

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

APPLICATION OF RICE HUSK BIOCHAR AS SOIL AMENDMENT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF RICE YIELD, NUTRIENT UPTAKE, SOIL PROPERTIES AND FERTILIZER NITROGEN RECOVERY

DENIEL ANAK SANG

FP 2017 30



APPLICATION OF RICE HUSK BIOCHAR AS SOIL AMENDMENT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF RICE YIELD, NUTRIENT UPTAKE, SOIL PROPERTIES AND FERTILIZER NITROGEN RECOVERY



By

DENIEL ANAK SANG

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements of the Degree of Master of Science

February 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

APPLICATION OF RICE HUSK BIOCHAR AS SOIL AMENDMENT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF RICE YIELD, NUTRIENT UPTAKE, SOIL PROPERTIES AND FERTILIZER NITROGEN RECOVERY

By

DENIEL ANAK SANG

February 2017

Chairman Faculty : Rosenani Abu Bakar, PhD : Agriculture

The excessive rice husk produced after each rice (Oryza sativa) harvesting period poses a severe threat to environmental and human health. In Malaysia, about 408, 000 Mg per annum of rice husks produced and only a small percent of rice husks is used as a source of heat energy for drying process and produced a by-product known as rice husk char (RHB). Although few studies have validated benefits of RHB application, no study regarding the RHB application effects on rice yield parameter, soil properties and fertilizer nitrogen recovery have been done in Malaysia. Thus, a field experiment was conducted in Barat Laut Selangor (BLS) at Sungai Burong, Tanjong Karang, Selangor to determine the effects of RHB on rice yield parameters and soil properties for two crop cycles. Four rates of RHB treatment 0, 5, 10 and 20 Mg ha⁻¹ was laid out in RCBD with 4 replications. Soil is classified as Sulfic Endoaquepts (Sabrang series) with organic matter content of 17%. Results indicate that biochar significantly improved the soil chemical properties in general, particularly total C after second crop cycle compared to the control (without RHB). Also, N. P and K uptake was significantly (P<0.05) improved under RHB treatment in both crop cycles. More importantly, rice grain yield also significantly ($P \le 0.05$) increased with RHB application compared to control, with an increment of 44% in the first cycle and 45% in the second cycle. Biochar application significantly (P≤0.05) enhanced percent productive tiller, panicle length and weight per panicle in both crop cycles. A pot study was also carried out at Field 10, UPM, Serdang to investigate the effectiveness of RHB on urea nitrogen recovery under controlled condition. Results of this experiment show that RHB application although significantly (P<0.05) improved soil properties, shoot and root dry matter weight and nutrient uptake 75 days after application. Application of urea-N recovery in shoot was not significantly higher than control with ranged of 40.97 to 41.64% of applied N.



However, recovery of urea-N in soil was influenced only at application rate of 20 Mg ha⁻¹ RHB compared to soil without RHB. Total urea-N recovery was about 60.39 to 70.20%. Overall, results of this study suggest that application of RHB as a soil amendment in rice cultivation has the potential to be an effective method for increasing rice grain yield productivity and reduce the amount of N fertilizer required for the sustainable rice production in the long-term apart than recycling rice waste. This study also indicates that RHB amended plot can still improve grain yield under drought condition. Loss of indigenous total soil C also seemed to be reduced with RHB amendment. Thus, addition of RHB into rice field may be a viable option to recycle waste back into rice field and sustain rice production. Further study, however, is needed to determine the long term effect of RHB soil amendment on rice in the BLS organic rich topsoil areas and in other rice bowl areas.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

APLIKASI BIOCAR SEKAM PADI SEBAGAI PEMBAIKPULIH TANAH UNTUK PENINGKATAN HASIL PADI, PENGAMBILAN NUTRIEN, KONDISI TANAH DAN DAPATAN KEMBALI NITROGEN BAJA

Oleh

DENIEL ANAK SANG

Februari 2017

Pengerusi : Rosenani Abu Bakar, PhD Fakulti : Pertanian

Pengeluaran sisa sekam padi yang berlebihan setiap kitaran tanaman padi (Oryza sativa) telah memberi impak negatif kepada persekitaran dan juga kesihatan manusia. Di Malaysia, pengeluaran sisa sekam adalah dilaporkan sebanyak 408,000 Mg per tahun dan hanya beberapa peratus sahaja yang digunakan untuk pembakaran sebagai sumber tenaga haba yang diperlukan untuk tujuan pengeringan hasil padi. Produk hasil daripada proses pembakaran dikenali sebagai biocar sekam padi (RHB). Banyak kajian yang telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan RHB sebagai pembaikpulih tanah dan menunjukkan kesan positif pengeluaran hasil tanaman serta kesuburan tanah. Namun, di Malaysia kajian kesan penggunaan RHB terhadap kesuburan tanah, pertumbuhan dan pengeluran hasil padi masih lagi kurang. Kajian lapangan dijalankan di kawasan Sungai Burong, Tanjong Karang, Selangor untuk menentukan kesan penggunaan RHB terhadap pengeluaran hasil padi dan kesuburan tanah untuk dua kitaran tanaman. Empat jenis kadar RHB yang digunakan dalam kajian seperti 0, 5, 10 and 20 Mg ha⁻¹. Tanah di kawasan kajian diklasifikasikan sebagai Sulfic Endoaquepts (siri Sabrang) mengandungi jumlah karbon 17%. Kajian telah menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan pembaikpulih tanah di kawasan penanaman padi mampu memperbaiki sifat kimia tanah secara umum, terutama sekali jumlah C tanah selepas kitaran tanaman yang kedua, jika dibandingkan dengan rawatan kawalan. Malah, kadar pengambilan nutrien (N, P, K) meningkat dengan penggunaan RHB jika dibandingkan dengan plot yang tidak menerima rawatan. Pengeluaran hasil padi menunjukkan kesan yang positif terhadap penggunaan RHB seperti yang dibandingkan dengan kawalan, dengan peningkatan sebanyak 44% pada kitaran pertama dan 45% pada kitaran kedua. Keputusan menunjukan bahawa penggunaan RHB berpotensi sebagai cara efektif untuk meningkatkan hasil pengeluaran padi walaupun di kawasan penanaman yang mengandungi bahan organik yang tinggi serta keadaan kemarau pada kitaran tanaman kedua. Kajian di bawah rumah kaca yang terletak di Ladang 10, UPM, Serdang untuk menentukan keberkesanan RHB terhadap dapatan kembali nitrogen baja dalam persekitaran yang terkawal. Hasil



kajian menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan RHB memperbaiki sifat kimia tanah, berat kering biomass dan akar serta pengambilan nutrient. Dapatan kembali nitrogen baja dalam tanaman tidak menunjukkan peningkatan jika dibandingkan dengan rawatan kawalan dengan julat 40.97 hingga 41.64%. Walau bagaimanapun, dapatan kembali nitrogen baja dalam tanah hanya menunjukkan peningkatan pada kadar 20 Mg ha⁻¹ RHB jika dibandingkan dengan rawatan kawalan. Jumlah dapatan kembali nitrogen baja keseluruhan adalah dengan julat 60.39 hingga 70.20%. Keseluruhannya, hasil kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan RHB boleh dijadikan sebagai langkah yang efektif dalam meningkatkan pengeluaran hasil padi dan juga mengurangkan jumlah baja N yang diperlukan untuk pengeluaran hasil padi bagi jangka masa panjang selain daripada menggitar semula sisa sekam padi. Kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa aplikasi RHB boleh meningkatkan hasil padi walaupun pada musim kemarau. Malah, kadar kehilangan C tanah asal menunjukkan bahawa kehilangannya berkurang di bawah rawatan RHB jika dibandingkan dengan rawatan kawalan. Oleh itu, kajian lanjut diperlukan untuk menentukan kesan jangka panjang RHB terhadap pengeluaran hasil padi di BLS yang mengandungi bahan organic yang tinggi dan kawasan penanaman padi yang lain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank my parents, Sang Anak Bahut, Timah Anak Jenang and my siblings for their unwavering encouragement, moral support and patience and believing in me. I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to all my supervisors, Prof Datin. Dr Rosenani Abu Bakar, Prof. Madya Dr Siti Hajar Ahmad and Dr. Khairrudin Abdul Rahim who patiently and diligently offered advice and suggestions which offered the opportunity to learn and acquire experiences that build my personality for the future. Your constant guidance and generosity during my MSc. studies allowed me to learn so much and made my time as a postgraduate student most enjoyable and memorable. I would also like to acknowledge Ministry of Sciences, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), Malaysia for funding this project and Faculty of Agriculture, UPM for the laboratory, facilities and rain shelter throughout my MSc. Studies. Many thanks to MARDI for their support to arrange the field plot and maintenance work during my field study which was located at Sungai Burong, Kuala Selangor, Malaysia. Hereby, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to Mr. Ali who supplied the biochar material which is the main focus of my research study. I would also like to extend my thanks to all the laboratory assistants and staff at UPM for giving me useful technical and scientific advice and assistance. It has been a great pleasure working with all of you. I would also like to extend my thanks to all my friends, Jackie McCree, Fonsenka, Hasanah, Rovica, Lim, Faizul and others. Without all of you, I would not be who I am today.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 24 February 2017 to conduct the final examination of Deniel anak Sang on his thesis entitled "Application of Rice Husk Biochar as Soil Amendment for Improvement of Rice Yield, Nutrient Uptake, Soil Properties and Fertilizer Nitrogen Recovery" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Che Fauziah binti Ishak, PhD Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Osumanu Haruna Ahmed, PhD

