chantler, P., Wells, D.R. and Schuchmann, K.L., 1999. Family Apodidae (Swifts). In: Del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J. (Eds.), Handbook of the Birds of the World. Barnowls to Hummingbirds, vol. 5. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- Chantler, P., Driessens, G. 1995. Swifts: A Guide to the Swifts and Treeswifts of the World. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA
- Chantler, P. and Driessens, G. 2000. Swifts: A Guide to the Swifts and Treeswifts of the World, 2nd ed., Pica Press, East Sussex.
- Chahinian, H., Nini, L., Boitard, E., Dubès, J.P., Comeau, L.C. & Sarda, L. 2002. Distinction between esterases and lipases: a kinetic study with vinyl esters and TAG. Lipids 37: 653-662.
- Chao-Tan, G., Tadanobu, T., Wakoto, B., Noriko, T., Hirokazu, Y., Koichi, K., Kazuya I.P. Jwa Hidari, Daisei Miyamoto, Takashi Suzuki, Yasuo Suzuk . 2006. Edible bird's nest extract inhibits influenza virus infection Original Research Article Antiviral Research, Volume 70, Issue 3, Pages 140-146
- Crole, M R. and Sole, J. T. 2011. Distribution and structure of glandular tissue in the oropharynx and proximal esophagus of the emu (*Dromaius novaehollandiae*) Acta Zoologica (Stockholm) 92: 206–215
- Coats, D.A. and Emmelin, N. 1962. The short term effects of sympathetic ganglionectomy on the cat's salivary secretion. J Physiol 162: 282-288.
- Conconi, J.R.E., Pino Moreno, J.M., Mayaudon, C.M., Valdez, F.R., Perez, M.A., Prado, E.E., Rodriguez, H.B., 1984. Protein content of some edible insect in Mexico. J. Ethnobiol. 4, 61–72.
- Davies, K. J. and Garrett JR .1975. Improved preservation of alkaline phosphatase in salivary glands of the cat. Histochem J 4: 365379.

DeFoliart, G.R., 1975. Insects as source of protein. Bull. Ent. Soc. Am. 21, 161–163

- De Roo, A., 1968. Taxonomic notes on swifts, with description of a new genus (Aves: Apodidae). Rev. Zool. Bot. Afr. 77, 412–417.
- Del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J.1999. Handbook of the Birds of the World. Volume 5. Barnowls to Humming birds. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- Dun Wang, Shao Wei Zhai, Chuan Xi Zhang, Yao Yu Bai, Shi Heng An and Ying Nan Xu. 2005. Evaluation on Nutritional Value of Field Crickets as a Poultry Feedstuff. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.Vol 18, No. 5 : 667-670
- Dun Wang, Shao-Wei Zhai, Qiang Zhang, Chuan-Xi Zhang, Hui Chen. 2007. Nutrition value of the Chinese grasshopper Acrida cinerea (Thunberg) for broilers. Animal Feed Science and Technology 135: 66–74
- Emmelin, N. 1962. Submaxillary and sublingual secretion in cats during degeneration of post-ganglionic parasympathetic fibres. J Physiol162: 270-281.
- Emmelin, N. 1968. Degeneration activity after sympathetic denervation of the submaxillary gland and the eye. Experientia 24: 44-45.
- Ellerbroek, L.I., Lichtenberg, G., Weise E. 1995. Differentation Between Fresh And Thawed Meat Enzymes Profile Test. Journal of Meat Science. 40 (203-209).
- Eva Gruner, Alexander von Graevenitz and Martin Altwegg. 1992. The APi ZYM system: A tabulated review from 1977 to date. Journal of Microbiological Methods, 16 (19,"2) 101-118.
- Fahrenholz, C .1967. Dr Usen der MundhOie. In: Handbuch der vergleichenden Anatomie der Wirbeltiere. Hrsg. L Bolk, E Goppert, E Kallius, W Lubosch. Bd 3. Darmsystem, Atmungs system. Amsterdam: A Asher & Co, pp 162-210 E Goppert, E Kallius, W Lubosch. Bd 3. Darmsystem, Atmungssystem. Amsterdam: A Asher& Co, pp 1.
- Farner, D. S. 1960. Digestion and the digestive system. In Biology and Comparative Physiology of Birds, vol. 1 (ed. A. J. Marshall), pp. 412-416. New York: Academic Press.
- Farner, D.S., and Ziswiler, V. 1972. Digestion and digestive system. In: Farner DS, King JR (eds) Avian biology. Academic Press, London, pp 343–430
- Farrar, G. H., Hulenbruck, G., & Karduck, D. 1980. Biochemical and lectin-serological studies on a glycoprotein derived from edible bird's nest mucus. Hoppe-Seyler's Zeitschrift fur Physiologische Chemie, 361(3), 473–476.

