



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***AN ECOLOGICAL STUDY OF TROPICAL BUTTERFLIES IN  
MONOCULTURE AND POLYCULTURE OIL PALM AGRO  
ECOSYSTEMS***

**SITI ASMAH BINTI MUSLIM**

**FH 2016 35**



**AN ECOLOGICAL STUDY OF TROPICAL BUTTERFLIES IN  
MONOCULTURE AND POLYCULTURE OIL PALM AGRO  
ECOSYSTEMS**

By

**SITI ASMAH BINTI MUSLIM**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master  
Science**

**August 2016**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**AN ECOLOGICAL STUDY OF TROPICAL BUTTERFLIES IN  
MONOCULTURE AND POLYCULTURE OIL PALM AGRO  
ECOSYSTEMS**

By

**SITI ASMAH BINTI MUSLIM**

**August 2016**

**Chairman : Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif, PhD**  
**Faculty : Forestry**

Commercial oil palm farming is growing rapidly and becoming common among small-scale farmers in the tropics. This is due to the global need of palm oil in various products and also, for providing sustainable income to farmers. However, land conversion into oil palm plantations and smallholdings has a major impact on biodiversity. In existing oil palm production landscapes, little is known on how farmland butterfly diversity is being affected by different farming practices. In this study, butterfly community under different farming practices in oil palm smallholdings, was investigated. To determine which farming practices supported more butterfly diversity, two different practices were assessed in this study. The first is the polyculture farming (mixed crop species including oil palm and banana) and the other being monoculture farming, which consists only oil palms crops. Polyculture smallholdings were predicted to be able to support greater butterfly biodiversity than monoculture smallholdings. Plant heterogeneity may increase niche diversity and appear as a host for a variety of butterflies. This study compared species richness and composition between monoculture and polyculture smallholdings. This study also determined habitat quality characteristics that are important for butterfly to maintain greater species richness. Butterflies were sampled using Van Someron-Rydon butterfly trap at 120 sites, where 60 sites were spatially replicated at monoculture and polyculture smallholdings. Contrary to initial prediction, our results showed there were no significant differences in species richness, abundance and composition of butterflies between the polyculture and monoculture smallholdings. The findings suggested that the adverse effects of monoculture farming on biodiversity can be mitigated in smallholding. This is by introducing structural and compositional habitat

heterogeneity through the practice of polyculture farming. Habitat quality explained 32.1% of the variations in butterfly richness. In conclusion, oil palm production landscapes should feature multiple farming practices such as those implemented in smallholdings for improved conservation of butterfly diversity.



Abstrak tesis dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KAJIAN EKOLOGI KUPU-KUPU TROPIKA DI MONOKULTUR DAN  
POLIKULTUR DALAM KAWASAN EKOSISTEM PERTANIAN  
KELAPA SAWIT**

Oleh

**SITI ASMAH BINTI MUSLIM**

Ogos 2016

**Pengerusi : Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Perhutanan**

Pertanian kelapa sawit komersial berkembang dengan pesat dan semakin popular berbanding pertanian yang berskala kecil yang lain di kawasan tropika. Ini adalah kerana, penghasilan pelbagai produk berasaskan kelapa sawit menghasilkan kadar pendapatan yang lebih stabil. Walau bagaimanapun, perubahan penggunaan tanah di ladang kelapa sawit dan pekebun kecil sedikit sebanyak memberi kesan terhadap biodiversiti persekitaran. Kewujudan hasil landskap yang berbeza di kawasan kelapa sawit, tidak ramai yang mengetahui kesan biodiversiti terhadap amalan jenis pertanian yang berbeza. Oleh yang demikian, komuniti kupu-kupu digunakan dalam kajian ini bagi membanding jenis amalan pertanian yang berbeza di kawasan ekosistem pertanian kelapa sawit, kajian ini dilakukan di kebun kecil. Bagi mengetahui jenis amalan pertanian manakah yang menyokong biodiversiti kupu-kupu, dua jenis pertanian yang berbeza digunakan dalam kajian ini iaitu pertanian polikultur (campuran spesies tanaman kelapa sawit dan pisang) dan pertanian monokultur dimana keseluruhan adalah spesies kelapa sawit. Kebun kecil jenis polikultur dijangkakan dapat menyumbang lebih banyak biodiversiti kupu-kupu berbanding kebun kecil jenis monokultur. Ini disebabkan oleh, ciri kepelbagaian spesies pokok mungkin akan meningkatkan kepelbagaian niche dan tumbuhan perumah kepada spesies kupu-kupu. Oleh yang demikian, kajian ini membandingkan komposisi dan kekayaan spesies kupu-kupu di antara dua jenis amalan pertanian, monokultur and polikultur di kebun kecil. Kajian ini juga dijalankan bagi menentukan ciri habitat kualiti yang wujud di kawasan tersebut di mana iamerupakan element penting untuk mengekalkan kekayaan spesies dan mungkin menampung biodiversiti di kawasan tersebut. Kupu-

kupu berfungsi sebagai penunjuk dalam persampelan ini dengan menggunakan perangkat kupu-kupu di 120 kawasan, dengan 60 repliket spatial kawasan pada kedua-dua kawasan pertanian monocultur dan polikultur kebun kecil. Bertentangan dengan jangkaan awal, keputusan menunjukkan tiada perbezaan yang ketara kekayaan spesies, kelimpahan dan komposisi kupu-kupu di kedua-dua jenis amalan di kebun kecil, monokultur dan polikultur. Kesan buruk ke atas biodiversiti di kawasan monokultur sebenarnya dapat dikurangkan. Di mana dengan mengubah komposisi struktur dan kepelbagaian spesies melalui amalan pertanian polikultur. Kehadiran habitat kualiti menunjukkan 32.1% variasi dalam kepelbagaian spesies mampu menarik kupu-kupu di kawasan kelapa sawit kebun kecil. Kesimpulannya, hasil landskap kelapa sawit perlu mempunyai ciri yang pelbagai seperti yang dilaksanakan di kebun kecil bagi memelihara kepelbagaian kupu-kupu.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

In the name of Allah, the creator of all creating, all praises to be Him the Most Merciful and the Most Blessings. Alhamdulillah, I have been given strength during the period of completing this study.

First of all, I would like to record my heartiest grateful to my supervisor, Dr. Badrul Azhar Md Sharif and Dr. Puan Chong Leong for his kindness, unfailing help, support and guidance throughout the course of undertaking this project. His assistance and encouragement have been a tremendous boost to my effort in trying to give my best in this project. My deepest gratitude also to my fellow friends helping me in writing, for their willingness to help me with the data collected and in moral support ensuring the success of this project.