Professor Faculty of Agriculture and Food Science (Bintulu Campus) Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Abdul Rahim Harun, PhD

Senior Lecturer Malaysian Nuclear Agency Malaysia (External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 4 September 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Rosenani Abu Bakar, PhD

Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Siti Hajar Ahmad, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Khairuddin Abdul Rahim, PhD

Director Agrotechnology and Biosiences Division Malaysian Nuclear Agency (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustration and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is publish (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 201. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature

Date:

Name and Matric No. : Deniel Anak Sang (GS38014)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Si N of C	ignature ame of Chairman f Supervisory ommittee	:
Si N of C	ignature ame of Member f Supervisory ommittee	:
Si N of C	ignature ame of Member f Supervisory ommittee	: : <u>Dr. Khairuddin Abdul Rahim</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF PLATES	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii

CHAPTER

 $\overline{()}$

1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	3
	2.1	Rice production	3
		2.1.1 Rice cultivation in Malaysia	4
		2.1.2 Issues relating to rice cultivation	6
	2.2	Fertilization in rice cultivation	7
	2.3	Nitrogen fertilizer use efficiency and losses	8
	2.4	Rice waste management in Malaysia	9
	2.5	Biochar use in agriculture	10
		2.5.1 Properties of biochar and benefits as soil amendment	10
		2.5.1.1 Effect of biochar on soil physical properties	11
		2.5.1.2 Effect of biochar on soil chemical properties	11
		2.5.1.3 Effect of biochar on soil biological properties	12
		2.5.2 Effect of biochar on rice crop growth yield	12
		2.5.3 Rice husk biochar	13
3	INFL	UENCE OF <mark>RICE</mark> HUSK BIOCHAR ON YIELD	14
	PARA	AMETERS, PLANT NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND SOIL	
	PROI	PERTIES OF RICE CULTIVATED ON SOIL WITH	
	ORG	ANIC RICH TOPSOIL	
	3.1	Introduction	14
	3.2	Materials and Methods	15
		3.2.1 Experimental site and soil characteristics	15
		3.2.2 Experimental layout and treatment	16

		3.2.3 Soil sampling and analysis	17
		3.2.3.1 Soil pH (H ₂ O)	17
		3.2.3.2 Total C and total N	17
		3.2.3.3 Available phosphorus	17
		3.2.3.4 Extractable cations and	18
		cation exchange capacity (CEC)	
		3.2.4 Plant tissue sampling and analysis	18
		3.2.5 Statistical analysis	20
	3.3	Results	20
		3.3.1 Effect of RHB amendment on soil characteristics	20
		3.3.2 Influence of RHB on crop growth performance	24
		3.3.3 Nutrient uptake responses with biochar amendment	26
		3.3.4 Nitrogen components in aboveground biomass and soil as	28
		affected by RHB application	20
	34	Discussion	30
	3.5	Conclusions	32
	5.5		52
4	INFL	UENCE OF RICE HUSK BIOCHAR (RHB) ON RICE	33
•	GRO	WTH PERFORMANCE AND FERTILIZER NITROGEN	55
	RECO	OVERY ON RICE UP TO TILLERING STAGE	
	41	Introduction	33
	4.2	Materials and Methods	34
	- T • Z	4.2.1 Experimental site and soil characteristics	34
		4.2.2 Experimental layout and treatment	36
		4.2.3 Soil sampling and analysis	40
		4.2.4 Plant tissue sampling and nutrient analysis	
		4.2.5 Determination of biochar characteristics	$\frac{1}{42}$
		4.2.6 Statistical analysis	$\frac{-12}{42}$
	13	Results	13
	H. J	A 3.1 Effects of PHB amondment on soil characteristics	43
		4.5.1 Effects of KHB amendment of son characteristics	43
		132 Bice putrient uptake responses with application	15
		4.5.2 Kice indificit uptake responses with application	45
		4.3.3 Impact of PHB on yield parameters in first crop cycle	18
		4.5.5 Impact of RHB on yield parameters in first crop cycle	40 50
		4.5.4 Influence of KHB amendment of soil characteristics	32
		1 2 5 Nutrient write he need on see with amplication	51
		4.5.5 Nutrient uptake responses with application	54
		4.2.6 Impact of DUD on viold perometers in second error such	56
	1 1	4.5.0 Impact of KHB on yield parameters in second crop cycle	30 57
	4.4	Discussion	5/
	4.5	Conclusions	61

	٠
37	1
х	L
	-

5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR	62
	FUTURE RESEARCH	
RI	EFERENCES	63
A	PPENDICES	78
BI	ODATA OF STUDENT	93
LI	IST OF PUBLICATIONS	94



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Hectareage of planted and harvested, average yield and total rice production from 8 main granary areas, Peninsular Malaysia, 2014. Source: Statistical Handbook Malaysia, (2014).	11
3.1	Selected chemical properties of the soil area before treatments and rice husk biochar (RHB).	26
3.2	The influence of amendment on Ndff, NU, FNY, fertilizer ¹⁵ N recovery, and total recovery in soil and plant.	44
3.3	Correlation between soil properties, nutrient uptake and rice yield parameters to grain yield.	50
4.1	Recommended fertilizer application rates for MR263 rice by MARDI for a rice crop cycle (Source: Sistem Penanaman Padi Lestari; MARDI, 2008).	58
4.2	Selected chemical properties of the soil before treatments and rice husk biochar (RHB)	60
4.3	Fitted response to represent the effects of RHB amendment on soil properties in first crop cycles.	65
4.4	Fitted response to represent the effects of RHB amendment on rice nutrient uptake in first crop cycles	70
4.5	Fitted response to represent the effects of RHB applied rates on rice yield parameters in first crop cycles	74
4.6	Fitted response to represent the effects of RHB amendment on soil properties in second crop cycles	78
4.7	Fitted response to represent the effects RHB amendment on rice nutrient uptake in second crop cycles.	80
4.8	Fitted response to represent the effects of RHB amendment on rice yield parameters in second crop cycles.	83
4.9	Soil total C and reduction after first and second crop cycle as influenced by RHB application rates.	85
4.10	Correlation between soil properties, nutrient uptake and rice yield parameters to grain yield of two crop cycles.	90

 \bigcirc

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Distribution of granary areas of Paddy in Peninsular Malaysia, Agriculture GIS information. Source: Department of Agriculture Malaysia, (2016).	6
2.2	Hectarage of Paddy Varieties for Overall Granary Area, Peninsular Malaysia, 2013. Source: Statistical Handbook Malaysia, (2014).	9
3.1	Soil pH 0-15 cm depth as a function of the application rates of RHB amendment.	34
3.2	Redox potential as affected by RHB application rates.	35
3.3	Soil total C in the soil at the depth of 0-15 cm as a function of the application rates of RHB amendment	36
3.4	Soil total N at the depth of 0-15 cm as a function of the application rates of RHB amendment.	37
3.5	Soil available P in the soil (depth 0-15 cm) as a function of the application rates of RHB amendment.	38
3.6	Relationship between biochar amendment and shoot until maximum tillering stage (75 days).	39
3.7	Relationship between biochar amendment root dry matter weight until maximum tillering stage (75 days).	40
3.8	N uptake in shoot as a function of the application of RHB amendment.	41
3.9	P uptake in shoot as a function of the application of RHB amendment.	42
3.10	K uptake as a function of the application of RHB amendment	42
3.11	Changes of 15N labelled fertilizer recovery in plant and soil under RHB amendment.	45
3.12	Nitrogen derived from soil in shoot as affected by RHB application	45
3.13	Response of 15N labelled fertilizer recovery as affected by addition of RHB amendment.	54

