

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

OPTIMIZATION AND ENZYMATIC HYDROLYSIS OF TILAPIA BY-PRODUCT AND FRACTIONATION OF PROTEIN HYDROLYSATE USING MEMBRANE ULTRAFILTRATION

JUMARDI BIN ROSLAN

FK 2016 5



OPTIMIZATION AND ENZYMATIC HYDROLYSIS OF TILAPIA BY-PRODUCT AND FRACTIONATION OF PROTEIN HYDROLYSATE USING MEMBRANE ULTRAFILTRATION

By

JUMARDI BIN ROSLAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2016

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

OPTIMIZATION AND ENZYMATIC HYDROLYSIS OF TILAPIA BY-PRODUCT AND FRACTIONATION OF PROTEIN HYDROLYSATE USING MEMBRANE ULTRAFILTRATION

By

JUMARDI ROSLAN

March 2016

Chairman: Associate Professor Siti Mazlina Mustapa Kamal, PhDFaculty: Engineering

Large amount of by-products is generated during tilapia processing, including skins, bones, frames and tails. The conversion of fish by-products through enzymatic hydrolysis is the most promising alternative in order to produce a valuable products such as fish protein hydrolysate (FPH) which rich in an essential nutrients and bioactive peptides that offer physiological functions, such as antihypertensive activity. FPH consists of peptide mixtures with various sizes, there is a need for separate them using ultrafiltration (UF) membrane in order to improve yield and obtain a specific size of peptide which closely related to the high potent of antihypertensive activity. Therefore, this research is focused on the production of a small-sized bioactive peptide from tilapia by-product which responsible for angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activity through enzymatic hydrolysis and membrane fractionation process.

This study has three main objectives (1) to optimize the parameters for improvement of enzymatic hydrolysis of tilapia by-products using response surface methodology in order to achieve high degree of hydrolysis (DH), (2) to characterize the protein hydrolysates from enzymatic hydrolysis of tilapia by-product emphasizing on ACE inhibitory activity, chemical composition and functional properties, and (3) to evaluate the performances of the single and multilayer membranes for the fractionation of tilapia's by-product protein hydrolysate, in order to enrich the peptide with a high ACE inhibitory activity.

The optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis using alcalase for preparing the tilapia muscle's (TM) and by-product (TB) protein hydrolysates were performed through a response surface methodology (RSM). The *O*-phtaldialdehyde (OPA) method was employed to measure the degree of hydrolysis (DH). The optimum enzymatic hydrolysis conditions for the TM was obtained at pH 7.5, temperature of 50°C, substrate concentration of 2.5% (w/v) and enzyme concentration of 95.6 (AU/kg protein) with DH value is 25.41%. For TB, the highest DH was achieved at 20.42%

with the optimum conditions at pH 7.5, temperature at 60°C, substrate concentration of 15% (w/v) and 60.2 (AU/kg protein) of enzyme concentration.

Under these optimum conditions, the TM and TB protein hydrolysates were further hydrolyzed for 30-720 minutes to investigate the highest ACE-inhibitory activity could be achieved. The highest ACE-inhibitory activities was achieved at 1 hour of hydrolysis and selected for the next analysis such as peptide size distribution, chemical compositions, physical appearance and functional properties. It was found that both samples have various sizes of low molecular peptides ranging from 1.06 to 26.6 kDa. TM and TB protein hydrolysates have shown a good nutritional value with respect to high protein contents (36.55 and 65.64%, respectively) and essential amino acids such as lysine, leucine and threonine. The high amount of hydrophobic amino acids in both the TM and TB protein hydrolysates might contribute to high ACE-inhibitory activities. TM and TB protein hydrolysates were rich in mineral elements such sodium, phosphorus and potassium, indicating a potential of samples to be an alternative sources of mineral. From scanning electron microscopy result, smooth microstructures in aggregation packed flake-like structures formed with the broken structures in irregular and cracked particles, representing shorter peptide chain length were observed for both samples. The findings also demonstrated that TM and TB protein hydrolysate have high nitrogen solubility (>80% at pH 2-9), and possessed good water-holding capacity, and oil holding capacity.

The fractionation of TB protein hydrolysate with the dead-end ultrafiltration (UF) membrane was investigated through single and multilayer membrane using a regenerated cellulose membrane with 10 and 5 kDa molecular weight cut off (MWCO). The performance of the fractionation using the single membrane (10 and 5 kDa) and multilayer membranes (10/5 and 5/5 kDa) were investigated through the effects of stirring speed (0-600 rpm), pH (3, 5, 7, 8 and 9) and salt concentration (NaCl; 0 M, 0.2 M, 0.4 M, and 0.6 M) on the flux and peptide transmission. The best fractionation process were found at the stirring speed of 600 rpm and pH 8 for both single and multilayer membranes which is based on the highest permeate flux and peptide transmission obtained. The permeate produced from each membrane were evaluated their ACE-inhibitory activity. For single membrane, it was found that 5 kDa membrane (71.83%) has higher ACE inhibitory activity compared to 10 kDa membrane (64.32%). Both permeates from multilayer membrane. It is proven that there is a relationship between peptide size and ACE inhibitory activity.

Through a selectivity analysis using Fast Pressure Liquid Chromatography (FPLC), the most permeate produced were composed of peptides lower than 1500 Da. It was found that, fractionation using 10 kDa membrane produced more peptide with large size as compared to other membranes. The percentage of peptides with size less than 500 Da increased as the smaller membrane pore size used. More peptides with small size which is less than 500 Da were obtained for 5/5 kDa multilayer membrane indicating that the peptide selectivity of membrane can be improved through multilayer membrane. Overall, the conversion of tilapia by-products into fish protein hydrolysate has shown a great potential to be used in nutraceutical and pharmaceutical products which is based on the high ACE inihibitory activity obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis and membrane fractionation process.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGOPTIMUMAN DAN HIDROLISIS BERENZIM BAGI BAHAN SAMPINGAN TILAPIA DAN PEMISAHAN PROTEIN HIDROLISAT MENGGUNAKAN MEMBRAN ULTRATURASAN

Oleh

JUMARDI ROSLAN

Mac 2016

Pengerusi Fakulti : Profesor Madya Siti Mazlina Mustapa Kamal, PhD : Kejuruteraan

Banyak bahan sampingan telah dihasilkan ketika pemprosesan ikan tilapia termasuk kulit, tulang, rangka dan ekor. Pertukaran bahan sampingan ini melalui hidrolisis berenzim menjadi alternatif yang sangat baik bagi menghasilkan produk bernilai tinggi seperti hidrolisat protein ikan yang kaya dengan nutrien penting dan bioaktif peptida yang memberi fungsi fisiologi seperti aktiviti antihipertensi. Hidrolisat protein ikan terdiri daripada campuran peptida yang perlbagai saiz, terdapat keperluan untuk memisahkannya menggunakan membran ultraturasan bagi memperbaiki hasil dan memperoleh peptida bersaiz khusus yang sangat berkait rapat dengan aktiviti antihipertensi yang tinggi. Oleh itu, kajian ini difokuskan kepada penghasilan bioaktif peptida bersaiz kecil daripada bahan sampingan tilapia yang berperanan kepada perencatan aktiviti enzim pertukaran angiotensin (ACE) melalui proses hidrolisis berenzim dan pemisahan membran.

Kajian ini mempunyai tiga objektif utama iaitu (i) untuk mengoptimumkan parameter operasi hidrolisis berenzim ke atas otot dan bahan sampingan tilapia bagi mencapai darjah hidrolisis yang tinggi, (ii) untuk mencirikan hidrolisat protein tilapia dari segi perencatan aktiviti ACE, komposisi kimia dan sifat kefungsian, dan (iii) untuk menilai prestasi membran tunggal dan multilapisan dalam memisahkan hidrolisat protein daripada bahan sampingan tilapia dan menggunakan sistem membran bagi memperkayakan peptida yang mempunyai perencatan aktiviti ACE yang tinggi.

Pengoptimuman hidrolisis berenzim menggunakan alkalase bagi menyediakan hidrolisat protein daripada otot (TM) dan bahan sampingan (TB) tilapia dilakukan melalui kaedah permukaan sambutan (RSM). Kaedah *O*-phtaldialdehyde (OPA) digunakan untuk mengukur darjah hidrolisis (DH). Keadaan optimum hidrolisis berenzim bagi TM diperolehi pada pH 7.5, suhu 50°C, kepekatan substrat 2.5% (w/v) and kepekatan enzim 95.6 (AU/kg protein) dengan nilai DH 25.41%. Manakala TB, DH tertinggi dicapai pada 20.42% dengan keadaan optimum pH 7.5, suhu 60°C, kepekatan substrat 15% (w/v) dan 60.2 (AU/kg protein)kepekatan enzim.

Pada keadaan optimum ini, TM dan TB dihidrolisis seterusnya selama 30-720 min untuk menentukan perencatan aktiviti ACE tertinggi yang boleh dicapai. Perencatan aktiviti ACE tertinggi dicapai pada hidrolisis selama 1 jam dan dipilih untuk analisis seterusnya seperti taburan saiz peptida, komposisi kimia, penampilan fizikal dan sifat kefungsian. Didapati, kedua-dua hidrolisat protein TM dan TB yang dihasilkan menunjukkan pelbagai saiz peptida dengan berat molekul rendah antara julat 1.06 to 26.6 kDa. Hidrolisat protein TM dan TB menunjukkan nilai pemakanan yang tinggi berdasarkan kepada kandungan protein (masing-masing, 36.55 and 65.64%) dan jumlah asid amino perlu yang tinggi seperti lisina, leusina dan threonine. Jumlah asid amino hidrofobik yang tinggi pada kedua-dua hidrolisat protein TM dan TB mungkin menyumbang kepada perencatan aktiviti ACE yang tinggi. Hidrolisat TM dan TB kaya dengan unsur mineral seperti natrium, fosforus dan kalium, yang menunjukkan sampel boleh menjadi sumber mineral alternatif. Daripada keputusan mikroskop elektron imbasan (SEM), struktur mikro yang halus seperti bentuk gumpalan padat bertih dengan struktur partikel hancur yang tidak seragam, menggambarkan rantaian peptida yang pendek dilihat bagi kedua-dua sampel. Penemuan juga menunjukkan bahawa hidrolisat TM dan TB mempunyai kelarutan nitrogen yang tinggi (>80% pada pH 2-9) dan mempunyai kapasiti pemegang air dan minyak yang baik.

Pemisahan hidrolisat protein TB dengan ultraturasan hujung-mati telah ditentukan melalui membrane tunggal dan multilapisan menggunakan membrane selulosa terjana dengan pemotong berat molekul 10 dan 5 kDa. Prestasi pemisahan menggunakan membran tunggal (10 dan 5 kDa) dan membrane multilapisan (10/5 dan 5/5 kDa) ditentukan dengan melihat kesan parameter operasi dan fizikokimia termasuk kelajuan putaran (0-600 rpm), pH (3, 5, 7, 8, dan 9), dan kepekatan garam (NaCl; 0 M, 0.2 M, 0.4 M, and 0.6 M) ke atas fluks dan pemindahan peptida. Proses pemisahan yang terbaik didapati pada kelajuan putaran 600 rpm dan pH 8 bagi kedua-dua membran tunggal dan lapisan berdasarkan kepada nilai fluks dan pemindahan peptida yang tinggi diperolehi. Hasil turasan daripada setiap membran seterusnya diuji perencatan aktiviti ACE. Bagi membrane tunggal, didapati membran 5 kDa menunjukkan perencatan aktiviti ACE lebih tinggi (71.83%) berbanding membran 10 kDa (64.32%). Perencatan aktiviti ACE didapati lebih tinggi pada kedua-dua membran multilapisan berbanding dengan membrana tunggal. multilapisan 10/5 kDa, menunjukkan perencatan aktiviti ACE sangat dipengaruhi oleh konfigurasi dan saiz liang membran. Ini membuktikan terdapat hubungan antara saiz peptide dan perencatan aktiviti ACE.

