

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

ESTIMATING SUNDA SCOPS OWL (Otus lempiji Horsfield) DENSITY BASED ON DISTANCE SAMPLING AND CALL PLAYBACK, PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

PHILLCAINE ANAK PILLA

FH 2016 1



ESTIMATING SUNDA SCOPS OWL (*Otus lempiji Horsfield*) DENSITY BASED ON DISTANCE SAMPLING AND CALL PLAYBACK, PENINSULAR MALAYSIA



By

PHILLCAINE ANAK PILLA

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

February 2016

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

ESTIMATING SUNDA SCOPS OWL (*Otus lempiji Horsfield*) DENSITY BASED ON DISTANCE SAMPLING AND CALL PLAYBACK, PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

By

PHILLCAINE ANAK PILLA

February 2016

Chairman: Professor Mohamed Zakaria Hussin, PhD

Faculty: Forestry

Nocturnal birds in the tropics remain little studied primarily due to the logistical difficulties of surveying these birds at night. While call playback has been widely employed in the temperate regions, its practicality has not been adequately demonstrated on tropical owl species. This study aimed to test the feasibility of estimating the density of the Sunda Scops Owl (Otus lempiji) in a lowland forest in Peninsular Malaysia based on distance sampling and call playback. From a total of 58 detections of the owl species from October 2012 to May 2013, 72.41% (42 detections) were made when the birds were breeding. The densities of the owl were estimated at 0.17 ± 0.04 or 0.28 ± 0.06 individuals/ha based on spontaneous and provoked calls (i.e. before and after call playback), respectively. Broadcasting of the calls significantly increased the numbers of detections (χ^2 = 16.038, P < 0.001) during both breeding and non-breeding seasons of the birds. Based on logistic regression analysis, the probability of detections was also significantly associated with the owl's breeding period. The combination of call playback and distance sampling can be potentially applied on other little known and threatened owl species in Southeast Asia.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Sarjana Sains

PENGANGGARAN KEPADATAN BURUNG HANTU REBAN (Otus lempiji Horsfield) MELALUI KAEDAH PANGGILAN DAN PERSAMPELAN JARAK, SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA

Oleh

PHILLCAINE ANAK PILLA

Februari 2016

Pengerusi: Professor Mohamed Zakaria Hussin, PhD

Fakulti: Perhutanan

Kajian terhadap burung malam adalah amat kurang dikawasan tropika disebabkan oleh kesukaran logistik dalam melakukan pemantauan burung berkenaan pada waktu malam. Walaupun penggunaan kaedah panggilan telah digunakan secara meluas dikawasan beriklim sederhana, namun kaedah ini masih belum dipraktikkan secara meluas bagi spesies burung hantu dikawasan tropika. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menentukan kepadatan burung hantu reban (Otus lempiji) di kawasan hutan tanah rendah di Semenanjung Malaysia dengan menggunakan kaedah persampelan jarak dan panggilan. Daripada jumlah keseluruhan 58 pengesanan melalui panggilan bermula daripada Oktober 2012 sehingga May 2013, sebanyak 72.41% (42 pengesanan panggilan) diperolehi dalam musim burung membiak. Kepadatan burung hantu adalah dianggarkan sebanyak 0.17± 0.04 atau 0.28 ± 0.06 individual per hektar berdasarkan bunyi secara spontan dan kaedah panggilan (sebelum dan selepas kaedah panggilan dilakukan). Melalui kaedah panggilan burung, ianya telah meningkatkan jumlah respon burung yang diperolehi secara ketara ($\chi^2 = 16.038$, P < 0.001) semasa musim membiak dan diluar musim membiak bagi burung tersebut. Berdasarkan analisis regresi logistik, kebarangkalian untuk menerima respon burung adalah berhubungkait dengan musim membiak burung hantu. Kombinasi kaedah panggilan dan persampelan jara kini boleh diaplikasikan kepada spesies burung hantu yang kurang diketahui dan terancam dikawasan Asia Tenggara.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was conducted under the permits from the Forest and Plantation Section, Faculty of Forestry, Universiti Putra Malaysia and the Department of Wildlife and National Parks Malaysia. It was financially funded by the Universiti Putra Malaysia through the Research University Grant Scheme (Project No.: 03-01-11-1151RU) and partly by the Malaysian Nature Society (Perak Branch) through the Tan Kean Cheong Bird Conservation Memorial Fund.

Many people assisted in the project in a myriad of different ways. Firstly, my sincere appreciation and thanks are for the chairman and member of my supervisory committee, Professor Dr. Mohamed Zakaria Hussin and Dr. Puan Chong Leong for their continuous support of my Master's study and research, as well as for their motivation, enthusiasm, patience, and immeasurable knowledge. Their supervision, encouragement, insightful comments, and hard questions had helped me throughout my research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my Master study.

I would also like to sincerely thank those who volunteered their in particular, Nornajmi-Hanis, Tony Austin Sharif @ Ukang, Balantine Pungga, Chakrin a/I Utit, Abdollah Salari, Khahn Troang Khan and Jane anak Abi, for the sleepless nights we were working together during the nocturnal night surveys at the Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve, Puchong and for all the fun we have had in the last three years.

I also would like to thank my family in Bintulu, Sarawak for their encouragement and support in financial aspects to pursue this study and supporting me spiritually throughout my life. In particular, my mother; Catherine Chararing Jail, sister; Loophicca Pilla, and brother; Loocaine Pilla for supporting and giving me inspiration that I needed to persevere. Lastly, I have to acknowledge my father, Pilla Chantong for teaching me the importance of perseverance, and instilling in me the value of having an enquiring mind. And above all, to our Almighty God, for His guidance, inspiration, love, wisdom, and immeasurable blessings, without Him I could do nothing.



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohamed Zakaria Hussin, PhD

Professor Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Puan Chong Leong, PhD Senior Lecturer Faculty of Forestry University Putra Malaysia

(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	_ Date:
Name and Matric No.:	

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:	Signature:
Name of	Name of
Chairman of	Member of
Supervisory	Supervisory
Committee: Mohamed Zakaria Hussin	Committee: Puan Chong Leong

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT ABSTRAK ACKNOWLED APPROVAL DECLARATIO LIST OF TABI LIST OF ABB	DGEMENTS IN LES REVIATIONS	i ii iv vi x xi
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION 1.1 General introduction 1.2 Owl species in Malaysian tropical forests 1.3 The biology of Sunda Scops Owl 1.4 Problem statement 1.5 Objectives	1 1 3 4 6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Sunda Scops Owls (<i>Otus lempiji</i>) 2.2 Research techniques on owls 2.3 Nocturnal bird vocalization surveys 2.4 Specific species survey requirements and findings 2.5 Factors affecting owl detection rate	7 7 8 9 13 14
	2.6 Distance sampling 2.7 Threats on owls	15 17
3	METHODOLOGY 3.1 Study area 3.2 Microclimate, geology and soil 3.3 Fauna species diversity 3.4 Pilot study and point transects surveys 3.5 Owl surveys using call playback 3.6 Environmental variables 3.7 Data analysis	18 18 19 20 20 21 22 22
	 3.7.1 Distance sampling point count analysis 3.7.2 Distance analysis based on two recording zones, Sutherland (1996) 3.7.3 Test of multicollinearity 	22 23 23

