



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NECK MUSCLE ACTIVATION
AND HEAD-NECK RESPONSE IN LOW VELOCITY FRONTAL
COLLISION***

KAK D-WING

FK 2016 99



**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NECK MUSCLE ACTIVATION
AND HEAD-NECK RESPONSE IN LOW VELOCITY FRONTAL COLLISION**

**BY
KAK D-WING**

**Thesis Submitted to School of Graduate Studies,
Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

January 2016

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NECK MUSCLE ACTIVATION AND HEAD-NECK RESPONSE IN LOW VELOCITY FRONTAL COLLISION

By

KAK D-WING

January 2016

Chair: Wong Shaw Voon, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

Neck injury is not a rare occasion in car crash accident. Occurrence of neck injuries due to car crash accident has increased 11 times within 20 years in Netherlands. Road Safety Research Center (RSRC) of UPM reported that 60% of all neck injuries resulted to fatality of motorcyclist in road collision at Malaysia. High expenses are spent on medical, insurance and hospitality on neck injury related to car crash annually. Proper countermeasure has to be taken to mitigate the occurrence of neck injury in crash. Previous study has proven that neck active muscle is capable to generate force to reduce 30-35% of head rotations and head angular velocities in rear-end collision. Thus, it is critical to understand the behavior of neck muscle responses before a biofidelity head-neck mathematical model can be designed. Nevertheless, the behavior of neck active muscle response under static and dynamic loading is still yet to be established. This study aims to establish relationship between neck muscle response and head-neck response in low speed collision through experimental test. In this study, there are two main experimental test which are static neck muscle strength test and low velocity frontal collision test. Neck muscle *sternocleidomastoid* and *semispinalis capitis* which are primary neck flexor and extensor are selected to be studied in this study. In static neck strength test, the measured maximum neck muscle strength is 64.5 N and 96.7 N for flexion and extension. This study has established models to relate neck muscle EMG activity and neck muscle force for neck flexor and extensor muscles. This model can explain 82.9%-85.4% of the data variables with a negative quadratic relationship. The neck muscle force generated at the same activation level is different between static and dynamic loading condition. In low velocity collision test, the peak linear head accelerations are 4.61G and 7.35G relative to torso for impact speed of 2.17 m/s and 2.47 m/s respectively. An empirical model has established to relate neck muscle activation level based on head linear acceleration and angular acceleration where $R^2 = 0.934$. Neck extensor, *semispinalis capitis* are the dominant muscle with high level of activation level in low velocity frontal collision test. On the hand, neck flexor, *sternocleidomastoid* only activated at about 30-40% in the collision tests. The established empirical models can be applied to relate neck muscle activation level and muscle force in low velocity frontal collision. This can further enhance accuracy and precision of current head-neck simulation model in simulating kinematic response of human head in crash.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia Sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**HUBUNGAN ANTARA PENGAKTIFAN OTOT LEHER DAN TINDAK BALAS
KEPALA-LEHER DALAM PELANGGARAN DEPAN PADA HALAJU
RENDAH**

Oleh

KAK D-WING

Januari 2016

Pengerusi: Wong Shaw Voon, PhD
Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Kecederaan leher bukan perkara yang jarang dalam kemalangan jalan raya. Kecederaan leher disebabkan kemalangan jalan raya meningkat sebanyak 11 kali ganda dalam tempoh masa 20 tahun di Netherlands. Pusat Penyelidikan Keselamatan Jalan Raya UPM juga melaporkan bahawa 60% daripada kecederaan leher melibatkan kematian bagi penunggang motosikal yang terlibat dalam kemalangan jalan raya di Malaysia. Pembelanjaan tinggi diperlukan bagi perubatan, insurans dan servis hospital untuk masalah tersebut. Maka, langkah penambahbaik diperlukan untuk mengurangkan masalah tersebut. Kajian sebelum ini telah membuktikan bahawa otot leher berupaya untuk menghasilkan daya bagi mengurangkan putaran kepala sebanyak 30-35% dalam pelanggaran dari belakang. Usaha dalam memahami tingkah laku otot leher adalah kritikal sebelum matematik model kepala-leher yang *biofidelity* dapat diwujudkan. Namun begitu, model yang boleh menjelaskan aktiviti otot leher pada keadaan statik dan dinamik masih belum dibina. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mewujudkan satu model untuk menjelaskan hubungan antara tindak balas otot leher dan tindak balas pergerakan kepala-leher bagi kemalangan kelajuan rendah melalui eksperimen. Terdapat dua eksperimen utama dalam kajian ini, iaitu ujian kekuatan otot leher dan ujian pelanggaran depan kelajuan rendah. Otot leher *sternocleidomastoid* dan *semispinalis capitis* sebagai *flexor* dan *extensor* utama telah dipilih untuk dikaji. Melalui ujian tersebut, kekuatan otot leher adalah 64.5 N dan 96.7 N untuk *flexion* dan *extension*. Kajian ini telah menghasilkan model berkaitan hubungan antara aktiviti EMG dan kekuatan otot leher. Model ini dapat menjelaskan lebih daripada 80% pembolehubah. Kekuatan otot leher adalah berbeza antara keadaan statik dan dinamik. Dalam ujian pelanggaran halaju rendah, pecutan linear kepala relatif dengan badan adalah 4.61G dan 7.35G bagi kelajuan impak 2.17 m/s dan 2.47 m/s. Satu model telah dihasilkan untuk menganggarkan tahap pengaktifan otot leher berdasarkan pecutan linear dan putaran kepala. Sebagai *extensor* leher, *semispinalis capitis* diaktifkan dengan tahap yang tinggi dalam pelanggaran hadapan pada kelajuan rendah. Sebaliknya, otot *flexor*, *sternocleidomastoid* hanya diaktifkan pada tahap 30-40% sahaja. Semua empirikal model ini dapat digunakan untuk menganggarkan tahap pengaktifan otot dan daya yang dihasilkan pada pelanggaran kelajuan rendah. Ini adalah penting untuk meningkatkan ketepatan model simulasi kepala-leher.

