

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL DAM SITE USING THE FOREST DIVERSITY ASSESSMENT IN BENGOH CATCHMENT, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA

LES ANAK MET

FPAS 2017 12



IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL DAM SITE USING THE FOREST DIVERSITY ASSESSMENT IN BENGOH CATCHMENT, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA

By

LES ANAK MET

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

November 2016

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL DAM SITE USING THE FOREST DIVERSITY ASSESSMENT IN BENGOH CATCHMENT, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA

By

LES ANAK MET

November 2016

Chairman : Associate Professor Latifah Abd Manaf, PhD Faculty : Environmental Studies

Dams are built to provide water for irrigated agriculture, domestic or industrial use, flood control, irrigation, navigation, sedimentation control, hydropower and to support economic development. Despite the benefits provided by the dams to humankind, dam developments leads to the irreversible loss of species populations and ecosystem. As forest diversity assessment constitutes the major component in the ecological evaluation, it is paramount for the ecological evaluation of dam projects to be strengthened and emphasized so as to provide criteria and information that can be used to support decisionmaking by the relevance authorities with regard to natural conservation. The study focuses on four specific objectives, that is, measuring the species diversity of plants vegetation, developing the land-cover map and ecosystem map of Bengoh Catchment, determining the rarity and viability value of plants vegetation in four different types of forest vegetation and assessing the ecosystem-loss impact score and ecosystem fragmentation impact score using the rarity and viability value based on fragmentation impact map for the ranking of potential dam site. The approach begins with the mapping of the land cover and ecosystem of Bengoh Catchment where the dam projects have been proposed. The classification of forest was performed using the information generated from the data collected during the field work and also from the digitise topographic maps. The species diversity of the forest ecosystem were calculated using the diversity index expression. The identification of the potential dam site was done by accessing ecosystem loss impact score and ecosystem fragmentation impact score using the rarity and viability value. A total of 148 species and 72 families were recorded within the four different types of forest ecosystem. Out of 148 species, 22 trees species were recorded at primary forests, 72 species were recorded at the old secondary forest, 37 species were recorded at young secondary forest and 17 were recorded at agroforestry. The diversity index indicates the species richness, species diversity and evenness in all four major forest ecosystems were relatively high. The rarity value of all the four types of ecosystem was relatively high; indicating that the species in the ecosystem were distributed equitably and reflecting the commonness of the species. The viability value of the entire

four ecosystems is relatively low indicating that the species are prone to extinction. The impact analysis carried out in this study which generates the ecosystem-loss impact scores and ecosystem-fragmentation impact scores of the proposed dam site and gives a clear picture on which alternative to be considered as one of the most appropriate site for the proposed dam project. Based on the analysis of the impact score 0.663 with respect to the ecosystem-loss and the ecosystem-fragmentation impact. Thus, Alternative 4 appears to be the most appropriate site for the dam project. The approach to this work paths the way to alleviate the impact of dam development on the displacement of ecosystem and to develop methods of evaluating the long-term impact, as well as the viability of populations and ecosystems.



Abstrak tesis dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

MENGENAL PASTI KAWASAN BERPOTENSI BAGI EMPANGAN DI TADAHAN BENGOH SARAWAK, MALAYSIA, MENGGUNAKAN PENILAIAN KEPELBAGAIAN HUTAN

Oleh

LES ANAK MET

November 2016

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Latifah Abd Manaf, PhD Fakulti : Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Pembinaan empangan bertujuan membekalkan air untuk saliran pertanian, penggunaan domestik atau industri, membantu mengawal banjir, pelayaran, kawalan pemendapan, menjana kuasa hidro dan menyumbang kepada pembangunan ekonomi. Walaupun pembinaan projek empangan memberi manfaat kepada manusia sejagat namun ia turut menyebabkan kemusnahan terhadap populasi spesies dan ekosistem. Memandangkan penilaian kepelbagaian hutan menyumbang kapada komponen utama dalam penilaian ekologi maka ianya amat penting bagi penilaian kepelbagaian hutan bagi projek pembinaan empangan diupayakan dan diberi keutamaan bagi menyediakan kriteria dan maklumat yang boleh digunakan oleh pihak berwajib dalam membuat keputusan yang berkaitan dengan pemeliharaan alam semula jadi. Kajian ini berfokus kepada empat objektif khusus, iaitu, mengukur kepelbagaian spesis tumbuhan bagi tadahan Bengoh, menghasilkan peta tutupan bumi dan peta ekosistem bagi tadahan Bengoh, menentukan penilaian jarangan(rarity) spesies dan nilai daya maju (viability) spesis tumbuhan di empat tempat kawasan hutan yang berbeza bagi tadahan Bengoh, dan menilai skor kesan kehilangan ekosistem dan skor kesan pemecahan ekosistem dengan menggunakan nilai penilaian jarangan(rarity) serta nilai daya maju (viability) berdasarkan peta impak bagi menentukan kedudukan potensi lokasi empangan. Pendekatan ini bermula dengan melibatkan pemetaan tutupan bumi (land cover) dan ekosistem tadahan Bengoh di mana projek empangan telah dicadangkan. Pengkelasan hutan dibuat menggunakan maklumat yang diperolehi daripada pengumpulan data semasa kerja lapangan dan juga daripada peta topografik digital. Kepelbagaian spesies bagi ekosistem hutan dikira berdasarkan fungsi kepelbagian index. Sejumlah 148 spesies, 22 spesies tumbuhan telah direkodkan di hutan primer, 72 spesies direkodkan di hutan sekunder tua, 37 spesies direkodkan di hutan sekunder muda dan 17 spesies direkodkan di hutan agro. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa nilai kejarangan untuk keempat-empat ekosistem iaitu hutan primer, hutan sekunder tua, hutan primer muda dan hutan agro adalah secara relatifnya tinggi menunjukkan bahawa taburan spesies dalam sesuatu ekosistem adalah seragam dan

mempamerkan ciri-siri sepunya spesies. Nilai daya maju (viability) spesies untuk keseluruhan empat ekosistem secara relatifnya rendah menunjukkan bahawa spesies tersebut terdedah kepada kepupusan. Analisis impak yang dijalankan dalam kajian ini menghasilkan skor impak kepupusan ekosistem dan skor impak fragmentasi ekosistem bagi kawasan cadangan pembinaan empangan yang mana skor impak tersebut memberikan gambaran yang jelas tentang pemilihan kawasan cadangan yang paling sesuai sebagai tapak projek pembinaan empangan. Daripada lima pilihan cadangan tapak pembinaan empangan, pilihan ke-4 merupakan pilihan yang paling sesuai dengan impak skor keseluruhan 0.663 berasaskan skor impak kepupusan dan skor impak fragmentasi ekosistem. Oleh yang demikian pilihan ke-4 merupakan tapak yang paling sesuai untuk pembinaan empangan di kawasan tadahan Bengoh. Dapatan daripada kajian ini membuka ruang untuk mengurangkan kesan pembangunan empangan ke atas ekosistem dan untuk mewujudkan pendekatan penilaian kesan jangka panjang termasuk daya nilai maju (viability) populasi dan ekosistem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have contributed in term of suggestion, comments, ideas, facts, information and data in helping me to produce this thesis. I would like to express my gratitude to the three of my supervisors Associate Professor Dr.Latifah Abd Manaf, Associate Professor Dr.Mohd Kamil Yusoff from the Faculty of Environmental Studies,Universiti Putra Malaysia and Professor Dr. Grabriel Tonga Noweg from the Centre for Applied Learning and Multimedia Universiti Malaysia Sarawak for their constant encouragement, advice, mentoring, suggestions and research support throughout the research. I truly appreciate Associate Professor Dr.Latifah Abd Manaf for her guidance, academic criticisms, corrections, critical comments, patience and tolerance during my research work as well as in producing this thesis. My appreciation also goes to lectures and course mates of the Faculty of Environmental Studies,Universiti Putra Malaysia for their discussion, comments and suggestion to this thesis during the colloquium.

I am deeply indebted to four of my colleagues, Clement Tuee, Stanley Mathew American, Alis Ijon, and Jugah of the former Sarawak Biodiversity Officer, and Walder Ik Paon a Master student with Unversiti Malaysia Sarawak for helping me in my fieldwork in three occasions. They contribute to making the field work and the entirely study even nicer experiences. Another colleague, Misoi Nyilot a master student with Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and an English teacher for helping to review my written English.

I duly acknowledge the support from the Forest Department of Sarawak, Public Service Department of Sarawak, Malaysia Remote Sensing Agency, Department of Survey and Mapping Malaysia and Department of Water Supply Malaysia for providing secondary data and other relevance documents that help to lessen my task in this research.

Finally, I reckon it is impossible to have this thesis done without the support of my brothers and sisters, parent and family. My wife, my son and my daughter are the source of motivation, boosting my enthusiasm every time I felt low and they deserves a mention because I have spent the time, which is rightfully theirs, in producing this thesis as well as throughout the entire study.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 16 November 2016 to conduct the final examination of Les Ak Met on his thesis entitled "Identifying Potential Dam Site using the Forest Diversity Assessment in Bengoh Catchment, Sarawak, Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Shaharin bin Ibrahim, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Wan Nor Azmin bin Sulaiman, PhD Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Mohd Rusli bin Yacob, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Maricar S. Prudente, PhD

Professor De Salle University Philippines (External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 February 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Latifah Abd Manaf, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohd Kamil Yusoff, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Gabriel Tonga Noweg, PhD

Professor Institute of Biodiversity and Environmental Conservation Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:			Date:		
C					

Name and Matric No.: Les Anak Met, GS 25671

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Latifah Abd Manaf
Signature:	
Name of Member of	
Supervisory Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Kamil Yusoff
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Professor Dr.Gabriel Tonga Noweg

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABS ACK APP DEC LIST LIST	ROVAL LARATI COF TAB COF FIG	DGEMENTS ON LES	i iii v vi viii xiii xv xvi
СНА	PTER		
1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
-	1.1	Background of the study	1
	1.2	Problems Statement	
	1.3	Research Ojectives	2 3
	1.4	Significance of the study	4
	1.5	Scope of the study	4
	1.6	Thesis organisation	5
2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1	Forest in Malaysia	7
	2.2	Forestry policies and legal framework of Malaysia	10
	2.3	Biodiversity and legislation	10
	2.4	Dams development	14
	2.5	Ecological of dam developments with regard to forest diversity	10
		assessment	18
		2.5.1 Ecology and ecological study	19
		2.5.2 Forest diversity assessment with ecological approaches	20
		2.5.3 Baseline study	22 23
		2.5.4 Impact analysis2.5.5 Shortcoming of the forest diversity assessment with	23
		regard to ecological evaluation of dam developments in	
		Malaysia	24
		2.5.6 Enhancing forest diversity assessment of dam	24
		developments	28
	2.6	Classification of forest ecosystem	30
	2.7	Diversity of plant species	31
		2.7.1 Assessing biodiversity	32
	2.8	Rarity and viability value of tree species in tropical forest	
		ecosystems	33
	2.9	Ecosystem loss and ecosystem fragmentation	34
	2.10	Conclusions	37