4.1	Monthly rainfall and air temperature (Mean of 2 rice crop cycle). Main season (1 st cycle: September to December 2014), off season (2 nd cycle: March 2014 to June 2015) at Sungai Burong, Tanjung Karang.	27
4.2	Response of soil pH to RHB application rates during first crop cycle.	63
4.3	Response of soil available P to RHB application rates during first and second crop cycle.	64
4.4	Nitrogen uptake in first crop cycle as affected by RHB application rates.	66
4.5	Nitrogen harvest index (NHI) in first crop cycle as affected by RHB application rates.	67
4.6	Phosphorus (a) and Potassium (b) uptake in first and second crop cycle as affected by RHB application rates	69
4.7	Grain yield response as affected by RHB application rates in first and second crop cycle.	71
4.8	Harvest index response as affected by RHB application in first and second crop cycle.	72
4.9	Percent productive tiller response as affected by RHB application rates in first and second crop cycle.	73
4.10	Soil total C response as affected by RHB application rates in second crop cycle.	76
4.11	Soil total N response as affected by RHB application rates in second crop cycle.	76
4.12	Nitrogen uptake response as affected by RHB application rates in second crop cycle.	79
4.13	Soil total C loss in first and second crop cycle (110 DAS) as compared to initial (before treatment), as affected by RHB application.	85

LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
3.1	(a) Seed germination (b) measuring plant height every 15 DAS, (c) water pH measuring and (d) plant growth at 75 DAS.	27
4.1	Schematic representation of the field layout for RHB amendment study at Sungai Burong, Tanjung Karang.	56
3.3	Field preparation at experimental site at Sungai Burong, Tanjung Karang. (a) Field layout; (b) RHB used during 1st crop cycle; (c) Arrangement of RHB treatments; (d) RHB application on the trial plot; and (e) Preparation of border for each plot.	57
3.4	Fertilization application at Sungai Burong, Tanjung Karang.	59
4.1	Rice harvested at 110 DAS, separated according to the treatments and weighed to determine total fresh weight.	61

LIST OF APPENDICES

Append	ppendix	
A.1a	Average soil chemical properties as influenced by different RHB application rates	109
A.1b	Average soil water pH and redox potential as influenced by different RHB application rates	109
A.2	The influence of amendment on straw dry matter weight, number of tiller and plant height.	110
A.3	Average rice straw nutrient uptake as influenced by different RHB application rates	111
B.1	Soil chemical characteristic as influenced by RHB application in first crop cycle in high organic topsoil (0-15 cm) depth.	112
B.2	Total nutrient uptake by aboveground biomass after rice husk biochar (RHB) application at different rates in first crop cycles).	113
B.3	Rice yield parameters response of rice husk biochar (RHB) application on organic topsoil (0-15 cm) in first crop cycles.	114
B.4	Soil chemical characteristic as influenced by RHB application in second crop cycle in high organic topsoil (0-15 cm) depth	115
B.5	Total nutrient uptake by aboveground biomass after rice husk biochar (RHB) application at different rates in second crop cycles	116
B.6	Rice yield parameters response of rice husk biochar (RHB) application on organic topsoil (0-15 cm) at second crop cycles	117
C.1	Analysis of variance of soil properties in glasshouse study at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of soil pH, total C, total N, available P, extractable K, Ca and Mg and soil CEC	118
C.2	Analysis of variance of nutrient uptake in first crop cycle at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Mangan (Mn), Zinc (Zn) and Copper (Cu)	119

 $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$

C.3	Analysis of variance of yield parameters in first crop cycle at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of shoot dry matter weight, root dry matter weight, plant height and total recovery.	110
C.4	Analysis of variance of soil properties in first crop cycle at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of soil pH, total C, total N, available P, extractable K, Ca and Mg and soil CEC.	118
C.5	Analysis of variance of nutrient uptake in first crop cycle at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Mangan (Mn) Zinc (Zn), Copper (Cu) and Nitrogen harvest index (NHI).	120
C.6	Analysis of variance of yield parameters in first crop cycle at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of grain yield (GY), productive tiller (PT), panicle number, filled grain (FG), 1000-grains, straw dry matter weight (DMWs), panicle length, weight per panicle (WPP), harvest index (HI).	121
C.7	Analysis of variance of soil properties in second crop cycle at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of soil pH, total C, total N, available P, extractable K, Ca and Mg and soil CEC.	121
C.8	Analysis of variance of nutrient uptake in first crop cycle at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Mangan (Mn) Zinc (Zn) and Copper (Cu)	122
C.9	Analysis of variance of yield parameters in first crop cycle at four different RHB application rates for evaluation of grain yield (GY), productive tiller (PT), panicle number, filled grain (FG), 1000-grains, straw dry matter weight (DMWs), panicle length, weight per panicle (WPP), harvest index (HI).	122

xviii

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/NOTATIONS/GLOSSARY OF TERMS

AA	AutoAnalyzer
AAS	Atomic Absorption Spectrometer
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
С	Carbon
Ca	Calcium
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
DAS	Days after sowing
DMWs	Dry matter weight straws
DOA	Department of Agriculture
Fe	Iron
EMS	Emission Mass spectrometer
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FG	Filled grain
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
GY	Grain vield
IADA	Integrated Agriculture Development Area
ICP	Inductively Coupled Plasma
K	Potassium
KADA	Kemubu Agricultural Development Authority
ISD	Least Significant Difference
Mt	Metric tonnes
Ma ha ⁻¹	Mega grams per hectare
	Muda Agriculture Development Authority
MARDI	Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute
Μα	Manaysian Agricultural Research and Development institute
Mn	Manganese
MOA	Ministry of Agriculture
MOA	Nitragen
IN Ndff	Nitrogen derived from fortilizer
Ndfa	Nitrogen derived from soil
INUIS	
INП3 NIL.+	Ammonium
INП4 NO ⁻	Annionium
NO 3	Nurate Newbor of new blo
NP	Number of panicle
0.г	Dheartheres
P	Phosphorus
PL	Panicie length
PI	Productive tiller
r	Correlation coefficient
KH	Rice husks
KCBD	Randomized Complete Block Design
KHB	Kice nusk biochar
KMK	Kancangan Malaysia ke-11
STDEV	Standard deviation
WPP	Weight per panicle
Zn	Zinc

 \bigcirc

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

In Asia, about 90% of rice production is consumed locally which provides 30%-70% of total calories intake (IRRI, 2017). Currently, with the increasing world population, rice consumption and demand has subsequently increased. As reported by Index Mundi (2016), total global rice production for 2016 was estimated at 716, 781, 000 Mt, from the total planted area of 161, 122, 000 ha. However, in Malaysia, total rice production for year 2016 was only 1820 Mt which harvested from 695, 000 ha, with an national average yield of about 4 Mg ha⁻¹. As stated by Shuanna et al., (2015), Malaysia has only achieved 72% of rice self-sufficiency but aiming for 100% by year 2020. In addition, the total rice consumption in Malaysia for the year 2014 was 2825 Mt, and 1050 Mt was required to be imported to meet the demand (Index Mundi, 2016).

Generally, rice plant is grown under two types of ecosystems, which are lowland and upland rice cultivation. In Malaysia, most of the lowland rice is cultivated in Peninsular Malaysia (76%), while 18% in Sarawak and 6 % in Sabah (Paddy Statistics of Malaysia, 2014). Upland rice in Malaysia is mainly cultivated in Sarawak and Sabah. In Peninsular Malaysia, there are eight major granary areas that are mainly distributed in west coast of Selangor, Perak, Kedah and east coast of Kelantan. Based on Paddy Statistics of Malaysia (2014), Barat Laut Selangor Integrated Agricultural Development Area (IADA BLS) has recorded the highest average yield among other granary areas, up to 6.4 Mg ha⁻¹, especially in the area with the most intensive fertilization. However, a lower yield of 4-6 Mg ha⁻¹, is obtained from small holders with lower input. This could be due to various factors that included fertilizer losses through volatilization and denitrification. This area has topsoil with high organic matter content as it used to be a wetland area before being opened for rice cultivation. The topsoil has subsided to 15-20 cm since the land was opened for rice 40 over years ago. High soil carbon was possibly lost due to decomposition of the soil organic materials as a result of continuous flooding and drying during rice cultivation. Although it has not been documented, it is expected that there are high emission of methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) during flooding period and carbon dioxide (CO₂) in between cropping seasons due to high carbon substrate in the topsoil.