Last but not least, it is my greatest with special dedication to my beloved parent Muslim Tahir and Siti Zubaidah Abdullah and my siblings cause of their appreciation and gratitude always giving me the inspiration and support throughout the in this project. I would like to thank to all parties and individuals who had rendered their help directly and indirectly on my preparing this study. Hopefully these studies give some beneficial effects for us. Insya-Allah.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 22 August 2016 to conduct the final examination of Siti Asmah binti Muslim on her thesis entitled "An Ecological Study of Tropical Butterflies in Monoculture and Polyculture Oil Palm Agro Ecosystems" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Shukri bin Mohamed, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Nur Azura binti Adam, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Shahrul Anuar Mohd Sah, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Universiti Sains Malaysia  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)



---

**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**  
Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 22 November 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Badrul Azhar Md Sharif, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Puan Chong Leong, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Siti Asmah Binti Muslim, GS37615

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Dr. Badrul Azhar Md Sharif

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Dr. Puan Chong Leong

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiv
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 General Review	1
1.2 Agricultural for Biodiversity	1
1.3 Problem Statement	2
1.4 Justification	3
1.5 Objective	3
1.6 Research Hypothesis	4
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Biodiversity	5
2.2 Degradation of Biodiversity	6
2.3 Oil Palm Agro-ecosystem	7
2.3.1 Historical Oil Palm Practice in Malaysia	7
2.3.2 Oil Palm Practice in Malaysia	7
2.3.3 Biodiversity in Oil Palm Agro Ecosystem	8
2.4 Butterfly Characteristic	9
2.4.1 Butterfly Life Cycle	12
2.4.2 Ecological Function of Butterfly in The Ecosystem	13
2.4.3 Butterfly As Biological Indicators	14
<b>3 METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 Study Site	16
3.1.1 Smallholdings Management System	17
3.1.2 Study Design	17
3.2 Butterfly Sampling	19
3.2.1 Material and Method	19
3.2.2 Monitoring and Data Collection	19
3.2.3 Identification Species	20
3.3 Habitat Quality Measurement	20

3.4	Analysis of Data	21
3.4.1	Butterfly Species Richness and Abundance	21
3.4.1.1	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	21
3.4.1.2	Estimated Species Richness	21
3.4.2	Butterfly Composition	21
3.4.2.1	Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM)	21
3.4.2.2	Similarity Percentage (SIMPER)	22
3.4.2.3	Non-metric Multi Dimensional Scaling (NMDS)	22
3.4.3	Relationship Between Butterfly Richness and Habitat Quality Characteristic	22
3.4.3.1	Generalized Linear Modals (GLMs)	22
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT</b>	
4.1	Species Richness and Abundance	26
4.2	Species Composition	28
4.3	Relationship between Butterfly Species and Habitat Quality	30
4.3.1	Habitat Quality Measurement	30
4.3.2	The Strong Habitat Quality Variables	32
4.3.3	The Fitted Data Set to Butterfly Species Richness	34
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	
5.1	Butterfly Species Richness, Abundance and Composition	36
5.2	Influence of Habitat Quality to Butterfly Species	38
5.3	Conservation Implication	39
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	
6.1	Conclusion	40
6.2	Recommendations	40
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	42
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	56
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	65
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	66

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Analysis of Variance for Butterfly Species Richness	26
4.2	Analysis of Variance for Butterfly Species Abundance	26
4.3	Dissimilarity of butterfly species abundance in polyculture and monoculture Smallholdings.	28
4.4	Summary statistics of habitat quality variables measured in monoculture and polyculture smallholdings	31
4.5	The result analysis of correlation test between two predictor variables (butterfly species richness and habitat quality)	33
4.6	The result from Wald test analysis in habitat quality variable	34
4.7	Models of butterfly richness were fitted to a dataset and the best model was selected by using minimum AIC value	35

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Bar chart showed the classification of butterfly species start from super family to sub family	11
2.2	The stage of butterfly life cycle	12
3.1	Map of the study area in Peninsular Malaysia	16
3.2	Oil palm smallholding condition	18
3.3	Monitoring time	19
4.1	Number of butterfly individuals sampled in oil palm smallholdings	24
4.2	Comparison mean of butterfly species in Monoculture and Polyculture Smallholdings	25
4.3	Species accumulative curve for overall sampling effort compare	27
4.4	Species accumulation curves for overall sampling effort with the y-axis and x-axis showing the number of species and samples in monoculture and polyculture smallholdings	27
4.5	Dissimilarity of butterfly contribution in among polyculture and monoculture smallholdings.	29
4.6	Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordination plot depicting the butterfly communities in polyculture and monoculture smallholdings.	30



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

%	Percent
-	Negative
±	Plus minus
°C	Degree Celsius
m	Meter
X	Multiplied
=	Equal
r	No element of set r
ACE	Abundance Coverage-based Estimator
AIC	Akaike's Information Criterion
AICc	Akaike's Information Criterion Correction
ANOSIM	Analysis of Similarity
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
F	F- statistic
GLM	Generalized Linear Modals
I $\Delta$	Delta I
MPOB	Malaysia Palm Oil Board
MPOC	Malaysian Palm Oil Council
NMDS	Non-metric Multi Dimensional Scaling
p	p- value
R <sup>2</sup>	R squared
S.E.	Standard Error
SIMPER	Similarity Percentage
Sp.	Species (singular)
Spp.	Species (plural)

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 General Review

The high global demand for oil palm products, especially in food and biofuel industries, has led oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) plantation to expand in the last five decades (Corley & Tinker, 2008; Koh & Ghazoul, 2008). In Malaysia and Indonesia, more than 14.5 million hectares of land area was planted with oil palm (Foster *et al.*, 2011). In Peninsular Malaysia, 5.2 million hectares areas were planted with oil palms in 2012 (MPOB, 2013) and the rate of expansion might increase in the next few years. However, the expansion and intensification of management in oil palm plantations are threats to biodiversity (Fitzherbert *et al.*, 2008; Sachs *et al.*, 2009; Fayle *et al.*, 2010; Foster *et al.*, 2011) and may lead to species extinction (Gibbs *et al.*, 2010). This is particularly true in Malaysia which has among the highest number of endangered species globally (Carter *et al.*, 2007; Turner *et al.*, 2008). However, oil palm plantations can be properly managed to enhance their value for biodiversity (Fitzherbert *et al.*, 2008; Azhar *et al.*, 2011, 2013). Previous studies have shown that oil palm plantations provide shelter for certain wildlife species (Koh, 2008; Nájera & Simonetti, 2010a; Azlan & Sharma, 2006). Oil palm plantations also have been found to support various groups of arthropods such as bees (Liow *et al.*, 2001), dung beetles (Davis & Philips, 2005) and woodlice (Hassall *et al.*, 2006). However, most previous studies have not taken into account the influence of oil palm management practices on biodiversity. By incorporating the farming practices in conservation strategy, the farmland biodiversity in oil palm production landscapes can be enhanced.