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to Prof. Dr. Wong Shaw Voon who has provided valuable guidance and advice in my study. Without his help, this thesis cannot be completed with quality.

Also, the author would like to deliver his gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr Nawal b. Abdul Jalil and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Anita Abd Rahman who are willing to provide their knowledge in their related field to enable the design and analysis of experiment and tests of this study.

Last and not the least, a great appreciation would like to be given to Dr. Normala and Dr. Nizlan who have provided their medical assistance to ensure the safety of the human subjects who have participated the experiment of this study.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 27 January 2016 to conduct the final examination of Kak D-Wing on his thesis entitled " Relationship Between Neck Muscle Activation and Head-Neck Response in Low Velocity Frontal Collision" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Azmah Hanim bt. Mohamed Ariff, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Barkawi b. Sahari, PhD

Professor Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Zaini Ahmad, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
Malaysia
(External Examiner)

ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 24 March 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Wong Shaw Voon, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Nawal b. Abdul Jalil, PhD

Associate Professor, Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Anita Abd Rahman, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Kak D-Wing (GS23460)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: Profesor Dr. Wong Shaw Voon

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr Nawal b. Abdul Jalil

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Anita Abd Rahman

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	iv
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
CHAPTER	
1	
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Scope of study	3
1.4 Justification	3
1.5 Objectives	4
2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Human neck muscular skeletal system	5
2.2.1 Human head and neck anthropometry	6
2.2.2 Physical properties of cervical spine	7
2.2.3 Characteristics of neck muscles	9
2.2.4 Human neck muscle activation and electromyogram	11
2.3 Neck Injury Mechanism	12
2.3.1 Hyperextension	12
2.3.2 Sudden relative acceleration in head-neck flexion	12
2.3.3 Internal pressure difference in spinal canal	13
2.3.4 Hyperextension at lower cervical spine	14
2.3.5 Soft tissue injury at facet joint	15
2.4 Neck Injury Criterion	15
2.4.1 Neck Injury Criteria (NIC)	15
2.4.2 Intervertebral Neck Injury Criterion (IV-NIC)	15
2.4.3 Normalized neck injury criterion (Nij)	16
2.4.4 Normalized neck injury criterion (Nkm)	17
2.4.5 Low Neck Load Criterion (LNL)	17
2.5 Low velocity collision test	17
2.6 Summary	19
3	
METHODOLOGY	21
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 Study design	22

	3.2.1	Static neck strength test	25
	3.2.2	EMG assessment	28
	3.2.3	Low-velocity collision test	31
	3.3	Study population	34
	3.4	Analysis	35
	3.5	Model Development	36
	3.6	Ethical issue	37
	3.7	Limitation	38
	3.8	Discussion	38
4		RESULT AND DISCUSSION	40
	4.1	Introduction	40
	4.2	Body Size: Height and Weight	40
	4.3	Neck Anthropometry	41
	4.4	Correlation between Neck Anthropometry and Body Size	41
	4.5	Maximal Voluntary Isometric Neck Strength	42
	4.6	Relationship between Maximal Neck Strength and Neck Anthropometry	43
	4.7	Gender Differences in Maximal Neck Muscle Strength	44
	4.8	Neck Muscle Response in Static and Dynamic Loading	45
	4.8.1	Neck Muscle RMS EMG Response against Load Levels	45
	4.8.2	Neck Muscle Normalized EMG Response against Load Levels	48
	4.8.3	Discussion on RMS EMG and Normalized EMG	51
	4.8.4	Neck Muscle Normalized EMG Response against Normalized Load Levels	52
	4.8.5	The Relationship between EMG and Force	57
	4.8.6	Difference between Static and Dynamic Loading Conditions	58
	4.9	Low Speed Frontal Impact Test	60
	4.9.1	Impact Configuration	61
	4.9.2	Head Linear Movement Relative to Torso	64
	4.9.3	Head Rotational Movement at Transverse Axis	67
	4.9.4	Neck Muscle Response in Low Speed Frontal Collision	70
	4.9.5	Discussion on the Empirical Model and its limitation	73
	4.10	Summary	74
5		CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	77
	5.1	Conclusion	77
	5.2	Recommendations	77

