

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

ADOPTION ON NEW PADDY SEED VARIETIES AMONG FARMERS IN MUDA AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, MALAYSIA

NUR SHUHAMIN BINTI NAZURI

FP 2017 49



# ADOPTION ON NEW PADDY SEED VARIETIES AMONG FARMERS IN MUDA AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, MALAYSIA

By

NUR SHUHAMIN BINTI NAZURI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

April 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



# DEDICATION

I dedicate this precious gift to my parents Nazuri bin Kamis and Zainab binti Husin. Thanks to both of my sister who always support my study journey. Indeed, it is not the knowledge, which should come to you, it is you who should come to the knowledge. Always keep your dream alive.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## ADOPTION ON NEW PADDY SEED VARIETIES AMONG FARMERS IN MUDA AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, MALAYSIA

By

#### NUR SHUHAMIN BINTI NAZURI

#### April 2017

#### Chairman :Associate Professor Norsida Man, PhD Faculty :Agriculture

Malaysia's economic report stated that the rice production is expected to achieve 86% self-sufficiency level by 2010. Currently, Malaysia has reached self-sufficiency's level for rice at 73% (9th Malaysia Plan). The contribution in an agricultural sector especially rice production is very important for generating self-sufficient rice, reducing imported rice and increasing food security. Agriculture sector is also important to provide income, increase the rate of employment and escalate investment especially in rural areas. Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI) is a research institute that is involves in supplying quality seeds and planting materials to the farmers. Meanwhile, government agency such as MADA provides support services for farmers' development programs such as producing-high quality of paddy seeds to enhance socio-economic of the farmers (Fauzi and Wahab, 2013). However, farmers encountered many problems due to lack of knowledge and practices on new paddy seed varieties. The yield performances are different according to areas and farmers although they are using the same seed variety. Farmers' knowledge and skill are the main factors contributing to the yield performance.

Current studies have been conducted to identify the knowledge, attitude and skill that affect the adoption on new seed varieties. The research also determines the contribution of age, gender, education level, size of farm, and years of involvement in paddy experience. The primary data has been obtained from a questionnaire filled by 250 respondents of the farmers in Muda area in Kedah and Perlis. The respondents have been selected through random sampling technique. Descriptive Analysis, Chi-Square and Correlation analysis techniques are used to analyze the data. The analysis showed that the average age of farmers was 48 years old and majority of the participants have paddy farming experiences for more than 16 years. Most of them attended secondary school level of education and the average farm size was 2.01 hectares. The

results showed that the level of respondents towards adoption of new seed varieties was in a high level with the value of 3.71. This level of adoption towards new seed varieties were driven by high level of farmers' attitude, knowledge and skills with respective value of 3.90, 4.01 and 3.91. For the socio-demographic factors, only age and years of involvement had significant relationships with adoption on new paddy seed varieties. Meanwhile, the adoption on new seed was highly correlated with knowledge, but not to the attitude and skills of farmers. These results indicate that the knowledge plays an important role in affecting the farmers' adoption towards new seed varieties. An Authority, which is the MADA agency should strive to maintain and further enhance the adoption on new seed varieties among farmers by providing training and guidance for them.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

#### PENERIMAAN PETANI TERHADAP VARIETI PADI BARU DI LEMBAGA KEMAJUAN PERTANIAN MUDA (MADA), MALAYSIA

Oleh

#### NUR SHUHAMIN BINTI NAZURI

April 2017

#### Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Norsida Man, PhD Fakulti : Pertanian

Laporan ekonomi Malaysia menyatakan bahawa pengeluaran beras dijangka mencapai tahap kecukupan 86% menjelang tahun 2010. Pada masa ini, Malaysia telah mencapai tahap sara diri bagi pengeluaran beras sebanyak 73% (Rancangan Malaysia ke-9). Sumbangan pengeluaran beras dalam sektor pertanian khususnya adalah sangat penting bagi penghasilan beras yang secukupnya, mengurangkan kadar beras import dan dapat meningkatkan kadar keselamatan makanan. Sektor pertanian juga penting bagi menyediakan pendapatan, pekerjaan dan pelaburan terutamanya di kawasan luar bandar. MARDI merupakan sebuah pusat penyelidikan yang terlibat dalam membekalkan benih berkualiti dan bahan tanaman kepada petani. Sementara itu juga, agensi kerajaan seperti MADA juga ada menyediakan perkhidmatan sokongan bagi program pembangunan petani termasuk dalam menghasilkan benih padi yang berkualiti tinggi untuk meningkatkan sosio-ekonomi petani (Fauzi and Wahab, 2013). Walaubagaimanapun, terdapat banyak masalah yang dihadapi oleh petani kerana kurang pengetahuan dan amalan dalam mengendalikan banih padi baru. Hasil tanaman yang dikeluarkan berbeza mengikut kawasan dan petani. walaupun telah menggunakan benih padi yang sama.

Kajian semasa dijalankan untuk mengenal pasti pengetahuan, sikap dan kemahiran yang mempengaruhi penggunaan varieti benih baru. Data primer diperoleh daripada soal selidik yang diisi oleh 250 responden petani di kawasan Muda di Kedah dan Perlis. Responden dipilih melalui teknik persampelan rawak. Analisis Deskriptif, teknik Analisis Korelasi dan Chi-Square digunakan untuk menganalisis data. Analisis menunjukkan bahawa purata umur petani berusia 48 tahun dan kebanyakannya mempunyai pengalaman dalam tanaman padi sekitar 16 tahun dan ke atas. Kebanyakan mereka mempunyai pendidikan di tahap sekolah menengah dan purata saiz ladang adalah 2.01 hektar. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa tahap responden

terhadap penerimaan benih padi baru berada pada paras yang tinggi dengan nilai 3.71.

Tahap penerimaan yang tinggi terhadap varieti baru ini didorong oleh sikap, pengetahuan dan kemahiran petani yang tinggi dengan nilai 3.90, 4.01 dan 3.91. Bagi faktor sosio-demografi, hanya penglibatan umur dan tahun yang mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan penggunaan varieti benih padi baru. Sementara itu, penggunaan benih baru sangat berkaitanrapat dengan pengetahuan, tetapi bukan kepada sikap dan kemahiran petani. Kesimpulannya, keputusan dari kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa pengetahuan memainkan peranan penting dalam mempengaruhi penerapan petani terhadap varieti benih baru. Pihak berkuasa seperti agensi MADA harus berusaha dalam mempertahankan dan meningkatkan lagi penerimaan petani terhadap varieti benih baru dengan menyediakan latihan dan bimbingan kepada mereka.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise be to ALLAH for his majesty, uncountable blessings and helping me to take on this wonderful journey as another chapter in my life. The strength has made me able to complete my master study successfully.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Norsida Man to be my best teacher. I have learn so much from you. To my supervisory committee Associate Professor Dr. Nitty Hirawaty Kamarulzaman for her constant support, persistent guidance and advice throughout my study. Thank you to Associate Professor Dr. Nolila Mohd Nawi for his assistance, expertise and suggestion to improve the research. This work would not be possible without their help.

I deeply acknowledge a bunch of my friends, Nur Mardhiati Afifa Abd Samad, Nur Izzati binti Ibrahim, Syakirah binti Mohd Ariffin, Wisam Yako, Mellisa Alina Yusoff, Hidayah Hassim and Fateen Noorasyikin Sarkowi for their understanding, advice and encouragement throughout my study journey.