Melalui analisis pemilihan menggunakan kromatografi cecair tekanan pantas (FPLC), kebanyakkan hasil turasan terdiri daripada peptida dengan berat molekul rendah lebih daripada 1500 Da. Didapati bahawa pemisahan menggunakan membran 10 kDa menghasilkan lebih banyak peptide dengan saiz yang lebih besar berbanding dengan membran lain. Peratusan peptida dengan saiz kurang daripada 500 Da meningkat dengan penggunaan liang membran yang lebih kecil. Lebih banyak peptida dengan saiz kurang daripada 500 Da diperolehi pada membran multilapisan 5/5 kDa menunjukkan pemilihan peptida bagi membran dapat diperbaiki melalui membran multilapisan. Secara keseluruhan, penggubahan bahan sampingan tilapia kepada hidrolisat protein ikan menunjukkan potensi yang baik untuk digunakan pada produk nutraseutikal dan farmaseutikal yang berdasarkan kepada perencatan aktiviti ACE yang tinggi diperolehi daripada proses hidrolisis berenzim dan pemisahan membran.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, all praises is due to Allah S.W.T., the Almighty, for granting me countless blessings, guidance and knowledge to complete this work. Graduate study is a challenging journey that requires a lot of patience and dedication. I owe my gratitude to a number of people that contributed towards the completion of this dissertation.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my excellent supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr. Siti Mazlina Mustapa Kamal, Associate Professor Dr. Norhafizah Abdullah and Dr. Khairul Faezah Md. Yunos for their continuous support, extensive guidance and active involvement in all phases of this research. They kindly provided me with the freedom to explore on my own and at the same time they gave me guidance and support when my steps faltered. Their insightful comments and constructive questions nurtured my critical thinking. I am also thankful to them for sparing their precious time to review my works despite their tight schedules.

I am greatly indebted to Mr. Zahiruddin Bin Daud, Mr. Raman bin Morat, Mr. Syahrul and Mdm. Siti Hajar, the laboratory technicians, for their assistance in providing all the well maintained equipment and apparatus needed for this research. Special thanks to my graduate fellows, Nurul Lina, Nasrul, Safwan, Tarmizi, Fakri and Taufiq for their company, providing me with their sincere help and assistance when needed, support and encouragement which has made my graduate studies an exciting and fulfilling journey.

I must acknowledge the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE), Malaysia and Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS) for giving me the financial support and opportunity to pursue my study in Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Above all, my heartfelt gratitude goes to my beloved parents and wife, Roslan Bin Daiman, Suinah Kambaris and Suryani Sallah, parents in law, Saallah and Samira, my siblings, Rosewati, Haslindah, Eswadi, Ahmadi, Norsyarida, Norhidayah, Rasidi and Mohd. Reduan, and my family in laws, for their unconditional love, encouragement, support and prayers were driving force that inspired me to succeed and build my strength and confidence to overcome many obstacles in life.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 22 March 2016 .to conduct the final examination of Jumardi bin Roslan on his thesis entitled "Optimization and enzymatic hydrolysis of tilapia by-product and fractionation of protein hydrolysate using membrane ultrafiltration" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Yus Aniza binti Yusof, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohd. Noriznan bin Mokhtar, Dr.-Ing

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Arbakariya bin Ariff, PhD Professor Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomole

Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Murat O. Balaban, PhD

Professor University of Auckland New Zealand (External Examiner)

ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 July 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Siti Mazlina Mustapa Kamal, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Norhafizah Abdullah, PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Khairul Faezah Md. Yunos, PhD Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceeding, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No. : Jumardi Bin Roslan (GS29724)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Dr. Siti Mazlina Mustapa Kamal

Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Norhafizah Abdullah

Signature:

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

Dr. Khairul Faezah Md. Yunos

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT
ABSTRAK
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
APPROVAL
DECLARATION
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CHAPTER

 \bigcirc

1	INTR	ODUCTI	ION	1				
	1.1	Backgr	ound of the Study	1				
	1.2	Proble	m Statement	3				
	1.3	Resear	ch Hypotheses	5				
	1.4	Objecti		5 5 5				
	1.5	Scope	of Study	5				
	1.6		cance of the Study	6				
2	LITE	RATURE	C REVIEW	7				
	2.1	Tilapia	Fish	7				
		2.1.1	Tilapia Taxonomic Classification and					
			Morphology	7				
	2.2	Bioche	emical Characteristics of Fish Muscle Protein	8				
	2.3	Fish B	y-products	10				
	2.4	Enzym	atic Hydrolysis of Food Protein	11				
		2.4.1	The Mechanism of Protein Hydrolysis	11				
		2.4.2	The Selection of Enzymes	14				
		2.4.3	Alcalase	15				
	2.5	Quanti	fication of Protein Hydrolysis	16				
	2.6	Optimi	Optimization Techniques and Tools					
		in Food	d Researches	17				
	2.7	Respon	nse Surface Methodology (RSM)	17				
		2.7.1	Optimization of Enzymatic Hydrolysis from					
			Fish Muscle and By-product using RSM	18				
	2.8		otein Hydrolysate Characteristics					
		and Fu	nctionalities	18				
		2.8.1	Influence of DH on Physicochemical and					
			Functional Properties of Fish					
			Protein Hydrolysate	21				
		2.8.2	Size Distribution of Fish Protein					
			Hydrolysate	21				
		2.8.3	ACE-Inhibitory Activity of Fish Protein					
			Hydrolysate	22				
		2.8.4	Chemical and Amino Acid composition					
			of Fish Protein Hydrolysate	23				

i iii v vi viii xv xviii xx

	2.8.5	Functional Properties of Fish Protein Hydrolysate2.8.5.1 Solubility2.8.5.2 Water-Holding Capacity (WHC)2.8.5.3 Oil-Holding Capacity (OHC)	25 25 26 26
		2.8.5.4 Emulsifying Properties	26
2.9	Introdu	iction to the Membrane Separation Processes	27
	2.9.1	Fundamental Concepts of the Membrane Technology	27
	2.9.2	Ultrafiltration Membrane	28
	2.9.3	Membrane Materials, Structures and	
		Morphology	29
	2.9.4	Membrane Modules and Operation	29
	2.9.5	Fractionation of Proteins and Peptides using	
		Ultrafiltration	31
		2.9.5.1 Membrane Fouling	31
		2.9.5.2 Factors Influence Proteins and Peptides	22
	206	Fractionation	33
	2.9.6 2.9.7	Permeate Flux in Ultrafiltration Protein Transmission through Ultrafiltration	35
	2.9.1	Membrane	35
	2.9.8	Selectivity of Protein Fractionation using	55
	2.7.0	Ultrafiltration Membrane	36
	2.9.9	The Fractionation Efficiency of FPH using	50
	-0.0	Ultrafiltration Membrane	36
	2.9.10		38
2.10	Summa		40
MAT		AND METHODS	41
3.1	Introdu		41
3.2	Method		43
	3.2.1	Preparation of Tilapia Minced	43
3.3		nination of Nutritional Values of TM and TB using	10
2.4		nate Analysis	43
3.4	TM and	ing Study on the Enzymatic Hydrolysis Reaction of	44
3.5		e of Hydrolysis	44
3.6		zation of Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Tilapia Muscle	чJ
5.0		apia By-product using Response Surface Methodology	46
3.7		terization of TM and TB Protein Hydrolysate	48
5.1		Enzymatic Hydrolysis of TM and TB	48
	3.7.2	ACE-Inhibitory Activity of TM and TB	
		Protein Hydrolysate	48
	3.7.3	Peptide Size Distribution using	
		Tricine-SDS-PAGE	48
	3.7.4	Chemical and Amino Acid Composition	
		Analysis	49
		3.7.4.1 Proximate Analysis	49
		3.7.4.2 Amino Acid Analysis	49
		3.7.4.3 Mineral Content Analysis	50
	3.7.5	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	-
	0 5 6	Analysis	50
	3.7.6	Colour Measurement Analysis	50

3

	3.7.7	Determination of Functional Properties	50
	5.7.7	3.6.7.1 Nitrogen Solubility	50
		3.6.7.2 Water-Holding Capacity	51
		3.6.7.3 Oil-Holding Capacity	51
		3.6.7.4 Emulsifying Capacity	51
2.0	Encatio		51
3.8		nation of TB Protein Hydrolysate using Ultrafiltration	50
	Membr		53
	3.8.1	Membrane Type and Module	53
	3.8.2	Membrane Preparation	53
	3.8.3	Cleaning Procedure	54
	3.8.4	Fractionation Process	54
	3.8.5	Measurement of the Membrane Performances	55
		3.7.5.1 Permeate Flux	55
		3.7.5.2 Peptide Transmission	56
	3.8.6	Measurement of Peptide Content	56
	3.8.7	Fractionation Experiment Procedure	58
	3.8.8	Morphology of Membrane surface analysis	
		using SEM	59
	3.8.9	Selectivity of the Fractionation	59
3.9		cal Analysis	59
5.7	Statisti		09
RESPO	NSE SUI	<i>loticus</i>) MUSCLE AND BY-PRODUCT USING RFACE METHODOLOGY	60
4.1	Introdu		60
4.2		s and Discussion	61
	4.2.1		61
	4.2.2	Screening Study on Enzymatic Hydrolysis of	
		TM and TB	63
		4.2.2.1 Effect of pH on Enzymatic Hydrolysis of TM and TB	63
		4.2.2.2 Effect of Temperature on Enzymatic	05
			64
		Hydrolysis of TM and TB	04
		4.2.2.3 Effect of Substrate Concentration on	()
		Enzymatic Hydrolysis of TM and TB	64
		4.2.2.4 Effect of Enzyme Activity on	
		Hydrolysis of TM	65
		4.2.2.5 Experimental Ranges from Screening Study on the Hydrolysis of TM	66
	4.2.3	Optimization using RSM for TM	66
		4.2.3.1 Influences of Independent Variables	00
		on the Hydrolysis of TM	68
		4.2.3.2 Verification experiment	70
	4.2.4	Optimization using RSM for TB	70
	7.2.4		70
		4.2.4.1 Influences of Independent Variables	70
		on the Hydrolysis of TB	72
4.2	C	4.2.4.2 Verification experiment	74
4.3	Summ		74

C

5	CHARACTERIZATION OF ANGIOTENSIN CONVERTING ENZYME (ACE) INHIBITION ACTIVITY, CHEMICAL						
				FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES FROM			
				OLYSATES OF RED TILAPIA			
	(Oreoc)	hromis n	iloticus)		76		
	5.1	Introdu			76		
	5.2		and Disc	ussion	76		
	5.2	5.2.1		tic Hydrolysis of TM and TB at Different	10		
		5.2.1	Time Re		76		
		5.2.2		Molecular Range of Tilapia Fish	10		
		3.2.2		Hydrolysates	78		
		5.2.3		Studies on ACE-Inhibitory Activities of	10		
		3.2.3			80		
		5 2 4		Fish Protein Hydrolysate	80		
		5.2.4		al and Amino Acid Compositions of	01		
				TB Protein Hydrolysates	81		
		5.2.5		Compositions of TM and TB Protein			
			Hydroly		83		
		5.2.6		Measurement of TM and TB Protein			
			Hydroly		84		
		5.2.7		logical Analysis of TM and TB Protein			
				sate by Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM)	84		
		5.2.8	Function	nal Properties of TM and TB Protein			
			Hydroly	rsates	86		
			5.3.8.1	Nitrogen Solubility of TM and TB Protein			
				Hydrolysate	86		
			5.3.8.2	Water Holding Capacity (WHC)	87		
				Oil Holding Capacity (OHC)	88		
				Emulsifying capacity	88		
	5.3	Summa		, Serrey	89		
			,				
6	FRACT	FIONAT	TION OF	TILAPIA BY-PRODUCT PROTEIN			
U III				G SINGLE AND MULTILAYER			
				MEMBRANE	90		
	6.1	Introdu			90		
	6.2		and Disc	ussion	91		
	0.2	6.2.1		f Operating and Physicochemical Parameters	1		
		0.2.1		erformances of Single UF Membrane	91		
			6.2.1.1	Effect of stirring speed on permeate flux	91		
			0.2.1.1				
				and peptide transmission of TB hydrolysate	02		
			() 1)	using single UF membranes	92		
			6.2.1.2	Effect of pH solution on permeate flux			
				and peptide transmission of TB hydrolysate			
				using single UF membranes	94		
			6.2.1.3	Effect of salt concentration on permeate flux			
				and peptide transmission of TB hydrolysate			
				using single UF membranes	98		
			6.2.1.4	Effect of different salt concentration on			
				membrane fouling as examined by SEM	101		
			6.2.1.5	Effect different membrane pore sizes on			
				permeate flux and peptide transmission	102		

