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The study provides analysis of the regime’s social welfare performance of Governor Gaidam in achieving democratic consolidation in Yobe state regarded as one of the most underdeveloped states in Nigeria. Since the emergence of democracy in the state, the healthcare situations such as infant mortality, children under-five mortality and maternal mortality rates were all higher than the national average. In the education sector, the situation remained worrisome as student’s enrollment; completion rate at both primary and secondary schools in the state was low. Similarly, infrastructural development such as road access linking towns and villages were either absent or in deplorable conditions. Consequently, due to such extreme situations, the state was generally considered impoverished and underdeveloped which continue to pose negative consequences on its democratic consolidation. When Governor Gaidam came into power in 2009, the regime declared to address these social welfare challenges through the democratic process. The objectives of this study, therefore, explored the regime’s development objectives in social welfare aspects and assessed the impact the regime’s welfare performance on democratic consolidation. Through qualitative research design, the study specifically involved key democratic stakeholders including members of the ruling party, opposition parties as well as civil society groups in Yobe state. Thus, data collection technique involves semi-structured open-ended interviews purposively with key informants and document analysis through the qualitative lenses. Observational data were also converted to field notes for data analysis. The raw data were analysed using transcription and sorting to develop trends, patterns, and themes emerging from expressed view of informants. In essence, this study utilised both primary and secondary sources of data that produced a balanced result. The finding suggests a double-sided phenomenon: on one hand the euphoria of change and improvement; on the other hand; critical view of the regime’s social welfare performance deficits in the provision of quality and accessible health care, qualitative and functional education as well as the regime’s efforts in road construction and reconstruction across Yobe state. The study further identified reasons for the regime’s
success and failures in delivering healthcare, education and road infrastructure in achieving democratic consolidation. As the finding reveals, in spite of the major challenges the regime faced, a nascent democratic government like in Yobe state must perform optimally to respond to citizen’s social welfare demands in order to achieve democratic consolidation. Democracy in this sense is thus propagated not only as a goal in itself but is considered as an instrument that brings about social welfare achievements to the fore in democratic consolidation process through better institutions and structures of democratic governance.
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diakeses, pendidikan berkualiti serta berfungsi dan usaha rejim dalam pembinaan jalan raya dan pembinaan semula di seluruh Negeri Yobe. Kajian ini juga telah mengenal pasti punca-punca kejayaan rejim dan kegagalan dalam menyediakan penjagaan kesihatan, pendidikan dan infrastruktur jalan ke arah mencapai penyatuan demokratik. Dapatan kajian mendedahkan walaupun terdapat cabaran besar yang dihadapi oleh rejim, kerajaan demokratik yang masih baru di Negeri Yobe mesti melaksanakan secara optimum sebagai maklum balas terhadap permintaan warganegara demi mencapai penyatuan demokrasi. Demokrasi dalam pengertian ini bukan sahaja disebarikan sebagai matlamat, tetapi dianggap sebagai instrumen yang membawa kepada kejayaan kebajikan sosial terhadap proses penyatuan demokrasi melalui institusi dan struktur tadbir urus demokrasi yang lebih baik.
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<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHCs</td>
<td>Primary Health Centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHCMB</td>
<td>Primary Health Care Management Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHCUOR</td>
<td>Primary Health Care Under One Roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Public Private Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRINN</td>
<td>Partnership for Reviving Routine Immunisation in Northern Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAWG</td>
<td>Research and Analysis Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHoA</td>
<td>State House of Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Sub Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSCE</td>
<td>Senior Secondary Certificate Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBEB</td>
<td>State Universal Basic Education Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>Teachers Salary Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U5MR</td>
<td>Under5 Mortality Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE</td>
<td>Universal Basic Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMTH</td>
<td>University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>United Nations Children’s Education Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>United State Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4H</td>
<td>Women 4 Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAEC</td>
<td>West African Examination Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDI</td>
<td>World Development Indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR</td>
<td>World Bank Development Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMHCP</td>
<td>Ward Minimum Health Care Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBAGAR</td>
<td>Yobe State Auditor General’s Annual Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
YBSG  Yobe State Government
YOSERA  Yobe Socio-Economic Reform Agenda
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter provides the background of the study, problem statement, research questions, and objectives which the study targets to achieve. Similarly, it covers the scope and limitation of the study, significance, and contribution of the study, conceptual and operational definitions as well as organisation of the study.

