

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF PRE-FELLING SAMPLING DESIGNS IN LOWLAND FOREST OF PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

NURUL ATIQAH BINTI ABD HAMID

FH 2016 16



COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF PRE-FELLING SAMPLING DESIGNS IN LOWLAND FOREST OF PENINSULAR MALAYSIA



By

NURUL ATIQAH BINTI ABD HAMID

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, In Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

June 2016

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF PRE-FELLING SAMPLING DESIGNS IN LOWLAND FOREST OF PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

By

NURUL ATIQAH BINTI ABD HAMID

June 2016

Chairman : Associate Professor Kamziah Abd Kudus, PhD Faculty : Forestry

In Peninsular Malaysia, forest sampling during pre-felling (pre-f) inventory is to gather information on tree stocking, species, forest condition, distribution of big trees, bamboo and rattan before commercial felling of trees ≥ 45 cm dbh. Currently, the pre-f inventory is carried out using 20 m x 50 m plot at 10% sampling intensity with 95% confidence level and ±20% sampling error. Even though the sampling design used in pre-f inventory is systematic sampling, it is not known whether this type of design is the most accurate one. Four tested sampling designs in this study are (i) random sampling, (ii) cluster sampling, (iii) systematic sampling, and (iv) transect line sampling. The objectives of this study were to compare the four sampling designs in pre-f of mixed tropical forest. This was done by comparing which sampling design is closer to population data set of 30 ha for trees \geq 30 cm dbh in estimating mean basal area of trees per ha and volume per ha by species groups of Dipterocarp, Non-Dipterocarp and All Species. This study was carried out using a 30 ha (1000 m x 300 m) forest area within the 50ha Demography Project established by the Forest Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM) in Pasoh Forest Reserve, Negeri Sembilan. The sample estimates were done at 95% confident level and replicated three times based on 20 m x 50 m plot information. The following results were obtained when basal area per ha (m^2/ha) of All Species for trees \geq 30 cm dbh was inventoried: (i) Systematic sampling underestimated by 0.94% for All Species; (ii) Transect line sampling overestimated by 2.18% for Dipterocarp species; (iii) Cluster sampling overestimated by 1.03% for Non-dipterocarp species. Similarly, to estimate mean volume per ha (m³/ha) of All Species for trees ≥ 30 cm dbh, the following results were best obtained: (i) Systematic sampling underestimated by 1.16% for All Species; (ii) Transect line sampling overestimated by 1.06% for Dipterocarp species; (iii) Cluster sampling overestimated by 3.77% for Non-dipterocarp species. Meanwhile, in estimating dbh (cm) and height (m), it was found that random sampling would be a preferred sampling design to inventory Dipterocarp and Non-dipterocarp

species. The difference means of dbh (cm) and height (m) to the actual population is overestimated 0.39% and underestimates 0.31% for Dipterocarp species. For Non-dipterocarp species, the difference is underestimating 0.07% and overestimates 0.19% respectively. However, systematic sampling would be the most accurate sampling design to inventory dbh (cm) and height (m) of All Species which is only recorded overestimate of 0.13% and 0.18% respectively. Overall, systematic sampling was best to estimate basal area (m²/ha), volume (m³/ha), dbh (cm), and height (m) as it gave the closest, albeit underestimated or overestimated to the actual. Therefore, it is proven that systematic sampling is the most accurate sampling design for pre-f inventory.



Abstract testis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Sarjana Sains

PENILAIAN PERBANDINGAN REKA BENTUK PENSAMPELAN SEBELUM TEBANGAN DALAM HUTAN TANAH RENDAH SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA

Oleh

NURUL ATIQAH BINTI ABD HAMID

Jun 2016

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Kamziah Abd Kudus, PhD

Fakulti : Perhutanan

Di Semenanjung Malaysia, pensampelan hutan di dalam inventori sebelum tebangan (pre-f) adalah untuk mengumpulkan maklumat mengenai stok pokok, spesies, keadaan hutan, taburan pokok besar, buluh dan rotan sebelum penebangan pokok komersial ≥ 45 cm ppd. Kini, inventori pre-f dilakukan menggunakan 20 m x 50 m plot pada 10% keamatan pensampelan dengan 95% tahap keyakinan dan ± 20% ralat persampelan. Walaupun reka bentuk pensampelan yang digunakan dalam inventori pre-f adalah persampelan sistematik, ia tidak diketahui sama ada jenis reka bentuk ini adalah yang paling tepat. Empat reka bentuk pensampelan yang diuji dalam kajian ini ialah (i) persampelan rawak, (ii) persampelan kluster, (iii) persampelan sistematik, dan (iv) persampelan garis transek. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk membandingkan empat reka bentuk pensampelan dalam pra-tebangan hutan tropika campuran. Ini dilakukan dengan membandingkan reka bentuk pensampelan yang manakah lebih dekat dengan keseluruhan set data 30 ha untuk pokok \geq 30 cm ppd dengan menganggarkan jumlah purata pangkal pokok per ha dan isipadu pokok per ha oleh spesis kumpulan Dipterokarpa, Bukan Dipterokarp dan Semua Spesies. Kajian ini telah dilakukan di kawasan hutan 30 ha (1000 m x 300 m) di dalam 50 ha Projek Demografi yang ditubuhkan oleh Institut Penyelidikan Perhutanan Malaysia (FRIM) di Hutan Simpan Pasoh, Negeri Sembilan. Anggaran sampel telah dilakukan di tahap keyakinan 95% dan replika tiga kali berdasarkan 20 m x 50 m maklumat plot. Keputusan berikut diperolehi apabila purata kawasan pangkal (m²/ha) bagi Semua Spesies pokok \geq 30 cm ppd telah inventori: (i) Persampelan sistematik di bawah anggaran oleh 0.94% untuk Semua Spesies; (ii) Persampelan garis transek di atas anggaran oleh 2.18% bagi spesies Dipterokarpa; (iii) Persampelan kluster di atas anggaran oleh 1.03% bagi spesies Bukan dipterokarpa. Begitu juga untuk menganggarkan jumlah purata isipadu pokok (m³/ha) bagi Semua Spesies pokok \geq 30 cm ppd, keputusan terbaik berikut diperolehi: (i) Persampelan sistematik di bawah

anggaran oleh 1.16% untuk Semua Spesies; (ii) Persampelan garis transek di atas anggaran oleh 1.06% bagi spesies Dipterokarpa; (iii) Persampelan kluster di atas anggaran oleh 3.77% bagi spesies Bukan dipterokarpa. Sementara itu, dalam menganggarkan ppd (cm) dan ketinggian (m), didapati bahawa persampelan rawak menjadi reka bentuk pensampelan pilihan untuk inventori spesies Dipterokarpa dan Bukan dipterokarpa. Perbezaan purata ppd (cm) dan ketinggian (m) kepada populasi sebenar ialah masing-masing 0.39% dan 0.31% untuk spesies Dipterokarpa. Bagi spesies Bukan dipterokarpa, perbezaan tersebut ialah masing-masing 0.07% dan 0.19%. Walau bagaimanapun, persampelan sistematik menjadi reka bentuk pensampelan yang paling tepat untuk inventori ppd (cm) dan ketinggian (m) Semua Spesies yang hanya mencatatkan masing-masing 0.13% dan 0.18%. Secara keseluruhannya, persampelan sistematik adalah terbaik untuk menganggarkan kedua-dua kawasan pangkal (m²/ha) dan isipadu (m³/ha) kerana ia memberikan anggaran yang paling dekat, walaupun di atas atau di bawah anggaran berbanding nilai sebenar. Oleh itu, ianya terbukti bahawa persampelan sistematik ialah reka bentuk pensampelan yang paling tepat untuk inventori sebelum tebangan (pre-f).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research would not have been possible had it not been for a special group of people whom I am inspired by and greatly indebted to. I take this opportunity to express my highest regards and deepest gratitude to:

- My first supervisor, Prof. Dato' Dr. Wan Razali Wan Mohd, for being insightful and accommodating to my insatiable hunger for details and knowledge, and most of all for his understanding and gentleness when embracing my thoughts and trudging me forward to find my way. His knowledge in forest management and conservation, statistical analysis and life in general has been instrumental to me. I was really honoured to work under his supervisor and guidance.
- My second supervisor, Prof. Madya Dr. Kamziah Abd Kudus for her willingness to accept me as her master's student instantly and give fully support in terms of my studies and my life.
- Dr. Mohamad Roslan Mohamad Kasim for helpful comments and suggestions as the member of my committee.
- My beloved husband, Jafirdaus Bin Jalasabri for being my thesis 'supervisor' at home stringently.
- My greatest mother Salmah Binti Jais, dearest sisters Rohayati and Rosnani and brothers Abd Halim and Abd Haffis, for their love, support, and respect.
- My in-law family for their supportive and understanding.
- My senior and friend, Abd Razak for being very helpful and supportive.
- Last, but not least, thanks to all the people that I have encountered and worked with during the course of this research for making this a reality, and to whom I am forever and truly grateful.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 21 June 2016 to conduct the final examination of Nurul Atiqah binti Abd Hamid on her thesis entitled "Comparative Assessment of Pre-Felling Sampling Designs in Lowland Forest of Peninsular Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohd Nazre bin Saleh @ Japri, PhD Associate Professor

Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohd Zaki bin Hamzah, PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Abd Rahman bin Kassim, PhD Senior Lecturer Forest Research Institute Malaysia Malaysia (External Examiner)

ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 September 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Kamziah Abd Kudus, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohamad Roslan Mohamad Kasim, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fullyowned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _

Date: ____

Name and Matric No.: Nurul Atigah Binti Abd Hamid (GS35600)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Kamziah Abd Kudus

Signature:_____ Name of member of Supervisory Committee: <u>Dr. Mohamad Roslan Mohamad Kasim</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT <i>ABSTRAK</i> ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS APPROVAL DECLARATION LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | | Page i iii v vi viii xii xv xvi |
|---|---|---|
| CHAPTER | INTRODUCTION | |
| | 1.1 Introduction 1.2 Forestry Management Inventory in Malaysia 1.3 Problems Statement 1.4 Objectives | 1 1 2 6 7 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 8 |
| | 2.1 Forest Inventory | 8 |
| | 2.2 Forest Sampling | 9 |
| | 2.2.1 Random Sampling | 10 |
| | 2.2.2 Cluster Sampling | 11 |
| | 2.2.5 Systematic Sampling | 12 |
| | 2.3 The Application of Four Sampling Designs in Forest Inventory | 13 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 19 |
| 5 | 3.1 Study Plot | 19 |
| | 3.2 Site Description | 20 |
| | 3.3 Study Method | 21 |
| | 3.3.1 Random Sampling | 23 |
| | 3.3.2 Cluster Sampling | 23 |
| | 3.3.3 Systematic Sampling | 23 |
| | 3.3.4 Transect Line Sampling | 24 |
| | 3.4 Data Analysis | 29 |
| | 3.5 Calculation of Tree Basal Area and Volume | 29 |
| | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 31 |
| | 4.1 Summary Statistics for All Species Group in the 30 | 31 |
| | Ha Plot | |
| | 4.2 Summary Statistics of Average Basal Area and | 33 |
| | Average Volume of All Species by Sampling | |
| | Design | |
| | 4.3 Summary Statistics of Average Basal Area and Average Volume of Dipterocarp and Non- | 35 |

| | dipterocarp Species by Sampling Design 4.4 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Tests for the Average Tree Basal Area and Volume between Sampling Designs | 39 |
|--|--|-----------------|
| | 4.5 Summary Statistics of Average Dbh and Average Height of All Species by Sampling Design | 46 |
| | 4.6 Summary Statistics of Average Dbh and Average Height of Dipterocarp and Non-dipterocarp Species by Sampling Design | 48 |
| | 4.7 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Tests for the Average Tree Dbh and Height between Sampling Designs | 52 |
| | 4.8 Validation of the accuracy of the Pre-F sampling technique as currently practised by the Forestry Department of Peninsular Malaysia (FDPM) | 59 |
| | 4.9 Summary Statistics of Average Dbh, Height, Basal Area and Volume of Dipterocarp, Non-dipterocarp and All Species by Sampling Design | 61 |
| | 4.10 Independent Sample T-Test for the Average Tree Dbh, Height, Basal Area and Volume within Systematic Sampling and Pre-F (EDPM) Sampling | 69 |
| | 4.11 One Sample T-Test for Systematic Sampling and Pre-F (FDPM) Sampling to 100% Inventory | 74 |
| 5 | CONCLUSION | 82 |
| | 5.1 Conclusion | 82 |
| | 5.2 Recommendations for Future Research | 84 |
| REFERENCES APPENDICES BIODATA OF STUDENT | | 85 89 109 |
| | | |