And last but not least, there is no words can be expressing my deepest gratitude to my beloved parents Nazuri bin Kamis and Zainab binti Husin ; my sisters Siti Nur Shuhada and Nur Sharmiza who has been very supportive and loving throughout my journey searching for knowledge. They all kept me going and this thesis would not have been possible without them. This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment for the Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Norsida Man, PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

# Nitty Hirawaty Kamarulzaman, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

> **ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD** Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No. : Nur Shuhamin binti Nazuri, GS41565

## **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the University Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:

Name of

Chairman of

Supervisory

Committee:

Associate Professor Norsida Man

Signature:

Name of

Member of

Supervisory

Committee:

Associate Professor Nitty Hirawaty Kamarulzaman

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                       | Page |
|-----------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT              | i    |
| ABSTRAK               | iii  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS      | V    |
| APPROVAL              | vi   |
| DECLARATION           | x    |
| LIST OF TABLES        | xiii |
| LIST OF FIGURES       | xv   |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvii |
|                       |      |

# CHAPTER

6)

| 1. | INTRO | DUCTION  | 1   |
|----|-------|--|-----|
|    | 1.1   | Introduction                                       | 1   |
|    | 1.2   | Agriculture Sector in Malaysia                     | 1   |
|    | 1.3   | National Agro Food Policy on Paddy and Rice        | 3   |
|    | 1.4   | Paddy Production and Granary Area                  | 5   |
|    | 1.5   | Green Revolution and Technology Innovation         | 8   |
|    | 1.6   | Rice and Paddy Varieties                           | 9   |
|    | 1.7   | Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) and | 4.4 |
|    | 1.8   | Muda Area  | 11  |
|    |       | Problem Statement                                  | 12  |
|    | 1.9   | Research Question                                  | 13  |
|    | 1.10  | Objective of the study                             | 13  |
|    |       | 1.10.1 General Objective                           | 13  |
|    |       | 1.10.2 Specific Objectives                         | 13  |
|    | 1.11  | Significance of the Study                          | 14  |
| 2  |       | ATURE REVIEW                                       | 15  |
| 2  | 2.1   | Introduction                                       | 15  |
|    | 2.2   | Rice Farming and Varieties in Malaysia             | 16  |
|    | 2.2   | Knowledge, Attitude and Skill Theory               | 17  |
|    | 2.0   | 2.3.1 Knowledge                                    | 17  |
|    |       | 2.3.1 Attitude                                     | 17  |
|    |       | 2.3.2 Skill  | 17  |
|    | 2.4   | Adoption towards New Paddy Seed Varieties          | 18  |
|    | 2.5   | Adoption towards an Agriculture Innovation Concept | 18  |
|    | 2.6   | Empirical Study Related                            | 20  |
|    |       | 2.6.1 Socio-demographic Factors and Technology     |     |
|    |       | Innovation   | 20  |
|    |       | 1) Age towards Adoption                            | 20  |
|    |       | 2) Size of Farm towards Adoption                   | 21  |
|    |       | 3) Education Level towards Adoption                | 21  |
|    |       | 4) Years of Involvement in Paddy                   |     |
|    |       | Experience towards Adoption                        | 22  |
|    |       | 5) Gender towards Adoption                         | 22  |
|    |       | 2.6.2 Knowledge towards Adoption                   | 22  |
|    |       | - · ·  |     |

| 2.6        | 2.6.3<br>2.6.4<br>Summa | Attitude towards Adoption<br>Skills towards Adoption<br><sup>r</sup> y | 23<br>23<br>24 |
|------------|-------------------------|--|----------------|
| 3.1        | DOLOG                   | ion  | 25<br>25       |
| 3.2        | 3.2.1<br>3.2.2 I        | ual Framework<br>Dependent Variable<br>ndependent Variables            | 25<br>26<br>26 |
| 3.3        | Data Col                |  | 27             |
| 3.4        | Study Lo                |  | 28             |
| 3.5        | •                       | opulation and Sample Size  | 29             |
| 3.6<br>3.7 |                         | y Method   | 29<br>30       |
| 3.8        |                         | naire Design<br>alysis Techniques                                      | 30<br>31       |
| 5.0        | 3.8.1                   | Descriptive Analysis   | 31             |
|            | 3.8.2                   | Chi-square Analysis  | 32             |
|            | 3.8.3                   | Correlation Analysis   | 32             |
| DECLU      | TO AND                  |  | 00             |
|            |                         | DISCUSSION   | 33             |
| 4.1        | Introducti              | emographic Profile of Respondents                                      | 33<br>33       |
| 4.2        | Farm Pro                |  | 34             |
| 4.0        |                         | Farm Ownership Characteristics   | 34             |
|            |                         | fears of Involvement   | 35             |
|            |                         | Cost of Production   | 36             |
|            |                         | Paddy Selling Practices  | 36             |
|            |                         | Problems on Paddy Cultivation  | 37             |
|            |                         | Programs and Courses Participated by                                   |                |
| -          |                         | armers   | 37             |
|            |                         | Access on New Paddy Seed Varieties                                     | 38             |
|            |                         | Sources of Information on New Paddy Seed                               | 39             |
| 4.4        |                         | on New Paddy Seed Varieties  | 39             |
|            |                         | evel of Adoption on Selected New Paddy                                 |                |
|            |                         | Seed Varieties   | 39             |
|            |                         | Seed Varieties   | 41             |
|            |                         | Frequency on Using New Paddy Seed                                      | 40             |
| 4.5        |                         | /arieties<br>Knowledge, Attitude and Skill on Using New                | 42             |
| 4.5        |                         | eed Varieties  | 42             |
|            |                         | Level of Knowledge on Using New Paddy                                  | 14             |
|            |                         | Seed Varieties   | 42             |
|            |                         | _evel of Attitude on Using New Paddy Seed                              |                |
|            |                         | /arieties  | 44             |
|            |                         | Level of Skill on Using New Paddy Seed                                 | . –            |
|            | ١                       | /arieties  | 45             |

|        | 4.6   | Chi-squa   | are Analysis Result                               | 47         |
|--------|-------|------------|---|------------|
|        |       | 4.6.1      | Association between Age and Level of              |            |
|        |       |            | Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties              | 47         |
|        |       | 4.6.2      | Association between Gender and Level of           |            |
|        |       |            | Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties              | 48         |
|        |       | 4.6.3      | Association between Years of Involvement          |            |
|        |       |            | and Level of Adoption on New Paddy Seed           |            |
|        |       |            | Varieties   | 49         |
|        |       | 4.6.4      | Association Between Size of Farm and Level        |            |
|        |       |            | of Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties           | 50         |
|        |       | 4.6.5      | Association Between Education Level and           | 00         |
|        |       | 1.0.0      | Level of Adoption on New Paddy Seed               |            |
|        |       |            | Varieties   | 51         |
|        |       | 4.6.6      | Summary of Chi -Square Analysis Result            | 52         |
|        | 4.7   |            | tion Analysis Result                              | 53         |
|        | 4.7   | 4.7.1      | Relationship between Level of Knowledge           | 55         |
|        |       | 4.7.1      | and Level of Adoption on New Paddy Seed           |            |
|        |       |            | Varieties   | 53         |
|        |       | 4.7.2      | Relationship between Level of Attitude and        | 55         |
|        |       | 4.7.2      | Level of Adoption on New Paddy Seed               |            |
|        |       |            | Varieties   | 53         |
|        |       | 4.7.3      | Relationship between Level of Skill and Level     | 55         |
|        |       | 4.7.3      |   | <b>F</b> 4 |
|        |       |            | of Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties           | 54         |
| 5.     | CONCI |            | AND RECOMMENDATION                                | 55         |
| 5.     | 5.1   | Introduc   |   | 55         |
|        | 5.1   | 5.2        | Summary of Research                               | 55         |
|        |       | 5.2<br>5.3 | Conclusion  | 55<br>56   |
|        |       | 5.3<br>5.4 |   | 50<br>57   |
|        |       | 5.4<br>5.5 | Policy Recommendation<br>Limitations of the Study | 58         |
|        |       |            | -   |            |
|        | -     | 5.6        | Recommendations for Future Study                  | 58         |
| REED   | ENCES |            |   | 59         |
| APPEN  |       |            |   | 59<br>66   |
|        |       | STUDEN     |   | 74         |
|        |       |            |   | 74<br>75   |
| FUBLIC |       |            |   | 10         |