6

			6.2.1.6	ACE-inhibitory activities of protein	
				hydrolysate separated using single membrane	
				ultrafiltration	103
		6.2.2	Effect of	f operating and physicochemical parameters	
			on the p	erformances of multilayer UF membrane	104
			6.2.2.1	Effect of stirring speed on permeate flux and	
				peptide transmission TB hydrolysate using	
				multilayer membranes	104
			6.2.2.2	Effect of pH solution on permeate flux and	
				peptide transmission of TB hydrolysate using	
				multilayer UF membranes	106
			6.2.2.3	Effect of different multilayer membrane	
				orientation on permeate flux and peptide	
				transmission	108
			6.2.2.4	ACE-inhibitory activities of protein	
				hydrolysate separated using multilayer	
				membrane ultrafiltration	109
			6.2.2.5	Selectivity of fractionates from UF membrane	110
			6.2.2.6	Relationships between peptide size and	
				ACE inhibitory activity	113
	6.3	Summar	y		114
7	CONC	LUSION	S AND R	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR	
	FUTUF	RE RESE	ARCH		116
	7.1	Conclus	ions		116
	7.2	Recomm	nendation	is for future research	117
REFER	ENCES				118
APPEN					137
		STUDEN	Т		157
		ICATIO			158

 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

,	Table		Page
2	2.1	Summary of previous studies on the comparison between alcalase with other enzymes for hydrolysis of fish protein	14
2	2.2	Summary of previous studies on optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of fish muscle and by-product using response surface methodology (RSM)	19
2	2.3	Summary of the studies on ACE inhibitory activity of FPH produced from various fish muscle and by-product sources using different types of enzymes	23
2	2.4	Summary of previous studies on proximate composition of FPH produced using alcalase from various fish sources	24
	2.5	Characteristics of different types of membrane modules	30
2	3.1	Selected range values of each factor based on the highest DH values for tilapia muscle and tilapia by-product	45
2	3.2	Independent variables and their coded and actual level used in the RSM studies for optimizing the hydrolysis conditions of tilapia muscle using alcalase	46
	3.3	Recipe for the preparation of 16% separation and 4% stacking gel	49
	4.1	Proximate analysis and Amino Acids compositions of minced TM and TB	62
4	4.2	The actual levels of independent variables used in optimizing the hydrolysis condition of tilapia muscle	67
4	4.3	Analysis of variance for the second order response surface model for the degree of hydrolysis (DH)	68
	4.4	The actual levels of independent variables used in optimizing the hydrolysis condition of tilapia by-product	71
	4.5	Analysis of variance for the second order response surface model for the degree of hydrolysis (DH)	72
	4.6	Optimum condition for hydrolyzing TM and TB using alcalase	75
	4.7	Verification runs of DH	75
	5.1	ACE-inhibitory activity of TM and TB protein hydrolysates at different time of hydrolysis	81

5.2	Proximate analysis and AA compositions of TM and TB protein hydrolysates	83
5.3	Mineral composition of TM and TB protein hydrolysates	84
5.4	Hunter color of TM and TB protein hydrolysates	84
5.5	Functional properties of TM and TB protein hydrolysate	89
6.1	Permeate flux and peptide transmission at the best of parameter conditions	102
6.2	ACE-inhibitory activity of permeate from single membrane	103
6.3	Permeate flux and transmission at the best of parameter conditions of multilayer membranes	109
6.4	ACE-inhibitory activity of permeate from multilayer	109
6.5	Percentage of peptide composition	111
6.6	Enrichment factors for multilayer membranes	112

C

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Red tilapia structures	8
2.2	The myofibril component of the muscle	9
2.3	Tilapia muscle or fillet	10
2.4	Tilapia by-products	11
2.5	The catalytic mechanism of the serine protease	12
2.6	The applicability ranges of different membrane processes based on sizes	28
2.7	The schematic diagram of anisotropic UF membrane	29
2.8	The schematic diagram of the dead-end mode and the cross-flow mode	30
2.9	Fouling mechanism of porous membrane	32
2.10	Concentration polarization	32
3.1	Process flowchart of the experimental works	42
3.2	Mass balance for the processing of red tilapia	43
3.3	Process flowchart of the screening study and optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis	47
3.4	Process flowchart of the characterization of TM and TB protein hydrolysate	52
3.5	The schematic diagram for ultrafiltration membrane process	53
3.6	Ultrafiltration membrane configurations	55
3.7	Flowchart for the fractionation of TB protein hydrolysate	57
4.1	Effect of pH on hydrolysis of TM and TB using alcalase	63
4.2	Effect of temperature on hydrolysis of TM and TB using alcalase	64
4.3	Effect of substrate concentration $\%~(w/v)$ on hydrolysis of TM and TB using alcalase	65
4.4	Effect of enzyme activity (AU/kg protein) on hydrolysis of TM and TB using alcalase	66

4.5	Response surface graph for DH as a function of temperature and pH (a) DH as a function of enzyme and pH (b) DH as a function of substrate and pH (c) during hydrolysis of tilapia muscle with alcalase	69
4.6	Contour plot of the enzymatic hydrolysis on tilapia muscle as a function Of temperature and pH	70
4.7	Response surface graph for DH as a function of temperature and pH (a) DH as a function of substrate and temperature (b) DH as a function of enzyme and temperature (c) during hydrolysis of tilapia by-product with alcalase	73
4.8	Contour plot of enzymatic hydrolysis on tilapia by- product as a function of temperature and pH	74
5.1	Effect of reaction time on degree of hydrolysis of TM and TB	78
5.2	SDS-PAGE profiles of TM and TB protein hydrolysates	79
5.3	Scanning Electron Micrograph of TM (a) and TB protein hydrolysates (b) TM protein hydrolysate (c) and TB protein hydrolysate (d)	85
5.4	Nitrogen solubility of TM and TB protein hydrolysate at different pH	87
6.1	Effect of stirring speed on flux of TB protein hydrolysate using single UF membranes	92
6.2	Effect of stirring speed on peptide transmission of TB protein hydrolysate using single UF membranes	94
6.3	Effect of pH solution on flux of TB protein hydrolysate using single UF membranes	95
6.4	Effect of pH solution on peptide transmission of TB protein hydrolysate using single UF membrane	97
6.5	Effect of salt concentration (NaCl) on flux of TB protein hydrolysate using single UF membrane	99
6.6	Effect of salt concentration (NaCl) on peptide transmission of TB protein hydrolysate using single UF membrane	100
6.7	SEM images for 10 kDa membrane [upper] and 5 kDa membrane [bottom] with (a) is a new membrane followed by a fouled membrane treated without NaCl (b) treated with 0.2 M of NaCl (c) treated with 0.4 M of NaCl (d) treated with 0.6 M of NaCl (e)	101
6.8	Effect of stirring speed on flux of TB protein hydrolysate using multilayer UF membranes	105

xviii

6.9	Effect of stirring speed on peptide transmission of TB protein hydrolysate using multilayer UF membranes	106
6.10	Effect of pH solution on flux of TB protein hydrolysate using multilayer UF membranes	107
6.11	Effect of pH on peptide transmission of TB protein hydrolysate using multilayer UF membranes	108
6.12	Chromatogram profiles of TB protein hydrolysate which fractionated through ultrafiltration membranes of 10, 5, 10/5, and 5/5 kDa	110



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FPH	fish protein hydrolysate
AA	amino acid
ANOVA	analysis of variance
AOAC	association of official analytical chemists
CCD	central composite design
CCRD	central composite rotatable design
DH	degree of hydrolysis
TNBS	trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid
OPA	O-Phtaldialdehyde
ACE	angiotensin I-converting enzyme
UF	ultrafiltration
HC1	hydrochloric acid
FPLC	fast pressure liquid chromatography
NaCl	sodium chloride
SEM	scanning electron microscope
RC	regenerated cellulose
MWCO	molecular weight cut-off
ТВ	tilapia by-product
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
ТМ	tilapia muscle
kDa	kilodalton
Da	dalton
RAS	renin-angiotensin system
TCA	trichloroacetic acid

RSM	response surface methodology
RCBD	randomized complete block design
WHC	water-holding capacity
OHC	oil-holding capacity
RO	reverse osmosis
NF	nanofiltration
MF	microfiltration
TMP	transmembrane pressure
PS	polysulfone
PES	polyethersulfone
CA	cellulose acetate
PA	polyamide
PVDF	polyvinylidenefluride
PAN	polyacrylonitrile
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
SDS-PAGE	sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
EC	emulsifying capacity

completely randomized factorial design

CRFD

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Tilapia is a cultured freshwater fish, abundant in several parts of the world, and has become the second most cultured fish species after carp (Fitzsimmon, 2004; El Sayed, 2006). Due to its special features such as fast-growing, tolerant to environmental changes and disease, and a source of nutritional benefits, tilapia farming in Asia has increased over the years and became widely available (Murthy *et al.*, 2011). Red tilapia (*Oreochromis sp.*) is the most farmed species in Malaysia with yields reaching ~81% of the total tilapia production (33,260 tons) (Ng, 2009; Department of Fisheries, 2011). In order to increase tilapia marketability, several endeavors have been sought to improve the quality of its attributes through isolation of tilapia protein.

Tilapia protein isolates have been shown to have excellent physicochemical characteristics such as good gelling, foaming and emulsifying properties that can be used in the development of processed food products with improved functional properties (Murthy *et al.*, 2011; Zhou *et al.*, 2006; Rawdkuen *et al.*, 2009). In addition to their beneficial physicochemical characteristics, tilapia protein isolates also have high nutrients and are rich sources of bioactive peptides. These bioactive peptides however, are inactive within the sequence of the parent protein (Vercruysse *et al.*, 2005). The conversion of tilapia protein isolates into fish protein hydrolysate (FPH), it facilitates the release of bioactive peptides that possess numerous physiological functions such as antithrombotic, anticancer, antimicrobial, antioxidative and antihypertensive which could be used as components in healthcare and pharmaceutical products (Claire and Swaisgood, 2000)

During processing of tilapia fish to obtain tilapia muscles, there are many by-products generated including skins, bones, frames and tails. These by-products are normally disposed without any attempt to make them useful. Several efforts have been made to utilize these by-products by converting it into fish meal for animal feed, fish oil, fertilizer and fish silage (Choudhury & Bublitz, 1996; Choudhury & Gogoi, 1995). However, most of these products possess low economic value. Fish by-products from various fish species contain considerable amount of protein varying from 15-60% (Valdimarson & James, 2001; Fahmi *et al.*, 2004; Sathivel *et al.*, 2004; Je *et al.*, 2004; Jung *et al.*, 2006) that is known to possess high nutritional value in terms of essential amino acid (AA) composition (Venugopal *et al.*, 1996). The conversion of fish by-products into value added products such as bioactive peptides can be a very promising alternative.

Since 1970s, the production of FPH from fish by-products has received growing attention as the latter contains rich essential nutrients and bioactive peptides (Je *et al.*, 2004; Jung *et al.*, 2006; Kim *et al.*, 2001). These efforts can pave the way for complete utilization of fish waste, which will extend the food supply and benefit human health. Several research groups around the world have been working on producing FPH through enzymatic hydrolysis reaction using selected proteolytic enzymes to cleave specific peptide bonds (Kitts & Weiler, 2003; Raghavan & Kristinsson, 2008; Raghavan *et al.*, 2008; Theodore

& Kristinsson, 2007). The enzymatic approach has become the most preferred method for hydrolysis of fish protein due to several advantages including easy monitoring, high specificity, mild reaction conditions, less undesirable products, and high product quality and yield.

Enzyme selection is a crucial factor in the hydrolysis of proteins based on the fact that different enzymes have different specificity, which consequently produce protein hydrolysates with different chemical and functional properties (Kristinsson and Rasco, 2000a; Korhonen & Pihlanto, 2006). There are numerous commercial proteases available in the market that can be used for hydrolyzing fish proteins including alcalase, neutrase, flavourzyme, protamex, pepsin, trypsin, chymotrypsin, papain, bromelain and ficin (Aspmo *et al.*, 2005; Guerard *et al.*, 2001; Liaset *et al.*, 2000; Himonides *et al.*, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2010). Alcalase has been shown to be one of the most efficient enzymes for the preparation of fish protein hydrolysate attributed to its ability to attain a high degree of hydrolysis in a relatively short period under mild conditions and can produce fish protein hydrolysate with high nutrient contents and good functional properties (Adler-Nissen, 1986; Shahidi *et al.*, 1995; Benjakul and Morrissey, 1997; Kristinsson and Rasco, 2000b; Guerard *et al.*, 2001; Wasswa *et al.*, 2007; Amiza *et al.*, 2011; See *et al.*, 2011).