1.1 Background of the Study

Many scholars advance that the third wave of democratisation as espoused by Huntington (1991) flowed through the Latin American and African countries from the 1980s to the 1990s (Bratton & Van de Walle, 1997; Diamond, 1999). Some scholars also attributed this sporadic political revolution from autocratic to democratic regimes essentially as a reflection of the changes in the international political scene especially with the collapse of the former Union of Socialist Soviet Republic (Bratton & Van de Walle, 1997; Huntington, 1991; Oo, 2010). In fact, some scholars went further to argue that it is as a result of this “third wave” (Huntington, 1991; Schedler, 1998, 2001; Oo, 2010) that paved way for democracy and its principles to assume a dominant global position in the current political systems of many countries particularly Africa, Caribbean and the Pacific.

In view of the emergence of democracy as a political system of governance in most developing nations, there have been unanimous endorsements of the idea especially by Western scholars as an effective political system for advancement of good governance, political stability, economic growth and development (Bratton & Van de Walle, 1997; Diamond, 1999; Huntington, 1991; Linz & Stepan, 1996; Przeworski, Alvarez, Cheibub & Limongi 2000). Based on this common notion, democracy is thus propagated not only as a goal in itself but considered as an instrument that brings about socio-economic transformation to be achieved through better institutions and structures of democratic governance (Przeworski et al, 2000; Rudebeck, 2016; Zakaria, 2013). With the establishment of new democracies particularly across the African continent, the first challenge for most political regimes was therefore on how to consolidate this nascent democracy. To relate to this Venter (2012) suggest that democratic government in developing nations should provide basic needs of the people thereby preparing them to tackle the challenges of building their nations.

When Nigeria got her independence in 1960, it practiced a parliamentary system of government until its first military coup that interrupted the democratic rule in 1966 (Iheanacho, 2013). From 1966 to 1979, Nigeria was therefore under military rule until it returned to democratic rule with a presidential system of government. Also, just four years after the return to democracy, a yet another military rule cut short the civilian regime in 1983 (Iheanacho, 2013). In fact, by May 29, 1999, when Nigeria returned
to democratic rule with another presidential system government the country had been through successful, as well as unsuccessful coups, which had installed 7 military regimes (Abbas, 2013). Hence, from 1999-2015, the democratic rule, which Nigerians have for long aspired for, can be said to have, at last arrived and consolidated (Abbas, 2013) with 16 years of uninterrupted democratic governance.

Interestingly, in most developing nations and democracies like Nigeria the process of democratic reforms often come with expectations of social welfare improvements (Carbone, 2012; Grassi, 2014; Ojakorotu & Allen, 2009). In fact, in most African nations with poor political, social and economic environments when democracy emerged in 1990’s, most citizens expected democracy will deliver social welfare dividends (Carbone, 2012). It is therefore not surprising that when democracy returns in Nigeria in 1999, over the years there were high hopes and anticipation from the citizens (Oluwole, 2014). The expectation was that democratic regimes will provide good governance that may lead to socio-economic and political development and improvements in the standard of living of the citizens (Gilbert & Allen, 2014; Iheanacho, 2013; Jega, 2007; Ogali, 2014; Omotola, 2009) in achieving democratic consolidation in Nigeria.

The euphoria for social welfare improvements since the return of democratic rule in Nigeria like most developing nations is not totally surprising. Supporters of social welfare provision were of the view that a democratic regime that provides such social welfare services indicates level of political commitment (Mwenzwa & Waweru, 2016). In fact, such commitment through regime performance ensures that citizens have a means to livelihood and may therefore reduce the risk of citizens’ rebellion against the regime or the democratic system itself. Based on this thinking, regime’s social welfare initiatives could be regarded as vital in the maintenance of peace, unity and tranquility in a nation as citizens enjoys better living standards (Mwenzwa & Waweru, 2016). However, some key studies by Diamond (1999), Gilbert and Allen (2014), Ogali (2014) and Ojakorotu and Allen (2009) cautioned that democratic consolidation can only be achieve if there exist good governance which entails its various dimensions such as the capacity and the political commitment of the state apparatus to provide public goods through transparency and accountability.