G

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table  | Page                |
|--|---------------------|
| 1.1 Import rice, Malaysia 2014   | 3                   |
| 1.2 Import and Export Data of Paddy in Malaysia from 2013  | 2010-               |
| 1.3 Area of Farming and Production of Yield  | 6                   |
| 1.4 Self-Sufficiency Level of Food Commodities, 2010-  | 2020                |
| (%)  | 6                   |
| 1.5 Average Paddy Yield of Major Granaries in Malays<br>(metric tons per hectare)  | ia<br>7             |
| 1.6 List of Seed Varieties In Malaysia   | 10                  |
| 3.1 Regions in Muda Agricultural Development Authori   | ty                  |
| (MADA)<br>3.2 The Structure of Questionnaire Design  | 30                  |
| <ul> <li>3.2 The Structure of Questionnaire Design</li> <li>4.1 Socio-Demographic Profile of Respondents</li> </ul>  | 31<br>34            |
| 4.2 Farm Ownership Characteristics   | 34                  |
| 4.3 Years of Involvement   | 35                  |
| 4.4 Cost of Production   | 36                  |
| 4.5 Paddy Selling Practices  | 36                  |
| 4.6 Problems on Paddy Cultivation  | 37                  |
| 4.7 Programs and Courses Participate by Respondents  |                     |
| 4.8 Access of New Paddy Seed Varieties   | 38                  |
| 4.9 Sources of Information on New Paddy Seed Variet  |                     |
| 4.10 Respondents' Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varie   |                     |
| 4.11a Distribution of Frequency, Percentage, Mean and S<br>Deviation towards Adoption on Selected New Pad  | Standard<br>dy Seed |
| Varieties (n=250)<br>4.11b Level of Adoption on Selected New Paddy Seed Va   | 40<br>arieties 41   |
| 4.112 Seed Varieties   | 41<br>41            |
| <ul> <li>4.13 Frequency on Using New Paddy Seed Varieties (n</li> <li>4.14a Distribution of Frequency, Percentage, Mean and S</li> <li>Deviation of Knowledge on Using New Paddy Seed</li> </ul> | Standard<br>d       |
| Varieties (n=250)  | 43                  |
| 4.14b Distribution of Frequency, Percentage, Mean and S<br>Deviation Level of Knowledge on Using New Pad   | ldy Seed            |
| Varieties<br>4.15a Distribution of Frequency, Percentage, Mean and S   | 44<br>Standard      |
| Deviation of Attitude on Using New Paddy Seed Va<br>(n=250)  |                     |
| 4.15b Distribution of Frequency, Percentage, Mean and S<br>Deviation Level of Attitude on Using New Pade   | Standard<br>dy Seed |
| Varieties  | . 45                |
| 4.16a Distribution of Frequency, Percentage, Me<br>Standard Deviation of Skill on Using New Pade<br>Varieties (n=250)  |                     |
| 4.16b Distribution of Frequency, Percentage, Mean and S  |                     |
| Deviation of Level of Skill on Using New Pade<br>Varieties   |                     |

| 4.17 | Age and Level of Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties       | 47 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.18 | Chi-Square Tests for Age and Level of Adoption on New       |    |
|      | Paddy Seed Varieties  | 48 |
| 4.19 | Gender and Level of Adoption on New Paddy Seed              |    |
|      | Varieties   | 48 |
| 4.20 | Chi-Square Tests for Gender and Level of Adoption on        |    |
|      | New Paddy Seed Varieties                                    | 48 |
| 4.21 | Years of Involvement and Level of Adoption on New           |    |
|      | Paddy Seed Varieties  | 49 |
| 4.22 | Chi-Square Tests for Years of Involvement and Level of      |    |
|      | Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties                        | 49 |
| 4.23 | Size of Farm and Level of Adoption on New Paddy Seed        |    |
|      | Varieties   | 50 |
| 4.24 | Chi-Square Tests for Size of Farm and Level of Adoption     |    |
|      | on New Paddy Seed Varieties                                 | 50 |
| 4.25 | Education Level and Level of Adoption on New Paddy          |    |
|      | Seed Varieties  | 51 |
| 4.26 | Chi-Square Tests for Education Level and Level of           |    |
|      | Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties                        | 51 |
| 4.27 | Summary of Chi-Square Analysis Result                       | 52 |
| 4.28 | Correlation between Level of Knowledge and Level of         |    |
|      | Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties                        | 53 |
| 4.29 | Correlation between Level of Attitude and Level of          |    |
|      | Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties                        | 54 |
| 4.30 | Correlation between Level of Skill and Level of Adoption on |    |
|      | New Paddy Seed Varieties                                    | 54 |
|      |   |    |

C

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |                                | Page |
|--------|--------------------------------|------|
| 1.1    | Map of Muda Paddy Farming Area | 12   |
| 3.1    | Conceptual Framework           | 25   |
| 3.2    | Map of Muda Area               | 28   |



 $\bigcirc$ 

# LIST OF APPENDIX



# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- GDP Gross Domestic Product
- MARDI Malaysian Agriculture Research and Development Institute
- DOA Department of Agriculture
- MADA Muda Agricultural Development Authority
- FAO Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
- FAOSTAT Food and Agricultural Organization Statistic
- R&D Research and Development
- NAP1 National Agricultural Policy 1
- GTP Government Transformation Program
- NKEA National Key Economic Area
- ETP Economic Transformation Program
- EPP Entry Point Project
- KADA Kemubu Agricultural Development Authority
- IADA Integrated Agriculture Development Area
- KETARA Northern Terengganu Integrated Agriculture Development Area
- FELCRA Federal Land Consolidation and Rehabilitation Authority
- PPK Pertubuhan Peladang Kawasan
- BERNAS Padiberas National BERHAD
- SPPM Syarikat Perniagaan Peladang MADA
- HYV High Yielding Varieties
- GM Genetically Modified

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Introduction

This chapter discussed the introduction of the study and consisted of Agriculture Sector in Malaysia, National Agro Food Policy on Paddy and Rice, Paddy Production and Granary Area, Technology Innovation and Green Revolution, Rice and Paddy Varieties, Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) and Muda Area, Problem Statement, Objective Study, Significance of the Study and Thesis Organization

## 1.2 Agriculture Sector in Malaysia

Agriculture sector is one of the most vital sectors in Malaysia economic development. Several commercial crops such as cocoa, palm oil and rubber were introduced during British administration in Malaya. These commodities have contributed to Malaysia economic growth due to highly exports earning in the few decades after independence of Malaysia. However, the government have changed their focus into industrial sector such as electrical, automobile, electronics and others in early 90's (Istikoma et al., 2015). In 1997, Malaysia financial crisis occurred due to a global economic problem, which declined our industrial sector. Therefore, government have shifted their economic strategies towards agriculture sector since this sector was able to act as a savior of the Malaysian economic through their contribution towards Gross Domestic Product (GDP) inclined (8<sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan).

Recently, agriculture sector was identified as the third engine of economic growth after manufacturing and service sector. Based on statistics, Malaysia agriculture sector contributes 7.3% in GDP compare to manufacturing and services sector, which contribute 48% and 40% respectively (Malaysian Investment Development Authority, 2011). Agriculture plays an important role as the nation food provider and sources of employments in a rural area. Biotechnology, agricultural sciences and agribusiness are agricultural relate courses that deserve massive attention in order to produce specialist and researchers in agricultural fields.

Generally, the agriculture sector in Malaysia is categorized into two (2) groups, which are industrial sub sector and food sub sector. Industrial sub sector including oil palm, rubber and timber industries are highly commercialized, which mainly serves the export market. Meanwhile food sub sector such as vegetables, fruit and paddy are managed by smallholders' subsector, less

commercialize and largely serves for domestic consumption only (9<sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan).

Paddy is an important source of food and farm income for smallholders in Malaysia. Apart from being a staple diet for Malaysian, paddy also consider as a strategic crop since it has been listed as the most vital food security crop. The paddy planted area in Malaysia is about 672,000 ha and 3.6 metric tons per hectares for the average of national paddy production (Dano and Samonte, 2002). Malaysian Agriculture Research and Development Institute (MARDI), Department of Agriculture (DOA) and Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) are research institutes and government agencies that has been involved in developing many programs to increase paddy production. DOA is the main produce of rice variety and there are about 32% of the total production produce in our country (Wan Mahmood, 2006). DOA is the government agency functions to increase the production of rice by evaluating, modifying and recommending technology for rice and paddy seed production. Besides, they also produce, monitor and supply of quality seeds and the rice industry development programs in the states.

MARDI as a statutory body is responsible to carry out extensive research programs by producing the new paddy seed varieties with a high yield potential and resistance towards disease. There are several rice varieties has produced by MARDI such as MR220-CL1, MR220-CL2, MR253 MR263 and MR269. Meanwhile, MADA also provides support services to farmer development programs including produces a high-quality paddy seeds that have implemented to enhance socio-economic of farmers (Hussin and Mat, 2013). It was a good program and effort from government agencies and research institutes in developing the new paddy seed varieties and evaluation to strengthen the yield.