REFERENCES	79
APPENDIX	86
BIODATA OF STUDENT	99



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Anatomic plane of human body	7
2	Head motion in flexion, extension, lateral flexion and rotation	8
3	The structure of cervical spine	8
4	Bending moment applied on cervical segment	9
5	Locations of neck muscles	10
6	The relationship between EMG and muscle tension during a voluntary contraction (Lippold, 1952)	11
7	The relationship between normalized EMG and muscle voluntary isometric contraction of elbow flexor (Vredenburg & Rau, 1973)	12
8	The head-neck movement in rear-end collision	13
9	The volume of spinal cord will change while neck flexion and extension	14
10	The formation S-shaped at cervical structure during rear-end collision	14
11	Methodology Flow chart	23
12	Conceptual frame work	24
13	The definition of neck length of human subjects	26
14	The experimental setup of neck static strength test	27
15	The position of sternocleidomastoid and placement of EMG electrodes	29
16	The position of semispinalis capitis and placement of EMG electrodes	29
17	The raw data of EMG signal of SECM muscle	30
18	The filtered and root mean squared (RMS) EMG signal	30
19	The low-speed collision sled used for the collision test	32
20	Schematic diagram of impacting sled	32
21	Head motion relative to torso based on moving reference frame placing on torso	33
22	The position of the butterfly marks on sled, head and torso	33
23	Quadratic relationship between normalized peak EMG and normalized load for static and dynamic loading in neck flexion	55
24	Relationship between normalized peak EMG and normalized load for static and dynamic loading in neck extension	56
25	Difference in muscle force percentage between static and dynamic loading against muscle activation level	56
26	The difference in muscle force between static and dynamic loading condition against neck muscle activation level in neck extension	57
27	A simplified free body diagram at human body joint	59
28	High speed camera image of a human subject at impact speed of 2.17 m/s	60
29	Overview event of sled impacting at stopper, where (a) before impact, (b) during impact and (c) after impact	61
30	Displacement profile of impacting sled at low and high impact speed	62
31	Velocity profile of impacting sled for low and high impact speed	63
32	Acceleration profile of sled for low and high impact speed	63

33	Displacement of head relative to torso at u-direction under low and high impact speed	64
34	Velocity profile of head relative to torso at u-direction under low and high impact speed	65
35	Sudden acceleration of head would lead to distribution of force at cervical spine and connecting soft tissue	66
36	Acceleration profile of human head relative to torso at u-direction under low and high impact speed	67
37	Angular displacement profile of head at low and high impact speed	69
38	Angular velocity profile of head rotation at low and high impact speed	69
39	Angular acceleration of head rotation at low and high impact speed	70
40	Neck muscle activation profiles of semispinalis capitis at low and high impact speed	71
41	Neck activation profile of sternocleidomastoid at low and high impact speed	72

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	The compilation of head mass from previous studies.	6
2	Function, origin and insertion of each neck muscle that responsible to move the head (Sommerich et al., 2000)	10
3	Mean height and weight of human subjects according to gender	41
4	Neck length and circumference of male and female subjects	41
5	Correlations among neck length, neck circumference, height, weight and BMI	42
6	Overall mean maximal isometric neck strength in flexion and extension	42
7	Mean maximum neck strength for male and female in flexion and extension	43
8	Correlations between maximal neck strength and neck anthropometry	44
9	Pearson correlation between neck muscle RMS EMG response (average and peak) and load levels under static and dynamic loading condition for flexion	46
10	Mean average and peak RMS EMG muscle activity in response to applied loads under static and dynamic loading conditions for neck flexion	46
11	Correlation relationship between RMS EMG response (average EMG and peak EMG) and load levels for neck extension under static and dynamic loading condition	47
12	Mean average and peak RMS EMG muscle activity in response to applied loads under static and dynamic loading conditions for neck extension	48
13	Pearson correlation between neck muscles normalized EMG response (average and peak) and load levels under static and dynamic loading condition for neck flexion	49
14	Mean average and peak normalized EMG muscle activity in response to applied loads under static and dynamic loading conditions for neck flexion	49
15	Pearson correlation between normalized EMG response (average and peak) and load levels for static and dynamic loading condition in neck extension	50
16	Mean average and peak normalized EMG response under static and dynamic loading	51
17	Correlation relationship between normalized EMG response and normalized load level under static and dynamic loading conditions for neck flexion (sternocleidomastoid) and neck extension (semispinalis capitis)	53
18	Mean comparison of neck muscle activation level of sternocleidomastoid and semispinalis capitis between static and dynamic loading conditions for flexion and extension respectively	53
19	Mean comparison of head kinematics parameters between low and high impact speed	67
20	Mean comparison between head angular movement using one-way	70

	ANOVA analysis	
21	Mean comparison of neck muscle activation level for extensor and flexor between low and high impact speed	72
22	Pearson correlation between neck muscle activation level and head-neck kinematics motion. (a- linear acceleration, d- linear displacement, v- linear velocity, α - angular acceleration, β -angular displacement, ω - angular velocity)	73
23	Multiple regression analysis between activation level of semispinalis capitis and head kinematic motion	76



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The injury thresholds of human neck structure under different impact directions and loading conditions are determined for the purpose to predict neck injury in various situation of car crash accident. The neck injury criterions such as NIC (neck injury criterion), N_{ij} (Normalized neck injury criterion for frontal impact), and IV-NIC (Intervertebral neck injury criterion) were developed according to head and neck kinematic and dynamic motion during impact. The maximum permissible kinematic parameters such as head acceleration, axial force, shear force, moments and displacement are thoroughly being studied and tested to predict neck injury risks accurately. In order to do so, crash test using human subject is essential to obtain the kinematic parameters in verifying human head neck biomechanical responses. Nevertheless, this method is only limited to allowable physiological range of motion of human head-neck segment to prevent any unwanted injury to the human subjects. Beyond this point, crash test human dummy and post mortem human subject (PMHS) is used to predict human head-neck biomechanical response at more extreme collision condition. Nonetheless, the effect of neck muscle activation on human head neck response cannot be assessed and studied when crash test was conducted using human dummy or PMHS.