6

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Application of sampling design in forest inventory | 13 |
| 2 | Summary statistics for total trees in the 30 ha plot by species group (Trees ≥ 30 cm dbh) | 31 |
| 3 | Summary of trees by dbh classes and species group in the 30 ha plot study plot (All trees \geq 30 cm dbh) | 32 |
| 4 | Summary statistics of average basal area and average volume by sampling design and replication | 34 |
| 5 | Summary statistics of average basal area and average volume by sampling design and species group | 36 |
| 6 | Summary of difference between actual and estimated mean basal area and volume | 38 |
| 7 | Comparison of average tree basal area between species within sampling designs | 39 |
| 8 | Comparison of average tree volume between species within sampling designs | 40 |
| 9 | Two-way ANOVA for average tree basal area between sampling designs and species groups | 40 |
| 10 | Comparison of average tree basal area between sampling designs and species groups | 41 |
| 11 | Two-way ANOVA for average tree volume between sampling designs and species groups | 41 |
| 12 | Compari <mark>son of average tree volume betwe</mark> en sampling designs and species groups | 42 |
| 13 | Statistical summary of species group and sampling design for basal area (m ² /ha) | 43 |
| 14 | Statistical summary of species group and sampling design for volume (m ³ /ha) | 44 |
| 15 | Summary statistics of average dbh and average height of All Species by sampling design and replication | 47 |
| 16 | Summary statistics of average dbh and average height by sampling design and species group | 49 |
| 17 | Summary of difference between actual and estimated mean dbh and height | 51 |
| 18 | Comparison of average tree dbh between species within sampling designs | 52 |
| 19 | Comparison of average tree height between species within sampling designs | 53 |

| | 20 | Two-way ANOVA for average tree dbh between sampling designs and species groups | 53 |
|--|----|---|----|
| | 21 | Comparison of average tree dbh between sampling designs and species groups | 54 |
| | 22 | Two-way ANOVA for average tree height between sampling designs and species groups | 54 |
| | 23 | Comparison of average tree height between sampling designs and species groups | 55 |
| | 24 | Statistical summary of species group and sampling design for dbh (cm) | 56 |
| | 25 | Statistical summary of species group and sampling design for height (m) | 57 |
| | 26 | Summary statictics of average dbh, height, basal area and volume of All Species by Forestry Department of Peninsular Malaysia (FDPM) for each replication | 61 |
| | 27 | Summary statictics of average dbh, height, basal area and volume of All Species by sampling design for each replication | 63 |
| | 28 | Summary of difference between actual and estimated mean of dbh, height, basal area and volume for All Species | 64 |
| | 29 | Sum <mark>mary statictics o</mark> f average dbh, height, basal area and volume by sampling design and species group | 65 |
| | 30 | Summary of difference between actual and estimated mean of dbh, height, basal area and volume by species group | 67 |
| | 31 | Independ <mark>ent Sample T-Test of dbh betwe</mark> en systematic sampling and Pre-F (FDPM) sampling | 70 |
| | 32 | Independent Sample T-Test of height between systematic sampling and Pre-F (FDPM) sampling | 71 |
| | 33 | Independent Sample T-Test of basal area between systematic sampling and Pre-F (FDPM) sampling | 72 |
| | 34 | Independent Sample T-Test of volume between systematic sampling and Pre-F (FDPM) sampling | 73 |
| | 35 | One Sample T-Test between systematic sampling and 100% inventory | 75 |
| | 36 | One Sample T-Test between Forest Department Peninsular Malaysia (FDPM) sampling and 100% inventory | 76 |
| | 37 | Statistical summary of species group and sampling design for dbh (cm) | 78 |
| | 38 | Statistical summary of species group and sampling design | 79 |

for height (m)

- Statistical summary of species group and sampling design 80 for basal area (m²/ha)
- 40 Statistical summary of species group and sampling design 81 for volume (m³/ha)