C

Recently, conversion of crop residues into biological charcoal (biochar) for application in agricultural soils has received great attention. This is attributed to the potential of biochar that act to increase soil carbon sequestration when used as a soil amendment for improvement of soil properties and crop performance. Biochar is defined as a porous carbonaceous solid product which is produced by thermochemical conversion of organic materials in an oxygen depleted atmosphere (Shackley and Sohi, 2010). Biochar can be produced from agricultural wastes such as rice husk and straw, oil palm empty fruit bunch, bamboo, wood chip, etc. Singla et

al., (2014) stated that the application of biochar could help to improve soil fertility and crop productivity as well as reduce direct greenhouse gases emission. Also, it is an alternative method for waste management and for long-term storage of carbon. Furthermore, the application of biochar into agricultural soils may also directly add some nutrients to the soil and make them available to plants, especially from the ash content.

Rice husk (RH) is a major agricultural waste generated from rice milling process. The Ministry of Agriculture Malaysia (MOA) reported that more than 408,000 Mg of RH are produced annually. A fraction of the RH is used as biomass fuel to generate heat for drying the rice, resulting in production of rice husk biochar (RHB). It is also another by-product that accumulates outside the rice mills as waste. This is attributed to a series of environmental problems as this waste is not fully utilized or recycled. Several research have reported that rice grain yield increased with RHB application, for example, on acid sulfate soil in West Kalimantan, Indonesia (Masulili et al., 2010), clay loam and silt loam soil in Nanjing, China (Wang et al., 2012) and clay loam soil in Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China (Dong et al., 2015). Thus, the application of RHB as a soil amendment is deemed feasible, logistically, for the improvement of rice production. When not utilized RHB could be easily carried by wind and cause hazardous effect to human health. Furthermore, RHB can be recycled back to rice fields in the same area with minimum transportation cost. Currently, RHB is the main source of biochar available in Malaysia, which is produced by rice mills as a by-product. But, a small scale entrepreneur in Sekinchan, Selangor, also produces rice husk biochar for rice seedling production.

In Malaysia, research on biochar is still greatly lacking. Utilizing the abundant RHB from rice mill by applying it to the rice fields may potentially improve soil properties, nutrient uptake and rice crop yield. Thus, a study was conducted by UPM to investigate the effectiveness of RHB as a soil amendment in rice soils to improve soil properties and crop yield. Field experiments were carried out in the East Coast rice fields, on an acid sulphate soil and riverine alluvium, and in Barat Laut, Selangor. This study is part of the project and conducted in Barat Laut, Selangor which has high organic matter content in the topsoil that had undergone subsidence due to rice cultivation and C losses from decomposition process. This rice field was selected for logistic reasons, since it is the nearest rice field to Universiti Putra Malaysia. We hypothesized that RHB application helps to increase rice yield through improvement of soil properties and fertilizer nitrogen recovery on organic rich topsoil areas. The specific objectives of this study were:



- 1) To assess the effectiveness of RHB application on rice yield parameters, nutrient uptake and soil properties in two crop cycles;
- 2) To evaluate fertilizer N recovery by rice on soil amended with RHB using ¹⁵N labelled nitrogen fertilizer urea, under controlled environment

A study to investigate greenhouse gas emissions from the same rice field was also carried out by another M.Sc. student.

REFERENCES

- Andrenelli, M. C., Maienza, A., Genesio, L., Miglietta, F., Pellegrini, S., Vaccari, F. P., & Vignozzi, N. (2016). Field application of pelletized biochar: Short term effect on the hydrological properties of a silty clay loam soil. Agricultural Water Management, 163, 190-196.
- Alam MM, Hassanuzzaman M, Nahar K, 2009.Tiller dynamics of three irrigated rice varieties under varying phosphorus levels. American –Eurasian Journal of Agronomy 2 (2):89-94
- Anderson, C.R., Condron, L.M., Clough, T.J., Fiers, M., Stewart, A., Hill, R.A., Sherlock, R.R., 2011. Biochar induced soil microbial community change: implications for biogeochemical cycling of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus. Pedobiologia 54, 309–320.
- Asai, H., Samson, B.K., Stephan, H.M., Songyikhangsuthor, K., Homma, K., Kiyono, Y., Inoue, Y., Shiraiwa, T., & Horie, T. (2009). Biochar amendment techniques for upland rice production in Northern Laos 1. Soil physical properties, leaf SPAD and grain yield. Field Crops Research, 111, 81–84.
- Belyaeva, O. N., & Haynes, R. J. (2012). Comparison of the effects of conventional organic amendments and biochar on the chemical, physical and microbial properties of coal fly ash as a plant growth medium. Environmental Earth Sciences, 66(7), 1987-1997.
- Busscher, W., Novak, J.M., Ahmedna, M., 2011.Physical effects of organic matter amendment of a south eastern US coastal loamy sand. Soil Science 176, 661–667.
- Carter, S., Shackley, S., Sohi, S., Suy, T. B., & Haefele, S. (2013). The impact of biochar application on soil properties and plant growth of pot grown lettuce (Lactuca sativa) and cabbage (Brassica chinensis). Agronomy, 3(2), 404-418.
- Cassman, K. G., Dobermann, A., & Walters, D. T. (2002). Agroecosystems, nitrogen-use efficiency, and nitrogen management. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment, 31(2), 132-140.
- Cassman, K.G., Dobermann, A., Walters, D.T., Yang, H., 2003. Meeting cereal demand while protecting natural resources and improving environmental quality. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 28, 315–358.
- Cassman, N., Prieto-Davó, A., Walsh, K., Silva, G. G., Angly, F., Akhter, S., & Willner, D. (2012). Oxygen minimum zones harbour novel viral communities with low diversity. Environmental microbiology, 14(11), 3043-3065
- Chan, K.Y., Van Zwieten, L., Meszaros, I., Downie, A., Joseph, S., 2007. Agronomic values of green waste biochar as a soil amendment. Australian Journal of Soil Research 45, 629–634.

- Chan KY, Van Zwieten L, Meszaros I, Downie A, Joseph S (2008) Using poultry litter biochars as soil amendments. Aust J Soil Res 46:437–444.
- Cheng, C.H., Lehmann, J., Thies, J.E., Burton, S.D., Engelhard, M.H., 2006. Oxidation of black carbon by biotic and abiotic processes. Organic Geochemistry 37, 1477–1488.
- Cheng, C.-H., Lehmann, J., Engelhard, M.H., 2008. Natural oxidation of black carbon in soils: changes in molecular form and surface charge along a climosequence. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72, 1598–1610.
- Cheng Y, Cai Z, Chang SX, Wang J, Zhang J (2012) Wheat straw and its biochar have contrasting effects on organic N retention and N₂O production in a cultivated Black Chernozem. Biol Fertil Soils 48: 941–946
- Choudhury, A. T. M. A., & Kennedy, I. R. (2005). Nitrogen fertilizer losses from rice soils and control of environmental pollution problems. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 36(11-12), 1625-1639.
- Ciais P, Sabine C, Bala G, Bopp L, Brovkin V, Canadell J, Chhabra A, DeFries R, Galloway J, Heimann M, Jones C, Le Quéré C, Myneni RB, Piao S, Thornton P (2013) Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. In: Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley PM (eds) Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Clough TJ, Condron LM 2010: Biochar and the nitrogen cycle: Introduction. J. Environ. Qual., 39, 1218–1223.
- DeLuca TH, Mackenzie MD, Gundale MJ (2009) Biochar effects on soil nutrient transformation. In: Lehmann J, Joseph S (eds) Biochar for environmental management, science and technology. Earthscan, London, pp 251–270
- de Melo Carvalho, M. T., Madari, B. E., Bastiaans, L., van Oort, P. A. J., Heinemann, A. B., da Silva, M. A. S., ... & Meinke, H. (2014). Biochar improves fertility of a clay soil in the Brazilian Savannah: short term effects and impact on rice yield. Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics (JARTS), 114(2), 101-107.

Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2016. Population distribution and basic demographic. Accessed on 18th October 2016. <u>https://www.statistics.gov.my/index.php?r=column/cthemeByCat&cat=155&bul_id=OWlxdEVoYIJCS0hUZzJyRUcvZEYxZz09&menu_id=L0pheU43NWJwR WVSZkIWdzQ4TlhUUT09</u>

Deenik, J., Diarra, A., Uehara, G., Campbell, S., Sumiyoshi, Y., Antal Jr., M., 2011. Charcoal ash and volatile matter effects on soil properties and plant growth in an acid Ultisol. Soil Science 176, 336–345.