The study of neck muscle strength is essential to understand the biomechanics and dynamics of head-neck segment in crash. In low-speed motor vehicle collision, neck muscle is capable to produce forces in resisting against impact force which results in reduction of head/neck kinematic motion in frontal, lateral and rear impact (Horst, 2002). The responsible neck muscle groups have activated within 13.2 ms after the initial rotation of head (Magnusson et al., 1999). Furthermore, neck muscle activation level found to be increased with the increasing impact acceleration in frontal and rear-end collision (Kumar, Narayan, & Amell, 2002, 2003a). Thus, neck active muscle can provide certain level of protection to head-neck segment in injury reduction and prevention.

Neck active muscle is crucial to generate forces for head-neck motion and to maintain head-neck posture. The active component of skeletal muscle is responsible to generate such forces. The magnitude of force is dependent on muscle length, muscle contraction velocity, joint angle and muscle activation level. Furthermore, the intensity of neck muscle force is associated with the numbers of motor units action potential (MUAPs) being recruited and the firing rate of MUAPs. Electromyography (EMG) is a method to measure and evaluate the electrical activity in skeletal muscle as the result of recruitment of MUAPs. The frequency and amplitude of the electric current is the main indicator to determine the activation level and pattern of neck active muscle.

Maximum isometric neck muscle strength of a human being can be measured through exerting maximal effort on external loading applied to the head while sitting at upright

neutral posture. It has been reported that neck muscle strength of male is significantly greater than female in both flexion and extension direction which indicated that physical properties of neck structure are difference between genders (Chiu, Lam, & Hedley, 2002; Jordan, Mehlsen, Bülow, Østergaard, & Danneskiold-Samsøe, 1999; Kumar, Narayan, & Amell, 2001a; Suryanarayana & Kumar, 2005; Valkeinen, Ylinen, Mälkiä, Alen, & Häkkinen, 2002; Vasavada, Li, & Delp, 2001).

1.2 Problem statement

Neck injury is not a rare occasion in car crash accident. Half of the car to car accident may result to neck injuries according to a study in Japan (Ono, Kaneoka, Wittek, & Kajzer, 1997). In addition, occurrence of neck injuries due to car crash accident has increased 11 times within 20 years in Netherlands (Stovner, 1996). Road Safety Research Center (RSRC) of UPM reported that 60% of all neck injuries resulted to fatality of motorcyclist in road collision at Malaysia (Yen et al., 1999). As a prevalence issue, high expenses are spent on medical, insurance and hospitality on neck injury related to car crash annually. Whiplash injury or soft tissue cervical injury is being reported frequently in car accident which is due to inertial effect by relative motion between head and thorax. In Europe, low velocity car crash, ranging from 10 to 15 km/h, leads to 65% of whiplash injury which requires insurance companies to pay \$10-20 billion every year (Castro et al., 1996). As high as \$2.7 billion has been spent for AIS 1 (Abbreviated Injury Scale) yearly in USA (Kleinberger, 1993). In Sweden, the Swedish Society of Medicine announced that the total cost of whiplash injury is approximately 500 million euros (Commission, 2011). Thus, proper countermeasure has to be taken to mitigate the occurrence of neck injury in vehicle crash to reduce any adverse impact to the society in term of monetary and human life.

Previous study has proven that the activation of neck muscles can produce force which can significantly reduce head neck motion in low velocity car crash accident. In rear-end collision, under the acceleration level of 0.7g, neck active muscle is capable to generate force to reduce 30-35% of head rotations and head angular velocities (Kingma et al., 2002). Thus, in order to study the neck injury mechanism in low speed collision, the contribution of neck active muscle to overall head-neck kinematic motion has to be taken into consideration.

Changing in posture and position of head-neck segment may result in differences in force generation behavior since force output of neck muscle is depend on length of muscle and angle of joint. Previous study have found that isometric maximal neck strength is strongly correlated to rotation angles of head in flexion and extensions (Jordan et al., 1999; Suryanarayana & Kumar, 2005). It was found that neck muscle produced maximum level of force when the head-neck segment was staying at upright neutral posture. On the other hand, neck muscle force decreased when the rotation angles of head has increased. These findings suggested that the capability of neck active muscle in exerting force output may be different when head-neck segment was loaded under static and dynamic conditions. Nevertheless, the behavior of neck active muscle response under static and dynamic loading is still yet to be established.