23

24

3.7.5 Chi-square test of independence

3.7.4 Binary logistic regression

Ć,

4	RESULTS	25
	4.1 Density estimates from two methods	25
	4.2 Logistic regression analysis	26
	4.3 Relationship between Sunda Scops Owl	27
	detection and environmental variables	
5	DISCUSSION	28
	5.1 Density estimation based on distance sampling and call playback	28
	5.2 Relationship between owl vocal detection and environmental variables	28
	5.2.2 Environmental effects	28
	5.2.3 Breeding and non-breeding season of Sunda Scops Owl	29
	5.3 Comparison between call playback and spontaneous call	31
	5.4 Impact of call playback on birds	32
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	34
	6.1 Conclusion	34
	6.2 Recommendations	35
REFEF	RENCES	37
APPEN	NDICES	54
BIODA	TA OF STUDENT	69

C

LIST OF TABLES

Table

- 1 Otus lempiji subspecies and their natural Geographical ranges (Marks et al. 1999)
- 2 Examples of broadcasting studies conducted in various 12 habitats
- 3 Densities estimated for Sunda Scops Owl based on spontaneous and provoked calls, and breeding and nonbreeding seasons (SE= standard error, CI= confident interval, CV= Coefficient of variation, EDR= effective detection radius, AIC= Akaike Information Criterion)
- 4 Results of logistic regression model for probability of detecting an owl based on call playback method (dependent variable was coded as 0= an owl was not detected and otherwise= 1 when it was detected)

26

7

25

Page

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- AIC Akaike Information Criterion
- AUC Area Under The Curve
- Above Sea Level a.s.l.
- CI **Confident Interval**
- CV Coefficient of variation
- dB Decibel

 \mathbf{G}

- EDR Effective Detection Radius
- Global Positioning System GPS
- RISC Research Information Standard Committee
- ROC **Receiver Operating Characteristics** SE
 - Standard Error

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General introduction

The main nocturnal bird groups found in tropical rainforests of Peninsular Malaysia are owls (Strigiformes), frogmouths and nightjars (Caprimulgiformes) (Wells, 1999). In Malaysia, a total of 20 owl species have been recorded, of which 17 species are from the Strigidae family and three are from the Tytonidae family (MNS-BBC, 2005). In forest habitats, nocturnal birds are difficult to detect and capture (Kemp et al., 2009). Their inconspicuous behaviour and cryptic plumage have made them difficult to be located and identified in the field (Sheldon et al., 2001). One reliable way to detect most owl species at night is by assessing their vocalizations (Springer, 1978, Forsman, 1983, Smith 1987).

Tropical owl species are less studied in terms of their ecology unlike their counterparts from the temperate regions. Currently, only several studies have been published on owl species in Malaysia (e.g.Lenton, 1984; Wells, 1986; Duckett, 1991; Hafidzi et al., 2003; Puan et al., 2006), while others were carried out elsewhere in Southeast Asia (e.g. Becking, 1994; Khwang et al., 1999; Nijman, 2004; Muchtar and Setiawan, 2005). Discoveries of species and subspecies have also been made in the archipelago in Indonesia (i.e. Johnstone and Darnell, 1997; Lambert and Rasmussen, 1998; Rasmussen, 1999; Lee and Riley, 2001; Olsen et al., 2002, Indrawan and Somadikarta, 2004).

1.2 Owl species in Malaysian tropical forests

Rainforests in Malaysia are a diverse ecosystem which houses various flora and fauna. Major forest types in Malaysia can be classified according to elevation ranging from lowland dipterocarp, hill dipterocarp, upper hill dipterocarp, oak-laurel, montane, ericaceous, peat swamp to mangrove forests. Malaysia has a high forest biodiversity and most of the lowland forests in Malaysia are dominated by trees from the Dipterocarpaceae family and thus these forests are often generally known as dipterocarp forests. These forests typically have trees with canopy reaching 30-50 m while emergent trees can reach about 70 m or more. The tropical forest comprises of several strata, i.e. canopy, middle storey, understorey, and forest floor.

Marcot (1995) stated that there are a few owl species that are associated with the tropical lowland forests, e.g. the Oriental Bay Owl (*Phodilus badius*), Reddish Scops Owl (*Otus rufescens*), White-fronted Scops Owl(*Otus sagittatus*) and Barred Eagle Owl (*Bubo sumatranus*).Although classified as Least Concern (BirdLife International, 2001), Wells (1999) described that the Oriental Bay Owl

appeared to occur at low density in the lowlands. Reddish Scops Owl that is classified as Near Threatened (BirdLife International, 2001) is distributed from the southern part of Thailand to Peninsular Malaysia, Java and Borneo. Often recorded in the primary logged and secondary forest (Duncan, 2003), the survival of Reddish Scops Owl is said to be depending on the orderly and open structure of primary forests (Meijaard et al., 2005).

The Sunda Scops Owls (*Otus lempiji*) is known for their persistence in disturbed habitat, including urban habitat (Marcot, 1995; Puan et al., 2006). Some studies indicated that the species (Wells, 1999; Puan et al., 2006) may coexist with the Reddish Scops Owls (Marcot, 1995). The Sunda Scops Owl is listed as Least Concern (BirdLife International, 2001) and a common resident that is distributed in Southeast Asia Malay Peninsula, Sumatra (including Bangka and Belitung), Borneo (including North Natuna Islands), Java and Bali (including Kangean Island) (König et al., 1999). In spite of that, the understanding of their ecology is still lacking. The Sunda Scops Owls inhabit dry evergreen forests, evergreen forests, hill evergreen forests, grasslands and fruit orchards. Their breeding season usually occurs from February until April (König et al., 1999).

Three owl species, i.e. RajahScops Owl (*Otus brookei*), Mountain Scops Owl (*Otus spilocephalus*) and Collared Owlet (*Glaucidium brodiei*) are restricted to montane forest habitat at an elevation of more than 700 m. Some records of the latter species, however, were also obtained from lower elevations (Smythies and Davison, 1999; Sheldon et al., 2001). Although frequently found in disturbed forests, little information is available for both Mountain Scops Owl and Collared Owlet on their biology, behaviour, ecology and habitat requirements. There is also a difference in vocalizations of a Collared Owlet on Borneo from those of mainland Asia (Smythies and Davison, 1999; Wells 1999) implying the need of taxonomic inference to verify the species.

Although often found at higher altitude or montane forests, the Brown Wood Owl (*Strix leptogrammica*) may also be found elsewhere in lowland forests. The species is primarily associated with a dense and old forest (Marcot, 1995) and also occurs in deep temperate, dry tropical, subtropical and tropical rainforests in subtropical to temperate mountain zones, commonly up to 4000 m in Nepal (Duncan, 2003).Recorded from Gunung Dulit and Mulu (König et al., 1999, Smythies and Davison, 1999) and Kinabalu (Jenkins et al., 1996; Sheldon et al., 2001) on Borneo and on Sumatra, Rajah Scops Owl is endemic to Greater Sundas. As it is only known from two specimens collected from Sarawak in the nineteenth century and possibly two in Sabah towards the end of the twentieth century (Jenkins et al., 1996; Sheldon et al., 2001), the owl is a rare resident of the mountain forests of Borneo and was listed as Least Concern (BirdLife International, 2001).



