



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***DEVELOPMENT OF INTEGRATED CRITERIA FOR SELECTION AND
MONITORING OF SUBCONTRACTORS IN MALAYSIA***

LEW YOKE LIAN

FK 2017 90



**DEVELOPMENT OF INTEGRATED CRITERIA FOR SELECTION AND
MONITORING OF SUBCONTRACTORS IN MALAYSIA**

By

LEW YOKE LIAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

August 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF INTEGRATED CRITERIA FOR SELECTION AND MONITORING OF SUBCONTRACTORS IN MALAYSIA

By

LEW YOKE LIAN

August 2017

Chairman: Associate Professor Ir Salihudin Hassim, PhD
Faculty: Engineering

Subcontracting practice has become a norm in current construction industry. A performance of construction work is strongly dependant on subcontractors. Problems arising from subcontracting may cause vast influence to the construction project, and may affect the operation of the general contractor's organisation. Previous studies on subcontractors focused on the single phase of subcontracting practice, either on registration, selection of subcontractors, or on monitoring of subcontractors without much integration of different phases. This study aims to fill the gap between these phases. The key criteria used by general contractors in selecting subcontractors before job-awarding, and key criteria used for monitoring of subcontractors during construction work was first identified followed by discovery of the interrelationships between the criteria used for selection of subcontractors and the monitoring of subcontractors during construction stage. The interrelationships between the criteria and effects of these criteria on the project performance were investigated simultaneously using a single model based on structural equation modelling (SEM) method. Data obtained from 162 G7 contractors in Malaysia were analysed. The final model discovered four major criteria that are essential in the selection of subcontractors, namely, 'communication', 'relationship', 'general obligation', and 'resource management' of a subcontractor. Meanwhile, the major criteria for monitoring of subcontractor are 'workmanship', 'awareness of environment, health and safety', and 'communication and relationship'. The four criteria for selection of subcontractors were found to be interrelated among themselves and influence the criteria used to monitor subcontractors during the construction stage. The study also reveals that the criteria used to monitor subcontractor were found to influence the performance of a project. The interrelationships discovered in this study were used to develop a web-based system that allows the general contractor to select the subcontractors, monitor the subcontractors during construction stage, and predict the project performance using a single system. The gap between the criteria used for selection and monitoring of subcontractors is filled with this proposed web-based system.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBANGUNAN KRITERIA BERSEPADU UNTUK PEMILIHAN DAN PENGAWASAN SUBKONTRAKTOR DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

LEW YOKE LIAN

Ogos 2017

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Ir Salihudin Hassim, PhD
Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Amalan subkontrak adalah satu amalan biasa di dalam industri pembinaan semasa. Prestasi sesuatu kerja pembinaan amat bergantung kepada subkontraktor. Masalah berpunca daripada amalan subkontrak mungkin menyebabkan kesan yang besar kepada projek pembinaan, dan seterusnya mengganggu operasi kontraktor am. Kajian lepas ke atas subkontraktor barangkali fokus pada salah satu fasa tunggal di dalam amalan subkontraktor, pendaftaran, pilihan subkontraktor, atau atas pengawasan subkontraktor tanpa banyak integrasi antara fasa berlainan. Kajian ini bermatlamat untuk mengalamatkan jurang ini. Pertama, kriteria utama yang digunakan oleh kontraktor am untuk memilih subkontraktor sebelum penganugerahan kerja, dan untuk mengawas subkontraktor semasa pelaksanaan kerja pembinaan dikenalpastikan, diikuti dengan penemuan perhubungan antara kriteria-kriteria ini. Perhubungan antara kriteria dan kesan kriteria ke atas prestasi projek telah dikaji pada masa yang sama dengan menggunakan satu model tunggal berasaskan Model Persamaan Struktur (SEM). Data yang diperolehi daripada 162 G7 kontraktor di Malaysia telah dianalisa. Model muktamad mendapati empat kriteria utama yang penting semasa pemilihan subkontraktor, iaitu, 'komunikasi', 'perhubungan', 'tanggungjawab' dan 'pengurusan sumber'. Manakala kriteria utama yang penting semasa pengawasan subkontraktor adalah 'mutu kerja', 'kesedaran kepada alam sekitar, kesihatan dan keselamatan', dan 'komunikasi dan perhubungan'. Empat kriteria utama yang penting semasa pemilihan subkontraktor ini mempunyai hubungan korelasi yang signifikan antara satu sama lain dan mempengaruhi tiga kriteria digunakan dalam pengawasan subkontraktor semasa fasa pembinaan. Kajian ini juga mendedahkan bahawa kriteria untuk pengawasan subkontraktor didapati memberikan kesan ke atas prestasi projek. Hubungan korelasi yang dijumpai didalam kajian ini telah digunakan untuk pembinaan system berasaskan web yang membenarkan kontraktor am memilih subkontraktor, mengawas subkontraktor semasa fasa pembinaan dengan menggunakan satu system tunggal. Jurang antara kriteria yang untuk pemilihan dan pengawasan subkontraktor telah diisi dengan system berasaskan web ini.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest and sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Ir. Salihudin Hassim for his invaluable advice, guidance, suggestions and unfailing help throughout the project. My sincere thanks are also extended to my supervisory committee members, Professor Dr. Ratnasamy Muniandy and Associate Professor Dr. Law Teik Hwa for their comments and suggestions in this study.

Besides, I would like to thanks to all respondents of the pilot questionnaire and the main study that have shared their invaluable experience and knowledge. Last but not least, I would like to express my gratitude to my family, my husband, my children and friends for their continuous support, motivation and encouragement.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 4 August 2017 to conduct the final examination of Lew Yoke Lian on her thesis entitled "Development of Integrated Criteria for Selection and Monitoring of Subcontractors in Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Raizal Saifulnaz bin Muhammad Rashid, PhD

Associate Professor Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Shattri bin Mansor, PhD

Professor Dato'
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mohd Saleh bin Jaafar, PhD

Professor Dato' Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Carol Boyle, PhD

Professor
Deakin University
Australia
(External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 30 November 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Salihudin Hassim,
Associate Professor, Ir
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ratnasamy Muniandy, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Law Teik Hwa, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Lew Yoke Lian (GS 24457)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor Ir Salihudin
Hassim

