



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***FOREST RECOVERY AFTER SUPERVISED AND CONVENTIONAL
LOGGING IN ULU MUDA FOREST RESERVE, KEDAH, MALAYSIA***

SEYED MOUSA SADEGHI

FH 2016 4



**FOREST RECOVERY AFTER SUPERVISED AND CONVENTIONAL
LOGGING IN ULU MUDA FOREST RESERVE, KEDAH, MALAYSIA**

By

SEYED MOUSA SADEGHI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

May 2016

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

**THIS THESIS IS ESPECIALLY DEDICATED TO:
MY BELOVED FAMILY
FARIBA, BAHARSADAT, SEYEDEH NEGAR AND SEYED
MOHAMMADREZA
MY MOTHER AND MY LATE FATHER**



© COPYRIGHT UPM

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment
of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**FOREST RECOVERY AFTER SUPERVISED AND CONVENTIONAL
LOGGING IN ULU MUDA FOREST RESERVE, KEDAH, MALAYSIA**

By

SEYED MOUSA SADEGHI

May 2016

Chairperson : Prof. Datin Faridah Hanum Ibrahim, PhD
Faculty : Forestry

The hill dipterocarp forests (HDF) in the Ulu Muda Forest Reserve (UMFR) were logged under supervised logging (SLo) (Compartment 25A) and conventional logging (CL) (Compartment 28A) methods. Knowledge on forest recovery in terms of tree species composition, forest structure, biomass and soil of HDF after 14 and 16 years of logging is of great importance for the management of forests. A systematic sampling layout was used to assess forest recovery after supervised and conventional logging on species composition, forest structure biomass and soil physico-chemical properties. In 80 plots (50 m × 20 m) all stems with diameter at breast height (DBH) ≥ 1 cm were enumerated, measured and identified. In terms of tree species composition, forest structure, biomass and soil, data were compared between Compartments (SLo & CL) or with Pre-Felling (Pre-F) data in order to find the forest recovery after SLo and CL. The soil samples in 27 sub-plots were collected. The chemical and physical analyses of soil were performed. The soil fertility index (SFI) and soil evaluation factors (SEF) were calculated to compare the differences of soil recovery between SLo and CL sites. In term of species composition 501 species belonging to 208 genera and 71 families were recorded. The tree species composition of the study site contributed to 17.7%, 40.6% and 71.0% of total tree species, genera and families found in Peninsular Malaysia; of these 79 are endemic species including eight rare species and one very rare species. Five new records for Kedah; *Diospyros apiculata*, *Diospyros argentea*, *Macaranga recurvata*, *Macaranga constricta* and *Cryptocarya bracteolata* were found in the study site. Euphorbiaceae was the most diverse family, followed by Lauraceae, Annonaceae, Rubiaceae and Meliaceae. In term of species importance value index (IVI) *Shorea macroptera* (26.0) and *Ochanostachys amentacea* (26.7) were the two most important species in the SLo and CL sites, respectively. In term of family importance value (FIV) Euphorbiaceae was the most important family in the SLo (70.2) and CL (78.2) sites. The forests after SLo and CL are still rich in biodiversity this is shown by Margalef index of SLo and CL sites were 49.58 and 33.38, respectively. Shannon-Weiner, Simpson and Fisher indices for SLo and CL sites were 5.44 and 4.89, 151.4 and 84.5, 119.7 and 70.36, respectively. Smith and Wilson evenness values of SLo and CL sites were 0.46 and 0.38, respectively. The difference between diversity indices of SLo and CL sites were significant at 5% level. Sorensen and Jaccard similarity indices between

SLo and CL sites were 0.60 and 0.43, respectively. Considering the recovery, in term of species composition the SLo site recovered more than CL site which was 63.1%. In term of forest structure for trees with DBH > 5 cm, the mean values of tree density of SLo (636.6 stem ha⁻¹) and CL (620.6 stem ha⁻¹) sites were significantly higher than Pre-Felling (Pre-F) data (448.2 stem ha⁻¹) (Sig. = 0.000 in both sites). Distribution of tree density based on tree groups (DBH ≥ 5 cm) showed that small pole, big pole, and small tree groups recovered up to or more than Pre-F data. The average basal area of trees with DBH > 15 cm, from Pre-Felling data (24.7 m² ha⁻¹) was higher than the values of inventory data in the SLo (21.1 m² ha⁻¹) and CL (17.2 m² ha⁻¹) sites (Sig. = 0.03 and Sig. = 0.00 for SLo and CL sites, respectively). With respect to tree volume for trees with DBH > 15 cm, the inventory data of SLo (148.0 m³ ha⁻¹) and CL (123.0 m³ ha⁻¹) sites were significantly lower than Pre-Felling data (205.7 m³ ha⁻¹) (Sig. = 0.001 and 0.000 for SLo and CL sites, respectively). Trees with DBH 15-45 cm recovered in term of tree density, basal area and volume when compared with Pre-Felling data. The mean values of total biomass and total carbon for tree (DBH ≥ 1cm) in the SLo site were 326.7 ton ha⁻¹ and 163.4 ton ha⁻¹, respectively but were non-significantly higher than those values in the CL site (275.2 ton ha⁻¹ and 137.6 ton ha⁻¹, respectively) (Sig. = 0.3). Forest recovery of tree species diversity, basal area, tree volume and total biomass in terms of percentage in the SLo site was higher than the values of CL site by 14.3%, 22.7%, 20.3% and 21.8%, respectively. However, in terms of tree density, basal area and volume for trees with DBH > 15 cm, a big loss value (Pre-F value minus value from inventory data) was found when compared to the overall inventory data with Pre-Felling data. The loss value for tree density, basal area, and volume of SLo site were 48.7%, 14.6%, 28.1% and that of CL site were 52.5%, 30.4% and 40.2%, respectively. The forest in both sites has five strata. The soil evaluation factor (SEF) of SLo site (9.2±4.1) was non-significantly (4.3%) smaller than that value of CL (9.6±3.6) site (Sig = 0.8). The soil fertility index (SFI) of CL site was non-significantly higher than SLo site (Sig. = 0.4). Results showed that logged forest recovered in terms of species composition, diversity and forest structure for trees with DBH 1-45 cm, but not for trees of DBH > 45 cm. In terms of biomass the logged forest to some extent recovered but 14 and 16 years after logging were not sufficient for forest to recover up to its fully capacity. For soil recovery, it needs more than 14 and 16 years after logging. The result showed that 3-ha and 5-ha sampling size in the both study sites were not enough to capture the plant diversity of study sites. Hence, further study was suggested to find the optimum plant diversity sampling size. In order to improve accuracy of biomass estimation in logged-over HDF it was recommended to do future study for generating biomass equation. Results of this study could be useful for both decision makers and foresters to improve tropical rainforest management.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMULIHAN HUTAN SELEPAS PEMBALAKAN TERKAWAL DAN KONVENSIONAL DI HUTAN SIMPAN ULU MUDA, KEDAH, MALAYSIA

Oleh

SEYED MOUSA SADEGHI

Mei 2016

Pengerusi : Prof. Datin Dr. Faridah Hanum Ibrahim
Fakulti : Perhutanan

Hutan bukit dipterocarp (HDF) di Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda (UMFR) dibalak mengikut kaedah pembalakan terkawal (SLo) (Kompartmen 25A) dan pembalakan konvensional (CL) (Kompartmen 28A). Pengetahuan tentang pemulihan hutan dari segi komposisi spesies pokok, struktur hutan, biojisim dan tanah untuk HDF selepas 14 dan 16 tahun pembalakan adalah amat penting untuk pengurusan hutan. Pelan pensampelan bersistem digunakan untuk menilai pemulihan hutan selepas pembalakan secara terkawal dan konvensional dari aspek komposisi spesies, struktur hutan, biojisim dan kandungan fizik-kimia tanah. Dalam 80 plot (50m x 20m), semua dirian pokok dengan diameter (DBH) ≥ 1 cm dikira, diukur dan dikenal pasti. Dari segi komposisi spesies, struktur hutan, biojisim dan tanah, data telah dibandingkan di antara kompartmen (SLo dan CL) atau dengan data sebelum tebang (Pre-F) untuk mengukur pemulihan hutan selepas SLo dan CL. Sampel tanah dikumpul dari 27 sub-plot. Analisis kimia dan fizikal tanah telah dijalankan. Indeks kesuburan tanah (SFI) dan faktor penilaian tanah (SEF) diambil kira bagi membandingkan perbezaan pemulihan tanah antara lokasi SLo dan CL. Dari segi komposisi spesies, 501 spesies dari 208 genera dan 71 famili telah direkodkan. Komposisi spesies pokok di kawasan kajian menyumbang 17.7% dan 71.0% kepada jumlah keseluruhan spesies pokok, genera dan famili yang diketahui di Semenanjung Malaysia; 79 adalah spesies endemik termasuk lapan spesies yang jarang ditemui dan satu spesies yang sangat jarang ditemui. Lima rekod baru untuk Negeri Kedah telah ditemui di kawasan kajian; *Diospyros apiculata*, *argentea Diospyros*, *Macaranga recurvata*, *Macaranga constricta* dan *Cryptocarya bracteolata*. Euphorbiaceae merupakan famili yang paling pelbagai direkodkan diikuti oleh Lauraceae, Annonaceae, Rubiaceae dan Meliaceae. Dari segi nilai kepentingan spesies (IVI), *Shorea macroptera* (26.0) dan *Ochanostachys amentacea* (26.7) adalah dua spesies yang paling penting dalam kawasan SLo (70.2) dan CL (78.2). Nilai kepentingan famili (FIV) merekodkan Euphorbiaceae sebagai famili yang paling penting dalam kawasan SLo (70.2) dan CL (78.2). Keadaan hutan selepas SLo dan CL didapati masih kaya dengan biodiversiti seperti yang ditunjukkan oleh indeks Margalef untuk SLo dan CL masing-masing adalah 49.58 dan 33.38. Indeks Shannon-Weiner, Simpson dan Fisher untuk kawasan SLo dan CL masing-masing adalah 5.44 dan 4.89, 151.4 dan 84.5, 119.7 dan 70.36. Indeks keseragaman Smith dan Wilson untuk kawasan SLo dan CL masing-masing