1.3 The biology of Sunda Scops Owl

The Sunda Scops Owl is from the order Strigiformes, family Strigidae, and subfamily Striginae (Marks et al., 1999). The species is monogamous (Marks et al., 1999). According to International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), the species is not globally threatened and is listed as a common species. It is a medium-sized owl (20 to 23 cm) with sandy-brown in colour, rounded wings, ear tuffs and indistinct collar on its hind neck (Duncan, 2003). Their main diet includes large insects comprising large beetles, crickets, mantids, moths, cockroaches, grasshoppers and sometimes small birds (Marks et al., 1999; Wells, 1999). Generally, the Sunda Scops Owlfeeds on nocturnal reptiles (such as geckos) and rodents which are particularly abundant in Southeast Asia (Marks et al., 1999). The Sunda Scops Owlis considered as a resident species and is commonly found in the forest-edge habitat (Wells, 1998). The bird mainly hunts their prey from a perch such as tree branches or house roofs. Main habitats of the species include in middle and lower strata of forest and the bird is often attracted to human habitation occasionally in plantations, villages and larger towns containing suitable trees at which they find cover as well as roost and nest (Duncan, 2003). The bird is also common in cultivated sites due to abundance of food prey, i.e. geckos, insects and rodents (Marks et al., 1999). In Sumatran, the local name for the Sunda Scops Owl is "kuas cirit ayan" which refers to "fowl's excrement owl" because it preys on insects that attracted to cow and poultry dungs (Duncan, 2003).

1.4 Problem statement

Most owl species that inhabit tropical forests are little known and there is insufficient information available on their ecology and distribution (Clark et al., 1978; Newton, 2010). Owls are carnivorous birds that prey on both invertebrates and vertebrates ranging from insects, rodents, geckos and hares. Relatively little is known about the abundance and population trends of most owl species in the Malaysian tropical forest. In Malaysia, most published owl studies mainly emphasize on Barn Owl (Tyto alba javanica), due to their economic interest in providing biological control on agricultural rodent pests (e.g. Lenton, 1984; Duckett, 1991; Hafidzi et al., 2003; Puan et al., 2011). Due to the lack of information on other tropical owl species, this study mainly focused on an owl species, i.e. Sunda Scops Owl (Otus lempiji) which was found in an isolated forest, i.e. Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve located in Peninsular Malaysia. Among the four species recorded in the Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve, i.e. Sunda Scops Owl, Reddish Scops Owl, Brown Boobook and Oriental Bay Owl, the Sunda Scops Owl is the most abundant owl species in the area. Thus, this had provided a good opportunity to study the distribution and density of the bird since such information is unavailable for the species.

The call playback method or broadcast survey method has been widely used to locate and survey owls (Smith, 1987; Mosher et al., 1990). It has been used extensively in temperate regions to determine the presence or occupancy of nocturnal species such as owls. However, the call playback approach to estimate owl density is relatively new in Southeast Asia and has yet to be conducted in the Malaysian forests. To date, most information on bird composition and ecology in the Malaysian forests were obtained by using mist-nets or direct observation. Although, mist-netting has been widely used, it has several disadvantages. For instance, setting the net, handling and release of captures can be time-consuming and laborious. Nets must be checked frequently to avoid mortality either due to stress or predation.

From previous studies, the use of the broadcast survey method had been demonstrated to increase the detection rate in bird surveys (Johnson et al., 1981; Rosenfield et al., 1985; Johnson and Dinsmore, 1986; Kaufmann, 1988; Swift et al., 1988; Sliwa and Sherry, 1992). In this research, a method for estimating population density was introduced using call playback and point transect sampling (Buckland et al., 2001). Call playback has often been used to evaluate species presence or absence in an area particularly for avian. The practicality of using call playback method will serve as an alternative to study birds, especially in nocturnal species such as owls. The call playback method is more practical as nocturnal species are often not easily observed during night time, yet their presence can be detected based on calls.

The tropical owl species are known to have different calls and singing tones. The sound produced by most species can be easily differentiated and recognized. In relation by playing their calls in a survey, their response to the call playbacks can

be used to estimate their density in an area (Fuller and Mosher, 1981; Mosher et al., 1990). Given that Sunda Scops Owls display a territorial vocal behaviour (Najmi-hanis et al., 2016), playbacks are expected to be feasible to increase encounter rates during their population surveys. Although many studies have documented the territorial behaviour of birds and factors contributing to this behaviour (Krebs 1971; Evans 1980; Boal and Bibles, 2001), most aspects of territoriality in Sunda Scops Owls are poorly understood. Therefore, the study of owls based on call playback could provide important insights on their density estimation based on vocal response and also the effectiveness of using the method in the Malaysian forests.



1.5 Objectives

The primary objective of the study was to determine the density of the Sunda Scops Owls and factors affecting their vocal response at the Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve, Puchong, Selangor. The specific objectives were as follows:

- i. To estimate the density of Sunda Scops Owl using distance sampling and call playback method,
- ii. To examine the relationship between vocal detection of the species and environmental variables, and
- iii. To compare the detection of the owls between breeding and nonbreeding periods.



REFERENCES

- Arrowood, P. C., Finley C. A., & Thompson, B. C. (2001). Analyses of burrowing owl populations in New Mexico. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 35:362-370.
- Aumann, T. (2001). The structure of raptor assemblages in riparian environments in the south-west of the Northern Territory, Australia. *Emu*, 101(4): 293-304.
- Bawon, P.,& Amat Ramsa Y. (2005).*Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve: Multimedia Super Corridor Community Heritage*. Selangor: Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.
- Becking J.H. (1994).On the biology and voice of the Javan Scops Owl Otus angelinae. Bulletin British Ornithologist Club, 114: 211-224.
- Benshemesh, J. S., & Emison, W. B. (1996).Surveying breeding densities of Malleef owl using an airborne thermal Research,23: 121-142.
- Beruldsen, G. R. (1997).Notes on vocal behaviour and breeding of the Plumed Frogmouth Podargus ocellatus plumiferus. Australian Birdwatcher, 17: 192-197.
- Bilney, R. J., Cooke, R., & White J. G. (2010).Underestimated and severe: small mammal decline from the forests of south-eastern Australia since European settlement, as revealed by a top-order predator. *Biological Conservation*, 143(1): 52-59.
- BirdLife International (2001). *Threatened birds of Asia: the BirdLife International Red Data Book*. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International.
- Boal, C. W., & Bibles, B. D. (2001). Responsiveness of elf owls to conspecific and great horned owl calls. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 72(1): 66-71.
- Bond, G., Burnside, N.G., Metcalfe, D.J., Scott, D.M., & Blamire, J. (2004).The effects of land-use and landscape structure on barn owl (*Tyto alba*) breeding success in southern England, U.K. *Landscape Ecology*,20: 555–566.
- Borchers, D. L., Buckland, S. T., Goedhart, P. W., Clarke, E. D., & Hedley, S. L.(1998). Horvitz-Thompson estimators for double-platform line transect surveys. *Biometrics*, 54: 1221-1237.
- Bosakowski, T., Speiser, R., & Benzinger, J. (1987). Distribution, density, and habitat relationships of the Barred Owl in northern New Jersey. In R. W. Nero, R. J. Clark, R. J. Knapton, & R. H. Hamre (Eds.), *Biology and Conservation of Northern Forest Owls* (pp. 135-143). Fort Collins,

Colorado, USA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report, RM-142.