Since neck muscle can be influential to the kinematic response of head-neck segment in low speed collision, it is critical to understand the behavior of neck muscle EMG responses before a biofidelity head-neck mathematical model can be designed. Thus, establishment of relationship between neck muscle response and head-neck response is vital to accurately predict potential neck injury in crash.

1.3 Scope of study

This study aims to determine the relationship between neck muscle activation and human head-neck kinematic response. To establish, the behavior of neck active muscle activity under three different conditions is studied. These conditions are as follows:

- a) Static loading
Head-neck segment resisted against external force without making displacement from upright neutral position.
- b) Dynamic loading
Head-neck segment displaced from upright neutral position to maximal range of motion while lifting an external load.
- c) Low-speed frontal collision
Head-neck segment displaced from upright neutral position when subjected to low-speed frontal collision.

This study aims to determine the association of human neck muscle response between force exertion directions (for extension and flexion) and loading levels under the abovementioned loading conditions. In addition, this study also intends to determine the maximal neck muscle strength of human subjects.

1.4 Justification

Using PMHS in laboratory crash test is great to understand head-neck kinematic response in crash especially at higher velocity crash test. Nevertheless, neck active response cannot be studied in PMHS crash test. Thus, human neck muscle activation properties can only be studied through conducting low speed crash test involving human subjects.

This study aims to determine the neck muscle activation behavior in generating muscle force to resist against external forces applied to head-neck segment during low speed collision in frontal impact. It could be beneficial in providing information related to further enhance the current mathematical head-neck segment model.

To achieve this mission, human subjects are subjected to different loading conditions which are static loading, dynamic loading and low velocity crash test to determine the neck muscle activity and head neck kinematic motion in each of these loading conditions.

1.5 Objectives

The general objectives of the study are to determine neck muscle activation level and total neck muscle force produced under static loading, dynamic loading and low velocity collision. The specific objectives of the study are as followed:

- a) To determine the capacity of neck muscle force under static and dynamic loading condition
- b) To develop empirical models to relate generation of neck muscle force with neck muscle activation level as predictor in head flexion and extension.
- c) To develop empirical model to relate neck muscle activation level with head displacement, velocity and acceleration in low velocity frontal collision.

REFERENCES

- Aho, A., Vartiainen, O., & Salo, O. (1954). *Segmentary antero-posterior mobility of the cervical spine*. Paper presented at the *Annales medicinae internae Fenniae*.
- Aldman, B. (1986). *An analytical approach to the impact biomechanics of head and neck injury*. Paper presented at the Proceedings: American Association for Automotive Medicine Annual Conference.
- Basmajian, J. V., & De Luca, C. (1985). Muscles alive. *Proceedings of The Royal Society of Medicine*, 278, 126.
- Becker, E. B. (1973). *Measurement of mass distribution parameters of anatomical segments*. Retrieved from
- Beeman, S. M., Kemper, A. R., Madigan, M. L., & Duma, S. M. (2011). Effects of bracing on human kinematics in low-speed frontal sled tests. *Annals of biomedical engineering*, 39(12), 2998-3010.
- Beeman, S. M., Kemper, A. R., Madigan, M. L., Franck, C. T., & Loftus, S. C. (2012). Occupant kinematics in low-speed frontal sled tests: Human volunteers, Hybrid III ATD, and PMHS. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 47, 128-139.
- Beier, G., Schuller, E., Schuck, M., Ewing, C., Becker, E., & Thomas, D. (1980). *Center of gravity and moments of inertia of human heads*. Retrieved from
- Bilodeau, M., Schindler-Ivens, S., Williams, D., Chandran, R., & Sharma, S. (2003). EMG frequency content changes with increasing force and during fatigue in the quadriceps femoris muscle of men and women. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 13(1), 83-92.
- Boström, O., Svensson, M. Y., Aldman, B., Hansson, H.-A., Håland, Y., Lövsund, P., . . . Örtengren, T. (1996). *A new neck injury criterion candidate-based on injury findings in the cervical spinal ganglia after experimental neck extension trauma*. Paper presented at the PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1996 INTERNATIONAL IRCOBI CONFERENCE ON THE BIOMECHANICS OF IMPACT, SEPTEMBER 11-13, DUBLIN, IRELAND.
- Burnett, A., Drouet, N., Netto, K., & Morris, I. (2007). CAN ESTIMATES OF NECK MUSCLE PHYSIOLOGICAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA (PCSA) BE MADE FROM EXTERNAL ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS? *Journal of biomechanics*, 40, S314.
- Castro, W., Schilgen, M., Meyer, S., Weber, M., Peuker, C., & Wörtler, K. (1996). Do "whiplash injuries" occur in low-speed rear impacts? *European spine journal: official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society*, 6(6), 366-375.