#### 1.2 Agricultural for Biodiversity

Agricultural practices such as monoculture and polyculture farming may impact many different ecological aspects of the farmland habitat (Benton *et al.*, 2003; Holzschuh *et al.*, 2007; Rundlöf *et al.*, 2008; Azhar *et al.*, 2014a; Savilaakso *et al.*, 2014). Habitat heterogeneity, whether measured at small scale level or large scale level, is associated with higher biodiversity in agricultural landscape (Benton *et al.*, 2003). Animal species diversity is driven by habitat heterogeneity (Tews *et al.*, 2004), that is inextricably linked with vegetation structural complexity and floristic diversity. The development of structural complexity and floristic diversity may provide additional vegetation components in agricultural landscape (Cunningham *et al.*, 2008) that improve faunal diversity (Munro *et al.*, 2009).

Similarly, both structural complexity and floristic diversity are important for maintaining biodiversity in oil palm production landscapes (Nájera & Simonetti, 2010a, 2010b). However, this is more typical for oil palm smallholdings rather than conventional plantations. Unlike large-scale and even-aged monoculture oil palm plantations, polyculture smallholdings provide structural and functional stability (Igbozurike, 1978) for biodiversity, creating through multiple agricultural practices (Azhar *et al.*, 2013, 2014b). At a landscape scale, the combination of polyculture and monoculture farming in smallholdings may increase habitat heterogeneity. This is by making the smallholding a high quality matrix in improving species movement between habitat patches (Azhar *et al.*, 2015). This includes the movement of insect species such as butterflies that inhabit oil palm environment.

### 1.3 Problem Statement

Forest conversion into oil palm plantations are increasing at an unsustainable rate, making it one of Malaysia's highest profitable industry (Fitzherbert *et al.*, 2008). Clearing forests for the sole purpose of oil palm plantation is very worrisome (Sodhi *et al.*, 2010). The expansion of oil palm plantations also threatens biodiversity (Tilman *et al.*, 2001; Donald *et al.*, 2004; Green *et al.*, 2005) and poses a serious threat to natural ecosystem worldwide. At the same time, expansion of oil palm plantations needs to be controlled and managed well to prevent species extinction or at this rate, we will lose all our breathtaking natural resources.

To date, almost 55% forest areas have been cleared in Malaysia and Indonesia to be replaced with oil palm plantations (Koh & Wilcove, 2008; Vargas, 2015). Vast clearings and forest conversion resulted in destruction of wildlife habitat and if it is not halted, future efforts will be in vain. In order to save remaining forest habitats and strengthen wildlife protection, selection of initiatives need to be drastic and decisions involving environmental policies must be evaluated before implementation. For an instance, oil palm plantation must be wildlife friendly as in it must be capable for duplicating a pseudo habitat for the wildlife.

Oil palm plantation can be categorized into monoculture farming which emphasizes single species and polyculture farming is the practice of culturing more than one species. Correa *et al.*, 2006 stated that polyculture farming may increase presence of wildlife species due to heterogeneous food sources. It is assumed polyculture farming practices is more suitable to be converted into wildlife protection area. To investigate the suitability of an area, scientific researches need to be carried out on both type of farming smallholdings to be converted into wildlife protection area, using butterflies as biological indicator.

## 1.4 Justification

The research may provide a solution for decision-making on biodiversity degradation due to growing of agricultural landscapes in palm oil producing countries. Loss of biodiversity from tropical deforestation (Daily, 2001; Lindermayer & Hobbs, 2004; Brook *et al.*, 2006) and forest conversion into oil palm plantation are hard to mitigate. This is due to the high profitability and revenue this industry is able to generate (Smith *et al.*, 2003; Sodhi & Brook, 2006; Koh & Wilcove, 2007). Therefore, this study was conducted as an initiative to preserve and enhance the biodiversity in the oil palm plantation.

Butterfly surveys were conducted in habitats that have been transformed into oil palm smallholdings (e.g. monocultures and polyculture farming practice), with the aim to compare butterfly diversity in different farming practices. This study predicted that polyculture smallholdings would support higher level of butterfly richness and more diverse species assemblages than monoculture smallholdings. This was attributed to greater structural complexity and floristic richness in polyculture smallholdings. The second objective is to study the relationships between butterfly species richness and local-scale habitat quality characteristics (i.e. vegetation structure). This study predicted that key structural characteristics typically associated with agricultural practices play an important role in influencing butterfly species richness and composition. Indeed, these help ecologists to understand biodiversity patterns in modern human-modified environments and at the same time give recommendations to conserving the biodiversity especially butterflies in farmland areas.

## 1.5 Objective

The study aimed to investigate how farmland diversity was associated with the agricultural practices of existing oil palm production landscapes. Butterfly was used as indicator because it is the best taxon for various ecological studies (Sparrow *et al.*, 1994; Miller *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, this study focused on butterfly species diversity in different farming practice (monoculture and polyculture system) in Malaysia. The specific objectives were:

- i. To compare butterfly diversity, abundance and assemblage composition between polyculture and monoculture smallholdings.
- ii. To examine the relationship between butterfly species richness and habitat quality.

## 1.6 Research Hypothesis

- i. Polyculture smallholdings are characterized by high value of species richness, whereas monoculture smallholdings are characterized by low value of species richness.
- ii. Some vegetation structure attributes for example, percentage of ground vegetation cover are the main drivers of species richness.



## REFERENCES

- Altieri, M. A. 1999. The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 74: 19-31.
- Altieri, M.A. & Nicholls, C.I. 2004. Effects of agroforestry systems on the ecology and management of insect pest populations. *Ecological engineering for pest management: advances in habitat manipulation for arthropods*. CSIRO, Collingwood, 143-155.
- Andow, D. 1983. The extent of monoculture and its effects on insect pest populations with particular reference to wheat and cotton. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 9: 25-29.
- Aratrakorn, S., Thunhikorn, S., & Donald, P.F. 2006. Changes in bird communities following conversion of lowland forest to oil palm and rubber plantations in southern Thailand. *Bird Conservation International*, 16: 71-82.
- Aviron, S., Kindlmann, P., & Burel, F. 2007. Conservation of butterfly populations in dynamic landscapes: the role of farming practices and landscape mosaic. *Ecological modelling*, 205: 135-145
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D., Wood, J., Fischer, J., Manning, A., McElhinny, C. & Zakaria, M. 2012. Contribution of illegal hunting, culling of pest species, road accidents and feral dogs to biodiversity loss in established oil-palm landscapes. *Wildlife Research*, 40: 1-9.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., & Zakaria, M. 2014b. Ecological impacts of oil palm agriculture on forest mammals in plantation estates and smallholdings. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 23: 1175-1191.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., Manning, A., McElhinny, C. & Zakaria, M. 2011. The conservation value of oil palm plantation estates, smallholdings and logged peat swamp forest for birds. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 262: 2306-2315.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., Manning, A., McElhinny, C. & Zakaria, M. 2013. The influence of agricultural system, stand structural complexity and landscape context on foraging birds in oil palm landscapes. *Ibis*, 155: 297-312.
- Azhar, B., Puan, C.L., Zakaria, M., Hassan, N. & Arif, M. 2014a. Effects of monoculture and polyculture practices in oil palm smallholdings on tropical farmland birds. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 15: 336-346.
- Azhar, B., Saadun, N., Puan, C.L., Kamarudin, N., Aziz, N., Nurhidayu, S. & Fischer, J. 2015. Promoting landscape heterogeneity to improve the