- Bosakowski, T., & Smith, D. G. (1998).Response of a forest raptor community to broadcasts of heterospecific and conspecific calls during the breeding season. *Canadian Field-Naturalist*, 112(2): 198-203.
- Boyer, A. G., James, H. F., Olson, S. L., & Grant-Mackie, J. A. (2010). Longterm ecological change in a conservation hotspot: the fossil avifauna of Mé Auré Cave, New Caledonia. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19(11): 3207-3224.
- Braga, A. C. R., & Motta-Junior, J. C. (2009).Weather conditions and moon phase influence on Tropical Screech Owl and Burrowing Owl detection by playback in southeast Brazil. *Ardea*, 97(4): 395-401.
- Bull, E. L., Wright, A. L., & Henjum, M. G. (1989).Nesting and diet of Longeared Owls in conifer forests, Oregon. *The Condor*, 91: 908-912.
- Burnham, K. P., Anderson, D. R., & Laake, J. L. (1980).Estimation of density from line transect sampling of biological populations. *Wildlife* monographs, 72: 3-202.
- Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (1984). The need for distance data in transect counts. *The Journal of wildlife management*, 48: 1248-1254.
- Buckland, S. T., Anderson, D. R., & Burnham, K. P. Laake. J. L. (1993). Distance sampling: estimating abundance of biological populations. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Buckland, S. T., Anderson, D. R., Burnham, K. P., Laake, J. L., Borchers, D. L., & Thomas, L. (2001).*Introduction to distance sampling: estimating abundance of biological populations*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Buckland, S. T. (2006).Point-transect surveys for songbirds: robust methodologies. *The Auk*, 123(2): 345-357.
- Cannings, R. J. (1993). Northern Saw-whet Owl (*Aegolius Acadicus*). In A. Poole & F. Gill (Eds.), *The Birds of North America,* (Vol. 42). Philadelphia, PA; The Academy of Natural Sciences; Washington, DC: American Ornithologists' Union.
- Cannings, R. J., & Angell, T. (2001).Western Screech-owl (*Otus kennicottii*). In A. Poole & F. Gill (Eds.), *The Birds of North America*, (Vol. 597). Philadelphia, PA: The Birds of North America, Inc.
- Call, D. R., Gutiérrez, R. J., & Verner, J. (1992).Foraging habitat and home-range characteristics of California spotted owls in the Sierra Nevada. *The Condor*, 94(4): 880-888.

- Clark, R. J., Smith, D. G., & Kelso, L. H. (1978). Working bibliography of owls of the world: with summaries of current taxonomy and status. Washington DC: National Wildlife Federation.
- Clark, K. A., & Anderson, S. H. (1997). Temporal, climatic and lunar factors affecting owl vocalizations of western Wyoming. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 31(4): 358-363.
- Conway, C. J., & Simon, J. C. (2003).Comparison of detection probability associated with burrowing owl survey methods. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 67(3): 501-511.
- Conway, C. J., Sulzman, C., & Raulston, B. E. (2004).Factors affecting detection probability of California black rails. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 67: 501-511.
- Currie, D., Millett, J., Hill, M., & Shah, N. J. (2002).Factors affecting the response of Seychelles Scops-owl Otus insularis to playback of conspecific calls: consequences for monitoring and management. *Bird Conservation International*, 12(04): 353-364.
- Currie, D., Fanchette, R., Millett, J., Hoareau, C., & Shah, N. J. (2004).The distribution and population of the Seychelles (Bare-legged) Scops Owl Otus insularis on Mahé: consequences for conservation. *Ibis*, 146(1): 27-37.
- Debus, S. J. S. (2001).Surveys of the Barking Owl and Masked Owl on the north-west slopes of New South Wales. *Corella*, 25(1): 5-11.
- Diefenbach, D. R., Brauning, D. W., & Mattice, J. A. (2003).Variability in grassland bird counts related to observer differences and species detection rates. *The Auk*, 120(4): 1168-1179.
- Dirzo, R., & Raven, P. H. (2003).Global state of biodiversity and loss. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 28(1): 137-167.
- Doucette, L. I. (2010). Home range and territoriality of Australian owletnightjars Aegotheles cristatus in diverse habitats. *Journal of Ornithology*, 151(3): 673-685.
- Dow, D. D. (1970).Indexing population densities of the Cardinal with taperecorded song. *The Wilson Bulletin*, 82(1): 83-91.
- Duckett, J. E. (1991).Management of the barn owl (*Tyto alba javanica*) as a predator of rats in oil palm (*Elaeis quineensis*) plantations in Malaysia. *Birds of Prey Bulletin*, 4: 11- 23.
- Duncan, J. R. (2003). *Owls of the world: their lives, behavior and survival.* Toronto: Key Porter Books.

- Elkins, N. (1983). Weather and bird behaviour. Carlton, United Kingdom: Poyser.
- Enriquez, P. L. & Rangel-Salazar, J. L (2001).Owl occurrence and calling behavior in a tropical rainforest. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 35(2): 107-114.
- Enriquez-Rocha, P. L., & Holt. D. W. (1993).Presence and distribution of Mexican owls: a review. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 27: 154–160.
- Enríquez-Rocha, P. L., Rangel-Salazar J. L., & Marshall J. T. (1997).First nest record of the Bare-shanked Screech-owl (*Otus clarkii*). *Journal of Raptor Research*,31: 276-279.
- Evans, D. L. (1980). Vocalizations and territorial behavior of wintering Snowy Owls. *American Birds*, 34: 748-749.
- Fuller, M. R., Millspaugh, J. J., Church, K. E. and Kenward, R. E. (2005). Wildlife radiotelemetry. In C. E. Braun, (Ed.), *Techniques for wildlife investigations and management* (pp. 377-417). Bethesda, USA: The Wildlife Society.
- Fuller, M. R., & Mosher, J. A. (1981). Methods of detecting and counting raptors. *Studies in Avian Biology*, 6: 235–246.
- Fuller, M. R., & Mosher, J. A. (1987).Raptor survey techniques.In B. A. Giron Pendleton, B. A. Millsap, K. W. Cline, & D. M. Bird (Eds.), *Raptor Research Management Techniques Manual* (pp.37-65). Washington, DC: National Wildlife Federation.
- Fuller, T. K., & Sampson B. A. (1988). Evaluation of a simulated howling for wolves. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 52: 60–63.
- Forsman, E. D., Kaminski, T. J., Lewis, J. C., Maurice, K. J., Sovern, S. G., Ferland, C., & Glenn, E. M. (2005). Home range and habitat use of Northern Spotted Owls on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 39(4): 365-377.
- Forsman, E. D. (1983). *Methods and materials for locating and studying spotted owls.* Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Forsman, E. D., Meslow E. C., & Wight, H. M. (1984).Distribution and biology of the Spotted Owl in Oregon. Wildlife Monographs, 87: 1-64.
- Foster, M. (1965). An early reference to the technique of owl calling. *The Auk*, 82:651-653.
- Ganey, J. L. (1990).Calling behavior of spotted owls in northern Arizona.*The Condor*, 92(2):485-490.