(

3.1	ERIALS AND METHODS	39
5.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Study area	42
	3.2.1 Geological features and soil	45
3.3	Plant species diversity in different forest ecosystem	47
	3.3.1 Field sampling and measurement of biodiversity	48
	3.3.2 Importance value index	51
	3.3.3 Species richness, diversity and dominance indices	52
3.4	Generation of land cover map and ecosystem map	54
	3.4.1 Data of Landsat TM image and topographic maps	55
	3.4.2 Forest classifying	55
	3.4.3 Landsat TM image classifying	56
	3.4.4 Proposed alternative dam site	58
	3.4.5 Flooded areas	58
3.5	Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and	50
5.5	plants species in tropical forest ecosystem	60
	3.5.1 Measuring of the rarity of species in an ecosystem	60
	3.5.2 Measuring of the viability values of species in an	00
	ecosystem	61
3.6	Identification of the potential dam site	63
5:0	3.6.1 Assessing ecosystem loss impact score	64
	3.6.2 Assessing ecosystem for simplact score	65
		65
27		
3.7	Statistical analysis	66
4 RESU	LTS AND DISCUSSIONS	68
4.1	Plant species diversity in different forest ecosystem	68
	4.1.1 Foristic composition and species diversity	68
	4.1.1.1 Importance Value Index	72
	4.1.1.2 Species diversity indices	74
4.2	4.1.1.2 Species diversity indices Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment	74 78
4.2	Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment	78
4.2	Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem	78 78
4.2	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 	78 78 78
4.2	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 	78 78 78 80
4.2	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 	78 78 78 80 81
4.2	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 	78 78 78 80 81 81
4.2	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 	78 78 78 80 81 81 84
4.2	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 	78 78 78 80 81 81 81 84 87
	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment 	78 78 78 80 81 81 84
4.2	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and 	78 78 78 80 81 81 84 87 88
	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and plants species 	78 78 78 80 81 81 84 87 88 93
	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and plants species 4.3.1 Rarity value of tree species in different forest ecosystem 	78 78 78 80 81 81 81 84 87 88 93
	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and plants species 4.3.1 Rarity value of tree species in different forest ecosystem of Bengoh 	78 78 78 80 81 81 84 87 88 93
	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and plants species 4.3.1 Rarity value of tree species in different forest ecosystem of Bengoh 4.3.2 Viability values of tree species in different forest 	78 78 78 80 81 81 84 87 88 93 93
4.3	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and plants species 4.3.1 Rarity value of tree species in different forest ecosystem of Bengoh 4.3.2 Viability values of tree species in different forest ecosystem of Bengoh 	78 78 78 80 81 81 84 87 88 93 93 94 98
	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and plants species 4.3.1 Rarity value of tree species in different forest ecosystem of Bengoh 4.3.2 Viability values of tree species in different forest ecosystem of Bengoh Identification of the potential dam site 	78 78 80 81 81 84 87 88 93 94 94 98 104
4.3	 Land cover and ecosystem mapping of Bengoh Catchment 4.2.1 Primary forest ecosystem 4.2.2 Old secodary forest ecosystem 4.2.3 Young secondary forest ecosystem 4.2.4 Agroforestry ecosystem 4.2.5 Swidden agricultures 4.2.6 Classifying the landsat TM image 4.2.7 Ecosystem map classification results 4.2.8 Alternative dam site in Bengoh Catchment Rarity and viability value of different type of ecosystem and plants species 4.3.1 Rarity value of tree species in different forest ecosystem of Bengoh 4.3.2 Viability values of tree species in different forest ecosystem of Bengoh 	78 78 78 80 81 81 84 87 88 93 93 94 98

5	CON	CLUSION	123
	5.1	Conclusions	123
	5.2	Recommendations for further study	126
REFERENCES			
APPENDICES			
BIODATA OF STUDENT			256
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS			257



 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Pa	age
2.1	Distribution and extent of major forest types in Malaysia, 2010('000,000 ha	.) 7
2.2	Habitat and vegetation types of the forest land in Malaysia	8
2.3	Level of biodiversity	11
2.4	Partial list of legislation relevant to biodiversity	13
2.5	Multilateral environmental agreements on biodiversity adopted by Malaysia	13
2.6	Distribution of existing large dams by region and purpose	14
2.7	Distribution of major dam projects in Malaysia	16
2.8	The status of 12 hydropower dam projects in the State of Sarawak, Malaysia	17
3.1	Sources of secondary data being utilised for the research	40
3.2	Villages and number of heads of households	42
3.3	Catchment area for the major rivers	44
3.4	Plots location and forest types	50
3.5	Guidelines for strength of agreement indicated with Cohen's Kappa values	58
3.6	Criteria for the proposed alternative	59
4.1	Plant biodiversity and the important value index of primary forest	69
4.2	Plant biodiversity and the important value index of old secondary forest.	70
4.3	Plant biodiversity and the important value index of young secondary forest	71
4.4	Plant biodiversity and the important value index of agroforestry	72
4.5	Dominant species of tree in forest ecosystem of Bengoh	73
4.6	Diversity indices of different forest ecosystem of Bengoh catchment	75
4.7	Mean diversity index of different forests ecosystem	76
4.8	Post-hoc comparison of mean diversity index of different forests	77
4.9	Trees species of primary forest	78
4.10	Trees species of old secondary forest	79
4.11	Trees species of young secondary forest	80
4.12	Trees species of agroforestry	81
4.13	Similarity value between different type of forest ecosystemof Bengoh Catchment	82

 \bigcirc

4.14	Statistic of the classified image of Bengoh catchment	84
4.15	Accuracy assessment for supervised classification of Landsat TM image base on confusion matrix	86
4.16	Confusion matrix of ecosystem map of the study area	88
4.17	Alternatives location of the dam project	89
4.18	Criteria for the alternative dam site	90
4.19	Rarity values of primary forest	94
4.20	Rarity value of old secondary forest	95
4.21	Rarity values of young secondary forest	96
4.22	Rarity values of agroforestry	96
4.23	Viability value of primary forest	98
4.24	Viability value of old secondary forest	99
4.25	Viability value of young secondary forest	100
4.26	Viability Value of agroforestry	100
4.27	Comparison of means of rarity and viability of different type of forest ecosystem in Bengoh Catchment	102
4.28	Posh-hoc comparison of means of rarity and viability of different type of forest ecosystem in Bengoh Catchmen	103
4.29	Percentage of inundated area based on ecosystem	104
4.30	Rarity value of ecosystem types of Bengoh Catchment	111
4.31	Ecosystem loss impact score of vegetation type	111
4.32	Viability value of ecosystem types of Bengoh Catchment	118
4.33	Ecosystem fragmentation impact score of vegetation type	118
4.34	Performance of Alternative 1 to Alternative 5 based on ecosystem loss score and ecosystem fragmentation score	119
4.35	Raw impact scores and valued impact scores of the five alternatives	120
4.36	Frequency table obtained using an uncertainty range 10%	122

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Spectrum of ecological studies	Page 20
3.1	Schematic diagram of the methodology workflow	41
3.2	Locality of Bengoh Catchment area	43
3.3	River system of Bengoh Catchment	44
3.4	Geology of the Sarawak Kiri River Catchment	46
3.5	Schematic diagram of measuring species diversity indices of plants vegetation	47
3.6	Plot design	49
3.7	Sampling location	50
3.8	Schematic diagram of the generating of land cover map and ecosystem map	55
3.9	Model structure of image classifying process	56
3.10	Schematic diagram of calculating the rarity and viability value of plants vegetation	60
3.11	Schematic diagram of assessing ecosystem-loss impact Score and ecosystem fragmentation impact	64
3.12	Schematic diagram of the statistical analysis	66
4.1	Dendrogram of similarity of tree species composition among the different forest ecosystem in Bengoh Catchment	83
4.2	Classified image of Bengoh Catchment, Sarawak, Malaysia	85
4.3	The ecosystem map of Bengoh Catchment, Sarawak, Malaysia	87
4.4	Alternative dam site and the river system	93
4.5	Rarity value of forest ecosystem	97
4.6	Viability value of forest ecosystem	101
4.7	Inundated area of proposed alternative dam site	109
4.8	Forest ecosystem map of Bengoh Catchment	110
4.9	Comparison of ecosystem loss impact score of vegetation type between the five alternatives of the proposed dam site	112
4.10	Fragmentation impact map of the five alternatives of the proposed dam site	117
4.11	Comparison of ecosystem fragmentation impact score of vegetation type between the five alternatives of the proposed dam site	119
4.12	Overall scores obtained using equal weights	121

6

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AF	Agroforestry		
Alt	Alternative		
ASEAN			
Asl	Above sea level		
BIA	Biodiversity Impact Assessment		
CEIA	Canadian Environmental Impact Agencies		
CORINE	Coordination of Information on the Environment, European Union		
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity		
D'	Simpson Index of diversity		
Dhb	Diameter at breast height		
Dist	Distance		
Dmg	Margalef's index of richness		
DV	Disturbance value		
DWS	Department of Water Supply		
E	Species Evenness		
EF	Ecosystem fragmentation impact score		
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment		
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement		
El	Ecosystem-loss impact score		
F	Flood control		
Frag	Fragmentation		
GIS	Geographical Information System		
Н	Shannon Weiner index		
HD	Highest disturbance value		
HIV	Highest isolation value		
HP	Hydropower		
Hv	Highest value core area		
Ι	Irrigation		
ICM	Integrated Catchment Management		
ITTO	International Tropical Timber Organization		

6

	Iso	Isolation
	Iv	Isolation value
	IVI	Important Value Index
	IVI	Important Value Index
	JUPEM	Department of Survey and Mapping Malaysia
	Km	kilometre
	km ²	kilometrer square
	LnS	Natural logarithm of the total number of species
	m	meter
	NRE	Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment
	OSF	Old Secondary Forest
	Peri	Perimeter
	PF	Primary Forest
	RC	Recreational
	Rd	Relative density
	Rd	Relative density
	RD	Relative Dominance
	RD	Relative dominance
	RE	Rarity value of species
	Rf	Relative frequency
	Rf	Relative frequency
	RR	Regulating Reservoir
	Sc	Core area
	SESCO	Sarawak Electrical Supply Cooperation
	SF	Secondary forest
	Sr	Silt retention
	UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
	Vc	Core value
	VL	Viability score
	W	Water supply
	YSF	Young secondary forest
0		

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Dams are built for multiple purposes which include providing water for irrigated agriculture, domestic and industrial consumption as well as providing alternative for meeting energy needs and supporting economic development. According to World Commission on Dams (2000) about one fifth of the world's agricultural land is irrigated, 12 % of large dams are designated as water supply dams, hydropower provides 19% of world's total electricity supply and 13 % of all large dams in the world have a flood management function. Statistic from the Department of Water Supply Malaysia (2012) shows that 80 dams being built throughout Malaysia and majority of the hydropower dams are located in State of Sarawak.

Despite the importance of dams in promoting the betterment of humankind the existing of dams posed adverse impact to terrestrial ecosystems and biodiversity. The inundated of the reservoir cause direct impact in terms of ecosystem loss, ecosystem fragmentation and land degradation. However, the ecosystem loss and the ecosystem fragmentation can be reduced if the appropriate site is being identified prior to the construction of dams based on the ecological evaluation approach.

Ecological evaluation presumably seeks to provide a quantitative statement of the worth which a competent ecologist attributes to a particular biological system. In the light of this definition, two types of ecological evaluation can be discerned. First, ecological evaluation as an assessment of ecosystem qualities per se, based on the thought that some ecosystem attributes are more important or interesting than the others, regardless of their social interest. Second, ecological evaluation as a socio-economical procedure to estimate the function of the natural environment for human society (Van Der Ploeg and Wlijm 1978; O'Connor 1974; Geneletti 2006).

The ecological evaluation is considered one of the most important components in producing Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of any land used projects such as dams which pose adverse impact on areas with considerable nature conservation interest. The ecological evaluation results are to be used in determining the ecological significance of the study area and also for the selection of indicators (core are, isolation and disturbance) used to express changes in such an ecological significance. The results also help to estimate the ecological significance of the study area in the post-project conditions.

