

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

EFFECTS OF SELF-EFFICACY ENHANCING PROGRAM ON FOOT SELFCARE BEHAVIOUR AMONG ELDERLY WITH DIABETES IN RUMAH SERI KENANGAN, PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

SITI KHUZAIMAH AHMAD SHARONI

FPSK(P) 2017 37



EFFECTS OF SELF-EFFICACY ENHANCING PROGRAM ON FOOT SELF-CARE BEHAVIOUR AMONG ELDERLY WITH DIABETES IN RUMAH SERI KENANGAN, PENINSULAR MALAYSIA



SITI KHUZAIMAH AHMAD SHARONI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

September 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

C



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

EFFECTS OF SELF-EFFICACY ENHANCING PROGRAM ON FOOT SELF-CARE BEHAVIOUR AMONG ELDERLY WITH DIABETES IN RUMAH SERI KENANGAN, PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

By

SITI KHUZAIMAH AHMAD SHARONI

September 2017

Chairman : Associate Professor Hejar Abdul Rahman, M. Com. Health Faculty : Medicine and Health Sciences

Background: Diabetes has a significant impact on health especially among the elderly population. The Self-efficacy theory could improve foot self-care behaviour among the elderly with diabetes to prevent the risk of foot problems. There is a dearth of publications related to theory based intervention to improve foot self-care behaviour among the elderly with diabetes living in an institutional care in Malaysia.

Objectives: This study aims to develop, implement and evaluate the effectiveness of a self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour among the elderly with diabetes in Rumah Seri Kenangan, Peninsular Malaysia.

Methods: A Randomised Controlled Trial was conducted for 12 weeks in six Rumah Seri Kenangan, Peninsular Malaysia, Malaysia, Elderly with diabetes aged 60 years and above who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in this program. Using a randomised cluster design, the respondents were randomly allocated by an independent person into two groups (intervention and control). The intervention group received the self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour. Four selfefficacy components; performance accomplishments, vicarious experience, verbal persuasion, and physiological and emotional states were translated into program interventions. The program consisted of four visits; the first visit included screening and baseline assessment and the second visit involved 30 minutes of group seminar presentation. The third and fourth visits entailed a 20-minute one to one follow-up discussion and evaluation. A series of visits to the respondents was conducted throughout the program. Meanwhile, the control group received the usual health care. The primary outcome is foot-self-care behaviour. Foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life, fasting blood sugar and foot condition are the secondary measures. Data were analysed with descriptive and inferential statistics (t-test, Mann-U, Chi-Square, Fisher's exact, repeated measures Analysis of Variance, Mixed Designs Analysis of Variance and McNemar) using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 20.0.

Results: 184 respondents were recruited but only 76 met the selection criteria and were included in the analysis at baseline, week-4 and week-12 post-intervention. The acceptability profile rate and healthcare provider compliance were high. Foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation and knowledge of foot care improved in the intervention group compared to the control group (p<0.05). However, some of these improvements did not significantly differ compared to the control group for QoL physical symptoms, QoL psychosocial functioning, common skin conditions, overall foot hygiene and overall foot condition (p>0.05). Fasting blood sugar, other foot conditions and infections, nail conditions and foot complications did not show any statistical improvement across the time effects between the two groups (p>0.05).

Conclusion: The self-efficacy enhancing program improved foot self-care behaviour with respect to the delivered program thus reducing the risk of foot problems. It is expected that in the future, the Self-efficacy theory can be incorporated into diabetes education to enhance foot self-care behaviour and improve physical and psychosocial outcome of elderly with diabetes living in other institutional care facilities.

Keywords: Education, diabetes, elderly, foot, self-efficacy, institutional care

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KEBERKESANAN PROGRAM PENINGKATAN KECEKAPAN KENDIRI UNTUK PENJAGAAN KAKI KENDIRI DI KALANGAN WARGA TUA YANG MENGHIDAP DIABETES YANG MENETAP DI RUMAH SERI KENANGAN, SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA

Oleh

SITI KHUZAIMAH AHMAD SHARONI

September 2017

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Hejar Abdul Rahman, M. Com. Health Fakulti : Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan

Latarbelakang: Diabetes memberikan kesan yang signifikan terhadap kesihatan terutamanya di dalam populasi warga tua. Teori Kecekapan Kendiri (Self-efficacy Theory) dapat mempertingkatkan tingkah laku penjagaan kaki kendiri oleh warga tua yang menghidap diabetes untuk mengurangkan risiko masalah berkaitan kaki. Terdapat kekurangan penyelidikan yang mengkaji intervensi berdasarkan teori untuk mempertingkatkan tingkah laku penjagaan kaki kendiri di kalangan warga tua yang menetap di pusat penjagaan di Malaysia.

Objektif: Kajian ini bertujuan untuk membina, melaksanakan dan menilai keberkesanan program peningkatan kecekapan kendiri untuk penjagaan kaki kendiri di kalangan warga tua yang menghidap dibetes yang menetap Rumah Seri Kenangan, Semenanjung Malaysia.

Kaedah: Ujian Rawak Terkawal telah dijalankan selama 12 minggu di enam buah Rumah Seri Kenangan, Semenanjung Malaysia, Malaysia. Warga tua yang menghidap diabetes, berusia 60 tahun ke atas dan memenuhi kriteria kemasukan telah dijemput untuk mengambil bahagian di dalam program ini. Menggunakan rekabentuk rawak kluster, peserta telah dibahagikan secara rawak oleh individu bebas ke dalam dua kumpulan (intervensi dan kawalan). Kumpulan intervensi telah menjalani program peningkatan kecekapan kendiri untuk penjagaan kaki kendiri. Empat komponen kecekapan kendiri: pencapaian tingkah laku, pengalaman melalui pemerhatian, sokongan lisan dan keadaan fisiologi dan emosi diterapkan sebagai intervensi ke dalam program. Program ini melibatkan empat kunjungan: kunjungan pertama merupakan saringan dan penilaian awal dan kunjungan kedua merupakan seminar berkumpulan selama 30 minit. Kunjungan ketiga dan keempat melibatkan perbincangan individu dan penilaian selama 20 minit. Sepanjang program, kunjungan berterusan telah dilakukan. Kumpulan kawalan menerima penjagaan kesihatan yang lazim. Hasil utama adalah tingkah laku penjagaan kaki kendiri. Kecekapan kandiri Kecekapan kendiri penjagaan kaki (jangkaan

kecekapan), jangkaan hasil penjagaan kaki, pengetahuan mengenai penjagaan kaki, kualiti hidup, kandungan gula sewaktu berpuasa (FBS) dan keadaan kaki merupakan ukuran sekunder. Data dianalisis menggunakan ujian statistik deskriptif dan inferens (ujian-t, ujian Mann-U, ujian Chi-Square, ujian tepat Fisher, analisis varians ukuran berulang, analisis varians rekabentuk campuran dan ujian McNemar) menggunakan perisian Statistical Package for the Social Sciences versi 20.0.

Keputusan: Seramai 184 responden telah dipilih tetapi hanya 76 yang memenuhi kriteria pemilihan dan terlibat di dalam analisis permulaan, minggu ke-4 dan minggu ke-12 selepas intervensi. Kadar profil kebolehterimaan dan kepatuhan kakitangan penjagaan kesihatan adalah tinggi. Tingkah laku penjagaan kaki, kecekapan kendiri (jangkaan kecekapan) penjagaan kaki, jangkaan hasil penjagaan kaki dan pengetahuan mengenai penjagaan kaki meningkat di dalam kumpulan intervensi berbanding kumpulan kawalan (p<0.05). Namun begitu, beberapa peningkatan tidak menunjukkan perbezaan signifikan berbanding kumpulan kawalan iaitu bagi gejala fizikal kualiti hidup (QoL), fungsi psikososial kualiti hidup (QoL), penyakit kulit lazim, kebersihan kaki keseluruhan dan kesihatan kaki keseluruhan (p>0.05). FBS, penyakit kaki lain dan jangkitan, kesihatan kuku dan komplikasi kaki tidak menunjukkan peningkatan signifikan merentasi masa antara kedua kumpulan (p>0.05).

Kesimpulan: Program peningkatan kecekapan kendiri telah berjaya mempertingkatkan tingkah laku penjagaan kaki kendiri melalui program yang dijalankan, yang dapat mengurangkan risiko masalah berkaitan kaki. Di masa hadapan, teori Kecekapan Kendiri dijangka boleh diterapkan ke dalam pendidikan diabetes untuk mempertingkatkan tingkah laku penjagaan kaki kendiri serta memperbaiki hasil fizikal dan psikososial bagi warga tua yang menghidap diabetes dan menetap di pusat penjagaan lain.

Kata kunci: Pendidikan, dibetes, warga tua, kaki, kecekapan kendiri, pusat penjagaan

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to thank Allah SWT for always guiding me through this journey. Special acknowledgment to my supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Hejar Abdul Rahman, Associate Professor Dr. Halimatus Sakdiah Minhat and Associate Professor Dr. Sazlina Shariff Ghazali for their support and guidance. Thanks to lecturer in the Community Health Department, Associate Professor Dr. Nor Afiah Mohd Zulkefli (Head of Department), Professor Dato' Dr. Lye Munn Sann and Dr. Salmiah Md Said. I thank to Dr. Muhammad Mikhail Joseph Anthony Abdullah (Endocrinologist/ Lecturer, Medicine Department) and Mrs. Nadia Alhana Arifin (Diabetes Nurse Educator, Family Medicine Department) for their advice and sharing experiences on diabetes education.

Special thanks to the Social Welfare Department Malaysia and staff in Rumah Seri Kenangan (RSK) for their assistance during data collection process: RSK Cheras (Mrs. Nur Syazwani Suhaimi, Mrs. Nur Aidafitri Azahar and Mrs. Sharifah Shahida Syed Azahar), RSK Kangar (Mr. Manab Mehat and Mrs. Azwin Idris), RSK Bedong (Mr. Mohamad Md Nor and Mr. Azman Mohamed), RSK Taiping (Mr. Abdul Razak Yahaya and Mr. Faizal Che Mansor), RSK Ulu Kinta (YM. Raja Khalid Raja Ahmad and Mrs. Norshawani Mohd Rani), RSK Cheng (Mr. Kamarulzaman Ismail and Mrs. Noorazmanita Razali), RSK Johor Bharu (Mr. Ansharey Mat Arshad, Mr. Wan Zahidan Wan Yakob and Mrs. Norain Man).