- Gerhardt, R. P. (1991).Response of the Mottled Owl (*Cicaba virgata*) to broadcast of conspecific call. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 62: 239-244.
- Gibbs, J. P., & Melvin S. W. (1993).Call-response surveys for monitoring breeding waterbirds. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 57: 27-34.
- Graham, A., & Bell, R. (1989).Investigating observer bias in aerial survey by simultaneous double-counts. *The Journal of wildlife management*, 53: 1009-1016.
- Guetterman, J. H., Burns, J. A., Reid, J. A., Horn, R. B., & Foster, C. C. (1991). Radio telemetry methods for studying spotted owls in the Pacific Northwest. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Guthery, F. S. (1988).Line transect sampling of bobwhite density on rangeland: evaluation and recommendations. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*,16: 193-203
- Hafidzi M. N., Hamzah M. H., & Jamaluddin M. L. (2003). Ranging behavior of *Tyto alba* in a ricefield using radio telemetry. *Journal of Malaysian Applied Biology*, 32: 45-49.
- Hannah, K. C. (2009).Call playbacks increase detection rates of northern hawk owl in recent burns. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 43(3): 241-244.
- Hamer, T. E., Forsman, E. D., & Glenn, E. M. (2007). Home range attributes and habitat selection of barred owls and spotted owls in an area of sympatry. *The Condor*, 109: 750-768.
- Haug, E. A., & Didiuk A. B. (1993).Use of recorded calls to detect burrowing owls. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 64:188–194.
 - Hardouin, L. A., Bretagnolle, V., Tabel, P., Bavoux, C., Burneleau, G., & Reby, D. (2009). Acoustic cues to reproductive success in male owl hoots. *Animal Behaviour*, 78: 907-913.
- Hayward, G. D., Hayward, P. H., & Garton, E. O. (1987).Movements and home range use by boreal owls in central Idaho. In R. W. Nero, R. J. Clark, R. J. Knapton, & R. H. Hamre (Eds.), *Biology and Conservation of Northern Forest Owls*(pp. 175-184). Fort Collins, Colorado, USA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report, RM-142.
- Hayward, G. D., & Hayward, P. H. (1993).Boreal Owl (Aegolius funereus). In A. Poole & F. Gill, (Eds.), *The Birds of North America,* (Vol. 63). Philcadelphia, PA; The Academy of Natural Sciences; Washington, DC: American Ornithologists' Union.

- Henrique Borges, S., Magalli Henriques, L., & Carvalhaes, A. (2004). Density and habitat use by owls in two Amazonian forest types. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 75(2): 176-182.
- Herter, D. R., Hicks, L. L. S., H.C., Millspaugh, J. J., Stabins, A. J., & Melampy, L. D. (2002). Roost site characteristics of Northern spotted owls in the non breeding season in Central Washington. *Forest Science*, 48(2): 437-444.
- Hill, F. A.R., & Lill, A. (1998).Density and total population estimates for the threatened Christmas Island hawk owl *Ninox natalis. Emu*, 98: 209-220.
- Hobbs, J., Blackburn, I., & Harested, A. (2005). Survey protocol and standards for the Northern Spotted Owl (Strix occidentalis caurina) in British Columbia (pp.34). British Columbia, Canada: Resource Inventory Standards Committee.
- Holmberg, T. (1979).Punkttaxering av pärluggla Aegolius funereus-en metödstudie. VårFågelvärld, 38: 237- 244.
- Holroyd, G.L. & Takats, L. (1997).Report on the nocturnal raptor monitoring workshop. In J. R. Duncan, D.H Johnson, & T. H. Nicholls, (Eds.), *Biology and conservation of owls of the Northern Hemisphere. 2nd International Symposium* (pp.609-611). North Carolina, USA: U.S.Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report RM-190.
- Hounsome, T. D., Young, R. P., Davison, J., Yarnell, R. W., Trewby, I. D., Garnett, B. T., Delahay, R. J., & Wilson, G. J. (2005). An evaluation of distance sampling to estimate badger (Meles meles) abundance. *Journal of Zoology*, 266(1): 81-87.
- Indrawan, M.,& Somadikarta, S. (2004). A new hawk-owl from the Togian Islands, Gulf of Tomini, central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Bulletin British Ornithologist Club*, 124: 160-171.
- Inskipp, T. P., Lindsey, N., & Duckworth, W. (1996). *An annotated checklist of the birds of the Oriental region*. Sandy: Oriental Bird Club.
- Ishtiaq, F., Rasmusseen, P.C., & Rahmani, A.R. (2002).Ecology and behavior of Forest Owlet. In I. Newton, R. Kavanagh, J. Osleon, & I. Taylor (Eds.), *Ecology and Conservation of Owls* (pp. 80-88). Australia: CSIRO publishing.
- Jacobsen, L. B., Sunde, P., Rahbek, C., Dabelsteen, T., & Thorup, K. (2013). Territorial calls in the little owl (*Athene noctua*): spatial dispersion and social interplay. *Ornis Fennica*, 90: 41-49.
- Johnson, P. N. (1994).Selection and use of nest sites by barn owls in Norfolk, England. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 28(3): 149-153.

- Johnson, R. R., Brown, B. T., Haight, L. T., & Simpson, J. M. (1981).Playback recordings as a special avian censusing technique. *Studies in Avian Biology*, 6: 68-75.
- Johnson, R. R., & Dinsmore J. J., (1986). The use of tape-recorded calls to count Virginia Rails and Soras. *The Wilson Bulletin*, 98: 303-306.
- Jenkins, D. V., de Silva, G. S., Wells, D. R., & Phillips, A. (1996). An annotated checklist of the birds of Kinabalu Park. In K. M. Wong & A. Phillipps (Eds.), *Kinabalu, Summit of Borneo* (pp. 397-437). Sabah, Kota Kinabalu: Sabah Society and Sabah Parks.
- Johnson, B. (1997).Demography and population dynamics of the burrowing owl. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 9: 28–33.
- Karr, J. R., (1979). On the use of mist nets in the study of birds communities. *Inland Bird Banding*, 51: 1-10.
- Kaufmann, G. W., (1988). The usefulness of taped Spotless Crake calls as a census technique. *The Wilson Bulletin*, 100: 682–686.
- Kavanagh, R. P. (2002). Conservation and management of large forest owls in south-eastern Australia. In I. Newton, R. P. Kavanagh, J. Olsen, I. R. Taylor (Eds.), *The ecology and conservation of owls* (pp. 201-219). Melbourne: CSIRO.
- Kavanagh, R. P., & Peake, P. (1993). Distribution and habitats of nocturnal forest birds in south-eastern New South Wales. In P. Olsen (Ed.), Australian Raptor Studies (pp.101-125).Melbourne: Royal Australasian Ornithologists Union.
- Kemp, A., Kemp, M., & Thong-Aree, S. (2009).Surveys of nocturnal birds at Bala rainforest, southern Thailand. *Forktail*, 25: 118-125.
- Keller, C. M., & Fuller, M. R. (1995).Comparison of birds detected from roadside and off-road point counts in the Shenandoah National Park. In C. J. Ralph, J. R. Sauer, & S. Droege (Eds.), *Monitoring bird populations by point counts* (pp. 111-115). Albany, California, USA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report, RM-149.
- Kissling, M. L., Lewis, S. B., & Pendleton, G. (2010).Factors influencing the detectability of forest owls in south eastern Alaska. *The Condor*, 112(3): 539-548.
- Klok, C., & de Roos, A.M. (2007). Effects of vole fluctuations on the population dynamics of the barn owl *Tyto alba*. *Acta Biotheoretica*, 55:227-241.
- Krebs, J. R. (1971). Territory and breeding density in the Great Tit, Parus major L. *Ecology*, *52*, 3-22.

König, C., Weick, F., & Becking, J. H. (1999). *Owls: a guide to the owls of the world*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

König, C., & Weick, F. (2008). Owls of the world. London: Helm.