Signature: _____

Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

Professor Doctor
Ratnasamy Muniandy

Signature: _____

Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor
Law Teik Hwa

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	iv
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Problem Statement	1
1.2 Background of the Study	3
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Significance of Study	4
1.4.1 Theoretical Contribution of the Study	4
1.4.2 Practical Implication of the Study	5
1.5 Overview of the Research Methodology	6
1.6 Scope and Limitations	6
1.7 Organization of Thesis	7
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 8
2.1 Subcontracting	8
2.2 Reasons of Subcontracting	9
2.3 Types of Subcontractors	9
2.3.1 Relationship Types between General contractor and Subcontractors	11
2.3.2 Multilayer Subcontracting	11
2.4 Problems of Subcontracting	12
2.5 Pertinent Studies on Subcontracting	14
2.5.1 Selection of Subcontractor	15
2.5.2 Monitoring of Subcontractor	23
2.6 Relationship between Selection and Monitoring of Subcontractor	30
2.7 Performance Evaluation in Construction Industry	30
2.8 Models for Construction Management	31
2.9 Structural Equation Modelling in Construction Management	32
2.10 Summary of the Chapter	35
 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	 36
3.1 Overview of Research Methodology	36
3.2 Research Framework and Hypotheses	37
3.3 Sampling Procedure	39

	3.3.1	Population of Study	39
	3.3.2	Sampling Method	39
3.4		Data Collection	40
	3.4.1	Development of Questionnaire	41
	3.4.2	Review of Literature	42
	3.4.3	Prepare Draft of Questionnaire	42
	3.4.4	Pilot Questionnaire	47
	3.4.5	Finalised Questionnaire	49
	3.4.6	Distribution of Questionnaire	51
3.5		Data Analysis	52
	3.5.1	Data Screening	52
	3.5.2	Inferential Statistical Analysis	53
	3.5.3	Reliability and Validity	53
	3.5.4	Structural Equation Modelling	54
3.6		Development of Web-Based Subcontractor Selection and Monitoring System	62
	3.6.1	Development of Web-based Subcontractor Selection and Monitoring System	63
	3.6.2	Validation of Web-based Subcontractor Selection and Monitoring System	63
3.7		Summary of the Chapter	64
4		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	65
	4.1	Respondent's Profiles	65
	4.1.1	Data Screening	65
	4.1.2	Demographic Data of Respondents	69
	4.2	Reliability and Validity of Data	71
	4.3	Factor Analysis	72
	4.4	Summary of Results for Criteria of CSSC and CMSC	82
	4.5	Structural Equation Modelling	83
	4.6	Final SEM for Selection and Monitoring of Subcontractor	89
	4.6.1	Criteria for Selection of Subcontractor	98
	4.6.2	Criteria for Monitoring of Subcontractor	103
	4.7	Summary of Results for Relationship between CSSC and CMSC	106
	4.8	Proposed Subcontractor Selection and Monitoring System	107
	4.8.1	Web-based SH-LEW Subcontractor Selection and Monitoring System	107
	4.9	Summary of Web-based Subcontractor Selection and Monitoring System	131
	4.10	Validation of SH-LEW Subcontractor Selection and Monitoring System	132
	4.11	Summary of the Chapter	135

5	CONCLUSION	136
5.1	Summary of Findings	136
5.2	Contribution of Study	140
5.2.1	Theoretical Contribution	140
5.2.2	Practical Contribution	140
5.3	Recommendations for Future Studies	141
5.4	Summary of the Chapter	141
	REFERENCES	143
	APPENDICES	155
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	165
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	166



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Criteria Used for Selection of Subcontractors	18
2.2	Criteria Used for Monitoring of Subcontractors	27
3.1	Draft of Criteria for Selection of Subcontractor	44
3.2	Draft of Criteria for Monitoring of Subcontractor	45
3.3	Criteria Removed after Pilot Study	49
3.4	Finalised Criteria for Selection of Subcontractor	50
3.5	Finalised Criteria for Monitoring of Subcontractor	51
3.6	Goodness-of-fit for SEM model	57
4.1	Criteria for selection of subcontractors	67
4.2	Criteria used for monitoring of subcontractors	68
4.3	Demographic of Respondents	70
4.4	Working Experience of Respondents	70
4.5	Consistency Test between Section C, Question 3 and Question 5 (Item 13)	72
4.6	Internal Consistency Reliability for scales used for selection and monitoring of subcontractors	72
4.7	Total Variance Explained (Criteria for Selecting Subcontractor)	73
4.8	Factor Loadings (Criteria for Selecting Subcontractor)	74
4.9	Criteria for selecting subcontractor	75
4.10	Items dropped after factor analysis (criteria for selecting subcontractor)	76
4.11	Total variance explained (criteria for monitoring subcontractor)	78
4.12	Factor Loading (criteria for monitoring subcontractors)	79
4.13	Criteria for monitoring subcontractor	80
4.14	Items dropped after factor analysis (criteria for monitoring subcontractor)	81
4.15	Goodness-of-fit for Hypothetical Model	84
4.16	Goodness-of-fit for Revised Model (1st refinement)	85
4.17	Standardised coefficient estimate of Revised Model (1st refinement)	85
4.18	Goodness-of-fit for Revised Models	87
4.19	Goodness-of-fit for Final Model	89
4.20	Standardised Coefficient Estimate of the Final SEM	91
4.21	Reliability test for constructs (Criteria for Selecting Subcontractor)	92
4.22	Items dropped after model refinement (Criteria for Selecting Subcontractor)	92
4.23	Reliability test for constructs (Criteria for Monitoring Subcontractor)	93
4.24	Items dropped after model refinement	93
4.25	Comparison of Subcontractors' Actual Performance and Predicted Performance by SH-LEW	134

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 The overview of research methodology	36
3.2 Research Framework	38
3.3 The advantages and disadvantages of questionnaire	41
3.4 Development of questionnaire	42
3.5 Measurement model and structural model in SEM	55
3.6 Measurement model and structural model in SEM	56
3.7 Stages for factor analysis	59
4.1 Filtering of Questionnaires	66
4.2 Hypothetical model	83
4.3 Revised Model (1st refinement)	84
4.4 Final Model (with constructs removed indicated)	88
4.5 SH-LEW Subcontractor Selection and Monitoring System	90
4.6 Final Model: Correlations between CSSC	94
4.7 Final Model: Relationship between CSSC and CMSC	95
4.8 Final Model: Relationship between CSSC and CMSC	97
4.9 Measurement Model for Environmental & Safety	98
4.10 Measurement Model for General Obligation	99
4.11 Measurement Model for Communication	100
4.12 Measurement Model for Company's Strength	101
4.13 Measurement Model for Resource Management	102
4.14 Measurement Model for Awareness to Environment, Health and Safety	103
4.15 Measurement Model for Coordination	104
4.16 Measurement Model for Workmanship	104
4.17 Measurement Model for Progress of Work	105
4.18 Measurement Model for Resources Management	105
4.19 Previous Studies	108
4.20 Proposed New System	108
4.21 Framework of proposed web-based application	109
4.22 Evaluation Criteria for Subcontractor Selection	111
4.23 Evaluation Criteria for Subcontractor Monitor	111
4.24 Log in page of SH-LEW Web-Based System	114
4.25 Form for details of project and subcontractor	115
4.26 Step 1 - Form for scores of 'E1: General Obligation'	116
4.27 Step 2 - Form for scores of 'E2: Resource Management'	117
4.28 Step 3 - Form for scores of 'E3: Relationship'	118
4.29 Step 4 - Form for scores of 'E4: Communication'	119
4.30 Step 5 - Form for scores of 'M1: Awareness to environmental, health and safety' (During Construction)	120
4.31 Step 6 - Form for scores of 'M2: Communication and relationship' (During Construction)	121
4.32 Step 7 - Form for scores of 'M3: Workmanship'	121
4.33 Details of project and subcontractor	122