adalah 0.46 dan 0.38. Perbezaan antara indeks kepelbagaian bagi kawasan SLo dan CL adalah signifikan pada aras 5%. Indeks persamaan Sorensen dan Jaccard antara kawasan SLo dan CL masing-masing adalah 0.60 dan 0.43. Dengan mengambil kira pemulihan hutan, komposisi spesies di kawasan SLo lebih tinggi kadar pemulihan berbanding kawasan CL sebanyak 63.1%. Dari aspek struktur hutan untuk pokok dengan DBH > 5 cm, nilai min untuk kepadatan pokok di kawasan SLo (636.6 batang ha⁻¹) dan CL (620.6 batang ha⁻¹) ternyata lebih tinggi berbanding data Pre-F (448.2 batang ha⁻¹) (Sig. = 0.000 bagi kedua-dua kawasan). Taburan kepadatan pokok berdasarkan kumpulan pokok (DBH ≥ 5 cm) menunjukkan bahawa pemulihan pokok yang berdiameter kecil, besar dan kumpulan pokok kecil hampir menyamai atau melebihi dari data Pre-F. Purata luas pangkal pokok dengan DBH > 1.5 cm dari data Pre-F (24.7 m² ha⁻¹) lebih tinggi daripada nilai data inventori di kawasan SLo (21.1 m² ha⁻¹) dan CL (17.2 m² ha⁻¹) (Sig. = 0.03 dan Sig. = 0.00 untuk kawasan SLo dan CL). Merujuk kepada isipadu pokok bagi pokok-pokok yang mempunyai DBH > 1.5 cm, data inventori untuk kawasan SLo (148.0 m³ ha⁻¹) dan CL (123.0 m³ ha⁻¹) ternyata lebih rendah dari data Pre-F (205.7 m³ ha⁻¹) (Sig. = 0.001 dan 0.000 untuk kawasan SLo and CL). Pokok-pokok dengan DBH 15-45 cm telah pulih dari segi kepadatan pokok, luas pangkal dan isipadu apabila dibandingkan dengan data Pre-F. Nilai min bagi jumlah biojisim dan karbon untuk pokok (DBH ≥ 1cm) di kawasan SLo masing-masing ialah 326.7 tan ha⁻¹ dan 163.4 tan ha⁻¹ tetapi ternyata tidak tinggi jika dibandingkan dengan nilai di kawasan CL (275.2 tan ha⁻¹ dan 137.6 tan ha⁻¹) (Sig. = 0.3). Pemulihan hutan dari aspek kepelbagaian spesies pokok, luas pangkal, isipadu pokok dan jumlah biojisim dalam peratusan di kawasan SLo lebih tinggi dibandingkan dengan nilai di kawasan CL dengan nilai masing-masing ialah 14.3%, 22.7%, 20.3% dan 21.8%. Walaubagaimanapun, dari segi kepadatan pokok, luas pangkal dan isipadu pokok dengan DBH > 15 cm, nilai kehilangan (Nilai Pre-F tolak Nilai data inventori) diperolehi dengan membandingkan keseluruhan data inventori dengan data Pre-F. Nilai kehilangan untuk kepadatan pokok, luas pangkal dan isipadu di kawasan SLo masing-masing adalah 48.7%, 14.6%, 28.1% manakala di kawasan CL masing-masing adalah 52.5%, 30.4% dan 40.2%. Hutan di kedua-dua kawasan mempunyai lima strata. Faktor penilaian tanah (SEF) untuk kawasan SLo (9.2±4.1) (4.3%) lebih kecil berbanding dari nilai kawasan CL (9.6±3.6) (Sig = 0.8). Indeks kesuburan tanah (SFI) untuk kawasan CL ternyata lebih rendah berbanding kawasan SLo (Sig. = 0.4). Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa pemulihan hutan yang telah dibalok dari segi komposisi spesies, kepelbagaian dan struktur hutan adalah untuk pokok-pokok dengan DBH 1-45 cm tetapi bukan untuk pokok yang mempunyai DBH > 45 cm. Dari segi biojisim, hutan yang dibalok akan pulih mengikut jangka masa tertentu namun, 14 dan 16 tahun selepas pembalakan tidak cukup untuk pemulihan kapasiti hutan sepenuhnya kepada yang asal. Bagi pemulihan tanah, ia memerlukan lebih dari 14 dan 16 tahun selepas pembalakan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa saiz pensampelan 3-ha dan 5-ha di kedua-dua kawasan kajian tidak mencukupi untuk merekodkan keseluruhan kepelbagaian tumbuhan kawasan kajian. Oleh itu, kajian lanjut disarankan untuk mendapatkan saiz pensampelan biodiversiti yang optimum. Bagi memperbaiki ketepatan anggaran biojisim dalam HDF yang telah dibalok, adalah dicadangkan supaya kajian lanjut dilaksanakan untuk menjana persamaan biojisim. Keputusan dari kajian ini boleh digunakan oleh pihak pembuat keputusan dan pengurusan hutan untuk menambahbaik pengurusan hutan hujan tropika.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My pen is inadequate to acknowledge my inability to thank the Merciful God for all the blessing He has bestowed upon me during my whole life, and especially for doing this research.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and recognition to my supervisor Prof. Datin Dr. Faridah Hanum Ibrahim for her time and extraordinary enthusiasm, encouragement and support that she has given my family and me, along this journey. I am grateful to the Prof. Datin Dr. Faridah Hanum Ibrahim for providing the financial support through her research grant; RUGS (Grant No. 9199757).

Appreciation is as well to members of my supervisory committee; Prof. Dato' Dr. Wan Razali Wan Mohd, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kamziah Abd Kudus, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Arifin Abdu and Dr. Khalid Rehman Hakeem for their invaluable input, guidance, unconditional encouragement, trust and share of impressive knowledge in different areas.

I would like to express my gratitude to Agricultural Research, Education & Extension Organization (AREEO) for providing and permission to continue my study.

The fieldwork of current research have accomplished with the help of: Latifah Zainal Abidin who passed away, my best prays for her spirit happiness is expressed. The involvement of Nizar Mohd Mardan, Saharuddin Mohd Isa, Zakaria Tahar, Ahmad Ridwan Isa, Muhammad Husain Mohamad Mahayudin, Azlan Jaabi, Mohd Khairul Hasrul Abdul Karim, Zamani Mohd Daud, Adam Anak Mok, two army people and rangers from Forestry Department Kedah state in the accomplishment of fieldwork is strongly appreciated. I would also like to convey appreciations to all staffs of Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve and all staffs of Faculty of Forestry, UPM.

The laboratory work of this research have accomplished with help of following people:

I would also like to express my acknowledgement to Zarina Abdul Rahman, Nur Nazirah Patek Mohd, and Mohammad Nazrin Abdul Malik for their help during time of soil experiments in the soil laboratory of Faculty of Forestry, UPM.

I would also like to acknowledge Faculty of Agriculture, UPM for allowing me to use their soil laboratory. The useful help of Noorashikin Salha Zamzuri, Abdullah Abd Aziz Abdullah and Jamil Omar and Mohd Fuzi Mohd Sharif, in the soil laboratory of Faculty of Agriculture, UPM is acknowledged.

I also owe a profound amount of credit, respect and admiration to Prof. Emeritus Dato' Dr. Abdu Latiff Mohamad for his attitude, manner and knowledge. I also could not forget my friends Seyed Ali Rezwani Khalaj, Mehdi Karimi and Hamid Naji for their help and support.

I would like to thank the Director of Kedah State Forestry Department for his permission to work in the forest. My appreciation also goes to everyone who helped me in this research but are not named here.

Finally, I would like to express my best appreciations for sacrifices made by my spouse Fariba and my daughters; Bahar Sadat and Seyedeh Negar also my son Seyed Mohammad Reza. The endless supports and encouragements of my late father, my mother, my brothers and my sisters are strongly acknowledged. I would also like to express my best prays for spirit happiness of my late father.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 11 May 2016 to conduct the final examination of Seyed Mousa Sadeghi on his thesis entitled "Forest Recovery after Supervised and Conventional Logging in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah, Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Ahmad Ainuddin b Nuruddin, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Zaki b Hamzah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mohamad Azani bin Alias, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Toshinori Okuda, PhD

Professor
Hiroshima University
Japan
(External Examiner)



ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 June 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Faridah Hanum Ibrahim, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Wan Razali Wan Moh, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Kamziah Abd Kudus, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Arifin Abdu, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Khalid Rehman Hakeem, PhD

Research Fellow
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- This thesis is my original work;
- Quotations, illustration and citations have been duly referenced;
- The thesis has not been submitted previously, or concurrently, for any other degree at any institution;
- Intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by University Putra Malaysia or as according to the University Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- Written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice-chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceeding, popular writings, seminar paper, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning models or any other material as stated in the University Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- There is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis and scholarly integrity was upheld as according to Rules 59 in Rules 2003(Revision 2012-2013). The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____ / _____

Name and Matric No: Seyed Mousa Sadeghi, GS29596

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Rule 41 in Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of

Chairman of

Supervisory Professor

Committee: Dr. Faridah Hanum Ibrahim

Signature: _____

Name of

Member of

Supervisory Professor

Committee: Dr. Wan Razali Wan Moh

Signature: _____

Name of

Member of

Supervisory Associate Professor

Committee: Dr. Kamziah Abd Kudus

Signature: _____

Name of

Member of

Supervisory Associate Professor

Committee: Dr. Arifin Abdu

Signature: _____

Name of

Member of

Supervisory

Committee: Dr. Khalid Rehman Hakeem

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	1
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiv
 CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Background	1
1.2 Statement of Problem	2
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Thesis Organization	4
 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	 5
2.1 Malaysian Forests	5
2.2 Logging and Forest Management Systems in Malaysia	5
2.3 Ulu Muda Forest Reserve	7
2.4 Forest Assessment	8
2.4.1 Species Richness	9
2.4.2 Species Richness Indices	9
2.5 Biodiversity	11
2.6 Beta Diversity and Similarity	13
2.7 Stand Structure of Forests	13
2.8 Estimation of Biomass and Carbon Storage	15
2.9 Effects of Logging on Tree Species Composition and Genetic Diversity	16
2.10 Impacts of Logging on Forest Structure	17
2.11 Effects of Logging on Biomass	19
2.12 Effects of Logging on Soil Physical Properties	19
2.13 Effects of Logging on Soil Chemical Properties	20
2.14 Soil Fertility Indices	20
2.15 Forest Inventory and Assessment of Past Changes of Vegetation	21
2.16 Recovery of Vegetation	23
2.17 Soil Recovery	24
 3. METHODOLOGY	 26
3.1 Site Description	26
3.2 Sampling Layout and Plot Design (Size & Shape)	28
3.2.1 Floristic Data Collection and Analysis	30
3.2.2 Tree Identification	30

3.2.3	Species Importance Value Index and Family Importance Value	30
3.2.4	Conservation Status of Tree Species	31
3.2.5	Tree Species Richness and Diversity	31
3.2.6	Parameters Used for Forest Structure Assessment	35
3.2.6.1	Tree Density	35
3.2.6.2	Distribution of Tree Density and Diameter at Breast Height Size Classes in Forest Stand	36
3.2.6.3	Tree Height and Canopy Layers	37
3.2.6.4	Basal Area (BA)	37
3.2.6.5	Tree Volume	37
3.2.7	Biomass and Carbon Storage of Forest Stand	38
3.3	Soil Data Collection and Analysis	38
3.3.1	Physical Properties of Soil	39
3.3.2	Soil pH	39
3.3.3	Soil Organic Matter	39
3.3.4	Soil Chemical Properties	39
3.3.5	Soil Fertility Index	40
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	41
4.1	Tree Species Composition	41
4.2	Species Importance Value Index (IVI) and Family Importance Value (FIV)	44
4.3	Tree Species Richness and Diversity of Logged-over Forest	55
4.4	Comparison of Species Richness and Diversity of Tree Species between 3-ha Plot of Supervised Logged-over (SLo) and 3-ha Plot of Conventionally Logged-Over (CL) Sites	57
4.5	Forest Structure of Logged-Over Hill Dipterocarp Forest	59
4.5.1	Tree Density	59
4.5.2	Distribution of Tree Density Based on Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) Classes	67
4.5.3	Tree Height	70
4.5.4	Vertical Layers of Logged-over Forest Stand	72
4.5.5	Basal Area of Logged-over Forest	74
4.5.6	Tree Volume of Forest Stand	80
4.6	Recovery of Biomass and Carbon Storage	84
4.7	Soil Physico-chemical Properties and Soil Fertility Indices	91
4.7.1	Soil Physical Properties	91
4.7.2	Soil Organic Matter	93
4.7.3	Soil pH	94
4.7.4	Soil Chemical Properties	98
4.7.5	Soil Fertility Indices	100
5.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	103
5.1	Conclusion	103
5.2	Recommendations	105