Despite the significant role played by the ecological evaluation within the EIA, it is still lacking of common frame work which is used to support the impact assessment on the ecological components. Thompson et al. (1997) and Geneletti (2002) ascertain that, there

is no common type of data, no common way of processing and organising the information, no common way of selecting the evaluation criteria and no common way of expressing the impacts compared to other disciplinary studies which tend to follow more structured procedures that guide the entire assessment, from the data collection to the discussion of the relevance of the impacts. Husnain (2012) highlights the incomplete ecological evaluation as part of the root cause of poor performance of EIA in dam development projects, where unwise decision making leads to the destruction of habitats, biological diversity, ecological services, agricultural lands and livelihood resources. According to Byron (2000) and Geneleti (2002), the assessment of ecological components tends to be flawed and the weakness of the analysis of ecological impacts, such as the loss and the fragmentation of ecosystem limits the influence of ecological components in the decision-making process of a development due to the fact that their relevance are not sufficiently stressed and justified in Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

The conservation of the biological diversity or biodiversity is the most significant objective of the ecological evaluation. Biodiversity has emerged as a key environmental issue and a major driving force behind efforts to reform land management and development practices worldwide and also to establish a more harmonious relationship between people and nature (Noss and Cooperrider 1994, Geneletti 2002). Among the anthropogenic activities that pose the highest threat to conservation of biodiversity is the construction of dams. Such development projects interfere with the natural habitat conditions and consequently influence the abundance and distribution of plant and animal species.

1.2 Problem statement

Dams are promoted as an important way to meet water and energy needs and to support economic development, not only in Malaysia but throughout the globe. In Malaysia, 80 dams have been built (Department of Water Supply,2012) and 12 hydropower dams project have been planned for implementation for the period of 2008 until 2012 (Sarawak Electrical supply Cooperation, 2008). However, dam developments leads to the irreversible loss of species populations and ecosystem due to the fact that the impacts are many and complex and it is hard to establish a common and standardised ecological evaluation framework. As forest diversity assessment constitutes the major component in the ecological evaluation, it is paramount for the ecological evaluation of dam projects to be strengthened and emphasized so as to provide criteria and information that can be used to support decision-making by the relevance authorities with regard to natural conservation.

Despite the importance of ecological component, the standard methodology for forming the ecological evaluation particularly the forest diversity assessment is still lacking (Roome, 1984). Asian countries provided the poorest information on forest diversity assessment, with 71 percent of the forest assessed through expert estimates or general mapping. Field surveys have often focused on timber volume and tree species composition and to a lesser extent on biomass and other forest and tree attributes (FAO, 2001). These weaknesses of the forest diversity assessment lay on the weaknesses of ecological evaluation which formed the most significant component of the EIA.

The shortcoming of the forest diversity assessment refers to the study area in which the impact analysis normally considers the designated area and the analysis of the biodiversity features focus on the designed conservation sites or protected species only. Furthermore the biodiversity is not addressed in its complexity but the analysis is typically limited to one level only without providing a scientifically justification for doing so. Another shortcoming of the forest diversity assessment refers to the lack of quantitative prediction of ecosystem-loss. This is due to the omissions of those technical parameters that are fundamental to estimate the space occupation of the dam projects. On the other hand, the ecosystem-fragmentation is not quantified in an objective way and often just mentioned or described in general term. Operational guidance on how to perform a prediction of the impacts caused by the projects in terms of fragmentation is lacking due to insufficient use of specific indicator to predict the habitat fragmentation (Geneletti 2006). Furthermore the assessments are poorly structured and its transparency is ambiguous. One of the reasons behind this shortcoming is that, the assessing implies subjective judgments and this may appear inappropriate with the scientific validity of the work. Didham and Raphael (2010) point out that habitat fragmentation is a landscape-level phenomenon, and patch-level processes (patch area, edge effects and patch shape complexity) can only be understood within a landscape context (isolation and matrix structure). Consequently, it is difficult to find actual fragmentation assessments. If less effort is being taken to address these issues, more and more ecosystem could be severely threatened due to degradation brought about by the dam projects.

1.3 Research Objectives

1

2

3

4

The main objective of this research is to identify the potential site for the dam project in Bengoh Catchment area using ecological evaluation approach. This objective will lead to various objectives as follows:

- to determine the species diversity indices of plants vegetation of Bengoh Catchment
 - to produce the land-cover map and ecosystem map of Bengoh Catchment
 - to determine the rarity and viability value of plants in four different types of vegetation in Bengoh Catchment
 - to assess the ecosystem-loss impact score and ecosystem fragmentation impact score using the rarity and viability value based on fragmentation impact map for the ranking of potential dam site.

1.4 Significances of the study

This study focuses on the assessment process which explores the interaction between the dam development and biodiversity. Thus, the Biodiversity Impact Assessment (BIA) has been considered to estimate the loss and fragmentation ecosystem posed by Bengoh Dam project. The impact analysis carried out in this study which generates the ecosystem loss impact scores and ecosystem fragmentation impact scores of the proposed dam project mark a significant discovery in producing a sound Environmental Impact Statement particularly the dam project. The outcome of the analysis gives a clear picture on which alternative is to be considered as one of the most appropriate site for the proposed dam project. This will help to provide criteria and information that can be used to support decision-making by the relevant authorities with regard to natural conservation.

The result of this study provides a significance contribution to the improvement of environmental related policies particularly in minimizing the impacts of dam development on biodiversity. It paths the way to alleviate the impact of dam development on the displacement of ecosystem and to develop methods of evaluating the long-term impact, as well as the viability of populations and ecosystems. Furthermore, it gives the opportunity to identify areas where new legislation or major enhancements to existing legislation are needed for management of threatened or endangered species and ecosystem. A review on Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and other related legislation need to be done to strengthen requirements for assessing direct or indirect biological diversity loss and fragmentation.

The result of this study also revealed that BIA could make the application of ecological assessment easier and more effective. Moreover the method applied for analysing the impact is more structured and transparent based on the use of indicators such as rarity, core, isolation, disturbance and viability. Nevertheless the outcome of the analysis based on the impact scores may constitute only potion of the entirely environmental discipline that forms the Environmental Impact Assessment. Other factors such as economic, social and political scenario may be at odd with the results of the environmental assessment.

1.5 Scope of the study

The geographical boundary of the study is dictated by the expected spatial spread of the impact, or at least of the main impacts that can be predicted. This leads to an effort toward defining the boundary of the study area on an ecological basis. The study area is extended to the whole landscape(s) of Bengoh Catchment where the project is to be sited. This is to ensure a comprehensive spatial assessment of the impacts (ecosystem loss and ecosystem fragmentation) on biodiversity.

This research focuses on the ecosystem level. This is due to the fact that ecosystem level is usually the most relevant and consequently the one that needs to be fully investigated when dealing with dam projects. Moreover, conservation is in many cases most efficient when focused directly on the ecosystem (Noss and Cooperrider 1994; Geneletti 2002).

This research proposes to address all the ecosystems living within the boundary of the study area. Four types of forest ecosystem are considered in this study. These include the primary forest, old secondary forest, young secondary and agroforestry. Geneletti (2002) states that the most common method for mapping ecosystems consist of mapping the vegetation types. This indicates that vegetation communities are considered a representative for delimiting the boundaries of ecosystem units. This assumption is justified by the fact that vegetation communities typically show a strong relationship with both their physical environment and the organisms they act upon. Moreover, vegetation mapping represents a feasible alternative to carry out a truly complete biodiversity survey. As a result, it is widely held that vegetation cover types can be used as surrogate for the ecosystems in which they participate and represent typical stating point of ecological evaluation.

Dam projects have caused severe habitat reduction which occurs when a natural ecosystem is converted to an artificial system. For this reason, dams projects have been selected as the target of this research. The impact considered in this study encompasses the direct loss of ecosystem and the fragmentation of ecosystem.

The following research questions have been established after considering the paramount aims of this research.

- 1 Is there any significant correlation of forest diversity index between different types of forest ecosystem?
- 2 How can GIS facilitate in generating land cover map and ecosystem map as well as fragmentation map for assessing ecosystem loss and ecosystem fragmentation?
- 3 Does relationship exist between forest diversity and rarity value as well as viability value of the forest ecosystem?
- 4 How can forest diversity assessment help to generate ecosystem loss impact score and ecosystem fragmentation score for identification of the most appropriate dam site?

1.6 Thesis organisation

Chapter 1 sets the basic of the research by introducing the scope and the outline of the study. Chapter 2 deals with the literature review which scans through both the scientific publications and practical application of various studies on the application of ecological evaluation methods in regards to the EIA for the land-use development projects, particularly the dam projects. The chapter also details the limitation and challenges faced by the ecological evaluation. Alternative approaches are considered and elucidated as the way forward to enhance the ecological evaluation framework. Towards this end, an ecological evaluation method for the EIA of dams based on ecosystem rarity is proposed to address research in this field. This method allows the loss and fragmentation of the ecosystem of the alternative dam site to be determined in an objective and replicable way.

Chapter 3 proposes a methodological approach for performing the ecological evaluation of dam developments. In particular, the approach focuses on two types of impact, the ecosystem loss and ecosystem fragmentation. The approach begins with the first step that involves the mapping of the land cover and ecosystem of Bengoh Catchment where the dam projects have been proposed. The second step is to determine the plant species diversity, richness, evenness and dominance and also the important value index of plant species in different forest ecosystem; primary forest, secondary forest and agroforestry of tropical rain forest of Bengoh Catchment. The third step focuses on assessing the rarity and viability values of plants species in the four major forest ecosystems of Bengoh Catchment. The final step focuses on identification of the potential dam site of Bengoh Catchment by assessing ecosystem loss impact score and ecosystem fragmentation impact score using the rarity and viability value.

Chapter 4 deals with the results and discussions of the study based on the proposed methodology. The chapter describes the floristic characteristics of the different forest ecosystem and the different alternatives, as well as the main features of the area to be affected by the dam project. This chapter also deals with the alternative evaluation based on the ecosystem-loss impact score and fragmentation impact score and final recommendations for the most appropriate dam site.

The study concludes with Chapter 5, which summarises the work done and offers some concluding remarks, as well as thoughts for future development of this research. The chapters summarize the approach applied in this study and highlight the significances of this research with regards to the conservation of biodiversity and to ensure sustainable environmental and development. Recommendations on further research and improvement on policies related to biodiversity are also highlighted.

REFERENCES

- Abdul, A.H.(2007). Chinese Power Plants in Malaysia-Present and future development. Paper presented on the 28th – 29th October 2007 at China-ASEAN Power Corporation and Development Forum Nanning, Guangxi, China.
- Abdul Hayat, M.S., Abd Kudus, K., Faridah-Hanum, I., Awang Noor, A.G., Nazre, M. (2010). Assessment of plant species diversity at Pasir Tengkorak Forest Reserve, Langkawi Island, Malaysia. UPM. Journal of Agricultural Science. Vol.2, No.1: 31-38
- Abedi, R., Pourbabaie, H. (2010). Plant diversity in natural forest of Guilan Rural Heritage Museum in Iran. Biodiversitas 11(4): 182-186
- Adam, J.H., Mamat, Z. (2005). Floristic composition and structural comparison of limestone forests at three different elevations in Bau, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia. Journal of Biological Science 5: 478-485
- Akwee, P.E., Palapala, V.A., Gwegi-Onyamgo, J.P. (2010). A comparative Study of Plant Species Cmposition of Grasslands in Saiwa Swamp National Park and Kakamega Forest. Kenya J. Biodiversity. 1(2): 77-83
- Almendinger. (2013). A handbook for collecting vegetation plot data in Minnesota : The releve method. 2nd ed. Minnesota Biological Survey, Minnesota Natural Heritage and Nongame Research Program. Biological Report 92. St. Paul: Minessota Department of Natural Resources.
- Amol, D.V., Ajay, D.N., Bharli, W.G., Suresh, C.M.(2013). Comparative Analysis of Different Supervised Classification Techniques for Spatial Land Used / Land Cover Pattern mapping using RS and GIS. Int. Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Vol.4. (7)
- Anderson, J.R., Hardy, E.E., Roach J., Tand Witmer, R.E.(1970). A land used and land cover classification System for used with remotely sensed data. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 964. 28p.
- Anon. (1998a). Criteria and Indicators for Sustainable Management of Natural Tropical Forests. ITTO Policy Development Series No 7, ITTO, Yokohama.
- Aranha, J.T., Viana, H.F., Rodrigues, R. (2008). Vegetation Classification and Quantification by Satellite Image Processing. A Case Study in North Portugal; Paper presented at the International Conference and Exhibition on Bioenergy: Challenges and Opportunities, 6-9 April 2008, Universidade do Minho, Guimaraes, Portugal.