- Department of Agriculture, Peninsular Malaysia. 2016. Paddy Statistics of Malaysia, 2014. Putrajaya: Department of Agriculture, Peninsular Malaysia.
- Ding Y F, Liu S H, Wang S H, Wang Q S, Huang P S, Ling Q H. 2004. Effects of the amount of basic and tillering nitrogen applied on absorption and utilization of nitrogen in rice. Acta Agronomica Sinica, 30, 739-744. (in Chinese)
- Dong, D., Yang, M., Wang, C., Wang, H., Li, Y., Luo, J., et al., 2013. Responses of methane emissions and rice yield to applications of biochar and straw in a paddy field. J. Soils Sediments 13, 1450–1460.
- Dong D, Feng Q, McGrouther K, Yang M, Wang H, Wu W (2015) Effects of biochar amendment on rice growth and nitrogen retention in a waterlogged paddy field.
- Downie, A. E., Van Zwieten, L., Smernik, R. J., Morris, S., & Munroe, P. R. (2011). Terra Preta Australis: Reassessing the carbon storage capacity of temperate soils. Agriculture, ecosystems & environment, 140(1), 137-147.
- Eagle, A.J., Bird, J.A., Horwath, W.R., Linquist, B.A., Brouder, S.M., Hill, J.E., van Kessel, C., 2000. Rice yield and nitrogen utilization efficiency under alternative straw management practices. Agron. J. 92, 1096–1103.
- Ekholm, P., Turtola, E., Grönroos, J., Seuri, P., & Ylivainio, K. (2005). Phosphorus loss from different farming systems estimated from soil surface phosphorus balance. Agriculture, ecosystems & environment, 110(3), 266-278.
- Firestone, M. K. (1982). Biological denitrification. Nitrogen in agricultural soils, (nitrogeninagrics), 289-326.
- Fellet, G., L. Marchiol, G. Delle Vedove and A. Peressotti, 2011. Application of biochar on mine tailings: Effects and perspectives for land reclamation. Chemosphere, 83: 1262–1267
- Gao, C., Zhu, J.G., Zhu, J.Y., Gao, X., Dou, Y.J., Hosen, Y., 2004. Nitrogen export from an agriculture watershed in the Taihu Lake area, China. Environmental Geochemistry and Health 26 (2–3), 199–207.
- Gaskin JW, Speir RA, Harris K, Das KC, Lee RD, Morris LA (2010) Effect of peanut hull and pine chip biochar on soil nutrients, corn nutrient status, and yield. Agron J 102:623–633.
- Germaine, K. J., S. Chhabra, B. Song, D. Brazil and D. N. Dowling. 2010. Microbes and sustainable production of biofuel crops: a nitrogen perspective. Biofuels. 1: 877–888.
- Glaser B, Lehmann J, Zech W (2002) Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal—a review. Biol Fertil Soils 35:219–230.

- Goulding K 2000: Nitrate leaching from arable and horticultural land. Soil Use Manag., 16, 145–151.
- Graber, E.R., Harel, Y.M., Kolton, M., Cytryn, E., Silber, A., David, D.R., Tsechansky, L., Borenshtein, M., Elad, Y., 2010. Biochar impact on development and productivity of pepper and tomato grown in fertigated soilless media. Plant Soil 337, 481–496.
- Guo, J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Shen, J., Han, W., Zhang, W., Christie, P., Goulding, K., Vitousek, P., Zhang, F., 2010. Significant acidification in major Chinese croplands. Science 327 (5968), 1008–1010.
- Güereña, D. T. (2012). Agronomic Potential of Biochar In Contrasting Maize-Based Temperate And Tropical Agro-Ecological Zones (Doctoral dissertation, Cornell University).
- Gustafsson, Ö., Kruså, M., Zencak, Z., Sheesley, R.J., Granat, L., Engström, E., Praveen, P.S., Rao, P.S.P., Leck, C., Rodhe, H., 2009. Brown clouds over South Asia: biomass or fossil fuel combustion? Science 323, 495–498.
- Haefele MS, Konboon Y, Wongboon W, Amarante S, Maarifat AA, Pfeiffer ME, Knoblauch C (2011) Effects and fate of biochar from rice residues in rice-based systems. Field Crop Res 121:430–440.
- Insam, H., Gómez-Brandón, M., & Ascher, J. (2015). Manure-based biogas fermentation residues–Friend or foe of soil fertility. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 84, 1-14.
- International Biochar Initiative, (2016). Biochar. Accessed on 18th October 2016. http://www.biochar-international.org/
- International Rice Research Institute, (2017). Increasing food security ; Research. Accessed on 30 March 2017. <u>http://irri.org/our-impact/increase-food-security</u> ; <u>http://irri.org/our-work/research</u>
- Index Mundi (2016), Agriculture. Accessed on 18th October 2016. <u>http://www.indexmundi.com/agriculture/?country=my&commodity=milled-</u> <u>rice&graph=production</u>
- Jeffery S, Verheijen FGA, van der Velde M, Bastos AC (2010) A quantitative review of the effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using metaanalysis. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment, 144, 175–187.
- Jeffery, S., Verheijen, F.G.A., van der Velde, M., Bastos, A.C., 2011. A quantitative review of the effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 144, 175-187.
- Jiang, T. Y., Jiang, J., Xu, R. K., & Li, Z. (2012). Adsorption of Pb (II) on variable charge soils amended with rice-straw derived biochar. Chemosphere, 89(3), 249-256.

- Ju, X. T., Xing, G. X., Chen, X. P., Zhang, S. L., Zhang, L. J., Liu, X. J., ... & Zhang, F. S. (2009). Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(9), 3041-3046.
- Jones, D.L., Murphy, D.V., Khalid, M., Ahmad, W., Edwards-Jones, G., DeLuca, T.H., 2011. Short-term biochar-induced increase in soil CO2release is both biotically and abiotically mediated. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43, 1723-1731.
- Jones DL, Rousk J, Edwards-Jones G, DeLuca TH, Murphy DV (2012) Biocharmediated changes in soil quality and plant growth in a three-year field trial. Soil Biol Biochem 45:113–124
- Joseph, S.D., Camps-Arbestain, M., Lin, Y., Munroe, P., Chia, C.H., Hook, J., van Zwieten, L., Kimber, S., Cowie, A., Singh, B.P., Lehmann, J., Foidl, N., Smernik, R.J., Amonette, J.E., 2010. An investigation into the reactions of biochar in soil. Australian Journal of Soil Research 48, 501–515.
- Jung, W.K., 2012. Rice yield response to biochar application under different water management practices. Korean J. Soil Sci. Fert. 45, 16–19.
- Kaewpradit W, Toomsan B, Cadisch G et al (2009) Mixing groundnut residues and rice straw to improve rice yield and N use efficiency. Field Crop Res 110:130–138
- Karhu, K., Mattila, T., Bergström, I., Regina, K., 2011. Biochar addition to agricultural soil increased CH₄ uptake and water holding capacityeresults from a shortterm pilotfield study. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 140, 309-313.
- Keiluweit, M., Nico, P.S., Johnson, M.G., Kleber, M., 2010. Dynamic molecular structure of plant-derived black carbon (biochar). Environmental Science and Technology 44, 1247e1253
- Khuong TQ, Huan TT, Hach CV, 2008. Study on fertilizer rates for getting maximum grain yield and profitability of rice production. Omonrice,16:93-99.
- Kimetu J, Lehmann J, Ngoze S, Mugendi D, Kinyangi J, Riha S, Verchot L, Recha J, Pell A (2008) Reversibility of soil productivity decline with organic matter of differing quality along a degradation gradient. Ecosystems 11:726–739
- Kimetu, J.M., Lehmann, J., 2010.Stability and stabilisation of biochar and green manure in soil with different organic carbon contents. Australian Journal of Soil Research 48, 577–585.
- Knoblauch, C., Maarifat, A.-A., Pfeiffer, E.-M., Haefele, S.M., 2011. Degradability of black carbon and its impact on trace gas fluxes and carbon turnover in paddy soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 1768–1778.



