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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Research

The advancement of technology in materials engineering has led to the production of advance composites to meet industrial requirements such as aircraft parts, automotive parts and structural systems. There are several types of advanced composites used, specifically metal matrix composites (MMCs), polymer matrix composites (PMCs) and ceramic matrix composites (CMCs). Advanced composites have superior properties that a metal, polymer and ceramic can achieve such as the ability to withstand high temperature, higher wear resistance, higher strength-to-weight ratio and higher hardness properties. The current interest in MMCs field is iron/silicon carbide (Fe/SiC) as evident from the high number of research carried out by researchers on its properties and behaviour (Chakthin et al., 2008; Pelleg, 1999; Prabhu et al., 2014; Ramesh & Srinivas, 2009; Shao et al., 2008; Shen et al., 1992; Song et al., 2014; Srinivas et al., 2010; Yodkaew et al., 2008). The development of Fe/SiC started in 1992 when a group of researchers started to investigate the characteristics of a mixture of Fe/SiC powders produced by mechanical alloying process (Shen et al., 1992). SiC is known as a perfect reinforced material, and has high hardness as well as high wear and corrosion resistance properties. The addition of SiC to Fe has enhanced the properties of the material such as outstanding wear resistance, high hardness, wear and creep resistance. The application of Fe/SiC in the industry includes the roll ring for high speed wire mills and material coating (Pelleg, 1999).

Most of Fe/SiC are produced using powder metallurgy (PM) route because PM is considered as a promising process method for producing MMCs; it provides benefit such as microstructural homogeneity, materials saving and near net shape product. The PM route is including mixing the materials in powder form, compacting the green compacts using a specific pressure and lastly sintering the green compacts at elevated temperatures. Sintering is the fundamental and significant processes in PM as it plays the crucial role in determining the properties of a product (Feng et al., 2008). The sintered properties of composites are greatly influenced by particle size, matrix composition, soaking time, temperature and atmosphere control, as well as heating and cooling rate (Rahman et al., 2014). Based on the review, previous researchers had used conventional sintering method (Yodkaew et al., 2008) and direct laser metal sintering (DMLS) method (Ramesh et al., 2009) to sinter Fe/SiC, but the use of microwave sintering method to sinter Fe/SiC is not yet explored.

Microwave sintering has been used in a variety of application for over 50 years and the research on it is getting attractive as the industry seek for green technology, as well as time and electrical saving process as it will contribute to the cost of final products. The evolution of microwave sintering has also been tested on composites as many researchers claimed that it improves the properties of materials and some materials
have superior properties when sintered by microwave. Microwave sintering only involves the conversion of energy which is electromagnetic into thermal, compared to conventional methods that use conduction, convection or radiation heating.

For this purpose of study, Fe/SiC with several compositions of SiC (0, 10 and 20 wt.%) are fabricated through PM route and name as pure Fe, Fe-10SiC and Fe-20SiC. Two sintering methods will be used which are microwave hybrid and conventional sintering methods. The evaluation of physical and mechanical properties after sintering has been made and the comparison of both sintering processes are analysed in order to understand the effect of sintering process on physical and mechanical properties of the samples.

1.2 Problem Statement

In the past, various studies have been carried out on microwave sintering of MMCs. The effect of microwave on Al/SiC, Mg/SiC and Al/TiC have been studied and the results obtained which include physical and mechanical properties data have been compared with conventional sintering results. Previously, microwave sintering or microwave heating process is only focused on ceramics and metals, but the advantages of microwave sintering have opened up the researchers’ eyes to carry out investigations on the MMCs.

Fe/SiC is a new kind of MMCs and still under investigation phase by the researchers. Liu et al. (2010) mentioned that the research on Fe/SiC is still immature when compared to Mg-Al light matrix composites. There are some problems are still not clear on Fe/SiC composites such as the reaction mechanism of Fe/SiC interface, ways to control the interfacial reaction and ways to enhance interfacial bonding. Based on their research, they found out that Fe/SiC have outstanding wear resistance, high hardness, high creep resistance and high temperature performance. In Chaktin et al. (2008a), the tensile properties of the sintered Fe/SiC were significantly higher than sintered Fe material. They also described that the tensile properties and hardness of the sintered Fe/SiC composite increased with increasing sintering temperature. Chaktin et al. (2008b) also stated that, the presence of particulate reinforcements reduced the density of Fe/SiC. However, Liu et al. (2010) discussed that the higher SiC content cause the incompatibility between SiC and the matrix hence resulted in increasing in pore which further tend to cause emergence and expansion of cracks so that the strength of materials decreased.

Various methods have been studied to produce Fe/SiC which are mechanical alloying (Shen et al., 1992), mechanical activation (Jia et al., 1999), pulsed laser deposition (Kumar et al., 2015) and powder metallurgy (PM) with conventional sintering method (Chakthin et al., 2008; Yodkaew et al., 2008), electrodeposition method (Ping et al., 2011) and laser sintering (Srinivasa et al., 2010), but producing Fe/SiC using PM with microwave or microwave hybrid sintering method has not been studied yet. PM with
conventional sintering methods is the easiest and cheapest ways to produce Fe/SiC but the problem faced by conventional PM processing of composites are the long sintering times and often results in grain coarsening and poor performance of mechanical properties (Ghasali et al., 2016). Hence, in order to improve the properties of Fe/SiC sintered by conventional sintering, microwave hybrid sintering method is adopted and the results of both sintering methods are compared in terms of sintering time consumption as well as physical and mechanical properties of the samples.

1.3 Aims and Objectives

1. To evaluate the sintering performance process on Fe/SiC using microwave hybrid and conventional method.
2. To characterize the physical and mechanical properties of sintered Fe/SiC.

1.4 Scope of Study

Microwave sintering of composites is globally emerging and the MMCs of Fe/SiC has gained industrial demand for wear resistance application. The scope of this work is focused on processing of Fe/SiC with different compositions (0, 10 and 20 wt. % of SiC) developed by PM route but using different sintering method which are conventional and microwave hybrid. The parameters of both sintering methods were fixed to be the same. The sintering temperatures used were 1000 °C, 1050 °C, 1100 °C and 1200 °C with the heating rate of 10 °C/min. The controlled atmosphere used was Argon (Ar) gas and all the samples undergo natural cooling process after soaking time (45 minutes) ended.

The sintering process as well as physical and mechanical properties of the samples were analysed and comparisons were made after sintering. The physical properties will be analysed in term of relative density, shrinkage and microstructure while the mechanical properties will be evaluated in term of tensile strength and hardness.

1.5 Thesis Outline

The first chapter in the thesis contains the introduction of the studies as a whole including the project background, problem statements, significance of study aim as well as objectives and scope of study.

Chapter two stresses on the literature review of powder metallurgy, microwave sintering of different kinds of materials including metals, ceramics and composites. The comparison of microwave and conventional sintering are made and the sintering method used to sinter Fe/SiC are also discussed.
Chapter three highlights the method of evaluation and characterization of Fe/SiC starting from preparing the mixture of Fe/SiC, compaction and sintering of the samples. Method of evaluation and characterization of sintering processes and physical and mechanical properties of the samples were also explained briefly in this chapter.

Chapter four describes the results of the analysis and evaluation of the study that has been made. Some graphs are plotted to show the relationship between the samples and their properties. Interpretation and justifying of data are discussed in this chapter.

The conclusion and summary of the results of this study are presented in chapter five, followed by recommendations for future research study.
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