Ardakani, M.R. (2004). Ecology. Tehran: Tehran University Press

- Ashton, P.S.(1982). Dipterocarpaceae. Flora of Malesiana Series 1: Spermatophyta, of Systematic Revisions, 9(2): pp.237-552
- Ashton, P. (1995). Biogeography and Ecology. In Soepadmo, E. and Wong, K.M. (Eds.), Tree flora of Sabah and Sarawak. Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Sabah Forestry Dept. & Sarawak Forestry Dept. pp XLIII-LI.
- Ashton, P.S.(2013). A view from the trees. The raffles bulletin of zoology, 29: 41-47
- Austine, M.P, C.R.Margules. (1986). Assessing representativeness. In: Usher M.B., ed., Wildlife conservation evaluation. London: Chapman and Hall
- Austin, M.P., Belbin, L., Meyers, J.A., Doherty, M.D., Luoto, M. (2006). Evaluation of statistical models used for predicting plant species distribution: role of artificial data and theory. Ecological Modelling 199, 197-216.
- Azazi, E.L., Sayed, E.L., Khalifa, E.A., Sourour, M.M., Belal, A.H., Eltanger, N.A. (2013). Ecological Studies of Some Acacia Species grown in Egyptian Deserts. J.B.B., Vol.2(4): 485-492.
- Jalal, B. (2005). Assessing the effect of land use change on the hydrologic regime by RS and GIS. A case study in the Minab Catchment, Hormozgen province. Iran. Map Asia Conference Indonesia.
- Basnet, K., (1992). Effect of topography on the pattern of trees in *Tabonuco (Dacryodes excelsa)* dominated rain forest of Puerto Rico. Biotropica 24:31-42
- Battaglia, M., Williams, K.J. (1996). Mixed species stands of eucalypts as ecotones on a water supply gradient. Oecologia 108, 518-528.
- Belinda, J.N., Philip L., Mark B., Kingsely W.D. (2013). Effects of habitat Fragmentation on plant productive success and population viability at the landscape and habitat scales. Biological Conservation. Vol. 159, 16-123
- Boehm, H.O.V., Siegert F.(2002). Monitoring land cover and impacts Remote Sensing (RS) and GLS Medjaw Kalteng and Sarawak, Boreneo. Strapeat State of Knowledge report. Munchen Germany.
- Bogaert, J., Van Hecke, P, Van Eysenrode, D.S., Impens, I.(2000). Landscape fragmentation assessment using a single measure. Wildlife society Bulletin 28(4), 875-881.
- Borchers, D.L., Buckland, S.T., Priede, I.G., Ahmadi, S. (1997). Improving the precision of the daily egg production method using generalized additive models.Canadian Journal of Fish Aquatic Science 54, 2727-2742.
- Bossard, M., Feranec, J., Otahel, J. (2000). CORINE land cover technique guide Addendum 2000. Technical Report N.o40. European Environmental Agency. Copenhagem.

- Bouma, F., van der Plog, S.W.F. (1975). Function of nature, an Economic-Ecological Assessment. IVM-VM Publication no 46
- Brokaw, N., Thompson, J.(2000). The H For DBH. Forest Ecology and Management. 129(1-3): 89-91.
- Bryan, J.E., Shearman, D.L.(2013). Extreme differents in forest degredation in Borneo: Comparing practices in Sarawak, Sabah and Brunei. DLoS One 8(7): e69679
- Burrough, P.A. (1986). Principles of Geographical Information System for Land Resources Assessment. Oxford: Clarendon Press Oxford, United Kingdom.
- CBD (Conservation Biological Diversity). (2001). Handbook of the Conservation Biological Diversity. New York: Earthscan Publication
- Brigham, C.A., M.W. Schwartz.M.W. (2003). Population Viability in Plants: Conservation, Management, and Modeling Rare Plants. Springer-Verlag.Vol.165
- Burrough, P.A., R. McDonnell, (1998). Principles of geographical information systems. Oxford: Oxford University press.
- Byron,H.J., (1999). Biodiversity issues in road environmental impact assessments: guidance and case studies. In: Evink G.L., P. Garrett and D. Zeigler, eds., Proceeding of the Third International Conference on Wildlife Ecology and Transportation. Tallahassee: Florida Department of Transportation
- Byron, H.J., (2000). Road developments in the UK: an analysis of ecological assessment in environmental impact statements produce between 1993 and 1997. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management 43(1), 71-97.
- Cain, S. A. (1968)."The importance of ecological studies as a basis for land-use planning." Biological Conservation 1(1): 33-36.
- Campbell, N.A. & Reece, J.B. (2002): Biology. Benjamin Cummings, Boston, 6th Ed., pp.1152
- CEQ (Council on Environmental Quality) (1993). Incorporating biodiversity considerations into environmental impact analysis under the national environmental policy act. Washing D.C.: U.S.Government Printing office
- Chai, P.P.,Lee, H.S., Yamakura, I.(1994). Preliminary results of the 52 Hectare long term ecological research plot at lambir National Park, Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia,97pp Silviculture Research Office, Forest Department Sarawak, Malaysia
- Chai, P.P.K.(1995). A Final Report on the Vegetation and Flora of Lanjak-Entimau Wildlife Sanctuary. ITTO Report, Kuching, Sarawak.

- Chai, P.P.K., and Manggil, P.(2003). "Thinking outside the box " ITTO Lanjak Entimau Wildlife Sanctuary, Project Scientific Report. Sarawak Forestry Department, Kuching and ITTO, Yokohama
- Chaker, A., ElFadl.K., Rola Sheikh, R., Samaha.L. (2005). SEA and Land Use Planning in Lebanon. United Nations Development, Programme (UNDP).Labanon.
- Chao, A, Chazdom.R.L, Colwell.R.K, Shen.T.J. (2006). Abundance-based similarity indices and their estimation when there are unseen species in samples. Biometrice 62,361-371
- Cherrill, A. J., McClean, C. (1994). A Comparison of Land Cover Types in an Ecological Field Survey in Northern England and a remotely sensed land cover map of Great Britain. Biological Conservation 71, 313-323
- Cheryl, A., Kuhnell, Bruce, M., Goulevitch, Tim, J., Danaher, David, P.H.(1999). Mapping Woody Vegetation Cover Over the State of Queensland using Landsat TM Imagery. Climate Impacts and Grazing Systems Resources Sciences Centre, Queensland Department of Natural Resources, Queensland, Australia.
- Clarke, K.R., and Warwick, R.M.(2001). Changes in marine communities : an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation, 2nd edition, PRIMER E: Playmouth. Community Ecology. Oecologia. 137 : 446-455
- Cochrane, M.A., Alencar, A., Schulze, M.D., Souza, C.M., Nepstad, D.C., Lefebvre, P. & Cayuela, L., Rey Benayas, J.M. & Echeverría, C. (2006). Clearance and fragmentation of tropical montane forests in the Highlands of Chiapas, Mexico. Forest Ecology and Management, 226, 208–218.
- Cohen, J. (1960). A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 20 37-46.
- Congalton, R.G., and Green, K.(1999). Assessing the accuracy of remotely sensed data : principles and practices. Boca Raton : Lewis Publishes.
- Congalton, R.G., Balogh.M., Bell, C., Green, K., Milliken, J.A., and Ottman, R.(1998). Mapping and Monitoring Agricultural crops and other land cover in the Lower Colorado river basin. Photogrammeteric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 64, 1107-1113.
- Cousins, S. A. O., Aggremyr, E.(2008). The influence of field shape, area and surrounding landscape on plant species richness in grazed ex-fields. Biological Conservation 141, 126-135.
- Csuti, B., A.R. Kiester, (1996). Hierarchical gap analysis for identifying priority areas for biodiversity. In: Scott J.M., T.H. Tear and F.W. Davis, eds., Gap analysis: a landscape approach to biodiversity planning. Bethesda: American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, pp. 25-37.

- Curtis. J.T., McIntosh. R.P.(1950). The interrelations of certain analytic and synthetic phytosociological Characters. Ecol., 31: 438-455
- Davies, S. J. 2001. "Tree mortality and growth in 11 sympatric *Macaranga* species in Borneo," *Ecology*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 920–932
- Davies, S. J., Palmiotto, P. A., Ashton, P. S., Lee, H.S., and Lafrankie, J.V.(1998). "Comparative ecology of 11 sympatric species of *Macaranga* in Borneo: tree distribution in relation to horizontal and vertical resource heterogeneity," *Journal of Ecology*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 662–673
- Das,S., Singh.T.P.(2013) : Mapping Vegetation and forest types using landsat TM in the Western Ghat Region of Maharashtra, India. International Journal Computer Application, Vol.76; 0925-8887.
- Das, T.(2002). Land use/ land cover change detection : an object oriented Approach Munster, Germany. Msc. Thesis. Institute for Geoinformatics University of Munster : Germany.
- Das T, Singh T.P (2013). Mapping Vegetation and Forest Types using Landsat TM in the Western Ghat Region of Maharashtra, India.IJCA, 76(1):0915-8887
- Debinski, D.M. & Holt, R.D. (2000) A survey and overview of habitat fragmentation experiments. Conservation Biology, 14, 342–355.
- Dee, N.(1972). Environmental evaluation system for water resources planning. Columbus, Ohio: Battelle-Columbus Laboratories.
- Dengler, J., Lobel, S., and Dolinik, C. (2009). Species consultancy depends plot size a problem for vegetation classification and how ita can be solved. Journal of vegetation Science, 20, 754-766.
- Department of Environment Malaysia (1995). Environmental Impact Assessment Guideline for Dams and/or Reservoir Projects. Minister of Energy, Green Technology and Water, Putrajaya, Government of Malaysia.
- Department of Environment Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (1987). Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA): Procedure and requirements in Malaysia, Putrajaya, Malaysia
- Didham, R.K.(2010). Ecological Consequences of Habitat Fragmentation.University of Western Australia and CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences, Perth WA, Australia
- Didham, R. K., Ewers R.M. (2012). Predicting the impacts of edge effects in fragmented habitats: Laurance and Yensen's core area model revisited. Biological Conservation 155,104-110.

- Dimyati, M., Mizano, K., Kitamura., T.(1996). An analysis of land user/ cover change using the combination of MSS Landsat and Land Use Map : A case study in Yogyakarta Indonesia : International Journal of Remote Sensing, 1996, 17(5). P.931-944.
- Diway, B.M., Chai, P.K.(2004). Development of Lanjak Entimau Wildlife Sanctuary as a totally protected area: A study on the vegetation of Batang Ai National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia, IITO Project PD 16/99 Rev. 2(F). Phase III
- Department of Water Supply,(2012). Dams distribution in Malaysia, Resource Centre, Minister of Energy, Green Technology and Water, Putrajaya, Government of Malaysia.
- Depatrment of Environment (DOE)(1992). Handbook of EIA Guidelines and Procedures 'EIA : Guidelines for Dams and / or Reservoir Projects EG 5/9
- Department of Environment Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (NREB)(1995).Environmental Requirements: A Guide For Investors, Putrajaya, Malaysia
- Drayton, B., Primack, R.B. (1996). Plant species lost in an isolated conservation area in metropolitan Boston from 1894 to 1993. Conservation Biology 10(1), 30-39
- Flather, C.H. and Seig C.H.(2007). Species rarity: Definition, cause, classification andcourse. In: Raphael M.G., Molina R. (eds). Conservation of rare or littleknown species: biological, social, and economic consideration. Island Press. Washington. D.C. pp. 40-46

Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister's Department, Malaysia. (2009).