REFERENCES	107
APPENDICES	123
BIODATA OF STUDENT	187
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	188



© COPYRIGHT UPM

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 The species richness of Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah in terms of flora and fauna, based on available literature	8
3.1 Characteristics of logging history in the study site at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve	27
3.2 Details of logging treatments in the study site; supervised logging (SLo) and conventional logging (CL)	28
3.3 Frequency table for measuring Sorensen similarity index based on presence and absence of species in supervised logged (SLo) and conventionally logged (CL) sites	33
3.4 Classification of tree growth stages based on DBH classes of tropical rainforest, Malaysia	36
3.5 Equivalent merchantable height of trees based on DBH size classes	38
4.1 Density (no./ha) for trees of DBH \geq 1cm in the supervised logged (SLo) and conventionally logged (CL) plots at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	42
4.2 Comparison of species composition of hill dipterocarp forest at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve with other available studies	43
4.3 Top 20 important species in term of species importance value index (IVI) in the supervised logged site (SLo) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	45
4.4 Top 20 important families in term of family importance value (FIV) in the supervised logged (SLo) site, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	46
4.5 Top 20 species in term of importance value index (IVI) in the conventionally logged area (CL)	47
4.6 Top 20 important families with regards to family importance value (FIV) in the conventionally logged area (CL), Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	48
4.7 List of endemic tree species in the study site (SLo & CL sites) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	51
4.8 Comparison of endemic species of the logged-over hill dipterocarp forest in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve (UMFR) with other available data (in increasing order)	54
4.9 Percentage increment of species in Supervised-logged (SLo) and conventionally logged (CL) sites in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	56
4.10 Diversity and evenness indices of 5-ha supervised logged area of hill dipterocarp forest, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	57

4.11	Comparison of species richness, estimated species richness, diversity and evenness values between 3-ha plot of supervised logged-over (SLo) and 3-ha plot of conventionally logged-over sites at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	58
4.12	Number of species present or absent in 3-ha plot of supervised logged (SLo) and 3-ha plot conventionally logged (CL) sites in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	59
4.13	Top 10 families with regards to tree density in hill dipterocarp forest at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	60
4.14	Top 10 dense species in the study site (supervised & conventional logging sites) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	61
4.15	Summary of individual contribution based on DBH size classes and tree groups of Pre-Felling and inventory data in the study site, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	62
4.16	Maximum and average of tree height in the study site at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	71
4.17	Quantitative characters of canopy strata in the supervised logged-over forest (SLo) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	72
4.18	Top 10 families with regards to basal area contribution in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	74
4.19	Summary of the basal area (m ²) distribution based on DBH size classes and tree groups of Pre-Felling (Pre-F) and supervised logged-over (SLo) and conventionally logged-over (CL) data in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	76
4.20	Distribution of tree volume at diameter at breast height (DBH) above 15 cm by tree groups and DBH size classes of study site (Pre-F and inventory data)	80
4.21	Equations which were used for biomass estimation in the study site for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH) > 10 cm in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	84
4.22	Details of data sets which were used by researchers to generate the equation for biomass estimation	85
4.23	Summary of biomass and carbon storage in the study site, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	87
4.24	Relationship between vegetation growth characters based on diameter at breast height (DBH) size classes in the supervised logged-over site	89
4.25	Relationship between vegetation growth characters based on diameter at breast height (DBH) size classes in the conventionally logged-over site	89
4.26	Comparison of logged-over forest (structural data, tree density (TD), basal area (BA), total biomass) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve (UMFR) 14 and 16 years after logging with other available data	91

4.27	Soil Physico-chemical properties of study site at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, 14 years after supervised logging (SLo) and 16 years after conventional logging (CL), Kedah	92
4.28	Comparison of physico-chemical properties of the study site with other available data	94
4.29	Relationship between soil fertility index (SFI), soil evaluation factor (SEF), forest structure and biomass in the study site, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	101



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Map of study area (Compartments 25 and 28) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	267
3.2	Sampling layout and plot shape for study site	298
4.1	Fifteen most diverse families in 8-ha study plot at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	41
4.2	Species accumulation curve in the study areas, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah, A: Based on 50 sub-plots (1000 m ²) in the SLo area, B: Based on 30 sub-plots in the CL area	55
4.3	Stem density of species in supervised logged-over (SLo) and conventionally logged-over (CL) sites in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	63
4.4	Distribution of tree density based on diameter at breast height (DBH \geq 5cm) classes in the supervised logged-over site (SLo), conventionally logged-over site (CL) and Pre-Felling (Pre-F) data in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	68
4.5	Distribution of tree density based on diameter at breast height (DBH \geq 50cm) classes in the supervised logged-over site (SLo), conventionally logged-over site (CL) and Pre-Felling (Pre-F) data in the Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	68
4.6	Distribution of tree density based on tree groups with diameter at breast height (DBH \geq 5cm) classes in the supervised logged-over site (SLo), conventionally logged-over site (CL) and Pre-Felling (Pre-F) Data in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	69
4.7	Distribution of tree height size classes in the study site. A & B: the supervised logged-over site (SLo) for dipterocarp and non-dipterocarp, respectively; C & D: the conventionally logged-over site (CL) for dipterocarp and non-dipterocarp, respectively	71
4.8	Contribution of the tree families in different strata of the logged-over forest, A: in supervised logged area, B: in conventionally logged area, H: tree height	73
4.9	Comparison of basal area distribution based on diameter at breast height (DBH) size classes (trees > 15 cm) of Pre-Felling data, supervised logged-over (SLo) data and conventionally logged-over (CL) data in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	75
4.10	Results of five equations of biomass calculation in the study site in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	84
4.11	Contribution of different diameter size classes in tree density (TD) in logged-over forest of in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	86

4.12	Percentage of above-ground biomass in supervised (A) and conventionally (B) logged-over sites based on tree group in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	87
4.13	Tree density (TD), basal area (BA) and above-ground biomass (AGB) distribution by diameter at breast height (DBH) classes in the supervised logged-over site in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	88
4.14	Tree density (TD), basal area (BA) and above-ground biomass (AGB) distribution by diameter at breast height (DBH) classes in conventionally logged-over site in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	88
4.15	Average estimated tree density with diameter at breast height (DBH) > 60 cm in the study site	90



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
A1. Conservation status of tree species in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve (supervised and conventionally logged-over sites), Kedah	123
A2. Tree species (DBH \geq 1cm) in the Compartment 25 A (Supervised logged-over) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	142
A3. Tree species (DBH \geq 1cm) in the Compartment 28 A (conventionally logged site) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	152
B1. Results of two sample T-test in terms of species density and family density between SLo and CL sites in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	158
C1. Results of randomization test and simulation using a Margalef diversity index between Compartments 25 A and 28 A	159
C2. Results of randomization test and simulation using Menhinick's species richness index between Compartments 25A, supervised logged (SLo) and 28A, conventionally logged (CL)	159
C3. Results of randomization test and simulation using Simpson diversity index between Compartments 25 A and 28 A	160
C4. Results of randomization test and simulation using Shannon Weiner's index between Compartments 25A, supervised logged (SLo) and 28A, conventionally logged (CL)	160
C5. Results of randomization test and simulation using Simpson Evenness index between Compartments 25A, supervised logged (SLo) and 28A, conventionally logged (CL)	160
C6. Results of randomization test and simulation using Pielou J Evenness index between Compartments 25 A and 28 A	161
C7. Results of randomization test and simulation using Smith and Wilson B Evenness index between Compartments 25A, Supervised logged (SLo) and 28A conventionally logged (CL)	161
C8. Results of two sample independent T-test for comparing tree density (TD) for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH \geq 1 cm) per sub-plot between supervised logged site (SLo) and conventionally logged site (CL)	162
C9. Results of one sample T-test for comparing tree density (TD) for tree with diameter at breast height (DBH $>$ 5 cm) per plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	162
C10. Results of one sample T-test for comparing tree density (TD) for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 5-15 cm) per plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	163
C11. Results of one sample T-test for comparing tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH $>$ 15 cm) per plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	163
C12. Results of one sample T-test for comparing tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm) per plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL:	164

	conventionally logged site,	
C13.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm) per plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged sit	164
C14.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH >45 cm) per plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	165
C15.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing dipterocarp (Dip) tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH > 15 cm) per sub-plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	165
C16.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing dipterocarp (Dip) tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm) per sub-plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	166
C17.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing dipterocarp (Dip) tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm) per sub-plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	166
C18.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing dipterocarp (Dip) tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH > 45 cm) per sub-plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	166
C19.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing non-dipterocarp (Non-dip) tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH > 15 cm) per sub-plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	167
C20.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing non-dipterocarp (Non-dip) tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm) per sub-plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	167
C21.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing non-dipterocarp (Non-dip) tree density for trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm) per sub-plot between inventory data and Pre-F data, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	168
C22.	Results of one sample T-test for comparing non-dipterocarp (Non-dip) tree density diameter at breast height (DBH >45 cm) per sub-plot between inventory data and Pre-F data SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally logged site	168
C23.	Results of Pearson correlation between canopy layers and number of tree families in the supervised logged-over forest in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	168
C24.	Results of Pearson correlation between canopy layers and number of tree families in the conventionally logged-over forest in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	169
C25.	Results of two sample T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of trees with diameter at breast height (DBH >1 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) between, SLo: supervised logged site, CL: conventionally	169

	logged site	
C26.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of trees with diameter at breast height (DBH >15 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) between, SLo: supervised logged site and Pre Felling data	170
C27.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of trees with diameter at breast height (DBH >15 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) between, CL: conventionally logged site and Pre-Felling data	170
C28.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) between, SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites and Pre-Felling data	171
C29.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) between, SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites and Pre-Felling data	171
C30.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of trees with diameter at breast height (DBH > 45 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	172
C31.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of dipterocarp (Dip) trees with diameter at breast height (DBH > 15 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-felling data	172
C32.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of dipterocarp (Dip) trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	173
C33.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of dipterocarp (Dip) trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	173
C34.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of dipterocarp (Dip) trees with diameter at breast height (DBH > 45 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	174
C35.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of non-dipterocarp (non-dip) trees with diameter at breast height (DBH > 15 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	174
C36.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of non-dipterocarp (non-dip) trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	175
C37.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of non-dipterocarp (non-dip) trees with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	175

C38. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing basal area (BA) of non-dipterocarp (non-dip) trees with diameter at breast height (DBH > 45 cm), (m ² per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	176
C39. Summary of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all combined tree groups with diameter at breast height (DBH > 15 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	176
C40. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all combined tree groups with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	177
C41. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all combined tree groups with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	177
C42. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all combined tree groups with diameter at breast height diameter at breast height (DBH > 45 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	178
C43. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all combined dipterocarp (Dip) trees group with diameter at breast height (DBH > 15 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	178
C44. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all dipterocarp (Dip) trees group with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	179
C45. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all dipterocarp (Dip) trees group with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	179
C46. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all dipterocarp (Dip) trees group with diameter at breast height (DBH > 45 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	180
C47. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of all non-dipterocarp (N-dip) trees group with diameter at breast height (DBH > 15 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	180
C48. Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of non-dipterocarp (N-dip) trees group with diameter at breast height (DBH 15-30 cm), (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-	181

	Felling data	
C49.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of non-dipterocarp (N-dip) trees group with diameter at breast height (DBH 30-45 cm, (m ³ per plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	181
C50.	Results of one sample dependent T-test for comparing tree volume (Vol) of non-dipterocarp (N-dip) trees group with diameter at breast height (DBH > 45 cm, (m ³ per sub-plot) in the SLo: supervised logged, CL: conventionally logged sites with Pre-Felling data	182
C51.	Summary of independent T-test between amount of above-ground biomass (ABG), (Kg) of supervised logged (SLo) and conventionally logged (CL) sites	182
C52.	Summary of Pearson correlation between tree density (TD), basal area (BA) and biomass in the supervised logged area (SLo), (Compartment 25 A) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	183
C53.	Summary of Pearson correlation between tree density (TD), basal area (BA) and biomass in the conventionally logged area (Compartment 28 A) in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	183
C54.	Summary of two samples T-test for comparing tree density (TD) of tree with diameter at breast height (DBH) > 60 cm in the supervised logged (SLo) and conventionally logged (CL) sites	184
D1	Summary of two samples independent T-test of soil organic matter (SOM), (%) between supervised logged (SLo) and conventionally logged (CL) sites	185
D2	Summary of two samples independent T-test of soil fertility index (SFI) and soil evaluation factor (SEF) in the supervised logged (SLo) and conventionally logged (CL) sites in the Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	185
D3	Summary of Pearson correlation of soil fertility index (SFI) and soil evaluation factor (SEF) with vegetation characters; tree density (TD), basal area (BA), Volume (Vol) and total biomass (TB) in the supervised logged (SLo) and conventionally logged (CL) sites in the Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah	186