However, while democratic regimes had never lasted so long in Nigeria (1999-pesent), this does not suggest democracy in the country is entirely successful as the country is still generally facing social, economic and political challenges. This is in view of the fact that there exists pervasive rate of poverty, unemployment, illiteracy, diseases, etc (NBS, 2010; NPC & ICF Macro, 2008; UNDP, 2015). This is evident in the nation’s poor socio-economic services characterised by dilapidated or non-existent infrastructures. In fact, Nigeria’s most recent official survey showed that 69% of its population (112,518,507 people) lives in extreme poverty (NBS, 2010). The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) Human Development Index of the 2015 report also downgraded Nigeria to 152th out of 188 countries thus placed in lowest levels of its human development indicators such as education, health, youth employment and poverty reduction.
These and many more contradictions identified above made Ojakorotu and Allen (2009) asked two questions; what does democracy do or fail or do about social welfare needs of Nigerians? Does it matter for the performance of democracy? In the case of Yobe state like elsewhere in Nigeria, when casting their votes in elections, citizens do so with high hopes and expectations that their chosen political leaders would serve their common interest in solving their perpetual social, economic and political problems. In fact, for every modern state, its proper management of most be directed towards providing social welfare to the people as it has “become one of the main sources of legitimacy of governments and elections programs” (Ghaffary & Azizimehr, 2015). Despite specific relevance, it is worrisome to note that successive political regimes in the past in Nigeria and Yobe state in particular have not addressed these socio-economic problems that most citizens suffer in common. Similarly, these social welfare issues in Yobe state is not impressive as several reports of National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2010) and Millennium Development Goals (MDG) (2010) shows evidence of high level poverty, hunger, diseases, unemployment, illiteracy, insecurity, etc.

Since the return of democracy on 29th May 1999 in Yobe state, there emerged various Executive Governors with Bukar Abba Ibrahim being the first elected Governor who served from 1999-2007. He was later succeeded by Senator Mamman Bello Ali on 29th May 2007 before his death while still serving as the Governor of the state. However, the sudden death of Ali halfway into his first term as the Governor of the state created a vacuum that must be constitutionally filled by Alhaji Ibrahim Gaidam who served in the capacity of Deputy Governor to late Governor Ali for a period of 19 months. The new Governor Alhaji Ibrahim Gaidam who subsequently assumed responsibility of the Governor of Yobe state was until his election as Deputy Governor alongside late Governor in 2007, a typical civil servant who rose through the ranks to the position of a Permanent Secretary in the state. Having assumed duty on his inaugural day, specifically on 27th January 2009 Governor Gaidam stated in clear terms the stand of his regime to address these key social welfare challenges.

To achieve this broad development objective, Governor Gaidam further promised to ponder and persecute social welfare programs that would address poor healthcare, education and basic infrastructure like roads in order to promote the overall process of socio-economic development leading to democratic consolidation. This position remains important as Venter (2012) had earlier advanced that the success and or failure of democracy in any given political community are to some extent dependent on strong political will and the role of its important institutions and key actors. Soludo (2007) also advanced that the point of divergence between good and bad governance centres on the behaviour and attitude of key political leadership segment which influences political, economic and social closure and structure of democratic consolidation. It is in this regard that Ashiru (2008) once advanced this argument and showed that, if democratic governments produce nothing but general hunger, poverty, disease, squalor, unemployment and insecurity, the citizens would become disenchanted and disconnected with democracy thereby begin to question the expected socio-economic and welfare substance of democratic institutions in the country.
Mohammed and Alimba (2010) observes that democracy does not solely rest on political systems and structures put in place but rests on the quality of the performance of those responsible that is meant to operate it. For Cioaba (2014) this remains an “equivalent to relative guarantee of the continuity and stability of the democratic regime” thereby excluding the probability of returning to authoritarian regime. This indicates that the capacity of political regime to utilise resources, determine and implement social welfare policy choices influences the nature and outcome of democratic consolidation in any society. Dahl (1971) and Diamond (1999) have also previously suggested that the institutions of government where democracy exists must work to address the societal problems through effectiveness, responsiveness, and accountability of their political leadership. In essence, in order to deepen democratic consolidation, the current democratic regime in power must, therefore, justify its political legitimacy by producing sufficient positive social welfare policy outcomes towards improving the socio-economic lives and situations of its citizenry.