- Chiu, T. T., Lam, T.-H., & Hedley, A. J. (2002). Maximal isometric muscle strength of the cervical spine in healthy volunteers. *Clinical rehabilitation*, 16(7), 772-779.
- Clauser, C. E., McConville, J. T., & Young, J. W. (1969). *Weight, volume, and center of mass of segments of the human body*. Retrieved from
- Commission, W. (2011). The Whiplash Commission Final Report. 2005: ISBN 91-975655-4-7. Available at: http://www.whiplashkommissionen.se/pdf/WK_finalreport.pdf. Accessed July 7.
- Conley, M. S., Stone, M. H., Nimmons, M., & Dudley, G. A. (1997). Specificity of resistance training responses in neck muscle size and strength. *European journal of applied physiology and occupational physiology*, 75(5), 443-448.
- Cram, J., Kasman, G., & Holtz, J. Introduction to surface electromyography. 1998. *Gaithersburg, Marland: Aspen publishers Inc.*
- De Luca, C. J. (1997). The use of surface electromyography in biomechanics. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 13, 135-163.
- DEFINITIONS, B. A. (2000). Functional and clinical significance of skeletal muscle architecture. *Muscle & nerve*, 23, 1647-1666.
- Dempster, W. T., & Gaughran, G. R. (1967). Properties of body segments based on size and weight. *American journal of anatomy*, 120(1), 33-54.
- Eichberger, A., Darok, M., Steffan, H., Leinzinger, P. E., Boström, O., & Svensson, M. Y. (2000). Pressure measurements in the spinal canal of post-mortem human subjects during rear-end impact and correlation of results to the neck injury criterion. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 32(2), 251-260.
- Ejima, S., Zama, Y., Ono, K., Kaneoka, K., Shiina, I., & Asada, H. (2009). *Prediction of pre-impact occupant kinematic behavior based on the muscle activity during frontal collision*. Paper presented at the 21st ESV Conference.
- Eppinger, R., Sun, E., Bandak, F., Haffner, M., Khaewpong, N., Maltese, M., . . . Tannous, R. (1999). Development of improved injury criteria for the assessment of advanced automotive restraint systems-II. *National Highway Traffic Safety Administration*, 1-70.
- Gerdle, B., HENRIKSSON - LARSÉN, K., Lorentzon, R., & WRETLING, M. L. (1991). Dependence of the mean power frequency of the electromyogram on muscle force and fibre type. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica*, 142(4), 457-465.
- Grauer, J. N., Panjabi, M. M., Cholewicki, J., Nibu, K., & Dvorak, J. (1997). Whiplash Produces an S - Shaped Curvature of the Neck With Hyperextension at Lower Levels. *Spine*, 22(21), 2489-2494.

- Hedenstierna, S., Halldin, P., & Siegmund, G. P. (2009). Neck muscle load distribution in lateral, frontal, and rear-end impacts: a three-dimensional finite element analysis. *Spine*, 34(24), 2626-2633.
- Heitplatz, F., Sferco, R., Fay, P., Reim, J., Kim, A., & Prasad, P. (2003). *An evaluation of existing and proposed injury criteria with various dummies to determine their ability to predict the levels of soft tissue neck injury seen in real world accidents*. Paper presented at the Proc. 18th ESV Conf.
- Hodgson, V. R., Brinn, J., Thomas, L., & Greenberg, S. (1970). *Fracture behavior of the skull frontal bone against cylindrical surfaces* (0148-7191). Retrieved from
- Horst, v. d. M. (2002). *Human head neck response in frontal, lateral and rear end impact loading: modelling and validation*. Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.
- Hosking, J., Bhat, U., Dubowitz, V., & Edwards, R. (1976). Measurements of muscle strength and performance in children with normal and diseased muscle. *Archives of disease in childhood*, 51(12), 957-963.
- Huang, T., Shams, T., Rangarajan, N., Haffner, M., & Eppinger, R. (2003). *Development of an advanced 50th percentile male head/neck system for application to crash test dummies*. Paper presented at the 18th international technical conference on the enhanced safety of vehicles, SAE.
- Ivancic, P. C., Panjabi, M. M., Tominaga, Y., & Malcolmson, G. F. (2006). Predicting multiplanar cervical spine injury due to head-turned rear impacts using IV-NIC. *Traffic injury prevention*, 7(3), 264-275.
- Joines, S. M., Sommerich, C. M., Mirka, G. A., Wilson, J. R., & Moon, S. D. (2006). Low-level exertions of the neck musculature: a study of research methods. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 16(5), 485-497.
- Jordan, A., Mehlsen, J., Bülow, P. M., Østergaard, K., & Danneskiold-Samsøe, B. (1999). Maximal isometric strength of the cervical musculature in 100 healthy volunteers. *Spine*, 24(13), 1343.
- Kaneoka, K., Ono, K., Inami, S., & Hayashi, K. (1999). Motion analysis of cervical vertebrae during whiplash loading. *Spine*, 24(8), 763-769.
- Kingma, H., Patijn, J., Jong, I. d., Gosens, H., Stevens, J., & Dekker, A. (2002). Impact of muscle contraction upon head stabilisation during sudden forward acceleration. *Report, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands*.
- Kleinberger, M. (1993). *Application of finite element techniques to the study of cervical spine mechanics*. Retrieved from
- Krafft, M., Kullgren, A., Ydenius, A., & Tingvall, C. (2002). Influence of Crash Pulse Characteristics on Whiplash Associated Disorders in Rear Impacts--Crash Recording in Real Life Crashes. *Traffic injury prevention*, 3(2), 141-149.