However, the production of paddy in the country still insufficient and remain low. Malaysia self-sufficiency level for rice is at 73% (MARDI, 2016). Currently, most of our import rice stocks are from foreign country such as Thailand, Vietnam and Pakistan. The contribution in agricultural sector especially rice production is very important for generating self-sufficient, reducing imported rice and increasing food security. It is also important to provide income, employment and investment especially in rural area. Table1.1 shows the production of import rice in Malaysia in 2015. Thailand and Vietnam controlled more than 70% of rice imported into Malaysia. Other exporters of rice to Malaysia in 2015 were Pakistan, Cambodia, India and others with the percentage 19%, 5%, 2% and 1% respectively.

| Country            | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|----------------|
| Vietnam            | 32%            |
| Thailand           | 41%            |
| Pakistan           | 19%            |
| Cambodia           | 5%             |
| India              | 2%             |
| Others             | 1%             |
| Source: DOA (2014) |                |
|                    |                |

Table 1.1: Import Rice, Malaysia 2014

Table 1.2 shows the data of import and export paddy in Malaysia from 2006 until 2011. There were fluctuation trend showed for import and export quantity from 2010 until 2013.

| Table 1.2: Import | and Export Da | ata of Paddy in M | lalaysia from 2010-2013 |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|                   |               |                   |                         |

| Year | Import quantity (tonnes) | Export quantity (tonnes) |
|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2010 | 930,583                  | 413,000                  |
| 2011 | 1,031,033                | 661,000                  |
| 2012 | 1,005,416                | 923,000                  |
| 2013 | 889, 820                 | 1,076,200                |

Source: Food and Agricultural Organization Statistic, 2013

# 1.3 National Agro Food Policy on Paddy and Rice

The Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO, 1997) defines food security as a situation where all household have physically and economically ability to access adequate food for all members continuously. World Food Summit (1996) defines food security in a different way, which is when all people at all the times have access to sufficient, safe, nutritious food to maintain their health and active life. There are three (3) pillars on food security concept which are food availability, food access and food use. Food availability describes the sufficient quantity on food consistently. Meanwhile, food use and food access explains an appropriate use based on their knowledge of nutrition value and having sufficient resources to acquire appropriate foods for a nutritious diet (Siwar et al., 2014).

In national perspective, food security is an ability of a country to produce an adequate food for their domestic consumption. In Malaysia, government gives a massive attentions and involvement in food security to ensure a sufficient food supply especially in rice sector. Malaysian government has spent billion-ringgit Malaysia for the past fifty years on subsidies, Research and Development (R&D), irrigation system, extension support and policies in order to increase and strengthening the production.

In 1984, the First National Agricultural Policy (NAP1) has been introduced by Malaysia government. This policy objective towards development of the sector covered long-term target until year 2000. Government invested heavily in modernizing and commercializing the smallholder sub sector and developing of agro-based industry. Besides, government has made efforts to regenerate, develop the agricultural sector and to modernize the rural areas. These efforts to ensure that agriculture remains competitive in international market

Government implemented NAP 2 (1992-1996) by shifting towards industrialization and focusing on high value and export orientation crops such as oil palm and cocoa. Thus, it encouraged involvement of government and private sector in large-scale agricultural production on food commodities and high value of product. During NAP3 (1998-2010) was introduced, government emphasized the improvement on production of high market value crops. Even though NAP3 focused on agro-based agriculture and high-value crops, it would still maintain the production of paddy. The private sector also involves in large-scale rice paddy farming. This policy implemented to reverse the previous trend by increasing in rice production and decreasing in rice importation (9<sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan).

The success of the NAP1, NAP2 and NAP3 had led the government to launch the New Agro Food Policy (NAFP) approved by Cabinet of Malaysia on 28 September 2011. Malaysian Prime Minister Dato' Seri Mohd Najib Tun Abdul Razak had raised the issue of NAFP and about RM1.1 billion had been allocated for this agro sector.

The policy emphasizes on strengthening the effectiveness of agro food industry to be more productive and competitive. This new approach consists of eight primary ideas that have been recognized to support the transformation process of agro food industry such as (1) food security, availability and sufficiency of food access, (2) development of high-value agriculture; (3) sustainable agricultural development; (4) private sector investment of modern agriculture (5) modernization of agriculture driven by R & D , technology and innovation; (6) knowledge- information-based human capital; (7) dynamic agriculture with maximization of income generation (8) prime agricultural support services.

The implementation of National Agro-Food Policy (2011-2020) has been put in place to address the issue of food supply in Malaysia. The objectives of the policy are to increase the food security and safety in order to ensure the food availability and prevent poverty. Government also concentrated on food production through sustainability and optimization of land use. For instance, intensive farming, integrated farming and mini-estate program in granary area. Thus, we can support the food consumption for our nation. There will be 16 Entry Points Projects (EPP) identified under National Key Economic Area that will be implented to spur growth in this sector. Entry Point Project 10 focusing on strengthening the productivity of paddy farming in MADA. Government sets the target on self-sufficiency level (SLL) of 70% for the production of rice in the country for 2011-2020 by taking into account the food security. This EPP objective is to establish Malaysia's long term food security and increase the income of paddy farmer. The introduction of new paddy seed varieties is one of adoption technology that have been introduce to intensify across the production chain of the paddy and rice industry. This purpose is to raise the paddy yield production from 5 MT per ha to 8 MT per ha by 2020 (NKEA, 2014).

#### 1.4 Paddy Production and Granary Area

Paddy regard as a vital crop in food sub sector in Malaysia. Therefore, Malaysian paddy and rice industry receive massive more attention by government. The annual paddy production is 2.6 million metric tons (FAOSTAT, 2013). There are 300,000 farmers depend on rice farming as the main sources of income and the average age of farmers are more than 60 years (Norsida, 2009). Paddy farming in Malaysia required large and suitable area for farm practices. The area of paddy planted throughout the year 2005 to 2014 in Malaysia is fluctuating every year. Therefore, the production of rice also affected whereas an increase and decrease quite significantly occurred from year to year (Refer to Table 1.3).

| Year | Area Of Paddy<br>Farming<br>(Hectare) | Paddy<br>Production<br>('000 Metric<br>Tonnes) | Average Yield<br>of Paddy<br>(Kg/Ha) | Rice<br>Production<br>('000 Tan<br>Metrik) |
|------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2005 | 666,823                               | 2, 314   | 3,471                                | 1,490,015                                  |
| 2006 | 676,034                               | 2, 187   | 3,236                                | 1,407,221                                  |
| 2007 | 676,111                               | 2, 375   | 3,514                                | 1,530,971                                  |
| 2008 | 656,602                               | 2, 353   | 3,584                                | 1,516,474                                  |
| 2009 | 674, 928                              | 2, 511   | 3,720                                | 1,620,256                                  |
| 2010 | 677,884                               | 2, 464   | 3,782                                | 1,588,456                                  |
| 2011 | 687, 940                              | 2, 575   | 3,748                                | 1,661,260                                  |
| 2012 | 684, 545                              | 2, 599   | 3,797                                | 1,674,981                                  |
| 2013 | 671, 679                              | 2, 603   | 3,876                                | 1,677,367                                  |
| 2014 | 679, 239                              | 2, 848   | 4,194                                | 1,834,831                                  |

| Table 1.3: Area of Farming an | d Production of Yield |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|

Source: Department of Agriculture (2014)

Table 1.4 shows self-sufficiency level of food commodities in Malaysia from 2010, 2015 and 2020. The rice crops are target to achieve the self-sufficiency 100% to support the needs of an increasing and affluent population.

| Commodities | 2010       | 2015  | 2020  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|------------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Crops       |            |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| Rice        | 63.1       | 71.4  | 100   |  |  |  |  |
| Fruits      | 103.3      | 101.6 | 106.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables  | 89.8       | 91.8  | 95.1  |  |  |  |  |
| Livestocks  | Livestocks |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| Beef        | 30.1       | 27.2  | 50    |  |  |  |  |
| Mutton/Lamb | 12.2       | 17.3  | 24.6  |  |  |  |  |
| Poultry     | 105.6      | 104.6 | 103.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Pork        | 94.7       | 88.7  | 83.1  |  |  |  |  |
| Eggs        | 114.6      | 122.1 | 130   |  |  |  |  |
| Milk        | 8.5        | 13    | 13.6  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish        | 93.9       | 92.6  | 95.8  |  |  |  |  |

| Table 1.4: Self-Sufficiency Level of Food Commodities, 2010-2020 (% | )20 (%) |
|---|---------|
|---|---------|

Source: 11<sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan, 2010-2020

Therefore, government has done many programs in order to strengthen the paddy production and improve farmer's living standard. The Prime Minister, Dato' Seri Mohd Najib bin Tun Haji Abdul Razak had announce the implementation of Government Transformation Programme (GTP) in order to put the country stronger in facing many challenges to achieve Vision 2020. The National Key Economic Area (NKEA) under Economic Transformation Programme (ETP) had been introduced by government and one of the ETP is focus in agriculture.