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | The location of base line, sampling line and main plot | 4 |
| 2 | The main plot and small plots | 5 |
| 3 | Design of Sampling Units for NFI 1 and NFI 2 | 14 |
| 4 | Design of Sampling Units for NFI 3 | 15 |
| 5 | Design of Sampling Units for NFI 4 and NFI 5 | 16 |
| 6 | Design of Sampling Units for CFI | 17 |
| 7 | Pasoh Field Research Station Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia | 19 |
| 8 | Topographic map of 50 ha Pasoh Demography Plot | 21 |
| 9 | The layout of 20 m x 50 m plots in the 30 ha Pasoh Demography Project | 22 |
| 10 | The layout of the chosen 30 plots for random design for each replication | 25 |
| 11 | The layout of the six clusters for each replication | 26 |
| 12 | The layout of the plots for systematic design for each replication | 27 |
| 13 | The layout of the plots for transect line design for each replication | 28 |
| 14 | Bar chart of sample and actual means of basal area and volume | 42 |
| 15 | Bar chart of sampl <mark>e</mark> and actual means of dbh and height | 55 |
| 16 | The layout of the plots for Forestry Department of Peninsular Malaysia (FDPM) sampling for each replication | 60 |
| 17 | Bar chart of sample and actual means of dbh, height, basal area and volume | 77 |
| | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ANOVA | Analysis of Variance |
|----------|--|
| ASEAN | Association of Southeast Asian Nations |
| BL | Base Line |
| CONFORMS | Continuous Forest Resource Monitoring System |
| DBH | Diameter at Breast Height |
| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization |
| FDPM | Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia |
| FRIM | Forest Research Institute Malaysia |
| GIS | Geographical Information System |
| ITTO | International Tropical Timber Organization |
| LSD | Least Significant Difference |
| MAJURUS | Majlis Urusan Hutan dan Silvikultur / Forests and |
| MUO | Silviculture Affairs Council (Peninsular Malaysia) |
| MUS | Malayan Uniform System (Peninsular Malaysia) |
| NFI | National Forest Inventory |
| NSF | National Science Foundation (USA) |
| Post-F | Post-Felling |
| Pre-F | Pre-Felling |
| PSP | Permanent Sample Plot |
| SFM | Sustainable Forest Management |
| SL | Sampling Line |
| SMS | Selective Management System (Peninsular Malaysia) |
| STRI | Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (USA) |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Mixed tropical forests in most parts of the world are naturally regenerated, and thus comprise a mixture of age classes and species. In the tropical zone, they are generally characterized by a very large number of species compared with temperate and subtropical mixed forests. Mixed tropical forest occurs in a number of commonly recognized sub-types, including the true evergreen rainforests, seasonal semi-deciduous forests, drier forests on the margins of the forest zone, characterized by frequent fires and reduced species complexity, tropical montane forests at higher altitudes, and special types occurring in swampy or salinized soils (UNESCO, 1978; Whitmore, 1990).

The natural forest resources of Peninsular Malaysia are estimated at about 5.79 million ha or 43.9% of the total land area in 2012. They included 4.89 million ha of permanent reserved forest, 0.59 million ha of national and wildlife parks and 0.30 million ha of stateland forest (FDPM, 2013). In 2010 and 2011, Malaysia exported timber and timber products worth RM20.52 billion and RM20.06 billion respectively. Wood-related industry is one of the main revenue earners in Malaysia, generating a yearly export income of RM21.7 billion from 2006 until 2010 and creating about 300,000 job opportunities.

Malaysia's natural forests continue to play a major role in the economy, contributing much to the development of forestry-based industries, of primary as well as downstream manufacturing activities (Nakama *et al*, 2006). All decision-making concerning forest requires information. This information is acquired among other by means of forest inventories - systems for measuring the extent, quantity and condition of forests (Penman *et al*, 2003).

chara of tre conce estim

Forest inventory is to estimate means and totals for measures of forest characteristics over a defined area. Such characteristics include the volume of trees, the area of a certain type of forest and nowadays also measures concerned with forest biodiversity and carbon. The most current issue is estimating the carbon stock in the forest. Several types of inventory used in Malaysia are national forest inventory, forest management inventory, continuous forest inventory and permanent sample plot inventory.

A forest inventory could in principle be based on a complete census, i.e. on measuring every tree in a given area, but this is usually impossible in forestry because of the large areas involved. Therefore, the acquisition of information is typically based on sampling, i.e. only a proportion of the population, a sample, is inspected and inferences regarding the whole population are based on this sample.

Sampling is a necessary technique used in most forest inventories for economic reasons. Populations to be inventoried, e.g. population of trees for the construction of volume tables or assessment of defect, or for the estimation of mensuration parameters in plantations, are usually too large to be fully enumerated. Several sampling designs may be used in the same forest inventory in different parts of the operation. Their main, but not exclusive, use is to estimate forest areas and its parameters. In a sampling design of a forest inventory one generally refers to the disposition of the field samples. Thus, sampling techniques can be considered as only one among other tools of forest inventory and their importance must not be underestimated.