- Ferraris, M. E, Samar M.E, Busso C., Avila R.E., Ferraris R., and Fabro S.P. 1993. Prenatal development of human palatine glands: a structural and cytochemical study. Acta Odont Lat 7: 23–29
- Francis, C.M. 1987. The management of edible birds' nest caves in Sabah. Sabah Forestry Department, Sandakan.
- Fidel, T., Yolanda, T. & Jose, F. 1991. Simple Test for Differentiation between Fresh Pork and Frozen/Thawed Pork. Meat Science 29 ; 177-181.
- Fucui, M. and Daicheng, L. 2012. Sketch of the edible bird's nest and its important bioactivities. Food Research International 48 (2012) 559–567.
- Fuji, S. and Tamura, F. 1966. Histochemical studies on the mucins in the chicken salivary glands. J Fac Fish Anim HUSB Hiroshima Univ 6: 345–355.
- Gargiulo, A. M., Ceccarelli, P., Dall'Aglio, C. and Pedini, V. 1995. Ultrastructure of bovine von Ebner's salivary glands. Annals Anatomy . 177: 33-37.
- Gargiulo, A.M., Pedini, V. and Ceccarelli, P.M. 1993. Lectin histochemical study of bovine lingual glands. Archs Oral Biol 38: 881–894.
- Gargiulo, A. M., S. Lorvik, P. Ceccarelli, and Pedini, V., 1991: Histological and histochemical studies on the chicken lingual glands. Br. Poult. Sci. 32, 693±702.
- Garnier, P., Goudey-Perriere, F., Breton, P., Dewulf, C., Petek, F.And Perriere, C.1995.
   Enzymatic Properties Of The Stonefish (*Synanceia Verrucosa Bloch* And *Schneider, 1801*) Venom And Purification Of A Lethal, Hypotensive And Cytolytic Factor. Toxicon. Vol. 33, No. 2. pp. 143-155.
- Garrett, JR. 1966a. The innervation of salivary glands. III. The effects of certain experimental procedures on cholinesterase positive nerves in glands of the cat. J Roy Micr Soc 85: 1-63.
- Garrett, JR .1966b. The innervation of salivary glands. IV. The effects of certain experimental procedures on the ultrastructure of nerves in glands of the cat. J Roy Micr Soc 86: 15-31.
- Goh, D. L. M., Chew, Y.-N., Shek, F.-T., & Lee, B.-W. (1999). Alergy net-pattern of food-induced anaphylaxis in children of an Asian community. *Allergy*, 54(1), 84– 86.

- Goh, D. L. M., Chua, K. Y., Chew, F. T., Seow, T. K., Ou, K. L., Yi, F. C. 2001. Immunochemical characterization of edible bird's nest allergens. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 107(6), 1082–1088.
- Grasée, P.P.1950. Le tube digestif. In: Traité de zoologie. Oisseaux. Masson, Paris, pp 270–280
- Gray, G. R. 1840. A list of the genera of birds, with an indication of the typical species of each genus. London: Richard and John E Taylor.
- Greschik, E. 1913. Histolgische untersuchungen der unterkieferdruse (Glandula mandiblaris) der vogel. Aquila, 20: 331-374
- Griffin, D. R. 1954. Acoustic orientation in the oil bird, Steatornis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 39: 884\_ 893.
- Gruner, E., Von Graevenitz, A., and Altwegg, M. 1992. The API ZYM system: a tabulated review from 1977 to date. Journal of Microbiological Methods, 16, 101–118.
- Hand, A, Pathmanathan, D., and Field, R. 1999. Morphological features of the minor salivary glands. Archs Oral Biol 44: S3–S10
- Harrison, J. G. 1964. Tongue. In: (ed. By) A. L. Thomson: A new dictionary of birds. Nelson, London.
- Hill, K. J. (1971). The structure of the alimentary tract. In Physiology and Biochemistry of the Domestic Fowl, vol. 1 (ed. D. J. Bell & B. M. Freeman), pp. 1-23. London: Academic Press.
- Hirokazu, Y., Noriko, T., Naoko, Y., Tadanobu, T., Kay-Hooi, K., Yasuo, S., Shin-Yi Y., Chao-Tan, G., Wakoto, B. and Koichi, K., Takashi S. 2008. The expression of sialylated high-antennary N-glycans in edible bird's nest. Carbohydrate Research 343; 1373–1377.
- Hobbs, J. J. 2004. Problems in the harvest of edible bird's nests in Sarawak and Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. Biodiversity and Conservation, 13(12), 2209–2226.
- Hodges, R. D., 1974: The Histology of the Fowl. London, New York, San Francisco: Academic Press, pp. 38±42.
- Hollenberg, M. D. 1979. Epidermal growth factorurogastrone, a polypeptide acquiring hormonal status. In Vitamins and Hormones (Edited by Munson P. L.,Diczfalusky E., Glover J. and Olson R. E.), Vol. 37,pp. 69-I10. Academic Press, New York.