I would like to acknowledge the Universiti Teknologi MARA and the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for the PhD scholarship, the Research Ethic Committee and the Putra Grant (no. 9463500) Universiti Putra Malaysia. Finally, words alone cannot express the thanks to my family and colleagues for their support, encouragement and prayers.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 7 September 2017 to conduct the final examination of Siti Khuzaimah binti Ahmad Sharoni on her thesis entitled "Effects of Self-Efficacy Enhancing Program on Foot Self-Care Behaviour among Elderly with Diabetes in Rumah Seri Kenangan, Peninsular Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Loh Su Peng, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Sherina binti Mohd Sidik, PhD Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Suriani binti Ismail, PhD Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Sunee Lagampan, PhD

Associate Professor Mahidol University Thailand (External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 October 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Hejar Abdul Rahman, M. Community Health

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Halimatus Sakdiah Minhat, DrPh

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Sazlina Shariff Ghazali, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:
<i>c</i>	

Name and Matric No.: Siti Khuzaimah Ahmad Sharoni (GS40555)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr Hejar Abdul Rahman
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	
	Associate Professor Dr Halimatus Sakdiah Minhat
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	
	Associate Professor Dr Sazlina Shariff Ghazali

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix

CHAPTER	
1	

2

INTRODUCTION

TIA1	KODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background information	1
1.3	Problem statement	2
1.4	Significance of study	4
1.5	Research question	4
1.6	Research Objectives	4
	1.6.1 General research objective	4
1.7	Research Hypothesis	5
1.8	Conceptual and operational definitions	5
1. <mark>9</mark>	Summary	6
LII	TERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Prevalence of diabetes worldwide and in	7
	Malaysia	
2.3	Prevalence of diabetes foot problems	8
	worldwide and in Malaysia	
2.4	The elderly population in Malaysia	11
2.5	Public institutional care for the elderly in	11
	Malaysia	
2.6	Types of diabetic foot problems	12
	2.6.1 Diabetic Neuropathy	13
	2.6.2 Nail conditions	13
	2.6.3 Skin conditions	14
	2.6.4 Skin infections and complications	14
2.7	Diabetes foot care	15
	2.7.1 Foot inspection, hygiene, skin and nail	15
	care	
	2.7.2 Exercise, resting the feet and proper	16
	sitting position	
	2.7.3 Footwear and protection	16
	2.7.4 Regular foot examination and	17
	professional treatment	

professional treatment 2.8 Diabetes foot care education 17

	2.8.1 Assessment of patients	17
	2.8.2 Diabetes foot care education strategies	18
	2.9 Health education programs to improve foot	19
	self-care behaviour and foot problems among	
	the elderly with diabetes: a systematic review	
	2.9.1 Introduction	19
	2.9.2 Methods	20
	2.9.3 Results	29
	2.9.4 Discussion	47
	2.9.5 Conclusion	50
	2.10 Health behaviour theories	50
	2.10.1 Self-efficacy; definition and description	51
	2.10.2 Sources for enhancing self-efficacy	52
	2.11 Previous studies on self-efficacy and foot self-	53
	care behaviour among patients with diabetes	
	2.11.1 Previous cross-sectional studies on self-	53
	efficacy and foot self-care behaviour	
	2.11.2 Previous intervention studies of foot	54
	self-care behaviour based on Self-	
	efficacy Theory	
	2.12 A conceptual framework of self-efficacy	55
	enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour	
	for the elderly with diabetes	
	2.13 Summary	58
3	METHODOLOGY	
	3.1 Introduction	59
	3.2 Study Location	59
	3.3 Study Design	60
	3.4 Population	61
	3.4.1 Target population	61
	3.5 Sample	62
	3.5.1 Sample size calculation	62
	3.5.2 Sampling Method	63
	3.5.3 Inclusion and exclusion criteria	64
	3.6 Instruments	65
	3.6.1 Questionnaire	65
	3.6.2 Blood glucose test set	68
	3.6.3 Intervention module	68
	3.6.4 Checklist reminder	69
	3.7 Data Collection	69
	3.7.1 Individuals involved in the program	70
	(research providers)	
	3.7.2 Recruitment, screening, consent and	70
	baseline data	
	3.7.3 The intervention program	70
	3.7.4 Follow-up	71
	3.7.5 Evaluation	72
	3.8 Quality control	76

3	3.8.2 Reliability	77
3	3.8.3 Pilot study	79
3	3.8.4 Translation process for the questionnaire	80
3.9 D	Data analysis	81
3.10 E	Ethical Consideration	82
3.11 S	Summary	83

4 RESULT

 \bigcirc

4.	1 Introduction	84
4.	2 Recruitment and enrolment	84
4.	3 Baseline comparisons between the intervention and	87
	4.2.1 Comparisons of baseling demographic data	07
	4.5.1 Comparisons of baseline demographic data	0/
	4.2.2 Comparisons of baseling alinical characteristics	00
	4.5.2 Comparisons of basenne chinical characteristics	00
	between the intervention and control groups	20
	4.5.5 Comparisons of baseline for foot self-care	89
	our actation) fact are subserved automa supertation	
	expectation), foot care outcome expectation,	
	knowledge of foot care and quality of file (QoL)	
	4.2.4 Comparisons of baseling fact condition between	00
	4.5.4 Comparisons of baseline foot condition between	90
4	the intervention and control groups	02
4.4	+ Acceptability and compliance to the self-efficacy	92
	ennancing program	02
	4.4.1 Acceptability profile score of the respondents	92
	4.4.2 Health care provider compliance with the	91
4	5. Descling information: characteristics of the respondents	02
4.	4.5.1 Descline information, dama graphic data	93
	4.5.1 Baseline information: demographic data	93
	4.5.2 Daseline information: contract characteristics	94
	4.5.5 Baseline information. Tool sen-care behaviour,	95
	foot care sen-encacy (encacy expectation), foot	
	and quality of life (Ool.)	
	4.5.4 Pasaling information: fact condition	05
1	4.5.4 Dasenne information. Tool condition	95
4.	care behaviour, foot care solf officercy (officercy	90
	avpactation) foot care outcome avpactation knowledge	
	of foot care, quality of life (OoL) and EBS within the	
	group	
	4.6.1 Effects of self officercy enhancing program on	07
	4.0.1 Effects of self-efficacy efficiency program of	71
	(afficacy expectation) foot care outcome	
	(endedy expectation), 1000 care outcome expectation knowledge of foot care, quality of	
	life (OoL) and EBS in the intervention group	
	me (QOL) and TDS in the intervention group	

 4.7 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects or foot care espectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects of self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition between groups 4.9.5 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.1 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy (afficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, foot care, enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour,		4.6.2 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (OoL) and FBS in the control group	102
 expectation, toot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QOL) and FBS between groups 4.7.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation), foot care outcome expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QOL) and FBS between groups 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QOL) and FBS between groups 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QOL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy espectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QOL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy (efficacy espectation, knowledge of foot care, accelled of the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (MeNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition 4.9.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: character	4.7	Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self- care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy	106
 4.7.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care outcome expectation, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, foo deficacy (expectation), foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.8.4 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot 118 condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition 125 between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.3 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.4 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.5 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.6 Summary of results: characteristics of t		expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge	
 4.7.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 115 time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care 117 behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy enhancing program on foot 118 condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot 119 condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of 121 the changes in foot condition 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 1124 the changes in foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 1124 the changes in foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 125 between groups 4.9.5 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 127 respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 127 enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care expectation, foot care expectati		groups	
 knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care outcome expectation), foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 25 guare test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		 4.7.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes in foot self- care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation) foot care outcome expectation 	106
FBS between groups 111 4.7.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 113 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 115 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 117 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot condition 118 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition between groups 121 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition 121 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition 124 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition between groups 125 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi coverall foot hygiene) between groups 125 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 127 4.9.3 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 127 4.9.1 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy e		knowledge of foot care, guality of life (OoL) and	
 4.7.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		FBS between groups	
 A.1.2 Statistical (clinacly (clinacly)) control care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across a.1.5 time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.8.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of 121 the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: characteristics of self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care self-efficacy foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		4.7.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation) foot care	111
 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of time effects on foot condition 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy (arguing foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (Ool) and EBS between groups	
 time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care 117 behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot 118 condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot 119 condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of 121 the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 125 between groups 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 125 square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 enhancing program on foot care endation (other sequents) foot care endation (other sequents) foot care outcome expectation, foot care endation (other sequents) foot		4.7.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across	115
self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 25 Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		time effects on foot self-care behaviour, foot care	
 outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot 118 condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot 119 condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of 121 the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the expondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care	
4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot self-care117behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups1184.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot118condition4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups1194.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition1214.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition1244.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition between groups1254.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi to the changes in foot condition to the changes in foot condition12594.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi to the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups1264.9Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents1274.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care,	
 4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on tool seri-care h. A. A. Multiple comparison analysis on tool seri-care h. A. B. Effects of self-efficacy (efficacy expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		quality of life (QoL) and FBS	117
 All Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot 118 condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of 121 the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 124 time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 125 between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the 127 respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 127 enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		4.7.4 Multiple comparison analysis on foot sen-care	11/
 knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 5 Square test) of the changes in foot condition 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the 4.9.1 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 4.9.3 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 4.9.4 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 4.9.5 Summary of results: effects of self-e		expectation) foot care outcome expectation	
FBS between groups 4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 124 time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 125 between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		knowledge of foot care, quality of life (OoL) and	
4.8 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot condition 118 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 119 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition between groups 121 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition 124 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 125 between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi the changes in foot condition to the comparison analysis of the changes in foot condition to the detween groups 125 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of the changes in foot condition the detween groups 126 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi the changes in foot condition to the detween groups 126 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 126 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 127 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 127 enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 127		FBS between groups	
 condition 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.1 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 	4.8	Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot	118
 4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results 4.9.2 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		condition	
 4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of the changes in foot condition between groups 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 4.8.5 S quare test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		4.8.1 Descriptive analysis of the changes on the foot condition between groups	119
4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across time effects on foot condition1244.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition between groups1254.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition between groups1254.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups1264.9Summary of results1264.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents1274.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		4.8.2 Inferential analysis (Mixed Designs ANOVA) of	121
 4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across 124 time effects on foot condition 4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition 125 between groups 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi 125 Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 127 enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		the changes in foot condition between groups	104
4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition125between groups4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi125Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups1264.9 Summary of results1264.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents127enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		4.8.3 Multiple comparison analysis, pairwise, across	124
 4.8.5 Inferential analysis of root condition 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		4.8.4 Multiple comparison analysis of foot condition	125
 4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups 4.9 Summary of results 4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents 4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 127 enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group 		between groups	125
Square test) of the changes in foot condition (overall foot hygiene) between groups4.9Summary of results1264.9.1Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents1274.9.2Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		4.8.5 Inferential analysis (McNemar and Chi	125
(overall foot hygiene) between groups4.9Summary of results4.9.1Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents4.9.2Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		Square test) of the changes in foot condition	
4.9Summary of results1264.9.1Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents1274.9.2Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		(overall foot hygiene) between groups	
4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the respondents1274.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group127	4.9	Summary of results	126
4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy 127 enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		4.9.1 Summary of results: characteristics of the	127
4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of sen-efficacy [127] enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		respondents	107
foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		4.9.2 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy	127
care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation). foot	
quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group		care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care,	
		quality of life (QoL) and FBS within the group	

4.9.3 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy	128
enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour,	
foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot	
care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care,	
quality of life (QoL) and FBS between groups	
4.9.4 Summary of results: effects of self-efficacy	128

enhancing program on foot condition

DISCUSSION

5

5.1	Introduction	130
5.2	Feasibility and acceptability of the program	130
5.3	Health care provider compliance toward the program	131
5.4	Characteristics of the respondents	131
	5.4.1 Baseline comparisons and retention	131
	5.4.2 Demographic data	132
	5.4.3 Clinical characteristics	132
	5.4.4 Foot self-care behaviour	133
	5.4.5 Foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation) and	134
	foot care outcome expectation	
	5.4.6 Knowledge of foot care	134
	5.4.7 Quality of life (physical symptoms and	135
	psychosocial functioning)	
	5.4.8 Foot condition	135
5.5	Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on the	135
	outcomes	
	5.5.1 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on	136
	foot self-care behaviour	
	5.5.2 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on	137
	foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation) and	
	foot care outcome expectation	
	5.5.3 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on	138
	knowledge of foot care	
	5.5.4 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on	139
	quality of life	
	5.5.5 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on	141
	fasting blood sugar	
	5.5.6 Effects of self-efficacy enhancing program on	142
	foot condition	1.4.4
5.6	Summary	144
CO		