1.2 Forest Management Inventory in Malaysia

The historical development of natural forest management and silviculture led to the Malayan Uniform System (MUS) (Wyatt-Smith, 1963). MUS have been the basic silvicultural system since the 1950's. It was developed for lowland dipterocarp forests rich in Meranti (*Shorea* spp.) and Keruing (*Dipterocarpus* spp.). However, MUS has not been effective in the hill forest due to the comparatively more difficult terrain, uneven stocking, and lack of natural regeneration on the forest floor before logging due to irregular seeding from potential mother trees (Thang, 1986).

The MUS consists of removing the mature crop in one single felling of all trees down to 45 cm dbh for all species and releasing the selected natural regeneration of varying ages, which are mainly the light demanding medium and light hardwood species. This system had been successfully applied to the lowland dipterocarp forest with a cutting cycle greater than 50 years, but had been found to be unsuccessful in the hill dipterocarp forest (Wyatt-Smith, 1963). Since the bulk of the permanent forest estate now consists of hill forest, a new system has now been developed - Selective Management System (SMS): a polycyclic management system in which 25 to 30 years cutting cycle is anticipated (Thang, 1986).

 \bigcirc

Consequently, the SMS was introduced in 1978 to allow for more flexible timber harvesting regimes which are consistent with the need to safeguard the environment and at the same time to take advantage of the demand of the timber market. More importantly, it discourages the poison-girdling of the presently non-commercial species which will not only conserve the wood but also the genetic resources available in the forests for the future. The SMS requires the selection of harvesting regimes based on pre-inventory (Pre-F) data in order to ensure ecological balance and environmental quality.

Current Pre-F forest inventory practices use systematic sampling design. There are square plots nested inside larger rectangular plots. A base line (BL) is established for full coverage. The sampling lines (SL) are perpendicular to base line. Distance between the sampling lines is 100 m. First line starts 50 m from start point of the base line. The main plot is 50 m x 20 m (0.10 ha). The inventory design is systematic line plot sampling. The main plots are placed systematically.

Figure 1 shows the location of base line, sampling line and main plot and Figure 2 shows the main plot and small plots. In the present Pre-F system, all parameters of interest, such as number of trees per ha, basal area per ha, and volume per ha are estimated using a 10% sampling intensity with a confidence level of 95% and an error level of $\pm 20\%$ (Wan Razali and W. M. Shukri, 1999).





1.3 Problems statement

Complete inventories are expensive, tedious and as a result non-sampling errors (incorrect recording of tree diameters, heights and quality) tend to increase (Bell, 1997). For this reason, sampling has been introduced, which if properly done, provides reasonable estimates of the true population. Therefore, to allow for the most efficient collection of data, it is crucial to select a sampling method or combination of sampling methods.

There are numerous different sampling designs and there is no particular design meets the needs of all inventories. In meeting the objectives of an inventory for each forest area that varies, a design must be selected that provides best estimates at a reasonable cost. There are seven basic factors influence the choice of the design (Taylor *et al.*, 1989); information required and its desired precision, composition of the forest and its variability, topography and accessibility, human-resource availability and level of skills, availability of time and funds, availability of maps, aerial photographs and other relevant information, and designers' knowledge of statistics and sampling theory.

The most efficient sampling design is one that, for a specific cost gives the smallest error for the parameter to be estimated, or for an accepted error is the least cost (Taylor *et al.*, 1989). Defining efficiency is straightforward, but determination of the most efficient design is complicated because it is impossible to consider all possible sampling designs when choosing a design. Plus, some characteristics of the contemplated design are taken for granted. Based on prior experience in field sampling, the unit area at each stage, the number of stages and stratification are fixed prior to optimization which is leads to only partial optimization.

In another situation, a sampling design is optimal for a given parameter such as gross volume of large trees over 60 cm diameter at breast height (dbh) but it may not be optimal for considerably smaller trees. Consequently, for consideration in the design stage, it is crucial to select the most important inventory parameters which are sometimes not easy as consideration needs to be given to others as well.



In Peninsular Malaysia, forest sampling to determine the stocking available before commercial felling (Pre-F inventory) of trees above 30 cm dbh in 20 x 50 m plot was done using a 10% sampling intensity with 95% confidence level and $\pm 20\%$ sampling error (Wan Razali, 1995). Even though the sampling design used in pre-f inventory is systematic sampling, it is not known whether this type of design is the most accurate one that estimates the whole population at 95% confidence level. However, little research has been done to verify the accuracy of these current Pre-F inventory practices. One of research was evaluating design unbiasedness of the pre-felling

inventory in Peninsular Malaysia which recommend the Random Point Start method, which is unbiased in all simulation trials in addition to retaining all the advantages of the current Pre-F protocol (Lam TY et al, 2013).