- Homberger, D.G. and Brush, A.H. 1986. Functional-morphological and biochemical correlations of the keratinized structures in the African Grey Parrot, *Pisttacus erithacus* (Aves). Zoomorphol 106, 103–114.
- Homberger, D.G. and Meyers, R. 1989. Morphology of the lingual apparatus of the domestic chicken Gallus gallus, with special attention to the structure of the fasciae. Amer. J. Anat. 186, 217–257.
- Howe, C., Lee, L. T., and Rose, H. M. 1961a. Collocalia mucoid: A substrate for myxovirus neuraminidase. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 95(3), 512– 520.
- Howe, C., Lee, L. T., and Rose, H. M. 1961b. Influenza virus sialidase. Nature, 188(4746), 251–252.
- Ibrahim, H. R., Sugimoto, Y., and Aoki, T. (2000). Ovotransferrin antimicrobial peptide (OTAP-92) kills bacteria through a membrane damage mechanism. Biochemica et Biophysica Acta, 1523, 196–205.
- Iwasaki, S. 2002. Evolution of the structure and function of the vertebrate tongue. J. Anat. 201, 1–13.
- Iwasaki, S. and Kobayashi, K. 1986. Scanning and transmission electron microscopical studies on the lingual dorsal epithelium of chickens. Acta Anat. Nippon 61, 83–96.
- Iwasaki, S., Asami, T., Chiba, A., 1997. Ultrastructural study of the keratinisation of the dorsal epithelium of the tongue of Middendorff's bean goose, Anser fabalis middendorfii (Ansers, Antidae). Anat. Rec. 247, 147–163.
- Jackowiak, H. & Godinicki, S. 2005. The distribution and structure of the lingual papillae on the tongue of the bank vole *Clethrionomys glareolus*. Folia Morphol., 64(4):326-33,.
- Jackowiak, H., Godynicki, S., Antosik, P. 2004. The scanning electron microscopy of the development of the tongue in the pheasant (*Phasianus colchicus*). Proceedings of the XXVI Embryological Conference,Ło'dz', Poland.
- Jarrar, B. M. 2009. Microanatomy and Histochemistry of the Salt Glands of the Kentish Plover, Charadrius alexandrines (Aves, *Charadriidae*). Journal of Biological Sciences 9 (3): 75-80.
- Jacobson, J. 1856. De sacchari formatione fermentoque in jecore et de fermento in bile. Inaugural Dissertations, Koenigsberg.

- Jerret, S.A., and Goodge, W.R. 1973. Evidence for amylase in avian salivary glands. J Morphol 139: 27–46
- Jun, Z. and Han D.2006. Proximate, amino acid and mineral composition of pupae of the silkworm Antheraea pernyi in China. Short Comm. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 19: 850–853
- Kamarudin, M. I., Khoo, C.K. and Helen, M. 2010. The Pontential of an Intensive Swiftlet Production System in Malaysia. Proc. 31<sup>st</sup> MSAP Ann. Conf., 6-8 June 2010. Kota Baru
- Kaladelfos, G. and Young, J.A. 1974. Water and electrolyte excretion in the cat submaxillary gland studied using micropuncture and duct cannulation techniques. Aust J Exper Bioi Med Sci 52: 67-79.
- Kanazawa, H. 1993. Fine structure of the canine taste bud with special reference to gustatory cell functions. Arch. Histol. Cytol., 56:533–548.
- Kang N., Hails C.J. and Sigurdsson J.B. 1991. Nest construction and egg-laying in edible-nest swiftlets *Aerodramus* spp. and the implications for harvesting. Ibis 133: 170–177.
- Kathan, R. H., & Weeks, D. I. 1969. Structure studies of Collocalia mucoid I. Carbohydrate and amino acid composition. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 134(2), 572–576.
- King, A. S., and J. Mclelland, 1984: Birds Their Structure and Function. 2nd edn. London, Philadelphia, Toronto, Mexico City, Rio de Janeira, Sydney, Tokyo, Hong Kong: Bailliere, Tindall, pp. 88±89.
- Kobayashi, K., Kumakura, M., Yoshimura, K., Inatomi, M., Asami, T., 1998. Fine structure of the tongue and lingual papillae of penguin. Arch. Histol. Cytol. 61, 37–46.
- Kochva, E. 1978. Oral glands of the Reptilia. In Biology of the Reptilia, vol. 8 (ed. C. Gans & K. A. Gans), pp. 43-161. London: Academic Press.
- Komarek, V., Malinovesky, L., Lemez, L., 1986. Anatomia avium domesticarum et embryologia galli. Priroda vedavatel'stvo knih a casoposov, Bratyslava.
- Kong, Y. C., Keung, W. M., Yip, T. T., Ko, K. M., Tsao, S. W. and Not, M. H. 1987. Evidence That Epidermal Growth Factor Is Present In Swiftlet's (*Collocalia*) nest Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Vol. 87B, No. 2, pp. 221-226.