CONCLUSION

6.1	Introduction	145
6.2	Summary of the study	145
6.3	Strengths and limitations of the study	146
	6.3.1 Strengths of the study	146
	6.3.2 Limitations of the study	147
6.4	Implications for practice and recommendations for	148
	future research	

REFERENCES	151
APPENDICES	172
BIODATA OF STUDENT	222
LIST OF PUBLICATION	223



 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Risk factors (awareness) of diabetes foots and hands	9
2.2	Feet/ hand behaviour among patient with diabetes within one-year- period	10
2.3	Search strategy used in databases	21
2.4	Inclusion and exclusion criteria of search strategy	22
2.5	List of study design features	26
2.6	Risk of bias summary (Cochrane Statistical Methods Group and the Cochrane Bias Methods Group)	28
2.7	Literature summary for the health education programs	33
3.1	Number of RSK inmates by institution and gender, 2013	61
3.2	Sample size calculation using other variables	63
3.3	Whole activity of the self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self- care behaviour among elderly with diabetes	73
3.4	Internal consistency and KR-20 analyses (N=31)	78
3.5	Test-retest reliability analysis (N=31)	79
4.1	Enrolment rate of potential respondents and participation in six RSK	85
4.2	Comparisons of baseline demographic data between the intervention and control groups (N=76)	88
4.3	Comparisons of baseline clinical characteristics between the intervention and control groups (N=76)	89
4.4	Comparisons of baseline for the variables between the intervention and control groups (N=76)	90
4.5	Comparisons of baseline foot condition between the intervention and control groups (N=76)	91
4.6	The acceptability profile score to the program delivered (N=36)	92
4.7	Healthcare provider compliance with the checklist reminder	93
4.8	Distribution of respondents according to demographic data (N=76)	94
4.9	Distribution of respondents according to clinical characteristics (N=76)	94
4.10	Distribution of respondents according to variables (N=76)	95
4.11	Distribution of respondents according to foot condition (N=76)	96
4.12	Result of baseline, week-4, week-12, and changes (%) on the variables in the intervention group $(N=38)$	98
4.13	Result of repeated measures ANOVA in the intervention group (N=38)	100
4.14	Multiple comparison analysis for repeated measures ANOVA in the intervention group	102
4.15	Result of baseline, week-4, week-12, and changes (%) on the variables in the control group ($N=38$)	103
4.16	Result of repeated measures ANOVA in the control group (N=38)	105
4.17	Result of baseline, week-4, week-12, and changes (%) between the intervention group (N=38) and control group (N=38) on FSCB, FCSE, FCOE, KOFC, QoL physical symptoms, QoL psychosocial functioning and EBS	108

6

4.18	Test of equality of error variance for Group Effect test on FSCB,	112
	FCSE, FCOE, KOFC, QoL physical symptoms, QoL psychosocial	
	functioning and FBS	
4.19	Result of Mixed Designs ANOVA analyses on FSCB, FCSE,	115
	FCOE, KOFC, OoL physical symptoms, OoL psychosocial	
	functioning and FBS	
4.20	Multiple comparison analysis for pairwise comparisons on FSCB.	117
	ECSE ECOE KOEC Ool, physical symptoms Ool, psychosocial	
	functioning and FBS	
4 21	Multiple comparison analysis for group effect on ESCB ECSE	118
1.21	FCOF KOFC Ool physical symptoms Ool psychosocial	110
	functioning and FRS	
1 22	Result of baseline score week-12 score and changes (%) between	119
7.22	the intervention group $(N=38)$ and control group $(N=38)$ on foot	117
	condition	
1 23	Test of equality of error variance for Group Effect test on foot	122
4.23	applition	122
1 24	Condition	124
4.24	Result of Mixed-Design analysis on foot condition	124
4.25	Comparison analysis for pairwise comparisons on foot condition	124
4.26	Comparison analysis for group effect on the foot condition	125
4.27	Summary result of McNemar Test for overall foot hygiene	125
4.28	Summary result of Chi Square Test of Homogeneity between	126
	Baseline and Groups for overall foot hygiene	
4.29	Summary result of Chi Square Test of Homogeneity between week-	126
	12 and Groups for overall foot hygiene	

 (\mathbf{C})

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Prevalence of diabetes in Malaysia by age, 2013	8
2.2	Number of population by sex and age group, Malaysia, 2000 and 2010	11
2.3	PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram for the process of study selection	24
2.4	The relationship between efficacy expectation and outcome expectation	52
2.5	A conceptual framework of the development and evaluation of self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour for the elderly with diabetes	57
3.1	The location of RSK in Peninsular Malaysia	60
3.2	Sampling selection process for the self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour among elderly with diabetes	64
3.3	Blood glucose test set used for this study	68
3.4	Foot care package for the respondents	69
4.1	Flow chart of enrolment, allocation, follow up and analysis of the self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour among elderly with diabetes	86
4.2	Linear plot of time versus average score on the variables in the intervention group	99
4.3	Linear plot of time versus average score on the variables in the control group	104
4.4 (a)	Linear plot of time versus average score of foot self-care behaviour (FSCB) across control and intervention groups	109
4.4 (b)	Linear plot of time versus average score of foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation) (FCSE) across control and intervention groups	109
4.4 (c)	Linear plot of time versus average score of foot care outcome expectation (FCOE) across control and intervention group	109
4.4 (d)	Linear plot of time versus average score of knowledge on foot care (KOFC) across control and intervention groups	109
4.4 (e)	Linear plot of time versus average score of QoL physical symptoms across control and intervention groups	110
4.4 (f)	Linear plot of time versus average score of QoL psychosocial functioning across control and intervention groups	110
4.4 (g)	Linear plot of time versus average score of FBS across control and intervention groups	110
4.5 (a)	Linear plot of time versus average total score of nail conditions across control and intervention groups	120
4.5 (b)	Linear plot of time versus average total score of common skin conditions across control and intervention groups	120
4.5 (c)	Linear plot of time versus average total score of other conditions and infections across control and intervention groups	120
4.5 (d)	Linear plot of time versus average total score of complications across control and intervention groups	120
4.5 (e)	Linear plot of time versus average total score of overall foot condition score across control and intervention groups	121

6

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Diabetes has a significant impact on health especially among the elderly living in an institutionalised care. Self-care behaviour is essential to prevent diabetic foot problems. This chapter provides background information on diabetes and the related problem statements, and the significance and objectives of the study as well as a section on the definition of the terms that will be used in this study.

1.2 Background information

Diabetes is the most common non-communicable disease affecting the elderly. It is the fifth leading cause of death worldwide, accounting for 4.6 million sufferers annually (Roglic & Unwin, 2009). Diabetes is a hyperglycaemic state that resulted from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action or both, and is included in the group of metabolic disease (Clinical Practice Guideline, 2009). There are three main types of diabetes; Type 1 diabetes known as insulin-dependent diabetes, Type 2 diabetes known as non-insulin-dependent diabetes, and gestational diabetes (Burke et al., 2011). Type 2 diabetes (commonly known as diabetes) accounts for 90% - 95% of all types of diabetes cases [American Diabetes Association (ADA), 2014].

The non-modifiable risk factors of diabetes are age, gender, ethnicity and genetics [International Diabetes Federation (IDF), 2013a]. A sedentary lifestyle, obesity, changes in dietary habits and reduction in physical activities are the modifiable factors that contribute to a higher prevalence of diabetes (Burke et al., 2011).

Most patients with diabetes are either asymptomatic or unaware of the related symptoms of polydipsia, polyuria, blurring of vision, fatigue, paresthesias and skin infections (ADA, 2014). However, the elderly with underlying diabetes often describe symptoms of fatigue, blurred vision, change in weight (gain or loss) and infections (e.g., foot or leg wound, vaginitis, or urinary tract infection), numbness of extremities and vision changes (Feinglos & Bethel, 2008).

A venous plasma glucose level (fasting) of \geq 7.0 mmol/L and or venous plasma glucose level (random) of \geq 11.1 mmol/L are values for the diagnosis of diabetes (Clinical Practice Guideline, 2009). In the symptomatic individual, one abnormal glucose value is sufficient for the diagnostis of diabetes, but for individual who is asymptomatic, two abnormal glucose values are required in the diagnosis of diabetes (Clinical Practice Guideline, 2009).

There are several treatments involved in the management of diabetes. Types of antihyperglycaemic agents used by patients with diabetes are oral medication (e.g., Biguanides, Sulphonylureas and Alpha-Glucosidase Inhibitors) and insulin therapy (e.g., Actrapid, Humulin R, Insulatard and Mixtard 30) (Clinical Practice Guideline, 2015). Letchuman et al. (2010) stated that patients with diabetes are either on oral medication (77.1%), on insulin alone (3.1%) or treated with both oral and insulin (4.1%). Similarly, most elderly with diabetes are usually on oral hypoglycaemic medication, followed by insulin only or a combination of oral hypoglycaemic agents (Vischer et al., 2009; Ooi et al., 2011).

Complications of diabetes are commonly divided into acute and chronic. Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state (HHS) and hypoglycemia are acute complications. The chronic complications are mostly classified as macrovascular microvascular diseases (Meiner & Lueckenotte, 2006). The common and macrovascular diseases are myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular and peripheral vascular disease and co-morbidity (Rizvi, 2007; Ooi et al., 2011; IDF, 2013a). Diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy are the most common microvascular complications (American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons, 2006; Fowler, 2008; Bhuvaneswar, Epstein, & Stern, 2007). It was reported that an elderly with diabetes has a poor health status, both physically and mentally, as compared to those without the disease (OR: 1.64; 95% CI: 1.20, 2.23) and this contribute to a diminished quality of life (Brown et al., 2004). A previous study found that 64% of Malaysian elderly with diabetes were having physical disability and 45% of them were mentally unstable (Johari et al., 2016). It can be stated that status of physical and psychological health affects the quality of life.

Self-efficacy is found to be appropriate for application in health intervention programs to improve self-care behaviour among patients with diabetes (van der Bijl et al, 2001; McDowell et al, 2005; Sturt et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2010). To date, few published intervention studies related to self-efficacy education programs focused on foot self-care behaviour among elderly with diabetes living in public long-term care institutions in Malaysia.

1.3 Problem statement

The global prevalence of diabetes is 415 million (IDF, 2015), where more than 134.6 million occurred among the elderly, and the number of elderly with diabetes is expected to increase to 252.8 million by 2035 (IDF, 2013a). The prevalence is expected to increase between 2000 and 2030 due to population growth, obesity, aging and urbanisation (Wild et al., 2004; Noh et al., 2007; Shaw, Sicree, & Zimmet, 2010).

Diabetic foot problems have a great impact on the patient. Diabetic foot problems is accountable for morbidity and permanent disability (Singh et al., 2013). The most common diabetic foot abnormalities are calluses, fissures, deformities, and loss of the protective sensation of pain (Chin & Huang, 2013). These diabetic foot problems may

lead to the development of ulcers. The cases of foot ulcers and amputations could be due to poor hygiene, and foot injury associated with barefoot walking (Boulton, 2005).

In Malaysia, the National Health Morbidity Survey reported that the prevalence of diabetes is 15.2% (2.6 million), where 7.2% are known to have diabetes and 8.0% are previously undiagnosed with diabetes (Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2011). The National Diabetes Registry reported that the prevalence of diabetes in Malaysia has increased from 11.6% in 2006 to 15.2% in 2011 and is projected to increase to 21.6% by the year 2020 (Feisul & Azmi, 2013).