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGB	Above ground biomass
Al	Aluminum
ALo	After logging
AP	Available Phosphorous
BCI	Barro Colorado Islands
BL	Before logging
BS	Base Saturation
CEC	Cation exchangeable capacity
CL	Conventional logging
Com	Compartment
DBH	Diameter at breast height
Dip	Dipterocarp
ECEC	Effective cation exchangeable capacity
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
FDPM	Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia
FQA	Floristic Quality Assessment
ha	Hectare
HDF	Hill dipterocarp forest
ITTO	International tropical timber organization
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources
LDF	Lowland dipterocarp forest
mg/kg	Milligram per kilogram
N-dip	Non-dipterocarp
P	Phosphorous
Pre-F	Pre-Felling
RIL	Reduced impact logging
SA	Species abundance
SEF	Soil evaluation factor
SFI	Soil fertility index
SL	Sampling line
SLo	Supervised logging
SMS	Selective management system
SOM	Soil organic matter
SS	Secondary succession
STDEV	Standard deviation
T-C	Total carbon
TD	Tree density
TMCF	Tropical montane cloud forest
TRF	Tropical rainforest
UMFR	Ulu Muda Forest Reserve
W	Watershed

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Background

The total forest area worldwide is above 4.033 billion ha, which is averagely equal to 0.6 ha per person (FAO, 2010). Tropical forests are around one third of the entire forest surface of the world (Thomas & Baltzer, 2002). These forests (located in the south America, Southeast Asia, South Asia and Africa) account 23.2% of the total production of round and sawn wood of the world (FAO, 2011). Although tropical forests cover 7% of the planet area, they contain over half of the biological diversity of the earth (Thomas & Baltzer, 2002). There are 24 biodiversity hotspots in the world. The majority of biodiversity hotspots are located in tropical ecosystem (Myers et al., 2000). The future of tropical forests will be affected by anthropogenic drivers such as change of land use, timber harvesting, animal hunting, atmospheric change and flux of climate (Wright, 2010).

The total natural forest area of Malaysia was around 18.4 million ha, which covers about 56% of the total surface of country (FAO, 2010). Small parts of tropical rainforests of Malaysia are richer in terms of tree species than similar areas in African and American tropical rainforests (Whitmore & Burnham, 1984). Malaysia is one of the 12 megadiverse countries. Among 15,000 species of flowering plants in Malaysia, 2,830 are tree species in 100 families and 532 genera (Ng et al., 1990; Bidin & Latiff, 1995). Four main groups of forests including climate, edaphic, biotic climax formation and unstable forest were recognized in Malaysian tropical rainforests (Symington et al., 2004). Those main groups were divided into 16 types of forests (Symington et al., 2004) including the hill dipterocarp forest (HDF) which is economically important and provides many ecosystem services to the community (Latiff & Faridah-Hanum, 2005).

Species composition, structure and soil of tropical rainforests were affected by logging operations (Pinard et al., 2000; Yamada et al., 2013). Saiful (2002) reported that conventional logging operations affected species composition, stand structure and soil of HDF at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve (UMFR), in the Kedah, Malaysia. To reduce the effects of logging on forest ecosystem, selective logging method was improved (Pinard et al., 1995). Forshed et al. (2006) showed that, supervised logging method with parallel pre-marked skid trails and directional cutting were helpful in reducing logging damages to residual stands compared with conventional methods in Sabah, Malaysia.

Due to the global consciousness of increasing deforestation and forest degradation many tropical countries including Malaysia (Latiff, 2011) and Indonesia (Sist et al., 1998a), prioritized to achieve International Tropical Timber Organizations (ITTO's) goals to manage forests under sustainable management approach. Malaysia has practiced the sustainable forest management to safeguard forest harvesting and

protection go hand in hand (Latiff, 2011). Improvement towards sustainable forest management needs implementation of several controlling measures which are related to reducing damage to forest environment (Forshed et al., 2006). Supervised logging was “efficient” for sustainability of logging when compared with conventional logging because in this system the forest damage was less than conventional (Forshed et al., 2006).

Ulu Muda Forest Reserve (UMFR) includes both the lowland and hill dipterocarp forests located in the North-west of Peninsular Malaysia (Kedah State). The forest consists of an area of 162,000 ha including three main districts (Baling, Sik and Padang Terap) that have been considered as permanent forest reserve since 1932. The importance of UMFR is related to many ecosystem services, in addition to timber provision especially as watershed for the Muda and Pedu Lakes (Latiff & Faridah-Hanum, 2005). Parts of the Ulu Muda Forest Reserve could also be one of the main ecotourism destinations for Peninsular Malaysia (Mariana et al., 2008). Compartments 25A and 28A of UMFR were subjected to supervised logging and conventional logging operations in 2000 and 1998, respectively (Saiful, 2002). To reduce the impact of logging operations on the forest ecosystem, control and supervision of both logging planning and operations in the field in supervised logging (SLo) site must be supervised by “Supervisory Field Team” based on guidelines and schedules (Pinard et al., 1995; Sist et al., 1998a; Forshed et al., 2006). However, in the conventionally logged (CL) area, except the cutting limitation, the rest of logging activities were not supervised by “Supervisory Field Team”.

The reaction of tropical rainforest towards both anthropogenic and natural disturbances is one of the most crucial aspects in ecological studies (Lugo & Brown, 1986; Grace, 2004; Chave et al., 2005). The protection of primary forest is significant for biodiversity conservation and climate change mitigation; the secondary forest must also be considered for the same crucial roles (Berry et al., 2010). Incorporating floristic composition, stand structure and soil quality of logged-over forest after logging is a crucial point in considering forest recovery procedures and planning of forest management policies in diverse disruption regimes (Gutiérrez & Huth, 2012; Kalaba et al., 2013). In addition, quantification of biomass and carbon storage of forest ecosystem could also give an indication in the improvement of the payment for ecosystem services (Baker et al., 2010).

1.2 Statement of Problem

Logging has increased the ecological problems including forest degradation and biodiversity loss (Pimm & Gittleman, 1992; Latiff, 2011), soil erosion (Akkharath, 2005) and depletion of biomass and carbon storage of forest (Wright, 2010). To achieve a sustainable forest management, fundamental information in terms of ecological variables including tree composition, stand structure and soil are necessary (Brooks, 1990).

As a management system, the Malayan Uniform System (MUS) has been practiced since 1948 to manage lowland dipterocarp forest, and in 1978 the selective

management system (SMS) had been started in HDF in Peninsular Malaysia (Latiff, 2011). The selective management system (SMS) affected tree diversity and tree size classes (Latiff, 2011). Selective logging is the most popular method for timber harvesting in South-East Asia, and its impacts on forest composition, stand structure and soil of tropical rainforests are the main concern of most ecologists and foresters (Okuda et al., 2003).

Forest recovery after disturbances differed from site to site. Bonnell et al. (2011), reported that the time of forest recovery could be different based on wildlife species and plant species. It was expressed that more specific studies are required to demonstrate the effects of logging on forest recovery in terms of biodiversity. Some published data on forest recovery have covered plant species composition, structure and biomass. For instance, Feeley et al. (2007) showed that above-ground biomass increased $0.72\% \text{ year}^{-1}$ at Pasoh Forest Reserve, Malaysia. Hjerpe et al. (2001) pointed out that *Macaranga harveyana*, was abundant in unburnt and burnt area in Samoa Island while Howlett & Davidson (2003) found that *Macaranga winkleri*, and *Callicarpa longifolia*, were the most abundant species in the tropical rainforest in Malaysia. Bonnell et al. (2011) pointed out that species diversity, basal area and stem density can be used to determine the forest recovery. Some of them are in line with soil recovery such as Amlin et al. (2014) who showed that soil nutrient components were low in tropical rainforest in Malaysia 30-years after logging. Ashton (1989) mentioned that mixed dipterocarp forest on fertile soil was less diverse than mixed dipterocarp forest on poor soil although gaps were larger and more frequent among dipterocarps on more fertile soil in Sarawak. It was found that α -diversity was bigger where there were fewer and smaller gaps (Leigh et al., 2004). This was supported by Fedorov (1966) who explained the interaction of the following reasons for high diversity on poor soils of tropical rainforests: small size of population of tree species, low population density, biotic isolation between populations and between individuals, and other factors such as absence of seasonal rhythm, non-regular flowering, and consequent difficulty of cross-pollination created favorable condition for speciation in tropical rainforest. Later, Leigh et al. (2004) reported that disturbances and microhabitat specialization maintained tree diversity in north Mexico. It was mentioned that where trees grow readily, tree diversity is higher and precipitation and temperature were less seasonal. Leigh et al. (2004) found that high level of pest pressure on trees maintained higher tree diversity in sites where winter was absent. Tucker et al. (1998) noted that rate of forest recovery was influenced by history of land use management and soil fertility. The degree of soil disturbance and soil depth affected the rate of the recovery of the organic matter content, macro-porosity and bulk density (Rab, 2004). Deforestation decreased the amount of soil organic carbon and total nitrogen (Sahani & Behera, 2001). Monitoring of forest recovery after logging is a significant aspect in ecological studies for forest managers (Moran et al., 2000).

Sustainable forest management, which has been practiced in Malaysia, needs more fundamental knowledge. Further studies in terms of species composition, structural aspects and soil of hill dipterocarp forest are necessary to assess the effects of logging on the recovery of hill dipterocarp forest. If we do not have essential information about forest ecosystem, not only the time and the money can be wasted, but also the forest resources can be degraded. This study could provide useful

information and insights about the tree species composition, stand structure, biomass, and soil changes after logging. Similarly, this part of knowledge can help decision makers and foresters to improve tropical rainforest management.

1.3 Objectives

The overall objective of this research was to assess forest recovery in hill dipterocarp forest after conventional and supervised logging in terms of tree species composition, forest structure, biomass, and soil physico-chemical properties. The specific objectives were:

- 1) To investigate the species composition, diversity and forest structure (density, basal area, and volume) of a logged-over hill dipterocarp forest after supervised and conventional logging
- 2) To estimate the biomass after supervised and conventional logging in a logged-over hill dipterocarp forest.
- 3) To compare effects of supervised and conventional logging on recovery of soil physico-chemical properties.

1.4 Thesis Organization

This thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter one introduces the topic and provides a general outline of the present study.

Chapter two represents a literature review on biodiversity, biomass, forest structure, soil and the effects of logging on forest ecosystem.

Chapter three represents the methodology used in this research.

Chapter four gives the results and discussions.