- Konig, Jr B. and Khunel, W. 1986. Licht- und electronenmikroskopische Untersuchungen an der auskatze. Z Mikrosk Anat Forsch 100: 469-483 pische Untersuchungen an der Hauskatze. Z Mikrosk Anat Forsch 100: 469-483.
- Konishi, M. and Knudsen, E. I. 1979. The oilbird: hearing and echolocation. \_ Science 204: 425\_427.
- Koon, L. C. 2000. Features Bird's nest soup Market demand for this expensive gastronomic delicacy threatens the aptly named edible-nest Swiftlets with extinction in the east. Wildlife Conservation, 103(1), 30–35.
- Landry, S.V., DeFoliart, G.R., Sundae, M.L. 1986. Larval protein quality of six species of *Lepidoptera* (*Saturniidae*, *Sphingidae*, *Noctuidae*). J. Econ. Ent. 79, 600–604.
- Langham, N .1980. Breeding Biology of the edible-nest swiftlet *Aerodramus fuciphagus*. Ibis 122: 447–461.
- Lau, A.S.M. and Melville, D.S. 1994. International Trade in Swiftlet Nests with Special Reference to Hong Kong. Traffic International, Cambridge.
- Lee, P. M., Dale, H. C., Griffiths, R. and Page, R. D. M. 1996. Does behaviour reflect phylogeny in swiftlets (*Aves: Apodidae*) Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 93(14), 7091–7096.
- Levine, M.J., Reddy, M.S., Tabak, L., Loomis, R.E., Bergey, E.J., Jones, P.C. and Cohen, R.E .1987. Structural aspects of salivary glycoproteins. J Dent Res 66: 436-441
- Levine, M. J. (1993) Salivary macromolecules:a structure/function sypnosis. Ann NY Acad Sci 694: 11–16
- Lim, C.K. 1999. Sustainable harvesting and conservation of the edible bird's nest ( Aerodramus spp) od Sarawak. PhD thesis. University of Kent canterbury, United Kingdom.
- Lim, C. K. and Cranbrook E. 2002. Swiftlets of Borneo: Builder of Edible Nests. Natural History Publications (Borneo) Sdn. Bhd., Malaysia
- Liman, N., Bayram, G. And Kocëak, M. 2001. Histological and Histochemical Studies on the Lingual, Preglottal and Laryngeal Salivary Glands of the Japanese Quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) at the Post-hatching Period. Anat. Histol. Embryol. 30, 367±373

- Lin, J. R., Zhou, H., Lai, X. P., Hou, Y., Xian, X. M., Chen, J. N.I. 2009. Genetic identification of edible birds' nest based on mitochondrial DNA sequences. Food Research International, 42(8), 1053–1061.
- Lin, J., Xiao, X. & Wang, F.P. 2006. Expression, characterization and mutagenesis of the gene encoding β-Nacetylglucosaminidase from *Aeromonas caviae* CB101. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 38: 765-771.
- Lucas, F. A. 1896. The taxonomic value of the tongue In birds. The Auk. Vol. XIII
- Lundberg, J and McFarlane, D. A. 2012. Post-speleogenetic biogenic modification of Gomantong Caves, Sabah, Borneo. Journal of Geomorphology 157-158; 153-168.
- Mainka, S. A. and Mills, J. A. 1995. Wildlife and traditional Chinese medicine supply and demand for wildlife species. J. Zoo Wild/.Med. 26 (2): 193-200.
- Mandel, I. D. 1987. The functions of saliva. J Dent Res 66: 623-627
- Marcone, M. F. 2005. Characterization of the edible bird's nest the "caviar of the East". Food Research International, 38(10),1125–1134.
- Marsh, C.W. & Greer, A.G. 1992. Forest land-use in Sabah, Malaysia: An introduction to Danum Valley. *Phil. Trans. R. SOC.Lond. B* 335: 331-339.
- Marshall, A.J., Folley, S.J., 1956. The origin of nest-cement in edible-nest swiftlets (*Collocalia spp*). Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 126, 383±389.
- Mayr, E., 1937. Birds collected during the Whitney South Sea expedition. XXXIII. Am. Mus. Nov. 915, 1–19.
- Mba, A. U. (1980). Chemical composition of some local sources of protein foods for man. Nigerian Journal of Nutritional Science, 1, 142–147.
- McCallion, D. J, and Aitken, H. E .1952. A cytological study of the anterior submaxillary glands of the fowl, *Gallus domesticus*. Can J Zool 131: 173–178
- McManus, J.G.A. and Mowry, R.W. 1964. Staining methods: Histological and Histochemcial. Harper and Row, New York.
- McLelland, J., 1975. Aves digestive system, In: Getty, R. (Ed.), Sisson and Grossman's The Anatomy of the Domestic Animals, fifth ed. vol. 2. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, London, Toronto, pp. 1857–1882.

- McLelland, J., 1979. Systema digestorium. In: Baumel, J.J., King, A.S., Lucas, A.M., Breazile, J.E., Evans, H.E. (Eds.), Nomina Anatomica Avium. Academic Press, New York, London
- McLelland, J. 1990. Sistema digestivo de las aves. In: Getty R (ed) Anatomía de los animales domésticos. Salvat SA, Barcelona, pp 2035–2051
- Mclelland, J., 1993: Apparatus Digestorius. In: Nomina Anatomica Avium (J. J. Baumel, A. S. King, J. E. Breazile, H. E. Evans, and J. C. Vanden Berge, eds), 2nd edn, publication no. 23. CambridgeMA: Nuttall Ornithological Club, pp. 301±310.