- Kullgren, A. (1999). Crash-pulse recorders in real-life accidents: influence of change of velocity and mean and peak acceleration on injury risk in frontal impacts. *Traffic injury prevention*, 1(2), 113-120.
- Kullgren, A., Krafft, M., Nygren, Å., & Tingvall, C. (2000). Neck injuries in frontal impacts: influence of crash pulse characteristics on injury risk. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 32(2), 197-205.
- Kumar, S., Narayan, Y., & Amell, T. (2000). Role of awareness in head-neck acceleration in low velocity rear-end impacts. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 32(2), 233-241.
- Kumar, S., Narayan, Y., & Amell, T. (2001a). Cervical strength of young adults in sagittal, coronal, and intermediate planes. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 16(5), 380-388.
- Kumar, S., Narayan, Y., & Amell, T. (2001b). Spectral profile of superficial cervical muscles. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 11(4), 269-280.
- Kumar, S., Narayan, Y., & Amell, T. (2002). An electromyographic study of low-velocity rear-end impacts. *Spine*, 27(10), 1044-1055.
- Kumar, S., Narayan, Y., & Amell, T. (2003a). Analysis of low velocity frontal impacts. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 18(8), 694-703.
- Kumar, S., Narayan, Y., & Amell, T. (2003b). EMG power spectra of cervical muscles in lateral flexion and comparison with sagittal and oblique plane activities. *European journal of applied physiology*, 89(3-4), 367-376.
- Lim, T., Ding, L., Zaki, M., Suleiman, A., Fatimah, S., Siti, S., . . . Maimunah, A. (2000). Distribution of body weight, height and body mass index in a national sample of Malaysian adults. *Medical Journal of Malaysia*, 55(1), 108-128.
- Lind, B., Sihlbom, H., Nordwall, A., & Malchau, H. (1989). Normal range of motion of the cervical spine. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, 70(9), 692-695.
- Lippold, O. (1952). The relation between integrated action potentials in a human muscle and its isometric tension. *The Journal of physiology*, 117(4), 492-499.
- Lysell, E. (1969). Motion in the cervical spine: an experimental study on autopsy specimens. *Acta Orthopaedica*, 40(S123), 1-61.
- MacNab, I. (1965). *Whiplash injuries of the neck*. Paper presented at the Proceedings: American Association for Automotive Medicine Annual Conference.
- Macnab, I. (1971). The "whiplash syndrome". *The Orthopedic clinics of North America*, 2(2), 389.

- Magnusson, M., Pope, M., Hasselquist, L., Bolte, K., Ross, M., Goel, V., . . . Wilder, D. (1999). Cervical electromyographic activity during low-speed rear impact. *European spine journal*, 8(2), 118-125.
- McConnell, W. E., Howard, R. P., Van Poppel, J., Krause, R., Guzman, H. M., Bomar, J. B., . . . Hatsell, C. P. (1995). *Human head and neck kinematics after low velocity rear-end impacts-understanding "whiplash"*. Retrieved from
- Milner - Brown, H., & Stein, R. (1975). The relation between the surface electromyogram and muscular force. *The Journal of physiology*, 246(3), 549-569.
- Moroney, S. P., Schultz, A. B., & Miller, J. A. (1988). Analysis and measurement of neck loads. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 6(5), 713-720.
- Munoz, D., Mansilla, A., Lopez-Valdes, F., & Martin, R. (2005). *A study of current neck injury criteria used for whiplash analysis proposal of a new criterion involving upper and lower neck load cells*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 19th Experimental Safety Vehicles Conference.
- Muser, M. H., Walz, F. H., & Zellmer, H. (2000). *Biomechanical significance of the rebound phase in low speed rear end impacts*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the International Research Council on the Biomechanics of Injury conference.
- Nightingale, R. W., Chancey, V. C., Ottaviano, D., Luck, J. F., Tran, L., Prange, M., & Myers, B. S. (2007). Flexion and extension structural properties and strengths for male cervical spine segments. *Journal of biomechanics*, 40(3), 535-542.
- Onat, A., Hergenç, G., Yüksel, H., Can, G., Ayhan, E., Kaya, Z., & Dursunoğlu, D. (2009). Neck circumference as a measure of central obesity: associations with metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome beyond waist circumference. *Clinical nutrition*, 28(1), 46-51.
- Ono, K., Kaneoka, K., Wittek, A., & Kajzer, J. (1997). *Cervical injury mechanism based on the analysis of human cervical vertebral motion and head-neck-torso kinematics during low speed rear impacts* (0148-7191). Retrieved from
- Panjabi, M., Wang, J., & Delson, N. (1999). *Neck injury criterion based on intervertebral motions and its evaluation using an instrumented neck dummy*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the International Research Council on the Biomechanics of Injury conference.
- Panjabi, M. M., Cholewicki, J., Nibu, K., Grauer, J. N., Babat, L. B., & Dvorak, J. (1998). Mechanism of whiplash injury. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 13(4), 239-249.
- Rezasoltani, A., Nasiri, R., Faizei, A., Zaafari, G., Mirshahvelayati, A., & Bakhshidarabad, L. (2013). The variation of the strength of neck extensor muscles and semispinalis capitis muscle size with head and neck position. *Journal of bodywork and movement therapies*, 17(2), 200-203.