- Ehlers, M., Jadkowski M.A, Howard RR, Brousten DE (1990). Application of SPOT data for regional growth analysis and local planning. Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sensing, 56:175-180.
- EIA (Environmental Impact Assessment) report (2008). Proposed development of Bengoh Dam across sungai Sarawak Kiri, Kuching, Sarawak. Centre for Technolgy Transfer and Consultancy University Malaysia Sarawak
- Eiumnoh, A. (2000). Integration of Geographic Information System (GIS) and Satellite Remote Sensing (SRS) for watershed management. FFTC Publication. Asian Institute of Technology. Pathumthani. Thailand.
- European Commission (1993), CORINE Land Cover; guide technique, Report EUR 12585EN. Office forPublications of the European Communities. Luxembourg. 144 pp
- FAO. (2001). Global Forest Resources Assessment 2000 main report. FAO Forestry Paper No. 140. Rome.

- Fan, F, Weng, Q, Wang, Y.(2007). Land use land cover change in Guangzhou, China, from 1998 to 2003, based on Landsat TM/ETM+imagery. Sensors, 7, 1323-1342.
- Faridah Hanum, I., Ahmed Zainuddin Ibrahim, Shamsul Khamis, M. Nazre, P.
- Lepun, G. Rusea, J.J. Lajuni, LAtiff. A.(2001a). An annotated checklist of higher plants in Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve, Puchong, Selangor. Pertanilca Journal Tropical Agricultural Science, 24(1), 61-75
- Faridah Hanum, I., Rahim, A., Lepun, P., Edham, I., Nazre. M.,(2001b). Tree taxa inventory at Ayer Hitam Forest Base-Camp.Pertanilca Journal Tropical Agricultural Science, 24(1), 29-34
- Flather, C.H. and Seig C.H. 2007. Species rarity: Definition, cause, classification and course. In: Raphael M.G., Molina R. (eds). Conservation of rare or little-known species: biological, social, and economic consideration. Island Press. Washington. D.C. pp. 40-46
- Fischer, J., Lindenmayer, D.B., Manning, A.D.(2006). Biodiversity, ecosystem function, and resilience: ten guiding principles for commodity production landscapes. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 4, 80-86
- Food, G. (2002). Status of Land Cover Classification Accuracy assessment. Remote sensing of Environment 80 (1): 185-201.
- Foody, G.M.(2001). Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. Remote sensing of Environment 80, 185-201.
- Forest Department Sarawak. (2011) Official Website of Forest Department Sarawak: Totally Protected Area (TPA). Accessed Feb 2012. http://www. forestry.sarawak.gov.my/modules/web/page.php?id = 661
- Forman, R.T.T. (1995). The ecology of landscapes and regions. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fox, B.J., Jennifer. E.T., Marelyn. D.F., Williams. C. 1997. Vegetation changes across edges of rainforest remnants. Biological Conservation 82: 1-13
- Freudenrich, Craig Gardner, Jane D Barlaz, Dora Paperback. (2010). Kaplan AP Environmental Science 2010 (10)
- Gandiwa, P.Z, Mango.L, Gandiwa, E., David Goza.D, Parakasingwa.C, Chinoitezvi. E., Shimbani.J, Muvengwi.J. (2013). Variation in woody vegetation structure and composition in a semi-arid savanna of Southern, Zimbabwe. International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation Vol. 5(2), pp. 71-77
- Garkhoti. S.C,(1992). High altitude forest of Central Himalaya: Productivity and nutrient cycling. Ph.D. Thesis, Kumaun University, Nainita

- Gaston, K.J., Charman K., Jackson S.F., Armsworth, P.R., Bonn, A., Briers, R.A., Callagham, C.S.Q., Catchpole, R., Hopkins, J., Kunin, W.E., Latham, J., Opdam, P., Stoneman, R., Stroud, D.A., Tratt, R. (2006). The ecological effectiveness of protected areas: The United Kingdom. Biological Conservation 132, 76-87.
- Geology Department of Sarawak, Malaysia (2004). Generalised stratigraphy of Sarawak.
- Gholami. A., Ejtehedi. H., Ghassemzadeh. F., Ghorashi-Al-Hosseini. J., (2007). Study of plant Biodiversity around Protected Area of the Bazangan Lake. Iranian. J. Biol. 19(4): 398-407
- Gibbons, J.M., Newbery, D.M. (2002). Drought avoidance and the effect of local topography on trees in the understorey of Borneon lowland rain forest. Plant Ecol 164:1-18
- Giliba, R.A., Boon, E.K., Kayombo, C.J., Musamba, E.T., Kashindey, A.M., Shayo, P.F. (2011). Species composition, Richness and Diversity in Miombo Woodland of Bereku Forest Reserve, Tanzanian J Biodiversity, 2(1): 1-7
- Githae, E.W., Chuah Petiot, M., Mworia, J.K., Odee, D.W. (2007). A botanical inventory and diversity assessment of Mt. Marsabit forest, a sub-humid montane forest in the arid lands of Northen Kenya. Afri J. Ecol, 46: 39-45.
- Glumphabutr, P., Kaitpraneet, S., Wachrinrat, J. (2006). Structural characteristic of natural evergreen forests in eastern region of Thailand. Thai Journal of Forestry, 25:92-111
- Geneletti, D. (2000 a), Using classification and spatial index techniques on remotely sensed data for environmental impact assessment. Proceedings of the 28th international symposium on remote sensing of the environment, Cape Town.
- Geneletti, D. (2002). Ecological evaluation for environmental impact assessment. PhD Thesis, Vrije universiteit Amsterdam.
- Geneletti, D.(2003). Biodiversity Impact Assessment of roads: an approach based on ecosystem rarity. Environ. Impact. Rev. 23, 343-365.
- Geneletti, D. (2004). Using spatial indicators and value functions to assess ecosystem fragmentation caused by linear infrastructures.Int. J. Appl. Earth Observ. Geoinform., 5, 1-15.
- Geneletti, D.(2006). Some common shortcomings in the treatment of impacts of linear infrastructures on natural habitat. Environmental Impacts Assessment Review 26, 257-267.
- German Agency for Technical Cooperation. (1979). Hydro-Electric Project Feasibility Report, Sarawak Electricity Supply Cooporation (SESCO), Sarawak.

- Gilfedder, L., Kirkpatrick, J.B. (1998). Factors influencing the integrity of remnant bush land in sub humid Tasmania. Biological Conservation 84(1), 89-96
- Gontier, M., Balfors, B. and Mortberg, U.(2006). Biodiversity in environmental assessment-current practice and tools for prediction. Environ. Impact. Assess. Rev., 26, 268-286.
- Gonzalez, A., Chaneton, E.J.(2002). Heterotroph Species Extinction, Abundance and Biomass Dynamics in an Experimentally Fragmented Microecosystem. Journal of Animal Ecology, 71, 594-602.
- Gotelli, N.J. & Colwell, R.K. (2010). Estimating species richness. pp. 39-54 in: Biological Diversity: Frontiers In Measurement And Assessment. A.E. Magurran and B.J. McGill (eds.). Oxford University Press, Oxford. 345 pp.
- Gaston, K.J., Charman K., Jackson S.F., Armsworth, P.R., Bonn, A., Briers, R.A.,
- Callagham, C.S.Q., Catchpole, R., Hopkins, J., Kunin, W.E., Latham, J., Opdam, P., Stoneman, R., Stroud, D.A., Tratt, R., (2006). The ecological effectiveness of protected areas: The United Kingdom. Biological Conservation 132, 76-87
- Haeckel, E. (1866): Generelle Morphologie der Organismen: Allgemeine durch die von
- Haaf, B.(1996). Environmental impact assessment applied to land farms in the Vosges area, France. In: Panizza M., A.G. Fabbi, M. Marchetti and A. Patrono, eds., Geomorphologic analysis and evaluation in environmental impact assessment. Enschede: ITC publication 32.
- Hansen, A.J., DeFries, R. (2007). Ecological mechanisms linking protected areas to surrounding lands. Ecol. Appl. 17, 974–988
- Hansen, A.J., Davis, C.R., Piekielek, N., Gross, J., Theobald, D.M., Goetz, S., Melton, F., DeFries, R. (2011). Delineating the ecosystems containing protected areas for monitoring and management. Bioscience 61 (5), 363–373
- Harrison, R. D. (2011). Emptying the forest: Hunting and the extirpation of wildlife from tropical nature reserves. Bioscience, 61:919–924.
- Harrison, R. D., S. Tan, J. B. Plotkin, F. Slik, M. Detto, T. Brenes, A. Itoh, S. J. Davies, (2013).Consequences of deforestation for a tropical tree community Ecology Letters, 16: 687–694.
- Hirataa, M., Kogab, N., Shinjoc, H., Fujitad, H., Gintzburger, G, Miyazaki A (2001). Vegetatin classification by satellite image processing in a dry area of northeastern Syria. Int.J. Remote Sensing, 22: 507-516.
- Harris, L.D. (1994). The fragmented forest: island biogeography theory and the preservation of biotic diversity. Chicago: university of Chicago Press.

- Harris, P.M, Ventura ,S.J. (1995). The integration of geographic data with remotely sensed imagery to improve classification in an urban area. Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sensing, 61:993-998.
- Harrison, I., Laverty, M., Sterling, E.(2004). Ecosystem Diversity: Connexions module: Version 1.2: M 12174,
- Hashemi, S.A.(2010). Evaluation plant species diversity and physiographical factors in natural broad leaf forest. Amer. J Environ Sei 6(1) : 20-25.
- Hayat, A.S.M and Kamziah, A.K. (2010). Assessment of Plant Species Diversity at Pasir Tengkorak Forest Reserve, Langkawi Island, Malaysia, Journal of Agriculture Science
- Heywood, V.H. (ed.). 1995. Global Biodiversity Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Honnay, O., Jacquemyn, H., Bossugt, B., Hermy, M. (2005). Forest fragmentation effects on patch occupancy and population viability of herbaceous plant species. New Phytologist. 166, 723-736 Lonsdale W.M. 1999. Global patterns of plant invasions and the concept of invisibility. Ecology 80(5), 1522-1536
- Hubbell, S.P.(2013). Tropical rain forest conservation and the twin challenges of diversity and rarity. Ecology and Evolution. 3(10): 3262-3274
- Monavari S.M. and Momen Bellah Fard S. 2010. A GIS based assessment tool for biodiversity conservation. Int. J. Environ. Res.4 (4): 701-712
- Hurst, J.M., Allen.R.B.(2007). A permanent plot method for monitoring indigenous forest-field protocals. Landcare research, Lincoln, NZ
- Husnain, M., Wende, W.(2010). Impacts on the environment and biological diversity of Chotian Reservoir in Pakistan. Paper presented at 'IAIAIO Conference Proceedings'. The role of impact assessment in transitioning to the Green Economy 30th Annual Meeting of the International Association for impact assessment 6-11 April 2010, International Conference Centre Geneva, Switzerland.
- Ian, R. S.(2001). Biodiversity, definition of: Encyclopedia of Biodiversity, Volume 1, Academic Press.
- International Commission on Large Dams. (1998). Dams, Water and Energy-A Statistical profile, Paris, France. Retrive from www.icold-cigb.org.
- Irfan, A. (2011). Quantifying land used and land cover change using satellite remote sensing: A case study of Delhi, India. University College London, London.
- Ján, M., Katarína, M., Róbert, M., and Vendula. A. (2012). Plant Diversity of Forests, Forest Ecosystems - More than Just Trees, Dr Juan A. Blanco (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0202-1,