- biodiversity benefits of certified palm oil production: Evidence from Peninsular Malaysia. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 3: 553-561.
- Azlan, J.M. & Sharma, D.S. 2006. The diversity and activity patterns of wild felids in a secondary forest in Peninsular Malaysia. *Oryx*, 40: 36-41.
- Baker, R.R. 1972. Territorial behaviour of the nymphalid butterflies, *Aglais urticae* (L.) and *Inachis io* (L.). *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 41: 453-469.
- Barbosa, P., Hines, J, Kaplan, I., Martinson, H., Szczepaniec, A., Szendrei, Z. 2009. Associational resistance and associational susceptibility: having right or wrong neighbors. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*. 40: 1-20.
- Barlow, J., Overal, W.L., Araujo, I. S., Gardner, T.A. & Peres, C.A. 2007. The value of primary, secondary and plantation forests for fruit-feeding butterflies in the Brazilian Amazon. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 44: 1001-1012.
- Benedick, S. 2001. *Responses of fruit-feeding butterflies to selective logging in Sabah, Borneo* (Doctoral dissertation, Durham University).
- Benton, T.G., Vickery, J.A. & Wilson, J.D. 2003. Farmland biodiversity: is habitat heterogeneity the key?. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 18: 182-188.
- Blau, W.S. 1980. The effect of environmental disturbance on a tropical butterfly population. *Ecology*, 61: 1005-1112.
- Bobo, K.S., Waltert, M., Fermon, H., Njokagbor, J. & Mühlenberg, M. 2006. From forest to farmland: butterfly diversity and habitat associations along a gradient of forest conversion in Southwestern Cameroon. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 10: 29-42.
- Boggs, C.L. 2009. Understanding insect life histories and senescence through a resource allocation lens. *Functional Ecology*, 23: 27-37.
- Bonebrake, T.C., Boggs, C.L., Stamberger, J.A., Deutsch, C.A. & Ehrlich, P.R. 2014. From global change to a butterfly flapping: biophysics and behaviour affect tropical climate change impacts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281.
- Bowman, D., Woinarski, J.C.Z., Sands, D.P.A, Wells, A. & McShane, V.J. 1990. Slash-and-burn agriculture in the wet coastal lowlands of Papua New Guinea: Response of birds, butterflies and reptiles. *Journal of Biogeography*, 17: 227-239.
- Brook, B.W., Bradshaw, C.J., Koh, L.P. & Sodhi, N.S. 2006. Momentum Drives the Crash: Mass Extinction in the Tropics1. *Biotropica*, 38: 302-305.
- Brown Jr, K.S. & Freitas, A.V.L. 2003. Butterfly communities of urban forest fragments in Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil: Structure, instability, environmental correlates, and conservation. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 6: 217-231.

- Brown Jr, K.S. 1991. Conservation of neotropical environments: insects as indicators. *The conservation of insects and their habitats*, 349-404.
- Brown, K.S. & Freitas, A.V.L. 2000. Atlantic Forest Butterflies: Indicators for Landscape Conservation1. *Biotropica*, 32: 934-956
- Brühl, C.A. & Eltz, T. 2010. Fuelling the biodiversity crisis: species loss of ground-dwelling forest ants in oil palm plantations in Sabah, Malaysia (Borneo). *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19: 519-529.
- Burnham, K.P. & Anderson, D.R. 2002. *Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach*. Springer, New York.
- Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2002. Model Selection and Multi Model Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. second ed. Springer, USA.
- Caldas, A. & Robbins, R.K. 2003. Modified Pollard transects for assessing tropical butterfly abundance and diversity. *Biological Conservation*, 110: 211-219.
- Capinera, J.L. 2010. *Insect and wildlife : Arthropods and their relationships with wild vertebrate animals*. Wiley-blackwell.
- Carter, C., Finley, W., Fry, J., Jackson, D. & Willis, L. 2007. Palm oil markets and future supply. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 109: 307-314.
- Chey, V. 2006. Impacts of forest conversion on biodiversity as indicated by moths. *Malayan Nature Journal*, 57: 383-418.
- Clark, P.J., Reed, J.M. & Chew, F.S. 2007. Effects of urbanization on butterfly species richness, guild structure, and rarity. *Urban Ecosystems*, 10: 321-337.
- Clarke, K.R. & Gorley, R.N. 2006. *User manual /tutorial*. PRIMER-E Ltd., Plymouth.
- Clarke, K.R. & Warwick, R.M. 2001. *Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation*. Plymouth: PRIMER-E, 2.
- Collinge, S.K., Prudic, K.L. & Oliver, J.C. 2003. Effects of local habitat characteristics and landscape context on grassland butterfly diversity. *Conservation biology*, 17: 178-187.
- Colwell, R.K. & Coddington, J.A. 1994. Estimating terrestrial biodiversity through extrapolation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 345: 101-118.
- Colwell, R.K., Mao, C.X. & Chang, J. 2004. Interpolating, extrapolating and comparing incidence-based species accumulation curves. *Ecology*, 85: 2717-2727.



- Condon, M.A., Scheffer, S.J., Lewis, M.L. & Swensen, S.M. 2008. Hidden neotropical diversity: greater than the sum of its parts. *Science*, 320 : 928-931.
- Connell, J.H. 1978. Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. *Science*, 199: 1302-1310.
- Corbet, A.S. & Pendlebury, H.M. 1992. *The Butterflies of the Malay Archipelago, 4th edition*. Malayan Nature Society, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Corley, R.H.V. & Tinker, P.B.H. 2008. *The oil palm*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Cormont, A., Malinowska, A. H., Kostenko, O., Radchuk, V., Hemerik, L., WallisDeVries, M. F., & Verboom, J. (2011). Effect of local weather on butterfly flight behaviour, movement, and colonization: significance for dispersal under climate change. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 20(3), 483-503.
- Corrêa, M.M., Fernandes, W.D., & Leal, I.R. 2006. Ant diversity (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) from capões in Brazilian Pantanal: relationship between species richness and structural complexity. *Neotropical entomology*, 35: 724-730.
- Crone G.R. 1937. The voyages of Cadamosta and other documents on West Africa in the second half of the fifteenth century. *Hakluyt Society, Series II*, 80.
- Cunningham, R.B., Lindenmayer, D.B., Crane, M., Michael, D., MacGregor, C., Montague-Drake, R. & Fischer, J. 2008. The combined effects of remnant vegetation and tree planting on farmland birds. *Conservation Biology*, 22: 742-752.
- Daily, G.C. 2001. Ecological forecasts. *Nature*, 411: 245-245.
- Danielsen, F. & Heegaard, M. 1995. Impact of logging and plantation development on species diversity: A case study from Sumatra. *Management of tropical forests: towards an integrated perspective*, pp. 73-92. Oslo: Centre for Development and Environment, University of Oslo.
- Danielsen, F., Beukema, H., Burgess, N.D., Parish, F., Bruhl, C.A., Donald, P.F., Murdiyarto, D., Phalan, B., Reijnders, L., Struebig, M. & Fitzherbert, E.B. 2009. Biofuel Plantations on Forested Lands: Double Jeopardy for Biodiversity and Climate. *Conservation Biology*, 23(2): 348-358.
- Davis, A.L. & Philips, T.K. 2005. Effect of deforestation on a southwest Ghana dung beetle assemblage (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) at the periphery of Ankasa conservation area. *Environmental Entomology*, 34: 1081-1088.
- De Chenon, R.D. & Susanto, A. 2006. Ecological observations on diurnal birds in Indonesian oil palm plantations. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 122-143.