- Kumar, D., Devakumar, C., Kumar, R., Das, A., Panneerselvam, P., & Shivay, Y. S. (2010). Effect of neem-oil coated prilled urea with varying thickness of neem-oil coating and nitrogen rates on productivity and nitrogen-use efficiency of lowland irrigated rice under Indo-Gangetic plains. Journal of plant nutrition, 33(13), 1939-1959.
- Kuzyakov, Y., Subbotina, I., Chen, H.Q., et al., 2009. Black carbon decomposition and incorporation into microbial biomass estimated by 14C labeling. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41, 210–219.
- Laird DA, Fleming P, Davis DD, Horton R, Wang B, Karlen DL (2010) Impact of biochar amendments on the quality of a typical Midwestern agricultural soil. Geoderma 158:443–449
- Lea, P.J., Azevedo, R.A., 2006. Nitrogen use efficiency. Uptake of nitrogen from the soil. Ann. Appl. Biol. 149 (3), 243–247.
- Lehmann, J., da Silva Jr., J.P., Rondon, M., Steiner, C., Nehls, T., Zech, W., Glaser, B., 2002. Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthorosol and a Ferralsol of the Central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments. Plant and Soil 249, 343–357.
- Lehmann J, Silva JJP, Steiner C, Nehls T, Zech W, Glaser B (2003) Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the Central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments. Plant Soil 249:343–357
- Lehmann J, Gaunt J, Rondon M (2006) Bio-char sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems—a review. Mitig Adapt Strategies Glob Chang 11:395–419.
- Lehmann, J., Rondon, M., 2006. Bio-char soil management on highly weathered soils in the humid tropics. In: Uphoff, N., Ball, A.S., Palm, C., Fernandes, E., Pretty, J., Herrren, H., Sanchez, P., Husson, O., Sanginga, N., Laing, M., Thies, J. (Eds.), Biological Approaches to Sustainable Soil Systems. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 517–530.
- Lehmann, J., 2007b. A handful of carbon. Nature 447, 143–144. Laird, D.A., 2008. The charcoal vision: a win–win–win scenario for simultaneously producing bioenergy, permanently sequestering carbon, while improving soil and water quality. Agron. J. 100,178–181.
- Lehmann, J., 2007. Bio-energy in the black. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 5, 381–387.
- Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., 2009. Biochar for environmental management: an introduction. In: Lehmann, J., Joseph, S. (Eds.), Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology. Earthscan, London, pp. 1–12.

- Lehmann, J., Rillig, M. C., Thies, J., Masiello, C. A., Hockaday, W. C., & Crowley, D. (2011). Biochar effects on soil biota–a review. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 43(9), 1812-1836.
- Li, Z.F., Yang, G.S., 2004. Research on non-point source pollution in Taihu Lake region. Journal of Lake Sciences 16 (Suppl.), 83–91 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li, H., Huang, G., Meng, Q., Ma, L., Yuan, L., Wang, F., & Jiang, R. (2011). Integrated soil and plant phosphorus management for crop and environment in China. A review. Plant and Soil, 349(1-2), 157-167.
- Lin XQ, Zhou WJ, Zhu DF, Chen HZ, Zhang YP (2006) Nitrogen accumulation, remobilization and partitioning in rice (Oryza sativa L.) under an improved irrigation practice. Field Crop Res 96:448–454
- Lin D-X, Fan X-H, Hu F, Zhao H-T, Luo J-F (2007) Ammonia volatilization and nitrogen utilization efficiency in response to urea application in rice fields of the Taihu Lake region, China. Pedosphere 17: 639–645
- Liang, B., Lehmann, J., Solomon, D., Kinyang, J., Grossman, J., O'Neill, B., Skjemstard, J.O., Thies, J., Luiza, F.J., Peterson, J., Neves, E.G., 2006. Black carbon increases CEC in soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 70, 1719–1730.
- Liang B, Lehmann J, Sohi SP et al (2010) Black carbon affects the cycling of nonblack carbon in soil. Org Geochem 41:206–213.
- Liu, L.J., Xu, W., Sang, D.Z., Liu, C.L., Zhou, J.L., Yang, J.C., 2006. Site-specific nitrogen management increase fertilizer-nitrogen use efficiency in rice. Acta Agronomica Sinica 32 (7), 987–994 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu, J., You, L., Amini, M., Obersteiner, M., Herrero, M., Zehnder, A.J.B., Yang, H. 2010. A high-resolution assessment on global nitrogen flows in cropland. PNAS 107 (17), 8035–8040.
- Liu, S., Qin, Y., Zou, J., Liu, Q., 2010. Effects of water regime during rice-growing season on annual direct N₂O emission in a paddy rice-winter wheat rotation system in southeast China. Science of the Total Environment 408, 906-913.
- Liu, Y., Yang, M., Wu, Y., Wang, H., Chen, Y., Wu, W., 2011. Reducing CH4 and CO₂ emissions from waterlogged paddy soil with biochar. J. Soils Sediments 11, 930–939.
- Liu J, Schulz H, Brandl S, Miehtke H, Huwe B, Glaser B (2012) Short-term effect of biochar and compost on soil fertility and water status of a Dystric Cambisol in NE Germany under field conditions. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci. doi:10.1002/ jpln.201100172.

- Liu, X.Y., Zhang, A.F., Ji, C.Y., Joseph, S., Bian, R.J., Li, L.Q., Pan, G.X., Paz-Ferreiro, J., 2013. Biochar's effect on crop productivity and the dependence on experimental conditions—a meta-analysis of literature data. Plant Soil 373, 583– 594.
- Liu, X.Y., Li, L.Q., Chen, D., Qu, J.J., Kibue, G., Pan, G., Zhang, X.H., Zheng, J.W., Zheng, J. F., 2014. Effect of biochar amendment on soil-silicon availability and rice uptake. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 177, 91–96.
- Major, J., Rondon, M., Molina, D., Riha, S.J., Lehmann, J., 2010. Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar application to a Colombian savanna oxisol. Plant and Soil 333, 117-128.
- Major J, Rondon M, Molina D, Riha SJ, Lehmann J (2012) Nutrient leaching in a Colombian savanna Oxisol amended with biochar. J Environ Qual 41:1076–086. doi:10.2134/jeq2011.0128
- Manzoor, Z., Awan, T. H., Zahid, M. A., & Faiz, F. A. (2006). Response of rice crop (super basmati) to different nitrogen levels. J. Anim. Pl. Sci, 16(1-2), 52-55.
- Maru, A., Haruna, O. A., & Charles Primus, W. (2015). Coapplication of Chicken Litter Biochar and Urea Only to Improve Nutrients Use Efficiency and Yield of Oryza sativa L. Cultivation on a Tropical Acid Soil. The Scientific World Journal, 2015.
- Masulili, A., Utomo, W. H. & Syekhfani. (2010). Rice husk biochar for rice based cropping system in acid soil 1. The characteristics of rice husk biochar and its Influence on the properties of acid sulfate soils and rice growth in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. Journal of Agriculture Science (Canada), 3, 25-33.
- Miao, Y., Stewart, B.A., Zhang, F., 2010. Long-term experiments for sustainable nutrient management in China. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev., 1–18
- Moreau, P., Ruiz, L., Vertès, F., Baratte, C., Delaby, L., Faverdin, P., ... & Durand, P. (2013). CASIMOD'N: An agro-hydrological distributed model of catchmentscale nitrogen dynamics integrating farming system decisions. Agricultural Systems, 118, 41-51.
- Nguyen, B.T., Lehmann, J., Kinyangi, J., Smernik, R., Riha, S.J., Engelhard, M.H., 2009. Long-term black carbon dynamics in cultivated soil. Biogeochemistry 92, 163-176.
- Olmo, M., Alburquerque, J. A., Barrón, V., Del Campillo, M. C., Gallardo, A., Fuentes, M., & Villar, R. (2014). Wheat growth and yield responses to biochar addition under Mediterranean climate conditions. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 50(8), 1177-1187.
- Othman Omar (Main Author), Abu Hassan Daud, Alias Ismail, Ayob Abd. Hamid, Azmi Abd. Razak, Azmi Man, Badrulhadza Amzah, Maisarah Mohamad Saad, Muhamad Harun, Saad Abdullah, Sariam Othman, Siti Norsuha Misman,

