



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***MEDIATING EFFECT OF JOB SATISFACTION ON RELATIONSHIP  
BETWEEN LEADER ATTRIBUTES AND EMPLOYEE LOYALTY AMONG  
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATORS IN MALAYSIA***

**MOHAMED AFIQ BIN MOHAMED ANWAR**

**GSM 2016 14**



**MEDIATING EFFECT OF JOB SATISFACTION ON RELATIONSHIP  
BETWEEN LEADER ATTRIBUTES AND EMPLOYEE LOYALTY AMONG  
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATORS IN MALAYSIA.**

**By**

**MOHAMED AFIQ BIN MOHAMED ANWAR**

**Thesis Submitted to Graduate School of Management, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**April 2016**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



## **DEDICATION**

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my family members. The backbone of my life today, my mum, Salehati and dad Mohamed Anwar, my siblings, Nur Atikah, Mohamed Athir, Mohamed Adib and Nur Afiqah.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

**MEDIATING EFFECT OF JOB SATISFACTION ON RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LEADER ATTRIBUTES AND EMPLOYEE LOYALTY AMONG PUBLIC ADMINISTRATORS IN MALAYSIA**

By

**MOHAMED AFIQ BIN MOHAMED ANWAR**

**April 2016**

**Chairman : Lailawati Mohd Salleh, PhD**  
**Faculty : Graduate School of Management, UPM**

Previous research shows that employee loyalty is crucial for an organization. Literature shows that factors that contribute to employee loyalty include job decision making, rewards and recognition, and job satisfaction. Also, leader attributes can influence employee loyalty. Studies done on the relationship between leadership factors that contribute to employee loyalty and job satisfaction is scarce particularly those done in Malaysia. The general objective of the study was to determine the level of employee loyalty among Generation Y (Gen Y) Management and Professional group in the Malaysian public service. The study also examines the relationship between employee loyalty, job satisfaction and leader attributes. The study further examined the perception of Gen Y public administrators of their leader attributes (behavior, personality and communication) and whether or not this leader attributes (behavior, personality and communication skill) influence employee loyalty. Job satisfaction was included to determine mediation effect between this relationship.

This study employs SEM to analyze the data. The sample of the study is the Gen Y of the Management and Professional group from the Malaysian public sector located in Putrajaya. It involves 495 respondents from eight (8) ministries in Putrajaya. Instruments administered for the research were adopted from Employee Loyalty Acid Test and Loyalty Scale, Mohrman-Cooke-Mohrman Job Satisfaction Scale (MCMJSS), Leadership Practice Index (LPI), USM Personality Inventory (USMaP-i) and Communication Competence Questionnaire (CCQ). All the instruments had high reliabilites.

The result of the study showed that leader personality had no significant relationship between employee job satisfaction and employee loyalty. However, there is a strong significant relationship between leader behavior and leader communication skill with employee loyalty. Finally, employee job satisfaction was found to partially mediate the relationship between leader behavior and leader communication skill with employee loyalty.

Contribution of the study to literature is contrary to existing studies, where the relationship between job satisfaction and loyalty was found not to be significant. This may indicate that job satisfaction may not be a pertinent factor among Gen Y public administrators to be loyal. What could be more important are the leader behavior and communication skills.

The study suggests that the public sector organization to create awareness and where required training among the leaders on increasing behavior and good communication skill that would garner loyalty among the public administrators. Future research may conduct a similar on the private sector for the group of administrators among Gen Y.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**KESAN PENGANTARA KEPUASAN KERJA KEPADA HUBUNGAN  
DIANTARA SIFAT-SIFAT PEMIMPIN DAN KESETIAAN PEKERJA DI  
KALANGAN PENTADBIR AWAM DI MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**MOHAMED AFIQ BIN MOHAMED ANWAR**

**April 2016**

**Pengerusi : Lailawati Mohd Salleh, PhD**

**Fakulti : Sekolah Pengajian Siswazah Pengurusan, UPM**

Kajian lepas menunjukkan bahawa kesetiaan adalah amat penting bagi organisasi. Sorotan literatur menunjukkan faktor yang menyumbang kepada kesetiaan pekerja termasuk keputusan pembuatan kerja, ganjaran dan pengiktirafan, dan kepuasan kerja. Juga, sikap pemimpin boleh mempengaruhi kesetiaan pekerja. Kajian yang telah dilakukan keatas perhubungan diantara faktor kepimpinan yang menyumbang kepada kesetiaan pekerja dan kepuasan kerja adalah sukar didapati terutamanya pada perlaksanaannya di Malaysia. Objektif umum bagi kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan tahap kesetiaan pekerja dikalangan kumpulan Pengurusan dan Profesional Generasi Y (Gen Y) khidmat awam. Kajian juga mengkaji hubungan diantara kesetiaan pekerja, kepuasan kerja dan sifat-sifat pemimpin. Kajian ini juga mengkaji persepsi pentadbir awam Gen Y tentang sifat-sifat pemimpin (sikap, personality dan komunikasi) mereka dan samaada atau tidak sifat-sifat pemimpin (sikap, personality dan komunikasi) ini mempengaruhi kesetiaan pekerja. Kepuasan kerja turut dimasukkan untuk menentukan kesan pengantara diantara hubungan ini.

Kajian ini menggunakan SEM untuk menganalisis data. Sampel dalam kajian ini adalah kumpulan pengurusan dan profesional Generasi Y dari sektor awam yang bertempat di Putrajaya. Ia melibatkan 495 responden dari lapan (8) kementerian di Putrajaya. Instrumen yang digunakan untuk kajian ini diambil dari "Employee Loyalty Acid Test" dan "Loyalty Scale", "Mohrman-Cooke-Mohrman Job Satisfaction Scale (MCMJSS)", "Leadership Practice Index (LPI)", "USM Personality Inventory (USMaP-i)" dan "Communication Competence Questionnaire (CCQ)".

Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa personaliti pemimpin tidak mempunyai perhubungan ketara diantara kepuasan kerja pekerja dan kesetiaan pekerja. Walaubagaimanapun, terdapat perhubungan yang kuat dan ketara diantara sikap pemimpin dan kemahiran komunikasi pemimpin dengan kesetiaan pekerja. Akhir sekali, kepuasan kerja pekerja didapati sebahagiannya menjadi pengantara hubungan

antara sikap pemimpin dan kemahiran komunikasi pemimpin dengan kesetiaan pekerja.

Sumbangan kajian ini terhadap literatur adalah bertentangan dengan kajian yang sedia ada, dimana hubungan diantara personaliti pemimpin dan kesetiaan pekerja adalah didapati tidak signifikan. Ini boleh menunjukkan bahawa personaliti pemimpin mungkin tidak menjadi faktor yang penting dikalangan pentadbir awam Gen Y untuk menjadi setia. Apa yang lebih utama adalah sikap pemimpin dan kemahiran komunikasi.