In Nigeria, each sub-national government particularly the state government which is the second tier of government, the governors are responsible for the steering of political and administrative machinery for expected social welfare through democratic outcomes. In return, this shapes the nature and dynamics of democratic consolidation in those states and the nation at large. The rationale behind such constitutional and political subdivision of powers to all the federating units in Nigeria is basically to improve responsiveness, accountability, and effectiveness in democratic regime’s performance towards the betterment of lives of their respective citizenry (Constitution of Nigeria, 1999). Omodia (2012) like many Nigerian scholars advanced this earlier position and showed that, its relevance lies in the fact that, socio-welfare aspects of democratic consolidation witnessed in Nigeria are consequences of the regime’s social welfare performance provided by the respective governments concerned at all the levels of democratic governance structure in the country.

Since Nigeria is a federal structure of 36 Federal states, every democratic regime is therefore in a position to plan, produce or actualise what they desire in achieving democratic consolidation. Importantly, political leaders while in power are thus expected to make the kind of choices their regime prefers (Errington, 2004). Also relevant is the fact that the formulation of social welfare policies, their implementation and the provision of social welfare services is a political process (Mwenzwa & Waweru, 2016). Since his assumption as Executive Governor of Yobe state on 27th January 2009, Gaidam’s regime is therefore expected to exercise political authority and utilise available resources in order to advance social welfare needs and demands of the citizens as is expected of responsible and accountable democratic regime. It is in this regard that, Gaidam’s regime from 2009 to 2015 served as a focal instrument of democratic consolidation assessed through the lenses of social welfare aspects of development towards understanding the how and why this democratic regime performed and its overall impact on the lives of the ordinary citizens in Yobe state.
1.2 Problem Statement

Democracy has for long time been propagated as an instrument that brings about socio-economic transformation through better institutions and structures of democratic governance (Bratton & Van de Walle, 1997; Ewald, 2011; Huntington, 1991; Linz & Stepan, 1996; Przeworski et al, 2000). Hence, the establishment of new democratic regimes particularly across Nigerian states on May, 29th 1999 brings to fore the relevance of social welfare based on nature of performance at all levels of governance structure in achieving democratic consolidation. In the case of Yobe state, since its return to the fourth republic, Governor Bukar Abba Ibrahim became the first elected Governor whose regime lasted from 1999-2007. Subsequently, Senator Mamman Bello Ali who later became the second elected Governor in 2007 died just two years in his first term. His death therefore prompted the swearing in of his erstwhile deputy, Gaidam by January 2009. However, despite having two previous democratic regimes before Gaidam’s and the efforts made to develop the state, its social welfare aspects important for democratic consolidation over the years remain unimpressive.

For instance, before Gaidam’s regime could settle down in 2010, local, national and international reports indicated that democratic consolidation in the state was characterised by massive socio-economic deficits. It was evident that infrastructural development such as road access linking towns and villages were either absent or in a deplorable situation (NBS, 2010; UNDP, 2010). Similarly, in health, a significant number of children born in the state were underweight; Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) children under five Mortality Rate (U5MR) and Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR) were all high (NBS, 2010; NPC & ICF Macro, 2008; MDG, 2010). In education, the situation also remained the same as student’s enrollment, retention, and completion rate at basic education levels were only 10.1% (NBS, 2010; NPC & ICF Macro, 2008). Consequently, due to such extreme situations in its social welfare situation, Yobe state is considered impoverished and underdeveloped in Nigeria posing negative consequences on its nascent democratic consolidation over the years.