Verification of the current sampling technique is important to reorganise the forest inventory practices aiming at introducing sustainable management of the forest resources because it is the basis for the work of field officers, managers and research officers alike. Sustainable management with high outtum can never be put into practice without accurate measurements, efficient designs for inventories, data processing based on sound statistical procedures and without the knowledge of the trees' growth. Therefore, random sampling, cluster sampling, systematic sampling, and transect line sampling were the four sampling designs that tested in this study.

1.4 Objectives

- a) To compare four sampling designs in terms of dbh, height, basal area and volume in mixed tropical forest of Peninsular Malaysia.
- b) To choose the most accurate sampling design to be use in pre-felling forest inventory of 10% intensity with 95% confidence level in estimating average basal area and average volume of all trees ≥30 cm dbh by species groups (Dipterocarp, Non-dipterocarp and All species).
- c) To choose the most accurate sampling design to be use in pre-felling forest inventory of 10% intensity with 95% confidence level in estimating average dbh and average height of all trees ≥30 cm dbh by species groups (Dipterocarp, Non-dipterocarp and All species).
- d) To verify or validate the accuracy of the Pre-F sampling technique as currently practised by the Forestry Department of Peninsular Malaysia and others that use the same method.

REFERENCES

- Acharya, B., Bhattarai, G., de Gier, A., and Stein, A. (2000). Systematic adaptive cluster sampling for the assessment of rare tree species in Nepal. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 137: 65–73.
- AIFM. (1987). Forest Mensuration and Inventory Technical Working Group Report. ASEAN Institute of Forest Management.
- Anon. (1973). Manual on Forest Inventory. *With special reference to mixed tropical forest*. FAO publication.
- Anonymous. (1997). Manual Kerja Luar. Sistem Pengurusan Memilih. Panduan Penentuan Hadbatas Tebangan dari Maklumat-Maklumat Inventori Sebelum Tebangan (Kiraan Manual). Unit Pengurusan Hutan, Ibu Pejabat Perhutanan, Kuala Lumpur. 89-130.
- Anonymous (2013). Harvard Pasoh 50 ha Plot Recencus, *FRIM*. Retrieved May 7, 2013 from http://www.frim.gov.my.
- Bainbridge, T. R. (1985). The Committee on Standards: precision and bias. ASTM Standardization News 13: 44-46.
- Bell, J. F. (1997). Log scaling and timber cruising. Corvallis, USA, Oregon State University. Canonizado, J.A. 1978. Simulation of selective forest management regimes. Malaysian Forester, 41: 128-142.
- Buckland, S. T., Anderson D. R., Burnham K. P., and Laake J. L. (1993). Distance Sampling: Estimating Abundance of Biological Populations. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., and Laake, J.L. (1980). Estimation of Density from Line Transect Sampling of Biological Populations. *Wildlife Monographs.* 72:1-202.
- Explorable.com (Jul 13, 2009). *Random Sampling*. Retrieved Aug 26, 2015 from Explorable.com:https://explorable.com/simple-random-sampling.
- FDHPM. (1987). *National Forest Inventory II, Peninsular Malaysia: 1981-1982*. Forestry Department Headquarters Peninsular Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur.
- FDHPM. (1995). *National Forest Inventory III, Peninsular Malaysia: 1991-1993*. Forestry Department Headquarters Peninsular Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur.
- FDPM. (2012). Field Manual on National Forest Inventory V. National Forest Inventory V: 2011-2012. FDPM.