The program has been identified to increase job opportunities, increase national self-sufficiency and ensure food security. Two Entry Point Project (EPP10) and (EPP11) in NKEA are focusing on rice cultivation in granary area. Rice area covered about 11% of total agricultural lands. Rice remains as the country most vital cultivation since it is the staple food for most of people.

Therefore, the government has designed eight granary areas as the permanent rice-producing areas in the country. Granary areas defined as major irrigation and main paddy producing areas identified by government in the National Agricultural Policy. The size of granary area is greater than 4,000 hectares. There are 8 granary areas in Malaysia which are: 1) Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA); 2) Kemubu Agricultural Development Authority (KADA); 3) Kerian-Sungai Manik Integrated Agriculture Development Area (IADA); 4) Barat Laut Selangor Integrated Agriculture Development Area (IADA); 5) Seberang Perak Integrated Agriculture Development Area (IADA); 6) Penang Integrated Agriculture Development Area (IADA); 7) Northern Terengganu Integrated Agriculture Development Area (KETARA); 8) and Integrated Agriculture Development Kemasin Semerak. Table 1.5 shows the average yield of major granaries area in Malaysia. Among eight granary areas, MADA is among the highest on average yield of paddy production and it is known as paddy bowl of Malaysia.

| tons per nectare) |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Granaries         | 200 <mark>6</mark>  | 2007  | 2008  | 2009  | 2010  | 2011          | 2012  | 2013  | 2014  |
| IADA Barat        | 4.55 <mark>7</mark> | 5.042 | 4.761 | 5.493 | 5.612 | 5.908         | 5.989 | 6.280 | 6.403 |
| Laut              |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
| Selangor          |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
| IADA Pulau        | 4.555               | 4.854 | 4.776 | 5.206 | 5.589 | <b>5.7</b> 28 | 5.621 | 5.841 | 5.872 |
| Pinang            |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
| KETAŘA            | 4.020               | 4.337 | 4.682 | 4.985 | 5.354 | 5.834         | 5.604 | 5.549 | 5.738 |
| MADA              | 3.893               | 4.532 | 4.598 | 5.055 | 4.725 | 4.955         | 4.843 | 5.026 | 5.539 |
| IADA              | 2.987               | 2.972 | 4.268 | 4.618 | 4.375 | 4.592         | 4.948 | 4.552 | 4.484 |
| Seberang          |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
| Perak             |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
| KADA              | 3.519               | 3.599 | 3.586 | 3.754 | 3.974 | 4.096         | 4.196 | 4.136 | 4.297 |
| IADA Kerian       | 3.046               | 3.320 | 3.148 | 3.483 | 3.280 | 3.686         | 4.380 | 4.495 | 4.514 |
| Sg.Manik          |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
| IADA              | 2.731               | 2.489 | 2.639 | 2.831 | 3.347 | 2.938         | 3.905 | 3.495 | 3.715 |
| Kemasin           |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
| Semerak           |                     |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |
| Source: D         | (2014)              |       |       |       |       |               |       |       |       |

| Table 1.5: Average Paddy | Yield of Major Granaries in Malaysia (metric |
|--------------------------|--|
|                          | tons per hectare)                            |

Source: DOA (2014)

7

## 1.5 Green Revolution and Technology Innovation

Green revolution term refers to the revolution occurred that are dramatically change the agricultural practices as a result increase the productivity. The revolution occurred between 1940's and the late 1960's where a series of research, development and technology transfer were applied in agriculture field. This idea created by Norman Borlaug, the "Father of the Green Revolution" an American Scientist who interested in agriculture. During this time, a new technology such as chemical fertilizers, synthetic herbicides and pesticides had created. He started conduct research in Mexico and involved in development of high yield variety of cereal grains, modernization of management techniques, infrastructure in irrigation, distribution of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides and hybrid seeds to farmers. The usages of synthetic fertilizers function to supply extra nutrients and increase yield (Evenson and Gollin, 2003). Meanwhile, herbicides and pesticides are possible to control weeds or kill pests and prevent from diseases that result in increase the productivity. In addition, new and high yield varieties were developed and utilized to produce high vield. Besides, irrigation infrastructure also plays an important role in Green Revolution where water can be stored and sent to driers area - thus increase yield production worldwide. This increase in productivity and make it possible to save over a billion people from starvation. Due to the success in producing more agricultural products in Mexico, Green Revolution technologies had spread worldwide in the 1950s and 1960s. For an example, India is one of the country bring of mass famine in the early 1960s because of its rapidly growing population. Borlaug and the Ford Foundation had conduct research there to develop new variety of rice IR8 that produced more grain per panicle with the irrigation and fertilizers application. Scientists need to develop plants bred that respond to plant nutrient, had shorter straw to support the weight of heavier and produce an increase amount of grain per acre planted. Besides, they also involved in contributed to the new variety that could mature faster and grow at any time of the year.

Therefore, researchers were able to double the crop's production each year on the same land. Besides, new varieties also need to be resisting to pests and disease, which flourish under intensive farming conditions. Nowadays, India is one of the countries that lead for rice produces and the use of IR8 are spreading throughout Asia. There were 30,000 rice varieties had been introduced prior to the Green Revolution (Evenson and Gollin, 2003). The uses of high and new varieties as well to increase the quality of rice production in order to achieve food security target (Evenson and Gollin, 2003). However, the productivity of rice still beset low in Malaysia and cannot in any way guarantee self-sufficiency in rice production. More new varieties with high-yielding potentials and resistance to pest and diseases have been developed due to the fact that the variety produced are susceptible towards pest and diseases. These varieties have a shorter maturity days, good grain quality, higher yield potentials, resistance to pest and diseases and tolerance to soil problems and helps particularly to use the land for double cropping and multiple cropping, etc., resulting in high productivity and land saving. The seeds are developed to get higher yielding and improved quality yielding compared to the yield of traditional seeds.

#### 1.6 Rice and Paddy Varieties

In early stage, DOA took a responsibility to produce the rice varieties. In 1971, MARDI was established to take over the production of new paddy seed varieties. Until the year 2013, MARDI and DOA had been declare almost 41 rice varieties for farmers to use. All varieties produced through rice breeding program and the varieties were stored in the rice gene bank of the genetic resources to be used for the next generation.

The example of pioneer rice varieties such as Malinja, Mahsuri, Ria, Murni, Sri Malaysia, Kadaria, MR84 and so on. Malinja variety was one of the popular rice variety produced by DOA and has been announced in 1965. The maturity period for this variety was between 137 to 147 days. Besides, the other popular variety, Mahsuri have been produced by DOA in 1965 had the maturity period between 134 to 138 days. There are several rice varieties such as Masria, Jaya, Sri Malaysia 1, Setanjung and MR47 produced by MARDI and DOA between the year 1966 to 1985.

All varieties had the different characteristics and benefit. MR84 variety was one of the best variety ever produced and have been declared in 1986. This variety was preferred to be plant almost 17<sup>th</sup> season and covered 70% area of paddy cultivation in Malaysia (MARDI, 2016). The high yielding varieties have been developed based on their potential and resistance towards pest and diseases. The seeds were developed to produce higher yield and good quality as characterized to have a good grain quality, shorter maturity days, tolerance to soil problem and resistance to pests and disease. The variety also helped to maximize the usage of land (double cropping) in order to produce a higher yield compared to traditional seeds.

The average yield of high-yielding varieties has been reported to increase yield from 2 to 3 and 5 to 6 tons per hectare in last 25 to 50 years and maximize the crop duration from 110 days to 140 days (Bouman and Tuong, 2001). Hitertho, MARDI have been declared 35 rice varieties that had the special characteristics such as good yield performance as well as resistant towards pest and disease. Among the popular rice varieties nowadays are MR220-CL1, MR220-CL2, MR253, MR263, and the latest one is MR269. MR220-CL2 was a popular variety that has been identified as a high variety and has been declared on May 2010. This breed have been grown in the field area that with weedy rice problem. Most of farmers who had problem regarding on weedy rice were preferred to use this breed. Besides, MR253 variety that is resistance against leaf blast diseases, suitable for an organic and high acidity of paddy

area. Based on the high yield results, MR253 has been declared on November 2010 (MARDI, 2016).