4.34	Recommendation from model when score of 'E1: General Obligation' is low	123
4.35	Warning message when user did not provide score for any sub-criteria	124
4.36	Score of subcontractor in 'E1: Obligation'	125
4.37	Score of subcontractor in 'E2: Resource Management'	126
4.38	Warning message in 'E3: Relationship'	127
4.39	Warning message in 'E4: Communication'	128
4.40	Figure 4.40: Warning message for 'M1: Awareness to environmental, health and safety'	129
4.41	Warning message for 'M2: Communication and relationship'	130
4.42	Summary page of web-based subcontractor selection and monitoring system	131
5.1	Final SEM of CSSC and CMSC	137
5.2	Flowchart of developed web-based application	139

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The construction industry is a complex industry that involves traders from different specialisations. The size and complexity of a construction project, and its nature of “one-time off project” working environment has transformed the construction project as a unique industry.

The need for solicitation of an innovative technology in the construction industry obliges a sustained and steady engagement of innovative technology and acquisition of modern machines. A general contractor is reluctant to invest vastly in machineries, plant, and technology required for a project to remain competitive. In addition, a general contractor is unable to employ skilled labour from each specialisation in the construction for a full-time employment. Therefore, usually a general contractor hires subcontractors in order to achieve a competitive price whilst sharing the cost of the project when undertakes a construction project.

Subcontractors are specialists in the implementation of a particular work. They perform as proxies of the production systems of a construction business in distributing resources, manpower, equipment, tools or designs. The subcontractors are equally liable on the risk and responsibilities as of the general contractor, reduce the overhead cost of the construction project, ensure the quality of the specialist job, and minimise the financial burden of the general contractor.

Nevertheless, extensive subcontracting activities pose risks to general contractors, clients and their project management teams due to difficulties in managing such a sizeable, varied and fragmented groups of subcontractors. The achievement of a construction project in attaining the targeted cost, schedule and quality is highly dependant on the success of subcontracting activities.

1.1 Problem Statement

The capacity of the general contractor, consultant and client in delivering the venture as planned, as per the quality and cost scheduled depends mainly on the performance of subcontractors (Mbachu, 2008). Selecting high performing subcontractors is crucial and it helps to reduce subcontracting risk (Kumaraswamy & Matthews, 2000; Mbachu, 2008; Ng et al., 2008). However, monitoring of subcontractor should be taken into consideration in the practice of subcontracting. Progressive monitoring on job performance is the best strategy to ensure the performance of subcontractors (Manu et al., 2015).

There was a little integration between the criteria used to select and monitor subcontractors in different stages of subcontracting practices. A series of study were conducted to enhance the subcontracting practice. The focus is on either registration, selection, or monitoring subcontracting practice. Most studies on subcontracting focus on the registration of subcontractor, selection of subcontractor, and monitoring/performance rating during construction stage.

Mbachu (2008) proposed a conceptual framework for both assessment on the evaluation and performance of the subcontractor in the construction project. In the proposed framework, the criteria for the prequalification, pre-contract qualification and during construction stage of subcontractor were assessed separately. The researcher had pointed that there was a possible correlation between the selection stage and construction stage performance of subcontractors. However, this subject matter has yet to be studied extensively. Thus, additional research is needed to ascertain the correlations or causal relations between the criteria to select subcontractor and to monitor the performance of subcontractor during construction stage (Ng et al., 2003; Mbachu, 2008).

Researchers often chose to focus on the single phase of subcontracting practice without paying much attention on the integration of different phases. Majority of these studies used independent criteria in assessing subcontractors during different stages of subcontracting practice. Criteria used on selecting subcontractor were different with the criteria used on monitoring subcontractor during construction stage. Limited attention was given on possible effect on the criteria selection of the subcontractors on their performance during the construction stage. This gap resulted a discontinuity in the subcontracting practice. However, post selection, the strength and weakness of each selection criteria was not taken into consideration. The strength or weaknesses of subcontractor in certain criteria during the selection stage can create a great impact on their performance during construction stage. With the identification of this possible impact, potential problems during the construction stage are resolved or prevented.

The major issues that have not been addressed in the subcontracting literature were the relationship between the criteria used in selecting subcontractors prior awarding a particular job, and the criteria used to monitor the subcontractors during the construction stage. These are important issues to be fulfilled in this study. The identification of the possible correlations between these two important stages could improve the subcontracting practice with the increased involvement of subcontractors in the Malaysian construction industry. General contractor can foresee the benefit or risk in selecting a subcontractor. When prediction on the performance or weaknesses of the subcontractor is possible, the resource allocation can be pre-planned. For example, more resources in terms of time, finance and work force are needed to stabilise performance of a subcontractor if the performance rendered is below par. Furthermore, general contractors are able to select the subcontractors that can fulfil their requirements. For instance, in a project that has high chances of changes, subcontractors

predicted with good performance on 'communication' during the construction stage is preferred.

Rather than to study the practice of subcontractor in different aspects individually, it is necessary to improve the methods for evaluating and managing subcontractors (Eom et al., 2008). Nevertheless, studies that combine the selection and monitoring of subcontractor are yet to be conducted. Studies are done in the area of improvement of the subcontracting practice, including selection of subcontractors (Abbasianjahromi, Rajaie, Shakeri, & Kazemi, 2016; Polat, 2015; Polat, Kaplan, & Bingol, 2015), and monitoring of subcontractors during construction stage (Manu et al., 2015; Ng & Skitmore, 2014; Yin et al., 2014; Philips-Ryder et al., 2013). The integration between these two stages of subcontracting practice is scarce. This leads to many problems since the construction issues caused by subcontractors are escalating. Therefore, this study is initiated to outline the problems in subcontracting practice with the aim to fill this research gap.