Enzymatic hydrolysis of food protein is usually monitored through degree of hydrolysis (DH), which is the percentage of peptide bonds cleaved during the process. DH can be monitored using several methods including the pH-stat, osmometry, soluble nitrogen content, trichloroacetic acid, formol titration, trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS) and *O*-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) methods. In order to produce fish protein hydrolysates with high DH and desired properties, the control over the enzymatic process, particularly enzyme specificity and hydrolysis conditions, is crucial.

FPH generated through enzymatic hydrolysis consists of peptide mixtures with various sizes. Peptide sizes have relationship with their functional properties, which an appropriate molecular size can improve the functional properties of end products. Researchers have reported that small peptides (1-5 kDa) from fish protein hydrolysates have strong influence on Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibition activity (Je *et al.*, 2004; Theodore & Kristinsson, 2007; Bougatef *et al.*, 2008; Qian *et al.*, 2007; Raghavan & Kristinsson, 2009). ACE plays an important role in the regulation of blood pressure and hypertension (Raghavan & Kristinsson, 2009) and the inhibition of ACE activity (>50%) is a good target for antihypertension treatment.

Hypertension is one of the most common chronic health problems and is a major risk factor for atherosclerosis, stroke, myocardial infarction and end-stage renal disease (Itou *et al.*, 2007; Jung *et al.*, 2006). The mechanism of blood pressure regulation by ACE involve conversion of an inactive form of the decapeptide (angiotensin I) to the octapeptide (angiotensin II), a potent vasoconstrictor, and bradykinin inactivator, which has a depressor action (Jung *et al.*, 2006), thus increases blood pressure and leads to heart attack. Due to the high demand for the natural health product for the treatment of this chronic disease, there is a need to produce healthcare product from natural resources such as FPH, which can be used as an alternative to synthetic drug such as captopril that has negative effects on health.

Production of peptides with the desired molecular sizes for the treatment of hypertension is a challenging process and almost impossible to obtain by simply controlling the DH during hydrolysis. Therefore, better understanding of the separation process is required,

 \bigcirc

especially in the large scale production of these specific peptides. The conventional method for peptides purification usually involve column chromatography because of its high selectivity, but this method requires high cost to scale up. In this regards, ultrafiltration membrane system is a cheaper alternative and the most convenient equipment for that purpose due to its ability to separate FPH effectively, easily controlled and can enrich a small peptide fraction with high biological activity (Cheryan, 1986; Mochizuki & Zydney, 1992; Jeon *et al.*, 1999; Je *et al.*, 2005; Raghavan & Kristinsson, 2009).

1.2 Problem statements

It is well known that various tilapia species have been cultured around the world such as red tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus), black tilapia (Oreochromis mosambicus) and blue tilapia (Oreochromis aurea). However, red tilapia farming is most preferred due to its special features such as fast growing and high yield of flesh compared to black and blue tilapia leading to the selection of red tilapia as a raw material in this study. Although a few studies have reported on the hydrolysis of tilapia muscle (Abdul-Hamid et al., 2002; Raghavan and Kristinsson, 2009; Dekkers et al., 2011; Foh et al., 2011; Shamloo et al., 2012) using different enzymes and hydrolysis conditions, until recently, there has been no research reported on using tilapia by-products especially from its frame, bone, tail and head for the production of FPH. Only studies on the production of FPH from tilapia skin (Yang et al., 2009) and tilapia scale (Ngo et al., 2010) have been reported. Most of these studies do not take into consideration the effect of process parameters, which is essential information required in controlling the hydrolysis of tilapia. Knowledge of hydrolysis reaction is important not only for the optimization process of enzymatic protein hydrolysis but also to understand the mechanisms affecting the process yield including the limitations of the reaction catalyzed by the selected enzyme. Due to lack of information on controlling the hydrolysis process for tilapia, this research has attempted to use commercial protease, alcalase in producing FPH from tilapia muscle and byproducts with the O-phtaldialdehyde (OPA) method was selected for monitoring the degree of hydrolysis (DH) in the optimization process, which serves as an initial exploration by comparing the results with that of conventional pH-Stat method (Adler-Nissen, 1986). Determination of DH during hydrolysis of proteins (raw material) is crucial because this process requires control and optimization to obtain reproducible of fish protein hydrolysate with desired characteristics.

Generally, biological activities and functional properties of FPH depend on their molecular size and structure and specific amino acids which mainly related to the DH value. High DH value can usually be achieved through extensive enzymatic hydrolysis, leading to the recovery a mixture of peptides with a small size, thus providing a good biological activity. However, the information regarding changes in biological activity during extensive enzymatic hydrolysis of tilapia by-products is limited. Therefore, there is a need to investigate the effect of extensive enzymatic hydrolysis of TM and TB on biological activity particularly antihypertensive activity which is main focus of the study and then further analysis of their characteristics in order to find out the potential application of these products.

FPH consists of peptide mixtures with various sizes and it is necessary to separate them using ultrafiltration (UF) membrane to obtain a specific size of peptide as well as to improve their biological activities. Most membrane studies have used UF as a simple tool for separating FPH, whereby peptides with specific sizes have been successfully obtained

3

(Jeon *et al.*, 1999; Je *et al.*, 2005; Raghavan & Kristinsson, 2009; Ranathunga *et al.*, 2006; Kim *et al.*, 2007). However, production of these peptides in large quantities using UF membrane remains a major constraint. This is because fractionation of peptide may not have a simple and straightforward relationship with membrane pore size. As a result, high throughput of the product cannot be achieved, subsequently limiting the application of membranes for peptide fractionation.

In peptide fractionation, membrane fouling is considered as a major problem that leads to poor separation of FPH and consequently reduces peptide yield. This phenomenon is mainly driven by the effect of concentration polarization, protein adsorption within the porous structure of the membrane, the formation of protein deposits on the upper surface of the membrane, and protein-protein interactions in the bulk solution and/or membrane. Effective separation of peptides can be achieved by selecting the appropriate membrane and controlling the solution and operating conditions. So far, no complete and extensive studies have been done to determine the best fractionation conditions for separation of peptides from tilapia FPH. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the effect of solution conditions such as pH and salt concentration, as well as the stirring speed on the fractionation of tilapia protein hydrolysate, with the ultimate target to control membrane fouling and thus can increase the yield of permeates.

Another problem that commonly arises from the application of UF membranes in fractionation of proteins is low selectivity of the membrane. Poor selectivity in protein fractionation is usually related to the imperfect pore size distribution of the available commercial membranes, thus limiting significantly the resolving power of the membranes (Md. Yunos and Field, 2008). In order to obtain high resolution proteinprotein fractionation and selective transmission of solute through membranes, it is desirable to have reasonable transmission of a particular size of peptides and rejection of other species to achieve good separation. Therefore, a new technique in using UF membranes has been introduced specifically to overcome the broad membrane pore size distribution, namely the multilayer membrane. The potential of a multilayer membrane UF to completely reject unwanted species has been demonstrated in literature (Boyd and Zydney, 1997; Feins and Sirkar, 2004; Feins and Sirkar, 2005; Md Yunos & Field, 2006; 2008; Field et al., 2009), but most studies using multilayer membranes are only limited to the fractionation of binary protein mixture. One of the advantages in using multilayer membranes is that membranes are stacked together, by which they are housed in one device with an appropriate sandwich arrangement, thus enhancing the rejection characteristics as well as selectivity compared to a single membrane (Boyd & Zydney, 1997; Feins & Sirkar, 2005; Md Yunos & Field, 2008). By stacking membranes, it is possible to achieve essentially a completely pure product (Feins and Sirkar, 2004). In addition, it can also become an alternative to the use of two-stage membrane separation, which involves several unit operations that make it costly. Through the multilayer membrane technique, a single operation can be achieved with relatively less cost.

Thus, this part is more focusing on the fractionation of FPH with the aim to identify factors limiting peptide fractionation as well as gaining better understanding of peptide transmission by applying single and multilayer membrane process, and finally to determine the best membrane configuration that could give high biological activities.

1.3 Research hypotheses

Based on reviews of previous studies, several hypotheses could be made (1) the hydrolysis of tilapia muscle and tilapia by-product using a commercial protease such as alcalase can achieve a high degree of hydrolysis in a relatively short period and can thus produce small peptide sizes with good nutritional and functional properties (Adler-Nissen, 1986; Benjakul and Morrissey, 1997; Amiza *et al.*, 2011; See *et al.*, 2011; Kristinsson and Rasco, 2000b; Wasswa *et al.*, 2007). (2) Application of UF membranes in fractionating FPH can enrich specific peptides of small sizes and also improve the biological activity of FPH (Chabeaud *et al.*, 2009; Vandanjon *et al.*, 2009; Bourseau *et al.*, 2009; Saidi *et al.*, 2013; 2104). (3) By adopting this new technique, multilayer membranes can improve selectivity of the peptides (by measuring permeate concentration using chromatography technique) aside from enhancing biological activity such as antihypertensive activity.

1.4 **Objectives**

Based on the problem statement as discussed above, the objectives of this study are:

- 1. To optimize the parameters for improvement of enzymatic hydrolysis of tilapia by products using response surface methodology.
- 2. To characterize the protein hydrolysates from enzymatic hydrolysis of tilapia by-product emphasizing on ACE inhibition activity, chemical and amino acids composition and functional properties.
- 3. To evaluate the performances of single and multilayer membrane ultrafiltration for fractionating of tilapia by-product protein hydrolysate, and utilizing membrane to enrich peptide with high ACE inhibitory activity.

1.5 Scope of study

This study is aimed to produce fish protein hydrolysates with high ACE inhibitory activity from TM and TB through the enzymatic hydrolysis approach followed by fractionation using ultrafiltration membranes for the recovery of small-sized peptides (< 10 kDa).

Enzymatic hydrolysis of tilapia muscle and tilapia by-product is performed using commercial processes, alcalase, whereby focus is converged on the screening and optimization processes at various hydrolysis parameters such as pH, temperature (°C), substrate concentration (w/v, %) and enzyme concentration (w/w, %). Hydrolysis of tilapia muscle and tilapia by-product are monitored through the degree of hydrolysis (DH) using *O*-phtaldialdehyde (OPA) method. Response surface methodology (RSM) was then employed to determine an optimum degree of hydrolysis for both samples.

TM and TB protein hydrolysates produced are characterized in terms of biological activity, specifically ACE inhibitory activity; chemical composition; physical and functional properties. Chemical composition analyses consist of proximate analysis (moisture, protein, ash, and oil content), amino acid composition and mineral content. Physical properties of the FPH which include color and morphology are analyzed by a Konica Minolta color reader, Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), respectively. Functional properties of the FPH are then characterized in terms of nitrogen solubility, water-holding capacity (WHC), oil-holding capacity (OHC), and emulsifying capacity (EC).

TB hydrolysate with the highest ACE inhibitory activity is further used for fractionation using a stirred cell ultrafiltration membrane in order to obtain high yield of peptides with a small-sized and improved biological activity. Two different sizes of flat sheet regenerated cellulose (RC) membrane type are used with molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of 5 and 10 kDa. Membrane performances are evaluated in terms of flux and peptide transmission and examined under three different parameters, which are stirring speed (0, 300, 600 rpm), pH (3, 5, 7, 8, 9) and salt concentration (NaCl: 0 M, 0.2 M, 0.4, M, 0.6 M) via single and multilayer (two membranes combined together) membrane configuration. Fractionation using the single (5 and 10 kDa) membrane is studied at different pressures at 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 bar. As for the multilayer membrane, the pressures is varied at 2.0, 2.5 and, 3.0 bar. Permeate obtained at optimum conditions during the fractionation of TB hydrolysate from different membrane configurations are further analyzed using Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) in order to identify which peptide components are contained in the permeate. Finally, the ACE inhibitory activity for each permeate is measured.

1.6 Significance of the study

In this research, a fraction of peptides with high ACE inhibitory activity from tilapia byproducts hydrolyates can be recovered via enzymatic hydrolysis and fractionation using UF membrane either through single or multilayer membrane configurations. A better insight on hydrolysis mechanism can contribute to a higher product yield. In addition, factors affecting peptide fractionation can be identified and thus a better understanding of the flux and peptide transmissions through single and multilayer membrane can be achieved.