MR263 has been declared in November 2010 and was first cultivated in FELCRA Seberang Perak, MADA and KADA. The latest one is MR269 which has a maturity stage between 104 to 113 days after sowing and has been declared on November 2012. The height of the plant around 97 to 108 cm and has a high resistance against blast leaf disease. All these new varieties has been introduced via development of varieties and research program to ensure the rice consumption are sufficient. In Peninsular Malaysia, at least forty cultivars have been recorded thus far by MARDI (MARDI 2011) as listed Table 1.6 below. At present, majority of Peninsular Malaysia rice farmers are encouraged to use seeds of rice cultivars recommended by MARDI.

| No. | Varieties                  | Year     | No. | Varieties         | Year     |
|-----|----------------------------|----------|-----|-------------------|----------|
|     |                            | Released |     |                   | Released |
| 1.  | Malinja                    | 1964     | 22. | Pulut Hitam 9 PH9 | 1990     |
| 2.  | Mahsuri                    | 1965     | 23. | MR103             | 1990     |
| 3.  | Ria (IR8)                  | 1966     | 24. | MR106             | 1990     |
| 4.  | Bahagia                    | 1968     | 25. | MR123             | 1991     |
| 5.  | Murni                      | 1972     | 26. | MR127             | 1991     |
| 6.  | Masria Pulut               | 1972     | 27. | MR159             | 1995     |
| 7.  | Jaya (C <mark>4-63)</mark> | 1973     | 28. | MR167             | 1995     |
| 8.  | Sri Malaysia I             | 1974     | 29. | MR185             | 1997     |
| 9.  | Pulut                      | 1974     | 30. | MRQ50             | 1999     |
|     | Malays <mark>ia I</mark>   |          |     |                   |          |
| 10. | Sri Malaysia II            | 1974     | 31. | MR211             | 1999     |
| 11. | Sekencang                  | 1979     | 32. | M219              | 2001     |
| 12. | Setanjung                  | 1979     | 33. | MR 220            | 2003     |
| 13. | Sekembang                  | 1979     | 34. | Maswangi MRQ74    | 2005     |
| 14. | Kadaria                    | 1981     | 35. | MR232             | 2006     |
| 15. | Pulut Siding               | 1981     | 36. | MRM16             | 2010     |
| 16. | Seberang                   | 1984     | 37. | MR220-CL1         | 2010     |
| 17. | Manik                      | 1984     | 38. | MR220-CL2         | 2010     |
| 18. | Muda                       | 1984     | 39. | MR253             | 2010     |
| 19. | Makmur                     | 1985     | 40. | MR263             | 2010     |
| 20. | MR84                       | 1986     | 41. | MR269             | 2012     |
| 21. | MR81                       | 1988     |     |                   |          |

(Source: Salmah, 2014)

# 1.7 Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) and Muda Area

Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) was established on under the original name of the Emergency Decree is Party Muda Agricultural Development Authority. Then, the agency later had changed to the Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) after approved by Parliament under Act 70, the Muda Agricultural Development Authority Act, 1972. Under this act, MADA had been entrust to support tasks such as to develop, assist, plan, manage and enhance economic and social development in the Muda area. The two main goals of MADA are to increase the people standard of living in rural area and improve the production to meet the high demand. Besides, to ensure the goal successfully achieved, MADA has set up several functions to be carry out efficiently and effectively by providing agricultural and irrigation infrastructure, managing water resources effectively and ensuring economic and social development of farming communities (MADA, 2015).

There are about 55,130 farmers in MADA that involved in paddy farming. Kedah and Perlis are two states that involved in Muda Irrigation Scheme, with an area of 105.851 hectares in Kedah and 20.304 hectares in Perlis. The total of Muda area that covered two states in Peninsular Malaysia are 126.155 hectares. The total rice cultivation area in MADA is 96,558 acres, where 77,882 acres in the state of Kedah equivalent to 80.66% meanwhile the remaining area of 18.676 hectares, equivalent to 19.34% located in the state of Perlis (MADA, 2015). MADA's function is to ensure sufficient water supply for irrigation cultivation area. MADA is continuously searching for the best method and technologies for irrigation technique so that the water supply is always at the optimum level. Through establishment of MADA, paddy was successfully cultivated two times a year. First season or off season begins in March to August of the current year. The second season or main season begins in September of the current year to February next year. (MADA, 2015).

To facilitate and completing the administration of MADA, four regional offices have been established, namely Region I (Kangar, Perlis), Region II (Jitra, Kedah), Region III (Yan, Kedah) and Region IV (Kota Sarang Semut). The area covered by Region 1 is 18,676 ha, Region II is 31,616 ha, Region III is 21,376 ha and Region IV is 24,890 ha. There are 27 centers Districts Farmers' Organization (PPK) in MADA area that offer various type of services such as farm infrastructure services, farm goods suppliers, development and marketing and 2015). consultation. others (MADA, The objectives establishments of the PPK are to increase economy and social standard, to enhance skills and knowledge, to incline revenue and income and improve living standards. MADA also provide farmers' development programs in implementing high quality of paddy seed that have been introduce by MARDI to enhance farmers' economic. Figure 1.1 shows the map of MADA paddy farming area covered from Region 1 to Region IV. The regions were dividing into 27 small areas of irrigation (A1-E1, A2-H2, A3-F3 and A4-F4).



Figure 1.1: Map of Muda Paddy Farming Area Source: MADA (2015)

#### 1.8 Problem Statement

Based on data in statistics from DOA (2011), the total population of people in Malaysia is 29.7 million people and consumption of rice per capita is around 94 kg per person. The production of rice only 2.6 metric tons meanwhile the rice for domestic consumption amount needed is 2.8 metric tons thousand tons (Ricepedia, 2011). Government seriously emphasizes and gives a massive attention via research institutes and government agencies towards increasing paddy production and rice industry. High yielding varieties have been developed based on their potential and resistance towards pest and diseases. MARDI has launched several new paddy seed varieties such as MR220-CL1, MR220-CL2, MR253, MR263 and MR269. The uses on new seed varieties are important and beneficial for the productivity of rice.

However, there was no significant improvement in yield as the average farm yields varies from 3-5 tons per hectare. There are many problems facing by rural farmers due to their lack of knowledge and skill on using the new seed varieties on their farm. Some of them experienced the differences of yield production according to the area even though they have applied the same new seed varieties. Farmers should have the right knowledge, attitude, and skill on adopting new varieties to make sure the potential of the seed contribute to their productivity. The strategies for rice technology transfer to farmers also should be specially packaged in order to enhance the yield production. To date there have been little if any on adoption of farmers towards new paddy seed varieties research. Therefore, this research has been conducted to find out to what extent of knowledge, attitude and skill on the adoption on new paddy varieties among farmers.

# 1.9 Research Question

- 1) What is the level of adoption on new paddy seed varieties among respondents in Muda area?
- 2) What are level of knowledge, attitude and skills on new paddy seed varieties?
- 3) Does the socio-demographic factors will affect the level of adoption on new paddy seed varieties?
- 4) Does the level of knowledge, attitudes and skills will affect the level of adoption on new paddy seed varieties?

## 1.10 Objective of the study

## 1.10.1 General Objective

The general objective of this study is to determine adoption on new paddy seed varieties among farmers in Muda area.

## 1.10.2 Specific Objectives

Specific objectives of this study are:

- 1) To identify the level of respondent's adoption towards new paddy seed varieties in Muda area.
- 2) To identify the level of respondent's knowledge, attitude and skills towards new paddy seed varieties.
- 3) To determine the association between socio-demographic factors and level of adoption on new paddy seed varieties.
- 4) To determine the relationship between the level of knowledge, attitudes, skills and level of adoption on new paddy seed varieties.

# 1.11 Significance of the Study

This study will provide useful information to understand factors that influence the respondents' adoption on new paddy seed varieties in terms of attitude, skill, and knowledge. This study can help farmers to improve their farm productivity and increase their incomes. Policy makers and agricultural agencies can use the results to formulate plans and strategies to ensure development of rice farmers in this particular Muda area.

This objective agreed the government's desire to increase food production in order to meet country rice self-sufficiency 90% in 2010. The study provided information about the development of agriculture especially in rice production. Besides, it gives a clear picture on level of farmers' adoption towards new varieties of rice among community on that study area. The results of this study may help departments and agencies in planning and implementing activities in a joint development program with farmers.