Medway, Lord. 1959. Echo-location among Collocalia. Nature 184: 1352-1353.

- Medway, Lord, 1962a. The relation between the reproductive cycle, moult and changes in the sublingual salivary glands of the swiftlet *Collocalia maxima* Hume. Proceedings of the Zoological Soc. London 138, 305±314.
- Medway, Lord, 1962b. The swiftlets (Collocalia) of Niah Cave, Sarawak. Part 1, breeding biology. Ibis 104, 45±66.
- Medway, Lord, 1962c. The swiftlets (Collocalia) of Niah Cave, Sarawak. Part 2, ecology and the regulation of breeding. Ibis 104, 228±245.
- Medway, Lord 1967. The function of echonavigation among swiftlets. \_ Anim. Behav. 15: 416- 420.
- Medway, Lord and Pye, J. D. 1977. Echolocation and the systematics of swiftlets, Chap. 19. \_ In: Stonehouse, B. and Perrins, C. (eds). Evolutionary Ecology. University Park Press, Baltimore, pp. 225-238.
- Menghi, G., Ceccarelli, P., Scocco, P. and Pedini, V.1992. The Chicken anterior lingual glands: Structural study of carbohydrate chains by lectins and glycosidases. Arch. Oral Biol. 37, 463-469.
- Menghi, G., Scocco, P., and Ceccarelli, P., 1993. Basic and lectin histochemistry for studying glycoconjugates in the lingual salivary glands of the Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*). Arch. Oral Biol. 38, 649±655.
- Milton E.I Jr., Carlos P. S. and Richard I. S. 2004. Digestive enzymes of leaf-cutting ants, *Acromyrmex subterraneus (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Attini)*: distribution in the gut of adult a workers and partial characterization. Journal of Insect Physiology 50: 881–891.

- Mintz, C.S., Miller, R.D., Gutgsell, N.S. and Malek, T. 1993. Legionella pneumophila protease inactivates interleukin-2 and cleaves CD4 on human T cells. Infection and Immunity 61: 3416-3421
- Montero, C. and Erlandsen, S.L. 1978 . Immunocytochemical and histochemical studies on intestinal epithelial cells producing both lysozyrne and mucosubstances. Anat. Rec. 190, 127-1 34.
- Mowry, R.W. 1956. Alcian blue techniques for histochemical study and acidic carbohydrates. J. Histoch. Cytochem., 4: 407.
- Nagato T. and Tandler B. 1986. Ultrastructure of the angularis oris salivary glands in the house sparrow.J Anat 145:143–154.
- Nalavade, M. N., and Varute A. T. 1977: Histochemical studies on the mucins of the vertebrate tongues. XI. Histochemical analysis of mucosubstances in the lingual glands and taste buds of some birds. Acta Histochem. 60, 18-31.
- Navas, P., Bueno, C., Pmilero, J., Aijon, J. & Lopez-Campos, J. L. 1980. Analisis histoquimico de las glandulas salivares de *Columba columba*. Glandula mandibular anterior. Morfologia normal y patologica, Sect. A. 4, 525-531.
- Nguyen Q. P. 1994. Breeding and moult in the edible-nest swiftlet *Collocalia* fuciphagus germani in Vietnam. Alauda 62: 107–115.
- Nguyen Q. P., Quang Y. and Voisin J.F. 2002. The White-Nest Swiftlet and the Black-Nest Swiftlet: A Monograph with Special Reference to Vietnam Populations. Société Nouvelle Des Éditions Boubée, Paris.
- Nickel, R., Schummer, A. and Seiferle, E. 1977. Anatomy of the Domestic Birds (W. G. Siller and P. A. L. Wight, translators). Berlin, Hamburg: Verlag Paul Parey, pp. 45±46.
- Nickel, R., Schummer, A. and Seiferle, E. 1999. Lehrbuch der Anatomie der Haustiere. Bd.2, 8.Aufl. Parey,Berlin.
- Nugroho, E. and Whendrato, I., 1996. The farming of Edible-nest Swiftlets in Indonesia. In: Technical Workshop on Conservation Priorities and Actions for Edible-nest Swiftlets, Surabaya, Indonesia, 4±7 November 1996.
- Nurul,H. M. Z., Zuki, A.B.Z., Azhar, K., Goh, Y. M., Suhaimi, H., Awang-Hazmi, A.J. and Zairi, M. S. 2008. Proximate, Elemental and Fatty Acid Analysis of Pre-Processed Edible Bird's Nest (*Aerodramus fuciphagus*): A Comparison Between Regions and Type of Nest. Journal of Food Technology 6(1): 39-44.