- DeVries, P.J. & Walla, T.R. 2001. Species diversity and community structure in neotropical fruit-feeding butterflies. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 74: 1-15.
- DeVries, P.J., Murray, D. & Lande, R. 1997. Species diversity in vertical, horizontal, and temporal dimensions of a fruit-feeding butterfly community in an Ecuadorian rainforest. *Biological journal of the Linnean Society*, 62: 343-364.
- Donald, P.F. 2004. Biodiversity impacts of some agricultural commodity production systems. *Conversion Biology*, 18: 17-37.
- Donald, Stokes, L. & Williams, E. 1991. *Stokes Butterfly Book : The complete guide to butterfly gardening identification and behaviour*. Little Brown and Company, Landon.
- Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., Marquéz, J.R.G., Gruber, B., Lafourcade, B., Leitão, P.J., Münkemüller, T., McClean, C., Osborne, P.E, Reineking, B., Schröder, B., Skidmore, A.K., Damaris, Zurell & Lautenbach, S. 2013. Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. *Ecography*, 36: 27-46.
- Dyer, L.A., Singer, M.S., Lill, J.T., Stireman, J.O., Gentry, G.L., Marquis, R.J., Ricklefs, R.E., Greeney, H. F., Wagner, D.L., Morais, H.C., Diniz, I.R., Kursar, T.A. & Coley, P.D. 2007. Host specificity of Lepidoptera in tropical and temperate forests. *Nature*, 448 : 696-699.
- Elba, B., Suárez, S.A., Lenardis, A.E. & Poggio, S.L. 2014. Intercropping sunflower and soybean in intensive farming systems: Evaluating yield advantage and effect on weed and insect assemblages. *NJAS-Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 70: 47-52.
- Elliott, N.C., Kieckhefer, R.W., Lee, J. & French, B.W. 1998. Influence of within-field and landscape factors on aphid predator populations in wheat. *Landscape Ecology*, 4: 239-252.
- Fayle, T.M., Turner, E.C., Snaddon, J.L., Chey, V.K., Chung, A.Y., Eggleton, P. & Foster, W.A. 2010. Oil palm expansion into rain forest greatly reduces ant biodiversity in canopy, epiphytes and leaf-litter. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 11: 337-345.
- Fermon, H., Waltert, M., Vane-Wright, R.I. & Mühlenberg, M. 2005. Forest use and vertical stratification in fruit-feeding butterflies of Sulawesi, Indonesia: impacts for conservation. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 14: 333-350.
- Fitzherbert, E., Gardner, T., Davenport, T.R. & Caro, T. 2006. Butterfly species richness and abundance in the Katavi ecosystem of western Tanzania. *African Journal of Ecology*, 44: 353-362.

- Fitzherbert, E.B., Struebig, M.J., Morel, A., Danielsen, F., Brühl, C.A., Donald, P.F., & Phalan, B. 2008. How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 23(10): 538-545.
- Foster, W.A., Snaddon, J.L., Turner, E.C., Fayle, T.M., Cockerill, T.D., Ellwood, M.F., Broad, G.B., Chung, A.Y.C., Eggleton, P., Khen, C.V. & Yusah, K.M. 2011. Establishing the evidence base for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem function in the oil palm landscapes of South East Asia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 366: 3277-3291.
- Fukuda, D., Tisen, O.B., Momose, K. & Sakai, S. 2009. Bat diversity in the vegetation mosaic around a lowland dipterocarp forest of Borneo. *Raffles Bull. Zool*, 57 (1): 213-221.
- Gaston, K.J. & Spicer, J.I. 2013. *Biodiversity: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gibbs, H.K., Ruesch, A.S., Achard, F., Clayton, M.K., Holmgren, P., Ramankutty, N. & Foley, J.A. 2010. Tropical forests were the primary sources of new agricultural land in the 1980s and 1990s. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107: 16732-16737.
- Golet, G. H., Gardali, T., Howell, C. A., Hunt, J., Luster, R. A., Rainey, W., Roberts M.D., Silveira J., Swagerty H. & Williams, N. 2008. Wildlife response to riparian restoration on the Sacramento River. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, 6.
- Gotelli, N.G. & Ellison, A.M. 2004. *A Primer of ecological statistics*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Grafen, A., Hails, R., Hails, R. & Hails, R. 2002. *Modern Statistics for the Life Sciences*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Green, R.E., Cornell, S. J., Scharlemann, J. P. & Balmford, A. 2005. Farming and the fate of wild nature. *Science*, 307: 550-555.
- Hamer, K.C., Hill, J.K., Benedick, S., Mustaffa, N., Sherratt, T.N. & Maryati, M. 2003. Ecology of butterflies in natural and selectively logged forests of northern Borneo: the importance of habitat heterogeneity. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 40: 150-162.
- Hardter, R., Chow, W.Y. & Hock, O.S. 1997. Intensive plantation cropping, a source of sustainable food and energy production in the tropical rain forest areas in Southeast Asia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 91: 93-102.
- Harvey, C.A., Gonzalez, J. & Somarriba, E. 2006. Dung beetle and terrestrial mammal diversity in forests, indigenous agroforestry systems and plantain monocultures in Talamanca, Costa Rica. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 15: 555-585.
- Hassall, M., Jones, D.T., Taiti, S., Latipi, Z., Sutton, S.L. & Mohammed, M. 2006. Biodiversity and abundance of terrestrial isopods along a gradient of