This study was very significant to economic development in paddy farming. From this study, we described the socio-economic development of farmers towards adoption on new paddy seed varieties. In fact, this is the best solution to improve the uses of new paddy seed varieties and yield production for the future.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdulai, A., and Huffman, W. E. (2005). The Diffusion of New Agricultural Technologies; The Case of Crossbred-Cow Technology in Tanzania. *American Agricultural Economics Association*, 87(3):645-659.
- Abebaw, D. and Belay, K. (2001). Factors influencing adoption of high yielding maize varieties in Southwestern Ethiopia: An application of Logit. *Quarterly J. Int. Agriculture*, 40 (2):149-167.
- Adedoyin, A., Shamsudin, M., Radam, A., and AbdLatif, I. (2013). Effect of Improved High Yielding Rice Variety on Farmers Productivity in MADA Malaysia. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53:1689–1699.
- Allen, C.T., Machleit, R.A., Kleine, S.S. and Notani, A.S. (2003). A place for emotion in attitude models. *Journal of Business Research*, 56(1): 1-6.
- Alene, A.D. and Manyong. V.M. (2006). Testing farmers' cropping decisions and varietal adoption behavior: the case of cowpea producers in northern. *Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural and Food Economics*, 1(1):1-15.
- Azmi, M. (2013). Weedy rice control technology in direct seeding plants. Paper presented in National Rice Conference 2013, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia.
- Azmi, M., and Karim, S.M.R. (2008). Weedy Rice: Biology, Ecology and Management. Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, pp 56
- Bakhshoodeh, M., and Shajari, S. (2006). Adoption of new seed varieties under production risk : an application to rice in Iran. *International Association of Agricultural Economists Conference, Gold Coast, Australia.*
- Bard, S. K. and Barry, P. J. (2000). Developing a scale for assessing risk attitudes of agricultural decision makers. *The International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 3(1): 9-25.
- Bardhan, D., Dabas, Y. P. S., Tewari, S. K. and Kumar, A. (2006). An assessment of risk attitude of dairy farmers in Uttaranchal (India). *In Agricultural Economists Conference*, 12-18.
- Bloom B.S. (1956). *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, Handbook I: The Cognitive Domain*. New York: David McKay Co Inc.
- Bouman, B.A.M and Tuong, T.P. (2001)."Field Water Managements to Save and Increase its Productivity in Irrigated Low Land Rice". *Journal of Agricultural of Water Management*, 49:11-30.

- Brumm, T.J., Mickelson, S. K., Steward, B. L., and Kaleita A. L. (2006). Competency- based Outcomes Assessment for Agrcultural Engineering Programs. *International Journal Engineering Education*, 22:1163-1172.
- Burton, M., Rigby, D., and Trevor, Y. (2003). Modeling the adoption of organic horticultural technology in the UK using Duration Analysis. *The Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resources Economic*, 47:29-54.
- Breustedt, G., J. Müller-Scheebel, and H. Meyer-Schatz. 2008. Forecasting the adoption of GM oilseed rape: Evidence from a discrete choice experiment in Germany. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 59(2): 237-256.
- Cavane, A.P.E. (2007). Farmers' Attitude and Adoption Of Improve Maize Varieties and Chemical Fertilizer in the Munica District Muzambique. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu*, 11(1).
- Chilonda, P. and Van Huylenbroecj, G. (2001). Attitude Towards and Uptake of Veterinary Services by Small-Scale Cattle Farmers in Eastern Province Zambia. *Outlook on Agricultural*, 30:231-218.
- Chirwa, E.W. (2005). Adoption of Fertilizer and Hybrid Seeds by Smallholder Maize Farmers in Southern Malawi. *Development Southern Africa*, 22:1-12.
- Cook, B. G. and Cook, L. (2008). Nanoexperimental quantitative research and its role in guiding instruction. *Intervention in school and clinic,* 44(2): 98-104.
- Cummings, J.L., and Teng, B. (2003). Transferring R&D Knowledge: The Key Factors Affecting Transfer Success. *Engineering and Technology Management*, 20:39-68.
- Daño, E. C., and Samonte, E. D. (2002). Public sector intervention in the rice industry in Malaysia. Southeast Asia Regional Initiatives for Community Empowerment (SEARICE), (pp. 187–216).
- Daskalogianni, K., and Simpson, A. (2000). Towards A Definition of Attitude: The Relationship Between the Affective and the Cognitive in Pre University Students. Proceedings of PME 24,217-224, Hiroshima, Japan.
- Dexter, E. (1986). *Investing in Rural Extension: Strategies and Goals.* London: Elsevier Applied Science Publisher.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia (2016), pp. 99-102. Available at: http://www.staticticsgov.my/portal/download\_economics/files/DATA\_SER IES/2011/pdf/08pad.pdf. Retrieved on 13 Jan 2016.

- Eighth Malaysia Plan. (2001). Malaysia: Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister"s Department, Malaysia 2001-2005. Available at: http://www.epu.jpm.my.
- Eleven Malaysia Plan. (2016-2020). Malaysia: Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister"s Department, Malaysia 2016-2020. Available at: http://www.epu.gov.my/en/rmk/eleventh-malaysia-plan-2016-2020. Retrieved at 27 Mac 2016.
- Etoundi, SMN., and Dia, B.K. (2008). Proceedings of the African Economic Conference 2008. *Determinants of the adoption of improved varieties of Maize in Cameroon: case of CMS 8704.* 397-413.
- Evenson, R.E., and D. Gollin. (2003) "Assessing the Impact of the Green Revolution, 1960 to 2000." Science 300.5620: 758-62.
- Flett, R., Alpass, F., Humphries, S., Massey, C., Morriss, S., and Long, N. (2004). The technology acceptance model and use of technology in New Zealand dairy farming. *Agricultural Systems*, 80: 199–211.
- FAO (1996). Rome Declaration on World Food Security. *World Food Summit1996*.Availableat:http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/w3613e/w3613e0 0.htm
- FAO (1997). Selected indicators of food and agricultural development in the Asia-Pacific Region, 1986-1996. Regional Office for the Asia and the Pacific, FAO Bangkok, Thailand. No. 23:206.
- Feder, G., and R. Slade. "The Acquisition Of Information And The Adoption Of New Technology." *Amer. J. Agr. Econ.* 66: 312–320.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, FAOSTAT database. (2016). Available at: <u>http://faostat3.fao.org/download/Q/QC/E</u>. Retrieved 15 July 2016.
- Guilford, J. P. (1956). *Fundamental Statistics in Psychology and Education* (pp. 145). New York: Mc Graw-Hill
- Gyau, A. and Spiller, A. (2007). The role of organizational culture in modeling buyerseller relationships in the fresh fruit and vegetable trade between Ghana and Europe. *African Journal of Business Management*, 1 (8): 218-229
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., and Black, W. C. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Hussin, F., and Mat, A. W. (2013). Socio-Economic Level of Paddy Farmers under The Management of MADA : A Case Study in The Pendang District Kedah. *Journal of Governance and Development*, 9:79–92.

- Istikoma, Qurat-ul-Ain., and Ahmad Dahlan, A. R. (2015). The Transformation of Agriculture Based Economy to an Industrial Sector through Crowd Sourcing In Malaysia. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 3(1):34–41.
- Ittersum, K. Van, Rogers, W., and Capar, M. (2006). Understanding technology acceptance: Phase 1–literature review and qualitative model development. Technology Report, 1–123. Available at: http://www.omidian.ir/file/Understanding Technology Acceptance.pdf
- Johari, A. (2010). The Level of Adoption of Precision Agricultural Practice Among Paddy Farmers in Sawah Sempadan, Selangor, Malaysia. (PhD, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 2010).
- Kalinda, M. (2005). The Impact of Globalisation on Tanzaniaís Labour Market: Evidence from the Manufacturing Sectorî. A paper prepared for a Policy Dialogue for Accelerating Growth and Poverty Reduction in Tanzania, ESRF. Available at: http://www.tzonline.org.
- Khadka, S. S. (1983). Adoption of High Yielding Rice Practices in Nawalparasi District, Nepal. Research Paper Series No.24 Kathmandu: ADC, Inc.
- Kudi, T.M., Bolaji, M., Akinola, M.O. and Nasa, I.O.H. (2010). Analysis of adoption of improved maize varieties among farmers in Kwara State, Nigeria. International Journal of Peace and Development Studies, 1(3): 8-12.
- Lagerkvist C. J. Assessing farmers' risk attitudes based on economic, social, personal, and environmental sources of risk: evidence from Sweden. In AAEA Annual Meeting. July 2005. pp. 24-25.
- Muda Agricultural Development Authority (2015): Available at: http://www.mada.gov.my/web/guest/26. Retrieved on 25 December 2015.
- Malaysian Investment Development Authority. (2011). *Malaysia:* February 2011.Availableat:http://www.mida.gov.my/home/administrator/system\_file s/modules/photo/uploads/20140126142931\_slides2011eng.pdf
- MARDI (2004) "Angin Manual Control Technology of Rice", (pp. 1-30).
- MARDI (2016) [Online]. [Accessed 11 February 2016]. Available at: http://www.mardi.gov.my
- Motshegwe, M. M. (2015). Factors Influencing Instructors' Attitudes toward Technology Integration. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 8(1):1–16.
- Ninth Malaysia Plan. (2006). Malaysia: The Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister Department 2006-2010. Putrajaya: Malaysia.