- Nunes, P.H. and Camargo-Mathias, M.I., 2001. Gla<sup>n</sup>dulas salivares da cigarrinha-dacana *Mahanarva fimbriolata* (Stal, 1854), Estudo Morfo-Histolo<sup>´</sup>gico e Dosagem de Protei<sup>'</sup>nas, 18 e 28 relato<sup>'</sup>rios da FAPESP. Bolsa de Iniciac<sub>a</sub><sup>~</sup>o Cienti<sup>´</sup>fica, processo n8 00/08355-2 2001.
- Omotoso, O. T. 2006. Nutritional quality, functional properties and anti-nutrient compositions of the larva of *Cirina forda* (Westwood) (*Lepidoptera: Saturniidae*). Journal of Zhejiang University, 7(1), 51–55.
- Paul P. H., Ren-Wang J., and Pang-Chui S. 2013. Edible bird'snests—How do the red ones get red? Journal of Ethnopharmacology 145: 378–380.
- Phelps, R.J., Struthers, J.K., Moyo, J.L., 1975. Investigations into the nutritive value of Macrotermes falciger (Isoptera-Termitidae). Zool. Afric. 10, 123–132.
- Philippe, J. R., Albertini-Berhaut, J.R, Hubert, J. C. 1982. Evolution de quelques Enzymes Digestives chez le Jeune *Mugil capito* Diverses Etapes de sa Croissance. Biochernical Systematics and Biology, Vol. 10, No. 2. PP, 185-189.
- Phillips, C. J. and Tandler, B .1996. Salivary glands, cellular volution and adaptative radiation in mammals. Eur J Morphol 34:155–162
- Pinkstaff, C. A. 1980. The cytology of salivary glands. International Review of Cytology 63, 141-261.
- Pinkstaff C. A. 1993. Serous, seromucous and special serous cells in salivary glands. Microsc Res Techn 26: 21 - 31
- Poremba, K. 1995. Hydrolytic enzymatic activity in deep-sea sediments. Federation of European Microbiological Societies, Micro-biology Ecology, 16, 213–222.
- Pornpimol, R., Naret M., Jittawan, K. and Sirithon, S. 2010. Fatty acids and proximate composition of eight Thai edible terricolous insects. Food Research International 43: 350–355
- Pozsgay, V., Jennings, H., and Kasper, D. L. 1987. 4, 8-Anhydro-N-acetylneuraminic acid Isolation from edible bird's nest and structure determination. European Journal of Biochemistry, 162(2), 445–450.
- Price, J. J., Johnson, K. P. and Clayton, D. H. 2004. The evolution of echolocation in swiftlets. \_ J. Avian Biol. 35: 135\_ 143.
- Ramos-Elorduy, J., Pino, M. J. M., Prado, E. E., Perez, M. A., Otero, J. L. and De Guevara, O. L. 1997. Nutritional value of edible insects from the state of Oaxaca, Mexico. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 10, 142–157.

- Rashed, A. A and Nazaimoon, W. M.W. 2010. Effect of Edible Bird's Nest on Caco-2 Cells Proliferation. Journal of Food Technology 8(3): 126-130
- Rawlinson, H. E. 1935. The changes in the cells of the striated ducts of the cat's submaxillary gland after autonomic stimulation and nerve section. Anat Rec 63: 295-313
- Reece, W. O., 1996: Physiology of Domestic Animals, 2nd edn. Baltimore, Philadelphia, London, Paris, Bangkok, Buenos Aires, Hong Kong, Munich, Sydney, Tokyo, Wroclaw: Williams & Wilkins, pp. 321±323.
- Rechnitzer, C., Williams, A., Wright, J.B., Dowsett, A.B., Milman, N. & Fitzgeorge,
   R.B. 1992. Demonstration of the intracellular production of tissue-destructive protease by *Legionella pneumophila* multiplying within guinea-pig and humanalveolar macrophages. Journal of General Microbiology 138: 1671-1677.
- Reilly, T.J., Baron, G.S., Nano, F.E. & Kuhlenschmidt, M.S. 1996. Characterization and sequencing of a respiratory burst-inhibiting acid phosphatase from *Francisella tularensis*. Journal of Biology and Chemistry 271: 10973-10983.
- Riva, A, and Tandler, B. 1996. Proceeding of the symposium on salivary glands dedicated to Niels Stensen. Eur J Morphol 34: 133–236
- Riva, A, Valentino, L, Lantini, MS, Floris, A. and Testa-Riva F . 1993. 3D-structure of cells of human salivary glands as seen by SEM. Microsc Res Tech 26: 5-20
- Roberts, D., Moore, H.M., Berges, J., Patching, J.W., Carton, M.W. and Eardly D.F. 2001. Sediment distribution, hydrolytic enzyme profiles and bacterial activities in the guts of *Oneirophanta mutabilis*, *Psychropotes longicauda* and *Pseudostichopus villosus*: what do they tell us about digestive strategies of abyssal holothurians? Progress in Oceanography 50; 443–458.
- Salomonsen, F. 1983. Revision of the Melanesian Swiftlets (Apodes, Aves) and their conspecific forms in the Indo-Australian and Polynesian region. Kongelige Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Biol. Skrifter 23 (5), 1–112.
- Samar, M.E., Avila, R.E., Fabro, S,P., and Ferraris, M.E. 1987. Morfogénesis de las glándulas salivales linguales del embrión del pollo. Rev Fac Odont Univ Nac Córdoba 15: 49–56
- Samar, M.E., Avila, R.E., Grunberg, K., Fabro, S.P., and Ferraris, M.E. 1993. Glándulas bucales de pollo (*Gallus domesticus*): Aspectos morfohistoquímicos. Rev Bras Biol 53: 55–62