Kajian ini menyarankan agar organisasi sektor awam dapat mewujudkan kesedaran dan yang mana latihan dikalangan pemimpin untuk meningkatkan sikap dan kemahiran komunikasi mampu memupuk kesetiaan dikalangan pentadbir awam. Kajian yang akan datang boleh dikendalikan secara sama pada sektor swasta bagi kumpulan pentadbir dikalangan Gen Y.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, Alhamdulillah. Thank you Allah Almighty for giving me strength to endure thousands of barriers throughout my master degree journey, and thank you Allah for sending me the most precious gift ever a human could have, the gems of my soul, my mother Salehati Md Saleh. Without her fanning up my spirit, for having faith in me, to inspire and to guide me, there might not be a chance for me to write this “piece of appreciation” writing today. She witnessed my sadness and pain throughout the path for me to finish my unrealistic five years of Master Degree study. I’m sorry for letting you witnessing my rant and tantrum due to excessive stress and pressure, but all I want to tell you that I love you so much. Not to forget my father Mohamed Anwar for being there when I needed you. To my elder sister Nur Atikah, my elder brother Mohamed Athir, my younger brother Mohamed Adib and my sweet little Barbie, my youngest sister Nur Afiqah, thank you for understanding my situation and also being tolerant to my irrelevant rant and tantrum.

I would like to express my gratitude to all of my lecturers in UPM, especially my supervisor Dr Lailawati Mohd Salleh for her mentoring effort, urging me to be better, shaping me into a not dependant student so that I can survive at wherever I will be in my future. Thank you for all your effort and may Allah Almighty pay you as what you deserve all this while.

To my Palestinian friend, Dr Naji Zuhair Alsarhi. Thank you so much for your sincerity, willingness and time spent to guide me on analyzing my research data using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). May Allah Almighty grant you with a successful life, peace and happiness to you and your family. InsyaAllah.

I would like to record a million thanks to Graduate School of Management (GSM) and Putra Business School (PBS) staffs for your warm welcome and assistant, especially Madam Norizan Mohd Leman. I humbly extend my gratitude to whomever I did not mention, who indirectly co-operated with me in this regard.

“Jazak Allahu Khayran”

“May Allah Reward You With Goodness”

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 18 April 2016 to conduct the final examination of Mohamed Afiq Bin Mohamed Anwar on his thesis entitled “Mediating Effect of Job Satisfaction on Relationship between Leader Attributes and Employee Loyalty among Public Administrators in Malaysia” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Ho Jo Ann, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Department of Marketing and Management  
Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Azizan Asmuni, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Department of Professional Development and Continuing  
Faculty of Educational Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
Serdang, Selangor  
(Internal Examiner)

**Zafir Khan Mohamed Makhbul, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
Bangi, Selangor  
(External Examiner)

---

**PROF. DATUK DR. MAD NASIR SHAMSUDIN**

Deputy Vice Chancellor (Academic & International)  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :

On behalf of,  
Graduate School of Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Lailawati Mohd Salleh, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Department of Marketing and Management  
Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Bahaman Abu Samah, PhD**

Professor  
Department of Professional Development and Continuing  
Faculty of Educational Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**PROF. DATUK DR. MAD NASIR SHAMSUDIN**  
Deputy Vice Chancellor (Academic & International)  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :

On behalf of,  
Graduate School of Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name/ Matric No. : Mohamed Afiq Bin Mohamed Anwar , GM04269

## **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

### **Chairman of Supervisory Committee**

Signature : \_\_\_\_\_  
Name : Dr. Lailawati Mohd Salleh  
Faculty : Faculty of Economics and Management, UPM

### **Member of Supervisory Committee**

Signature : \_\_\_\_\_  
Name : Prof. Dr. Bahaman Abu Samah  
Faculty : Faculty of Economics and Management, UPM

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiv
	xv

### CHAPTER

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.0 Overview	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	2
	1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
	1.3 Research Questions	5
	1.4 Purpose and Objectives of the study	5
	1.5 Significance of the study	6
	1.6 Limitations of the study	6
	1.7 Definitions of terms	6
	1.8 Summary	7
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.0 Review of Literature and Hypothesis	8
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Loyalty	8
	2.3 Employee Loyalty	9
	2.3.1 Employee Loyalty Among Generation Y	10
	2.4 Theories	11
	2.5 Leader and Leadership	12
	2.5.1 Leadership Theories	13
	2.5.2 Leader Leadership Attributes	15
	a) Leader Behavior	16
	b) Leader Personality	17
	c) Leader Communication Competence	19
	2.5.3 Employee Job Satisfaction	20
	2.6 Conceptual Framework	21
	2.7 Research Hypotheses	23
	2.8 Summary	25

<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.0	Introduction	26
3.1	Research Design	26
3.2	Population of Study	26
3.3	Sample Size and Techniques	28
3.4	Measurement	29
	3.4.1 Personality	29
	3.4.2 Behavior	29
	3.4.3 Communication Competence	30
	3.4.4 Loyalty	31
	3.4.5 Employees' Job Satisfaction	31
3.5	Data Collection	32
	3.5.1 Pilot Study	32
	3.5.2 Actual Field Study	35
3.6	Data Analysis	35
	3.6.1 Measures of Central Tendencies and Dispersions	38
3.7	Summary	42
<b>4</b>	<b>DATA ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS</b>	
4.1	Initial Measurement Model	43
4.2	Assessing the Initial Model	50
4.3	Modifying the Initial Model	53
4.4	Proposed Structural Model	68
	4.4.1 Assessing the proposed structural model	68
4.5	Testing the Hypotheses	71
4.6	Summary	74
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>	
5.0	Introduction	75
5.1	Objective by objectives	75
5.2	Summary and discussion of result	76
5.3	Contributions of Study	77
	5.3.1 To Theory	77
	5.3.2 To Practice	78
5.4	Recommendations for Future Research	79
5.5	Conclusions	79
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	80
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	96
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	119

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>	
3.1	Public sector employees' categorization	26
3.2	Gen Y Management and Professional Officer in Putrajaya	27
3.3	Questionnaires Structures for Pilot Study	32
3.4	Questionnaires Distributions and Returns	33
3.5	Pilot Study: Correlation between Leader Behavior, Leader Personality, Leader Communication Skill, Employee Job satisfaction and Employee Loyalty	33
3.6	Number of Items after Pilot Study	34
3.7	Distribution of Questionnaires	36
3.8	Profile of Respondents	37
3.9	Descriptive Statistics	38
3.10	Correlation Matrix between LB, LP, LC and EJS	40
3.11	Correlation between LB, LP, LC, EJS and EL	40
3.12	Factor Loadings of The Constructs	41
4.1	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the initial model (Leader Behavior)	51
4.2	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the initial model (Leader Personality)	51
4.3	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the initial model (Leader Communication Competence)	52
4.4	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the initial model (Employee Job Satisfaction)	52
4.5	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the initial model (Employee Loyalty)	52
4.6	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model (Leader Behavior)	54
4.7	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model (Leader Personality – Agreeableness)	55
4.8	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model (Leader Personality - Conscientiousness)	55
4.9	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model (Leader Personality – Extroversion)	56
4.10	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model (Leader Neuroticism)	57
4.11	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model (Leader Personality – Openness)	58
4.12	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model Leader Personality	60
4.13	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model Leader Communication Competence	61
4.14	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model Employee Job Satisfaction	61
4.15	CFA goodness-of-fit statistics for the modified measurement model Employee Loyalty	62