- Jaccard, P. (1912). The distribution of the flora in the alpine zone. New Phytologist 11: 37–50
- Jain. M, Dan FB Flynn. D.F.B, Prager.C.M, Georgia M Hart.G.M, DeVan.C.M, Ahrestani.F.S, Palmer.M.I,Bunker.D.E, Knops.J.M.H,Jouseau.C.F, Naeem.S (2014). The importance of rare species: a trait-based assessment of rare species contributions to functional diversity and possible ecosystem function in tallgrass prairies. Ecol Evol. 4(1): 104–112.
- Jyothi, S., Varma, S.A.K.(2010). Digitizing the forest resource map using GIS. IJCS, Vol.7, 1694-0814.
- Kadavul, I,C., Parthasarathy. N.(1999). Structure and Composition of Woody Species in tropical semievergreen forest of Kalayan hills, eastern ghats, India. Tropical Ecology 40: 247-260
- Kade, S.(2001). Three diversity in the rain forests of Kalimantan. The Tropenhos Foundations, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Kaennel, M.(1998). Biodiversity: A diversity in definition. In: P. Bachmann, M.Kohl, R. Paivien (eds). Assessment of a biodiversity for improved forest planning. Dovdrecht, Kluwer, PP. 71-81.
- Kent, M., Coker, P.(1992). Vegetation Description and Analysis. A practical Approach. Belhaven Press London.
- Khalid, K.A.T.(2007). Global Networks: Issues and tactics in Bakun Dam Project. JEBAT 34
- Kharkwal. G.(2009). Qualitative analysis of tree species in evergreen forests of Kumaun Himalaya, Uttarakhand, India. African Journal of Plant Science Vol. 3(3), pp.049-052
- Khan, S.A. (2010). Methodology for Assessing Biodiversity Centre of Advanced Study in marine Biology Annamalai University. India.
- Khera, N., Kumar. A., Ram. J., Tewari. A.(2001). Plant biodiversity assessment in relation to disturbance in mid elevation forest of central Himalaya, India. Tropical Ecology 42:85-95
- Kishimoto, Y.K., Itioka, T., Nakagawa, M., Momos, K.,and Nakashizuka, T.(2011). Phytophagous scavabaeid diversity in Swidden Cultivation Landscapes in Sarawak Malaysia. The raffles bulletin of zoology 59(2): 285-293.
- Knight, T. M., McCoy, M. W., Chase, J. M., McCoy, K. A., Holt, R. D., (2005). Trophic cascades across ecosystems. Nature 437: 880-883.
- Knoke, T.(2009. Can tropical farmers reconcile subsistence needs with forest conservation? Front Ecol Environment. 7:584-588

- Kooch, Y., Jalilvand. H., Bahmnyar. M.A., Pormajidian. M.R. (2009). Comparison of wood species diversity indices with respect to characteristics of natural lowland forest stands in Chalous. Iranian J. Biol. 22(1): 183-192
- Kolhoff, A.(2000). Biodiversity in EIA.An overview and assessment of the present situation and recommendations for integration. Paper submitted to the 20th conference event of the International Association for Impact Assessment, Hong Kong.
- Krebs, C.J.(2014). Ecological methodology. 3rd ed. Addison Wesley Longman. London.
- Krebs, C.J.(1989). Ecological methodology. Harper and Row, New York
- KTA (Sarawak) SIB.(2004). Proposed Bengoh Dam, Sarawak Phase- Assessment Study. Interim report.
- Kulhavý, J, Suchomel, J, Menšík, L, (2014). Forest Ecology, Mendel University in Brno
- Kumar. M., Bhatt. V.(2006). Plant Biodiversity and Conservation of Forest in Foot Hills of Garhwal Himalaya. Lyonia, 11(2): 43-59
- Kundel, H., Polansky, M. (2003). Measurement of observer Agreement Radiology, 228: 303-308
- Kundu.T.M. (1996). The used of landsat Imagery, Geographic Information System and Digital Terrain Modelling for land used planning in Lake Nakuru Drainage Basin. Cranfield University, Silsoe Longman, London.
- Kuswanda, M., Chai, P., Wirawan, N. (eds).(1999). ITTO Borneo Biodiversity Expedition 1997 Scientific Report. ITTO, Yokohama
- Lamb, E.G., Bayne, E., Holloway, G., Schieck, J., Boutin, S., Herbers, J., Haughland D.L.(2009). Indices for monitoring biodiversity change: Are some more effective than others? Ecol indicators 9: 432-444.
- Landis, J.R., Koeh,G.G. (1977). The measure of observer agreement for categorical, Biometries 3: 159-174
- Latiff.A, Zakri.A.H. (2000). Protection of traditional knowledge, innovations and practices: he Malaysian experience. Paper presented at UNCTAD Expert Meeting on Systems and National Experiences for Protecting Traditional Knowledge, Innovations and Practices, Geneva 30 October – 1 November 2000.
- Laurance, W.F., Yensen, E. (1991). Predicting the impacts of edge effects in fragmented habitats. Biological Conservation 55, 77-92.
- Laurance, W.F., Cochrane, M.A. (2001). Synergistic effects in fragmented landscapes. Conservation Biology, 15, 1488–1489

- Laurila-Pant, M., Lehikoinen, A., Uusitalo, L., and Venesjärvi, R. 2015. How tovalue biodiversity in environmental management? Ecological Indicators 55: 1– 11Leathwick, J.R., Austin, M.P. (2001). Competitive interactions between tree species in New Zealand's old-growth indigenous forests. Ecology 82, 2560-2573.
- Lee, C.(2002). Nepethes species of the Hose Mountains in Sarawak, Borneo. Proceeding: The 4th International carnivorous plant conference Tokyo, Japen, June 21-Jun 23 2002
- Lemperiere, F. (1993). Dams that have failed by flooding: an analysis of 70 failures.Water Power and Dam Construction, Sept/ Oct, 19-24.
- Lewinski, S. (2005). Land used classification of ASTER image-Legionowo test site. 25th EARsel Symposium. Porto, Portugal.
- Lewis, H.G., and Brown, M. (2001). A generalised confusion matrix for assessing area estimates from remotely sensed data. International Journal of Remote Sensing, (in press).
- Li, P., Feng, Z., Jiang.L., Lio.C., Zhang.J.(2014). A review of widen agriculture in south east Asia. Remote sens.(6). 1654-1683
- Likens G.E. (1992): The ecosystem approach: Its use and abuse. Excellence in Ecology,(3)166 pp. 0932- 2205
- Lillesand, T.M., and Kiefer, R.W. (1994). Remote sensing and Image Interpretation. (3rd Edition). John Wiley and Sons, Singapore.
- Loice, M.A., Omoro., Petri K.E., Pellikka., Paul C., Rogers., 2010. Tree species diversity, richness, and similarity between exotic and indigenous forests in the cloud forests of Eastern Arc Mountains, TaitaHills, Kenya. Journal of Forestry Research 21(3): 255–264
- Lord, J. M. and D. A. Norton, (1990). Scale and the spatial concept of fragmentation. Conservation Biology 4(2):197-202.
- Luoma-aho, T., Hong, L.T., Ramanatha Rao, V., Sim, H.C.(2003). Forest genetic resources conservation and management. Proceeding of the Asia Pacific Forest Genetic Resources Programme (APFORGEN) Inception Workshop, Kepong, KL, Malaysia, 15-18 July, 2003.
- McGarigal, K., Cushman, S. A., Neel, M. C., Ene, E. (2012). FRAGSTATS: spatial pattern analysis program for categorical maps, version 4.0.
- MacKinnon, K., Hatta, G., Halim, H., Mangalik. A. (1996). The ecology of Kalimantan. The Ecology of Indonesia Serices. Vol.III.
- Magurran, A.E. (1988). Ecological diversity and its measurement, Princeton, NJ : Princeton University Press.

- Magurran, A.E. (2004). Measuring Biological Diversity, Oxford, UK: Blackwell science Ltd.
- Mandelik, Y., Dayan, T., Feitelson, E. (2004). Planning for Biodiversity: the Role of Ecological Impact Assessment. Conservation Biology 24, 1254-1261
- Manjula,K.R., Jyothi,S., Varma, S.A.K. (2010). Digitizing the forest resource map using GIS. IJCS, Vol.7, 1694-0814
- Margalef, D.R. (1972). Homage to Evelyn Hutchison, or is there an upper limit to diversity. Trans. Connect. Acad. Arts Sci., 44: 211-235
- Masahiro, I.(2007). Degradation and loss of forest land and land-use changes in Sarawak, East Malaysia: a study of native land use by the Iban. Ecol Res 22:403-413
- Ministry of natural resources and environment Malaysia (2011). Second National Communication to the UNFCCC
- Mirzaei. J., Akbarinia. M., Hosseimi. S.M., Sohrabi. H., Hosseinzade. J.(2008) Biodiversity of herbaceous species in related to physiographic factors in forest ecosystem in central Zagros. Iranian. J. Biol. 20(4): 375-382
- Mishra, R.(1968). Ecology Workbook Oxford and IBH Co. New Delhi. pp 244
- Mitchell, K.(2010). Quantitve analysis by the Point-Centred Quarter Method. Hobert and William Smith Colloge. Geneva NY
- Moren, D.L.H.M., Saco, P.M., Willgoose, G.R., Tongway, D.J. (2011). Assessing landscape structure and pattern fragmentation in semiarid ecosystem using patch-size distribution. Ecol. Apple (7). 2793-805
- Mueller-Doimbois D, Ellenberg H.(1974). Aims and Methods of Vegetation Ecology. New York: John Willey, 547 pp.
- Margules, C.R., M.B. Usher (1981), Criteria used in assessing wildlife conservation potential: a review. Biological Conservation 21, 79-109.
- McAlphine, C.A., Fensham, R.J., Temple-Smith, D.E. (2002). Biodiversity conservation and vegetation clearing in Queensland: principles and thresholds. Rangeland Journal 24, 36-55.
- Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment (1987). Environmental Impact Assessment.Procedure and requirements in Malaysia.
- Moisen, G., Frescino, T. (2002).Comparing five modelling techniques for predicting forest characteristics. Ecological Modelling 157, 209-225.
- Monavari, S.M., Momen Bellah Fard, S.(2010). A GIS based assessment tool for biodiversity Conservation. Int. J. Environ. Res., 4(4), 701-712.

- Mullan K(2014). "The Value of Forest Ecosystem Services to Developin Economies." CGD Working Paper. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development.
- Nangendo, G., Stein, A., Gelens, M., De Gier, A., Albrich. (2002). Quantifying differences in biodiversity between a tropical forest area and a grass-land area subject to traditional burning Forest Ecol. Manage. 164 : 109-120.
- Nagendra, H.(2002). Opposite trends in response for the Shannon and Simpson indices of landscape diversity. Appl Geography 22 : 175-186.
- Nageswara Rao, M., Sonej J. R., Sudarshana, P.(2012). Structure, diversity, threats conservation of Tropical forest. Environmental Science
- Nabi, A. B., Rahmaji, R.P. (2012). Analysis of mangrove vegetation of Machilipatnam Coastal Region, Krishma district, Andhra Pradest. Int. JES Volume 2; No.3 1754-1764.
- Nakagawa, M., Miguchi, H., Nakashizuka, T.(2006). The effects of various forest use on small mammal communities in Sarawak, Malaysia. Forest Ecology and Management, 231 (1-3): 55-62.
- Nally, R.M., Bennett, A.F., Horrocks, G.(2000). Forecasting the impacts of habitatfragmentation: Evaluation of species-specific predictions of the impact of habitat fragmentation on birds in the box-irobark forest of Central Victoria, Australia. Biological Conservation 95, 7-29.
- Nalini, M., Nadkarni, Mark, C., Merwin, Nieder, J. (2001). Forest canopies, Plant diversity. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity, Volume 3.
- Nauman, M., (2003). Ravaged Ecology, Cruel Displacement and Improverished Livelihood-chotiari Reservoir Pakistan. Water Nepal Vol. 9, No. ½, 313-318.
- Naser,H., Bythell, J., Thomason,J. (2008). Ecological assessment: an initial evaluation of the ecological input in environmental impact assessment reports in Bahrain. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 26(3), pp 201-208
- Newbery, D.M.C., Campbell, E.J.F., Proctor, J., and Still, M.J.(1996). Primary lowland dipterocarp forest at Danum Valley, Sabah, Malaysia. Species composition and patterns in the understorey. Vegetatio 122:193-220, W., 1985. An introduction to Digital Image Processing. Strandberg Pub. Co., Birkeroad, Denmark.
- Nepstad, D., Verissimo, A., Alencar, A., Nobre, C., Eirivelthon, L., Lefebvre, P., Schlesinger, P., Potter, C., Moutinho, P., Mendoza, E., Cochrane, M., Brooks, V. (1999). Large-scale impoverishment of Amazonian forests by logging and fire.Nature 398, 505–508.
- Niblach,W., (1985). An introduction to Digital Image Processing. Strandberg Pub.Co., Birkeroad, Denmark.