1.2 Background of the Study

The percentage of subcontracted projects has increased comparatively. Subcontracting contributes between 50% to 90% and can reach as high as 90% of the total project value in a construction process (Albino & Garavelli, 1998; Frein, 2012; Polat, 2015). Subsequently, subcontracting concerns are a matter of discussion among the movers and shakers of construction industry. However, subcontracting associated issues are among the focal hazards of construction projects globally. The risks encountered includes safety of on-site workers (Awwad et al., 2016; Liao & Chiang, 2015), delay in completion date (Chiang, 2009), and failure in message delivery between parties during the construction stage (Piasny & Paslawski, 2015; Manu et al., 2013; Tam Pasławski, 2011; Manu et al., 2009).

In Malaysia, construction works are subcontracted to approximately 50,000 subcontracts annually (BuildInfo, 2010). It is presumed that the proportions of subcontractor for civil projects in Malaysia is between 20 to 100% of the works (Othman, 2006), the Malaysian construction industry may be vulnerable if the problem of subcontracting is not addressed specifically.

Studies conducted by Shehu et al. (2014) posit that problems related to subcontracting undertakings are acknowledged as a vital reason contributing to time overrun in the Malaysian construction industry. Meanwhile, subcontracting related issues are identified among the causes for site work coordination (Yong & Mustafa, 2013; Adnan et al., 2008; Jusoff et al., 2008; Kadir et al., 2005), site safety (Ismail et al., 2009), and material supply chain (Ali et al., 2008).

Subcontractors are included as the participants in the study of critical success factors of the construction industry (Chen et al., 2012). In a study that examined

the influence of performance factors of the participant, the efficiency of subcontractor was listed as an item that influences the effectiveness of the project by the participants (Xiong et al., 2014). All of these findings were consistent with the rising of problems in subcontracting practice in the construction industry.

A vital issue in the success of fast paced and complex projects are often linked due to the inability of the subcontractor to comprehend the scope of work and logical dependencies between the general contractor and owners. The ignorance towards the problems of subcontracting can lead to huge influence on the construction project, and may affect the normal operations of the general contractor. Hence, it is vital to pay attention to all of the subcontracting related issues.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study is conducted with the aim to examine the current practice of selection and monitoring of subcontractors in the Malaysian construction industry, and to propose a model in assisting the selection and monitoring of subcontractor. The specific research objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To identify the criteria used by general contractors in selecting subcontractors prior job-awarding, and for monitoring of subcontractors during construction period.
2. To examine the relationship between the criteria for selecting subcontractor (CSSC), criteria for monitoring of subcontractors during construction stage (CMSC), and actual project performances (PP).
3. To develop a model that integrates selection of subcontractors prior job-awarding and monitor of subcontractors during construction stage.

1.4 Significance of Study

This study contributes to the areas of subcontracting practice in the construction industry. With the development of the model that integrates two major phases of subcontracting practice, namely selection of subcontractors and monitoring of subcontractors, general contractor is able to manage the subcontractors effectively.

1.4.1 Theoretical Contribution of the Study

The major contribution of this study is the model developed that integrates the criteria used for selection of subcontractor before job-awarding and criteria to

monitor the performance of subcontractor during the construction stage. A comprehensive literature review on previous studies on subcontractor selection and subcontractor monitoring were conducted.

Consequently, it was discovered that 'communication', 'relationship', 'general obligation' and 'resource management' are the most important criteria used when evaluating subcontractors before deciding on selection. Meanwhile, for monitoring of subcontractors during the construction stage, important criteria includes 'workmanship', 'awareness to environment, health and safety', 'communication and relationship'.

The model developed in this study is deemed as the basic theoretical framework of its kind, due to limited knowledge on the integration of the phases of subcontractor selection and monitoring in previous studies. It links the criteria for selection and monitoring of subcontractors with indicators of project performance. Furthermore, it allows the prediction of consequences in the later stage of construction with the selection of subcontractors with certain criteria. Prediction of the performance of subcontractor during construction stage based on the score on the criteria is made possible before the selection is done. Then, if the performance of subcontractors deviates from the predicted score on the criteria during the construction stage, the earlier prediction of project performance can be recalculated based on the actual score. This is indeed an important discovery for prediction of project performance using available information of subcontractors.

1.4.2 Practical Implication of the Study

The discoveries described in the theoretical implication of the study are extended into practical implication using the model developed. The findings of this study can assist the general contractor to understand the interrelationship of the criteria used in selecting and monitoring subcontractors to improve the efficiency of subcontracting practice. The model relates between the criteria used to select subcontractor before job-awarding, and the criteria used to monitor the subcontractor during the construction stage. The framework developed based on the model can guide the general contractor when selecting subcontractor, and provides information on possible risks posed by the subcontractor selected during construction stage. For example, when a general contractor decides to award the job to the subcontractor with low score in 'communication', there is a warning on high risk of problem in 'awareness to environmental, health and safety' and 'communication and relationships' during the construction stage. The general contractor can therefore decide whether to continue with this subcontractor or vice versa.

With predicted weaknesses or strength of a subcontractor, general contractor can greatly reduce the amount of time and resources allocated for monitoring of subcontractors during construction stage. Hence, the general contractor could focus on other beneficial activities such as quality improvement and improved

site safety implementation. In addition, the discovery of current subcontracting practice of the Malaysian construction industry can be included into the research database of subcontracting practice in this region. This would definitely be beneficial to future researchers in Malaysia.

1.5 Overview of the Research Methodology

This study employed a questionnaire survey to a targeted population of registered G7 general contractors in Selangor and Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. A simple random sampling method was adopted to select the general contractors registered under the Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia (CIDB). The questionnaire was distributed personally, by email and mail.

The data was analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 16.0 and Analysis of Moment Structures (AMOS) 18.0.0. Data analysis was conducted in three stages. In stage one, inferential statistical analysis on background of respondents, reliability and validity of questionnaire scales were assessed using SPSS. Stage two involved factor analysis to develop the measurement model (the measured variables for each criteria). The Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) was employed to model the relationships between the measurement models in stage three. At the end, the web-based subcontractor selection and monitoring system based on the model from SEM was proposed.

1.6 Scope and Limitations

The aim of this study is to assist the general contractor to select and monitor subcontractors effectively. This study focuses on the general contractor who has an essential role in selecting and monitoring subcontractor. Therefore, the ability of client in managing the general contractor is not included in this study. The performance of the general contractor in managing each project is assumed to be consistent and not affecting the performance of subcontractors.

This study presumes that:

1. The respondents answer truthfully based on their experience related to subcontracting practice.
2. Each questionnaire is based on information of a single project. This has been clearly stated in the cover page of the each questionnaire.