REFERENCES

- Abakarov, A., Teixeira, A. Simpson, R. Pinto, M., & Almonacid, S. (2011). Modeling of squid protein hydrolysis: artificial neural network approach. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, *34*, 2026–2046.
- Abdul-Hamid, A., Bakar, J., & Bee, G.H. (2002). Nutritional quality of spray dried protein hydrolysate from Black Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*). Food Chemistry, 78, 69-74.
- Adler-Nissen, J. (1976). Enzymatic hydrolysis of proteins for increased solubility. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 24(6), 1090-1093.
- Adler-Nissen, J. (1986). *Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Food Proteins*. Oxford, UK: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers.
- Adeyeye, E.I. (2009). Amino acid composition of three species of Nigerian fish: *Clarias* anguillaris, Oreochromis niloticus and Cynoglossus senegalensis. Food Chemistry, 113, 43–46
- Ahmed, H. (2005). *Principles and reactions of protein extraction, purification and characterization*. pp 43-45. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- Aluko, R.E., & Monu, E. (2003). Functional and bioactive properties of quinoa seed protein hydroilysates. *Journal of Food Science*, 68, 1254-1258.
- Amiza, M.A., Ow, Y.W., & Faazaz, A.L. (2013). Physicochemical properties of silver catfish (*Pangasius* sp.) frame hydrolysate. *International Food Research Journal*, 20(3), 1255-1262.
- Amiza, M. A., Nurul Ashikin, S., & Faazaz, A. L. (2011). Optimization of enzymatic protein hydrolysis from silver catfish (*Pangasius* sp.) frame. *International Food Research Journal*, 18, 775-781.
- Ang, W.S., & Elimelech, M. (2007). Protein (BSA) fouling of reverse osmosis membranes: implications for wastewater reclamation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 296, 83–92.
- AOAC. (2005). Official Methods of Analysis. 16th ed., Washington, DC.
- Arnesen, J.A., & Gildberg, A. (2006). Extraction of Muscle Proteins and Gelatine from Cod Head. *Process Biochemistry*, 41, 697–700.
- Arvanitoyannis, I.S., & Kassaveti, A. (2008). Fish industry waste: treatments, environmental impacts, current and potential uses. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 43, 726-745.
- Aspmo, S.I., Horn, S.J., & Eijsink, V.G.H. (2005). Enzymatic hydrolysis of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua L.*) viscera. *Process Biochemistry*, 40, 1957–1966.

- Atkinson, A.B., & Robertson, J.I.S. (1979). Captopril in the treatment of clinical hypertension and cardiac failure. *Lancet*, 2, 836–839.
- Baek, H.H., & Cadwallader, K.R. (1995). Enzymatic hydrolysis of crayfish processing by-products. *Journal of Food Science*, 60, 929.
- Baker, R.W. (2004). Membrane technology and applications. England: McGrawHill.
- Balakrishnan, M., & Agarwal, G.P. (1996). Protein fractionation in a vortex flow filter.I: Effect of system hydrodynamics and solution environment on single protein transmission. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 112, 47-74.
- Balti, R., Bougatef, A, Ali, N.E.H, Zekri, D., Barkia, A., & Nasri, M. (2010). Influence of degree of hydrolysis on functional properties and angiotensin I-converting enzyme-inhibitory activity of protein hydrolysates from cuttlefish (*Sepia* officinalis) by-products. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 90(12), 2006–2014.
- Barros, R.M., & Malcata, F.X. (2004). A kinetic model for hydrolysis of whey proteins by cardosin A extracted from Cynara cardunculus. *Food Chemistry*, 88, 351.
- Benjakul, S., & Morrissey, M.T. (1997). Protein hydrolysates from pacific whiting solid wastes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 45, 3423-3430.
- Bérot, S., Popineau, Y., Compoint, J.P., Blassel, C., & Chaufer, B. (2001). Ultrafiltration to fractionate wheat polypeptides, *Journal of Chromatography*, 753, 29–35.
- Bertullo, U.H. & Pereira, C.R. (1970). Protein hydrolysis. US Patent 3,516,349.
- Bhaskar, N., & Mahendrakar, N. S. (2008). Protein hydrolysate from visceral waste proteins of Catla (Catla catla): Optimization of hydrolysis conditions for a commercial neutral protease. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 4105–4111
- Bhaskar, N., Benila, T., Radha, C., & Lalitha, R.G. (2008). Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of visceral waste proteins of Catla (*Catla catla*) for preparing protein hydrolysate using a commercial protease. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 335–343
- Bolton, G., LaCasse D., & Kuriyel R. (2006). Combined models of membrane fouling: Development and application to microfiltration and ultrafiltration of biological fluids. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 277, 75-84.
- Bourseau, P., Vandanjon, L., Jaouen, P., Chaplain-Derouiniot, M., Masse, A., Guerard, F., Chabeaud, A., Fouchereau-Peron, M., Le Gal, Y., Ravallec-Ple, R., Berge, J.P., Picot, L., Piot, J.M., Batista, I., Thorkelsson, G., Delannoy, C., Jakobsen, G., & Johansson, I. (2009). Fractionation of fish protein hydrolysates by ultrafiltration and nanofiltration: impact on peptidic populations. *Desalination*, 244, 303–320.
- Bougatef, A., Nedjar-Arroume, N., Ravallec-Plé, R., Leroy, Y., Guillochon, D., Barkia, A., & Nasri, M. (2008). Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activities of sardinelle (*Sardinella aurita*) by-products protein hydrolysates

obtained by treatment with microbial and visceral fish serine proteases. *Food Chemistry*, 111, 350–356.

- Boyd, R.F., & Zydney, A.L. (1997). Sieving characteristic of multilayer ultrafiltration membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 131, 155–165.
- Bucci, L.R., & Unlu, L. (2000). Protein and amino acid supplements in exercise and sport. In I. Wolinsky, J. A. Driskell, & F. L. Boca Raton (Eds.), *Energy yielding macronutrients and energy metabolism in sports nutrition* (pp. 191–212). FL: CRC Press.
- Bueno-Solano, C., Lopez-Cervantes, J., Campas-Baypoli, O.N., Lauterio-Garcia, R., Adan-Bante, N.P., & Sanchez-Machado, D.I. (2009). Chemical and biological characteristics of protein hydrolysates from fermented shrimp by-products. *Food Chemistry*, 112, 671–675.
- Burns, D.B., & Zydney, A.L. (1999). Effect of solution pH on protein transport through ultrafiltration membranes. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 64(1), 27-37
- Cakl, J., & Mikulasek, P. (1995). Flux and fouling in the crossflow ceramic membrane microfiltration of polymer colloids. *Separation Science and Technology*, 30(19), 3663-3680.
- Chabeaud, A., Vandanjon, L., Bourseau, P., Jaouen, P., Chaplain-Derouiniot, M., & Guerard, F. (2009a). Performances of ultrafiltration membranes for fractionating a fish protein hydrolysate: Application to the refining of bioactive peptidic fractions. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 66, 463–471.
- Chabeaud, A., Vandanjon, L., Bourseau, P., Jaouen, P., & Guérard F. (2009b).
 Fractionation by ultrafiltration of a saithe protein hydrolysate (*Pollachius virens*):
 Effect of material and molecular weight cut-off on the membrane performances.
 Journal of Food Engineering, 91, 408–414.
- Chalamaiah, M., Kumar B.D., Hemalatha, R., & Jyothirmayi, T. (2012). Fish protein hydrolysates: Proximate composition, amino acid composition, antioxidant activities and applications: A review. *Food Chemistry*, 135, 3020–3038.
- Cheryan, M. (1998). *Ultrafiltration and microfiltration handbook*. Lancaster, PA: Technomic Publishing.
- Cheryan, M. (1986). Utrafiltration handbook. Lancaster, PA: Technomic Publishing.
- Chobert, J. M., Bertrand-Harb, C., & Nicolas, M.G. (1988). Solubility and emulsifying properties of caseins and whey proteins modified enzymatically by trypsin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *36*(5), 883.
- Choudhury, G. S., & Bublitz, C.G. (1996). Computer-based controls in fish processing industry. In G. S. Mittal (Ed.), *Computerized control systems in the food industry* (pp. 513–538). New York: Marcel Dekker Inc.

- Choudhury, G.S., & Gogoi, B.K. (1995). Extrusion processing of fish muscle. *Journal* of Aquatic Food Product Technology, 4, 37–67.
- Chukwu, O. (2009). Influences of Drying Methods on Nutritional Properties of Tilapia Fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*). World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5 (2), 256-258.
- Church, F.C., Swaisgood, H.E., Porter, D.H., & Catignani, G.L. (1983). Spectrophotometric assay using o-phthaldialdehyde for determination of proteolysis in milk and isolated milk proteins. *Journal of Dairy Science* 66: 1219-1227.
- Clare, D.A., & Swaisgood, H.E. (2000). Bioactive milk peptides: A prospectus. *Journal* of Dairy Science, 83, 1187-1195.
- Clement, S., & Lovell, R.T. (1994). Comparison of processing yield and nutrient composition of cultured Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) Aquaculzure, 119, 299-310.
- Cui, Z.F., Jiang, Y., & Field, R.W. (2010). Chapter 1 Fundamentals of Pressure-Driven membrane speration processes. In *Membrane Technology*, Z.F. Cui and H.S Muralidhara (Ed.). pp 1-18. USA.
- Damodaran, S. (2008). Amino acids, peptides, and proteins, in *Food Chemistry*, 4th edition, Damodaran, S., Parkin, K.L., and Fennema, O.R (eds.). pp. 217-329. New York, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Das, R., Bhattacherjee, C., & Ghosh, S. (2009). Effects of operating parameters and nature of fouling behavior in ultrafiltration of sesame protein hydrolysate. *Desalination*, 237, 268–276.
- Dekkers, E., Raghavan, S., Kristinsson, H.G., & Marshall, M.R. (2011). Oxidative stability of mahi mahi red muscle dipped in tilapia protein hydrolysates. *Food Chemistry*, 124, 640–645.
- Demetriades, K., Coupland, J.N., & McClements, D.J. (1997). Physical properties of whey protein stabilized emulsions as related to pH and NaCl. *Journal of Food Science*, *62*(2), 342.

Department of Fisheries, Malaysia. Annual Fisheries Statistic Book. (2011). 1: 1-37.

- Diniz, F. M., & Martin, A.M. (1997). Optimization of nitrogen recovery in the enzymatic hydrolysis of dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*) protein. Composition of the hydrolysates. *International of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 48, 191-200.
- D'Alvise, N., Lesueur-Lambert, C., Fertin, B., Dhulster, P., & Guillochon, D. (2004). Hydrolysis and large scale ultrafiltration study of alfalfa protein concentrate enzymatic hydrolysate. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 27, 286–294.
- Elavarasan K., Naveen Kumar, V., & Shamasundar, B.A. (2014). Antioxidant and functional properties of fish protein hydrolysates from fresh water carp (*catla*

Catla) as infulended by the nature of enzyme. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 38, 1207 – 1214.

- Elmaleh, S., & Ghaffor, N. (1996). Cross-flow ultrafiltration of hydrocarbon and biological solid mixed suspensions. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 118, 111.
- El-Sayed, A. M. (2006). Tilapia culture. Oxford: p. 1-24. CABI publishing.
- Fahmi, A., Morimura, S., Guo, H.C., Shigematsu, T., Kida, K., & Uemura, Y. (2004). Production of Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Peptides from Sea Bream Scales. *Process Biochemistry*, 39, 1195-1200.
- FAO and FISHSTAT. (2014). Fishery statistic: Capture production. B-1, 53-54.
- Feins, M., & Sirkar, K. (2005). Novel internally staged ultrafiltration for protein purification. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 248, 137–148.
- Feins M., & Sirkar, K. (2004). Highly selective membranes in protein ultrafiltration. *Biotechnology Bioengineering*, 86, 603–611.
- Field, R.W., Md Yunos, K.F. & Cui, Z. (2009). Separation of proteins using sandwich membranes. *Desalination*, 245, 597–605.
- Fitzsimmons K. (2004). Development of new products and markets for the global tilapia trade. In: *Proceeding of the 6th international symposium on tilapia in aquaculture*. p. 624–33.
- Foh, M.B.K., Qixing, J., Amadou, I., & Xia, W.S. (2010). Influence of ultrafiltration on antioxidant activity of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) protain hydrolysate. *Advanced Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 2(5), 227-235.
- Garduño-Lugo, M., Herrera-Solís, J.R., Angulo-Guerrero, J.O. Muñoz-Córdova, G., & De La Cruz-Medina, J. (2007). Abstracts/Aquaculture: Nutrient composition and sensory evaluation of fillets of two genetics groups of tilapia: Wild type Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus, Linnaeus) and a red hybrid tilapia (Florida red tilapia×O. niloticus red). 272S1: S238–S321.
- Gauthier, S.F., Paquin, P., Pouliot, Y., & Turgeon, S. (1993). Surface activity and related functional properties of peptides obtained from whey proteins. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *76*(1), 321.
- Gbogouri, G.A., Linder, M., Fanni, J., & Parmentier, M. (2004). Influence of hydrolysis degree on the functional properties of salmon byproduct hydrolysates. *Journal of Food Science*, 69, 615–622.
- Gekas, V., & Olund, K. (1988). Mass transfer in the membrane concentration polarization layer under turbulent cross flow: II. Application to the characterization of ultrafiltration membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 37, 145-163.