4.16	Standardized Regression Weights on Leader Behavior, Leader Personality, Leader Communication Competence, Employee Job Satisfaction and Employee Loyalty	63
4.17	Average Variance Extracted (AVA) and Construct Reliability	66
4.18	Cronbach's Alpha Table	66
4.19	Correlation Matrix for Leader Behavior	67
4.20	Correlation Matrix for Leader Personality	67
4.21	Correlation Matrix for Communication Competence	67
4.22	Correlation Matrix for Employee Job Satisfaction	67
4.23	Correlation Matrix for Employee Loyalty	67
4.24	Goodness-of-fit for Single Measurement Model of LB, LP, LC, EJS and EL (Before modification)	68
4.25	Goodness-of-fit for Single Measurement Model of LB, LP, LC, EJS and EL	70
4.26	Perimeter estimates of the SEM model	71
4.27	Results on mediation Test for Employee Job Satisfaction on Leader Attributes and Employee Loyalty	73
4.28	Results on Mediation test for employee Job Satisfaction on Leader Behavior and Employee Loyalty	74
4.29	Results on Mediation test for employee Job Satisfaction on Leader Communication Competence and Employee Loyalty	74

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1	11
2.2	21
2.3	23
3.1	27
4.1	43
4.2	44
4.2a	45
	(Agreeableness)
4.2b	45
	(Conscientiousness)
4.2c	46
	(Extroversion)
4.2d	47
	(Neuroticism)
4.2e	47
	(Openness)
4.3	48
	Competence
4.4	49
4.5	50
4.6	53
4.7	54
	(Agreeableness)
4.8	55
	(Conscientiousness)
4.9	56
	(Extroversion)
4.10	57
	(Neuroticism)
4.11	58
	(Openness)
4.12	59
4.13	60
	Competence
4.14	61
4.15	62
4.16	69
	Goodness-of-fit for Proposed Structural Model of LB, LP, LC, EJS and EL (Before modification)
4.17	71
	Goodness-of-fit of Final Structural Model of LB, LC, EJS and EL

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGFI	Adjusted Goodness-of-Fit
AVE	Average Variance Extracted
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
CR	Construct Reliability
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
EJS	Employee Job Satisfaction
EL	Employee Loyalty
GFI	Goodness-of-Fit Index
GOF	Goodness-of-Fit
LB	Leader Behavior
LC	Leader Communication
LBDQ	Leadership Behavior Descriptive Questionnaire
LP	Leaders Personality
LPI	Leadership Practice Inventory
MCMJSS	Mohrman-Cooke-Mohrman Job Satisfaction Scale
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error Approximation
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling
USMaP-i	Universiti Sains Malaysia Personality Inventory

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.0 Overview

Managing human is not easy. Dealing with employees is a challenge for Human Resource Department as employee needs and preferences are different (Tolbize, 2008). Human Resource Department has a tough job in ensuring employee high performance through training and development, performance appraisal, compensation management, and industrial relations. Moreover, a good human resource management team can handle retention of the employees, the performance of the employees, and the knowledge adequacy of the employees to ensure that the organisation has a competitive advantage (Caliskan, 2010). Conversely, employees should display positive behaviour and attitude towards the organisation.

Employee turnover is one of a crucial problems faced by today's organisations (Anvari, Syafiq, Seyyed & Siavash, 2013) and in Malaysia, the turnover rate of Malaysian financial service sector increased from 7.4% in year 2012 to 13.3% in 2013 (Koh, 2013). Apart from the turnover issue, employee performance, especially in the government sector, also needs attention (Siddique, 2006). According to Schawbel (2011), the increasing turnover rate in year 2011 had a high record among Gen Y employees where 70% of them left their first jobs within two years of working. From this record, it should trigger organisations that they need to be cognizant of their employee issues and problems, particularly the cause of this high turnover rate. This is more important as the seemingly more loyal employees, the baby boomers, are retiring while the generation Y (Millennial or Echo Boomers) is entering into the workforce.

Turnover may be an indication of employee loyalty towards an organisation. Maloney (2013), agrees that employee turnover is a signal of whether an employee is loyal or not to the organisation, an issue which the organisation needs to attend to. This is because, knowledge owned by these employees will be taken away with them if they leave hence retaining them is vital (Matzler & Renzl, 2006). Generally, an employee who is loyal towards their organisation will perform their best to upkeep the good name of the organisation (Yee, Yeung & Cheng 2010). In relation to this issue, Rahman, Noraida, Rashidi, Harnizam, et al. (2009) suggest that one way employers may address this issue is through employee satisfaction.

For instance, employee satisfaction can be achieved by fulfilling employees' expectations such as higher salaries, strong reputation, and benefit-in-kind (Yin, Fen, Meng, Yin, et al., 2012), career advancement, performance appraisal, and employees' more significant role (Rahman, et al., 2009). On another note, Schrag (2001) found management theorists believe that loyalty can be promoted through recognition and reward. As employee loyalty keeps an organisation going, we need to understand the factors that may influence employees' loyalty.

## 1.1 Background of the Study

In Malaysia, the government is the largest employer to the workforce. Based on the *Ministry of Human Resources* website, as of the second quarter of 2014, there were approximately 1.6 million civil servants out of 13.2 million employees in Malaysia. Abdullah (2014) stressed the importance of high performing employees in driving a successful organisation. He viewed that apparently the problems rooted in the public sectors need to be taken care of by improving the performance of civil servants.

To further enhance the performance of the Malaysian workforce, in 11th Malaysian Plan, the government of Malaysia has announced six strategic thrusts of the plan where the third thrust is “Accelerating Human Capital Development for an Advanced Nation”. By accelerating the development of human capital, it is hoped that the growth of the organisations could drive the nations into succession.

In addition, Rahman, et al. (2009) state that the growth of an organisation is influenced by employees’ loyalty. Bakker & Schaufeli (2008) further highlight that the tendency of an employee being more dedicated to the job or being committed to the organisation is important for the durability and performance of the organisation. This was confirmed by the findings from Maznah, Kamaruzzaman, and Harniyati (2010) where employee loyalty can be seen from their affective commitment with their employers. Other than that, Aityan and Gupta (2012) state that a better understanding on ways to promote employee loyalty could decrease the number of employee turnover within the organisation and boost the durability of the organisation during hard times.

Meier and Hicklin (2008) found that turnover does have an impact on the performance of the organisation where talented workers become harder to retain should the task within the organisation be tougher and economically, the cost of retaining these talents is even higher. Turnover may occur in any organisation due to the distinctiveness of the workers, jobs, organisations and markets (Ton & Huckman, 2008). In addition, turnover also might occur due to the employers’ point of control such as family relocations, health problems, and retirements (Meier & Hicklin, 2008). Should turnover occur, the organisation needs to find new employees and when that happens, costs will incur (Anvari, et al., 2013) such as the training cost. More so, the time taken to have new employee acquires the skills and knowledge as the previous employee may also be a cost to the company. As commonly known, cost of maintaining an employee is lower than the cost of training new employees (Brum, 2007).