- Syahrin Suhaimi, Yahaya Hussain (2008). Manual Teknologi Penanaman Padi Lestrari,
- Pan G, Zhou P, Li Z, Smith P, Li L, Qiu D (2009) Combined inorganic/organic fertilization enhances N efficiency and increases rice productivity through organic carbon accumulation in a rice paddy from the Tai Lake region, China. Agric Ecosys Environ 131:274–280.
- Pan, J.R., Ju, X. T., liu, X. J. and Zhang, F. S. 2009. Fate of fertilizer nitrogen for winter wheat/summer maize rotation in North China Plain under optimization of irrigation and fertilization. J. bucl. Agr. Sci. 23: 334-340.
- Pandey, S., 1999. Adoption of nutrient management technologies for rice production: economic and institutional constraints and opportunities. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 53, 103–111.
- Pedro D. Sangatanan and RoneSangatanan, Soil management (1990) ISBN 971-23 0581-3 books.google.com.ph/books?i
- Peng, S., Huang, J., Zhong, X., Yang, J., Wang, G., Zou, Y., Zhang, F., Zhu, Q., Buresh, R., Witt, C., 2002. Challenge and opportunity in improving fertilizernitrogen use efficiency of irrigated rice in China. Agric. Sci. China 1, 776–785.
- Peng, S., Buresh, R.J., Huang, J., Yang, J., Zou, Y., Zhong, X., Wang, G.H., Zhang, F.S., 2006.Strategies for overcoming low agronomic nitrogen use efficiency in irrigated rice systems in China. Field Crops Research 96, 37–47.
- Pereira, J., Figueiredo, N., Goufo, P., Carneiro, J., Morais, R., Carranca, C., ... & Trindade, H. (2013). Effects of elevated temperature and atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration on the emissions of methane and nitrous oxide from Portuguese flooded rice fields. Atmospheric Environment, 80, 464-471.
- Petter, F. A., de Lima, L. B., Júnior, B. H. M., de Morais, L. A., & Marimon, B. S. (2016). Impact of biochar on nitrous oxide emissions from upland rice. Journal of environmental management, 169, 27-33.
- Pietikäinen, J., Kiikkilä, O., Fritze, H., 2000. Charcoal as a habitat for microbes and its effect on the microbial community of the underlying humus. Oikos 89, 231–242.
- Ponamperuma, F.N. (1982). Straw as source nutrient for wetland rice. In S. Banta & C.V. Mendoza (Eds.), Organic matter and rice (pp 117-136). Los Banos, the Philippines: IRRI.
- Pushpanathan KR, Vijayakumar M, Siddeswaran k.2005. Effect of form of fertilizer nitrogen and timing of application on growth and yield of rice (oryza sativa L.). 26(2):153-156.
- Puteh, A. B., & Mondal, M. M. A. (2014). Growth and Yield Performance of Rice as Affected by Nitrogen Rate. Life Science Journal, 11(8).

- Qiao, J., Yang, L.Z., Yan, T.M., Xue, F., Zhao, D., 2012. Nitrogen fertilizer reduction in rice production for two consecutive years in the Taihu Lake area. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 146, 103–112.
- Qin, X., Li, Y. E., Wang, H., Liu, C., Li, J., Wan, Y., & Liao, Y. (2016). Long-term effect of biochar application on yield-scaled greenhouse gas emissions in a rice paddy cropping system: A four-year case study in south China. Science of The Total Environment, 569, 1390-1401.
- Qiu, J., 2009. A four-year study shows that Chinese farmers could cut the use of fertilizer by two-thirds without lowering yields. http://www.nature.com/nchina/2009/090304/full/nchina.2009.38.html
- Qiu, J., Li, H., Wang, L., Tang, H., Li, C., & Van Ranst, E. (2011). GIS-model based estimation of nitrogen leaching from croplands of China. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 90(2), 243-252.
- Reichenauer, T.G, S. Panamulla, S. Subasinghe, and B. Wimmer. 2009. Soil amendments and cultivar selection canimprove rice yield in salt-influenced (tsunami-affected) paddy fields in Sri Lanka. Environ. Geochem. Health, 31:573-579.
- Roberts, K.G., Gloy, B.A., Joseph, S., Scott, O.R., Lehmann, J., 2010. Life cycle assessment of biochar systems: estimating the energetic economic and climate change potential. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 827–833.
- Roelcke, M., Han, Y., Schleef, K.H., Zhu, J.G., Liu, G., Cai, Z.C., Richter, J.,2004. Recent trends and recommendations for nitrogen fertilization in intensive agriculture in Eastern China. Pedosphere 14 (4), 449–460.
- Rondon, M.A., Lehmann, J., Ramirez, J., Hurtado, M., 2007. Biological nitrogen fixation by common beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) increases with biochar additions. Biol.Fertil. Soils 43, 699–708.
- Samonte, S. O. P. B., L. T. Wilson, J. C. Medley, S. R. M. Pinson, A. M. McClung, and J. S. Lales. 2006. Nitrogen utilization efficiency: relationships with grain yield, grain protein, and yield-related traits in rice. Agronomy Journal98: 168– 176.
- Shackley, S., Sohi, S., 2010. An Assessment of the Benefits and Issues Associated with the Application of Biochar to Soil. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, UK Government, London.
- Shakouri MJ, Vajargah AV, Gavabar MG, Mafakheri S, Zargar M. 2012. Rice vegetative response to different biological and chemical fertilizer. Advances in Environmental Biology. Vol6(2):859-863.
- Sharma, R. K., Jat, M. L., Martin, K. L., Chandna, P., Choudhary, O. P., Gupta, R. K., ... & Vashistha, M. (2011). Assessment of the nitrogen management strategy

- using an optical sensor for irrigated wheat. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 31(3), 589-603.
- Shazana, M. A. R., Shamshuddin, J., Fauziah, C. I., Panhwar, Q. A., & Naher, U. A. (2014). Effects of applying ground basalt with or without organic fertilizer on the fertility of an acid sulfate soil and growth of rice. Malaysian Journal of Soil Science, 18, 87-102.
- Shuanna Mohamad Shokur, Nasuddin Othman, Abdul Halim Nawawi. Technical Efficiency and Technical Determinants of Small Scale Paddy Producer in Sabah: Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). Res. J. Agric. & Biol. Sci., 11(2): 7-12, 2015
- Singla A, Iwasa H, Inubushi K (2014) Effect of biogas digested slurry based-biochar and digested liquid on N₂O, CO2 flux and crop yield for three continuous cropping cycles of komatsuna (Brassica rapa var. perviridis). Biol Fertil Soils 50:1201–1209.
- Singh, B., Singh, Y., Ladha, J. K., Bronson, K. F., Balasubramanian, V., Singh, J., & Khind, C. S. (2002). Chlorophyll meter–and leaf color chart–based nitrogen management for rice and wheat in Northwestern India. Agronomy Journal, 94(4), 821-829.
- Singh, B., Shan, Y.H, Johnson-Beebout, S.E., Singh, Y, & Buresh, P. (2008). Crop residue management for lowland-rice based cropping system in Asia. Advances in Agronomy, 98, 11-199.
- Singh, B., Singh, B. P., & Cowie, A. L. (2010). Characterisation and evaluation of biochars for their application as a soil amendment. Soil Research, 48(7), 516-525.
- Sohi, S., Krull, E., Lopez-Capel, E. Bol, R., 2010. A review of biochar and its use and function in soil. Adv. Agron. 105, 47–82.
- Song, X. Z., Zhao, C. X., Wang, X. L., & Li, J. (2009). Study of nitrate leaching and nitrogen fate under intensive vegetable production pattern in northern China. Comptes rendus biologies, 332(4), 385-392.
- Smith P, Martino D, Cai ZC, Gwary D, Janzen H, umar P, McCarl B, Ogle S, O'Mara F, Rice C, Scholes B, Sirotenko O (2007) Agriculture. In: Metz B, Davidson OR, Bosch PR, Dave R, Meyer LA (eds) Climate change 2007: mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 498–540
- Sohi S, Lopez-Capel E, Krull E, Bol R (2009a) Biochar, climate change and soil: a review to guide future research. CSIRO Land and Water Science Report 5:17–31
- Spokas, A.K., Reicosky, D.C., 2009. Impacts of sixteen different biochars on soil greenhouse gas production. Ann. Environ Sci. 3, 179–193.

