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Pegaga (Centella asiatica) has been recognized as a medicinal plant which contains valuable antioxidant compounds; phenolic and flavonoid, the pharmacologically active ingredients which are beneficial for human body system. Unfortunately, these bioactive compounds are present at lower concentrations in plant extracts. Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) which work as elicitors, can be an effective method to stimulate growth and expression of antioxidants in Pegaga. The experiments were conducted under soilless conditions to clearly observe the effect of PGPR on plant growth and bioactive compound. Beneficial PGPR were isolated from Pegaga roots and was evaluated based on plant biochemical assays, formation of phytohormone Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), N₂-fixation, and P and K-solubilizations.

From the 16 isolates, two bacterial strains C3E2 (UPMB30) and C1R1 (UPMB31) showed maximum plant growth promoting abilities and were further identified based on colony morphology, Gram-staining and characterized through 16S rRNA gene sequencing. UPMB30, an endobacterial isolate was 99% identified as Bacillus subtilis and UPMB31, a rhizobacterial isolate, as an Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. To achieve the second objective, a few factors and critical issues were verified to ensure these PGPR isolates could function under soilless condition. The selected PGPR strains were then exposed to various soilless culture conditions: pH (4.0, 5.0 and 6.0), fertilizer concentrations (50%, 100%, 200%) and bacterial population densities (10⁴cfu/mL, 10⁹cfu/mL and uninoculated) to determine the optimum combination of fertilizer and inoculum sized. The results showed high survivability and growth of bacterial strains in the following descending order; pH 4.0>5.0>6.0 and fertilizer concentration 50%>100%>200%. Application of 10⁴cfu/mL bacteria with 50% fertilizer rate increased 12% of yield and saves 50% of chemical fertilizer input. The high inoculum size, 10⁹cfu/mL however, reduced plant growth length and led to over colonization and possibly became harmful to the plant. Antioxidant and their interactions with PGPR were evaluated in the final experiment. Free radical scavenging assay (DPPH): 1,1- diphenyl-2- picrylhydrazyl; Total Phenolic Content
(TPC): Folin-Ciocalteu assay; and Total Flavonoid Content (TFC): Aluminium chloride method assay were analyzed. Results demonstrated high concentrations of DPPH, TPC and TFC in extracts taken from all the three parts of Pegaga (roots, leaves, stems), irrespective of PGPR strains. The increase in antioxidant synthesis presumably represents a defensive response to colonization by PGPR. Results showed all strains highly colonized in the roots (10⁶ to 10⁷ CFU/mL). Highly reduced levels of proline content were observed in the inoculation treatment for all strains compared to the uninoculated control; UPMB10 (52.06 µg/fw), UPMB31 (44.33 µg/fw), UPMB30 (31.54 µg/fw) and uninoculated control (98.69 µg/fw). In conclusion, this study clearly indicated that two potential isolated strains (UPMB30 and UPMB31) could be applied as elicitors to significantly increase vegetative growth of Pegaga and antioxidant contents in the plants.
Pertumbuhan serta penghasilan antioksida Pegaga (Centella asiatica (L.) Urban), melalui bakteria penggalak pertumbuhan pokok
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Pegaga (Centella asiatica) telah dikenali sebagai tumbuhan ubatan yang mengandungi bahan antioksida yang tinggi seperti fenolik dan flavonoid, yang juga merupakan bahan farmakologi aktif yang bermanfaat untuk sistem badan. Walau bagaimanapun, bahan bioaktif ini hadir di dalam ekstrak tumbuhan pada kuantiti yang amat sedikit. Dengan memanipulasi tekanan pada pokok Pegaga dengan menggunakan PGPR boleh dijadikan sebagai kaedah berkesan dalam merangsang pertumbuhan pokok dan penghasilan antioksida dalam Pegaga. Eksperimen telah dijalankan dibawah keadaan tanpa tanah untuk melihat lebih jelas keberkesanan PGPR pada kadar pertumbuhan dan penghasilan bahan bioaktif Pegaga. Bakteria berfaedah PGPR telah diasikan dari akar pokok Pegaga dan dinilai menerusi aktiviti biokimia; pengikatan nitrogen, penglarutan P dan K dan penghasilan hormone asid indol-3-asetik (IAA). Daripada 16 bakteria, dua daripadanya C3E2 (UPMB30) dan C1R1 (UPMB31) telah menunjukkan penggalakkan pertumbuhan pokok yang maksima dan seterusnya dikenalpasti melalui morfologi koloni, pewarnaan Gram dan melalui penjujukan gen 16SrRNA. Endobakteria UPMB30 telah dikenalpasti 99% kesamaan dengan Bacillus subtilis, manakala rhizobakteria UPMB31 sebagai Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Bagi mencapai objektif kedua, ada beberapa faktor dan isu kritikal yang perlu dikenalpasti bagi memastikan PGPR mampu berfungsi di bawah keadaan penanaman tanpa tanah. PGPR yang terpilih ini telah didedahkan kepada pelbagai pH (4.0, 5.0, 6.0), kepekatan baja (50%, 100%, 200%) dan saiz inokulasi bakteria (10^4 cfu/mL, 10^9 cfu/mL dan tanpa inokulasi). Kombinasi optima antara baja kimia dan saiz inokulasi ditentukan. Keputusan menunjukkan pertumbuhan dan ketahanan bakteria pada turutan menurun seperti berikut; pH 4.0 > 5.0 > 6.0 dan kepekatan baja, 50% > 100% > 200%. Kombinasi 10^4 cfu/mL inokulasi bakteria bersama 50% kepekatan baja telah meningkatkan hasil tanaman sebanyak 12% dan ini menjimatkan sebanyak 50% penggunaan baja kimia. Walau bagaimanapun, kepekatan saiz inokulasi yang tinggi, 10^9 cfu/mL, telah menurunkan pertumbuhan pokok, mungkin disebabkan oleh kepekatan inokulasi yang menjurus kepada kolonisasi yang tinggi dan terlalu padat dan mengakibatkan pokok