Microvascular and severe late complications cases (e.g., blindness, stroke and leg amputation) in this country were reported at about 75% and 25.4% respectively (Mafauzy et al., 2011). The National Orthopaedic Registry Malaysia (NORM) (2009), stated that 55.3% patient who has a family history of diabetes will develop diabetic foot problems. Patients with diabetic foot problems have a 35.2% and 21.9% chance of developing neuropathy and skin disorders respectively (Abdullah & Abdullah, 2010). The National Diabetes Registry reported that the prevalence of neuropathy is 70%, diabetic foot ulcer is 11.1% and 11.0% for amputation (Feisul & Azmi, 2013). The incidence and average annual incidence of diabetic foot ulceration is about 10% and 1% respectively (Faridah & Azmi, 2009).

The ageing population in Malaysia is becoming a challenge for the healthcare system. The life expectancy of males and females are 71.9 and 76.4 years respectively (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2010). Urbanisation, improvements in nutrition and public health, also advances in medical and healthcare has contributed to an increased life expectancy and to the demographic changes (Poi, Forsyth & Chan, 2004).

The elderly in this country need adequate care and facilities for a better quality of life and healthy ageing (Abbas & Saruwono, 2012). The elderly in rural areas tend to reside with their family (Hairi, Bulgiba, Mudla, & Said, 2011). However, a majority of the younger generation nowadays are working. Therefore, it is becoming increasingly difficult for family members to take care of their elders. Most of them are not at home during the day due to work commitments. The lack of family members at home can be a major barrier to family support (Wan-Ibrahim & Zainab, 2014). As a result, the elders are at risk of being abandoned. Some of them stay in institutional care or day care centers.

In Malaysia, there is a public institutional care facility called the Rumah Seri Kenangan (RSK) available for the elderly living alone or who are unable to manage themselves. However, there are limited studies published related to the elderly in this setting. Therefore, additional research addressing the relationship between a diabetes education program and the outcomes in this setting is crucial.

1.4 Significance of study

The findings from this study would create awareness among the elderly with diabetes in RSK of the significance of foot self-care behaviour. Besides, it can also improve their knowledge of foot care, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, quality of life and foot conditions.

The information from the findings of this study may contribute as baseline information for the ministry. It is hoped that in the future, such health promotion programs for the elderly living in institutional care facilities can help increase their health status, towards healthy ageing.

Several studies had inquired on the need to explore the significance of Self-efficacy theory in improving foot self-care behaviour among elderly with diabetes in various settings. In Malaysia, very few researchers engaged in theory-based interventions in relation to foot self-care behaviour for diabetes especially in an institutional care setting. By introducing Self-efficacy theory in this study, it is hoped that current traditional healthcare may be improved. Therefore, this research aspires to contribute new findings and bridge the gaps related to this issue.

1.5 Research question

Does self-efficacy enhancing program improve foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life, fasting blood sugar and foot condition of elderly with diabetes across the study period?

1.6 Research objectives

1.6.1 General research objective

- 1) To determine the demographic data, clinical characteristics, foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life and foot condition of respondents in the intervention and control groups
- 2) To develop and implement the self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour for the elderly with diabetes
- 3) To determine the respondents' acceptability of the self-efficacy enhancing program at the end of study (week-12)

- 4) To determine the effects of the self-efficacy enhancing program on foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life and fasting blood sugar of the elderly with diabetes within the group (intervention and control) at baseline (before the program), week-4 after the program and week-12 after the program
- 5) To determine the effects of the self-efficacy enhancing program on foot selfcare behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life, fasting blood sugar and foot condition of the elderly with diabetes between groups (intervention and control) at baseline (before the program), week-4 after the program and week-12 after the program

1.7 Research hypothesis

- 1) There will be a significant difference in foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life and fasting blood sugar of the elderly with diabetes within the intervention and control groups at baseline (before the program), week-4 after the program and week-12 after the program
- 2) There will be a significant difference in foot self-care behaviour, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation), foot care outcome expectation, knowledge of foot care, quality of life, fasting blood sugar and foot condition of the elderly with diabetes between intervention and control groups at baseline (before the program), week-4 after the program and week-12 after the program

1.8 Conceptual and operational definitions

The conceptual and operational definitions in this study are as follows:

Foot self-care behaviour

In this study, foot self-care behaviour was measured based on the number of days (in one week) and frequency in performing the behaviour. Patients need to perform behaviours such as examination of feet, hygiene, skin and nail care and wear suitable shoes (Chin & Huang, 2013).

Foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation)

In this study, foot care self-efficacy (efficacy expectation) describes how confident the elderly with diabetes were in undertaking foot self-care behaviour (Sloan, 1998).

Foot care outcome expectation

Foot care outcome expectation in this study is defined as the self-belief (level of confidence) that a foot behaviour will have the desired effect.

Knowledge of foot care

In this study, knowledge of foot care is knowledge of information regarding diabetic foot complications, the risk factors and foot care that needs to be performed by the elderly with diabetes.

Quality of life

In this study, the quality of life of the elderly with diabetes was measured in terms of foot problems (if any) that may affect their activities of daily living (ADLs) and wellbeing (Vileikyte, Peyrot, & Bundy, 2003).

Fasting blood sugar

Normal range values for fasting blood sugar in this study ranged between 4.4 and 6.1 mmol/L (GCP, 2015).

Foot condition

In this study, the foot condition of the elderly with diabetes were measured by foot score, consisting of the condition of nails and skin, infections and complications (Baba et al., 2015).

Elderly

An elderly can be defined as a person aged 60 years and above (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2010).

Diabetes

In this study, an elderly with diabetes was selected to participate if they have been diagnosed with diabetes by a medical doctor according to the clinical criteria based on positive venous plasma glucose level (fasting ≥ 7.0 mmol/L and/ or random ≥ 11.1 mmol/L) (Clinical Practice Guideline, 2009).

1.9 Summary

The prevalence of the elderly with diabetes developing diabetic foot complications has a significant impact on the patients. Apart from that, there were very few published studies related to diabetes foot self-care behaviour in local institutional care. As an elderly becomes older, special care would be needed to improve their health status. Chapter 1 outlined the background and problem statements as well as the significance of this study, presenting its objectives, research questions and operational definitions.

REFERENCES

- Aalaa, M., Malazy, O. T., Sanjari, M., Peimani, M., & Mohajeri-Tehrani, M. R. (2012). Nurses' role in diabetic foot prevention and care; a review. *Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders*, 11(24).
- Abbas, M. Y., & Saruwono, M. (2012). Our "Golden" Citizens with "Golden" Facilities? Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 49: 127–146.
- Abdullah, M. A. H., & Abdullah, A. T. (2010). Annual Report of National Orthopaedic Registry Malaysia (NORM) Diabetic Foot. National Orthopaedic Registry Malaysia (NORM) 2009. Retrieved from http://www.acrm.org.my/norm
- Abdullah, L., & Abbas, O. (2011). Common nail changes and disorders in older people Diagnosis and management, *Canadian Family Physician*, 57: 173-81.
- Adam, J., & Folds, L. (2014). Depression, self-efficacy, and adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes. *The Journal for Nurse Practitioners*, 10(9): 646–652.
- Adam, D., Ramli, A., & Shahar, S. (2016). Effectiveness of a combined dance and relaxation intervention on reducing anxiety and depression and improving quality of life among the cognitively impaired elderly, *Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal*, 16(1): e47–e53.
- Aday, L. A., & Cornelius, L. J. (2006). *Designing and Conducting Health Surveys: a Comprehensive Guide*. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ahmad, B., Ramadas, A., Kia Fatt, Q., & Md Zain, A. Z. (2014). A pilot study: the development of a culturally tailored Malaysian Diabetes Education Module (MY-DEMO) based on the Health Belief Model. *BMC Endocrine Disorders*, 14(1): 31.
- Aikens, J., Rosland, A., & Piette, J. (2015). Improvements in illness self-management and psychological distress associated with telemonitoring support for adults with diabetes. *Primary Care Diabetes*, 9: 127–134.
- Al-Rubeaan, K., Derwish, M. A., Ouizi, S., Youssef, A. M., Subhani, S. N., Ibrahim, H. M., & Alamri, B. N. (2015). Diabetic foot complications and their risk factors from a large retrospective cohort study. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5): e0124446.
- Amal, N. M., Paramesarvathy, R., Tee, G. H., Ph, M., Gurpreet, K., Epid, M. P. H., & Karuthan, C. (2011). Prevalence of chronic illness and health seeking behaviour in Malaysian population : Results from the Third National Health Morbidity Survey (NHMS III) 2006. *Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 66(1): 36–41.
- Ambigga, K. S., Ramli, A. S., Suthahar, A., Tauhid, N., Clearihan, L., & Browning, C. (2011). Bridging the gap in ageing: Translating policies into practice in Malaysian Primary Care. Asia Pacific Family Medicine, 10(1): 2.

American Diabetes Association. (2014). Retrieved from http://www.diabetes.org/

- American Diabetes Association. (2015). 4. Foundations of care: Education, nutrition, physical activity, smoking cessation, psychosocial care, and immunization. *Diabetes Care*, 38: S20–S30.
- American Diabetes Association. (2015). Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2015. Diabetes Care, 38(January).
- American Diabetes Association. (2016). Foot complications. Retrieved 24 March 2016 from http://www.diabetes.org/living-with-diabetes/complications/footcomplications/?referrer=https://www.google.com/?referrer=http://www.diabetes .org/living-with-diabetes/complications/foot-complications/
- American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons. (2006). Diabetic Foot Disorders: a Clinical Practice Guideline. *The Journal of Foot & Ankle Surgery*, 45(5).
- Andrews, K. L., Houdek, M. T., & Kiemele, L. J. (2015). Wound management of chronic diabetic foot ulcers: From the basics to regenerative medicine. *Prosthetics and Orthotics International*, 39(1): 29–39.
- Ang, C. L., & Lim, Y. J. (2013). Recurrent admissions for diabetic foot complications. *Malaysian Orthopaedic Journal*, 7(3): 21–26.
- Apelqvist, J., Bakker, K., Houtum, W. H. van., & Schaper, N. C. (2008). Practical guidelines on themanagement and prevention of the diabetic foot. *Diabetes/metabolism Research and Reviews*, 24: S181–S187.
- Awc, L., Zaim, M., Helmy, H. H., & Ramdhan, I. (2014). Economic impact of managing acute diabetic foot infection in a tertiary hospital in Malaysia. *Malaysian Orthopaedic Journal*, 8(1): 46–49.
- Azlina, W. N., Nurul Azreen, H., Siti Aminah, O., & Salmi, R. (2015). Depression and loneliness/social isolation among patients with cognitive impairment in nursing home. ASEAN Journal of Psychiatry, 16(2).
- Baba, M., Duff, J., Foley, L., Davis, W., & Davis, T. (2015). A comparison of two methods of foot health education: The Fremantle diabetes study phase II. *Primary Care Diabetes*, 9(2): 155-162.
- Bakker, K., Apelqvist, J., Schaper, N. C on behalf of the International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot Editorial Board. (2012). Practical guidelines on the management and prevention of the diabetic foot 2011, *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*, 28(Suppl 1): 225–231.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2): 191–215.

Bandura, A. (1989). Social cognitive theory. Annals of Child Development, 6: 1-85.