- Korfanta, N. M., Ayers L. W., Anderson S. H., & Mcdonald, D. B. (2001). A preliminary assessment of burrowing owl population status in Wyoming. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 35: 337–343.
- Korpimäki, E., & Norrdahl, K. (1991).Numerical and functional responses of kestrels, short-eared owls, and long-eared owls to vole densities. *Ecology*, 72: 814-826.
- Kuvlesky Jr, W. P., Koerth, B. H., & Silvy, N. J. (1989). Problems of estimating northern bobwhite populations at low density. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeast Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, Vol. 43: pp. 260-267.
- Laake, J. L., Calambokidis, J., Osmek, S. D., & Rugh, D. J. (1997).Probability of detecting harbor porpoise from aerial surveys: estimating g (0). *The Journal of wildlife management*, 6: 63-75.
- Laake, J. L., &Borchers, D. L.(2004).Methods for incomplete detection at distance zero. In S. T. Buckland, D. R. Anderson, K. P. Burnham, & J. L. Laake (Eds.), Advanced Distance Sampling (pp. 108-189).New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lambert, F. R., & Rasmussen, P.C. (1998). A new Scops Owl from Sangihe Island, Indonesia. *Bulletin British Ornithology Club*, 118: 204-217.
- Leadprathom, K., Chimchome, V., & Bumrungsri, .S (2009).Nesting ecology of the collared scops owl *Otus lettia* in Thailand. *Ardea*, 97(4):457-461.
- Lee, R. J., & Riley J. (2001). Morphology, plumage, and habitat of the newly described Cinnabar Hawk-Owl from North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *The Wilson Bulletin*, 113: 17-22.
- Lenton, G. M. (1984). The feeding and breeding ecology of barn owls *Tyto alba* in Peninsular Malaysia. *Ibis*, 126: 551-575.
- Legare, M., Eddleman, W. R., Buckley, P. A., & Kelly, C. (1999).The effectiveness of tape playback in estimating black rail density. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 63:116-125.
- Lok, A. F. S. L., Lee, T. K., & Lim, K. C. (2009). The biology of *Otus lempiji* cnephaus deignan, the Sunda ScopsOwl in Singapore. Nature in Singapore, 20092: 31-38.

- Lundberg, A. (1980).Vocalizations and courtship feeding of the Ural Owl Strix uralensis. *Ornis Scandinavica*, 11: 65-70.
- MacKenzie, D. I., Royle, J. A., Brown, J. A., & Nichols, J. D. (2004).Occupancy estimation and modeling for rare and elusive populations. In W. L. Thompson (Ed.), *Sampling Rare or Elusive Species: Concepts, Designs, and Techniques for Estimating Population Parameters* (pp. 149-171). Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Marcot, B. G. (1995). *Owls of old forests of the world*.Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report PNW-343.
- Margaret, F., Kinnaird, E. W., Sanderson, T. G., O'brien, H. T., & Wibisono, G. W. (2003).Deforestation Trends in a Tropical Landscape and Implications for Endangered Large Mammals. *Conservation Biology*,17: 245-257.
- Marques, T. A., Andersen, M., Christensen-Dalsgaard, S., Belikov, S., Boltunov, A., Wiig, Ø., Buckland, S. T., & Aars, J. (2006).The use of global positioning systems to record distances in a helicopter linetransect survey. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 34(3): 759-763.
- Marion, W. R., O'meara, T. E., & Maehr, D. S. (1981).Use of playback recordings in sampling elusive or secretive birds. *Studies in Avian Biology*, 6: 81-85.
- Marks, J. S., Cannings, R. J., & Mikkola, H. (1999). Family Strigidae (typical owls). *Handbook of The Birds of The World*, 5: 76-242.
- Marsh, H., & Sinclair, D. F. (1989).Correcting for visibility bias in strip transect aerial surveys of aquatic fauna. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 53: 1017-1024.
- Martínez, J. A., Zuberogoitia. I., Martínez. J. E., Zabala.J.,& Calvo. J. F., (2007).Patterns of territory settlement by Eurasian scops owls (*Otus scops*) in altered semi-arid landscapes. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 69: 400–409.
- Mayers, N. (1992). *The primary source: tropical forests and our future*. New York: W.W.Norton.
- Mazur, K. M., & James, P. C. (2000).Barred Owl (*Strix varia*). In A. Poole, & F. Gill (Eds.), *The Birds of North America*, (Vol. 508). Philadelphia, PA: The Birds of North America, Inc.
- Meijaard, E., Sheil D., Nasi, R., Augeri D., Rosenbaum, B., Iskandar, D., Setyawati, T., Lammertink, M., Rachmatika, I., Wong, A., Soehartono, T.,Stanley, S. and O'Brien, T. (2005).*Life after logging: reconciling wildlife conservation and production forestry in*

Indonesia Borneo. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).

- McClaren, E. L. (2001). Factors influencing northern goshawk detectability and reproduction on Vancouver Island, British Columbia. Master's thesis, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- Mcgarigal, K., & Fraser, J.D.(1985).Barred Owl responses to recorded vocalizations. *TheCondor*, 87: 552-553.
- McIntyre, A. & Henry, S.R. (2002).Large forest owl conservation in the East Gippsland Forest Management Area, Victoria. In I. Newton, R. P. Kavanagh,& J. Olsen (Eds.), *Ecology and conservation of owls* (pp. 220-232). Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.
- McLeod, M. A., & Andersen, D. E. (1998).Red-shouldered Hawk broadcast surveys: factors affecting detection of responses and population trends. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 62: 1385-1397.
- Miller, A.H., & Miller, L. (1951).Geographic variation of the Screech Owls of the deserts of western North America. *The Condor*, 53(4): 161-177.
- Milledge, D. R., Palmer, C. L., & Nelson, J. L. (1991).'Barometers of change': the distribution of large owls and gliders in mountain ash forests of the Victorian central highlands and their potential as management indicators. In D. Lunney (Ed.), *Conservation of Australia's forest fauna* (pp. 53-65). Sydney: Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales.
- MNS-BCC.(2005).*A checklist of the birds of Malaysia*.MNS Conservation Publication No.2.Malaysian Nature Society-Bird Conservation Council, Kuala Lumpur, 39 pp.
- Mori, E., Menchetti, M., & Ferretti, F. (2014). Seasonal and environmental influences on the calling behaviour of Eurasian scops owls. *Bird Study*, 61: 277-281.
- Morrell, T. E., Yahner, R. H., & Harkness, W. L. (1991). Factors effecting detection of great horned owls by using broadcast vocalizations. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 19: 481-488.
- Mosher, J. A., Fuller, M. R., & Kopenny, M. (1990).Surveying woodland raptors by broadcast of conspecific vocalization. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 61: 453-461.
- Mosher, J. A., & Fuller, M. R. (1996).Surveying woodland hawks with broadcasts of Great Horned Owl vocalizations. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 24: 531-536.

- Moulton, C. E., Brady, R. S., & Belthoff, J. R. (2004). Territory defence of nesting burrowing owls: responses to stimulated conspecific intrusion. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 75(3): 288-295.
- Muchtar, M. & Setiawan, I. (2005).Raptor research and conservation in Indonesia: recent initiatives. In A. Jalila, M. H. N. Chong,A. C. Sebastian, & C. A. Yeap (Eds.), Proceedings of the Fourth Symposium on Asian Raptors: Towards Conservation of Asian Raptors through Science and Action(pp.121-134). Kuala Lumpur: Asian Raptor Research & Conservation Network/Malaysian Nature Society.
- Nagy, C., Bardwell, K., Rockwell, R. F., Christie, R., & Weckel, M. (2012).Validation of a citizen science-based models of site occupancy for Eastern screech owls with systematic data in suburban New York and Connecticut. *Northeastern Naturalist*, 19(6): 143-153.
- Najmi-hanis, Z., Puan, C. L, Zakaria, M., & Badrul, A. (2016). Home range and activity patterns of Sunda scops owl in Peninsular Malaysia. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 64: 28-32.
- Navarro, J., Minguez, E., Garcia, D., Villacorta, C., Botella, F., Sanchez-Zapata, J. A., Carrete, M., & Gimenez, A. (2005).Differential effectiveness of playbacks for little owls (*Athene noctua*) surveys before and after sunset. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 39(4): 454- 457.
- Nekaris, K. A. I., Blackham, G. V., & Nijman, V. (2008).Conservation implications of low encounter rates of five nocturnal primate species (*Nycticebus* spp.) in Asia. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 17: 733-747.
- Newton, I. (1979).*Population Ecology of Raptors*. Hertfordshire, United Kingdom: Pitman Press.
- Newton, I. (1994). The role of nest sites in limiting the numbers of holenesting birds: A review. *Biological Conservation*, 70(3): 265-276.
- Newton, I. (2002).Population limitation in Holarctic owls. In I. Newton, R. P. Kavanagh, J. Olsen, & I. Taylor (Eds.), *Ecology and conservation of owls*(pp.3-29). Collingwood, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- Newton, I. (2010). *Population ecology of raptors*. London: T and AD Poyser Lts (A&C Black.)
- Nichols, J. D., Hines, J. E., Sauer, J. R., Fallon, F. W., Fallon, J. E., & Heglund, P. J. (2000). A double-observer approach for estimating detection probability and abundance from point counts. *The Auk*, 117(2): 393-408.