Chapter five as a concluding chapter synthesizes the results and summarizes them as the main findings of the research. The recommendations for future researches are also presented in this chapter.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, M. K., Zafar, M., & Sultan, T. (2010). Changes in soil properties and microbial indices across various management sites in the mountain environments of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 41(6): 768-782.
- Abdul Hayat, M. S., Kamziah, A. K., Faridah-Hanum, I., Noor, A. G. A., & Nazre, M. (2010). Assessment of plant species diversity at Pasir Tengkorak Forest Reserve, Langkawi Island, Malaysia. *Journal of agricultural Science* 2(1): 31-38.
- Akbar, M., Ahmed, O. H., Jamaluddin, A. S., Majid, N. N. A., Abdul-Hamid, H., Jusop, S., Hassan, A., Yusof, K., & Abdu, A. (2010). Differences in soil physical and chemical properties of rehabilitated and secondary forests. *American Journal of Applied Sciences* 7(9): 1200-1209.
- Akkharath, I. (2005). *Effects of Forest Harvesting Operations on Suspended Sediment and Solute Loads in the Sungai Weng Experimental Watersheds, Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia*. PhD Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang.
- Amlin, G., Suratman, M. N., & Isa, N. N. M. (2014). Soil chemical analysis of secondary forest 30 years after logging activities at Krau Wildlife Reserve, Pahang, Malaysia. *5th International Conference on Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering - ICBEE 2013 & 2nd International Conference on Civil Engineering - ICCEN 2013*, New Delhi, India.
- Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., Miller, A. D., Mohan, J. E., Hudiburg, T. W., Duval, B. D., & Delucia, E. H. (2013). Altered dynamics of forest recovery under a changing climate. *Global Change Biology* 19(7): 2001-2021.
- Arifin, A., Tanaka, S., Jusop, S., Ibrahim, Z., Hattori, D., Maid, N. M., & Sakurai, K. (2007). Soil characteristics under rehabilitation of degraded forestland in Perak, Peninsular Malaysia. *Pedologist* 51(2): 76-88.
- Arifin, A., Tanaka, S., Jusop, S., Majid, N., Ibrahim, Z., Sakurai, K., & Wasli, M. (2008). Assessment on soil fertility status and growth performance of planted dipterocarp species in Perak, Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences* 8(21): 3795-3805.
- Ashton, M. S. (1995). Seedling growth of co-occurring *Shorea* species in the simulated light environments of a rainforest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 72(1): 1-12.
- Ashton, P. S. (1964). *Ecological Studies in the Mixed Dipterocarp Forests of Brunei State*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Ashton, P. S. (1989). Species richness in tropical forests. In *Tropical forests: Botanical dynamics, speciation and diversity*, (pp. 239-251). England: Academic Press, London.
- Asner, G. P., Keller, M., Pereira, J. R., Zweede, J. C., & Silva, J. N. M. (2004). Canopy damage and recovery after selective logging in Amazonia: Field and satellite studies *Ecological Applications* 14(4): 280-298.
- Awang Noor, A. G., Faridah Hanum, I., & Marina, T. (2008). Relationship between economic value and species diversity of timber resources in a hill forest in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Sustainable Development* 1(2): 17-26.

- Azman, S., Choong, E. S. H., & Zaidi, M. I. (2005). Cicada (Homoptera: Cicadoidea) fauna of Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal And Biologi* (pp. 151-163). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Baker, T. R., Jones, J. P. G., Rendón Thompson, O. R., Cuesta, R. M. R., Del Castillo, D., Aguilar, I. C., Torres, J., & Healey, J. R. (2010). How can ecologists help realise the potential of payments for carbon in tropical forest countries? *Journal of Applied Ecology* 47(6): 1159-1165.
- Barnard, R. C. (1954). *A Manual of Malayan Silviculture for Inland Lowland Forests*. Kepong: Forest Research Institute.
- Basuki, T. M., van Laake, P. E., Skidmore, A. K., & Hussin, Y. A. (2009). Allometric equations for estimating the above-ground biomass in tropical lowland dipterocarp forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257(8): 1684-1694.
- Bautista-Cruz, A., del Castillo, R. F., Etchevers-Barra, J. D., Gutiérrez-Castorena, M. d. C., & Baez, A. (2012). Selection and interpretation of soil quality indicators for forest recovery after clearing of a tropical montane cloud forest in Mexico. *Forest Ecology and Management* 277: 74-80.
- Bellhouse, D. (1988). 1 A brief history of random sampling methods. *Handbook of Statistics* 6: 1-14.
- Berry, N., Phillips, O., Lewis, S., Hill, J., Edwards, D., Tawatao, N., Ahmad, N., Magintan, D., Khen, C., Maryati, M., Ong, R., & Hamer, K. (2010). The high value of logged tropical forests: lessons from northern Borneo. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19(4): 985-997.
- Berry, N. J., Phillips, O. L., Ong, R. C., & Hamer, K. C. (2008). Impacts of selective logging on tree diversity across a rainforest landscape: the importance of spatial scale. *Landscape Ecology* 23(8): 915-929.
- Bidin, A. A., & Latiff, A. (1995). The status of terrestrial biodiversity in Malaysia. *Prospects in biodiversity prospecting*, Kuala Lumpur.
- Bischoff, W., Newbery, D. M., Lingenfelder, M., Schnaegel, R., Petol, G. H., Madani, L., & Ridsdale, C. E. (2005). Secondary succession and dipterocarp recruitment in Bornean rainforest after logging. *Forest Ecology and Management* 218(1-3): 174-192.
- Bonnell, T. R., Reyna-Hurtado, R., & Chapman, C. A. (2011). Post-logging recovery time is longer than expected in an East African tropical forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 261(4): 855-864.
- Bowers, K., & Boutin, C. (2008). Evaluating the relationship between floristic quality and measures of plant biodiversity along stream bank habitats. *Ecological Indicators* 8(5): 466-475.
- Brooks, D. (1990). Beyond catch phrases: what does sustainable development really mean? *Quarterly Bulletin of IAALD* 36(4): 24-25.
- Brown, S. (1997). *Estimating biomass and biomass change of tropical forests* (Vol. 134). Rome: FAO.
- Buckland, S., Magurran, A., Green, R., & Fewster, R. (2005). Monitoring change in biodiversity through composite indices. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 360(1454): 243-254.
- Burgess, P. (1968). An ecological study of the hill forests of the Malay Peninsula. *Malaysian Forester* 31(4): 314-325.

- Burgess, P. (1971). The effect of logging on hill dipterocarp forest. *Malayan Nature Journal* 24: 231-237.
- Burslem, D., Turner, I., & Grubb, P. (1994). Mineral nutrient status of coastal hill dipterocarp forest and adinandra belukar in Singapore: bioassays of nutrient limitation. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 10(04): 579-599.
- Cairns, M. A., Brown, S., Helmer, E. H., & Baumgardner, G. A. (1997). Root biomass allocation in the world's upland forests. *Oecologia* 111(1): 1-11.
- Cannon, C. H., Peart, D. R., Leighton, M., & Kartawinata, K. (1994). The structure of lowland rainforest after selective logging in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 67(1): 49-68.
- Chandrashekhara, U., & Ramakrishnan, P. (1994). Vegetation and gap dynamics of a tropical wet evergreen forest in the Western Ghats of Kerala, India. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 10(03): 337-354.
- Chave, J., Andalo, C., Brown, S., Cairns, M. A., Chambers, J. Q., Eamus, D., Fölster, H., Fromard, F., Higuchi, N., Kira, T., Lescure, J. P., Nelson, B. W., Ogawa, H., Puig, H., Riéra, B., & Yamakura, T. (2005). Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests. *Oecologia* 145(1): 87-99.
- Chave, J., Coomes, D., Jansen, S., Lewis, S. L., Swenson, N. G., & Zanne, A. E. (2009). Towards a worldwide wood economics spectrum. *Ecology Letters* 12(4): 351-366.
- Chazdon, R. L. (2003). Tropical forest recovery: legacies of human impact and natural disturbances. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 6(1-2): 51-71.
- Che Salmah, M. R., Ahmad, A. H., & Abdullah, M. H. (2005). Aquatic insect communities in Sungai Lasor, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A. (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal And Biologi*, (pp. 279-291). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Chen, C. R., Condon, L. M., Davis, M. R., & Sherlock, R. R. (2003). Seasonal changes in soil phosphorus and associated microbial properties under adjacent grassland and forest in New Zealand. *Forest Ecology and Management* 177(1-3): 539-557.
- Chiarucci, A., Enright, N., Perry, G., Miller, B., & Lamont, B. (2003). Performance of nonparametric species richness estimators in a high diversity plant community. *Diversity and Distributions* 9(4): 283-295.
- Chua, S. C., Ramage, B. S., Ngo, K. M., Potts, M. D., & Lum, S. K. Y. (2013). Slow recovery of a secondary tropical forest in Southeast Asia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 308(0): 153-160.
- Chung, R. C. K., Chan, Y. C., Damanhuri, S., & Abdul Rahman, K. (2005). A preliminary checklist of tree species of the Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A. (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 279-291). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Hennekens, S. M., & Schaminée, J. H. (2013). Assessing vegetation change using vegetation-plot databases: a risky business. *Applied Vegetation Science* 17(1): 1-10.

- Colwell, R. K., & Coddington, J. A. (1994). Estimating terrestrial biodiversity through extrapolation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 345(1311): 101-118.
- Colwell, R. K., Rahbek, C., & Gotelli, N. J. (2004). The mid domain effect and species richness patterns: what have we learned so far? *The American Naturalist* 163(3): E1-E23.
- Corona, P., Köhl, M., & Marchetti, M. (2003). *Advances in forest inventory for sustainable forest management and biodiversity monitoring*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Corrià-Ainslie, R., Julio Camarero, J., & Toledo, M. (2015). Environmental heterogeneity and dispersal processes influence post-logging seedling establishment in a Chiquitano dry tropical forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 349: 122-133.
- Crist, T. O., & Veech, J. A. (2006). Additive partitioning of rarefaction curves and species–area relationships: unifying α -, β - and γ -diversity with sample size and habitat area. *Ecology Letters* 9(8): 923-932.
- Croke, J., Hairsine, P., & Fogarty, P. (2001). Soil recovery from track construction and harvesting changes in surface infiltration, erosion and delivery rates with time. *Forest Ecology and Management* 143(1): 3-12.
- Curtis, J. T., & McIntosh, R. P. (1951). An upland forest continuum in the Prairie-Forest border region of Wisconsin. *Ecology* 32(3): 476-496.
- Ding, Y., & Zang, R. (2009). Effects of logging on the diversity of lianas in a lowland tropical rainforest in Hainan island, South China. *Biotropica* 41(5): 618-624.
- Ding, Y., Zang, R., Liu, S., He, F., & Letcher, S. G. (2012). Recovery of woody plant diversity in tropical rainforests in southern China after logging and shifting cultivation. *Biological Conservation* 145(1): 225-233.
- DOA, D. o. A. (1994). *Soil survey report of six forest Compartments in the Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Baling, Kedah*. Paper presented at the Bengkel 1, kajian Kesan Pembalakan Terhadap Waduk di Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Baling, Kedah Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Doi, R., & Sakurai, K. (2004). Principal components derived from soil physicochemical data explained a land degradation gradient, and suggested the applicability of new indexes for estimation of soil productivity in the Sakaerat Environmental Research Station, Thailand. *The International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology* 11(3): 298-311.
- Dornelas, M., Magurran, A. E., Buckland, S. T., Chao, A., Chazdon, R. L., Colwell, R. K., Curtis, T., Gaston, K. J., Gotelli, N. J., & Kosnik, M. A. (2013). Quantifying temporal change in biodiversity: challenges and opportunities. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 280(1750).
- Ellenberg, D., & Mueller-Dombois, D. (1974). *Aims and Methods of Vegetation Ecology*. New York: Wiley.
- Espinosa-García, F. J., Villaseñor, J. L., & Vibrans, H. (2004). The rich generally get richer, but there are exceptions: correlations between species richness of native plant species and alien weeds in Mexico. *Diversity and Distributions* 10: 399-407.
- Ewel, J., & Conde, L. F. (1980). Potential ecological impact of increased intensity of tropical forest utilization. *Biotropica special publication* 11: 1-22.
- FAO. (2010). *Global Forest Resources Assessment (Vol. 163)*. Rome: FAO.
- FAO. (2011). *State of the world's forest 2011*. Rome: FAO.