The limitations of this study are enumerated as follows:

1. The focus of this study is on general contractor from grade G7 only. General contractors of other grades and subcontractors are not included.
2. Only active G7 contractors from Selangor and Kuala Lumpur are involved.
3. The model developed is based on the view from G7 general contractors for selection and monitoring of domestic subcontractor. Thus, the model developed is only suitable for G7 general contractors and only limited to domestic subcontractor.

1.7 Organization of Thesis

This thesis consists of five chapters. The summary of each chapter is described as below:

Chapter One presents the overview of the study background, the practice of subcontracting in construction industry, the problem statement, objectives, research framework and hypotheses, significance of the study, overview of methodology, and scopes and limitations of this research. Lastly, organization of thesis is presented.

Chapter Two reviews the literature of this study, i.e. definitions of subcontracting practice, reasons of subcontracting, types of subcontractors, problems of subcontracting, and pertinent studies on subcontracting. The criteria used for selection and monitoring of subcontractors are summarized. At the end of the chapter, application of SEM in construction management is discussed.

Chapter Three presents the methodology of the research and how the research is carried out to achieve the objectives aforementioned. The overview of the research methodology is presented. Sampling design, questionnaire development, pre-testing of the questionnaire, and data collection are explained. The analytical method for collected data is also presented in this chapter.

Chapter Four presents the data of the questionnaire gathered. Data screening, reliability check, and normality check are conducted before the inferential statistical analysis. The results for other statistical analysis for hypotheses testing are presented and discussed in this chapter.

Chapter Five presents the summary and conclusions for the research. The chapter discusses the limitation and possible usage of the framework developed. Suggestions and recommendations for further research in this area are provided.

REFERENCES

- Abbasianjahromi, H., Rajaie, H., Shakeri, E., & Kazemi, O. (2016). A new approach for subcontractor selection in the construction industry based on portfolio theory. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 22(3), 346–356.
- Abdul Rahman, I., Memon, A. H., Karim, A., & Tarmizi, A. (2013). Significant factors causing cost overruns in large construction projects in Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Science*, 13(2), 286–293.
- Abdul-Rahman, H., Berawi, M. A., Berawi, A. R., Mohamed, O., Othman, M., & Yahya, I. A. (2006). Delay mitigation in the Malaysian construction industry. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 132, 125.
- Adnan, H., Rahmat, M. N., Mazali, N. F. ., & Jusoff, K. (2008). Risk Management Assessment for Partnering Projects in the Malaysian Construction Industry. *Dynamic Positioning for Survival in Political Marketing Warfare Mugabe's Manoeuvrings out of Crises in Zimbabwe*, 76.
- Aibinu, A. A., & Al-Lawati, A. M. (2010). Using PLS-SEM technique to model construction organizations' willingness to participate in e-bidding. *Automation in Construction*, 19(6), 714–724.
- Alaghbari, W. E., Kadir, M. R. A., & Salim, A. (2007). The significant factors causing delay of building construction projects in Malaysia. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 14(2), 192–206.
- Albino, V., & Garavelli, A. C. (1998). A neural network application to subcontractor rating in construction firms. *International Journal of Project Management*, 16(1), 9–14.
- Ali, R. M., Jaafar, H. S., & Mohamad, S. (2008). Logistics and Supply Chain in Malaysia: Issues and Challenges. Presented at the EASTS International Symposium on Sustainable Transportation incorporating Malaysian Universities Transport Research Forum Conference, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Al-Tmeemy, S. M. H. M., Abdul-Rahman, H., & Harun, Z. (2011). Future criteria for success of building projects in Malaysia. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(3), 337–348.
- Arditi, D., & Chotibhongs, R. (2005). Issues in subcontracting practice. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 131, 866.
- Arslan, G., Kivrak, S., Birgonul, M. T., & Dikmen, I. (2008). Improving subcontractor selection process in construction projects: Web-based subcontractor evaluation system (WEBSES). *Automation in Construction*, 17(4), 480–488.

- Assaf, S. A., & Al-Hejji, S. (2006). Causes of delay in large construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(4), 349–357.
- Atkinson, R. (1999). Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(6), 337–342.
- Awwad, R., El Souki, O., & Jabbour, M. (2016). Construction safety practices and challenges in a Middle Eastern developing country. *Safety Science*, 83, 1–11.
- Bagozzi, R. P. (2010). Structural equation models are modelling tools with many ambiguities: Comments acknowledging the need for caution and humility in their use. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 20(2), 208–214.
- Benjaoran, V. (2009). A cost control system development: A collaborative approach for small and medium-sized contractors. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(3), 270–277.
- Brace, I. (2008). *Questionnaire design: how to plan, structure and write survey material for effective market research*. Kogan Page Ltd.
- Browne, M. W., & Cudeck, R. (1992). Alternative Ways of Assessing Model Fit. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 21(2), 230–258.
- Bryman, A. (2008). *Social Research Methods*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- BuildInfo. (2010, January). Contractors Urged to Employ Model Terms for Subcontract Work Contracts. *CIBD Malaysia*, (09).
- Byrne, B. M. (2013). *Structural Equation Modeling With AMOS: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming, Second Edition*. Routledge.
- Chau, C. K., Sing, W. L., & Leung, T. M. (2003). An analysis on the HVAC maintenance contractors selection process. *Building and Environment*, 38(4), 583–591.
- Chen, P., Partington, D., & Wang, J. N. (2008). Conceptual determinants of construction project management competence: A Chinese perspective. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(6), 655–664.
- Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, J., & Mo, P. (2012). Interrelationships among Critical Success Factors of Construction Projects Based on the Structural Equation Model. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 28(3), 243–251.
- Cheng, E. W. (2014). Risk assessment in prospective performance prediction for subcontractors. *Architectural Science Review*, 1–10.