- Nijman, V. (2004).Survey on birds of prey and owls (Falconiformes and Strigiformes) on Bawean, Java Sea, with records of three species new to the islands. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 52(2): 647-651.
- Odom, K. J., & Mennil, D. J., (2010). A quantitative description of the vocalizations and vocal activity of the barred owl. *The Condor*, 112(3): 549–560.
- Ogutu, J. O., & Dublin, H. T. (1998). The response of lions and spotted hyenas to sound playbacks as a technique for estimating population size. *African Journal of Ecology*, 36:83–95.
- Olsen, J., Wink, M., Sauer-Gürth, H., & Trost, S. (2002). A new *Ninox* owl from Sumba, Indonesia. *Emu*, 102: 223-231.
- Otto, M. C., & Pollock, K. H. (1990).Size bias in line transect sampling: a field test. *Biometrics*, 46: 239-245.
- Palmer, D. A. (1987).Annual, seasonal, and nightly variation in calling activity of Boreal and Northern Saw-whet Owls.In R. W. Nero, R. J. Clark, R. J. Knapton, & R. H. Hamre (Eds.), *Biology and conservation of Northern Forest Owls* (pp. 162-168).Fort Collins, Colorado, USA: U.S.Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report RM-142.
- Pardieck, K. L., Meyers, J. M., & Pagan, M. (1996).Surveys of Puerto Rican screech owl populations in large-tract and fragmented forest habitats. *The Wilson Bulletin*, 108(4): 776–782.
- Parker, D.G., Webster, R., Belcher, C.A., & Leslie, D. (2007). A survey of large forest owls in State Forests of south-western New South Wales, Australia. *Australian Zoologist*, 34(1): 78-84.
- Peery, M.Z. (2000).Factors affecting interspecies variation in home-range size of Raptors. *The Auk*, 117(2): 511-517.
- Penteriani, V., Gallardo, M., & Roche, P. (2002). Landscape structure and food supply affect eagle owl (*Bubo bubo*) density and breeding performance: a case of intra-population heterogeneity. *Journal of Zoology, London,* 257: 365-372.
- Penteriani, V., del Mar Delgado, M., Campioni, L., & Lourenço, R. (2010). Moonlight makes owls more chatty. *PloS one*, 5(1): 1-5.
- Petty, S. J., Appleby, B. M., Coles, C. F., & Julliard, R. (2004). The long-term effect of fitting back-mounted radio tags to juvenile tawny owls *Strix aluco. Wildlife Biology*, 10(3): 161-170.
- Peters, J. L.(1940). *Check-list of birds of the world.* (Vol.4). Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

- Puan, C.L., Zakaria M. & Badrul A. (2006).Owl species composition in isolated and continuous forests. In: W.Ratnam,K. Nadarajah,A. S. Norrakiah,M. H. Zeti Azura &M. Ahmad Daman Huri (Eds.), Proceedings of the Eighth National Symposium on Biology: Indigenous Biological Research for National Development(pp.1-4). Bangi: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Pyke, G. H., & Recher, H. F. (1985). Estimated forest bird densities by variables distance points counts. *Australian Wildlife Research*, 12: 307-319.
- Rasmussen P.C. (1999). A new species of Hawk-Owl *Ninox* from North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *The Wilson Bulletin*, 111: 457-464.
- Richards, D. G. (1981). Environmental acoustics and censuses of singing birds. *Studies in Avian Biology*, 6: 297-300.
- Richmond, O. M. W., Hines, J. E., & Beissenger, S. R. (2010).Two-species occupancy models: a new parameterization applied to cooccurrence of secretive rails. *Ecological Applications*, 20(7): 2036-2046.
- RISC. (2001).British Columbia Standards, Specifications and Guidelines for Resource Surveys Using Global Positioning System (GPS)Technology,Release3.Resource Information Standard Committee. British Columbia, Canada.
- RISC.(2002). The Vertebrates of British Columbia: Scientific and English Names. Standards for Components of British Columbia's Biodiversity No.2 Version 3.0. Resource Information Standard Committee. British Columbia, Canada.
- Rosenfield. R. N., Bielefeldt. J., Anderson, R.K., & Smith, W.A., (1985). Taped calls as an aid in locating Cooper's Hawk nests. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 13: 62-63.
- Roy, P. S., Dutt, C. B. S., & Joshi, P. K. (2002).Tropical forest resource assessment and monitoring. *Tropical Ecology*, 43: 21-37.
- Rusk, J. P., F. Hernandez, J. A., Arredondo, F., Hernandez, F. C., Bryant, D.G., Hewitt, E. J., Redeker, L. A., Brennan, & R. L., Bingham. (2007). An evaluation of survey methods for estimating northern bobwhite abundance in Southern Texas. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 71:1336-1343.
- Salvati, L., Ranazzi, L., & Manganaro, A. (2002). Habitat preferences, breeding success, and diet of the Barn Owl (*Tyto alba*) in Rome: Urban versus rural territories. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 36(3): 224-228. Seamans, M. E., Gutiérrez, R. J., &May, C. A. (2002). Mexican Spotted Owl(*Strix occidentalis*) population dynamics;

influence of climatic variation on survival and reproduction. *The Auk,* 119:321-334.

- Seavy, N. E. (2004).Calling activity in Kibale National Park, Uganda. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 38(3): 208-213.
- Sergio, F., Marchesi, L., & Pedrini, P. (2008).Density, diet and productivity of Long-eared Owls Asio otus in the Italian Alps: the importance of Microtus voles. Bird Study, 55(3): 321-328.
- Sheldon F. H., Moyle, R.G. & Kennard, J. (2001).Ornithology of Sabah: history, gazetteer, annotated checklist, and bibliography. *Ornithology Monographs*, 52: 285-286.
- Shepherd, C.S., & Shepherd, L. A. (2009). An emerging Asian taste for owls? Enforcement agency seizes 1,236 owls and other wildlife in Malaysia. *Birding Asia*, 11: 85-86.
- Shyry, D. T., Wellicome, T.I., Schmutz, J. K., Erickson, G. L., Scobie, D. L., Russell, R. F., & Martin, R. G., (2001).Burrowing owl population- trend surveys in Southern Alberta: 1991-2000. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 35(4): 310-315.
- Silvy, N. J., & Robel, R. J. (1967).Recordings used to help trap booming Greater Prairie Chickens. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 31(2): 370-373.
- Sliwa, A., & Sherry, T. W. (1992).Surveying wintering warbler populations in Jamaica: point counts with and without broadcast vocalizations. *The Condor*, 94: 924-936.
- Smith D.G. (1987).Owl census techniques.In B.A. Giron Pendleton, B.A. Millsap, K. W. Cline, & D. M. Bird (Eds.). *Raptor Research Management Techniques Manual* (pp. 304-307). Washington,DC: National Wildlife Federation.
- Smith, D. G., Devine, A. & Walsh, D. (1987).Censusing screech owls in southern Connecticut. In R. W. Nero, R. J. Clark, R. J. Knapton, & R. H. Hamre, (Eds.), *Biology and conservation of northern forest owls* (pp. 255-267). Fort Collins, Colorado, USA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Sevice, General Technical Report, RM-142.
- Smith, G. C., & Jones, D. N. (1997). Vocalizations of the marbled frogmouth: I. descriptions and analysis of sex differences. *Emu*, 97: 290-295.
- Smith, G. C., & Hamley, B. J. (2009).Variation in vocal response of Plumed Frogmouth (*Podargus ocellatus plumiferus*) to call-playback. *Emu*, 109: 339-343.
- Smith, R.B., Peery, M.Z., Gutierrez, R.J., & Lahaye, W.S. (1999).The relationship between spotted owl diet and reproductive success in