- Faridah-Hanum, I., Philip, L., & Awang Noor, A. G. (2008). Sampling species diversity in a Malaysian rainforest: the case of a logged-over forest. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 40(4): 1729-1733.
- Faridah-Hanum, I., Simin, M., & Awang Noor, A. G. (1999). Tree species diversity and economic value of a watershed forest in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science* 22(1): 63-68.
- FDPM. (1997). *Manual Pengurusan Memilih*. Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Fedorov, A. A. (1966). The structure of the tropical rainforest and speciation in the humid tropics. *Journal of Ecology* 54(1): 1-11.
- Feeley, K. J., Davies, S. J., Ashton, P. S., Bunyavejchewin, S., Supardi, N., Kassim, A. R., Tan, S., & Chave, J. (2007). The role of gap phase processes in the biomass dynamics of tropical forests. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 274(1627): 2857-2864.
- Feldpausch, T., Lloyd, J., Lewis, S., Brienen, R., Gloor, E., Monteagudo Mendoza, A., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Banin, L., Abu Salim, K., & Affum-Baffoe, K. (2012). Tree height integrated into pan-tropical forest biomass estimates. *Biogeosciences Discussions* 9(3): 2567-2622.
- Fernandez-Gimenez, M., & Allen-Diaz, B. (2001). Vegetation change along gradients from water sources in three grazed Mongolian ecosystems. *Plant Ecology* 157(1): 101-118.
- Ferreira, L. V., & Prance, G. T. (1999). Ecosystem recovery in terra firme forests after cutting and burning: a comparison on species richness, floristic composition and forest structure in the Jaú National Park, Amazonia. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 130(2): 97-110.
- Fisher, R. A., Corbet, A. S., & Williams, C. B. (1943). The relation between the number of species and the number of individuals in a random sample of an animal population. *The Journal of Animal Ecology* 12(1): 42-58.
- Forshed, O., Udarbe, T., Karlsson, A., & Falck, J. (2006). Initial impact of supervised logging and pre-logging climber cutting compared with conventional logging in a dipterocarp rainforest in Sabah, Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 221(1): 233-240.
- Froehlich, H. A., Miles, D. W. R., & Robbins, R. W. (1985). Soil bulk density recovery on compacted skid trails in central Idaho. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 49(4): 1015-1017.
- Frossard, E., Condon, L. M., Oberson, A., Sinaj, S., & Fardeau, J. C. (2000). Processes governing phosphorus availability in temperate soils. *Journal of environmental quality* 29(1): 15-23.
- Fukushima, M., Kanzaki, M., Hla, M. T., & Yazar, M. (2007). Recovery process of fallow vegetation in the traditional Karen swidden cultivation system in the Bago mountain range, Myanmar. *Southeast Asian Studies* 45(3): 317-333.
- Fulbright, T. E. (2004). Disturbance effects on species richness of herbaceous plants in a semi-arid habitat. *Journal of arid environments* 58(1): 119-133.
- Gee, G. W., Bauder, J. W., & Klute, A. (1986). Particle-size analysis. In A. Klute (Ed.), *Methods of soil analysis. Part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods*, (pp. 383-411). Madison: American Society of Agronomy.
- Ghollasimood, S. (2011). *Floristic Composition, Diversity and Economic Valuation of a Coastal Hill Forest in Pulau Pangkor, Malaysia*. Ph.D Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia.

- Gomi, T., Sidle, R. C., Noguchi, S., Negishi, J. N., Nik, A. R., & Sasaki, S. (2006). Sediment and wood accumulations in humid tropical headwater streams: Effects of logging and riparian buffers. *Forest Ecology and Management* 224(1–2): 166-175.
- Gotelli, N. J., & Colwell, R. K. (2001). Quantifying biodiversity: procedures and pitfalls in the measurement and comparison of species richness. *Ecology Letters* 4(4): 379-391.
- Grace, J. (2004). Understanding and managing the global carbon cycle. *Journal of Ecology* 92(2): 189-202.
- Grubb, P. J., Turner, I. M., & Burslem, D. F. R. P. (1994). Mineral nutrient status of coastal hill dipterocarp forest and adinandra belukar in Singapore: analysis of soil, leaves and litter. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 10(04): 559-577.
- Guan, S. L., & Yen, S. Y. (2000). Conservation of Dipterocarpaceae in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 12(3): 593-615.
- Guariguata, M. R., & Ostertag, R. (2001). Neotropical secondary forest succession: changes in structural and functional characteristics. *Forest Ecology and Management* 148(1–3): 185-206.
- Gutiérrez, A. G., & Huth, A. (2012). Successional stages of primary temperate rainforests of Chiloé Island, Chile. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 14(4): 243-256.
- Helsel, D. R. (2011). *Statistics for Censored Environmental Data Using Minitab and R* (2nd ed.). Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hemmat, A., Ahmadi, I., & Masoumi, A. (2007). Water infiltration and clod size distribution as influenced by ploughshare type, soil water content and ploughing depth. *Biosystems Engineering* 97(2): 257-266.
- Henson, I. E. (2005). An assessment of changes in biomass carbon stocks in tree crops and forests in Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 17(2): 279-296.
- Hikmat, A. (2005). *Species composition, biomass and economic valuation of three virgin jungle reserves in Peninsular Malaysia*. PhD Thesis, Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi.
- Hill, M. O. (1973). Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. *Ecology* 54(2): 427-432.
- Hjerpe, J., Hedenas, H., & Elmqvist, T. (2001). Tropical rainforest recovery from cyclone damage and fire in Samoa. *Biotropica* 33(2): 249-259.
- Houle, G. (2007). Determinants of fine scale plant species richness in a deciduous forest of northeastern North America. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 18(3): 345-354.
- Howlett, B. E., & Davidson, D. W. (2003). Effects of seed availability, site conditions, and herbivory on pioneer recruitment after logging in Sabah, Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 184(1): 369-383.
- Hurlbert, S. H. (1971). The nonconcept of species diversity: a critique and alternative parameters. *Ecology* 52(4): 577-586.
- Husch, B., Beers, T. W., & Kershaw Jr, J. A. (2002). *Forest mensuration*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Huth, A., & Ditzer, T. (2001). Long-term impacts of logging in a tropical rainforest — a simulation study. *Forest Ecology and Management* 142(1–3): 33-51.
- Idris, A. B., Gonzaga, A. D., Zabidi, M. Y., Nur Azura, A., & NG, Y. F. (2005). Ichneumoid and Braconid Wasps fauna of Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A

- (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 99-109). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Imai, N., Seino, T., Aiba, S.-I., Takyu, M., & Titin, J. (2013). Management effects on tree species diversity and dipterocarp regeneration. In K. Kitayama (Ed.), *Co-benefits of Sustainable Forestry*, (pp. 41-61). Tokyo: Springer.
- IUCN. (2012). *Guidelines for Application of IUCN Red List Criteria at Regional and National Levels* (Vol. 4). Gland: IUCN.
- Jackson, R. B., Canadell, J., Ehleringer, J. R., Mooney, H. A., Sala, O. E., & Schulze, E. D. (1996). A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. *Oecologia* 108(3): 389-411.
- Jacobs, M., Kruk, R., & Oldeman, R. A. A. (1988). *The tropical rainforest: a first encounter*. Berlin: Springer.
- Jasman, N. (2004). *Kajian komposisi spesies, biojisim dan nilai ekonomi dalam plot 2 hektar di dalam Taman Negara Merapoh, Malaysia*. Tesis Sarjana Sains, Fakulti Sains Dan Teknologi, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi.
- Johns, R. J. (1995a). Endemism in the Malesian flora. *Curtis's Botanical Magazine* 12(2): 95-110.
- Johns, R. J. (1995b). Malesia- an Introduction. *Curtis's Botanical Magazine* 12(2): 52-62.
- Jomo, K. S., Chang, Y., & Khoo, K. J. (2004). *Deforesting Malaysia: the political economy and social ecology of agricultural expansion and commercial logging*. New York: Zed Books.
- Jones, J. B. (2001). *Laboratory guide for conducting soil tests and plant analysis*. Washington D.C.: CRC press.
- Juliana, W. A., Nizam, M. S., Latiff, A., Raffae, A., & Siti Najmi, B. (2005). Komposisi dan kepelbagaian spesies pokok dalam dua habitat berbeza di hutan simpan Ulu Muda. In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & A. Latiff (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi* (pp. 292-305). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Kalaba, F. K., Quinn, C. H., Dougill, A. J., & Vinya, R. (2013). Floristic composition, species diversity and carbon storage in charcoal and agriculture fallows and management implications in Miombo woodlands of Zambia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 304: 99-109.
- Kamziah, K. A., Eswani, N., Nazre, M., Awang Noor, A., & Ali, M. (2011). Tree species diversity of logged over forest at Tekai Tembeling Forest Reserve, Jerantut, Pahang, Malaysia. *Malaysian Forester* 74(2): 173-181.
- Kangas, A., Gove, J. H., & Charles. (2006). Introduction. In A. Kangas & M. Maltamo (Eds.), *Forest Inventory: Methodology and Applications*, (Vol. 10, pp. 1-11). Dordrecht: Springer
- Kao, D., & Iida, S. (2006). Structural characteristics of logged evergreen forests in Preah Vihear, Cambodia, 3 years after logging. *Forest Ecology and Management* 225(1): 62-73.
- Kato, R., Tadaki, Y., & Ogawa, H. (1978). Plant biomass and growth increment studies in Pasoh Forest. *Malayan Nature Journal* 30: 211-224.
- Ketterings, Q. M., Coe, R., van Noordwijk, M., Ambagau', Y., & Palm, C. A. (2001). Reducing uncertainty in the use of allometric biomass equations for predicting above-ground tree biomass in mixed secondary forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 146(1-3): 199-209.

- Khamis, S., & Manap, T. A. (2005). A preliminary survey of medicinal plants of Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shaharudin, H. H. Che, P. D. Mohd, M. S. Jalil, Y. Norhaidi & A. Latif (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 269-278). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Khan, S. A. (2006). Methodology for assessing biodiversity (pp. 12). India: Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology. Parangipettai: Annamalai University.
- Kimmins, J. P. (2004). *Forest Ecology: A Foundation for Sustainable Management*. New York: Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Koellner, T., Hersperger, A. M., & Wohlgemuth, T. (2004). Rarefaction method for assessing plant species diversity on a regional scale. *Ecography* 27(4): 532-544.
- Koleff, P., J. G. K., & Lennon, J. J. (2003). Measuring beta diversity for presence-absence data. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 72: 367-382.
- Krebs, C. J. (1994). *Ecology: The Experimental Analysis of Distribution and Abundance*. New York: Harper Collins College Publishers
- Krebs, C. J. (1999). *Ecological Methodology*. California: Benjamin/Cummings.
- Kueh, R. J. H., & Lim, M. T. (1999). An estimate of forest biomass in Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science* 22(2): 117-123.
- Kuo, S. (1996). Phosphorus. In D. L. Sparks, A. Page, P. Helmke, R. Loeppert, P. Soltanpour, M. Tabatabai, C. Johnston & M. Sumner (Eds.), *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3-Chemical Methods*, (Vol. 3, pp. 869-921). New York: Soil Science Society of America Inc.
- Lam, T., Rahman, K. A., Shamsudin, I., & Potts, M. (2013). Evaluating design unbiasedness of the pre-felling inventory in Peninsular Malaysia *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 25(4): 516-527.
- Lamb, E. G., Bayne, E., Holloway, G., Schieck, J., Boutin, S., Herbers, J., & Haughland, D. L. (2009). Indices for monitoring biodiversity change: Are some more effective than others? *Ecological Indicators* 9(3): 432-444.
- Lamoreux, J. F., Morrison, J. C., Ricketts, T. H., Olson, D. M., Dinerstein, E., McKnight, M. W., & Shugart, H. H. (2006). Global tests of biodiversity concordance and the importance of endemism. *Nature* 440(7081): 212-214.
- Lang, G. E., & Knight, D. H. (1983). Tree growth, mortality, recruitment, and canopy gap formation during a 10-year period in a tropical moist forest. *Ecology* 64(5): 1075-1080.
- Latiff, A. (2011). Loss of Biodiversity and Resources Due to Forest Exploitation and Degradation. *Rehabilitation of Tropical Rainforest Ecosystems*. , Kuala Lumpur.
- Latiff, A., & Faridah-Hanum, I. (2005). Biodiversity conservation and management of Ulu Muda Forest Reserve: some pertinent considerations In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi* (pp. 51-65). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Laumonier, Y., Edin, A., Kanninen, M., & Munandar, A. W. (2010). Landscape-scale variation in the structure and biomass of the hill dipterocarp forest of Sumatra: Implications for carbon stock assessments. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259(3): 505-513.