- Cheng, M.-Y., Tsai, H.-C., & Sudjono, E. (2011). Evaluating subcontractor performance using evolutionary fuzzy hybrid neural network. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(3), 349–356.
- Cheng, M.-Y., & Wu, Y.-W. (2012). Improved construction subcontractor evaluation performance using ESIM. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 26(3), 261–273.
- Chester, M., Hendrickson, C., & others. (2005). Cost impacts, scheduling impacts, and the claims process during construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 131, 102.
- Chiang, Y. H. (2009). Subcontracting and its ramifications: A survey of the building industry in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(1), 80–88.
- Chin, W. W. (1998, March). Issues and Opinion on Structural Equation Modeling. *MIS Quarterly*, pp. 1–1.
- Cho, K., Hong, T., & Hyun, C. (2009). Effect of project characteristics on project performance in construction projects based on structural equation model. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(7), 10461–10470.
- CIDB. (2004). Master Plan For Occupational Safety & Health In Construction Industry Year 2005 - 2010. CIDB.
- CIDB. (2007). CICC Model Terms of Construction Contract for Subcontract Work 2007. 3rd print. CIDB.
- CIDB. (2014, December). Contractor Registered by Registration Grade and Contractors Classification (4th Quarter 2014). Retrieved 29 December 2015, from <http://www.cidb.gov.my/v6/files/pub/1.3KontraktorBerdaftarMengikutGredPendaftarandanPengelasanKontraktor.pdf>
- CIDB. (2015, December). Construction Quarterly Statistical Bulletin. *Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia, Fourth Quarter*.
- Clough, R. H., & Sears, G. A. (1994). *Construction contracting*. Wiley-Interscience.
- Cohen, Louis, & Morrison. (2000). *Research Methods in Education* (5th ed.). London: Routledge Falmer.
- Costantino, N., Pietroforte, R., & Hamill, P. (2001). Subcontracting in commercial and residential construction: an empirical investigation. *Construction Management and Economics*, 19(4), 439–447.
- Dainty, A. R. ., Briscoe, G. H., & Millett, S. J. (2001). Subcontractor perspectives on supply chain alliances. *Construction Management and Economics*, 19(8), 841–848.

- Dissanayaka, S. M., & Kumaraswamy, M. M. (1999). Evaluation of factors affecting time and cost performance in Hong Kong building projects. *Engineering Construction and Architectural Management*, 6(3), 287–298.
- DiStefano, C., Zhu, M., & Mindrila, D. (2009). Understanding and Using Factor Scores: Considerations for the Applied Researcher. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 14(20).
- Doloi, H. (2009). Relational partnerships: the importance of communication, trust and confidence and joint risk management in achieving project success. *Construction Management and Economics*, 27(11), 1099–1109.
- Doloi, H., Iyer, K. C., & Sawhney, A. (2011). Structural equation model for assessing impacts of contractor's performance on project success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(6), 687–695.
- Doloi, H., Sawhney, A., Iyer, K. C., & Rentala, S. (2012). Analysing factors affecting delays in Indian construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(4), 479–489.
- Dossick, C. S., & Schunk, T. K. (2007). Subcontractor schedule control method. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 133, 262.
- Ekström, M. A., Björnsson, H. C., & Nass, C. I. (2003). Accounting for rater credibility when evaluating AEC subcontractors. *Construction Management and Economics*, 21(2), 197–208.
- Elazouni, A. M., & Metwally, F. G. (2000). D-SUB: Decision support system for subcontracting construction works. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 126(3), 191–200.
- El-Sayegh, S. M. (2008). Risk assessment and allocation in the UAE construction industry. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(4), 431–438.
- Eom, C. S. J., Yun, S. H., & Paek, J. H. (2008). Subcontractor evaluation and management framework for strategic partnering. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 134(11), 842–851.
- Eriksson, P. E., & Pesämaa, O. (2007). Modelling procurement effects on cooperation. *Construction Management and Economics*, 25(8), 893–901.
- Fabrigar, L. R., Porter, R. D., & Norris, M. E. (2010). Some things you should know about structural equation modeling but never thought to ask. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 20(2), 221–225.
- Faridi, A. S., & El-Sayegh, S. M. (2006). Significant factors causing delay in the UAE construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*, 24(11).

- Fellows, R., & Anita, L. (2008). *Research Methods for Construction*. West Susses, United Kingdom: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering Statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics*. SAGE.
- Fong, P. S.-W., & Choi, S. K.-Y. (2000). Final contractor selection using the analytical hierarchy process. *Construction Management & Economics*, 18(5), 547–557.
- Frein, J. (2012). *Handbook of construction management and organization*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Fulford, R., & Standing, C. (2014). Construction industry productivity and the potential for collaborative practice. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(2), 315–326.
- Gaith, F. H., Khalim, A. R., & Ismail, A. (2012). Application and efficacy of information technology in construction industry. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 7(38), 3223–3242.
- Ghosh, S., & Jintanapakanont, J. (2004). Identifying and assessing the critical risk factors in an underground rail project in Thailand: a factor analysis approach. *International Journal of Project Management*, 22(8), 633–643.
- González-Díaz, M., Arruñada, B., & Fernández, A. (2000). Causes of subcontracting: evidence from panel data on construction firms. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 42(2), 167–187.
- Grace, J. B. (2006). *Structural equation modeling and natural systems*. Cambridge Univ Pr.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hartmann, A., Ling, F. Y. ., & Tan, J. S. . (2009). Relative importance of subcontractor selection criteria: Evidence from Singapore. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 135, 826.
- Heppner, P. P., & Heppner, M. J. (2004). *Writing and publishing your thesis, dissertation, and research: A guide for students in the helping professions*. Thomson/Brooks/Cole.
- Hershberger, S. L. (2003). The Growth of Structural Equation Modeling: 1994-2001. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 10(1), 35–46. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM1001_2
- Hinze, J., & Tracey, A. (1994). The contractor-subcontractor relationship: the subcontractor's view. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 120(2), 274–287.

- Hoe, S. L. (2008). Issues and procedures in adopting structural equation modeling technique. *Journal of Applied Quantitative Methods*, 3(1), 76–83.
- Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O., & Harris, F. C. (1994). Applying multi-attribute analysis to contractor selection decisions. *European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 1(3), 139–148.
- Hsieh, T. Y. (1997). The economic implications of subcontracting practice on building prefabrication. *Automation in Construction*, 6(3), 163–174.
- Hsieh, T. Y. (1998). Impact of subcontracting on site productivity: lessons learned in Taiwan. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 124(2), 91–100.
- Iacobucci, D. (2009). Everything you always wanted to know about SEM (structural equations modeling) but were afraid to ask. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 19, 673–680.
- Ibrahim, A. R., Matthew H. Roy, Zafar Ahmed, & Ghaffar Imtiaz. (2010). An investigation of the status of the Malaysian construction industry. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 17(2), 294–308.
- Isik, Z., Arditi, D., Dikmen, I., & Birgonul, M. T. (2009). Impact of corporate strengths/weaknesses on project management competencies. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(6), 629–637.
- Islam, M. D., & Faniran, O. (2005). Structural equation model of project planning effectiveness. *Construction Management and Economics*, 23(2), 215–223.
- Ismail, F., Hashim, A. E., Ismail, R., & Majid, M. Z. A. (2009). The Operationalisation of Safety Culture for the Malaysian Construction Organisations. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 4(9), P226.
- Jennings, P., & Holt, G. D. (1998). Prequalification and multi-criteria selection: a measure of contractors' opinions. *Construction Management & Economics*, 16(6), 651–660.
- Jill, W. (2006). Labour Subcontracting in the Construction Industries of Developing Countries: An Assessment from Two Perspectives. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 11(1), 17–36.
- Jin, X., Doloi, H., & Gao, S. (2007). Relationship-based determinants of building project performance in China. *Construction Management and Economics*, 25(3), 297–304.
- Jusoff, H. K., Adnan, H., Nazli, N. F., & Teknologi, U. (2008). Partnering Project Success Criteria in Malaysia. *International Business Research*.