- Samar, M.E., Avila, R.E., Porfirio, V., and Rabino, M. 1997. Histofisiología de las glándulas salivales de Netta peposaca (Aves: *Anatidae*). Natura Neotropicalis 28:11–17
- Samar, M. E, Rodolfo, E. Á., Francisco, J. E., Luis, O., Luis, D., Juan, A. P., and María, A. P., Adriana, M. 2002. Histochemical and ultrastructural study of the chicken salivary palatine glands. acta histochem. 104(2) 199–207.
- Samar, M. E., Avila R. E., De Fabro S. P., Pororio V., Esteban F. J., Pedrosa J. A, and Peinado M. A., 1999a. Histochemical study of Magellanic Penguin (*Spheniscus magellanicus*) minor salivary glands during postnatal growth. Anat. Rec. 254, 298-306.
- Samar, M. E., Olmedo, L, Avila, R. E, and Dettin, L. 1999b. Minor salivary glands from *Coturnix coturnix*: Ultrastructure of their secretory granules. Journol of Acta Microscopic 8:186–187.
- Samar, M. E, Avila, R. E., Olmedo, L. and Dettin L. 1999c. Ultrastructural analysis of minor salivary glands in *Milvago chimango* (Vieillot, 1816). Acta Microsc 8: 188–189
- Sankaran, R. 2001. The status and conservation of the edible nest swiftlet (*Collocalia fuciphaga*) in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Journal of Biology Conservation 97: 283–294
- Sara, A.L and Daniel, M.T. 2000. The Diets of Malaysian Swiftlets. IBIS. Volume 142, Issue 4, pages 596–602, October 2000
- Schmid and Verger. 1998. Lipases: Interfacial Enzymes with Attractive Applications. Angewandte Chemie International Edition. Angewandte Chemie International Edition. Volume 37, Issue 12, 1608–1633.
- Scocco, P., P. Ceccarelli, and G. Menghi, 1995: Sialic acids in the chicken anterior lingual glands detected by lectins combined with enzymatic degradation and oxidizing agents. Journal of Acta Histochemica. 97, 435-443.
- Shen, L., Li, D., Feng, F., and Ren, Y. 2006. Nutritional composition of Polyrhachis vicina Roger (Edible Chinese black ant). Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology, 28, 107–114.
- Shih, L. & Gibson, M. A. 1967. A histological and histochemical study of the development of salivary glands in the chick (*Gallus domesticus*). Canadian Journal ofZoology 45, 607-622.

- Sibley, C.G., Monroe, B.L., 1990. Distribution and Taxonomy of Birds of the World. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- Sims, R. W. 1961. The identification of Malaysian species of swiftlets. IBIS, 103a (2), 205–210.
- Slomiany, B. L., Murty V. L., Piotrowski, J. and Slomiany, A. 1996. Salivary mucins in oral mucosal defense. Journal of Genetic Pharmacology 27: 761–771
- Small, H. J., Shields, J. D, Neil, D. M., Taylor, A. C. and Coombs, G. H. 2007. Differences in enzyme activities between two species of *Hematodinium*, parasitic dinoflagellates of crustaceans. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 94; 175–183
- Spicer, S. S. and Meyer, D. B. 1960. Histochemical differentiation of acid mucopolysaccharides by means of combined aldehyde fuchsin-alcian blue staining. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 33:433-460.
- Stimpson, C. M. 2013. A 48,000 year record of swiftlets (Aves: Apodidae) in Northwestern Borneo: Morphometric identifications and palaeoenvironmental implications. Journal of Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 374 132–143
- Stuart, P. D, Reinhard, T, Gerrit, P. and Friedrich, B.1995. Bacterial digestive enzyme activity in the stomach and hepatopancreas of Meganyctiphanes norvegica (M. Sars, 857) Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 188 (1995) 151-165
- Streseman E. 1934. In Handbuch der Zoologie (Edited by Kt~THAL, and KRUMaACH), Vol. 7, II: Ayes.
- Suthers, R. A. and Hector, D. H. 1982. Mechanism for the production of echolocation clicks by the grey swiftlet, *Collocalia spodiopygia*. J. Comp. Physiol. 148: 457\_470.
- Swart, C.C., Deaton, L.E., Felgenhauer, B.E. 2006. The salivary gland and salivary enzymes of the giant waterbugs (Heteroptera; *Belostomatidae*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A 145 (2006) 114–122
- Tabak, L. A. 1995. In defense of the oral cavity: structure, biosynthesis and function of salivary mucins. Annu Rev Physiol 57: 547–564
- Tandler, B. and Hedemark-Poulsen, J. 1976. Ultrastructure of the main excretory duct of the cat submandibular gland. Journal of Morphology;149: 183-198.