- disturbance in Sabah, East Malaysia. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 42 : S197-S207.
- Hayami, Y. 2002. Family farms and plantations in tropical development. *Asian Development Review*, 19(2) : 67-89
- Heinrich, B. 1979. Foraging strategies of caterpillars. *Oecologia*, 42(3): 325-337.
- Hill, J. K., Collingham, Y. C., Thomas, C. D., Blakeley, D. S., Fox, R., Moss, D. & Huntley, B. 2001. Impacts of landscape structure on butterfly range expansion. *Ecology Letters*, 4(4): 313-321.
- Hoi-sen, Y. 1983. *Malaysian Butterflies – An Introduction*. Tropical Press.
- Holland, J. & Brummer, E. 1999. Cultivar effects on oat-ber-seem clover intercrops. *Agronomi Journal*. 91:321-329.
- Holzschuh, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Kleijn, D. & Tscharrntke, T. 2007. Diversity of flower-visiting bees in cereal fields: effects of farming system, landscape composition and regional context. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 44: 41-49.
- Houlihan, P.R., Harrison, M.E. & Cheyne, S.M. 2013. Impacts of forest gaps on butterfly diversity in a Bornean peat-swamp forest. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 16: 67-73.
- Howell, H.D. 2001. *Comparison of arthropod abundance and diversity in intercropping agroforestry and corn monoculture systems in Southern Ontario* (Doctoral Dissertation, University Of Toronto).
- Ickes, K. 2001. Hyper-abundance of native wild pigs (*Sus scrofa*) in a lowland dipterocarp rain forest of peninsular Malaysia. *Biotropica*, 33: 682-90.
- Igbozurike, U.M. 1978. Polyculture and monoculture: Contrast and analysis. *GeoJournal*, 2(5): 443-449.
- Iowa Nature Mapping. 2015. The Important of Birds. Retrieved on 11 November 2015 from, [http://www.extension.iastate.edu/naturemapping/monitoring/importance\\_birds.html](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/naturemapping/monitoring/importance_birds.html)
- Ismail, A., Simeh, M.A. & Noor, M.M. 2003. The production cost of oil palm fresh fruit bunches: the case of independent smallholders in Johor. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 3: 1-7.
- Jackson, L., van Noordwijk, M., Bengtsson, J., Foster, W., Lipper, L., Pulleman, M., Said, M., Snaddon, J. & Vodouhe, R. 2010. Biodiversity and agricultural sustainability: from assessment to adaptive management. *Current Opinion Environment Sustainable*, 2: 80-87.
- Jackson, W. 2002. Natural systems agriculture: A truly radical alternative. *Agriculture Ecosystem Environment*, 88: 111-117.

- Jones, C.G. & Lawton, J.H. 1995. *Linking Species and Ecosystems*. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Jones, G.A. & Gillett, J.L. 2005. Intercropping with sunflowers to attract beneficial insects in organic agriculture. *Florida Entomologist*, 88: 91-96.
- Karen-Chia, H.M. 2014. Exploring the diversity of butterflies (lepidoptera) at different elevations in Genting Highlands and the validity of *Graphium* species in Peninsular Malaysia. Master Thesis, University Malaya.
- Kim, J., Williams, N. & Kremen, C. 2006. Effects of cultivation and proximity to natural habitat on ground-nesting native bees in California sunflower fields. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 79: 309-320.
- King, J.R., Andersen, A.N. & Cutter, A.D. 1998. Ants as bioindicators of habitat disturbance: validation of the functional group model for Australia's humid tropics. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 7(12): 1627-1638.
- Kirton, L.G. 2014. *A Naturalist's Guide to the Butterflies of Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore and Thailand*. John Beaufoy Publishing Ltd.
- Koh, L. & Gan, L. 2007. A study on the biodiversity of oil palm agriculture in KLK estates in Sabah, Malaysia: A preliminary report. *The Planter* 83: 81-92.
- Koh, L.P. & Sodhi, N.S. 2004. Importance of reserves, fragments, and parks for butterfly conservation in a tropical urban landscape. *Ecological Applications*, 14: 1695-1708.
- Koh, L.P. & Ghazoul, J. 2008. Biofuels, biodiversity, and people: understanding the conflicts and finding opportunities. *Biology Conservation*, 141: 2450-2460
- Koh, L.P. & Wilcove, D.S. 2007. Cashing in palm oil for conservation. *Nature*, 448 : 993-994.
- Koh, L.P. 2007. Impacts of land use change on South-east Asian forest butterflies: a review. *Journal of Applied Ecology*.
- Koh, L.P. 2008. Can oil palm plantations be made more hospitable for forest butterflies and birds. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45: 1002-1009.
- Koh, L.P., Levang, P. & Ghazoul, J. 2009. Designer landscapes for sustainable bio fuels. *Trends Ecology Evolution*, 24: 431-438.
- Krauss, J., Steffan-Dewenter, I. & Tschardtke, T. 2003. How does landscape context contribute to effects of habitat fragmentation on diversity and population density of butterflies? *Journal of Biogeography*, 30: 889-900.
- Kremen C., Colwell R.K., Erwin T.L., Murphy D.D., Noss R.F. & Sanjayan M. A. 1993. Terrestrial arthropod assemblages: Their use in conservation planning. *Conservation Biology* 7 : 796-808.



- Kremen, C. 1992. Assessing the indicator properties of species assemblages for natural areas monitoring. *Ecological Applications*, 2 : 203-217.
- Lawton, J.H., Bignell, D.E., Bolton, B., Bloemers, G.F., Eggleton, P., Hammond, P.M., Hodda, M., Holt, R.D., Larsen, T.B., Mawdsley, N.A., Stork, N.E., Srivastava, D.S. & Watt, A.D. 1998. Biodiversity inventories, indicator taxa and effects of habitat modification in tropical forest. *Nature*, 391: 72-76.
- Leveque, C. & Mounolou, J. 2003. *Biodiversity*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Liebman, M. 1995. Polyculture cropping systems. In. Altieri (ed.) *Agroecology: The science of sustainable agriculture*. 2nd ed. p.p. 205-218. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.
- Lindenmayer, D.B. & Hobbs, R.J. 2004. Fauna conservation in Australian plantation forests – a review. *Biological Conservation* 119: 151-168
- Liow, L.H., Sodhi, N.S. & Elmqvist, T. 2001. Bee diversity along a disturbance gradient in tropical lowland forests of south-east Asia. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 31: 180-192.
- Lomov, B., Keith, D.A., Britton, D.R. & Hochuli, D.F. 2006. Are butterflies and moths useful indicators for restoration monitoring? A pilot study in Sydney's Cumberland Plain Woodland. *Ecological Management & Restoration*, 7: 204-210.
- Lucey, J.M. & Hill, J.K. 2012. Spillover of insects from rain forest into adjacent oil palm plantations. *Biotropica*, 44: 368-377.
- MacArthur, R.H., & MacArthur, J.W. 1961. On bird species diversity. *Ecology*, 42(3): 594-598.
- Maddox, T., Priatna, D., Gemita, E. & Salampessy, A. 2007. The conservation of tigers and other wildlife in oil palm plantations. *ZSL Conservation Report*, No.7. London: The Zoological Society of London.
- Malaysia Meteorological Service Department. 2014. *State Weather Forecast*. Retrieved on 26 December 2014 from [http://www.met.gov.my/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=1303&Itemid=1749](http://www.met.gov.my/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1303&Itemid=1749).
- Malaysian Palm Oil Board, MPOB. 2013. *Summary of The Malaysian Oil Palm Industry 2013*. Retrieved on 23 December 2014 from, <http://bepi.mpob.gov.my/index.php/summary/654-summary-2013.html>
- Malaysian Palm Oil Council (MPOC). 2012. The Oil Palm Tree. Retrieved on 15 October 2015 from, [http://www.mpoc.org.my/The\\_Oil\\_Palm\\_Tree.aspx](http://www.mpoc.org.my/The_Oil_Palm_Tree.aspx)
- Mariau, D. 2001. *The Fauna of Oil Palm and Coconut: Insect and Mites Pests and Their Natural Enemies*. Editions Quae.