- Kadir, M. R. ., Lee, W. P., Jaafar, M. S., Sapuan, S. M., & Ali, A. A. A. (2005). Factors affecting construction labour productivity for Malaysian residential projects. *Structural Survey*, 23(1), 42–54.
- Kennedy, P., Morrison, A., & Milne, D. O. (1997). Resolution of disputes arising from set-off clauses between main contractors and subcontractors. *Construction Management and Economics*, 15(6), 527–537.
- Kim, D. Y., Han, S. H., Kim, H., & Park, H. (2009). Structuring the prediction model of project performance for international construction projects: A comparative analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(2, Part 1), 1961–1971.
- Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. Guilford Press.
- Ko, C. H., Cheng, M. Y., & Wu, T. K. (2007). Evaluating sub-contractors performance using EFNIM. *Automation in Construction*, 16, 525–530.
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educ Psychol Meas*, 30(3), 607–610.
- Kumaraswamy, M. M., & Matthews, J. (2000). Improved subcontractor selection employing partnering principles. *ASCE Journal of Management in Engineering*, 16(3), 47–57.
- Lam, K. C., D. Wang, P.T.K. Lee, & Tsang, Y. T. (2007). Modelling risk allocation decision in construction contracts. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25, 485–493.
- Lee, H., Seo, J., Ryu, H., & Kwon, S. (2009). Transaction Cost Based Selection of Appropriate General Contractor-Subcontractor Relationship Type. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 1, 48.
- Leung, M., Ng, S. T., Skitmore, M., & Cheung, S. (2005). Critical stressors influencing construction estimators in Hong Kong. *Construction Management and Economics*, 23(1), 33–44.
- Liao, C.-W., & Chiang, T.-L. (2015). The examination of workers' compensation for occupational fatalities in the construction industry. *Safety Science*, 72, 363–370.
- Loosemore, M., & Andonakis, N. (2007). Barriers to implementing OHS reforms—The experiences of small subcontractors in the Australian Construction Industry. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(6), 579–588.
- Manoharan, R. (2005). *Subcontractor Selection Method Using Analytic Hierarchy Process* (Unpublished). Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor, Malaysia.
- Manu, E., Ankrah, N., Chinyio, E., & Proverbs, D. (2015). Trust influencing factors in main contractor and subcontractor relationships during

- projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(7), 1495–1508.
- Manu, P., Ankrah, N., Proverbs, D., & Suresh, S. (2013). Mitigating the health and safety influence of subcontracting in construction: The approach of main contractors. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(7), 1017–1026.
- Maturana, S., Alarcón, L. F., Gazmuri, P., & Vrsalovic, M. (2007). On-site subcontractor evaluation method based on lean principles and partnering practices. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 23, 67.
- Mbachu, J. (2008). Conceptual framework for the assessment of subcontractors' eligibility and performance in the construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26, 471–484.
- Miles, D. of H. S. J., & Shevlin, J. M. M. (2000). *Applying Regression and Correlation: A Guide for Students and Researchers*. SAGE.
- MOF. (2008). Guideline for Evaluation of Tender Edition January 2008. Ministry of Finance Malaysia.
- Mohamed, S., & Stewart, R. A. (2003). An empirical investigation of users' perceptions of web-based communication on a construction project. *Automation in Construction*, 12(1), 43–53.
- Molenaar, K., Washington, S., & Diekmann, J. (2000). Structural Equation Model of Construction Contract Dispute Potential. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 126(4), 268–277.
- Nagapan, S., Rahman, I. A., Asmi, A., Memon, A. H., & Zin, R. M. (2012). Identifying Causes of Construction Waste – Case of Central Region of Peninsula Malaysia. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 4(2).
- Ng, S. T., & Luu, C. D. . (2008). Modeling subcontractor registration decisions through case-based reasoning approach. *Automation in Construction*, 17, 873–881.
- Ng, S. T., Luu, C. D. ., & Chu, A. W. . (2008). Delineating criteria for subcontractors registration considering divergence in skill base and scales. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(4).
- Ng, S. T., & Skitmore, M. (2014). Developing a framework for subcontractor appraisal using a balanced scorecard. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 20(2), 149–158.
- Ng, S. T., Skitmore, M., & Chung, W. F. (2003). Ten basic factors to identify suitable subcontractors for construction projects. In *CIB TG 23 International Conference, Hong Kong*.

- Ng, S. T., & Tang, Z. (2010). Labour-intensive construction sub-contractors: Their critical success factors. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(7), 732–740.
- Ng, T. S., Tang, Z., & Palaneeswaran, E. (2008). Factors contributing to the success of equipment-intensive subcontractors in construction. *International Journal of Project Management*.
- Ng, T., & Tang, Z. (2008). Delineating the predominant criteria for subcontractor appraisal and their latent relationships. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(3), 249–259.
- Oberlender, G. D. (2000). *Project Management for Engineering and Construction* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill/Singapore.
- Okoroh, M. I. (1996). A knowledge based decision support and risk management system for the selection of sub-contractors for refurbishment projects. Presented at the CIB W89 Beijing International Conference, Beijing, China.
- Okoroh, M. I., & Torrance, V. B. (1999). A model for subcontractor selection in refurbishment projects. *Construction Management and Economics*, 17, 315–327.
- Olsson, R. (1998). Subcontract coordination in construction. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 56, 503–509.
- Othman, M. R. (2006). *Forging main and sub-contractor relationship for successful projects*. Public Works Department Malaysia.
- Palaneeswaran, E., & Kumaraswamy, M. (2001). Recent advances and proposed improvements in contractor prequalification methodologies. *Building and Environment*, 36(1), 73–87.
- Pallant, J. F. (2011). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS* (4th ed.). Allen & Unwin Crows Nest, NSW.
- Perng, Y. H., Chen, S. J., & Lu, H. J. (2005). Potential benefits for collaborating formwork subcontractors based on co-operative game theory. *Building and Environment*, 40(2), 239–244.
- Pertubuhan Akitek Malaysia (PAM). (2006). *Agreement and Conditions of PAM Sub-Contract 2006*. Kuala Lumpur: PAM Publication.
- Philips-Ryder, M., Zuo, J., & Jin, X. H. (2013). Evaluating Document Quality in Construction Projects—Subcontractors' Perspective. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 13(3), 77–94.
- Polat, G. (2015). Subcontractor selection using the integration of the AHP and PROMETHEE methods. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 1–13.