- Bandura, A. (1994). Self-efficacy. In V. S. Ramachaudran (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of human behavior* (Vol. 4, pp. 71-81). New York: Academic Press. (Reprinted in H. Friedman [Ed.], *Encyclopedia of mental health*. San Diego: Academic Press, 1998). Retrieved from http://web.stanford.edu/~kcarmel/CC_BehavChange_Course/readings/Bandura_Selfefficacy_1994.htm
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control*. New York, NY: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Bandura, A. (2004). Health promotion by social cognitive means. Health Education & Behavior : The Official Publication of the Society for Public Health Education, 31(2): 143–164.
- Barkway, P. (2013). *Psychology for health professional (2nd Ed)*. Churchill Livingstone.
- Bhuvaneswar, C. G., Epstein, L. A., & Stern, T. A. (2007). Reactions to amputation: recognition and treatment. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry*, 9(4): 303– 308.
- Bidin, A., & Mohd Yusoff, J. Z. (2015). Experience of domestic abuse among Malaysian elderly. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities*, 23(S): 107–118.
- Blebil, A. Q., Sulaiman, S. A. S., Hassali, M. A., Dujaili, J. A., Subramaniam, K., & Aziz, N. A. (2013). Evaluation of smoking status among diabetes patients in the state of Penang, Malaysia. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 12(3): 445-448.
- Blume, P., Wilkinson, J. T., & Key, J. J. (2006). Treating difficult nails in diabetic patients. *Podiatry Today*, 19(3). Retrieved 30 March 2016 from http://www.podiatrytoday.com/article/5260
- Bluman, A. G. (2012). *Elementary statistics: A step by step approach (8th Ed.)*. New York: McGraw-Hill Publications.
- Borges, W., & Ostwald, S. (2008). Behaviors with Pies Sanos. Western Journal of Nursing Research, 30: 325–341.
- Boulton, A. (2005). The diabetic foot: epidemiology, risk factors and the status of care. *Diabetes Voice*, 50(November): 5–7.
- Boulton, A. J. (2005). Management of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Clinical Diabetes*, 23(1): 9-15.
- Boutati, E. I., & Raptis, S. A. (2009). Self-monitoring of blood glucose as part of the integral care of type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Care*, 32 (Suppl. 2): S205–S210.

- Bowker, J. H., & Pfeifer, M. A. (2008). Levin and O'Neal's The Diabetic Foot (7th Ed.). Elsevier Inc.
- Brink, P. (2006). *Basic steps in planning nursing research from question to proposal* (4th ed). Boston: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.
- Bristow, I. (2013). Emollients in the care of the diabetic foot. *The Diabetic Foot Journal*, 16(2): 63-66.
- Brown, D. W., Balluz, L. S., Giles, W. H., Beckles, G. L., Moriarty, D. G., Ford, E. S., & Mokdad, A. H. (2004). Diabetes mellitus and health-related quality of life among older adults. Findings from the behavioral risk factor surveillance system (BRFSS). *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 65(2): 105–115.
- Burke, K. M., Mohn-Brown, E. L., & Eby, L. (2011). *Medical-Surgical Nursing Care* (3rd Ed.). Upper Saddle River: Pearson.
- Cai-Xia, Z., Yu-Ming, C., & Wei-Qing, C. (2008). Association of psychosocial factors with a anxiety and depressive symptoms in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 79: 523-530.
- Calle-Pascual A., Durán A., Benedí A., Calvo M., Charro A., Diaz J., ... Cabezas-Cerrato J. (2002). A preventative foot care programme for people with diabetes with different stages of neuropathy. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 57: 111–117.
- Campbell, M. J., & Walters, S. J. (2014). *How to design, analyse and report cluster randomised trials in medicine and health related research*. UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Canadian Diabetes Association. (2013). Foot care: A step toward good health. Retrieved 30 April 2016 from http://guidelines.diabetes.ca/cdacpg/media/documents/patient-resources/footcare.pdf
- Chen M., Huang W., Peng Y., Guo J., Chen C., Jong M., & Lin H. (2011). Effectiveness of a health promotion programme for farmers and fishermen with type-2 diabetes in Taiwan. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 67, 2060–2067.
- Chen, H. Y., Baumgardner, D. J., & Rice, J. P. (2011). Health-related quality of life among adults with multiple chronic conditions in the United States, behavioral risk factor surveillance system, 2007. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 8(1).
- Chew, B. H., Mohd-Sidik, S., & Shariff-Ghazali, S. (2015). Negative effects of diabetes-related distress on health-related quality of life: an evaluation among the adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in three primary healthcare clinics in Malaysia. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 13(187).
- Chin, Y.-F., & Huang, T.-T. (2013). Development and validation of a diabetes foot self-care behavior scale. *The Journal of Nursing Research*, 21(1): 19–25.

- Chin, Y.-F., Huang, T.-T., & Hsu, B. R.-S. (2013). Impact of action cues, self-efficacy and perceived barriers on daily foot exam practice in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with peripheral neuropathy. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 22(1-2): 61–8.
- Ciechanowski, P., Russo, J., Katon, W., Korff, M. V., Ludman, E., Lin, E., Simon, G., & Bush, T. (2004). Influence of patient attachment style on self-care and outcomes in diabetes. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 66(5): 720–728.
- Clinical Practice Guideline. (2004). Clinical Practice Guidelines 2004, Management of diabetic foot. Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- Clinical Practice Guideline. (2009). Clinical Practice Guidelines 2009, Management of type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (4th Edition). Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- Clinical Practice Guideline. (2015). Clinical Practice Guidelines 2015, Management of type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (5th Edition). Ministry of Health Malaysia. Retrived from http://www.moh.gov.my/penerbitan/CPG/CPG%20T2DM%202015.pdf
- Comino, E. J., Harris, M. F., Islam, F., Tran, D. T., Jalaludin, B., Jorm, L., Flack, J. & Haas, M. (2015). Impact of diabetes on hospital admission and length of stay among a general population aged 45 year or more: a record linkage study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 15(12).
- Cook, J. J., & Simonson, D. C. (2012). Epidemiology and health care cost of diabetic foot problems. *The Diabetic Foot*, 17–32.
- Davies, M. J., Heller, S., Skinner, T. C., Campbell, M. J., Carey, M. E., Cradock, S., ... Khunti, K. (2008). Effectiveness of the diabetes education and self management for ongoing and newly diagnosed (DESMOND) programme for people with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* (*Clinical Research Ed.*), 336(491): 1–11.
- Deakin, T., Cade, J., Williams, R., & Greenwood, D. (2006). Structured patient education: The Diabetes X-PERT Programme makes a difference. *Diabetic Medicine*, 23: 944–954.
- Deniz, M. S., & Alsaffar, A. A. (2013). Assessing the validity and reliability of a questionnaire on dietary fibre-related knowledge in a Turkish student population. *Journal Health Popuation Nutrition*, 31(4): 497–503.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2010). Caring society. Department of Statistics Malaysia, 87–109. Retrieved from http://www.statistics.gov.my/portal/download_Stats_Malaysia/files/MMS/2010/ BI/08_Caring_Society.pdf
- Desalu, O. O., Salawu, F. K., Jimoh, A. K., Adekoya, A. O., & Busari, O. A. (2011). Diabetic foot care: self-reported knowledge and practice among patients attending three tertiary hospital in Nigeria. *Ghana Medical Journal*, 45(2): 60– 65.

- Dettori N., Flook B., Pessl E., Quesenberry K., Loh J., Harris C., ... Harwell T. (2005). Improvements in care and reduced self-management barriers among rural patients with diabetes. *Journal of Rural Health*, 21: 172–177.
- Dorresteijn, J. a N., Kriegsman, D. M. W., Assendelft, W. J., & Valk, G. D. (2010a). Patient education for preventing diabetic foot ulceration. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (5): CD001488.
- Dorresteijn, J. a N., Kriegsman, D. M. W., & Valk, G. D. (2010b). Complex interventions for preventing diabetic foot ulceration. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (Online)*, (1): CD007610.
- Edmonds, M. E., Foster, A. V. M. & Sanders L. J. (2008). A practical manual of diabetic foot care (2nd Ed.). Blackwell Publishing.
- Eigenmann, C., Skinner, T., & Colagiuri, R. (2011). Development and validation of a diabetes knowledge questionnaire. *Practical Diabetes International*, 28(4): 166– 170.
- Esserman, D., Allore, H. G. & Travison, T. G. (2016). The method of randomization for cluster-randomized trials: challenges of including patients with multiple chronic conditions. *International Journal of Statistics in Medical Research*, 5, 2-7.
- Fan, L., Sidani, S., Cooper-Brathwaite, A., & Metcalfe, K. (2014). Improving foot selfcare knowledge, self-efficacy, and behaviors in patients with type 2 diabetes at low risk for foot ulceration: A pilot study, *Clinical Nursing Research*, 23(6): 627-643.
- Fan, L., Sidani, S., Cooper-Brathwaite, A., & Metcalfe, K. (2013). Feasibility, acceptability and effects of a foot self-care educational intervention on minor foot problems in adult patients with diabetes at low risk foot ulceration: A pilot study. *Canadian Journal of Diabetes*. 37: 195-201.
- Food and Agriculture Organizationof the United Nation (FAO), FAO Regional Small-Scale Coastal Fisheries Development Project, Manila, Philippines (1986). of peninsular Malaysia. from Map Retrieved http://www.fao.org/docrep/field/009/ag160e/AG160E04.gif
- Faraja S. C., & Marina A. N. (2015). Diabetic foot: prevalence, knowledge, and foot self-care practices among diabetic patients in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*, 8(20).
- Faridah, K., & Azmi, M. (2009). Retrospective study of predictors for foot ulceration among diabetic patients attending Kuala Langat Health centre from 1999 to 2008. Journal of Community Health, 15(2): 43–59.

- Field, A. (2003). Designing a questionnair: What makes a good questionnaire? Retrieved 15 November 2015 from http://www.discoveringstatistics.com/docs/designing_questionnaires.pdfQuestio n
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS (3rd Ed.)*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Feinglos, M., N., & Bethel, M., A. (2008). *Type 2 diabetes mellitus: an evidence-based approach to practical management*. Durham: Humana Press.
- Feisul, M. I., & Azmi, S. (Eds). (2013). *National Diabetes Registry Report*, Volume 1, 2009-2012. Kuala Lumpur; Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- Fowler, M. J. (2008). Microvascular and macrovascular complications of diabetes. *Clinical Diabetes*, 26(2): 77–82.
- Freitas, S.S., Freitas da Silva, G.R., Dinah, S.R.N., & Ana Roberta, V.S. (2014). Analysis of the self-care of diabetics according to by the Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities Questionnaire (SDSCA). *Acta Scientiarum Health Sciences*, 36(1): 73-81.
- Fujiwara Y., Kishida K., Terao M., Takahara M., Matsuhisa M., Funahashi T., ... Shimizu Y. (2011). Beneficial effects of foot care nursing for people with diabetes mellitus: An uncontrolled before and after intervention study. *Journal* of Advanced Nursing, 67: 1952–1962.
- Fukushima, M. (1996). Quality control in clinical trials. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho*, 23(2): 172-82.
- Funnell, M., Brown, T., Childs, B., Haas, L., Hosey, G., Jensen, B., ... Weiss, M. (2010). National standards for diabetes self-management education. *Diabetes Care*, 33: 589–596.
- Galdeano, F., Zaccaria, S., Parra, V., Giannini, M. E., & Salomon, S. (2013). Cutaneous manifestations of diabetes mellitus: clinical meaning. *Dermatologia Argent*, 16: 117–21.
- Garcia, T. J., & Brown, S. A. (2014). Diabetes management in the nursing home: a systematic review of the literature. *The Diabetes Educator*, 37(2): 167–87.
- Garrow A., Papageorgiou A., Silman A., Thomas E., Jayson M., & Macfarlane G. (2000). Development and validation of a questionnaire to assess disabling foot pain. *Pain*, 85: 107-113.
- George, H., Rakesh, P. S., Krishna, M., Alex, R., Abraham, V. J., George, K., Prasad, J. H. (2013). Foot care knowledge and practices and the prevalence of peripheral neuropathy among people with diabetes attending a secondary care rural hospital in southern India. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 2(1): 27–32.