Tandler, B. and Phillips, C. J .1993. Structure of serous cells in salivary glands. Microsc

Res Techn 48: 26 – 32

- Taub, A. M. 1967. Comparative histological studies on Duvemoy's gland of colubrid snakes. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 138, 1-50.
- Thomassen, H. A., Den-Tex, R. J., Bakker, M. A. G., and Povel, G. D. E. (2005). Phylogenetic relationships amongst swifts and swiftlets: A multi locus approach. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 37, 264–277.
- Thomassen, H. A., Wiersema, A. T., Bakker, M. A. G., Knijff, P., Hetebrij, E., and Povel, G. D.E. 2003. A new phylogeny of swiftlets (Aves: *Apodidae*) based on cytochrome-b DNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 29(1), 86–93.
- Townsend, W. E. and Blankenship, L. C. 1987. Assessment of Previous Heat Treatment of Laboratory Heat-Processed Meat and Poultry using a Commercial Enzyme System J. Food Sci., 52, 1445–1448.
- Tucker, R. 1958. Taxonomy of the salivary glands of vertebrates. Journal of Systematic Zoology 7:74–83.
- Nalavade, M. N., and Varute, A. T. 1977. Histochemical studies on the mucins of the vertebrate tongues. XI. Histochemical analysis of mucosubstances in the lingual glands and taste buds of some birds. Acta Histochem. 60, 18±31.
- Van, A. A, Bolscher, J. G., and Veerman, E. C. 1995. Salivary mucins: protective functions in relation to their diversity. Glycobiology 5: 733–740
- Varzakas, T. 1998. *Rhizopus oligosporus* mycelial penetration and enzyme diffusion in soya bean tempe. Process Biochemist O' Vol. 33, No. 7, pp. 741-747,
- Viruhpintu, S. 2002. Breeding Biology of the White-Nest Swiftlet Aerodramus fuciphagus (Thunberg, 1812) in Man-Made and Natural Habitats. PhD Dissertation, Biological Sciences Program, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand
- Vollmerhaus, B. and Sinowatz, F. 1992. Verdauungsapparat. In: Nickel, R., Schummer, E., Seiferle, E. (Eds.), Anatomie der Vo<sup>¨</sup>gel Bd. 5. Lehrbuch der Anatomie der Haustiere, Parey, Berlin.
- Watanabe, I., Morais, J. O. R., Yokoyama, R., Inokuchi, T. and Hamasaki, M. 1992. Three-dimensional structure of the subepithelial connective tissue papillae of the armadillo (*Dasypus novemcinctus*) tongue. Rev. Chil. Anat., 10:97-103,

- Watanabe I. and Konig Jr B.1988. Ultrastructure of palatine salivary glands of the tufted capuchine monkey (*Cebus apella Linnaeus*, 1758). Z Mikrosk Anat Forsch 102: 590-597.
- Waugh, D. R. and Hails, C. J. 1983. Foraging ecology of a tropical aerial feeding bird guild. *lbis* 125: 200-217.
- Wieruszeski, J. M., Michalski, J.C., Montreuil, J., Strecker, G., Peter-Katalinic, J., Egge, H., Van Halbeek, H., Mutsaers, J.H., Vliegenthart, J.F. 1987. Structure of the monosialyl oligosaccharides derived from salivary gland mucin glycoproteins of the Chinese swiftlet (genus *Collocalia*). J. Biol.Chem. 262, 6650–6657.
- Wong, C.Y. 2006. Medicinal value and Trading of Edible bird-nest. In proceeding of the first South- East Asia Swiftlet farming Conference, Challenges to sustainable Swiftlet Farming. Penang, pp:71-76.
- Qinggang X. and Renault T. 2000. Enzymatic Activities in European Flat Oyster, Ostreaedulis, and Pacific Oyster, Crassostreagigas, Hemolymph. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 76, 155–163.
- Young, J. A and Van Lennep E. W. 1978. The morphology of salivary glands. London ; New York : Academic Press, pg 203
- Zaccone, G. 1977: Mucopolysaccharide histochemistry of the avian tongue: Histochemical features of the lingual glands in *Larus ridibundus* (L). Acta Histochem. 60, 67±77.
- Zaul, G. E. and Horst, F. 2006. Activity of digestive enzymes along the gut of juvenile red abalone, *Haliotis rufescens*, fed natural and balanced diets. Aquaculture 261 (2006) 615–625.
- Ziswiler, V. and Farner, D.S. 1972. Digestion and the digestive system. In Farner, D.S., King, J.R. and Parkes, K.C. (Eds): Avian Biology.pp. 344–354, Academic Press, New York.

Zylberberg, L. 1977. Histochemistry and ultrastructure of amphibian lingual glands and phylogenetic relations. Histochem J 9: 505–520