Meanwhile, Michlitsch (2000) states that having a loyal employee will add value to organisations. Antoncic & Antoncic (2011) state that long term business objectives can be achieved once employee loyalty is established within the organisation. This is because the growth of an organisation is partly influenced by the level of loyalty among employees (Rahman, et al., 2009). Furthermore, the existence of loyal employees projects a good image and attracts outsiders of the organisation (Bettencourt, Gwinner & Meuter, 2001). At the same time, a loyal employee has a bigger tendency to work harder, have more passionate about their job, and are willing to bear with their superior instructions. Rahman, et al. (2009) also added that

employee loyalty is one of the factors that influence employee turnover in the organisation.

The repercussion of employees not being loyal to the organisation is high. This includes the cost of hiring and retaining new employees, loss of job knowledge and skills, gain by competitors, and organisational reputation which may also be affected. Besides, leaders can influence employees' loyalty through effective communication (Mayfield & Mayfield, 2002) and leadership behaviours such as being appreciative and supportive (Lussier & Achua, 2016), and also promoting employee loyalty. Other attributes of an effective leader also consist of good behaviour and personality (Bass, 1990).

Apart from productive and dedicated employees, a superior performing organisation can result from leaders who are able to attract employees to remain in the organisation (Dinwoodie, Quinn, & McGuire, 2014). In Nigeria for instance, due to ineffective leadership, the Nigerian Public Service fell into a deteriorate condition where the employees were no longer loyal and became less effective to provide services in meeting the demands of the populace (Olanrewaju, 2009). This shows the need of a capable leader who knows how to lead the organisation towards succession.

Moreover, a leader also plays role in influencing employee job satisfaction within the organisation (Belias & Koustelios, 2014). Studies conducted on the Malaysian workforce which found that turnover among Malaysian was due to job dissatisfaction among employees (Fauziah & Kamaruzzaman, 2009). This statement is strengthened by the trend of employee turnover in Malaysia which results from job dissatisfaction among employees (Rohani, Nair & Haryanni, 2012). It was also found that job satisfaction promotes employees loyalty towards the organisations by being more committed (Fauziah and Kamaruzzaman., 2009; Rahman et al., 2009; and Amat, Muhammad, Ishfaq, Ishak & Mastura (2014).

## **1.2 Statement of the Problem**

The interest on the concept of loyalty is high among academicians throughout the world. The concept of loyalty has been viewed from many perspectives such as engagement (Ibrahim & Falasi, 2014) and commitment (Iqbal, Tufail & Lodhi, 2015). For instance, a loyal employee can contribute towards the succession of the organisation where the customer of the organisation can be loyal and at the same time improve the performance of the organisation (Bloemer & Odekerken-Schroder, 2006). With loyalty, the organisation could also retain their employees despite higher offer paid by other organisation (Abdullah, 2011).

As of late, there is a disturbing trend of employees moving in and out of the organisation (Koh, 2013). The trend of job hopping is generally getting more attention by many organisations today (Meister, 2012) including Malaysia (Goh, 2012). One of the reasons known for why employees job-hop is a high competitive labour market and low unemployment rate, which opens up the opportunity for job hopping (Choi, Perumal & Ajagbem, 2012).

The trend of job hopping is getting serious (New Straits Times, May 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2012). As in Feb, 2012, it was reported by the Malaysian Employers Federation (MEF) that the non-manufacturing organisations surveyed from June 2010 to July 2011 had the attrition rate between 9.6% to 75% of their executives switching job within a year. This phenomenon costs the company a huge amount of loss (Goh, 2012), even worse some also quit the current job even they do not have a job in hand (Aruna, 2012) due to the feeling of not growing in the current organisation.

According to Harbet & Dudley (2007), among all generations populating the workforce, the Millennial or Generation Y (Gen Y) is the one more likely to seek for other jobs as compared to the baby boomers and Generation X (Gen X) workforce. For instance, Generation Y consists of those born in the era where computers and massive technological devices are rampant (Tolbize, 2008). Meanwhile, Generation X believes in taking challenges in work and was good in technical skills (Bhatt, 2014), but technology has changed how work is done. The years where Gen X grew up has passed and work has changed where tasks now are completed using technologies. This is indeed a challenge to Gen X. However the new workforce, Gen Y does not see this as a challenge but how work is done. To support this, Brown, Carter, Collins, Gallerson, et al. (2009) stated that Gen Y lives in an era of advanced technologies and this makes Gen Y's lifestyle and preferences different from the previous generation, thus making their demand for a new way of working style.

In order to manage these new sets of employees, measures need to be taken to ensure that the employees enjoy working and want to stay in the organisation. Supporting this argument, Korunka, Hoonakker, and Carayon (2008) found that job satisfaction among employees can reduce the possibility of an employee to quit from the organisation. On this note, being loyal can be reason for the employees to stay in the organisation. Moreover, Eskildsen & Nussler (2000) added that job satisfaction is the key to employee loyalty where the satisfaction can be derived from policies of the organisation, structure, knowledge and skills, and compensation. When an employee is loyal, the possibility of the employee leaving the job is low (Ding, Lu, Song & Lu, 2012).

To date, Generation Y employees have outnumbered other generations in the workforce (Fry, 2015). However, retaining these Gen Y employees is a challenge (Hoi, 2013), as Gen Y employees today have a high tendency to hop jobs (Queiri, Wan & Dwaikat, 2014). Schawbel (2011) mentioned that job hopping is a normal trend among them. Therefore, job hopping can signal poor loyalty among employees (Klehe, Zikic, Vianen & Pater, 2011).

Furthermore, Flauto (1999) found that it is important to examine leaders' attributes that may contribute to employees' loyalty. For example, Robbins (2001) and Mayfield (2002) found that employee loyalty can be earned from good communication between employees and the employer. In addition, Mayfield (2002) added that effective communication is a good medium in transmitting behavioural intent to employees, and at the same time creating the foundation of trust between the leader and the employee and subsequently, developing loyalty among employees.

Biro (2012) stated that before someone can become loyal, the leader needs to create a good relationship with the subordinates by being transparent, fair, and open. Biro further added that by being so, the leader is creating good rapport, understanding and trust, and making the employees feel that they need to be loyal. Other than that, Fernandez (2012) added that leader's behaviour can influence employee loyalty such as "giving recognition" because such behaviour is able to fulfil the essential need of human to be recognised. This feeling may make the employees happy and satisfied, thus can lead to loyalty (Eskildsen & Nussler, 2000). In line with this note, Eskildsen and Nussler (2000) look at the causal model of employee loyalty, and they found that employee job satisfaction can influence employee loyalty which can be contributed by three subsystems; cultural subsystem, social subsystem, and technical subsystem. Due to this reason, this model can be used as the basis for the study.

In the Malaysian context, a study on public administrator's loyalty is essential. This is because public administrators are the backbone of the government and it is important that they are loyal. Many studies on loyalty have been conducted in business but the researcher has not found any for the public sector.

Based on the discussion above, this study aims to identify the Malaysian leaders' attributes that may contribute to employee loyalty. With the scenario of Gen Y as the dominant workforce today, it is a challenge for the employers to understand leader attribute that can manage and have the Gen Y loyal to them. By having loyal employees, the organisation can increase the organisational performance and help grow the Malaysian economy in the long run.