On assumption of office in 2009, Governor Gaidam therefore maintained that the development objective of his regime was to provide social welfare programmes that will address the then poor healthcare services, education deficiencies and provide basic infrastructure such as good road networks (YBSG, 2012). Importantly, his experience in the state civil service, closeness to the ordinary people and has served as Deputy Governor for some years was seen to have added value to the regime as it immediately embarked on major social welfare development programmes (YBSG, 2012). Since the regime’s political declarations, citizen’s expectations became high as the development objective in any social welfare policy is to address societal socio-economic and political challenges like poverty, unemployment, etc. (Mwenza & Waweru, 2016). In fact, with such renewed vigour, most of the citizens in Yobe state like elsewhere therefore expected that this democratic regime will serve their common interest in solving their shared social, economic and political problems through the better democratic governance process in achieving democratic consolidation.
However, the first concern of democratic consolidation under Governor Gaidam that can be exemplified in developing democracy is the nature of the regime’s social welfare responsibility, accountability and responsiveness especially to its democratic promises earlier made to the citizenry. Although, such declarations made by politicians hardly come into reality as incumbent regimes fail to design any social welfare development agenda for the masses (Jega, 2007). Infact, Jega (2007), Ogali (2014) and Omodia (2012) had earlier observed that most democratic goals in Nigeria are only invoked as a mere sterile and empty slogan during the electioneering without in itself bringing about the needed gains of democracy and social welfare dividends commonly desired by most citizens. Hence, it became important to uncover whether Gaidam’s regime only promised on the social welfare service seen as dividends of democracy as lip service or whether indeed there is any democratic and political commitment in terms of democratic outcome driven by competition, contestation and better regime performance (Ewald, 2011; Venter, 2011) in the state.

The second concern lies with the nature of regime performance towards addressing basic social welfare deficits such as poor health conditions of citizens, poor educational system and absent or dilapidated road infrastructure. These problems mostly characterised by diseases, high illiteracy, unemployment and poverty have continued to threaten democratic consolidation in the state over the years. To indicate its importance, since these problems are commonly shared by the citizens, Governor Gaidam on assumption of office promised to address them within available resources and time. Its significance indicates why Diamond (1999) earlier advanced that the institutions of government where democracy exists must work to address societal problems. In essence, any government in power must justify its political legitimacy by producing sufficient positive social welfare policy outcomes towards improving citizen’s lives (Dahl, 1971). This justified the earlier assertion that democratic regimes must work hard to create an enabling socio-economic and political environment for sustainable democracy to thrive (Bratton & Van de Walle, 1997; Carbone, 2012; Diamond, 1999; Grassi, 2014; Linz & Stepan, 1996; Rudebeck, 2016; Ojakorotu & Allen, 2009; Venter, 2012; Zakaria, 2013).

The third concern lies on the impact of the regime’s social welfare performance on democratic consolidation especially as Yobe state is at the lowest ebb of socio-economic developments due to poor social welfare services (UNDP, 2010). Interestingly, the state remains under the control of an opposition party since the return of democracy in 1999 therefore neglected by the national government. More so even at the state government level, the successive regimes over the years have not been able to generate revenues internally even for the developmental needs of the state. This challenge had continued to make the state rely on monthly allocation from the national government. Furthermore, the dominance of some powerful elites mostly based on personalised interest has led to persisting problems of bad governance, stagnation and overall insecurity in the desert-ravaged state. Six years down the line of Gaidam’s regime, it was pertinent to ask; how does the regime’s social welfare performance from 2009-2015 affects democratic consolidation in Yobe state, Nigeria?
Generally, while in most developed nations and democracies, several studies have addressed the connection between social welfare and democracy and its consolidation, there is scant literature on such aspects in developing nations (Carbone, 2012). Specifically, investigating democratic consolidation in Nigeria is usually viewed in what Coppedge (1999) described as a “thin way” indicating that only a few most popular indicators were used by previous studies. For instance, some previous studies only detailed the transitional aspects of democratic consolidation (Abbas, 2013; Jega, 2007; Ojakorotu & Allen, 2009; Omotola, 2009) or focused on political parties, electoral system, and political violence (Aleyomi, 2014; Omotola, 2009). Evidently, the previous studies therefore only emphasised democratic deepening and political institutionalisation thereby neglecting regime’s social welfare performance in achieving democratic consolidation despite its currency and significance to most developing nations. It was against these backdrops that, this study explore an important (though not a well-explored area in Nigeria and Yobe state) regime’s social welfare performance at the level of state government and its impact on democratic consolidation.