- Polat, G., Kaplan, B., & Bingol, B. N. (2015). Subcontractor Selection using Genetic Algorithm. *Procedia Engineering*, 123, 432–440.
- Public Work Department Malaysia. (2010). *PWD 203N Form of Contract Revised 1/2010: Standard Form of Contract for Nominated Sub-Contractor Where the Main Contract is based Upon PWD Form 203 or 203A*. Kuala Lumpur: PWD Publication.
- Ramirez, R. R., Alarcon, L. F. C. and Knights, P. (2004). Benchmarking system for evaluating management practices in the construction industry. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, ASCE 20 (3), 110–117.
- Sambasivan, M., & Soon, Y. W. (2007). Causes and effects of delays in Malaysian construction industry. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(5), 517–526.
- Sambasivan, M., & Soon, Y. W. (2007). Causes and effects of delays in Malaysian construction industry. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25, 517–526.
- Saunders, M., P, L., & A, T. (2011). *Research methods for business students* (5th ed.). India: Pearson Education.
- Shehu, Z., Endut, I. R., & Akintoye, A. (2014). Factors contributing to project time and hence cost overrun in the Malaysian construction industry. *Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction*, 19(1), 55–75.
- Shimizu, J. Y., & Cardoso, F. F. (2002). Subcontracting and cooperation network in building construction: a literature review. *Proceedings IGLC-10, Gramado-RS*.
- Skibniewski, M. J., & Abduh, M. (2000). Web-based project management for construction: search for utility assessment tools. *Proc., INCITE 2000*, 56–77.
- SOCISO. (2013). Annual Report 2013. Social Security Organisation (SOCISO).
- Sozen, Z., & Kucuk, M. A. (1999). Secondary subcontracting in the Turkish construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*, 17, 215–220.
- Tam, V. W., Shen, L. Y., & Kong, J. S. (2011). Impacts of multi-layer chain subcontracting on project management performance. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(1), 108–116.
- Teijlingen, E., & Hundley, V. (2001). The importance of pilot studies. *Social Research Update*, 35, 1–4.
- Teixeira, J. M., & Couto, J. P. (2002). Linear Methods for Subcontractor Planning. In *Conference Proceedings for the 10th Symposium Construction*

The Star Online. (2014a). Solution to illegal dumping problem - Community | The Star Online. Retrieved 17 April 2016, from <http://www.thestar.com.my/news/community/2014/04/29/solution-to-illegal-dumping-problem-mayor-proposes-to-include-details-of-construction-waste-disposal/>

The Star Online. (2014b, August 18). 300-tonne concrete span at MRT construction site falls, three workers feared dead. Retrieved 27 July 2015, from [about:reader?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.thestar.com.my%2FNews%2FNation%2F2014%2F08%2F18%2FMRT-beam-collapse-3-feared-dead%2F](http://www.thestar.com.my/News/FNation/2014/08/18/MRT-beam-collapse-3-feared-dead)

The Star Online. (2014c, December 25). Two killed in LRT accident. Retrieved 27 July 2015, from [about:reader?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.thestar.com.my%2FNews%2FNation%2F2014%2F12%2F25%2FLRT-formwork-collapses-One-dead-and-rescuers-scramble-to-save-a-trapped-worker%2F](http://www.thestar.com.my/News/FNation/2014/12/25/LRT-formwork-collapses-One-dead-and-rescuers-scramble-to-save-a-trapped-worker%2F)

The Star Online. (2015a, February 27). One worker dead, another injured at Semantan MRT construction site. Retrieved 27 July 2015, from [about:reader?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.thestar.com.my%2FNews%2FNation%2F2015%2F02%2F27%2FMRT-semantan-one-dead%2F](http://www.thestar.com.my/News/FNation/2015/02/27/MRT-semantan-one-dead%2F)

The Star Online. (2015b, June 23). Three die after upper floor of nearly completed building collapses. Retrieved 27 July 2015, from [about:reader?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.thestar.com.my%2FNews%2FNation%2F2015%2F06%2F23%2FConstruction-workers-killed-Three-die-after-upper-floor-of-nearly-completed-building-collapses%2F](http://www.thestar.com.my/News/FNation/2015/06/23/Construction-workers-killed-Three-die-after-upper-floor-of-nearly-completed-building-collapses%2F)

The Sunday. (2015, March 2). Letters - Enforce safety procedures. Retrieved 27 July 2015, from [about:reader?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.thesunday.my%2Fnode%2F298425](http://www.thesunday.my/node%2F298425)

Thomas, H. R., & Flynn, C. J. (2011). Fundamental principles of subcontractor management. *Practice Periodical on Structural Design and Construction*, 16(3), 106–111.

Topcu, Y. I. (2004). A decision model proposal for construction contractor selection in Turkey. *Building and Environment*, 39(4), 469–481.

Walker, I., & Wilkie, R. (2002). *Commercial management in construction*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Wang, W. C., & Liu, J. J. (2005). Factor-based path analysis to support subcontractor management. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(2), 109–120.

- Xiong, B., Skitmore, M., & Xia, B. (2015). A critical review of structural equation modeling applications in construction research. *Automation in Construction*, 49, Part A, 59–70.
- Xiong, B., Skitmore, M., Xia, B., Masrom, M. A., Ye, K., & Bridge, A. (2014). Examining the influence of participant performance factors on contractor satisfaction: A structural equation model. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(3), 482–491.
- Yaghmaie, F. (2003). Content Validity and Its Estimation. *Journal of Medical Education*, 3(1), 25–27.
- Yik, F. W. ., & Lai, J. H. . (2008). Multilayer subcontracting of specialist works in buildings in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(4), 399–407.
- Yin, S. Y.-L., Tserng, H. P., Toong, S. N., & Ngo, T. L. (2014). An improved approach to the subcontracting procurement process in a lean construction setting. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 20(3), 389–403.
- Yong, Y. C., & Mustafa, N. E. (2012). Analysis of factors critical to construction project success in Malaysia. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 19(5), 543–556.
- Yong, Y. C., & Mustafa, N. E. (2013). Critical success factors for Malaysian construction projects: an empirical assessment. *Construction Management and Economics*, 31(9), 959–978.