### **1.3 Research questions**

Based on the discussion above, we can look at a few questions in accordance to the relationship between perceived leadership attributes and employee's loyalty:

- RQ1. Are Generation Y public administrators in Putrajaya loyal to their leaders?
- RQ2. Is there a relationship between employee job satisfaction and employee loyalty among Gen Y public administrators in Putrajaya?
- RQ3. Does Gen Y employee job satisfaction influence the relationship between leader attributes and their loyalty?

### **1.4 Purpose and Objectives of the Study**

The main purpose of the study is to explicate leaders' attributes that may influence public Gen Y administrators' loyalty in public sectors. The specific objectives are:

- 1) To determine the level of loyalty among Gen Y administrators in the public sector.
- 2) To examine the relationship between job satisfaction and loyalty among Gen Y administrators in the public sector.
- 3) To examine the perception of Gen Y public administrators of their leaders' attributes (behaviours, personality and communication).
- 4) To examine the relationship between Gen Y public administrator's perception of their leaders' attributes and their loyalty towards the leader.



- 5) To examine the influence of job satisfaction on the relationship between leader attributes (behaviours, personality, and communication) and Gen Y public administrators' loyalty.

## **1.5 Significance of Study**

- 1) Employee loyalty is known to be important in an organisation particularly to reduce cost. When employees are being loyal, it signals that the employees are satisfied with their management.
- 2) Results from this study may indicate which leader attributes (behaviours, personality, and communication) can promote employee job satisfaction among Gen Y Public administrators.
- 3) This study may contribute towards the understanding of leader attributes that can influence employee loyalty in the Malaysian public organisations.

## **1.6 Limitations of the study**

This study has several limitations:

1. Survey distribution by third party. The researcher only had 4 months to complete survey, data analysis, and thesis writing.
2. Access to respondents. The researcher was not allowed to personally disseminate the questionnaire to the respondents.

## **1.7 Definition of terms**

The following terms are provided for common understanding of the terms used.

### **1.7.1 Employee Loyalty**

Characterised by the strong wish to continue membership of an organisation, loyalty plays a constructive role in retention of members in the organisation (Turkyilmaz et. al, 2011, p. 682). Reicheld (2001) stated that employee loyalty is about earning employees' enthusiastic commitment to a relationship that will improve their lives over the long run. However, employee loyalty in this study is the sense of emotional attachment and effort made by the employees towards the leader.

### **1.7.2 Employee Job Satisfaction**

Employee job satisfaction refers to the employee's sense of well-being within his or her work environment (Carolina Population Centre, 2015). In this study, employee job satisfaction is referred as the state of the employee feeling that their basic needs are being fulfilled from the settings of the leaders.

### **1.7.3 Leader Attributes**

The attributes of leaders can be described by their behaviour, personality, and communication in which the communication skill is represented through their ability to influence and persuade, while the inner quality can be seen from the behaviour and personality of the leader (Bass, 1990). The attributes of leaders in this study can be described by the quality of the leader which consists of behaviour, personality, and communication.

### **1.7.4 Leader Behaviour**

Behaviour can be defined as the way in which one acts or conducts oneself, especially towards others (Oxford Dictionaries, 2013). Hence, leader behavior in these terms can be defined as the way in which the leader acts or conducts oneself, especially towards others. Kouzes and Posner (2007) stated that leaders need to clarify their value in order to model their behaviour to which will impact on employees. Thus, leader behaviour in this study is referred to as the leader's act when dealing with people around him/her.

### **1.7.5 Leader Personality**

Personality is a predisposition to behave systematically. A trait is some unique dimension of that behaviour and reflects "stylistic consistencies in a person's behavior" (Hogan, 1991). Saiful et. al. (2010) defines personality as a sum total of mental, emotional, social, and physical characteristics that distinguish one person from another. This means that leader personality in this study is referred as the leader's emotional predisposition to behave towards others.

### **1.7.6 Leader Communication Skill**

Communication is defined as skill in conveying information and mutual understanding process from one person to another (Lunenburg, 2010). In this study, communication skill is defined as the capabilities of a leader when handling written or oral communication with others.

## **1.8 Summary**

The study focuses on Gen Y as management and professional employees in the Malaysian ministries. The study also looks into the factors that contribute to employee loyalty and tests employee job satisfaction as a mediator between leader attributes and employee loyalty. This study unveils the first three constructs of leader behaviour, leader personality, and leader communication competence, which generally summarises leader attributes in the literature, employee job satisfaction (fourth construct) and employee loyalty (fifth construct). A detailed review of the literature relevant to the above five constructs is depicted in Chapter 2.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A. B. (2014, November 5). *Unleashing the Human Potential to Create Sustainable High Performance*. Retrieved August 8, 2015, from <http://www.asli.com.my/?p=past-events/tun-abdullah-ahmad-badawi-human-capital-summit-2014#.Vyc0wDB97IU>
- Abdullah, R. B., Musa, M., Zahari, H., Rahman, R. & Khalid, K. (2011). The study of employee satisfaction and its effect on loyalty in hotel industry in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(3), 147-155.
- Abiodun, E. F. (2010). Nigerian women prosion workers' experiences of workplace violence, *African Study Monograph*, 31 (1), 1-15.
- Aityan, S.K. & Gupta, T.K.P. (2012). Challenges of employee loyalty in corporate America. *Business and Economic Journal*, 2012, 1.
- Ali, Z., Nisar, N. & Raza, A. (2011). Impact of personality on leadership styles of secondary school teacher. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 3(3), 610-617.
- Allen, N. J. & Meyer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63, 1-18.
- Allport, G. W. (1960). *Personality ans social encounter*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Antoncic, J. A. & Antoncic, B. (2011). Employee loyalty and its impact on firm growth. *International Journal of Management and Information System*, 15 (1), 81-88.
- Antonakis, J., Ashkanasy, N. M. & Dasborough, M. T. (2009). Does leadership need emotional intelligence?, *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20(2), 247-261.
- Antonioni, D. (1998). Relationship between the big five personality factors and conflict management styles, *International Journal of Conflict Management*, Vol. 9 (4), 336 – 355.
- Anvari, R., Syafiq, N. J. H., Seyyed, A. M. & Siavash, H. C. (2013). Mediating effect of psychological contract in the relationship between learning and development initiatives and engineers' intention to stay. *Review of European Studies*, 5 (5), 233-237.
- Amat, S. A., Muhammad, Z. S., Ishfaq, A., Ishak, M. S. & Mastura, M. (2014). Job satisfaction of academics in Malaysian public university. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 114, 154-158.
- Aruna, P. (12<sup>th</sup> February 2012). Job-hoppers rely on 'parental net'. Retrieved on 8<sup>th</sup> August 2015 from <http://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2012/02/12/jobhoppers-rely-on-parental-net/>
- Ashton, M. C. & Lee, K. (2004). Psychometric properties of the HEXACO personality inventory. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 39, 329-358.
- Asma, A. (1995). Managing with cultural differences, *Malaysian Management Review*, 30 (2), 1-17.
- Asma, A. (2010). *Culture influences on learning and thinking*. October 10, 2010, Seri Pacific Hotel, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Awang, Z. (2014). *A handbook on SEM*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin.
- Aziri, B. (2011). Job satisfaction: A literature review. *Management Research and Practice*, 3 (4), 77-86.