The imperative of assessing regime’s social welfare performance on democratic consolidation becomes more glaring in developing nations undergoing democratisation simultaneously with issues of political transformation (Bratton & Van de Walle, 1997; Diamond, 1999; Ewald, 2011; Przeworski et al, 2000; Schedler, 2001). Hence, for democracy to be established in developing nations, its political regimes must uphold the basic democratic principles alongside improving the social welfare of the citizens manifested in the ideological and policy positions by political players (Mwenzwa & Waweru, 2016). It is based on this position that Schedler (2001) advanced that political regime preference in terms of providing socio-economic development outcomes matters for democratic survival in developing nations. It is also in similar regard that Jega (2007) once suggested that regime’s social welfare performance in democratic governments should and must serve as the yardstick to either retain or vote political leaders out of office. Gilbert and Allen (2014), Ogali (2014) and Oloruntoba (2009), advanced this approach and insisted that it is more needed as there is persistent gap between democratic expectations and social welfare realities and the poor conditions of people in Nigeria that continue to influence its democratic consolidation.

1.3 Research Questions

The main question asked in this study was; how does the regime’s social welfare performance from 2009-2015 affects democratic consolidation in Yobe state, Nigeria? Specific questions were:

1) What are the regime’s development objectives in social welfare aspects in achieving democratic consolidation?
2) How does the regime’s social welfare performance impact on democratic consolidation?
1.4 Objectives of the Study

To answer the research questions of this study, specific objectives were therefore outlined:

1) To explore the regime’s development objectives in social welfare aspects in achieving democratic consolidation.
2) To assess the impact of the regime’s social welfare performance on democratic consolidation.

1.5 Scope and Limitation of the Study

This study on democratic consolidation in the regime’s social welfare performance of Governor Gaidam was only situated within the right frame and time. While previous studies used particular institutional variables to explain democratic consolidation at the national level, an important scope, particularly at sub-national levels, is missing despite its relevance to overall democratic consolidation in the literature. The sub-national scope in this study was adopted to provide an understanding of regime’ social welfare performance on democratic consolidation particularly by its actors at the second level of democratic governance structure, particularly in Nigeria’s federal democracy.

In view of the above, this study was particularly interested in the actions and inactions of the regime’s social welfare performance in Yobe state Nigeria from 2009 to 2015. Within this frame, the Gaidam's regime was explored against its development objectives in healthcare, education and road infrastructure developments. The issues addressed specifically served as the focal point that provides a deeper understanding of informant’s experiences on the regime’s social welfare performance in achieving democratic consolidation. In view of this, an effort was only made to understand what the regime’s development objectives in social welfare aspects were against the real social welfare performance on ground and its impact on democratic consolidation in the state over the years.

The study is therefore only limited to the understanding the regime’s social welfare performance in healthcare, education and road infrastructure developments. The choices of these three social welfare variables were motivated by what some scholars of democratic consolidation referred to as “social and economic arena” (Bratton & Van de Walle, 1997; Diamond, 1999; Ewald, 2011; Huntington, 1991; Linz & Stepan, 1996; Przeworski et al, 2000; Schedler, 2001; Venter, 2011). In this study, the choice of the three particular frames means only the specific issues selected above considering their theoretical and political practical relevance for such developing societies like Nigeria and Yobe state, in particular, undergoing socio-economic transformation served as the yardstick for assessing social welfare performance and democratic consolidation in this study.
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However, the first key limitation that confronted this study was that there seems to be no single previous study on regime’s social welfare performance in achieving democratic consolidation at sub-national levels in Nigeria. In view of the above, most studies related to in the current study were mostly from other developing democracies and nations that might have had the same or similar experience with Nigeria’s nascent democracy and its consolidation challenges. However, by maintaining the perspective that Nigeria and Yobe state in particular are at its nascent stage of democracy, all the issues discussed in the study were carefully treated in tandem with the reality on the ground.