- FDPM. (2013). *Forestry Statistics For The Year 2012.* Retrieved Oktober 2, 2013 from http://www.forestry.gov.my.
- Husch, B., Miller, C.I. and Beers, T.W. (1982). *Forest Mensuration (3rd Edition)*. New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- ITTO/MFMA. (2004). Field Instruction for Continuous Forest Inventory (CFI), Model Forest Management Area. Sarawak Forest Department/ international Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO). *ITTO/MFMA Project Phase III.*
- Kangas, A. (2006). Forest Inventory. *Methodology and Applications*. Springer. Netherlands.
- Kleine, M and Heuveldop, J. (1993). A Management Planning Concept for Sustained Yield of Tropical Forest in Sabah, Malaysia. Forest Ecology and Management, 61: 277-297.
- Kochummen, K. M., LaFrankie, J. V., and Manokaran, N. (1990). Floristic Composition of Pasoh Forest Reserve, a Lowland Rain Forest in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 3(1), 1-13.
- Krebs, C. J. (1989). Ecological Methods. Harper and Row, New York.
- Lam, T. Y., Abdul Rahman, K., Shamsudin, I., and Potts, M. D. (2013). Evaluating design unbiasedness of the pre-felling inventory in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 25(4), 516-527.
- Manokaran, N., and LaFrankie J. V. (1990). Stand Structure of Pasoh Forest Reserve, a Lowland Rain Forest in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 3(1), 14-24.
- Nakama, Y., Pakhriazad, H. Z., Shinohara, T., and Yukutake, K. (2006). A Selective Management System (SMS): A Case Study in the Implementation of SMS in Managing the Dipterocarp Forest of Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Ecology*, 57, 39-44.
- Penman, J., Gytarsky, M., Hirashi, M., Krug, T., Kruger, D., Pipatti, R., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanaba, K., and Wagner, F. (2003). Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change, and Forestry. Published for the IPCC by The Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Hayama, Japan.

Samsudin, M. and David, H. (1990). Technical Report: 28th MAJURUS Meeting. *Comparative Sampling Study Peninsular Malaysia*. FDPM.

- Samsudin, M., Abd. Rahman K., Safiah Yusmah M.Y., and Shamsudin I. (2003). Assessing the Status of Logged-over Production Forests: The Development of a Rapid Appraisal Technique. EC-FAO Partnership Programme (2000-2002). FAO, Bangkok. 33pp.
- Setten, G. C. K. (1954). *Girth Increment (Linear) Sample Plot in Malaya and the 1952 Rules for Their Complication*. Kuala Lumpur: Forestry Department Malaya.
- STATGRAPHICS. (2005). *The User's Guide to the STATGRAPHICS Centurion XV*. Stat Point Inc. USA.
- Statistics Solutions. (2013). One Sample T-Test [WWW Document]. Retrieved from http://www.statisticssolutions.com/academic-solutions/resources/dire ctory-of-statistical-analyses/one-sample-t-test/.
- Sukhatme, P. V. (1970). Sampling Theory of Surveys with Applications. Iowa: Iowa State University Press.
- Taylor, D. L., Samsudin, M., and Kasinathan, K. (1989). Forest Inventory Manual Volume III – Planning and Implementing Forest Inventory. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, ASEAN Institute of Forest Management.
- Thang, H. C. (1986). Concept and Practice of Selective Management System in Peninsular Malaysia. *Malayan Forester*, 49(3), 249-260.
- Thompson, S. K. (2002). Sampling. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- UNESCO. (1978). Tropical Forest Ecosystems: A State of Knowledge Report. Natural Resources Research, Unesco, Paris. 14, 683.
- Wan Razali, W. M. (1995). Personal Communication: 32nd MAJURUS Meeting. *Natural Forest Dynamics: Sampling of Tree Volume Using Quadrats*. FDPM.
- Wan Razali, W. M. and Shukri, W. M. (1999). An Evaluation of Statistical Reliability In SMS's Pre-Felling Inventory: The Case for Confidence and Error Levels. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 11(1): 11-25.
- Wan Razali, W. M. and Shukri, W. M. (2002). Statistical Reliability in SMS's Pre-Felling Inventory: Inferences and Implication for Sampling Tree Density, Basal Area and Volume. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 14(1): 1-15.
- Whitmore, T. C. (1990). An Introduction to Tropical Rain Forests. Oxford University Press, 226.

- Ware, K. D. and Cunia, T. (1962). Continuous Forest Inventory with Partial Replacement of Samples, *Forest Science Monographs No. 3*.
- Wenger, K. F. (1984). *Foresty Handbook (2nd Edition)*. New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Wood, G. B. (1990). Ground-sampling methods used to inventory tropical mixed moist forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 35: 199–206.
- Wyatt-Smith, J. (1987). *Manual of Malayan Silviculture for Inland Forest*. (Research Pamphlet No. 101). Kuala Lumpur: Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Wyatt-Smith, J. (1963). Manual of Malayan Silviculture for Inland Forest. Malayan Forest Record No. 23, Vol. 1 and 2. Kuala Lumpur: Forest Research Institute Malaysia.