- Mark, J. 2013. What's Fueling the Demand for the Palm Oil Destroying the Rainforests of Indonesia? Retrieved on 9 October 2015 from, [http://www.earthisland.org/journal/index.php/elist/eListRead/whats\\_fueling\\_the\\_demand\\_for\\_the\\_palm\\_oil\\_destroying\\_the\\_rainforests\\_of\\_ind/](http://www.earthisland.org/journal/index.php/elist/eListRead/whats_fueling_the_demand_for_the_palm_oil_destroying_the_rainforests_of_ind/).
- Marquard, E., Schmid, B., Roscher, C., De Luca, E., Nadrowski, K., Weisser, W.W. & Weigelt, A. 2013. Changes in the abundance of grassland species in monocultures versus mixtures and their relation to biodiversity effects. *PLoS one*, 8.
- Miller III, D.G., Lane, J. & Senock, R. 2011. Butterflies as potential bioindicators of primary rainforest and oil palm plantation habitats on New Britain, Papua New Guinea. *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 17: 149-159.
- Morrison, M.L., Block, W.M., Strickland, M.D., Collier, B.A. & Peterson, M.J. 2008. Sample Survey Strategies. *Wildlife study design*, pp. 137-197. New York, New York, USA: Springer.
- Munro, N.T., Fischer, J., Wood, J. & Lindenmayer, D.B. 2009. Revegetation in agricultural areas: the development of structural complexity and floristic diversity. *Ecological Applications*, 19 :1197-1210.
- Nair, P.K.R. 2007. The coming of age of agroforestry. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 87, 1613-1619.
- Nájera, A. & Simonetti, J.A. 2010b. Can oil palm plantations become bird friendly? *Agroforestry Systems*, 80: 203-209.
- Nájera, A. & Simonetti, J.A. 2010a. Enhancing avifauna in commercial plantations. *Conservation Biology*, 24: 319-324.
- New T.R., Pyle R.M., Thomas J. A., Thomas C.D. & Hammond P.C. 1995. Butterfly conservation management. *Annual Review of Entomology* 40: 57-83.
- New, T.R. 1997. Are Lepidoptera an effective 'umbrella group' for biodiversity conservation? *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 1: 5-12.
- Normua, F., Higashi, S., Ambu, L. & Mohamed, M. 2004. Notes on oil palm plantation use and seasonal spatial relationships of sun bears in Sabah, Malaysia. *Ursus*, 15(2) : 227-231.
- Novotny, V., Drozd, P., Miller, S.E., Kulfan, M., Janda, M., Basset, Y. & Weiblen, G.D. 2006. Why are there so many species of herbivorous insects in tropical rainforests?. *Science*, 313: 1115-1118.
- Palm oil health. 2015. The oil palm tree. Retrieved on 12 November 2015 from, <http://www.palmoilhealth.org/what-is-palm-oil/the-oil-palm-tree/> .
- Payne, J. 1982. Special Conservation Priorities in the Tropical Forest of Sabah, East Malaysia. In R.A. Mittermeier & W.R. Konstant. Species Conservation priorities in the tropical forest of South East Asia.

*Proceeding of a Symposium held at the 58th meeting of the IUCN Species Survival Commission (SSC). No. 1, 23-26. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, Kuala Lumpur.*

- Persey, S. & Anhar, S. 2010. Biodiversity information for oil palm. In *International Conference on Oil Palm and Environment*.
- Pfeiffer, M., Cheng Tuck, H. & Chong Lay, T. 2008. Exploring arboreal ant community composition and co-occurrence patterns in plantations of oil palm *Elaeis guineensis* in Borneo and Peninsular Malaysia. *Ecography*, 31(1) : 21-32.
- Picasso, V.D., Brummer, E.C., Liebman, M., Dixon, P.M. & Wilsey, B.J. 2008. Crop species diversity affects productivity and weed suppression in perennial polycultures under two management strategies. *Crop Science*, 48 :331-342.
- Pollard, E. & Yates, T.J. 1994. *Monitoring butterflies for ecology and conservation: the British butterfly monitoring scheme*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Rajaratnam, R., Sunquist, M., Rajaratnam, L. & Anmu, L. 2007. Diet and habitat selection of the leopard cat (*Prionailurus bengalensis borneoensis*) in an agricultural landscape in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 23(2): 209-217.
- Ramos, F.A. 2000. Nymphalid butterfly communities in an Amazonian forest fragment. *Journal of Research on the Lepidoptera*, 35: 29-41.
- Ribeiro, D.B., Batista, R., Prado, P.I., Brown Jr, K.S. & Freitas, A.V. 2012. The importance of small scales to the fruit-feeding butterfly assemblages in a fragmented landscape. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 21(3): 811-827.
- Rice, R.A. & Greenberg, R. 2000. Cacao cultivation and the conservation of biological diversity. *Ambio*, 29: 167-173.
- Rist, L., Feintrenie, L. & Levang, P. 2010 .The livelihood impacts of oil palm: smallholders in Indonesia. *Biodiversity and conservation*, 19 :1009-1024.
- Rodríguez, J.P., Pearson, D.L. & Barrera, R.R. 1998. A test for the adequacy of bioindicator taxa: are tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae) appropriate indicators for monitoring the degradation of tropical forests in Venezuela?. *Biological Conservation*, 83(1) : 69-76.
- Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil, RSPO. 2015. Smallholder. Retrieved on 17 November 2015 from, <http://www.rspo.org/members/smallholders>.
- Rundlöf, M., Bengtsson, J. & Smith, H.G. 2008. Local and landscape effects of organic farming on butterfly species richness and abundance. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45 : 813-820.
- Rydon, A. 1964. Notes on the use of butterfly traps in East Africa. *Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society*, 18: 51-58.