- Bakker, A. B., Schaufeli, W. B., Leiter, M. P. & Taris, T. W. (2008). Work engagement: An emerging concept in occupational health psychology. *Work & Stress, Taylor & Francis*, 22 (3), 187-200.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectation*. New York: Free Press.
- Bass, B.M., Waldman, D.A., Avolio, B.J., & Bebb, M. (1987). Transformational leadership and the falling dominoes effect. *Group and Organization Studies*, 12, 73–87.
- Bass, R. (1990). *The Bass handbook of leadership; Theory, research & managerial applications*. Free Press, New York, 3rd edition.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Bandura, A. R. & Ross, S. A. (1961). Transmission of aggression through the imitation of aggressive models. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 63, 575-582.
- Barrett, D. J. (2006), Strong communication skills a must for today's leaders. *Handbook of Business Strategy, Emerald*, 7(1), 385-390.
- Bartholomew, D. J., Steele, F., Moustaki, I. & Galbraith, J. I. (2008). *Analysis of Multivariate Social Science Data*. London: CRC Press.
- Bartone, P. T., Eid, J., Johnsen, B. H., Laberg, J. C. & Snook, S. A. (2009). Big five personality factors, hardiness, and social judgment as predictors of leader performance. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 30(6).
- Belias, D. & Koustelios, A. (2014). Leadership and job satisfaction - A review, *European Scientific Journal*, 10 (8), 24-46.
- Bettencourt, L. A., Gwinner, K. P. & Meuter M. L. (2001). A comparison of attitude, personality, and knowledge predictors of service-oriented organizational citizenship behaviors. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86 (1), 29-41.
- Bhatt, D. (June, 11, 2014). Leading the Four Generations at Work. Retrieved, May 18, 2016 from <http://www.amanet.org/training/articles/Leading-the-Four-Generations-at-Work.aspx>
- Biro, M. M. (2012). 5 Leadership Behaviors Loyal Employees Trust. *Leadership, Forbes.com*, 4 Jun 2012.
- Bligh, M. C., Kohles, J. C. & Pillai, R. (2011). Romancing leadership: Past, present and future. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22, 1058-1077.
- Bloemer, J & Odekerken-Schroder, G. (2006). The role of employee relationship proneness in creating employee loyalty. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 4, 252-265.
- Bolden, R. (2011). Distributed leadership in organizations: A review of theory and research. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 13, 3, 251-269.
- Brown, S., Carter, B., Collins, M., Gallerson, C., Giffin, G., Greer, J., Griffith, R., Johnson, E. & Richardson, K. (2009). *Generation Y in the workplace*. Unpublished dissertation from The Bush School of Government and Public Service, Texas A&M University.
- Brown, S., McHardy, J., McNabb, R., & Taylor, K. (2011). Workplace performance, worker commitment and loyalty. *IZA Discussion Paper*, January 2011, 5447.
- Brum, S. (2007). What impact does training have on employee commitment and employee turnover?. *Schmidt Labor Research Center Seminar, Research Series*, 6-8.

- Bush, R. P., Busy, A. J., & Hair, J. F. (1990). Developing a behavior-based scale to assess retail salesperson performance. *Journal of Retailing*, 66 (Spring), 119-136.
- Caliskan, E. N. (2010). The impact of strategic human resource management on organizational performance. *Journal of Naval Science and Engineering*, 6 (2), 100-116.
- Cameron, K. (2011). Responsible leadership as virtuous leadership. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 98(1), 25-35.
- Carolina Population Centre (2015). Retrieved on 15 December 2015 from [http://erc.msh.org/toolkit/toolkitfiles/file/Employee\\_Satisfaction\\_Tool.pdf](http://erc.msh.org/toolkit/toolkitfiles/file/Employee_Satisfaction_Tool.pdf)
- Carson, J. B., Tesluk, P. E. & Marrone, J. A. (2007). Shared leadership in teams: An investigation of antecedent conditions and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50 (5), 1217-1234.
- Cerit, Y. (2009). The effect of servant leadership behaviours of school principals on teachers job satisfaction. *Educational Management Administration Leadership*, 37, 600-623.
- Chandler, D. (2012). The transmission model of communication. Retrieved November, 9, 2012 from <http://www.aber.ac.uk/media/Documents/short/trans.html>.
- Chen, Z. X., Tsui, A. S., & Farh, Jiing-Lih. (2002). Loyalty to supervisor vs. organizational commitment: Relationships to employee performance in China. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 75, 339-356.
- Chittaranjan, G., Blom, J., & Gatica-Perez, D. (2011). Who's who with big five: Analyzing and classifying personality traits with smartphones. ISWC '11 Proceedings of the 2011 15th Annual International Symposium on Wearable Computers, 29-36.
- Choi, S. L., Perumal, P. & Ajagbe, M. A. (2012). The impact of human resource management practices on employees' turnover intention: A conceptual model. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*. 4, 629-641.
- Clutterbuck, D. (2002). Leadership communication: A status report. *Journal of Communication Management*, 6 (4), 351-354.
- Cortazzi, H. (January 18<sup>th</sup>, 2012). Loyalty alone is not enough. The Japan Times. Retrieved on 15 July 2014 from <http://www.japantimes.co.jp/opinion/2012/01/18/commentary/loyalty-alone-is-not-enough/>
- Costa, P.T., Jr. & McCrae, R.R. (1992). *Revised NEO Personality Inventory (NEO-PI-R) and NEO Five-Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) manual*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Cousin, W. Y. (2007). An examination and analysis of the leadership of Dr. Dolores R. Spikes, President of Southern University 1988-1996. Capella University.
- Cordas, J. (2008). An empirical investigation of the influence of age, gender, and occupational level on stress perceptions, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover. *Proquest Journal*, 421-431.
- Crant, J. M. & Kraimer, M. L. (1999). Research reports: Proactive personality and career success, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 4, 416-427.
- Cunha. M. P. E. (2002). The best place to be: Managing employee loyalty in a knowledge –intensive company. FEUNL Working Paper No. 409. *Social Science Research Network*.