the San Bernardino Mountains, California. *The Wilson Bulletin*,111(1): 22-29.

- Smythies, B. E., & Davison, G. W. H.(1999). *The birds of Borneo, (*4th Ed.) Kota Kinabalu: Natural History Publications (Borneo).
- Springer, M. A. (1978).Foot surveys versus owl calling surveys:a comparative study of two Great Horned Owl censusing techniques. *Inland Bird Banding News*,50:83-93.
- Sunde, P., Overskaug, K., Bolstad, J.P., & Oien, I.J. (2001).Living at the limit: Ecology and behaviour of Tawny Owls *Strix aluco* in a northern edge population in central Norway. *Ardea*, 89(3), 495-508.
- Sutherland, W. J. (1996). *Ecological census techniques*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Sutherland, W. J. (Ed.). (2006). *Ecological census techniques: a handbook*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Swengel, S. R. & Swengel, A. B. (1992).Roosts of Northern Saw-whet Owls in southern Wisconsin. *The Condor*, 94: 699-706.
- Swengel, A. B., and Swengel, S. R. (1997).Auditory surveys for northern sawwhet owls (*Aegolius acadicus*) in southern Wisconsin 1986-1996. In J. R., Duncan, D. H. Johnson, & T. N. Nicholls (Eds.), *Biology and conservation of owls of the northern hemisphere* (pp.411-420). St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report NC-190.
- Swift, B.L., Orman S.R., & Ozard, J. W. (1988).Response of Least Bitterns to tape-recorded calls. *The Wilson Bulletin,* 100: 496-499.
- Takagi, M., Akatani, K., Matsui, S., & Saito, A. (2007a). Status of the Daito scops owl on Minami-Daito Island, Japan. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 41(1): 52-56.
- Takagi, M., Akatani, K., Saito, A., & Matsui, S. (2007b).Drastic decline of territorial male Daito Scops Owls on Minami-Daito Island in 2006. *Ornithological Science*, 6(1): 39-42.
- Takats, D. L., Francis, C. M., Holroyd, G. L, Duncan, J. R., Mazur, K. M. R, Cannings, J., Harris, W., & Holt. D. (2001). *Guidelines for nocturnal owl monitoring in north America*. Edmonton, AB: Beaverhill Bird Observatory and Bird Studies Canada.
- Takats, D. L. & Holroyd, G. L. (1997).Owl broadcast surveys in the Foothills Model Forest, Alberta, Canada. In R. W. Nero, R. J. Clark, R. J. Knapton & R. H. Hamre, (Eds.), *Biology and conservation of northern forest owls: symposium proceedings* (pp.421-431).Alberta, Canada: U.S.

Department of Agriculture, Forest Sevice, General Technical Report RM-142.

- Terborgh, J., Robinson, S. K., Parker, T. A., Munn, C., & Pierpont, N. (1990).Structure and organization of an Amazonian forest bird community. *Ecological Monographs*, 60: 213-238.
- Thiollay, J. M. (1994). Structure, density and rarity in an Amazonian rainforest bird community. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 10: 449-481.
- Thomas, L., Buckland S.T., Rexstad E. A., Laake J. L., Strindberg S., Hedley S. L., Bishop, J. R. B., Marques, T. A., & Burnham, K. P. (2010).Distance software: design and analysis of distance sampling surveys for estimating population size. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 47(1): 5–14.
- Thorn, M., Green, M., Bateman, P. W., Cameron, E. Z., Yarnell, R. W., & Scott, D. M. (2010).Comparative efficacy of sign surveys, spotlighting and audio playbacks in a landscape-scale carnivore survey. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research*, 40(1): 77-86.
- Trejo, A., & Beaudoin, F. (2011). Response of Rufous-legged Owls to broadcast of conspecific calls in southern temperate forests of Argentina. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 45(3): 267-271.
- Tutiš, V., Radović, D., Ciković, D., Barišić, S., & Kralji, J. (2009).Distribution, density and habitat relationships of the Ural owl *Strix uralensis macroura* in Croatia. *Ardea*, 97(4): 563-570.
- Vercauteren, T. L., Gillihan, S. W., &Hutchings, S. W. (2001).Distribution of burrowing owls on public and private lands in Colorado. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 35: 357-361.
- Voous, K.H. (1988). Owls of the northern hemisphere. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Wells, D. R. (1999). *The birds of the Thai-Malay Peninsula*, (Vol. 1.). London: Academic Press.
- Wells, D.R. (1986).Further parallels between the Asian Bay Owl *Phodilus badius* and *Tyto* species. *Bulletin British Ornithology Club*,106: 12-15.
- Wiens, J. D., Anthony, R. G., & Forsman, E. D. (2011).Barred owl occupancy surveys within the range of northern spotted owl. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 75(3): 531-538.
- Winton, B.R., & Leslie, Jr. D. M. (2004).Density and habitat associations of barred owls at the edge of their range in Oklahoma. *Southeastern Naturalist*, 3(3):475-482. Wilson, E. O., & Peter, (1988).In M. Frances (Ed.), *Biodiversity*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

- Wright, S. J. (2005).Tropical forests in a changing environment. *Trends in Ecology, Evolution,* 20: 553-560.
- Zakaria, M. & Puan, C. L. (2004).Wonders behind the Mist: A Tool to Capture Bird Data. *Malaysian Naturalist*, 58(1):48-49.
- Zakaria, M. and Francis, C. M. (1999).Effects of sampling scale and method on density estimates of tropical birds in Malaysia. In N. J. Adams & R. H. Slotow (Eds.), *Proceedings of 22 International Congress* (pp. 2554-2568). Durban, Johannesburg: Birdlife South Africa.
- Zakaria, M. & Topani, R. (1999). Abundance of primates in Ayer Hitam Forest, Puchong, Selangor. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 22: 105-109.
- Zakaria, M. & Rahim, A. (1999).Bird species composition in Ayer Hitam forest, Puchong, Selangor. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 22: 95-104.
- Zakaria, M., Sundai, S. & Rahim, M. (2001).Species composition of small mammals at the Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve, Puchong, Selangor. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, 23: 19-22.
- Zubergoitia, I., &Campos, L. F. (1998).Censusing owls in large areas: a comparison between methods. *Ardeola*, 45: 47-53.
- Zuberogoitia, I., Zabala, H.,& Martínez, J. E. (2011).Bias in little owl population estimates using playback techniques during surveys. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, 34(2): 395-400.