Another limitation is that the data that emerged from the study are only the perceptions of some informants (ruling, opposition party, and civil society members) in the state. This further means that, although, the informants have covered various political, economic and social backgrounds related to social welfare performance in Yobe state’s democracy, the study does not intend to generalise its findings. More importantly, there exist different socio-economic development and political dynamics across the Nigerian federal states despite mutual similarities. However, not minding the above limitation, this study could be used as an emergent model for assessing the prospects, opportunities and pitfalls surrounding most democratic regimes at state government’s level and its impact on democratic consolidation particularly in Nigeria and other developing nations and democracies.

1.6 Significance and Contribution of the Study

The return of democratic experiment in Nigeria since 1999 has been extensively detailed by scholars leading to micro and macro studies of democracy and democratic consolidation. Hence, numerous books, articles in journals and scores of graduate studies have provided the nature and dynamics of Nigeria’s democracy which in the process attracted wide-ranging interpretations. However, despite this valuable contribution, it was observed that previous studies on democratic consolidation so far conducted in Nigeria were investigated without necessarily considering all its important multi-dimensional aspects such as the concentration on particular political regime, regime’s social welfare responsibility, performance, impact and even the level of democratic governance structure.

The significance of the current study in the regime’s social welfare of Governor Gaidam in Yobe state Nigeria and the explanation for such neglect in the current literature is not only crucial to the advancement of democratic consolidation but will certainly fill the gap in the existing literature. This is imperative as the current study emphasised on the unexplored, emergent nature and dynamics of social welfare performance in achieving democratic consolidation especially in developing democracies undergoing a socio-economic and political transformations. This study particularly uncovered that the role of sub-national democratic regimes in social welfare are considered important since their overall success and failure must not be subsumed and wrongly attributed to national political actors who do not bear such political responsibility in the real political sense in the country.
It should be noted that state governors who pilot the democratic governance structures have their individual social welfare preference that needs assessment within the democratic consolidation frames. In essence, each regime is worth responding to at all levels of democratic governance structure. By making effort to uncover what constitutes the regime’s social welfare performance and assessing their official duties and responsibilities at various levels of governments, this study challenged democratic regimes at all levels of governance to deliver better social welfare needs of the citizens. This hopefully will provide purposeful political regimes which are needed for its socio-economic development, better living standards of citizens and democratic consolidation in Nigeria.

As another contribution, an attempt was made to show the connections of the regime’s effects of pro-poor social welfare policies in achieving democratic consolidation in Yobe state, Nigeria. This finding is useful to nations that are undergoing a socio-economic development and political transformation as efforts to juxtapose regime’s social welfare performance through emergent nature, dynamics and political trends of democratic consolidation are uncovered. Based on this, this study provides better insight of practical perspective and a real understanding of the current social welfare conditions, opportunities and the challenges that exist in developing democracies and how those democracies are consolidated which is much needed in Nigeria, Africa and beyond.

A clearer understanding of the citizen’s perception in the social welfare aspect of democratic consolidation is needed by all democratic and political stakeholders. By making efforts to have uncovered and addressed both important contextual and theoretical factors that shape democratic consolidation, this study contributed as an important reference point on regime’s social welfare performance in achieving democratic consolidation in developing democracies, especially at the sub-national level. Hence, this study will adds value to the existing literature on developmental democracy, social welfare performance, socio-economic transformation and development and generally democratic consolidation especially in most developing democracies as it is attached academic value.