- Gelde, L., Vázquez, M.I., & Benavente, J. (2011). Temperature effect on transport parameters and structure of regenerated cellulose membranes. *Polymer Testing*, 30: 457–462.
- Ghassem, M., Fern, S.S., Said, M., Ali, Z. M., Ibrahim, S., & Babji, A.S. (2011). Kinetic characterization of Channa striatus muscle sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar protein hydrolysates. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, Doi: 10.1007/s13197-011-0526-6.
- Ghosh, R. (2003). Protein Bioseparation using ultrafiltration. Imperial college press. 166.
- Ghosh, R, Cui, Z. F. (1998). Fractionation of BSA and lysozyme using ultrafiltration: effect of pH and membrane pretreatment. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 139, 17-28.
- Gildberg, A. (1993). Enzymic processing of marine raw materials. *Process Biochemistry*, 28, 1.
- Gilmartin, L., & Jervis, L. (2002). Production of Cod (*Gadus morhua*) Muscle Hydrolysates. Influence of Combinations of Commercial Enzyme Preparations on Hydrolysate Peptide Size Range. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 50, 5417-5423.
- Gonzalez-Tello, P., Camacho, F., Jurado, E., Paez, M. P., & Guadix, E. M. (1994). Enzymatic hydrolysis of whey proteins. I. Kinetic models. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 44, 523.
- Goosen, M.F.A., Sablani, S.S., Ai-Hinai, H., Ai-Obeidani, S., Al-Belushi, R., & Jackson, D. (2004). Fouling of reverse osmosis and ultrafiltration membranes: a critical review. Separation Science and Technology, 39, 2261.
- Goretta, L.A., Ottaviani, J.I., & Fraga, C.G. (2006). Inhibition of angiotensin converting enzyme activity by flavanol-rich foods. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 54, 229–234.
- Gourley, L., Gauthier, S.F., & Pouliot, Y. (1995). Separation of casein hydrolysates using polysulfone ultrafiltration membranes with pH and EDTA treatments applied. *Lait*, 75, 259–269.
- Groleau, P.E., Morin, P., Gauthier, S.F., & Pouliot, Y. (2003). Effect of physicochemical conditions on peptide-peptide interactions in a tryptic hydrolysate of β -lactoglobulin and identification of aggregating peptides. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 51, 4370-4375.
- Guerard, F., Dufosse, L. De La Broise, D., & Binet, A. (2001). Enzymatic hydrolysis of proteins from yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) wastes using Alcalase. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, 11, 1051–1059.

- He, S., Franco, C., & Zhang, Wei. (2013). Functions, applications and production of protein hydrolysates from fish processing co-products (FPCP). *Food Research International*, 50, 289–297.
- Himonides, A.T., Taylor, A.K.D., & Morris, A.J. (2011). Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Fish Frames Using Pilot Plant Scale Systems. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, 2, 586-593
- Ho, C.C., & Zydney, A.L. (2000). A Combined Pore Blockage and Cake Filtration Model for Protein Fouling during Microfiltration. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 232, 389.
- Hou, H., Li, B., & Zhao, X. (2011a). Enzymatic hydrolysis of defatted mackerel protein with low bitter taste. *Journal of Ocean University China (Oceanic and Coastal Sea Research)*, 10, 85–92.
- Hou, H., Li, B., Zhao, X., Zhang, Z., & Li, P. (2011b). Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of Alaska pollock frame for preparing protein hydrolysates with low bitterness. *LWT–Food Science and Technology*, 44, 421–428.
- Hoyle, N., & Merritt, J.H. (1994). Quality of fish protein hydrolysates from herring (*Clupea harengus*). Journal of Food Science, 59, 76.
- Irianto, G., & Irianto, H.E. (1997). *Post-harvest technology of Nile tilapia in Indonesia: a review*. In: FAO Fisheries Report R563, FAO, ROME, pp. 71-83.
- Itou, K., Nagahashi, R., Saitou, M., & Akahane, Y. (2007). Antihypertensive effect of narezushi, a fermented mackerel product, on spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Fisheries Science*, 73, 1344-1352.
- Jayasinghe, P., & Hawboldt, K. (2012). A review of bio-oils from waste biomass: Focus on fish processing waste. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16, 798– 821.
- Jacobsen, C.F., Leonis, J., Linderstrom-Lang, K., & Ottesen, M. (1957). The pH-stat and its use in biochemistry. *Methods of Biochemical Analysis*, 4, 171-210.
- Je, J.Y, Park, P.J., & Kim, S.K. (2005). Antioxidant activity of a peptide isolated from Alaska pollack (*Theragra chalcogramma*) frame protein hydrolysate. *Food Research International*, 38, 45–50.
- Je, J.Y., Park, P.J., Kwon, J.Y., & Kim, S.K. (2004). A Novel Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Peptide from Alaska Pollack (*Theragra chalcogramma*) Frame Protein Hydrolysate. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52, 7842-7845.
- Jeon, Y.J., Byun, H.G., & Kim, S.K. (1999). Improvement of functional properties of cod frame protein hydrolysates using ultrafiltration membranes. *Process Biochemistry*, 35, 471–478.
- Jimsheena, V.K., & Gowda, R. (2009). Colorimetric, High-Troughput Assay for Screening Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors. *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 81(22), 9388-9394.

- Jost, R. & Monti, J.C. (1977). Partial enzymatic hydrolysis of whey protein by trypsin. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 60, 1387.
- Jung, W.K., Mendis, E., Je, J.Y., Park, P.J., Son, B.W., Kim, H.C., Choi, Y.K., & Kim, S.K. (2006). Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Peptide from Yellowfin Sole (*Limanda aspera*) Frame Protein and Its Antihypertensive Effect in Spontaneously Hypertensive Rats. *Food Chemistry*, 94, 26-32.
- Kallioinen, M., Pekkarinen, M., Mantari, M., Nuortila-Jokinen, J., & Nystrom, M. (2007). Comparison of the performance of two different regenerated cellulose ultrafiltration membranes at high filtration pressure. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 294, 93–102.
- Kelly, S.T., & Zydney, A.L. (1995). Mechanism of BSA fouling during microfiltration. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 107, 115–124.
- Kim, S., Je, J., & Kim, S. (2007). Purification and characterization of antioxidant peptide from hoki (*Johnius belengerii*) frame protein by gastrointestinal digestion. *Journal* of Nutritional Biochemistry, 18, 31–38.
- Kim, S.K., & Mendis, E. (2006). Bioactive compounds from marine processing byproducts. *Food Research International*, 39, 383–393.
- Kim, S.K., Kim, Y.T., Byun, H.G., Nam, K.S., Joo, D.S., & Shahidi, F. (2001). Isolation and characterisation of antioxidative peptides from gelatine hydrolysate of Alaska Pollack skin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49(4), 1984–1989.
- Kinsella, J.E. (1976). Functional properties of proteins in foods: a survey, *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 8(4), 219.
- Kitts, D.D., & Weiler, K. (2003). Bioactive Proteins and Peptides from Food Sources. Applications of Bioprocesses used in Isolation and Recovery. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 9, 1309-1323.
- Klompong, V., Benjakul, S., Yachai, M., Visessanguan, W., Shahidi, F., & Hayes, K.D. (2009a). Amino acid composition and antioxidative peptides from protein hydrolysates of yellow stripe trevally (*Selaroides leptolepis*). *Journal of Food Science*, 74, C126–C133.
- Klompong, V., Benjakul, S., Kantachote, D., & Shahidi, F. (2009b). Characteristics and use of Yellow Stripe Trevally hydrolysate as culture media. *Journal of Food Science*, 74, S219–S225.
- Klompong, V., Benjakul, S., Kantachote, D., & Shahidi, F. (2007). Antioxidative activity and functional properties of protein hydrolysate of yellow stripe trevally (*Selaroides leptolepis*) as influenced by the degree of hydrolysis and enzyme type. *Food Chemistry*, 102, 1317–1327.
- Korhonen, H. 2009. Milk-derived bioactive peptides: From science to applications. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 1, 177-187.

- Korhonen, H., & Pihlanto, A. (2006). Bioactive peptides: Production and functionality. *International Dairy Journal*, 16, 945–960.
- Kristinsson, H.G., & Rasco, B.A. (2000a). Fish protein hydrolysates: Production, biochemical, and functional properties. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 40(1), 43–81.
- Kristinsson, H.G., & Rasco, B.A. (2000b). Biochemical and functional properties of Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*) muscle proteins hydrolyzed with various alkaline proteases. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 48, 657-666.
- Kumar, R., Choudhary, V., Mishra, S., & Varma, I.K. (2004). Enzymatically modified soy protein. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*. 75, 727–738.
- Lalasidis, G., Bostrom, S., & Sjoberg, L.B. (1978). Low molecular weight enzymatic fish protein hydrolysates: Chemical composition and nutritive value. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 26(3), 751-756.
- Lapointe, J.F., Gauthier, S.F., Pouliot, Y., & Bouchard, C. (2005). Characterization of interactions between b-lactoglobulin tryptic peptides and a nanofiltration membrane: Impact on the surface membrane properties as determined by contact angle measurements. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 261, 36–48.
- Lapointe, J.F., Gauthier, S.F. Pouliot, Y., & Bouchard, C. (2003). Effect of hydrodynamic conditions on fractionation of β-lactoglobulin tryptic peptides using nanofiltration membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 212, 55–67.
- Lebrun, F., Bazus, A., Dhulster, P., & Guillochon, D. (1998). Influence of molecular interactions on ultrafiltration of a bovine hemoglobin hydrolysate with an organic membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 146, 113–124.
- Lee, S.H., Qian, Z.J., & Kim, S.K. (2010). A novel angiotensin I converting enzyme inhibitory peptide from tuna frame protein hydrolysate and its antihypertensive effect in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Food Chemistry*, 118, 96–102.
- Lee, S.W., Shimizu, M., Kaminogawa, S., & Yamaguchi, K. (1987). Emulsifying properties of a mixture of peptides derived from the enzymatic hydrolysates of β-casein. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 51, 1535-1540.
- Li, G.H., Le, G.W., Shi, Y.H., & Shrestha, S. (2004). Angiotensin-I-converting enzyme inhibitory peptides derived from food proteins and their physiological and pharmacological effects. *Nutrition Research*, 24, 469–486.
- Liaset, B., Julshamn, K., & Espe, M. (2003). Chemical composition and theoretical nutritional evaluation of the produced fractions from enzymic hydrolysis of salmon frames with Protamex. *Process Biochemistry*, 38, 1747–1759.
- Liaset, B., Lied, E., & Espe, M. (2000). Enzymatic hydrolysis of by-products from the fish-filleting industry; chemical characterization and nutritional evaluation. *Journal of the Science and Food Agriculture*, 80, 581-589.