- Steiner, C., Teixeira, W.G., Lehmann, J., Nehls, T., de Macedo, J.L.V., Blum, W.E.H., Zech, W., 2007. Long term effects of manure, charcoal and mineral fertilization on crop production and fertility on a highly weathered Central Amazonian upland soil. Plant and Soil 291, 275–290.
- Steiner C, Das KC, Garcia M, Forster B, Zech W. Charcoal and smoke extract stimulate the soil microbial community in a highly weathered xanthic Ferralsol. Pedobiologia, 2008, 51: 359- 366.
- Steiner, C., Garcia, M., & Zech, W. (2009). Effects of charcoal as slow release nutrient carrier on NPK dynamics and soil microbial population: pot experiments with ferralsol substrate. In Amazonian dark earths: Wim Sombroek's vision (pp. 325-338). Springer Netherlands.
- Steinbeiss S, Gleixner G. Effect of biochar amendment on soil carbon balance and soil microbial activity. Soil Biol. Biochem., 2009, 41: 1301-1310.
- Streubel, J.D., Collins, H.P., Garcia-Perez, M., Tarara, J., Granatstein, D., Kruger, C.E., 2011. Influence of contrasting biochar types on five soils at increasing rates of application. Soil Biol. Biochem. 75, 1402–1413, http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2010.0325
- Swain DK, Jagtap Sandip S, 2010. Development of spad values of medium-and long duration rice varity for site-specific nitrogen management. Journal of Agronomy, 9(2):38-44.
- Taghizadeh-Toosi, A.; Clough, T.J.; Sherlock, R.R.; Condron, L.M. Biochar adsorbed ammonia is bioavailable. Plant Soil 2012, 350, 57–69.
- Tammeorg, P., Simojoki, A., Mäkelä, P., Stoddard, F. L., Alakukku, L., & Helenius, J. (2014). Biochar application to a fertile sandy clay loam in boreal conditions: effects on soil properties and yield formation of wheat, turnip rape and faba bean. Plant and soil, 374(1-2), 89-107.
- Tejada, M., Gonzalez, J.L., 2007.Influence of organic amendments on soil structure and soil loss under simulated rain. Soil and Tillage Research 93, 197–205.
- Thies, J.E., Rillig, M.C., 2009. Characteristics of biochar: biochar properties. In: Lehmann, J., Joseph, S. (Eds.), Biochar for Environmental Management Science and Technology. Earthscan, London, pp. 85–105.
- Tian Y-H, Yin B, Yang L-Z, Yin S-X, Zhu Z-L (2007) Nitrogen runoff and leaching losses during rice-wheat rotations in Taihu Lake Region, China. Pedosphere 17:445–456
- Tipayarom, D., Kim Oanh, N.T., 2007. Effects from open rice straw burning emission on air quality in the Bangkok metropolitan region. Science Asia 33, 339–345.

- Tremblay, N., Bouroubi, Y. M., Bélec, C., Mullen, R. W., Kitchen, N. R., Thomason, W. E., ... & Vories, E. D. (2012). Corn response to nitrogen is influenced by soil texture and weather. Agronomy Journal, 104(6), 1658-1671.
- Van Groenigen, K. J., Osenberg, C. W., & Hungate, B. A. (2011). Increased soil emissions of potent greenhouse gases under increased atmospheric CO2. Nature, 475(7355), 214-216.
- Van Zwieten, L., Singh, B., Joseph, S., Kimber, S., Cowie, A., Chan, K.Y., 2009.
 Biochar and emissions of non-CO₂ greenhouse gases from soil. In: Lehmann, J., Joseph,S. (Eds.), Biochar for Environmental Management Science and Technology.Earthscan, London, pp. 227–249.
- Van Zwieten L, Kimber S, Morris S, Downie A, Berger E, Rust J, Scheer C (2010) Influence of biochars on flux of N₂O and CO₂ from ferrosol. Aust J Soil Res 48:555–568.
- Van Zwieten, L., Kimber, S., Morris, S., Chan, K.Y., Downie, A., Rust, J., Joseph, S., Cowie, A., 2010. Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of papermill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility. Plant and Soil 327, 235-246.
- Vitousek, P.M., Naylor, R., Crews, T., David, M.B., Drinkwater, L.E., Holland, E., Johnes, P.J., Katzenberger, J., Martinelli, L.A., Matson, P.A., Nziguheba, G., Ojima, D., Palm, C.A., Robertson, G.P., Sanchez, P.A., Townsend, A.R., Zhang, F.S., 2009. Nutrient imbalances in agricultural development. Science 324 (5934), 1519–1520.
- Wang, X.J., Zhang, W., Huang, Y.N., Li, S.J., 2004. Modeling and simulation of pointnon-point source effluent trading in Taihu Lake area: perspective of nonpoint sources control in China. Science of the Total Environment 325 (1-3), 39– 50
- Wang, M., Yang, J.P., Xu, W., Wang, H., Sun, J.H., 2009. Influence of nitrogen rates with split application on N use efficiency and its eco-economic suitable amount analysis in rice. Journal of Zhejiang University (Agric. & Life Sci.) 35 (1), 71–76 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang H, Lin K, Hou Z, Richardson B, Gan J (2010) Sorption of the herbicide terbuthylazine in two New Zealand forest soils amended with biosolids and biochars. J Soils Sediments 10:283–289
- Wang, X., Cai, D., Hoogmoedc, W.B., Oenema, O., 2011. Regional distribution of nitrogen fertilizer use and N-saving potential for improvement of food production and nitrogen use efficiency in China. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 91, 2013–2023.
- Wang J, Pan X, Liu Y, Zhang X, Xiong Z (2012) Effects of biochar amendment in two soils on greenhouse gas emissions and crop production. Plant Soil 360:287– 298.

- Wardle, D.A., Nilsson, M.-C., Zackrisson, O., 2008. Fire-derived charcoal causes loss of forest humus. Science 320, 629.
- Whitman, T.L., Lehmann, J., 2011. Systematic under- and overestimation of GHG reductions in renewable biomass systems. A letter. Clim Change. 104, 415–422.
- Woolf D, Amonette JE, Stree-Perrott FA, Lehmann J, Joseph S (2010) Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. Nat Comm 1:56
- Wu, Y., Xu, G., & Shao, H. B. (2014). Furfural and its biochar improve the general properties of a saline soil. Solid Earth, 5(2), 665.
- Xing GX, Cao YC, Shi SL, Sun GQ, Du LJ, Zhu JG (2002) Denitrification in underground saturated soil in a rice paddy region. Soil Biol Biochem 34:1593–1598
- Xu, G., Lv, Y., Sun, J., Shao, H., Wei, L., 2012. Recent advances in biochar applications in agricultural soils: benefits and environmental implications. Clean-Soil Air Water 40, 1093–1098
- Yan, J., Sheng, Q.R., Yin, B., Wan, X.J., 2009. Fertilizer-N uptake and distribution in rice plants using 15 N tracer technique. Journal of NuclearAgricultural Sciences 23 (3), 487–491 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Yadav, R.L., 2003. Assessing on-farm efficiency and economics of fertilizer N, P and K in rice wheat systems of India. Field Crops Research 81, 39–51.
- Yuan, J.H., Xu, R.K., 2011. The amelioration effects of low temperature biochar generated from nine crop residues on an acidic Ultisol. Soil Use and Management 27, 110–115.
- Zhang, A., Cui, L., Pan, G., Li, L., Hussain, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, J., Crowley, D., 2010. Effect of biochar amendment on yield and methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a rice paddy from Tai Lake plain, China. Agriculture. Ecosystems and Environment 139, 469-475.
- Zhang, A.F., Bian, R.J., Pan, G.X., Cui, L.Q., Hussain, Q., Li, L.Q., Zheng, J.W., Zheng, J.F., Zhang, X.F., Han, X.J., Yu, X.Y., 2012. Effects of biochar amendment on soil quality, crop yield and greenhouse gas emission in a Chinese rice paddy: a field study of 2 consecutive rice growing cycles. Field Crops Res. 127, 153–160.
- Zhang, A., Bian, R., Hussain, Q., Li, L., Pan, G., Zheng, J., Zhang, X., Zheng, J., 2013. Change in net global warming potential of a rice–wheat cropping system with biochar soil amendment in a rice paddy from China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.173, 37–45.
- Zhao, B. Q., Li, X. Y., Li, X. P., Shi, X. J., Huang, S. M., Wang, B. R., ... & Poulton, P. (2010). Long-term fertilizer experiment network in China: crop yields and soil nutrient trends. Agronomy Journal, 102(1), 216-230.

76

- Zimmerman, A. R. (2010). "Abiotic and microbial oxidation of laboratory-produced black carbon (biochar)."Environ. Sci. Technol., 44(4),1295–1301.
- Zimmermann, A.R., Gao, B., Ahn, M.-Y., 2011. Positive and negative carbon mineralization priming effects among a variety of biochar-amended soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43 (6), 1169-1179.
- Zhu ZL, Chen DL (2002) Nitrogen fertilizer use in China contributions to food production, impacts on the environment and best management strategies. Nutri Cycl Agroecosyst 63:117–127
- Zou, Y.B., Ao, H., Xia, B., Tang, Q.Y., Peng, S.B., Buresh, R.J., 2008. Effects of different nitrogen application on the yield and nitrogen use efficiency in hybrid rice. Crop Research 22 (4), 214–219 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zou, J.W., Huang, Y., Qin, Y.M., Liu, S.W., Shen, Q.R., Pan, G.X., Lu, Y.Y., Liu, Q.H., 2009. Changes in fertilizer-induced direct N₂O emissions from paddy fields during rice-growing season in China between 1950 and 1990. Global Change Biol. 15, 229–242.