- Niiyama, K., Rahman. K.A., Lida. S., Kimura, K., Aziz, R., and Appanah, S.(1999). Spatial patterns of common tree species relating topography, canopy gaps and understorey vegetataion in a hill dipterocarp forest at Semangkok forest reserve, Peninsular Malaysia. J Trop For Sci 11:731-745
- Nik Norulaini, N.A.R., Asyirah, A.R., Fera Fizami, A.F.(2006). Environmental management activities of an infrastructure development project: The case of Beris Dam, Malaysia Journal of Environmental Management, 7:113-128
- Noss, R.F., Cooperrider, A.Y.(1994). Saving nature's legacy protecting and restoringbiodiversity. Washington D.C: Island Press
- Noss, F., Peter, R.L. (1995). Endangered ecosystems. A status report on America's vanishing habitat and wildlife. Defenders of wildlife. Washingto D.C
- Noss, R.F., O' Connell, D.D., Murphy, D.(1997). The science of conservation planning: habitat-based conservation under the endangered species act. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- O'Connor, F.B.(1974). The ecological basis for conservation. In Conservation in practice, ed. by A. Warren Wiley and F.B. Goldsmith, 87-98. London.
- O'Farrel,I P.J., Reyers, B., and Le Maitre, D.C.(2010). Multi-functional landscapes in semi arid environments: implications for biodiversity and ecosystem services. Landsc Ecol 25(8):1231–1246
- Oksanen, J., Minchin, P.R. (2002). Continuum theory revisited, what shape are species responses along ecological gradients? Ecological Modelling 157, 119-129.
- Opdam, P., Wiens, J.A. (2002). Fragmentation, habitat loss and landscape management. (In: Norris, K. and Pain, D.J., (Eds.), Conservation bird biodiversity General principles and their application. Cambridge University Cambridge. Tallis,
- Osman, N., Othman, H.T., Karim,R.A., Mazlan, M.A.F.(2014). Biomass in Malaysia:Forest-based residues. International Journal of Biomass & Renewables, Vol.3(1): 7 – 14
- Palaniswami, C., Upadhyay, A.K., Maheswarappa, H.P.(2006). Spectral mixture analysis for subpixel classification of coconut. Current Science, Vol. 91, No.12, pp. 1706-1711.
- Pande, P.K.(1999). Comparative vegetation analysis and Sal (Shorea robusta)regeneration in relation to their disturbance magnitude in some Sal forest. Tropical Ecology 40: 51-61
- Parkes, D., Newell, G., Cheal, D. (2011). Assessing the quality of native vegetation: The 'habitat hectares' approach. Department of Environment and Primary Industries. Victoria, Australia. Renjito L.M. 1999. Composition changes in a sub-Andean avifauna after long-term forest fragmentation. Conservation Biology. 13(5), 1124-1139

- Patil,G.P., and C. Taillie,C.(1982). Diversity as a concept and its measurement. J. Amer. Statis. Assoc. 77548-561.
- Perumal, K., and Bhaskaran, R.(2010). Supervised Classification Performance of Multispectral images. Journal of computing. Vol.2. No.2. pp 124-129.
- Phelps,J.(2010). Does REDD + threaten to recentralize forest governance. Science 328:312-13
- Philip, B., William; Trush, W., McBain., Vick, J., Agus Sari., Wilcox. W., Plant, K. (1995). A review of the environmental impact assessment of the Bakun Hydroelectric project prepared by Ehran BERHAD: International river network, Berkeley, USA.
- Pielou, E.C.(1969). An introduction to mathematical ecology. New York : Wiley.
- Pielou, E.C.(1975). Ecology diversity, New York : Wiley Inter Science.
- Piekieleh, N.B., Hansen, A.J.(2012). Extent of fragmentation of coarse-scale habitats in and around U.S. National Parks. Biological Conservation 155, 13-22.
- Podong, C., Poolsiri, R.(2013). Forest structure and species diversity of secondary forest after cultivation in relation to various sources at lower northern Thailand. Proceeding of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences.Wanchai, Hong Kong, 1 September 2013. 3(3):208-218
- Poggio, S.L., Chaneton, E., Ghersa, C.M. (2010). Landscape complexity differentially affects alpha, beta, and gamma diversities of plants occurring in fencerows and crop fields. Biol Conserv 143(11):2477–2486
- Pongumpai, S. (1976). Dendrology. Forest Biology Department, Faculty of Forestry, Kasetsart University, Thailand
- Pourbabaie, H. (2001). Distribution of Box tree (Buxus hyrcana L.) Sites and woody species diversity in Guilan provine forest, proceeding of 7th scientific and research conference of Guilan University, Rasht, 5-6 March 2001. Iran
- Powell, M., Accad, A., Austin, M.P., Choy, S.L., Williams, K.J., Shapcott, A.(2010). Predicting loss and fragmentation of habitat of the vulnerable subtropical rainforest tree Macadamia integrifolia with models developed from compiled ecological data. Biological Conservation 143, 1385-1396.
- Prakasam,C.(2010). Land use and land cover change detection through remote sensing approach : A case study of Kodaikanal Taluk, Tamilnadu. International Journal of Geomatrics and Geoscience , Vol.1, No.2, P 150-158.
- Premavani, D., Tarakeswara Naidu M., and Venkaiah, M.(2014). Tree species diversity and population structure in the tropical forest of North Central Eastern Ghats, India. NotSci Biol. 6(4);448-453

- Quetier, F., Lavorel, S.(2011). Assessing ecological equivalence in biodiversity offset schemes: Key issues and solution. Biological Conservation 144, 2991-2999.Rad. J.E., Manthey. M., Mataji. A.(2009). Comparison of plant species diversity with different plant communities in diceduous forest. Int. J. Environ. Sec. Tech, 6(3), 389-394
- Raju, N.A., Harikrishma,K., Suneetha, P., and Devi, S.S.(2013). Land use / land cover Analysis through Remote sensing and GIS Techniques : A case study of Viziznagaram District, Andhra Pradesh, India. International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering. Vol.3,10. Pp. 274-280.
- Ramezani, H.(2012). A Note on the Normalized Definition of Shannon's Diversity Index in Landscape Pattern Analysis, Environment and Natural Resources Research, Vol.(2&4)
- Rastogi, Ajaya (1999). Methods in applied Ethnobotany: lesson from the field. Kathmandu, Nepal: InternationalCenter for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD).
- Rasingam, L, Parathasarathy, N. (2009). Tree species diversity and population structure across major forest formations and disturbance categories in Little Andaman Island, India. Tropical Ecology, 50, 89-102.
- Reis, S. (2008). Analyzing land use/land cover change using remote sensing and GIS in Rize, North-East Turkey. Sensors, 8, 6188-6202.
- Rennolls, K., Laumonier, Y.(2000). Species diversity structure analysis at two sites in the tropical rain forest of Sumatra. J Trop Ecol 16:253-270.
- Richard, L., Gary, G.K. (1997). The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data Biometrics, Vol.33. Nol; pp. 159-174
- Restrepo, C., Gomez, N., Heredia, S. (1999). Antropogenic edges, tree fall gaps and fruit- Frugivore interaction in a neotropical montane forest. Ecology Society of America. 80(2). pp.668-685
- Reynolds, G., Payne, J., Sinun. W, Mosigil G, Walsh R P D. (2011). Changes in forest land use and management in Sabah, Malaysia Borneo, 1990-2010, with a focus on the Danum Valley region. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 2011; 366:3168-3176.
- Rodriguez, J.P., Brotons, L., Bustamante, J., Seone, J. (2007). The application of predictive modelling of species distribution to biodiversity conservation. Diversity and Distributions 13, 243-251.
- Rolstad, J. (1991). Consequences of forest fragmentation for the dynamics of bird populations: conceptual issues and the evidence. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 42:149-163.

- Roome, N.J.(1984). Evaluation in nature conservation decision-making. Environmental Conservation 11(3), 247-152.
- Roper, J.(2015). Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) EIANZ guidelines for use in New Zealand: terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems. Melbourne: MEIANZ
- Rusea, G., Bibian, M.D., Soh, W.K., Haja Maideen, Nazre. M., Faridah Hanum. I., (2001). Notes on the herbaceous plants of Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve, Puchong, Selangor. Pertanika Journal Tropical Agricultural Science, 24(1), 35-38
- Ryszkowski, L. (1992). Energy and material flows across boundaries in agricultural landscapes. In: A.J. Hansen and F.di Castri(Editors), Landscape boundaries, consequences for biotic diversity and ecological flows. Springer-verlog, New York, pp.270-84
- Saiful, I., Faridah-Hanum, I., Kamaruzaman, J. and Latiff, A., 2008. Floristic diversity, composition and richness in relation to topography of a hill dipterocarp forest in Malaysia. In, 3th IASME/WSBAS Int. Conf. on Energy and Environment, pp. 23-25.
- Sandy, J., Andelman, Beissinger, S., Cochrance, J.F., Gerber L., Gomez-Priego, P., Groves, C., Haufler, J., Holthausen. R., Lee, D., Maguire, L., Noon, B., Rall, K., Regan, H.(2001). Scientific standard for conducting viability assessments under the national forest management Act: Report and recommendation of the NCEAS working group. National Center for Ecological Analysis and synthesis. University of California, Santa Barbara.
- Sarawak Electricity Supply Corporation(SESCO) (1979). Master plan for power system development in Sarawak, Sarawak.
- Sarawak Energy Berhad (2008). Assess suitable site for hydropower development. Sarawak hydroelectric feasibility studies, Sarawak.
- Sahebjalal, E, Heidari, A. (2011). Generation of land cover map using geospatial tools: A case study from Ardebil, Iran. Int. Journal of the Physical science Vol.6(25), pp.6003-6008
- Sahu.S.C., Dhal.N.K.(2012). Floristic composition, diversity and status of the threatened medicinal plants in Tropical forests of Malyagiri Hill Ranges, Eastern Ghats, India, Tropical Forests, Dr. Padmini Sudarshana (Ed.), ISBN:978-953-51-0255-7, In Tech, http://www.intechopen.com.
- Saunders, D.A., R.J. Hobbs, C.R. Margules (1991). Biological Consequences of ecosystem fragmentation: a review. Conservation Biology 5(1), 18-32.
- Saunders, D.A., R.J. Hobbs, eds. (1991). Nature conservations: the role of corridors. Chipping Norton: Survey Beatty.