- Sachs, J.D., Baillie J.E.M. & Sutherland W.J. 2009. Biodiversity conservation and the millennium development goals. *Science*, 325: 1502–1503.
- Savilaakso, S., Garcia, C., Garcia-Ulloa, J., Ghazoul, J., Groom, M., Guariguata, M.R., Laumonier, Y., Nasi, R., Petrokofsky, G., Snaddon, J. & Zrust, M. 2014. Systematic review of effects on biodiversity from oil palm production. *Environmental Evidence*, 3: 1-21.
- Scriber, J. M., Keefover, K., & Nelson, S. (2002). Hot summer temperatures may stop movement of *Papilio canadensis* butterflies and genetic introgression south of the hybrid zone in the North American Great Lakes region. *Ecography*, 25(2), 184-192.
- Sekhar, B.C. 2000. The Malaysian Plantation Sector: Rubber, Oil Palm – The New Millennium Equation. *Paper presented at The Eminent Person Lecture*. Academy of Science Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.
- Sheldon, F.H., Styring, A. & Hosner, P.A. 2010. Bird species richness in a Bornean exotic tree plantation: a long-term perspective. *Biological conservation*, 143(2): 399-407.
- Simberloff, D. 1999. The role of science in the preservation of forest biodiversity. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 115(2): 101-111.
- Smith, R.J., Muir, R. D., Walpole, M. J., Balmford, A. & Leader-Williams, N. 2003. Governance and the loss of biodiversity. *Nature*, 426 :67-70.
- Smith, Y.C.E., Smith, D.A.E., Seymour, C.L., Thébault, E. & Van Veen, F.F. 2015. Response of avian diversity to habitat modification can be predicted from life-history traits and ecological attributes. *Landscape Ecology*, 30 :1225–1239.
- Sodhi, N.S. & Brook, B.W. 2006. *Southeast Asian biodiversity in crisis*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sodhi, N.S., Posa, M.R.C., Lee, T.M., Bickford, D., Koh, L.P. & Brook, B.W. 2010. The state and conservation of Southeast Asian biodiversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19(2): 317-328.
- Southwood, T.R., Brown, V.K. & Reader, P.M. 1979. The relationships of plant and insect diversities in succession. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 12(4): 327-348.
- Sparrow, H.R., Sisk, T.D., Ehrlich, P.R. & Murphy, D.D. 1994. Techniques and guidelines for monitoring neotropical butterflies. *Conservation Biology*, 8(3) : 800-809.
- Speight, M.R., Hunter, M.D. & Watt, A.D. 2008. Ecology of Insects. *Concepts and Applications, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester.

- Stamp, N. E. 1984. Interactions of parasitoids and checkerspot caterpillars *Euphydryas* spp. (Nymphalidae). *Journal of Research on the Lepidoptera*, 23(1): 2-18.
- Stamps, W.T. & Linit, M.J. 1998. Plant diversity and arthropod communities: implications for temperate agroforestry. *Agroforestry Systems*, 39: 73-89.
- Steffan-Dewenter, I. & Tschardtke, T. 2000. Butterfly community structure in fragmented habitats. *Ecology Letters*, 3: 449-456.
- Swift, M.J., Vandermeer, J., Ramakrishnan, P.S., Anderson, J.M., Ong, C K., & Hawkins, B.A. 1996. Biodiversity and agroecosystem function. *Functional roles of biodiversity: a global perspective.*, 261-298.
- Tan, H. & Khoo, K.S. 2012. *Caterpillars of Singapore's Butterflies*. National Parks Board (Singapore).
- Tews, J., Brose, U., Grimm, V., Tielbörger, K., Wichmann, M.C., Schwager, M., & Jeltsch, F. 2004. Animal species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of keystone structures. *Journal of Biogeography*, 31: 79-92.
- The Convention on Biological Diversity. 1992. UNEP, Montreal.
- The oil palm. 2014. History and Origin. Retrieved on 15 October 2015 from, <http://theoilpalm.org/history-and-origin/>.
- Thomas, J.A. 1986. *Butterflies of the British Isles*. Country Life Books, London.
- Thomas, J.A. 2005. Monitoring change in the abundance and distribution of insects using butterflies and other indicator groups. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 360 :339-357.
- Tilman, D., Fargione, J., Wolff, B., D'Antonio, C., Dobson, A., Howarth, R., Schindler, D., Schlesinger, W.H., Simberloff, D. & Swackhamer, D. 2001. Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change. *Science*, 292: 281-284.
- Tschardtke, T., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Kruess, A. & Thies, C. 2002. Characteristics of insect populations on habitat fragments: A mini review. *Ecological Research*, 17 :229-239.
- Turner, E.C. & Foster, W.A. 2006. Assessing the influence of bird's nest ferns (*Asplenium* spp.) on the local microclimate across a range of habitat disturbances in Sabah, Malaysia. *Selbyana*, 195-200.
- Turner, E.C. & Foster, W.A. 2009. The impact of forest conversion to oil palm on arthropod abundance and biomass in Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 25 :23.
- Turner, E.C., Snaddon, J.L., Ewers, R.M., Fayle, T.M. & Foster, W.A. 2011. *The impact of oil palm expansion on environmental change: putting conservation research in context*. InTech.

- Turner, E.C., Snaddon, J.L., Fayle, T.M. & Foster, W.A. 2008. Oil palm research in context: identifying the need for biodiversity assessment. *Plos One*, 3 :1572.
- Uehara-Prado, M., Brown, K.S. & Freitas, A.V.L. 2007. Species richness, composition and abundance of fruit-feeding butterflies in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest: comparison between a fragmented and a continuous landscape. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 16(1) : 43-54.
- Vandermeer, J. & Perfecto, I. 1995. *Breakfast of biodiversity: the truth about rain forest destruction*. Institute for Food and Development Policy.
- Vandermeer, J., Lawrence, D., Symstad, A. & Hobbie, S. 2002. Effect of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning in managed ecosystems.. *Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Synthesis and perspectives*, p. 221-233. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, UK.
- Vargas, L.E.P., Laurance, W.F., Clements, G.R. & Edwards, W. 2015. The impacts of oil palm agriculture on Colombia's biodiversity: what we know and still need to know. *Tropical Conservation Science*, 8: 828-845.
- Veddeler, D., Schulze, C.H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Buchori, D. & Tscharrntke, T. 2005. The contribution of tropical secondary forest fragments to the conservation of fruit-feeding butterflies: effects of isolation and age. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 14, 3577-3592.
- Vickery, J. & Arlettaz, R. 2012. The importance of habitat heterogeneity at multiple scales for birds in European agricultural landscapes. *Birds and Habitat: Relationships in Changing Landscapes*, pp. 177-204. Cambridge University Press.
- Weisser, W.W. & Siemann, E. 2004. *Insect and ecosystem function*. Berlin, Germany : Springer.