- Nor Farahin and Zainal Abiddin (2015). Growth performance and yield of lowland rice variety (MR220CL and MR 263), cultivated in different soil type of Sarawak. (Unpublished thesis, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Malaysia)
- Norsida, M. and I.S. Sami. (2009). Off-farm employment participation among paddy farmers in the Muda Agricultural Development Authority and Kemasin Semerak granary areas of Malaysia. *Asia-Pacific Development Journal*, 16(2): 141-153.
- National Key Economic Area (2016). Agriculture NKEA Fact Sheet. Available at: http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/upload/NKEA\_Factsheeet\_ Agriculture.pdf. Retrieved at: 20 January 2016
- Okunade, D.A (2006). Effect of irrigation amount and tillage system on yield and water use efficiency of cowpea. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*, 37(1-2): 225-237.
- Oskamp, S., and Schultz, W. P. (2005). *Attitudes and Opinions* (Third Edition). Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Othman, S., Hussain, Z.P.M., Sunian, E., and Hashim, S. (2012). Yield performance of rice varieties MR253 and MR263 in different soil fertility zones. *Buletin Teknologi MARDI*, 1: 41–48.
- Othman (2015).Rice production and potential for hybrid rice in Malaysia. Available at: http://www.iipm.com.my/ipicex2014/Session%201A%20 Othmanpdf. Retreived on 22 July 2015
- Patel, A.U. and Antonio, Q.B.C. (1972). An Analysis of Selected Factors that Influence the Adoption of Improved Practices Among Tobacco Farmers in Western States Ibaden, Nigeria. *World Agric. Eco. And Ru. Socio Abstract,* 10
- Paudel, P. and Matsuoka, A. (2008) Factors influencing of improved maize varieties in Nepal: A case study of Chitwan district. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 2(4):823-834.
- Pohl, M. (2000). Learning to Think, Thinking to Learn: Models and Strategies to Develop a Classroom Culture of Thinking. Cheltenham, Vic: Hawker Brownlow.
- Rahim., M. Sail. R. and Mazanah Muhamad (1994). Factors associated with non-adoption of technology by rubber smallholders. *Pertanika J. Soc.Sci. and Hum*, 2(1): 29-41
- Rahim, M. et al. (1990). From Smallholders' Practices, Constraints and Need to Appropriate Technology Generation, Development and Adoption: The Case of Rubber Smallholdings in Peninsular Malaysia. *Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia*, 188p.

- Raudah B T, Talib Zainal Abidin and Kadir B (2014), Situation Breeding and Production of Rice in Malaysia. *The training course for dus test of rice*. Availableat :http://eapvp.org/report/Malaysia\_Rice%20Presentation.pdf
- Riedal, J. S. (2006). Effects of an introductory agricultural education course on agricultural literacy and perceptions of agriculture in urban students. (Unpublished Master's thesis, North Carolina state University, 2006).
- Rogers, E.M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovation* (5<sup>th</sup>Ed). New York: The Free Press.
- Salmah, Tajuddin (2014). Effect Of Weedy Rice (Oryza sativa L.) On The Yield Of Cultivated Rice (Oryza sativa L.) In Greenhouse And Field Environment. (Masters thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 2014).
- Schultz, T. W. (1975). The value of ability to deal with disequilibria. *Journal Economy Literature*, 13:827–896.
- Shih Ya-Yueh and Fang Kwoting, (2004). "The use of a decomposed theory of planned behavior to study internet banking in Taiwan." Internet Research, Bradford, 14(3): 213
- Simpson E.J. (1972). The Classification of Educational Objectives in the Psychomotor Domain. Washington DC: Gryphon House
- Simtowe, F., Zeller, M. and Diagne, A. (2009). The impact of credit constraints on the adoption of hybrid maize in Malawi. *Review* of Agricultural and *Environmental Studies*, 90 (1):5-22
- Spielman, D. J. (2002). The Diffusion of Agricultural Technology and the Role of the Multinational Enterprise : Issues for Developing Economies,pp 1– 29.
- Sunding, D., and Zilberman, D. (2000). *The Agricultural Innovation Process : Research and Technology Adoption in a Changing Agricultural Sector.* Handbook of Agricultural Economics
- Suswanto T, Shamshuddin J, Syed Omar S R and Mat P (2007). "Effects of Lime and Fertiliser Application in Combination with Water Management on Rice (Oryza sativa) Cultivated on an Acid Sulfate Soil". *MJSS*, 11:1-16.
- Tijani, A. S., Ramzan, N., and Witt, W. (2007). Process and plant improvement using extended exergy analysis, a case study. *Revista de Chimie*, *58*(4), 392-396.
- Truong, T.N.C and Ryuichi, Y. (2002). Factors affecting farmers' adoption of technologies in farming system: A case study in OMon district, Can Tho province, Mekong Delta. *Omonrice*, 10: 94-100

- Tura, M., Aredo, D., Tsegaye, W., Rovere, RL., Tesfahun, G., Mwangi, W. and Mwabu, G. (2010) Adoption and continued use of improved maize seeds: Case study of Central Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(17):2350-2358
- Useche, P., Barham, B. F., and Foltz, D. J. (2009). Intergrating technology traits and producer heterogeneity: A mixed-multinominal model of genetically modified corn adoption. *America Journal of Agricultural Economic*, 91:444-461
- Wan Mahmood, W. J. (2006). Developing Malaysian seed industry: Prospects and challenges. *Economic and Technology Management Review*, 1:51–59.
- Wiersma, W. (1991). *Research Methods in Education: An Introduction. 5th ed.* Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Willock, J., Deary, I.J., Edwards-Jones J., Gibson G.J., McGregor M.J., Sutherland A., Dent, J.B., Morgan, O. and Grieve, R. (1999). The role of attitude and objectives in farmer decision making: Business and environmentally-oriented behavior in Scotland. *Journal Agriculture Economics*, 50(2): 286-303.
- Womochil, M. (2007a). Looking to the future. *FFA Advisors Making a Difference*, 15: 1
- Womochil, M. (2007b). The state of agricultural education. FFA Advisors Making a Difference, 15: 7-8
- Woodman, R.W., Sawyer, J.E. and Griffin, R.W. (1993). "Toward a theory of organizational creativity". *Academy of Management Review*, 18: 293-321.

#### **BIODATA OF STUDENT**

Nur Shuhamin binti Nazuri was born on 10 January, 1991 in Kuching, an urban districts in Sarawak. Growing up as the second children with an eldest sister and younger sister, she spent most of her childhood with her family in Kem Batu 10, Kuantan Pahang.

She obtained her primary education in Sekolah Kebangsaan Pengkalan Tentera in Kuantan and then entered a boarding school Sekolah Tun Fatimah in Johor Bharu to continue her secondary education. She finished her Sijil Penilaian Menengah (SPM) on 2008.

Afterwards, she continued her education in Penang Matriculation College in 2009 until 2010. Later in 2011, she furthers her study in Bachelor Science of Agriculture at the Faculty of Agriculture and obtained her Bachelor's Degree in November, 2014.

Her interest for agriculture extension field starts when she first entered Agriculture Extension class for her subject at the faculty. Her interest for agriculture extension field continues as she began studying in Master of Science Agricultural Extension at the Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia. During her Masters', she had an opportunity to work on a project with the title "Adoption on New Paddy Seed Varieties among Farmers in Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) carries out by her respected lecturer Associate Professor Dr. Norsida Man and experienced lecturer Associate Professor Dr. Nitty Hirawaty binti Kamarulzaman.

# PUBLICATION

# Submitted

Nazuri, N. S. and Norsida, M. (2016). Acceptance and Practices on New Paddy Seed Variety Among Farmers in MADA Granary Area. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(2): 105-110.





# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

# ACADEMIC SESSION : \_\_\_\_\_

# TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

ADOPTION ON NEW PADDY SEED VARIETIES AMONG FARMERS IN MUDA AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, MALAYSIA

# NAME OF STUDENT: NUR SHUHAMIN BINTI NAZURI

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

\*Please tick (V)



(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

| Embargo from | un     | til    |  |
|--------------|--------|--------|--|
| -            | (date) | (date) |  |

Approved by:

(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport No.: (Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee) Name:

Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]