- Sawsan, M, Mireille.A.A,Joanna.E,(2005). Biodiversity manual: A Tool for Biodiversity Integration in EIA and SEA. Labanon
- Schippers.P. C. Martijn van der Heide, Koelewijn.H.P, Marleen A. H. Schouten.M.A.H, Sharma, P.D.(2003)cology and environment. 7th ed.,New Delhi: Rastogi Publication Sharma, Poonam 2004.Floristic dynamics and distribution pattern of woodyplants in Kinnaur. Nauni, Solan: COF. UHF. 881.
- Smith, P.G.R., J.B. Theberge, 1987, Evaluating natural areas using multiple criteria: theory and practice: Environmental Management11(4), pp. 447-460.
- Smulders, R.M.J.M., Cobben.M.M.P, Sterk.M, Vos.C.C, Verboom.J, (2015).
- Landscape diversity enhances the resilience of populations, ecosystems and local economy in rural areas. Landscape Ecol 30:193–202
- Scott, J.M., T.H., Tear, F.W. Davis, eds. (1996). Gap analysis. A landscape approach to biodiversity planning. Bethesda: American society for Photogrammetry and Remote sensing.
- Settle, J.J., Briggs, S.S., (1987). Fast maximum likelihood classification of remotely sensed imagery. International Journal of Remote sensing 8, 5: 723-734.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. The Bell System Technical Journal, 27, 379-423.
- Slik, J.W.F., Eichhorn, K.A.(2003). Fire Survival of lowland tropical rain forest trees in relation to stem diameter and topographic position.
- Small, C.J., Mc Cathy, B.C. (2005). Relationship of understory diversity to soil nitrogen, topographic variation and stang age in an eastern oak forest, USA. Forest Ecol. Manag.217 : 229-243.
- Soule, M.E., Wilcox, B.A. (1980). Conservation biology: an eological-evolutionary perspective. Sunderland, Ma: Sinauer
- Spellemberg, I.F. (1994). The biological content of environmental assessments. Biologist 41(3), pp. 126-128.
- Shannon, L.E., Wiener, W. (1963). The mathematical theory of communication University Illinois Press, Urbana, pp 360
- Shukla, R.P. (2009). Patterns of plant species diversity across Terai landscape in northeastern Uttar Pradesh, India. Tropical Ecology, 50, 111-123.
- Sidiyasa, K. (1987). Composition and structure of a 'tengkawang' (*Shorea stenoptera* Burck) forest at Sekadau, West Kalimantan. *For. Res. Bull.* 490: 13-23.
- Sidiyasa, K. (1995). Structure and composition of ulin (*Eusideroxylon zwageri* Teijsm. and Binn.) forest in West Kalimantan. *Wanatrop* 8(2): 1-11.

Simpson, E.H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. Nature, 163: 688

- Singh, J.S., Singh, S.P., Saxena, A.K., & Rawat, Y.S. (1985). The forest vegetation of Silent Valley, in India. P. 25-52. In: A.C. Chadwick and S.L. Sutton (eds.).
- Tropical Rain Forest: The Leeds Symposium. Leeds Philosophical and Literary Society, Leeds, U.K.
- Smith, P.G.R., J.B. Theberge, (1986), A review of criteria for evaluating natural area.Environmental Managemant 10(6), pp. 715-734
- Srivastana, A.K.(2002). Forest vegetation and tree regeneration in a species-rich su montane transect of central Himalaya. Ph.D. Thesis, Kumaun University. Nainital.
- Stork, N. (1999). The magnitude of global biodiversity and its decline, in Cracraft, J and Grifo, F (eds), The Living Planet in Crisis: Biodiversity Science and Policy, New York: Columbia University Press, 3-32
- Tallis, H.T., Ricketts, T., Guerry, A.D., Wood, S.A., Sharp, R., Nelson, E., Ennaanay, D., Wolny, S., Olwero, N., Vigerstol, K., Pennington, D., Mendoza, G., Aukema, J., Foster, J., Forrest, J., Cameron, D., Arkema, K., Lonsdorf, E., Kennedy, C., Verutes, G., Kim, C.K., Guannel, G., Papenfus, M., Toft, J., Marsik, M., Bernhardt, J.(2011). InVEST 2.2.0 User's Guide. The Natural Capital Project, Stanford.
- Thonoir, A.C. (2010). Assessing Species rarity. Conservation, Science. Arlington. USA
- Villalobos, F., Lira-Noriega, A., Soberón, J., Arita, H.T, (2013). Range-diversity plots for conservation assessments: Using richness and rarity in priority setting. Biological Conservation 158, 313–320
- Wallace, A.R. (1878). Tropical nature and other essays. Macmillan. London
- Wan Izatul, A.W., Talaat, Norhayati Mohd, T.M., Hazmi Mohd, R., Mohd Lokman,H.(2013). The laws and ppolicies for the sustainable management of biodiversity in Malaysia. Journal of Sustainability Science and Management Vol.8,2: 276-289
- Wan Talaat. W.IA., Tahir, N.M, Husain M.L (2012).Sustainable Management of Forest Biodiversity and the Present Malaysian Policy and Legal Framework. Journal of Sustainable Development Vol(5) 3, pp 76-83
- Watkinson, A.R., Freckleton, R.P., Robinson, R.A. and Sutherland, W.J. (2000). Predicting biodiversity responses to GM-herbicide-tolerant crops. Science 289, 1554-1556.

- Westhoff, V., E. van der Maarel.(1973). The BraunBlanquet approach. Pages 617–726 in R. H. Whittaker, editor. Handbook of vegetation science. Part V. Ordination and classification of communities. Springer, The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Whitmore, T. (1988). Forest types and forest zonation. In Earl of Cranbrook (Ed.), Malaysia. Oxford: Pergamon Press. Pp 20- 30.
- Whitmore, T. C. (1990). An Introduction to Tropical Rain Forests. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Wyatt-Smith, J. 1963. Manual of Malayan Silviculture for Inland Forests. MalayanForest Record No.23. Forest Research Institute, Kepong.
- Tang, J.W., Lu, X., Yin, J.X., Qi,J.F.(2011). Diversity, composition and physical structure of tropical forest over limestone in Xishuangbanna, South-west China. Journal of Tropical Forest Science 23(4): 425-43
- Thomas, V., Gellie, N., Harrison, T. (2000). Forest ecosystem classification and mapping for the Southern CRA Region. A project undertaken for the joint Commonwealth NSW Regional Forest Agreement Steering Committee as part of the NSW Comprehensive Regional Assessments. Sydney NSW: ISBNM 4029 100X.
- Thomson, L.J., Hoffmann, A.A.(2010). Natural enemy responses and pest control: importance of local vegetation. Biol Control 52(2):160–166
- Thompson,S.,Treweek,J.R.,Thurling.D.J.(1997). The ecological component of environmental impact assessment: a critical review of British environmental statements. Journal of environmental planning and Management 40(2),pp.157-171
- Treweek, J. (1996), Ecology and environmental impact assessment. Journal of Applied Ecology 33, 191-199.
- Treweek, J., Veitch, W.(1996). The potential of GIS and remotely sensed data to the ecological assessment of proposed new road schemes. Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters 5, 249-259.
- Tripathi, K.P., Singh, B. (2009). Species diversity and vegetation structure across various strata in natural and plantation forests in Katerniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary, North India. Tropical Ecology, 50, 191-200.
- Tynsong, H.(2009). Plant diversity and NTFP Management in forest of War area Meghalaya. Ph.D. Thesis. North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong-793022, India
- Tynsong, H., Tiwari, B.K.(2010). Diversity of plant species in arecanut agroforests of South Meghalaya, north-east India. Journal of Forest Research 21(3): 281-286

- Turner, M. G., Gardner, R. H., O'Neill, R. V. (2001). Landscape ecology in theory and practice : pattern and process. New York: Springer
- Uma Shanker (2001). A case of high tree diversity in Sal (Shorea robusta) dominant lowland forest of eastern Himalaya: Floristic composition, regeneration and conservation. Current Science 81: 776-786
- Van Der Ploeg, W.F., Wlijm, L.(1978). Ecological evaluation, natural conservation and land use planning with particular reference to methods used in the Netherlands. Biological Conservation 14, 197-221
- Vellend, M., Monica, A. G.(2005). Connections between species diversity and genetic diversity. Ecology Letters, 8: 767–781
- Vibhute, D.A., Nagn, D.A., Gawali W.B., Mehrotra, C.S.(2013). Comparative analysis of different supervised classification techniques for Spatial land, used / land cover pattern mapping using RS and GIS. IJSER, Vol.4.No.7. pp 1938-1946.World Conservation Monitoring Centre., 1992. Global biodiversity: Status of the Earths Living Resources. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Vieira, I.G.C., De Almeida. A., Davidson, E.A., Stone, T.A., De Ccarvalho, C.J.R., Guerrero, J.B. (2003). Classifying successional forests using Landsat spectral properties and ecological characteristics in eastern Amazonia. Remote Sensing of Environ., 87:470-481.
- Wan Izatul, A.W., Talaat, Norhayati Mohd, T.M., Hazmi Mohd ,R., Mohd Lokman, H. (2013). The laws and ppolicies for the sustainable management of biodiversity in Malaysia. Journal of Sustainability Science and Management Vol.8(2): 276-289
- Washington, H.G.(1984). Diversity, biotic and similarity indices. A review with special relevance aquatic ecosystems. Water Res., 18; 653-694
- Willis K.J, Jeffers. E.S. Tovar. C, Long P.R, Caithness N, Smit. M.G.D, Hagemann R, Collin-Hansen C. Weissenberger. J.(2012). Determining the ecological value of landscapes beyond protected area. Biological Conservation 147,3-2
- World Conservation Monitoring Centre (1992). Global biodiversity: Status of the Earths Living Resources. Chapman and Hall. London.
- Weng, Q. (2001). A remote sensing GIS evaluation of urban expansion and its impact on surface temeperature in the Zhujiang Delta, southern China. Int.J.Urban regional Stud., 22: 425-442.
- Wijesinghe, M. (2003). Components of biological diversity important for conservationfocus on endemic fauna. J.Natn.Sci.Foundation Sri Lanka31(1&2):291-296
- Wilcox, B. A. (1980). Insular ecology and conservation. Pages 95-118 in M. E. Soulé and B. A. Wilcox, eds. Conservation biology: an evolutionary-ecological perspective. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.

- Wilcox, B. A. and D. D. Murphy (1985). Conservation strategy: the effects of fragmentation on extinction. American Naturalist 125:879-887.
- Wilcove, D. S., C. H. McLellan, and A. P. Dobson (1986). Habitat fragmentation in the Temperate Zone. Pages 237-256 in M. E. Soulé, editor. Conservation biology: the science of scarcity and diversity. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Williams, K., Norman, P., Mengersen, K.(2000). Predicting the natural occurrence of blackbutt and Gympie messmate in Southeast Queensland. Australian Forestry 63, 199-210.
- Williams, P.B., Trush, W., McBain, Trush, McBain, S., Vick, J., Sari, A., Wilcox, A., Plaut, K. (1995). A review of the environment impact assessment of the Bakun Hydroelectric Project prepared for EkranBerhad. A review prepared for International River Network, June 1995.
- Willis, K.J., Jeffers E.S., Tovar C., Long P.R., Caithness, N., Smit, M.G.D., Hagemann, R. (2012). Determining the ecological value of landscapes beyond protected areas. Biological Conservation 147, 3-12.
- Wintle, B., Elith, J., Potts, J.(2005). Fauna habitat modelling and mapping, a review and case study in the Lower Hunter Central Coast Region of NSW, Austral Ecology 30, 719-738.
- Word Bank (2012). World Bank Indicators. Washington, DC, USA
- Word Commission on Dams (2000). Dams and Development. A new framework for decision-making. The report of World Commission on Dams. ISBN: 1-85383-798-9356. London and Sterling, VA
- Yung En Chee (2004). An ecological perspective on the valuation of ecosystem services. Biological Conservation 120, 549-565.
- Zobel, K., Zobel, M., and Peet, R.K. (2009). Change in pattern diversity during secondary succession in Estonia forests. Journal of vegetation science 4:489-498
- Zadeh, L.A.(1965). Fuzzy nets. Information and Control 8(3), 338-353.
- Zati Sharip., Salmah Zakaria. (2008). Lakes and Reservoir in Malaysia: Management and research challenges, Paper presented at the 12th World Lake Conference, 1349-1355.
- Zhu, A.X. (1997). Measuring uncertainty in class assignment for natural resource maps undy fuzzy logic. Photogramme.Eng. Remote Senseng,63(10):1195-1202