- Liceaga-Gesualdo, A.M., & Li-Chan, E.C.Y. (1999). Functional properties of fish protein hydrolysate from Herring (*Clupea harengus*). Journal of Food Science, 64, 1000–1004.
- Linder, M., Fanni, J., Parmentier, M., Sergent, M., and Phan-Than-Luu, R. (1995). Protein recovery from veal bones by enzymatic hydrolysis. *Journal of Food Science*, 60(5), 949.
- Liu, Y., Li, X., Chen, Z., Yu, J., Wang, F., & Wang, J. (2014). Characterization of structural and functional properties of fish protein hydrolysate from surimi processing by-products. *Food Chemistry*, 151, 459-465.
- Mackie, I.M. (1974). Proteolytic enzymes in recovery of proteins from fish waste. *Process Biochemistry*, 12, 12.
- Mahmoud, M.I. (1994). Physicochemical and functional properties of protein hydrolysates in nutritional products. *Food Technology*, 58(10), 89.
- Marshall, A.D., Munro, P.A., & Tragardh, G. (1993). The effect of protein fouling in microfiltration and ultrafiltration on permeate flux, protein retention and selectivity: a literature review. *Desalination*, 91, 65–108.
- Masomian, M., Rahman, R.N.Z.R.A., Salleh, A. B., & Basri, M. (2010). A unique thermostable and organic solvent tolerant lipase from newly isolated Aneurinibacillus thermoaerophilus strain HZ: physical factor studies. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 26,1693-1701.
- McDonogh, R.M., Bauser, R.M., Stroh, N., & Chmiel, H. (1990). Concentration polarisation and adsorption effect in cross flow UF of proteins. *Desalination*, 79, 217–231.
- Md Yunos, K.F., & Field, R.W. (2008). Rejection amplification in the ultrafiltration of binary protein mixtures using sandwich configurations. *Chemical Engineering and Processing*, 47, 1053–1060.
- Md Yunos, K.F., & Field, R.W. (2006). Effect of sandwich configuration of ultrafiltration membranes on protein fractionation. *Desalination*, 199, 222–224.
- Murthy, L.N., Panda, S.K., & Shamasundar, B.A. (2011). Physico-chemical and Functional Properties of Proteins of Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*). Journal of Food Process Engineering, 34, 83–107.
- Mochizuki, S., & Zydney A.L. (1992). Dextran transport through asymmetric ultrafiltration membranes: Comparison with hydrodynamic models. Journal of Membrane Science, 68, 21–41.
- Morales-Medina, R., Pérez-Gálvez, R., Guadix, A., & Guadix, E.M. (2016). Artificial neuronal network modeling of the enzymatic hydrolysis of horse mackerel protein using protease mixtures. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 105, 364–370.

- Mukhin, V.A., Novikov, V.Y., & Ryzhikova, L.S. (2001). A protein hydrolysate enzymatically produced from the industrial waste of processing Icelandic scallop (*Chlamys islandica*). *Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology*, 37, 292–296.
- Mullally, M.M., O'Callaghan, D.M., FitzGerald, R.J., Donnelly, W.J., & Dalton, J.P. (1995). Zymogen activation in pancreatic endoproteolytic preparations and influence on some whey protein characteristics. *Journal of Food Science*, 60(2), 227.
- Mullally, M.M., O'Callaghan, D.M., FitzGerald, R.J., Donnelly, W.J., & Dalton, J.P. (1994). Proteolytic and peptidolytic activities in commercial pancreatin protease preparations and their relationship to some whey protein hydrolysate characteristics. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 42, 2973.
- Myers, R.H., & Montgomery, D.C. (2002). *Response surface methodology: Process and product optimization using design experiment*. 2nd edition. New York: A Wiley-Interscience Publication
- Nau, F., Kerhervé, F.L., Leonil, J., & Daufin, G. (1995). Selective separation of tryptic beta-casein peptides through ultrafiltration membranes: Influence of ionic interactions. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 46(3), 246–253.
- Ng, W.K. (2009). The Current Status and Future Prospects for the Aquaculture Industry in Malaysia. *World Aquaculture*, 40(3), 26-30.
- Ng, W.K., & Bahurmiz, O.M. (2009). The impact of dietary oil source and frozen storage on the physical, chemical and sensorial quality of fillets from market-size red hybrid tilapia, (*Oreochromis sp*). Food Chemistry, 113, 1041–1048
- Ngo, D.H, Qian, Z.J, Ryu, B.M., Park, J.W., & Kim, S.K. (2010). In vitro antioxidant activity of a peptide isolated from Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) scale gelatin in free radical-mediated oxidative systems. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 2, 107-117.
- Nielsen, P.M., Petersen, D., & Dambmann, C. (2001). Improved Method for Determining Food Protein Degree of Hydrolysis. *Journal of Food Science*, *66*(5), 642-646.
- Nilsang S., Lertsiri, S., Suphantharika, M., & Assavanig, A. (2005). Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of fish soluble concentrate by commercial proteases. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 70, 571–578.
- Normah, I., Jamilah, B., Saari, N., & Che Man, Y. (2005). Optimization of hydrolysis conditions for the production of threadfin bream *(Nemipterus japonicus)* hydrolysate by alcalase. *Journal of Muscle Foods*, 16, 87–102.
- Ohya, H., Kim, J.J., Chinen, A., Alihara, M., Semonova, S.I., Negishi, Y., Mori, O., & Yasuda, M. (1998). Effect of pore size on separation of microfiltration of oily water using porous glass tubular membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 145, 1.
- Ondetti, M.A. (1977). Design of specific inhibitors of angiotensin-converting enzyme: New class of orally active antihypertensive agents. *Science*, 196, 441–444.

- Ovissipour, M., Kenari, A. A., Motamedzadegan, A., & Nazari, R.M. (2012). Optimization of Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Visceral Waste Proteins of Yellowfin Tuna (*Thunnus albacares*). Food Bioprocess Technology, 5, 696–705.
- Ovissipour, M., Abedian, A., Motamedzadegan, A., Rasco, B., Safari, R., & Shahiri, H. (2009). The effect of enzymatic hydrolysis time and temperature on the properties of protein hydrolysates from Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*) viscera. *Food Chemistry*, 115, 238–242.
- Pacheco-Aguilar, R., Mazorra-Manzano, M.A., & Ramirez-Suarez, J.C. (2008). Functional properties of fish protein hydrolysates from Pacific whiting (*Merluccius productus*) muscle produced by a commercial protease. *Food Chemistry*, 109, 782–789.
- Palecek, S.P., & Zydney, A.L. (1994). Hydraulic permeability of protein deposits formed during microfiltration: effect of solution pH and ionic strength. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 95, 71–81.
- Palecek, S.P., Mochizuki, S., & Zydney, A.L. (1993). Effect of ionic environment on BSA filtration and the properties of BSA deposits. *Desalination*, 90, 147-159.
- Pérez-Gálvez, R., Carmen Almécija, M., Javier Espejo, F., Guadix, E.M., & Guadix, A. (2011). Bi-objective optimisation of the enzymatic hydrolysis of porcine blood protein. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 53, 305–310.
- Picot, L., Ravallec, R., Fouchereau-Peron, M., Vandanjon, L., Jaouen, P., Chaplain-Derouiniot, M., Guerard, F., Chabeaud, A., LeGal, Y., Alvarez, O. M. Berge, J.P., Piot, J.M., Batista, I., Pires, C., Thorkelsson, G., Delannoy, C., Jakobsen, G., Johansson, I., & Bourseau, P. (2010). Impact of ultrafiltration and nanofiltration of an industrial fish protein hydrolysate on its bioactive properties. *Journal of the Science and Food Agriculture*, 90, 1819–1826.
- Pouliot, Y., Wijers, M.C., Gauthier, S.F., & Nadeau, L. (1999). Fractionation of whey protein hydrolysates using charged UF/ NF membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 158, 105-114.
- Pouliot, M., Pouliot, Y, Britten, M., & Ross, N. (1994). Effects of pH and ionic environment on the permeability and rejective properties of an alumina microfiltration membrane for whey proteins. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 95, 125-134.
- Pouliot, Y., Gauthier, S.F., & Bard, C. (1993). Fractionation of casein hydrolysates using polysulfone ultrafiltration hollow fiber membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 80, 257–264.
- Prata-Vidal, M., Bouhallab, S., Henry, G., & Aimar, P. (2001). An experimental study of caseinomacropeptide hydrolysis by trypsin in a continuous membrane reactor. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 8, 195–202.

- Puwastien, P., Judprasong, K., Kettwan, E., Vasanachitt, K., Nakngamanong, Y., & Bhattachrjee, L. (1999). Proximate composition of raw and cooked Thai freshwater and marine fish. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 12, 9–16.
- Qian, Z.J., Je, J.Y., & Kim, S.K. (2007). Antihypertensive Effect of Angiotensin I Converting Enzyme-Inhibitory Peptide from Hydrolysates of Bigeye Tuna Dark Muscle (*Thunnus obesus*). Journal of Agricural and Food Chemistry, 55, 8398– 8403.
- Quaglia, G.B., & Orban, E. (1987). Influence of the degree of hydrolysis on the solubility of the protein hydrolsyates from sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*). *Journal of the Science and Food Agriculture*, 38, 271.
- Raghavan, S., & Kristinsson, H.G. (2009). ACE-inhibitory activity of tilapia protein hydrolysates. *Food Chemistry*, 117, 582–588.
- Raghavan, S., & Kristinsson, H.G. (2008). Antioxidative efficacy of alkali-treated Tilapia protein hydrolysates: A comparative study of five enzymes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56, 1434–1441.
- Raghavan, S., Kristinsson, H.G., & Leeuwenburgh, C. (2008). Radical scavenging and reducing ability of Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) protein hydrolysates. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56, 10359–10367.
- Ranathunga, S., Rajapakse, N., & Kim, S.K. (2006). Purification and characterization of antioxidative peptide derived from muscle of conger eel (*Conger myriaster*). *European Food Research Technology*, 222, 310–315.
- Ravallec-Ple, R., Gilmartin, L., Wormhoudt A.V., & Gal, Y.L. (2000). Influence of the hydrolysis process on the biological activities of protein hydrolysates from cod (*Gadus morhua*) muscle. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 80, 2176-2180.
- Rawdkuen, S., Sai-Ut, S., Khamsorn, S., Chaijan, M., & Benjakul S. (2009). Biochemical and gelling properties of tilapia surimi and protein recovered using an acid-alkaline process. *Food Chemistry*, 112, 112–119.
- Rebeca, B.D., Pena-Vera, M.T., & Diaz- Castaneda, M. (1991). Production of fish protein hydrolysates with bacterial proteases; Yield and nutritional value. *Journal* of Food Science, 56, 309.
- Ren, X., Lizhen, M., Ju, C., Yonghong, W., Yingping, Z., Siliang, Z., Hongshun, Y., & Hongjie, A. (2012). Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of channel catfish bones for preparing antimicrobial agents. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 21, 99–110
- Ren, J., Mouming, Z., John, S, Jinshui, W., Yueming, J., Chun, C., Yukio, K., & Jun, X.S. (2008). Optimization of antioxidant peptide production from grass carp sarcoplasmic protein using response surface methodology. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 41, 1624-1632.

- Rodriguez-Diaz, J.C., Kurozawa, L.E., Netto, F.M., & Hubinger, M.D. (2011). Optimization of the Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Blue Shark Skin. *Journal of Food Science*, 76, 938-949.
- Romero, V., Vazquez, M.I., & Benavente, J. (2013). Study of ionic and diffusive transport through a regenerated cellulose nanoporous membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 433, 152–159.
- Ross, L.G. (2000). Environmental physiology and energetics. In: Beveridge, M.C.M. & McAndrew, B.J. (eds). *Tilapias: Biology and Exploitation*. Dordrecht/Boston/London: pp. 89-128. Kluwer Akademic Publisher.
- Rutherfurd, S.M. (2010). Methodology for determining degree of hydrolysis of proteins hydrolysates: a review. *Journal of AOAC International*, 93(5), 1515-1522.
- Rutman, M. (1971) *Process for preparing high energy fish protein concentrate*. US Patent 3,561,973.
- Saidi, S., Deratani, A., Belleville, M.P., & Amar, R.B. (2014). Production and fractionation of tuna by-product protein hydrolysate by ultrafiltration and nanofiltration: Impact on interesting peptides fractions and nutritional properties. *Food Research International*, 65, 453–461.
- Saidi, S., Deratani, A, Amar, R.B., & Belleville, M.P. (2013). Fractionation of a tuna dark muscle hydrolysate by a two-step membrane process. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 108, 28–36.
- Sakai, K. (1994). Determination of pore size and pore size distribution. 2. Dialysis membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 96, 91–130.
- Saksena, S., & Zydney, A.L. (1994). Effect of solution pH and ionic strength on the separation of albumin from immunoglobulins (IgG) by selective filtration, *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 44, 960-968.
- Sarkar, P., Ghosh, S., Dutta, S., Sen, D., & Bhattacharjee, C. (2009). Effect of different operating parameters on the recovery of proteins from casein whey using a rotating disc membrane ultrafiltration cell. *Desalination*, 249, 5–11.
- Santos, S.A., Martins, V.G., Salas-Mellado, M., & Prentice, C. (2011). Evaluation of Functional Properties in Protein Hydrolysates from Bluewing Searobin (*Prionotus punctatus*) Obtained with Different Microbial Enzymes. *Food Bioprocess Technology*, 4, 1399–1406.
- Sathivel, S., Bechtel, P.J., Babbitt, J., Prinyawiwatkul, W., Negulescu, I.I. & Reppond, K.D. (2004). Properties of Protein Powders from Arrowtooth Flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) and Herring (*Clupea harengus*) Byproducts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52(16), 5040–5046.
- Sathivel, S., Smiley, S., Prinyawiwatkul, W., & Bechtel, P.J. (2005). Functional and nutritional properties of red salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) enzymatic hydrolysates. *Journal of Food Science*, 70, 401–406.