- Glanz K., Rimer B., & Viswanath K. (2015). Health Behaviour; Theory, Research, and Practice (5th Ed). Jossey-Bass, John Wiley & Sons, San Francisco.
- Gomes-Villas Boas, L. C., Foss, M. C., Freitas, M. C., & Pace, A. E. (2012). Relationship among social support, treatment adherence and metabolic control of diabetes mellitus patients. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*, 20(1): 52-58.
- Guest, G., & Namey, E. E. (2015). *Public Health Research Methods*. SAGE publications.
- Haas, L. B. (2014). Special considerations for older adults with diabetes residing in skilled nursing facilities. *Diabetes Spectrum*, 27(1): 37-43.
- Hairi, N. N., Bulgiba, A., Mudla, I., & Said, M. A. (2011). Chronic diseases, depressive symptoms and functional limitation amongst older people in rural Malaysia, a middle income developing country. *Preventive Medicine*, 53: 343–346.
- Hamedan, M. S., Hamedan, M. S., & Torki, Z. S. (2012). Relationship between footcare self-efficacy beliefs and self care behaviors in diabetic patients in Iran (2011). Journal of Diabetes & Metabolism, 03(09).
- Harvey, I., Frankel, S., Marks, R., Shalom, D., & Morgan, M. (1997). Foot morbidity and exposure to chiropody: population based study. *BMJ*, 15: 1054-1055.
- Hausenblas, H., & Rhodes, R. E. (2016). *Exercise psychology; physical exercise and sedentary behavior*. Jones & Barlett Publisher.
- Higgins, J. P. T., Altman, D. G., & Sterne, J. A. C. (editors). (2011). Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Retrieved from: www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- Ho, B. K., Jasvindar, K., Gurpreet, K., Ambigga, D., Suthahar, A., Cheong, S. M., & Lim, K. H. (2014). Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of diabetes mellitus among the elderly: the 2011 National Health and Morbidity Survey, Malaysia. *Malaysian Family Physician*, 9(3):12-19.
- Hu, F. (2011). Globalization of diabetes: The role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. *Diabetes Care*, 34: 1249–1257.
- Huang, M. C., & Hung, C. H. (2007). Quality of life and its predictors for middle-aged and elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Nursing Research*, 15(3), 193-200.
- Huang, E. S., Laiteerapong, N., Liu, J. Y., John, P. M., Moffet, H. H., & Karter, A. J. (2014). Rates of complications and mortality in older diabetes patients: The diabetes and aging Study. *JAMA Intern Med.*, 174(2): 251–258.

- Hurley, C. C., & Shea, C. A. (1992). Self-efficacy: strategy for enhancing diabetes selfcare. *The Diabetes Educator*, 18(20): 146-150.
- International Diabetes Federation (2015). IDF Diabetes stlas seventh edition. Retrieved 7 February 2016 from http://www.diabetesatlas.org/
- International Diabetes Federation (2014). Retrieved 7 April 2015 from http://www.idf.org/about-diabetes
- International Diabetes Federation. (2013a). IDF Diabetes atlas sixth edition. Retrieved 7 April 2014 from http://www.idf.org/diabetesatlas
- International Diabetes Federation. (2013b). IDF Global Guideline for Managing older people with type 2 diabetes global guideline. Retrieved 7 January 2017 from http://www.idf.org/guidelines-older-people-type-2-diabetes
- International Diabetes Federation and International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot (2005). Diabetes and foot care; time to act. Retrieved 4 August 2015 from http://www.idf.org/webdata/docs/T2A_Introduction.pdf
- International Diabetes Federation. Clinical Practice Recommendation on the Diabetic Foot: A guide for health care professionals. (2017). Retrieved 4 August 2015 from https://www.idf.org/component/attachments/attachments.html?id=1151&task=d ownload
- International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot. (2014). International Consensus on the Diabetic Foot. Retrieved 28 March 2016 from http://iwgdf.org/consensus/definitions-and-criteria/
- Institute of Medicine (U.S.). (2001). Committee on improving quality in long-term care. Improving the quality of long-term care. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Retrieved 4 August 2016 from http://nationalacademies.org/hmd/~/media/Files/Report%20Files/2003/Improvin g-the-Quality-of-Long-Term-Care/LTC8pagerFINAL.pdf
- Institute for Public Health (IPH). (2015). National Health and Morbidity Survey 2015 (NHMS 2015). Vol. II: Non-Communicable Diseases, Risk Factors & Other Health Problems. Retrieved 4 May 2017 from http://www.iku.gov.my/images/IKU/Document/REPORT/nhmsreport2015vol2. pdf
- Jahromi, M. K., Ramezanli, S., & Taheri, L. (2015). Effectiveness of diabetes selfmanagement education on quality of life in diabetic elderly females. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 7(1): 10–15.
- Johari, N., Abdul Manaf, Z., Ibrahim, N., Shahar1, S. & Mustafa, N. (2016). Predictors of quality of life among hospitalized geriatric patients with diabetes mellitus upon discharge, *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 11, 1455–1461.

- Johnson, P. J., Ghildayal, N., Rockwood, T., & Everson-Rose, S. A. (2014). Differences in Diabetes Self-Care Activities by Race/Ethnicity and Insulin Use. *Diabetes Educ.*, 40(6): 767–777.
- Johnston-Brooks, C. H., Lewis, M. A., & Garg, S. (2002). Self-efficacy impacts selfcare and HbA1c in young adults with type I diabetes. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64(1): 43–51.
- Johnston, P., & Wilkinson, K. (2009). Enhancing validity of critical tasks selected for college and university program portfolios. *National Forum of Teacher Educational Journal*, 19(3): 1–6.
- Justine, M., Hamid, T. A., Kamalden, T. F. T., & Ahmad, Z. (2010). A multicomponent exercise program's effects on health-related quality of life of institutionalized elderly, *Geriatric rehabilitation*, 26(1): 70-79.
- Kasim, K., Amar, M., Aziz, A., Sadek, E., & Abdel, S. (2010). Peripheral neuropathy in type-II diabetic patients attending diabetic clinics in Al-Azhar University Hospitals, Egypt. *International Journal of Diabetes Mellitus*, 2(1): 20–23.
- Katz, S., Down, T.D., Cash, H.R., & Grotz, R.C. (1970). Progress in the development of the index of ADL. *The Gerontologist*, 10(1): 20-30.
- Kawamura, T., Umemura, T., & Hotta, N. (2012). Cognitive impairment in diabetic patients: Can diabetic control prevent cognitive decline?. *Journal of Diabetes Investigation*, 3(5): 413-423.
- Kim, H., Ross, J. S., Melkus, G. D., Zhao, Z., & Boockvar, K. (2010). Scheduled and unscheduled hospital readmissions among diabetes patients. *American Journal* of Managed Care, 16(10): 760–767.
- Kirkman, M. S., Briscoe, V. J., Clark, N., Florez, H., Haas, L. B., Halter, J. B., ... Swift, C. S. (2012). Diabetes in older adults. *Diabetes Care*, 35(12): 2650–2664.
- Ko, I., Lee, T., Kim, G., Kang, S., & Kim, M. (2011). Effects of visiting nurses' individually tailored education for low-income adult diabetic patients in Korea. *Public Health Nursing*, 28(5): 429–437.
- Laerd statistics (2013). Retrieved 21 October 2016 from https://statistics.laerd.com/spss-tutorials/mixed-anova-using-spss-statistics.php
- Lawshe, C. H. (1975). A quantitative approach to content validity. Personnel psychology, 28: 563-575.
- Lee, H., Ahn, S., & Kim, Y. (2009). Self-care, self-efficacy and glycaemic control of Koreans with diabetes mellitus. *Asian Nursing Research*, 3(3): 139-146.
- Leech, N. L., Barrett, K. C., & Morgan, G. A. (2015). *IBM SPSS for Intermediate Statistics: Use and Interpretation (5th ed)*. New York: Routledge

- Lemeshow, S., Hosmer, D. W., Jr., Klar, J., & Lwanga, S. K. (1990). Adequacy of sample size in health studies. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley
- Lenz, E. R., & Shortridge-Baggett, L. M. (2002). Self-efficacy in nursing: research and measurement perspectives. New York: Springer Publishing Company.
- Letchuman, G. R., Nazaimoon, W. M. W., Mohamad, W. B. W., Chandran, L. R., Tee, G. H., Jamaiyah, H., ... Faudzi, Y. A. (2010). Prevalence of Diabetes in the Malaysian National Health Morbidity Survey III 2006. *Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 65(3): 173–179.
- Leung, P. C. (2007). Diabetic foot ulcers a comprehensive review. *The Royal Colleges of Surgeons of Edinburgh and Ireland*, 5(4): 219–231.
- Li, R., Yuan, L., Guo, X.-H., Lou, Q.-Q., Zhao, F., Shen, L., ... Sun, Z.-L. (2014). The current status of foot self-care knowledge, behaviours, and analysis of influencing factors in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in China. *International Journal of Nursing Sciences*, 1(3): 266–271.
- Liang Rong., Dai Xia., Zuojie Luo., Zhou Aimin., & Meijuan Cao. (2012). Two-year foot care program for minority patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus of Zhuang Tribe in Guangxi, China. *Canadian Journal of Diabetes*, 36(1):15-8.
- Lincoln, N., Jeffcoate, W., Ince, P., Smith, M., & Radford K. (2007). Validation of a new measure of protective footcare behaviour: the Nottingham Assessment of Functional Footcare (NAFF). *Practical Diabetes International*, 24: 207–211.
- Lincoln, N., Radford, K., Game, F. & Jeffcoate, W. (2008). Education for secondary prevention of foot ulcers in people with diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia*, 51: 1954–1961.
- Lipsky, B. A., Berendt, A. R., Deery, H. G., Embil, J. M., Joseph, W. S., Karchmer, A. W., . . . Tan, J. S. (2006). Diagnosis and treatment of diabetic foot infections. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 117(7): 212s-238s.
- Litwak, L., Goh, S.-Y., Hussein, Z., Malek, R., Prusty, V., & Khamseh, M. E. (2013). Prevalence of diabetes complications in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus and its association with baseline characteristics in the multinational A1chieve study. *Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome*, 5(1): 57.
- Maddux, J. E. (1995). Self-efficacy, adaptation, and adjustment: Theory, research and application. New York: Plenum Press.
- Mafauzy, M. (2000). The problems and challenges of the aging population of Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 7(1): 7–9.
- Mafauzy, M., Hussein, Z., & Chan, S. P. (2011). The status of diabetes control in Malaysia : Results of DiabCare 2008. *Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 66(3): 175– 181.