- Siegmund, G., Mang, D., & Blouin, J. (2008). *Sensory pathways mediating the neck muscle response in rear-end impacts*. Paper presented at the INJURY BIOMECHANICS RESEARCH Proceedings of the Thirty-Sixth International Workshop on Human Subjects for Biomechanical Research, NHTSA USDOT.
- Siegmund, G. P., Sanderson, D. J., Myers, B. S., & Inglis, J. T. (2003). Rapid neck muscle adaptation alters the head kinematics of aware and unaware subjects undergoing multiple whiplash-like perturbations. *Journal of biomechanics*, 36(4), 473-482.
- Sommerich, C. M., Joines, S. M., Hermans, V., & Moon, S. D. (2000). Use of surface electromyography to estimate neck muscle activity. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 10(6), 377-398. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S105064110000033X>
- Stoll, T., Huber, E., Seifert, B., Michel, B., & Stucki, G. (2000). Maximal isometric muscle strength: normative values and gender-specific relation to age. *Clinical rheumatology*, 19(2), 105-113.
- Stovner, L. J. (1996). The nosologic status of the whiplash syndrome: a critical review based on a methodological approach. *Spine*, 21(23), 2735-2746.
- Strimpakos, N., Sakellari, V., Gioftos, G., & Oldham, J. (2004). Intratester and intertester reliability of neck isometric dynamometry. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, 85(8), 1309-1316.
- Suryanarayana, L., & Kumar, S. (2005). Quantification of isometric cervical strength at different ranges of flexion and extension. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 20(2), 138-144.
- Svensson, M. Y., Aldman, B., Hansson, H.-A., Lövsund, P., Seeman, T., Suneson, A., & Örtengren, T. (1993). *Pressure effects in the spinal canal during whiplash extension motion-a possible cause of injury to the cervical spinal ganglia*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the International Research Committee on the Biomechanics of Impacts (IRCOBI) conference, Eindhoven, Netherlands.
- Svensson, M. Y., & Lövsund, P. (1992). *A dummy for rear-end collisions: development and validation of a new dummy neck*. Paper presented at the PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1992 INTERNATIONAL IRCOBI CONFERENCE ON THE BIOMECHANICS OF IMPACTS.
- Ten Have, H., & Eulderink, F. (1980). Degenerative changes in the cervical spine and their relationship to its mobility. *The Journal of pathology*, 132(2), 133-159.
- Thunnissen, J., Wismans, J., Ewing, C., & Thomas, D. (1995). *Human volunteer head-neck response in frontal flexion: a new analysis*. Retrieved from
- Valkeinen, H., Ylinen, J., Mälkiä, E., Alen, M., & Häkkinen, K. (2002). Maximal force, force/time and activation/coactivation characteristics of the neck muscles in

- extension and flexion in healthy men and women at different ages. *European journal of applied physiology*, 88(3), 247-254.
- van der Horst, M. J., Thunnissen, J., Happee, R., Van Haaster, R., & Wismans, J. (1997). *The influence of muscle activity on head-neck response during impact*. Retrieved from
- Vasavada, A. N., Danaraj, J., & Siegmund, G. P. (2008). Head and neck anthropometry, vertebral geometry and neck strength in height-matched men and women. *Journal of biomechanics*, 41(1), 114-121.
- Vasavada, A. N., Li, S., & Delp, S. L. (1998). Influence of Muscle Morphometry and Moment Arms on the Moment - Generating Capacity of Human Neck Muscles. *Spine*, 23(4), 412-422.
- Vasavada, A. N., Li, S., & Delp, S. L. (2001). Three-dimensional isometric strength of neck muscles in humans. *Spine*, 26(17), 1904-1909.
- Vredenburg, J., & Rau, G. (1973). Surface electromyography in relation to force, muscle length and endurance.
- Walker Jr, L. B., Harris, E. H., & Pontius, U. R. (1973). *Mass, volume, center of mass and mass moment of inertia of head and head and neck of the human body*. Retrieved from
- West, D., Gough, J., & Harper, G. (1993). Low speed rear-end collision testing using human subjects. *Accident Reconstruction Journal*, 5, 22-26.
- Yaguchi, M., Ono, K., Masuda, M., Watamori, T., Seshita, T., & Hibino, T. (2009). *Comparison of dynamic responses of the THOR-NT and hybrid III in offset frontal crash test*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 21st International ESV Conference, 09.
- Yang, K. H., Begeman, P. C., Muser, M., Niederer, P., & Walz, F. (1997). *On the role of cervical facet joints in rear end impact neck injury mechanisms*. Retrieved from
- Yen, P. T., Suhardi, R., Aziz, A. A., Singh, H., Wahid, S. A., & Mansor, A. (1999). Fatal injuries in Malaysian motorcyclists. *International Medical Research Journal*, 3(2), 115-119.
- Yoganandan, N., Pintar, F. A., Zhang, J., & Baisden, J. L. (2009). Physical properties of the human head: mass, center of gravity and moment of inertia. *Journal of biomechanics*, 42(9), 1177-1192.
- Yu, B., Gabriel, D., Noble, L., & An, K.-N. (1999). Estimate of the optimum cutoff frequency for the Butterworth low-pass digital filter. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 15, 318-329.