1.7 Conceptual and Operational Definitions

Democratic Consolidation
Democratic consolidation is a process through which new democracy matures, in a way that is unlikely to revert to authoritarianism without any form of external shock. Hence, “consolidation occurs in a democracy when a complex system of institutions, rules, and patterned incentives and disincentives has become the only game in town” (Linz and Stepan, 1996:15). In developing nations, in order to achieve democratic consolidation, the democratic regimes must through its constituted and mandated authorities justify its political legitimacy by producing sufficient policy outcomes towards improving the lives of its citizenry. Hence, democratic consolidation in this study means the process and outcome of socio-economic development provided by
political institutions of democratic government in an attempt to address the pressing societal needs and problems.

**Socio-economic development**

Socio-economic development is regarded as the positive changes in factors regarded as important and essential for the state’s viability and the functioning of economy and the market of the society (Linz & Stepan, 1996). Hence, democracy can survive in areas where there are good health services, affordable education and effective transport system as it improves the quality of life of the citizens (Diamond, 1999; Linz & Stepan, 1996; Przeworski et al. 2000; Zakaria, 2013). Hence, socio-economic development in this study will mean this democratic regime’s priority policies, projects and programmes in the areas of public goods such as affordable healthcare services, qualitative educational services and effective transport system through good road infrastructure development. In Yobe state, these basic needs provide the citizens with better social, economic and political opportunities and poverty reduction thereby improving their living standard and wellbeing.

**Regime’s performance**

Regime’s performance which is described as “quality of political regime” by Salifu (2014:33) is referred to as the degree to which performance of regime correlates with established procedure and standard behaviours of the political system. In other words, it is a democratic governance system which describes how political actors acts in different interactions. Hence, the functions of democratic regime as applied in this study means the legitimate use of state powers to manage social, political and economic resources through the initiation and implementation of state developmental policies and objectives for the improvement of the lives of the citizenry. Overall, regime performance remains a function that provide some kind of workable actions, solutions to societal problems and results that must be seen, felt and appreciated by the ordinary citizens. To justify its political legitimacy in system, every democratic regime is therefore expected to work and produce sufficient positive and relevant social welfare policy outcomes for the improvement of the lives of the citizenry.

**Social welfare**

The term welfare generally refers to a series of benefits (social, economic and political) that are enjoyed by individual and communities as their collective rights to public goods (Carbone, 2012; Ghaffary & Azizimehr, 2015; Grassi, 2014). While most studies included transfers, subsidies, compensations, and special services for deserving population (old age, sick, maternity, disability, unemployment and the poor) financed through public expenditure, in this study social welfare is grouped in to regime’s specific policy input, process and output (access to basic health services, basic education and road network) for improved socio-economic development of the citizens and their respective communities. In this context, social welfare is simply described as the general well-being of the majority of citizens that is considered most acceptable in that political society (Crowe, 2011). In broad outcomes, social welfare is expected to improve the standard of living of the citizens by the development of human capability, job creation, poverty reduction and lowering of inequality, etc.
which is considered positive for the overall development of individual and their communities.

1.8 Organisation of Thesis

The study is divided into six chapters. The first chapter contains the introductory aspect of the study. It explains the background of the study, problem statement and objectives of the study. This chapter also explains the scope it covers and significance of the study. Chapter two provides literature review, conceptual and theoretical frameworks. Chapter three explains the research methodology adopted in this study. Chapter four and five analysed the findings for this study. Chapter six finally draws a conclusion, offer implications and make a recommendation for the study.

1.9 Conclusion

This chapter provides background information about the study as well as covering the main issues it addressed. Specifically, some pertinent research questions were outlined to uncover the regime’s development objectives in social welfare aspects in achieving democratic consolidation; the impact of the regime’s healthcare; education and road infrastructure developments and its impact on democratic consolidation in Yobe state. Overall, the general objective of the study was to assess the regime’s social welfare performance in achieving democratic consolidation in Yobe state, Nigeria from 2009-2015. In the next chapter, literature review, conceptual and theoretical frameworks were provided.
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