- Malaysian Diabetes Association. (2013). Retrieved 16 November 2015 from http://www.diabetes.org.my/
- Markle-Reid, M., Browne, G., Weir, R., Gafni, A., Roberts, J., & Henderson, S. R. (2006). The effectiveness and efficiency of home-based nursing health promotion for older people: a review of the literature. *Medical Care Research and Review : MCRR*, 63(5): 531–69.
- Mathei, C., Niclaes, L., Suetens, C., Jans, B., & Buntinx, F. (2007). Infections in residents of nursing homes. *Infectious Diseases Clinic of North America*, 21: 761–772.
- Mayfield, J. A., Reiber, G. E., Sanders, L. J., Janisse, D., & Pogach, L. M. (2003). Preventive foot care in people with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 26(1): 78–79.
- Mayser, P., Freund, V., & Budihardja, D. (2009). Toenail onychomycosis in diabetic patients: issues and management. *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology*, 10(4): 211-20.
- Mazlina, M., Shamsul, A. S., & Jeffery, F. A. S. (2011). Health-related quality of life in patients with diabetic foot problems in Malaysia. *Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 66(3): 234–238.
- McDowell, J., Courtney, M., Edwards, H., & Shortridge-Baggett L. (2005). Validation of the Australian/ English version of the diabetes management self-efficacy scale. *International Journal of Nursing practice*, 11: 177-184.
- Mcgill, M. (2005). Foot-care education for people with diabetes. *Diabetes Voice*, 50(36): 36–39.
- Meiner, S. E., & Lueckenotte, A. G. (2006). *Gerontological Nursing (3rd Ed.)*. St. Louis: Mosby.
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2011). National Health and Morbidity Survey 2011 (NHMS 2011).
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2007). Modul Latihan Pengendalian Kes Dementia Di Peringkat Penjagaan Kesihatan Primer Program Latihan Pengendalian Kes Dementia. Retrieved 19 April 2015 from http://fh.moh.gov.my/v3/index.php/ms/component/jdownloads/finish/12-unitkesihatan-warga-emas/75-modul-latihan-pengendalian-kes-demantia
- Misra, R., & Lager, J. (2009). Ethnic and gender differences in psychosocial factors, glycemic control, and quality of life among adult type 2 diabetic patients. *Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications*, 23: 54-64.
- MOH New Zealand. (2011). RapidE: Chronic Care A systematic review of the literature on health behaviour change for chronic care, (August).

- Mohamed, H. A., Elsaher, H. E., Aref, M. S., & Fouad, N. (2015). The effect of diabetic foot care training program on elderly adults' outcome. *IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science*, 4(4): 14-20.
- Moghtaderi, A., Bakhshipour, A., & Rashidi, H. (2006). Validation of Michigan neuropathy screening instrument for diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*, 108: 477–481.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses : The PRISMA Statement.
- Montoya, A., & Mody, L. (2011). Common infections in nursing homes: a review of current issues and challenges, *Aging Health*, 7(6): 889–899.
- Mosnier-Pudar, H., Hochberg, G., Eschwege, E., Halimi, S., Virally, M.-L., Guillausseau, P.-J., ... Dejager, S. (2010). How patients' attitudes and opinions influence self-care behaviours in type 2 diabetes. Insights from the French DIABASIS Survey. *Diabetes & Metabolism*, 36(6 Pt 1): 476–83.
- Montgomery, D.C. (2009). *Design and analysis of experiments* (7th *Ed.*). New York: John Wiley and Sons Publications.
- Muhammad-Lutfi, A. R., Zaraihah, M. R., & Anuar-Ramdhan, I. M. (2014). Knowledge and practice of diabetic foot care in an n-patient setting at a tertiary medical center. *Malays Orthop J*, 8(3): 22-26.
- Nam, S., Chesla, C., Stotts, N. A., Kroon, L., & Janson, S. L. (2011). Barriers to diabetes management: patient and provider factors. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 93(1): 1–9.
- Nather, A. (2007). Team approach for diabetic foot problems. *Malaysian Orthopaedic Journal*, 1(2): 3–6.
- Nather, A (2008). Diabetic foot problems. World Scientific.
- National Diabetes Institute. (2009). Foot care. Retrieved 19 January 2016 from http://www.diabetesmalaysia.com.my/article.php?aid=17
- Nettles, A., & Reger, L. (2011). Diabetes management in long-term care facilities: A practical guide (6th ed). Retrieved 19 January 2017 from http://ltcdiabetesguide.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/LTCguide6thEdition1.pdf
- Netuveli, G., & Blane, D. (2008). Quality of life in older ages. *British Medical Bulletin*, 85: 113-126.
- Noh, J. H., Kim, S. K., Cho, Y. J., Nam, H. U., Kim, I. J., Jeong, I. K., ... Jang H. C. (2007). Current status of diabetes management in elderly Koreans with diabetes. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 77S, S71–S75.

- Nyunt, S. W., Howteerakul, N., Suwannapon, N., & Rajatanun, T. (2010). Selfefficacy, self-care behaviors and glycemic control among type-2 diabetes patients attending two private clinics in Yangon, Myanmar. *Southeast Asian Journal Trop Med Public Health*, 41(4): 943-951.
- Obilor, H., N., & Adejumo, P., O. (2015). Assessment of diabetic foot ulcer-related pain and its relationship to quality of life. *Wound Practice and Research*, 23(3): 124-131.
- Olson, J., M., Hogan, M. T., Pagoch, L. M., Rajan, M., Raugi, G. J., & Reibe, G., B. (2009). Foot care education and self-management behaviors in diverse veterans with diabetes. *Patient Prefer Adherence*, 3, 45-50.
- Ooi, C. C. P., Loke, S. C., Zaiton, A., & Zaitun, Y. (2011). Cross-sectional study of older adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus in two rural public primary healthcare facilities in Malaysia. *Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 66(2): 108–112.
- Ouyang, C-M., Dwyer, J. T., Jacques, P. F., Chuang, L-M., Haas, C. F., & Weinger, K. (2015). Diabetes self-care behaviours and clinical outcomes among Taiwanese patients with type 2 diabetes, *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*, 24(3): 438-443.
- Pallant, J. (2013). SPSS Survival Manual; a step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS (5th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Publications.
- Pallant, J. (2010). SPSS Survival Manual (4th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Publications.
- Pasquel, F., J., Powell, W., Peng, L., et al. (2015). A randomized controlled trial comparing treatment with oral agents and basal insulin in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes in long-term care facilities. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care*, 3:e000104.
- Perrin, B. M., Swerissen, H., & Payne, C. (2009). The association between foot-care self efficacy beliefs and actual foot-care behaviour in people with peripheral neuropathy: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*, 2: 3.
- Pinzur, M. S., Slovenkai, M. P., Trepman, E., & Shields, N. N. (2005). Guidelines for diabetic foot care: Recommendations endorsed by the diabetes committee of the American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society. *Foot & Ankle International*, 26 (1): 113-119.
- Poi, P. J., Forsyth, D. R., & Chan, D. K. (2004). Services for older people in Malaysia: issues and challenges. *Age and Aging*, 33, 444-446.
- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2006). *Essentials of nursing research: methods, appraisal and utilization (6th ed.)*. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins.
- Polit, D. F., & Gillespie, B. M (2010). Intention-to-treat in randomized controlled trials: recommendations for a total trial strategy. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 33, 355–368.

- Polit, C. T., & Beck, D. F. (2014). Essential of nursing research: appraising evidence for nursing practice (8th Ed). Philadelphia:Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Pollock, R. D., Unwin, N. C., & Connolly, V. (2004). Knowledge and practice of foot care in people with diabetes. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 64(2): 117–122.
- Polonsky, W. H., & Fisher, L (2013). Self-monitoring of blood glucose in non insulinusing type 2 diabetic patients; right answer, but wrong question: self-monitoring of blood glucose can be clinically valuable for non insulin users, *Diabetes Care*, 36(1): 179-182.
- Pourhaji, F., Delshad, M. H., Ammari, A. A., & Pourhaji, R. (2016). Foot-care self efficacy beliefs, physical self-concept and actual foot-care behavior in people with diabetes mellitus. *International Journal of Musculoskeletal Pain* prevention, 1(3): 101-107.
- Prazeres, F., & Figueiredo, D. (2014). Measuring quality of life of old type 2 diabetic patients in primary care in Portugal: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Diabetes* & *Metabolic Disorders*, 13(6).
- Random Sequence Generator. Retrieved 4 August 2015 from https://www.random.org/sequences/
- Rattray, J., & Jones, M. C. (2007). Essential elements of questionnaire design and development. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 16(2): 234–243.
- Reeves, B. C., Deeks, J. J., Higgins, J. P. T., & Wells, G. A. Chapter 13: Including nonrandomized studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Retrieved from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- Reisi, M., Mostafavi, F., Javadzade, H., Mahaki, B., Tavassoli, E., & Sharifirad, G. (2016). Impact of health literacy, self-efficacy, and outcome expectations on adherence to self-care behaviors in Iranians with type 2 diabetes. *Oman Medical Journal*, 31(1): 52–59.
- Resnick, B., Zimmerman, S. I., Orwig, D., Furstenberg, A. L., & Magaziner, J. (2000). Outcome expectations for exercise scale: utility and psychometrics. *Journal of Gerontology Social Sciences*, 55(6): S352–S356.
- Ribu, L., Rustoen, T., Birkeland, K., Hanestad, B. R., Paul, S. M., & Miaskowski, C. (2006). The prevalence and occurrence of diabetic foot ulcer pain and its impact on health-related quality of life. *The Journal of Pain : Official Journal of the American Pain Society*, 7(4): 290–299.
- Ribu, L., Hanestad, B. R., Moum, T., Birkelandd, K., & Rustoen, T. (2007). Healthrelated quality of life among patients with diabetes and foot ulcers: association with demographic and clinical characteristics. *Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications*, 21(4): 27 – 236.

- Rizvi, A. A. (2007). Management of diabetes in older adults. The American Journal of the Medical Sciences. 333(1): 35-47.
- Rodriguez, K. M. (2013). Intrinsic and extrinsic factors affecting patient engagement in diabetes self-management: perspectives of a certified diabetes educator. *Clinical Therapeutics*, 35(2): 170–178.
- Roglic, G., & Unwin, N. (2010). Mortality attributable to diabetes: Estimates for the year 2010. *Diabetes Research Clinical Practice*, 87: 15-19.
- Rosenstock, I. M., Strecher, V. J., & Becker, M. H. (1988). Social Learning Theory and the Health Belief Model. *Health Education & Behavior*, 15(2): 175–183.
- Sae-Sia, W., Maneewat, K., & Kurniawan, T. (2013). Effect of a Self-Management Support Program on Diabetic Foot Care Behaviors. *International Journal of Research in Nursing*, 4(1), 14–21. doi:10.3844/ijrnsp.2013.14.21
- Saleh, F., Mumu, S. J., Ara, F., Hafez, M. A., & Ali, L. (2014). Non-adherence to selfcare practices & medication and health related quality of life among patients with type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, 14(1): 431.
- Saleh, N. M., Shebl, A., Hatata, E., & Refiei, M. (2012). Impact of educational program about foot care on knowledge and self care practice for diabetic older adult patients. *Journal of American Science*, 8: 1444–1452.
- Salmiah, M. A., & Kamaruzaman, J. (2009). Barriers to optimal control of type 2 diabetes in Malaysian Malay patients. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 1(2):106-108.
- Sarkar, U., Fisher, L., & Schillinger, D. (2006). Is self-efficacy associated with diabetes self-management across race/ ethnicity. *Diabetes Care*, 29(4): 823–829.
- Sazlina, S. G., Browning, C. J., & Yasin, S. (2015). Effectiveness of personalized feedback alone or combined with peer support to improve physical activity in sedentary older Malays with type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 3: 178.
- Seyyedrasooli, A., Parvan, K., Valizadeh, L., Rahmani, A., Zare, M., & Izadi, T. (2015). Self-efficacy in foot-care and effect of training: a single-blinded randomized controlled clinical trial. *International Journal of Community Based Nursing and Midwifery*, 3(2): 141–149.
- Sharma, M. (2016). *Theoretical Foundation of Health Education and Health Promotion* (3rd Ed.). Burlington: Jones & Barlett Learning.
- Shariff-Ghazali, S., Ismail, M., Ai, T. C., Adam B. M., Haniff, J., Ping, Y. L., Syed, A.R.S.A., & Boon, H. C. (2015). Predictors of poor glycaemic control in older patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Singapore Medical Journal*, 56(5): 284-290.