iii
lemah dan mati. Interaksi antara PGPR dan antioksida Pegaga dinilai dalam eksperimen terakhir. Penangkapan radikal bebas: 1,1-difenil-2-pikrilhidrazil (DPPH), jumlah kandungan fenolik (TPC): asai Folin-Ciocalteu, dan jumlah kandungan flavonoid (TFC): asai Aluminium chloride, telah dianalisa. Endobakteria. Kandungan antioksida DPPH, TPC dan TFC yang tinggi telah diekstrak daripada tiga bahagian pokok Pegaga (akar, daun, batang), hasil dari inokulasi. Peningkatan kandungan antioksida mungkin daripada tindakbalas pertahanan pokok terhadap kolonisasi PGPR yang tinggi dibahagian akar (10^6 to 10^7 CFU/mL). Kandungan prolind yang rendah didapati pada semua rawatan inokulasi; UPMB10 (52.06 µg/berat basah), UPMB31 (44.33 µg/berat basah), UPMB30 (31.54 µg/berat basah) dan tanpa inokulasi (98.69 µg/berat basah). Kesimpulannya, dua bakteria berpotensi ini (UPMB30 and UPMB31) mampu digunakan sebagai elisitor bagi meningkatkan hasil vegetatif Pegaga dan kandungan antioksida dalam pokok.
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<td>CAE</td>
<td>Cathecin equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAE</td>
<td>Tannic acid equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNiCC</td>
<td>Microbial Culture Collection Unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Pegaga is a perennial creeping plant of the genus *Centella* from the Apiaceae family (Hashim, 2011). It has been recognized as a medicinal plant and listed as the five prominent herbs in Malaysia. Pegaga contains valuable bioactive compounds such as antioxidants and triterpenoids, the pharmacologically active ingredients which are beneficial for body and brain system and potentially useful in the prevention of cancer, diabetes, and arteriosclerosis (Subathra et al., 2005). Pegaga has gained worldwide demand for pharmaceutical and cosmetic products in the last few years (James and Dubery, 2008; Devkota et al., 2010, Singth et al., 2010). International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUN) has reported Pegaga as an endangered plant (Sharma and Kumar, 1998). Thus, a well-directed cultivation of Pegaga is to ensure plant materials with high quality and quantities of biological compounds are continuously produced. A collection of Pegaga from natural populations contains various composition and concentrations of secondary metabolites due to different growth conditions and genetic distinction (Randriamampionona et al., 2007; Thomas et al., 2010; Devkota et al., 2010).

It is a very challenging process to get a balanced direct correlation between high secondary metabolite content and plant growth yield. From the primary pathways, various compounds are derived such as polysaccharides, sugars, proteins and fats which make up the bulk of the plant. However, the secondary products are present at a much lower concentration. A few techniques have been developed as an alternative to produce these metabolites such as plant cell culture. However, this technique is faced with another problem where the secondary metabolites produced could be minimally extracted. Plant cell culture on a large scale produced only a few compounds that satisfy the commercial and biological criteria. Based on similar principles but in combination with a new technique, some strategies for triggering the production of secondary metabolites have been developed by using elicitor, signal compound and abiotic stress (Yukimune et al., 1996; Zhao et al., 2000, 2001a, b and Zhang et al., 2004). Application of beneficial microorganisms as biotization has been tried. Biotization is a metabolic response of plant materials to microbial inoculation under *in vitro*-culture, thus, leading to biotic and abiotic stress resistance through development and physiological changes enhancement. PGPR co-cultured plantlets produce high yield vegetative and secondary metabolite production (Soundarapandian and Dhandayuthapani, 2010).

The inoculation of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) has been reported to induce yield enhancement and secondary metabolite production in various crops. Many research with PGPR have shown beneficial effects with increase in vegetative growth through the production of plant growth regulators (Zahir et al., 2004), fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, increased root growth and nutrient uptake (nitrogen, phosphate, potassium), and production of siderophores (Lucy et al., 2004). PGPR also synthesize phytohormone which can trigger secondary metabolite production. Lorena et al (2013)
reported *Azobacter brasilense* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* gave beneficial impacts by increasing essential oil (EO) composition and phenolic content in Marigold (*Tagetes minuta*).

To get a consistent result without interference from the physical and chemical interactions in soil, the studies were conducted using soilless culture as a growth medium. In justification, the study offers the possibility of enhancing plant growth rate and biological compound production in Pegaga by PGPR under soilless condition. Thus, the general objective of this study is to increase plant growth and bioactive compounds of Pegaga (*Centella asiatica*) under hydroponic condition. The specific objectives of the study are:

1. To isolate and characterize beneficial biochemical abilities of indigenous beneficial PGPR from Pegaga (*Centella asiatica*).
2. To determine the effects of varying PGPR dosages and fertilizer concentrations on vegetative growth and yield of Pegaga (Glasshouse)
3. To evaluate the effect of PGPR application at appropriate dosages and fertilizer concentration on antioxidant compounds of Pegaga (Glasshouse)
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