- Czech, K. & Forward, G. L. (2013). Communication, leadership, and job satisfaction: Perspectives on supervisor-subordinate relationships. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 1 (2), 11-24.
- Cvent (2015). *Employee Satisfaction*. White Papers. Cvent.com.
- Dainton, M. & Zellew, E.D. (2005). *Applying Communication Theory for Professional Life*, Sage Publications, London.
- DataStar (2008, October). What Every Researcher Should Know About Statistical Significance, Retrieved September 17, 2015, from <http://www.surveystar.com/startips/oct2008.pdf>
- Day, D. V. & Antonakis, J. (2012). *The Nature of Leadership* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). Los Angeles: CA, Sage.
- Ding, D., Lu, H., Song, Y. & Lu, Q. (2012). Relationship of servant leadership and employee loyalty: The mediating role of employee satisfaction. *I-Business*, 4, 208-215.
- Dinwoodie, D. L., Quinn, L. & McGuire, J. B. (2014). *Bridging the Strategy/Performance Gap: How Leadership Strategy Drives Business Results*. Center for Creative Leadership.
- Dennis, S. (September 15, 2014). Loyalty is an emotion. Colloquy; Loyalty Talks.
- Doyle, M.E. & Smith, M.K. (1999). *Born and Bred? Leadership, heart and informal education*. London: YMCA George Williams College/The Rank Foundation.
- Dulewicz, C., Young, M., & Dulewicz, V. (2005). The relevance of emotional intelligence for leadership performance. *Journal of General Management*, 71-86.
- Duden, A. (2011). Trust and leadership – Learning culture in organizations. *International Journal of Management Cases*, 13 (4), 218-223.
- Dudley, C. M., Burnfield-Geiner, J., & Erdheim, J. (2009, April). *Generational differences in federal government employee attitudes and perceptions*. Paper presented at the annual conference of the Society of Industrial Organizational Psychology, New Orleans.
- Einarsen, S., Aasland, M. S. & Skogstad, A. (2007). Destructive leadership behavior: A definition and conceptual model. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18, 207-216.
- Eskildsen, J. K., & Nussler, M. L. (2000). The managerial drivers of employee satisfaction and loyalty. *Total Quality Management*, 11 (4,5,6), 581-588.
- Falk, A & Fischbacher, U. (2005). A theory of reciprocity. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 54, 293-315.
- Fauziah, N. & Kamaruzaman, J. (2009). Levels of job satisfaction amongst Malaysian academic staff, *Asian Social Science*, 5 (5), 122-128.
- Felfe, J. & Franke, F. (2010). Invited reaction: Examining the role of perceived leader behavior on temporary employees commitment and citizenship behavior. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 21 (4), 343-351.
- Fenzel, J. L. (2013). Examining generational differences in the workplace: Work centrality, narcissism, and their relation to employee work engagement. Theses and Dissertations. UMW Digital Commons.
- Fernandes, K., Hyde, A., Ives, S., Fleischer, S., Evoy, T. & Van Marrum, K. (2012). A comparative study of work values between Generation X and Generation Y. Research Paper, University of Guelph.
- Fernandez, M. (2012). *Tangible Vs. non-tangible recognition, job satisfaction, and employee loyalty of high achievers at nonprofit and for profit organizations*.

- (Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation), Faculty of the Organizational Leadership School of the University of the Rockies.
- Fiedler, F. (1964). A contingency model of leadership effectiveness. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 1, 149-190.
- Fischer, R. (2004). Rewarding employee loyalty: An organizational justice approach, *International Journal of Organisational Behaviour*, 8(3),
- Flauto, F. J. (1999). "Walking the talk": The relationship between leadership and communication competence, *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 6 (1-2), 86-97.
- Frese, M. B. S., & Schoenborn, S. (2003). Action training for charismatic leadership: Two evaluations of studies of a commercial training module on inspirational communication of a vision. *Personnel Psychology*, 56,671–697.
- Fry, R. (May 11, 2015). Millennials Surpass Gen Xers As The Largest Generation In U.S. Labor Force. Retrieved on 30 January 2016 from <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2015/05/11/millennials-surpass-gen-xers-as-the-largest-generation-in-u-s-labor-force/>
- Gardner, W. L., Cogliser, C.C., Davis, K. M. & Dickens, M. P.. (2011). Authentic leadership: A review of literature and research agenda. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22 (6), 112-.1145.
- George, D. & Mallery, P. (2003). SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference. 11.0 update (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- George D, Mallery P (2007). SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference 14.0 Update (7<sup>th</sup> ed.). Boston, Pearson Education.
- George, D. & Mallery, M. (2010). Using SPSS for Windows step by step: a simple guide and reference. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Gibb, C.A. (1954). *Leadership*. In Lindzey, G. (ed.), *Handbook of Social Psychology*, Vol. 2. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, pp. 877–917
- Gibson, S. G. (2005). Perceptions of U.S military leadership: Are all leaders created equally?. *Equal Opportunities International*, 24(2),
- Gill, R. (2011). Using storytelling to maintain employee loyalty during change. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(15), 23-32.
- Glasl, F. & Lievegood, B. (1997). *Development Management* (Hinnerup, Forlaget Ankerhus A/S).
- Goh, L. (19<sup>th</sup> February 2012). Why job-hoppers hop. *The Star*. Retrieved on 8 August 2015 from <http://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2012/02/19/why-jobhoppers-hop/>
- Goldberg, L. R. (1981). Language and individual differences: The search for universals in personality lexicons. In *Review of Personality and Social Psychology*, ed. L. Wheeler. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage
- Goldberg, L. R. (1999). A broad-bandwidth, public-domain, personal inventory measuring the lower-level facets of several five-factor models. *Personality Psychology in Europe*, 7, 7-28.
- Goldberg, L. R., Johnson, J. A., Eber, H. W., Hogan, R. Ashton, M. C., Cloninger, C. R. & Gough, H. G. (2006). The international personality item pool and the future of public-domain personality measures. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 40, 84-96.
- Goman, C. K. (1991). *Managing for commitment: Developing loyalty within organizations*. Menlo Park, CA: Crisp Publications, Inc.
- Greenleaf, R. K. (1970). *The Servant as Leader*. Indianapolis, IN: Greenleaf Center.

- Guenole, N. & Chernyshenko, O. S. (2005). The suitability of Goldberg's big five IPIP personality markers in New Zealand: A dimensionality, bias, and criterion validity evaluation. *New Zealand Journal of Psychology*, 34(2), 86-96.
- Gujral, G. S. (2013). *Leadership Qualities for Effective Leaders*. New Delhi: Vij Books India Pvt Ltd.
- Hackman, M. Z., & Johnson, C. E. (2004). *Leadership: A communication perspective* (4th ed.). Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press.
- Hair, J.F., Jr. Anderson, R.E, & Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis* (6<sup>th</sup> edition). Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J. & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (7<sup>th</sup> Ed). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Hajdin, M. (2005). Employee loyalty: An examination. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 59, 259-280.
- Halpin, A. W. (1957). *Leadership behavior description questionnaires*. Fisher College of Business, The Ohio State University, Columbus Ohio, 0-9.
- Haque, M. S. (1999). Ethical tension in public governance: Critical impacts on theory building. *Administrative Theory & Praxis*, 21(4).
- Harbert, A. & Dudley, D. (2007). *Managing multiple generations in the workplace*. San Diego, CA: Southern Area Consortium of Human Services
- Harris, K. J., Wheeler, A. R. & Kacmar, K. M. (2009). Leader-member exchange and empowerment: Direct and interactive effects on job satisfaction, turnover intentions and turnover. *Leadership Quarterly*, 20(3), 371-382.
- Hartlinem, M. D. & Ferrell, O. C. (1996). The management of customer-contact service employees: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Marketing*, 60, 52-70.
- Hartog, D. N. D. (1999). Culture specific and cross-culturally generalizable implicit leadership theories: are attributes of charismatic/transformational leadership universally endorsed?. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 10 (2), 219-256.
- Haselwood, D. M., Joyner, A. B., Burke, K. L., Geyerman, C. B., Czech, D. R., Munkasy, B. A. & Zwald, A. D. (2005). Female athletes' perception of head coaches' communication competence. *Journal of Sport Behavior*, 28(3), 216-230.
- Haslam, S. A. & Ryan, M. K. (2008). The road to the glass cliff: Differences in the perceived suitability of men and women for leadership positions in succeeding and failing organizations. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 19(5), 530-546.
- Hawi, S. (1982), *Fisoul Fi Alamrh Wa Alamer (Lectures on Leadership and Leader)*. Al-Sharq, Amman, Jordan
- Hemphill, J. K., & Coons, A. E. (1957). *Development of the leader behavior description questionnaire*. In R. M. Stodgill and A. E. Coons (Eds.), *Leader behavior: Its description and measurement*. Columbus, Ohio: Bureau of Business Research, Ohio State University, pp. 6-38.
- Heriyanti, P. & Ramadhan, A. S (2012). The influence of employee satisfaction in supporting employee work performance and retention moderated by the employee engagement factor of an institution; An empirical study of Binus Business School. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 6(1), 191-200.