- Sathivel, S., Bechtel, P.J., Babbitt, J., Smiley, S., Crapo, C., Reppond, K.D., & Prinyawiwatkul, W. (2003). Biochemical and functional properties of Herring (*Clupea harengus*) byproduct hydrolysates. *Journal of Food Science*, 68, 2196– 2200.
- Schagger, H., & von Jagow, G., (1987). Tricine-Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis for the Separation of Proteins in the Range from 1 to 100 kDa. *Journal of Analytical Biochemistry*, 166, 368-379.
- See, S.F., Hoo, L.L. & Babji, A. S. (2011). Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of Salmon (Salmo salar) skin by Alcalase. International Food Research Journal, 18(4): 1359-1365.
- Seidel, A. & Elimelech, M. (2002). Coupling between chemical and physical interactions in natural organic matter (NOM) fouling of nanofiltration membranes: implications for fouling control. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 203, 245.
- Shahidi, F., Han, X.Q., & Synowiecki, J. (1995). Production and characteristics of protein hydrolysates from capelin (*Mallotus villosus*). Food Chemistry, 53, 285-293.
- Shamloo, M., Bakar, J., Mat Hashim, D., & Khatib, A. (2012). Biochemical properties of red tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) protein hydrolysates. *International Food Research Journal*, 19(1), 183-188.
- Sikorski, Z.E., Kolakowska, A., & Pan, B.S. (1990). The nutritive composition of the major groups of marine food organisms. In Z. E. Sikorski (Ed.), *Seafood: Resource, nutritional composition, and preservation* (pp. 30–45). New York: CRC Press, Inc.
- Sin, H.N., Yusof, S., Hamid, N.S.A., & Rahman, R.A. (2006). Optimization of enzymatic clarification of sapodilla juice using response surface methodology. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 73, 313–319.
- Skanderby, M., (1994). Protein hydrolysates: their functionality and applications, *Food Technology International Europe*, 10, 141.
- Slizyte, R., Rustad, T., & Storrø, I. (2005). Enzymatic hydrolysis of cod (*Gadus morhua*) by-products Optimization of yield and properties of lipid and protein fractions. *Process Biochemistry*, 40, 3680–3692.
- Souissi, N., Bougatef, A., Triki-Ellouz, Y., & Nasri, M. (2007). Biochemical and functional properties of Sardinelle (*Sardinella aurita*) by-product hydrolysates. *Food Technology and Biotechnology*, 45, 187–194.

Statistical Analysis System (SAS). (1989). Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

Sun, J., He, H., & Xie, B.J. (2004). Novel antioxidant peptides from fermented mushroom *Ganoderma lucidum*. Journal Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 52, 6646-6652.

- Tang, C.Y., Kwon, Y.N., & Leckie, J.O. (2009). The role of foulant–foulant electrostatic interaction on limiting flux for RO and NF membranes during humic acid foulingtheoretical basis, experimental evidence, and AFM interaction force measurement. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 326, 526–532.
- Tessier, B., Harscoat-Schiavo, C., & Marc, I. (2006). Contribution of electrostatic interactions during fractionation of small peptides complex mixtures by UF/NF membranes. *Desalination*, 200, 333–334.
- Theodore, A.E., & Kristinsson, H.G. (2007). Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibition of fish protein hydrolysates prepared from alkaline-aided channel catfish protein isolate. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 87, 2353–2357.
- Thiansilakul, Y., Benjakul, S., & Shahidi, F. (2007). Compositions, functional properties and antioxidative activity of protein hydrolysates prepared from round scad (*Decapterus maruadsi*). Food Chemistry, 103, 1385–1394.
- Turgeon, S.L., Gauthier, S.F., & Paquin, P. (1991). Interfacial and emulsifying properties of whey peptide fractions obtained with a two-step ultrafiltration process. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 39, 637.
- Turner, A.J., & Hooper, N.M. (2002). The angiotensin-converting enzyme gene family: Genomics and pharmacology. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, 23, 177–183.
- Tzikas, Z., Amvrosiadis, I., Soultos, N., & Georgakis. S. (2007). Seasonal variation in the chemical composition and microbiological condition of Mediterranean horse mackerel (Trachurus mediterraneus) muscle from the North Aegean Sea (Greece). *Food Control*, 18, 251–257
- Usydus. Z, Szlinder-Richert, J., Adamczyk, M., & Szatkowska, U. (2011). Marine and farmed fish in the Polish market: Comparison of the nutritional value. *Food Chemistry*, 126, 78–84.
- Valdimarson, G., & James, D. (2001). World Fisheries-Utilisation of Catches. Ocean Coast Manage, 44, 619–33.
- Valencia, P., Pinto, M., & Almonacid, S. (2014). Identification of the key mechanisms involved in the hydrolysis of fish protein by Alcalase. *Process Biochemistry*, 49, 258–264.
- Vandanjon, L., Grignon, M., Courois, E., Bourseau, P., & Jaouen, P. (2009). Fractionating white fish fillet hydrolysates by ultrafiltration and nanofiltration. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 95, 36–44.
- Vandanjon L., Johannsson, R., Derouiniot, M., Bourseau, P., & Jaouen P. (2007). Concentration and purification of blue whiting peptide hydrolysates by membrane processes. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 83, 581–589.
- Vazquez, M.I., Romero, V., Hierrezuelo, J., Rico, R., Lopez-Romero, J. M., Lopez-Ramirez, M.R., & Benavente, J. (2011). Effect of lipid nanoparticles inclusionon

transport parameters through regenerated cellulose membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 370, 70–75.

- Vazquez, M.I., de Lara, R., & Benavente, J. (2009). Transport and elastic parameters for dense regenerated cellulose membranes. *Desalination*, 245, 579–586.
- Vazquez, M.I., deLara, R., & Benavente, J. (2008). Chemical surface, diffusional, electrical and elastic characterizations of two different dense regenerated cellulose membranes. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 328(2), 331–337.
- Van der Horst, H.C., Timmer, J.M.K., Robbertsen, T., & Leenders, J. (1995). Use of nanofiltration for concentration and demineralization in the dairy industry: Model for mass transport. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 104, 205–218.
- Venugopal, V., Chawla, S.P., & Nair P.M. (1996). Spray-dried protein powder from threadfin beam: Preparation, properties and comparison with FPC type-B. *Journal* of Muscle Foods, 7, 55-58.
- Vercruysse, L., Van C.J., & Smagghe, G. (2005). ACE Inhibitory Peptides Derived from Enzymatic Hydrolysates of Animal Muscle Protein: A Review. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53, 8106-8115.
- Viera, G.H.F., Martin, A.M., Saker-Sampaiao, S., Omar, S., & Goncalves, R.C.F. (1995). Studies on the enzymatic hydrolysis of Brazilian lobster (*Panulirus* spp.) processing wastes. *Journal of the Science and Food Agriculture*, 69, 61.
- Voet, D., Voet, J.G., & Pratt, C.W. (1999). Fundamentals of Biochemistry. In. *Enzymes*. New York. pg. 281-321. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 605 Third Avenue.
- Waagbo, R Sandnes, K., Torrissen, O.J., Sandvin, A., & Lie, O. (1993). Chemical and sensory evaluation of fillets from Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed three levels of N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids at two levels of vitamin E. *Food Chemistry*, 46, 361-366.
- Walsh, G. (2002). Industrial enzymes: proteases and carbohydrases. In. Proteins Biochemistry and Biotechnology. Baffins Lane, Chichester, West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons. Ltd.
- Wan, Y., Ghosh, R., & Cui, Z. (2005). Fractionation of Proteins Using Ultrafiltration: Developments and Challenges. *Developments in Chemical Engineering & Mineral Processing*, 13(1/2), 121-136.
- Wang, Y.N., & Tang, C.Y. (2011). Protein fouling of nanofiltration, reverse osmosis, and ultrafiltration membranes-The role of hydrodynamic conditions, solution chemistry, and membrane properties. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 376, 275–282.
- Wangtueai, S., & Noomhorm, A. (2009). Processing optimization and characterization of gelatin from lizardfish (*Saurida* spp.) scales. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 42, 825–834.

- Wasswa, J., Tang, J., & Xiao, H.G. (2008). Optimization of the production of hydrolysates from Grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) skin using Alcalase. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 32, 460-473.
- Wasswa, J., Tang, J., Gub, X.H., & Yuan X.Q. (2007). Influence of the extent of enzymatic hydrolysis on the functional properties of protein hydrolysate from grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) skin. *Food Chemistry*, 104, 1698–1704.
- Weber, J., Bochi, V.C., Ribeiro, C.P. Victo' rio, A.M., & Emanuelli, T. (2008). Effect of different cooking methods on the oxidation, proximate and fatty acid composition of silver catfish (Rhamdia quelen) fillets. *Food Chemistry*, 106, 140–146
- Weinberg, M.S., Weinberg, A.J., & Zappe, D.H. (2000). Effectively targeting the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system in cardiovascular and renal disease: Rationale for using angiotensin II receptor blockers in combination with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. *Journal of Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System*, 1, 217–233.
- Whitaker, J.R. (1994). *Principles of Enzymology for the Food Sciences*. 2nd edn. New York: p. 625. Marcel Dekker.
- Wu, S., Sun, J., Tong, Z., Lan, X., Zhao, Z., & Liao, D. (2012). Optimization of Hydrolysis Conditions for the Production of Angiotensin-I Converting Enzyme-Inhibitory Peptides and Isolation of a Novel Peptide from Lizard Fish (*Saurida elongata*) Muscle Protein Hydrolysate. *Marine. Drugs*, 10, 1066-1080.
- Wu, J.P., & Ding, X.L. (2001). Hypotensive and physiological effect of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitory peptides derived from soya protein on spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49, 501-505.
- Yang, Y., Wen, Y.J., Cai, Y.N., Vallée, I., Boireau, P., Liu, M.Y., & Cheng, S.P. (2015). Serine Proteases of Parasitic Helminths. *The Korean Journal of Parasitology*, 53(1), 1-11.
- Yang, J.I., Liang, W.S, Chow, C.J. & Siebert, K.J. (2009). Process for the production of tilapia retorted skin gelatin hydrolysates with optimized antioxidative properties. *Process Biochemistry*, 44, 1152–1157.
- Yike, Y., Jianen, H., Yuji, M., Xuefang, B., Yuguang, D., & Bingcheng, L. (2006). Isolation and characterization of angiotensin I-converting enzyme inhibitory peptides derived from porcine hemoglobin. *Peptides*, 27, 2950–2956.
- Yin, H., Pu, J., Wan, Y., Xiang B., Bechtel P.J., & Sathivel, S. (2010). Rheological and Functional Properties of Catfish Skin Protein Hydrolysates. *Journal of Food Science*, 75(1), 11-17.
- You, L, Regenstein, J.M., & Liu, R.H. (2010). Optimization of Hydrolysis Conditions for the Production of Antioxidant Peptides from Fish Gelatin Using Response Surface Methodology. *Journal of Food Science*, 75, 82-87.

- Zayas, J.F. (1997). Chapter 1 Solubility of proteins. In *Functionality of Proteins in Foods*. Springe-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg. p. 6.
- Zhang, Y., Lee, E.T., Devereux, R.B., Yeh, J., Best, L.G., & Fabsitz, R.R. (2006). Prehypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease risk in a population based sample: The strong heart study. *Hypertension*, 47, 410–414.
- Zhou, A., Benjakul, S., Pan, K, Gong, J., & Liu, X. (2006). Cryoprotective effects of trehalose and sodium lactate on tilapia (*Sarotherodon nilotica*) surimi during frozen storage. *Food Chemistry*, 96, 96–103.