- Lee, C. T., Wickneswari, R., Mahani, M., & Zakri, A. (2002). Effect of selective logging on the genetic diversity of *Scaphium macropodum*. *Biological Conservation* 104(1): 107-118.
- Leigh, E. G., Davidar, P., Dick, C. W., Terborgh, J., Puyravaud, J. P., Steege, H., & Wright, S. J. (2004). Why do some tropical forests have so many species of trees? *Biotropica* 36(4): 447-473.
- Lescure, J.-P., & Boulet, R. (1985). Relationships between soil and vegetation in a tropical rainforest in French Guiana. *Biotropica* 17(2): 155-164.
- Lim, J. S. (1991). *Soil-Landscape Relationships in Kedah- A Study in Soil Genesis and Classification*. Ph.D Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia.
- Lloyd, M., & Ghelardi, R. J. (1964). A table for calculating the 'equitability' component of species diversity. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 33(2): 217-225.
- Loetsch, F., Zöhrer, F., & Haller, K. (1973). *Forest Inventory: Statistics of Forest Inventory and Information from Aerial Photographs*. Michigan: BLV, Verlagsgesellschaft.
- Lu, D., Moran, E., & Mausel, P. (2002). Linking Amazonian secondary succession forest growth to soil properties. *Land Degradation & Development* 13(4): 331-343.
- Lugo, A., & Brown, S. (1986). Steady state terrestrial ecosystems and the global carbon cycle. *Vegetatio* 68(2): 83-90.
- MacFarlane, D., Kuyah, S., Mulia, R., Dietz, J., Muthuri, C., & Van Noordwijk, M. (2014). Evaluating a non-destructive method for calibrating tree biomass equations derived from tree branching architecture. *Trees* 28(3): 807-817.
- MacKay, D. B., Wehi, P. M., & Clarkson, B. D. (2011). Evaluating restoration success in urban forest plantings in Hamilton, New Zealand. *Urban Habitats* 6(1).
- Magurran, A. E. (1988). *Ecological Diversity and its Measurement*. Princeton: Princeton university press
- Magurran, A. E. (2004). *Measuring Biological Diversity*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Malik, R. N., & Husain, S. Z. (2006). Classification and ordination of vegetation communities of the Lohibehr reserve forest and its surrounding areas, Rawalpindi, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 38(3): 543.
- Malmer, A. (1996). Hydrological effects and nutrient losses of forest plantation establishment on tropical rainforest land in Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of Hydrology* 174(1-2): 129-148.
- Manokaran, N., & Swaine, N. (1994). Population dynamics of trees in dipterocarp forests of Peninsular Malaysia In F. R. I. Malaysia (Ed.), *Malayan Forest Record (Malaysia)* (Vol. 40). Kepong: Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Mariana, A., Zuraidawati, Z., Ho, T., Kulaimi, B. M., Saleh, I., Shukor, M., & Shahrul-Anuar, M. (2008). Ticks (Ixodidae) and other ectoparasites in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah, Malaysia. *The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 39(3): 496-506.
- Martin, P. H., Sherman, R. E., & Fahey, T. J. (2004). Forty years of tropical forest recovery from agriculture: structure and floristics of secondary and old growth riparian forests in the Dominican Republic. *Biotropica* 36(3): 297-317.

- Mazzei, L., Sist, P., Ruschel, A., Putz, F. E., Marco, P., Pena, W., & Ferreira, J. E. R. (2010). Above-ground biomass dynamics after reduced-impact logging in the Eastern Amazon. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259(3): 367-373.
- McDonald, C., Smith, R., Scott, M., & Dick, J. (2010). Using indices to measure biodiversity change through time. *METMAV International Workshop on spatiotemporal modelling*, University Santiago de Compostela.
- McElhinny, C. (2002). *Forest and woodland structure as an index of biodiversity: a review*. Sydney: NSW National Parks and Wildlife Services.
- Md-Zain, B., & Md-Sharif, B. A. (2005). Primat Sungai Lasor, hutan simpan Ulu Muda. In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A. (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 222-227). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Mohamad, B., & Baharudin, J. (1987). Studies of logging damage due to different methods and intensities of harvesting in a hill dipterocarp forest of Peninsular Malaysia. *Malaysian Forester* 50(2): 135-147.
- Mohandass, D., & Davidar, P. (2009). Floristic structure and diversity of a tropical montane evergreen forest (shola) of the Nilgiri Mountains, southern India. *Tropical Ecology* 50(2): 219-229.
- Mohd, A. R. (2005). *Kepelbagaian spesies, biojisim dan nilai ekonomi dalam dua plot di Hutan Simpan Lepar dan Hutan Simpan Tersang, Pahang*. Master Thesis, Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi.
- Mohd Zaki, H., Ibrahim, F. H., Alias, M. A., Majid, N. M., Yusuf, M. K., & Fujiwara, K. (2004). Recovery of lowland dipterocarp forests under the Malayan uniform system. *Tropics* 14(1): 103-109.
- Mokany, K., Raison, R. J., & Prokushkin, A. S. (2006). Critical analysis of root: shoot ratios in terrestrial biomes. *Global Change Biology* 12(1): 84-96.
- Molino, J. F., & Sabatier, D. (2001). Tree diversity in tropical rainforests: a validation of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis. *Science* 294(5547): 1702-1704.
- Moran, E. F., Brondizio, E. S., Tucker, J. M., da Silva-Forsberg, M. C., McCracken, S., & Falesi, I. (2000). Effects of soil fertility and land-use on forest succession in Amazonia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 139(1): 93-108.
- Mori, S. A., Boom, B. M., Carvalino, A. M. d., & Talmon, S. d. S. (1983). Ecological importance of Myrtaceae in an Eastern Brazilian wet forest. *Biotropica* 15(1): 68-70.
- Murugayah, R. A., Gandaseca, S., Ahmed, O. H., & Majid, N. M. (2009). Effect of different ages of a rehabilitated forest on selected physico-chemical properties. *American Journal of Applied Sciences* 6(6): 1043.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B., & Kent, J. (2000). Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403(6772): 853-858.
- Newton, A. C. (2007). *Forest Ecology and Conservation: a Handbook of Techniques*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ng, F. S., Low, C., & Sanah, M. A. N. (1990). *Endemic trees of the Malay Peninsular*. Kepong: Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Niiyama, K., Kajimoto, T., Matsuura, Y., Yamashita, T., Matsuo, N., Yashiro, Y., Ripin, A., Kassim, A. R., & Noor, N. S. (2010). Estimation of root biomass based on excavation of individual root systems in a primary dipterocarp forest

- in Pasoh Forest Reserve, Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 26(03): 271-284.
- Nizar, M. M., Hakeem, K. R., Faridah-Hanum, I., & Saari, N. S. (2013). Tree species composition and diversity in one ha forest, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve, Kedah. *Sains Malaysiana* 42(10): 1409-1424.
- Norhayati, A., Juliana, S., Sharma, D. S., & Shukor, M. N. (2005). Fauna amfibia di Sungai Lsor, hutan simpan Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 173-184). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Nurul-Shida, S., Faridah-Hanum, I., Wan Razali, W., & Kamziah, K. (2014). Community structure of trees in Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve, Puchong, Selangor, Malaysia. *Malaysian Forester* 77(1): 73-86.
- Okuda, T., Suzuki, M., Adachi, N., Quah, E. S., Hussein, N. A., & Manokaran, N. (2003). Effect of selective logging on canopy and stand structure and tree species composition in a lowland dipterocarp forest in Peninsular Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 175(1): 297-320.
- Okuda, T., Suzuki, M., Numata, S., Yoshida, K., Nishimura, S., Adachi, N., Niiyama, K., Manokaran, N., & Hashim, M. (2004). Estimation of aboveground biomass in logged and primary lowland rainforests using 3-D photogrammetric analysis. *Forest Ecology and Management* 203(1-3): 63-75.
- Page, A. L. (1982). *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties*. New York: Soil Science Society of America.
- Peet, R. K. (1975). Relative diversity indices. *Ecology* 56(2): 496-498.
- Pélissier, R., Pascal, J.-P., Houllier, F., & Laborde, H. (1998). Impact of selective logging on the dynamics of a low elevation dense moist evergreen forest in the Western Ghats (South India). *Forest Ecology and Management* 105(1-3): 107-119.
- Penman, J., Gytarsky, M., Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Kruger, D., Pipatti, R., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., & Tanabe, K. (2003). *Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry*. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.
- Perrott, K., Sarathchandra, S., & Waller, J. (1990). Seasonal storage and release of phosphorus and potassium by organic matter and the microbial biomass in a high producing pastoral soil. *Soil Research* 28(4): 593-608.
- Pielou, E. C. (1966). Species-diversity and pattern-diversity in the study of ecological succession. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 10(2): 370-383.
- Pielou, E. C. (1975). *Ecological diversity*. USA: Wiley New York.
- Pimm, S. L., & Gittleman, J. L. (1992). Biological diversity: where is it? *Science* 255(5047): 940-940.
- Pinard, Francis, P. E., John, T., & Thomas, S. E. (1995). Creating timber harvest guidelines for a reduced-impact logging project in Malaysia. *Journal of Forestry* 93(10): 41-45.
- Pinard, M. A., Barker, M. G., & Tay, J. (2000). Soil disturbance and post-logging forest recovery on bulldozer paths in Sabah, Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 130(1): 213-225.
- Potts, M. D., Kassim, A. R., Supardi, M. N., Tan, S., & Bossert, W. H. (2005). Sampling tree diversity in Malaysian tropical forests: an evaluation of a pre-felling inventory. *Forest Ecology and Management* 205(1): 385-395.

- Rab, M. A. (1996). Soil physical and hydrological properties following logging and slash burning in the Eucalyptus regnans forest of southeastern Australia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 84(1–3): 159-176.
- Rab, M. A. (2004). Recovery of soil physical properties from compaction and soil profile disturbance caused by logging of native forest in Victorian Central Highlands, Australia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 191(1–3): 329-340.
- Rabanal, H. (1986). *Seafarming as alternative to small scale fishing in ASEAN region*. Philippines: FAO.
- Richards, P. W. (1945). The floristic composition of primary tropical rainforest. *Biological Reviews* 20(1): 1-13.
- Richards, P. W. (1952). *The Tropical Rainforest: an Ecological Study*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Richter, F. (2001). Financial and economic assessment of timber harvesting operations in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Forest Harvesting Case-studies 17* Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/docrep/004/y2699e/y2699e00.htm>
- Ricotta, C., Carranza, M. L., & Avena, G. (2002). Computing β -diversity from species-area curves. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 3(1): 15-18.
- Rutishauser, E., Noor'an, F., Laumonier, Y., Halperin, J., Rufi'ie, Hergoualc'h, K., & Verchot, L. (2013). Generic allometric models including height best estimate forest biomass and carbon stocks in Indonesia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 307(0): 219-225.
- Sahani, U., & Behera, N. (2001). Impact of deforestation on soil physicochemical characteristics, microbial biomass and microbial activity of tropical soil. *Land Degradation & Development* 12(2): 93-105.
- Said Sajap, A., Rahim Mudin, A., Noor Farikhah, H., Yaacob, A. W., & Idris, A. B. (2005). Diversity of ground-dwelling insects of Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shahrudin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran fizikal dan biologi*, (pp. 110-114). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Saiful, I. (2002). *Effects of selective logging on tree species diversity, stand structure and physical environment of tropical hill dipterocarp forest of Peninsular Malaysia*. PhD Thesis, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi.
- Saiful, I., Faridah-Hanum, I., Kamaruzaman, J., & Latiff, A. (2008). Floristic diversity, composition and richness in relation to topography of a hill dipterocarp forest in Malaysia. *3th IASME/WSBAS International Conference on Energy & Environment*.
- Saiful, I., & Latiff, A. (2014). Effects of selective logging on tree species composition, richness and diversity in a hill dipterocarp forest in Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 26(2): 188-202.
- Saldarriaga, J. G., West, D. C., Tharp, M. L., & Uhl, C. (1988). Long-term chronosequence of forest succession in the upper Rio Negro of Colombia and Venezuela. *Journal of Ecology* 76(4): 938-958.
- Samat, A., Shukor, M. N., Yusof, A., & Mazlan, A. G. (2005). Fishes of the Sungai Lasor, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shahrudin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 248-254). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Sarkar, S., & Margules, C. (2002). Operationalizing biodiversity for conservation planning. *Journal of Biosciences* 27(4): 299-308.