- Shaw, J. E., Sicree, R. A., & Zimmet, P. Z. (2010). Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 87(1): 4–14.
- Shelkey, M., Mason, V., & Wallace, M. (2012). Katz Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living (ADL). Best Practices in Nursing Care to Older Adults, (2).
- Sheridan, J. Coakes, L. S., & Clara, O. (2010). SPSS Version 17.0 for Windows: Analysis without anguish. Australia: John Wiley and Sons Publications.
- Shi, Q., Ostwald S. K., & Wang, S. (2010). Improving glycaemic control self-efficacy and glycaemic control behaviour in Chinese patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus: randomised control trial. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 19(3-4): 398-404.
- Shortridge-Baggett, L. M., & van der Bijl, J. J. (1996). International collaborative research on management self-efficacy in diabetes mellitus. *The Journal of the New York States Nurses' Association*, 27(3): 9-14.
- Shu-Fang, V.W., Yi-Ching, H., Shu-Yuan, L., Tsae-Jyy, W., Mei-Chen, L., & Heng-Hsin, T. (2011). Relationships among depression, anxiety, self-care behaviour and diabetes education difficulties in patients with type-2 diabetes: A crosssectional questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 48: 1376-1383.
- Sim, O. F. & Hamid, T. A. (2010). 'Social protection in Malaysia Current state and challenges towards practical and sustainable social protection in East Asia: A compassionate community', in Asher, M. G., S. Oum and F. Parulian (eds.), Social Protection in East Asia – Current State and Challenges. ERIA Research Project Report 2009-9, Jakarta: ERIA. pp.182-219
- Singh, G., Haneef, N. S., & Uday A. (2005). Nail changes and disorders among the elderly. *Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology*, 71(6): 386-392.
- Singh, N., Armstrong, D. G., & Lipsky, B. A. (2005). Preventing foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. *American Medical Association*, 293(2): 217–228.
- Singh, S., Pai, D. R., & Yuhhui, C. (2013). Diabetic foot ulcer diagnosis and management. *Clinical Research on Foot & Ankle*, 01(03): 1–9.
- Singh, R., Kishore, L., & Kaur, N. (2014). Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: Current perspective and future directions. *Pharmacological Research*, 80, 21–35.
- Sinor, M. Z. (2013). Oral health assessment among elderly staying in shelter (Rumah Seri Kenangan), Kelantan , Malaysia. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention*, 2(1): 43–48.

Sloan, H. L. (1998). Developing and testing the foot care confidence scale to measure self-efficacy in foot care. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com/docview/619379190?accountid=14512\nhttp://ucelin ks.cdlib.org:8888/sfx_local?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:dissertation&genre=dissertations+&+th eses&sid=ProQ:PsycINFO&atitle=&title=Developing+and+testing+the+foot+ca re+confidence+scale+to+measure+selfefficacy+in+foot+care.&issn=&date=1998-11-01&volume=&issue=&spage=&au=Sloan,+Helen+L.&isbn=&jtitle=&btitle=&r ft_id=info:eric/&rft_id=info:doi/

- Smith, S. C., Lamping, D. L., & Maclaine, G. D. H. (2012). Measuring health-related quality of life in diabetic peripheral neuropathy : A systematic review. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 96(3): 261–270.
- Social Welfare Department. (2013). Homes for older persons. Retrieved 19 April 2015 from http://www.jkm.gov.my/content.php?pagename=rumah_seri_kenangan&lang=en.
- Song, M. K. (2010). Diabetes mellitus and the importance of self-care. *Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, 25(2): 93-98.
- Stang, A. (2011). Randomized Controlled Trials— an indispensible part of clinical research, *Deutsches Arzteblatt International*, 108(39): 661–662
- Sturt, J., Whitlock, S., & Hearnshaw, H. (2006). Complex intervention development for diabetes self-management. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 54(3): 293-303.
- Stys, A. N., & Kulkarni, K. (2007). Identification of self-care behaviors and adoption of lifestyle changes result in sustained glucose control and reduction of comorbidities in Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Spectrum*, 20(1): 55-58.
- Suhl, E. (2006). Diabetes self-management education for older adults: general principles and practical application. *Diabetes Care*, 19(4): 234–240.
- Tan, M. Y., & Magarey, J. (2008). Self-care practices of Malaysian adults with diabetes and sub-optimal glycaemic control, 72: 252–267.
- Tan, M. Y., Magarey, J. M., Chee, S. S, Lee L. F., & Tan, M. H. (2011). Brief structured education programme enhances self-care practices and improves glycaemic control in Malaysians with poorly controlled diabetes. *Health Education Research*, 26: 896-907.
- Tang, T., Funnell, M., Noorulla, S., Oh, M., & Brown, M. (2012). Sustaining shortterm improvements over the long-term: Results from a 2-year diabetes selfmanagement support (DSMS) intervention. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 95: 85–92.

- Tappen, R. M. (2010). Nursing Research: from theory to practice. Jones & Barlett Learning.
- Tarnowski, K. J., & Simonian, S. J. (1992). Assessing treatment acceptance: The abbreviated Acceptability Rating Profile. *Journal Behaviour Theraphy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 23(2): 101-106.
- Tesfaye, A., & Boulton, S. (2009). Diabetic Neuropathy. Oxford University Press.
- The CONSORT 2010 Flow Diagram Transparent Reporting of Trial. Retrieved 16 December 2015 from http://www.consort-statement.org/consort-statement/flowdiagram
- Toobert D., Hampson S., & Glasgow R. (2000). The summary of diabetes self-care activities measure: results from 7 studies and a revised scale. *Diabetes Care*, 23: 943–50.
- Valk, G. D., Kriegsman, D. M. W., & Assendelft, W. J. J. (2007). Patient education for preventing diabetic foot ulceration. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 5. Retrieved 19 January 2017 from http://tutoriel.fr.cochrane.org/sites/tutoriel.fr.cochrane.org/files/uploads/cochran e% 20R S% 202010_diabetic% 20foot% 20ulceration.pdf
- van der Bijl, J. J., & Shortridge-Baggett, L. M. (2001). The theory and measurement of the self-efficacy construct. *Scholarly Inquiry for Nursing Practice: An International Journal*, 15(3): 189-207.
- Vileikyte, L., Peyrot, M., & Bundy, C. (2003). The development and validation of a Neuropathy- and Foot Ulcer – Specific Quality of Life Instrument. *Diabetes Care*, 26(9): 2549–2555.
- Vinik, A. I., Nevoret, M., Casellini, C., & Parson, H. (2013). Diabetic Neuropathy. Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics of North America, 42: 747–787.
- Vinik, A. I., Maser, R. E., Mitchell, B. D., & Freeman, R. (2003). Diabetic autonomic neuropathy. *Diabetes Care*, 26(5): 1553-1579.
- Vischer, U. M., Bauduceau, B., Bourdel M. I., Blickle, J. F., Constans, T., & Fagot, C. A. (2009). A call to incorporate the prevention and treatment of geriatric disorders in the management of diabetes in the elderly. *Diabetes & Metabolism*, 35: 168–177.
- Visvanathan, R., Zaiton, A., Sherina, M. S., & Muhamad, Y. A. (2005). The nutritional status of 1081 elderly people residing in publicly funded shelter homes in Peninsular Malaysia. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 59: 318–324.
- Wambier, C. G., Takada, M. H., Foss-Freitas, M. C., Frade, M. A. C., Foss, M. C. & Foss, N. T. (2014). Effects of metabolic control on cutaneous findings in diabetes mellitus. *Revista Brasileira de Medicina Interna*, 1: 11–9.

- Wan-Ibrahim, W. A., Majid, M. A., & Zainab, I. (2014). Subjective well-being of older persons at elderly day-care center. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 20(11): 1461–1464.
- Wan-Ibrahim W. A., & Zainab, I. (2014). Reasons behind the availability of family support of older persons in Malaysia. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 20(11): 1457–1460.
- Waxman, R., Woodburn, H., Powell, M., Woodburn, J., Blackburn, S., & Helliwell, P. (2003). FOOTSTEP: A randomized controlled trial investigating the clinical and cost effectiveness of a patient self-management program for basic foot care in the elderly. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 56: 1092–1099.
- Webber, D., Guo, Z., & Mann, S. (2013). Self-care in health: we can define it, but should we also measure it? *Self Care Journal*, 4, 101–106.
- Wendling, S., & Beadle, V. (2015). The relationship between self-efficacy and diabetic foot self-care. *Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology*, 2(1): 37–41.
- Westerberg, D. P., & Voyack, M. J. (2013). Onychomycosis: current trends in diagnosis and treatment. American Family Physician, 1(88): 762-770
- Wild, S., Roglic, G., Green, A., Sicree, R. & King, H. (2004). Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care*, 27(5): 1047-1053.
- Wilkinson, A., Whitehead, L., & Ritchie, L. (2014). Factors influencing the ability to self-manage diabetes for adults living with type 1 or 2 diabetes. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 51(1): 111–22.
- Williams, I., Utz, S., Hinton, I., Yan, G., Jones, R., & Reid, K. (2014). Enhancing diabetes self-care among rural African Americans with diabetes: results of a two-year culturally tailored intervention. *The Diabetes Educator*, 40: 231–239.
- Winston, J. A., & Miller, J. L. (2006). Treatment of onychomycosis in diabetic patients. *Clinical Diabetes*, 24(4): 160-166.
- Witt, J.C., & Elliott, S.N. (1985). Acceptability of classroom management strategies. Advances in school psychology. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Witt, J.C., & Marterns, B.K. (1983). Assessing the acceptability of behavioral interventions used in classrooms. *Psychology in the School*, 20: 510-517
- Wu, S. V., Courtney, M., Edwards, H., & Mcdowell, J. (2008). Psychometric properties of the chinese version of the perceived therapeutic efficacy scale for type 2 diabetes. *Journal Formos Medical Association*, 107(3): 232–238.
- Wu, S. F., Courtney, M., Edwards, H., McDowell, J., Shortridge-Baggett, L. M., & Chang, J. R. (2007). Self-efficacy, outcome expectations and self-care behaviour in people with type 2 diabetes in Taiwan. *Journal compilation*, 16(11): 250-257.

- Wu, S. F. V., Lee, M. C., Liang, S. Y., Lu, Y. Y., Wang, T. J., & Tung, H. H. (2011). Effectiveness of a self-efficacy program for persons with diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. *Nursing and Health Sciences*, 13: 335-343.
- Yalcin, B. M., Karahan, T. F., Ozcelik, M., & Igde, F. A. (2008). The effects of an emotional intelligence program on the quality of life and well-being of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Educ*, 34(6): 1013-1024.
- Yelland, L. N., Sullivan, T. R., Voysey, M., Lee, K. J., Cook, J. A., & Forbes, A. B. (2015). Applying the intention-to-treat principle in practice: guidance on handling randomisation errors. *Clinical Trials*, 12(4): 418–423.
- Zarin, D. A., & Keselman, A. (2007). Registering a clinical trial in ClinicalTrials.gov. *Chest*, 131(3): 909–912.
- Zawawi, R. (2013). Active ageing in Malaysia. The second meeting of the committee on "International Cooperation on Active Ageing", Tokyo, Japan. Retrieved 30 November 2016 from http://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/shingi/2r98520000036ylaatt/2r98520000036yqa_1.pdf
- Zoungas, S., Woodward, M., Li, Q., Cooper, M. E., Hamet, P., Harrap, S., Heller, S., ...,for the ADVANCE Collaborative group. (2014). Impact of age, age at diagnosis and duration of diabetes on the risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications and death in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*, 57: 2465–247