- Sasaki, T., Okayasu, T., Jamsran, U., & Takeuchi, K. (2008). Threshold changes in vegetation along a grazing gradient in Mongolian rangelands. *Journal of Ecology* 96(1): 145-154.
- Saw, L. G., Chua, L. S. L., & Nik, A. R. (2009). *Malaysia: National Strategy for Plant Conservation*. Kuala Lumpur: Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment.
- Schawe, M., Glatzel, S., & Gerold, G. (2007). Soil development along an altitudinal transect in a Bolivian tropical montane rainforest: Podzolization vs. hydromorphy. *CATENA* 69(2): 83-90.
- Seaby, R., & Henderson, P. (2006). *Species diversity and richness version 4*. Lymington: Pisces Conservation Ltd.
- Seng, H. W., Ratnam, W., Noor, S. M., & Clyde, M. M. (2004). The effects of the timing and method of logging on forest structure in Peninsular Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 203(1-3): 209-228.
- Shahrul Anuar, M. S., Shukor, M. N., Nurul Ain, E., Norzalipah, M., Yusof, A., Mark Rayan, D., Genesan, M., Nazri, A., & Kalimuthu, S. (2005). Understorey bird survey of Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shahrudin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 192-200). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Sharma, D. S. K., Lee, B. M. S., Ahmad Zafir, A. W., & Surin, S. (2005). Rapid assessment of terrestrial vertebrates in SG. Lasor, Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shahrudin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 212-221). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Shukor, M. N., Shahrul Anuar, M. S., Nurul Ain, E., Norzalipah, M., Norhayati, A., Ahmad, Y., Mark Rayan, D., & Ganesan, M. (2005). A survey of small mammals at Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shahrudin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan Simpan Ulu Muda, Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal dan Biologi*, (pp. 201-211). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Sim, J. W. S., Tan, H. T. W., & Turner, I. M. (1992). Adinandra belukar: an anthropogenic heath forest in Singapore. *Vegetatio* 102(2): 125-137.
- Sist, P., Dykstra, D., & Fimbel, R. (1998a). *Reduced-impact logging guidelines for lowland and hill dipterocarp forests in Indonesia*. Indonesia: CIFOR.
- Sist, P., Nolan, T., Bertault, J.-G., & Dykstra, D. (1998b). Harvesting intensity versus sustainability in Indonesia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 108(3): 251-260.
- Slik, J. F., Verburg, R. W., & KEßLER, P. J. (2002). Effects of fire and selective logging on the tree species composition of lowland dipterocarp forest in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Biodiversity & Conservation* 11(1): 85-98.
- Smith, B., & Wilson, J. B. (1996). A consumer's guide to evenness indices. *Oikos* 76(1): 70-82.
- Smith, J. S. (1959). Past, present and future - A review. *Malaysian Forester* 22(4): 272-280.
- Solow, A. R. (1993). A simple test for change in community structure. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 62: 191-193.
- Spies, T. A. (1998). Forest structure: a key to the ecosystem. *Northwest science* 72: 34-36.

- Sumner, M. E., & Stewart, B. A. (1992). *Soil crusting: chemical and physical processes*. Boca Raton: Lewis Publishers.
- Swink, F., & Wilhelm, G. (1994). *Plants of the Chicago region* (4th ed.). Indianapolis: Indian Academy of Science.
- Symington, C. F., Ashton, P. S., Appanah, S., & Barlow, H. S. (2004). *Foresters' Manual of Dipterocarps* (Second ed.). Kepong: Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Tanaka, S., Wasli, M. E. B., Kotegawa, T., Seman, L., Sabang, J., Kendawang, J. J., Sakurai, K., & Morooka, Y. (2007). Soil properties of secondary forests under shifting cultivation by the Iban of Sarawak, Malaysia in relation to vegetation condition. *Tropics* 16(4): 385-398.
- Thang, H. C. (1987). Forest management systems for tropical high forest, with special reference to Peninsular Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 21(1): 3-20.
- Thomas, C., & Baltzer, J. (2002). Tropical Forests Encyclopedia of Life Sciences *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences*. London: Macmillan Publishers Ltd.
- Toti, D. S., Coyle, F. A., & Miller, J. A. (2000). A structured inventory of Appalachian grass bald and heath bald spider assemblages and a test of species richness estimator performance. *Journal of Arachnology* 28(3): 329-345.
- Turner, I. M. (1995). A catalogue of the Vascular Plants of Malaya *Gardens' Bulletin Singapore* (Vol. 47, pp. 1-757). Singapore: National Parks Board
- Turner, M. G., Baker, W. L., Peterson, C. J., & Peet, R. K. (1998). Factors influencing succession: lessons from large, infrequent natural disturbances. *Ecosystems* 1(6): 511-523.
- Uhl, C., Clark, H., Clark, K., & Maquirino, P. (1982). Successional patterns associated with slash-and-burn agriculture in the upper Rio Negro region of the Amazon Basin. *Biotropica*: 249-254.
- Valappil, N., & Swarupanandan, K. (1996). Regeneration dynamics and sylvigenesis in the moist deciduous forests of southwest India. *New Forests* 11(3): 185-205.
- Van Do, T., Osawa, A., & Thang, N. T. (2010). Recovery process of a mountain forest after shifting cultivation in Northwestern Vietnam. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259(8): 1650-1659.
- Van Gemerden, B. S., Shu, G. N., & Olf, H. (2003). Recovery of conservation values in Central African rainforest after logging and shifting cultivation. *Biodiversity & Conservation* 12(8): 1553-1570.
- Vandermeer, J., Cerda, I. G., & Boucher, D. (1997). Contrasting growth rate patterns in eighteen tree species from a post-hurricane forest in Nicaragua. *Biotropica* 29(2): 151-161.
- Vitousek, P. M. (1984). Litterfall, nutrient cycling, and nutrient limitation in tropical forests. *Ecology* 65(1): 285-298.
- Vitousek, P. M., & Denslow, J. S. (1986). Nitrogen and phosphorus availability in treefall gaps of a lowland tropical rainforest. *The Journal of Ecology*: 1167-1178.
- Vogt, K. A., Grier, C. C., & Vogt, D. J. (1986). Production, turnover, and nutrient dynamics of above- and belowground detritus of world forests. In A. MacFadyen & E. D. Ford (Eds.), *Advances in Ecological Research*, (Vol. Volume 15, pp. 303-377). London: Academic Press.

- Walker, L. R., & Del Moral, R. (2003). *Primary succession and ecosystem rehabilitation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- West, N. E. (2006). Biodiversity of rangelands. *Journal of Range Management* 46(1): 2-13.
- White, R. E. (2006). *Principles and Practice of Soil Science: the Soil as a Natural Resource* (4th ed.). Malden: Blackwell
- Whitmore, T., Burslem, D., Newbery, D., Prins, H., & Brown, N. (1998). Major disturbances in tropical rainforests. *Dynamics of tropical communities: the 37th symposium of the British Ecological Society, Cambridge University, 1996*.
- Whitmore, T. C. (1984). A vegetation map of Malesia at scale 1: 5 million. *Journal of Biogeography* 11(6): 461-471.
- Whitmore, T. C., & Burnham, C. P. (1984). *Tropical Rainforests of the Far East*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Whittaker, R. J., Willis, K. J., & Field, R. (2001). Scale and species richness: towards a general, hierarchical theory of species diversity. *Journal of Biogeography* 28(4): 453-470.
- Wickneswari, R., Ho, W., Lee, K., & Lee, C. (2004). Impact of disturbance on population and genetic structure of tropical forest trees. *Forest Genetics* 11(3/4): 193-201.
- Williams, V. L., Witkowski, E. T., & Balkwill, K. (2007). The use of incidence-based species richness estimators, species accumulation curves and similarity measures to appraise ethnobotanical inventories from South Africa. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16(9): 2495-2513.
- Woods, P. (1989). Effects of logging, drought, and fire on structure and composition of tropical forests in Sabah, Malaysia. *Biotropica* 21(4): 290-298.
- Wright, S. J. (2010). The future of tropical forests. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1195(1): 1-27.
- Wyatt-Smith, J. (1963). Manual of Malayan Silviculture for Inland Forests *Malayan Forestry Record* (Vol. 23). Kuala Lumpur: Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia.
- Xuan Mao, C., Colwell, R. K., & Chang, J. (2005). Estimating the species accumulation curve using mixtures. *Biometrics* 61(2): 433-441.
- Yamada, T., Hosaka, T., Okuda, T., & Kassim, A. R. (2013). Effects of 50 years of selective logging on demography of trees in a Malaysian lowland forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 310(0): 531-538.
- Yashiro, Y., Kadir, W. R., Okuda, T., & Koizumi, H. (2008). The effects of logging on soil greenhouse gas (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) flux in a tropical rainforest, Peninsular Malaysia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 148(5): 799-806.
- You, S. (2011). Assessing sampling plot shape and size on measures of tropical forest diversity: A simulation study. 1-17. Retrieved from <http://nature.berkeley.edu/classes/es196/projects/2011final/YouS> website:
- Zaidey, A., Arifin, A., Zahari, I., Hazandy, A., Zaki, M., Hassan, A., Wasli, M., Hafiz, Y. K., Shamshuddin, J., & Muhamad, M. N. (2010). Characterizing soil properties of lowland and hill dipterocarp forests at Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Soil Science* 5(3): 112-130.
- Zaidi, M. I., Azman, S., Norla, S., & Teh, S. C. (2005). Moth (Lepidoptera: Heterocera) fauna of Ulu Muda Forest Reserve. In M. I. Shaharuddin, H. Che Hashim, D. Mohd Puat, J. M. Som, N. Yunus & L. A (Eds.), *Hutan*

- Simpan Ulu Muda Kedah: Pengurusan, Persekitaran Fizikal and Biologi*, (pp. 127-141). Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perhutanan Semenanjung Malaysia.
- Ziegler, A. D., Negishi, J. N., Sidle, R. C., Noguchi, S., & Nik, A. R. (2006). Impacts of logging disturbance on hillslope saturated hydraulic conductivity in a tropical forest in Peninsular Malaysia. *CATENA* 67(2): 89-104.
- Zimmerman, J. K., Thompson, J., & Brokaw, N. (2008). Large tropical forest dynamics plots: testing explanations for the maintenance of species diversity. In W. P. Carson & S. A. Schnitzer (Eds.), *Tropical Forest Community Ecology* (pp. 98-119). West Sussex: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