- Herington, C. and Weaven, S. (2009), — E-retailing by banks: e-service quality and its importance to customer satisfaction, *European Journal of Marketing*, 43.
- Hersey, P. and Blanchard, K. H. (1972). *Management of Organizational Behavior: Utilizing Human Resources* (2nd ed.) New Jersey/Prentice Hall
- Hersey, P. and Blanchard, K. H. (1969). Life cycle theory of leadership. *Training and Development Journal*, 23 (5), 26–34.
- Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., Snyderman, B. B. (1959). *The Motivation to Work* (2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley.
- Herzberg, F. (1964). The Motivation-Hygiene Concept and Problems of Manpower. *Personnel Administrator* (27): 3–7.
- Hirschman, A. O. (1993). *Exit, voice, and the fate of the German democratic republic: An essay in conceptual history*, in: *World Politics*, 45(2), 173-202.
- Ho, R. (2006). *Handbook of univariate and multivariate data analysis and interpretation with SPSS*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Taylor & Francis Group.
- Hogan, R. T. (1991). “*Personality & personality measurement*”, in Dunette, M.D. and Hough, L.M. (Eds), *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, Psychologist Press, Palo Alto, CA, pp. 873-919.
- Hoi, J. (15<sup>th</sup> June 2013). Gen Y – They are different, deal with it understand them, get the best out of them rether. Retrieved on 15 July 2014 from <http://www.thestar.com.my/business/business-news/2013/06/15/gen-y-they-are-different-deal-with-it-understand-them-get-the-best-out-of-them-rather/>
- Hollander, E. P. (2009). *Inclusive leadership: The essential leader-follower relationship*. New York: Routledge
- Holt, E. B. & Brown, H. C. (1931). *Animal drive and the learning process: An essay toward radical empiricism*. New York: H. Holt and Company.
- Homans, G. C. (1958). Social behavior as exchange, *American Journal of Sociology*, 63 (6), 597-606.
- House, R.J. (1971). A path-goal theory of leader effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 16, 321-339.
- House, R. J. (1996). Path-goal theory of leadership: Lessons, legacy, and a reformulated theory. *Leadership Quarterly*, 7 (3), 323-352.
- House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Ruiz-Quintanilla, S. A., Dorfman P. W., Falkus, S. A. & Ashkanasy, M. (1999). Cultural influences on leadership and organizations: Project GLOBE, In W. H. Mobley, M. J. Gessner and V. Arnold (ed.), *Advances in Global Leadership* 2 ed. (pp171-233) Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Ltd.
- Ibrahim, M. & Al Falasi, S. (2014). Employee loyalty and engagement in UAE public sector. *Employee Relations*, 36 (5), 562-582.
- Ineson, E. M., Benke, E. & Laszlo, J. (2013). Employee loyalty in Hungarian hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 32, 31-39.
- Iqbal, A., Tufail, M. S. & Lodhi, R. N. (2015). Employee loyalty and organizational commitment in Pakistani organizations. *Global Journal of Human Resource Management*, 3 (1), 1-11.
- Jaros, S. J., Jermier, J. M., Koehler, J. W. & Sincich, T. (1993). Effects of continuance, affective, and moral commitment on the withdrawal process: An evaluation of eight structural equation models. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 36 (5), 951-995.

- Jayasingam, S. & Cheng, M. Y. (2009). Leadership style and perception of effectiveness: Enlightening Malaysian managers. *Asian Social Science*, 5 (2), 54-65.
- Johanim, J., Daratul, A. C. M, & Khulida, K.Y. (2009), Construct validation of the job characteristics scale in the Malaysian Public Service Setting. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 5(3), 58-71.
- John, O. P. & Naumann, L. P. (2010). Surviving two critiques by block? The resilient big five have emerged as the paradigm for personality trait psychology, *Psychological Inquiry*, 21 (1), 44-49.
- John, O. P. & Srivastava, S. (1999). *The Big-Five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives*. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Kennedy, J., & Normah, M. (2000). Malaysian culture and the leadership organizations: A globe study. *Malaysia Management Review*, 35, 1-10.
- Khuong, M. N., Tung, N. N. & Trang, P. T. H. (2014). The effects of leadership styles towards salesperson loyalty – A study of import-export companies on Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, *International Journal of Current Research and Academic Review*, 2(6), 121-131.
- Kinicki, A. J., Mckee-Ryan, F. M., Schriesheim, C. A. & Carson, K. P. (2002). Assessing the construct validity of the job descriptive index: A review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87 (1), 14-32.
- Klehe, U., Zikic, J., Vianen, A. E, M. V., & Pater, I. E. D. (2011). Career adaptability, turnover and loyalty during organizational downsizing. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 79 (1), 217-229.
- Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. New York: Guilford Press
- Koh, S. Y. (2013). Higher turnover rate in Malaysian Financial Services industry, Towers Watson Survey Finds. Tower Watson. 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013.
- Korunka, C., Hoonakker, P. & Carayon, P. (2008). Quality of working life and turnover intention in information technology work. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries*, 18 (4), 409-423.
- Kouzes, B. Z. & Posner, J. M. (1988). Development and validation of the leadership practices inventory. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 48 (2), 483-496.
- Kouzes, J.M. and Posner, B.Z. (1993), *Leadership Practices Inventory. A Self-assessment and Analysis*, expanded ed., Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA
- Kouzes, J.M. and Posner, B.Z. (1993), *Leadership Practices Inventory. A Self-assessment and Analysis*, expanded ed., Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA
- Kouzes, J.M. and Posner, B.Z. (1993), *Leadership Practices Inventory. A Self-assessment and Analysis*, expanded ed., Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA
- Kouzes, B. Z. & Posner, J. M. (1993). *Leadership Practice Inventory; A self assessment and analysis*. Jossey-Bass: San Fransisco, CA.
- Kouzes, B. Z. & Posner, J. M. (2003). *The leadership challenge workbook* (1<sup>st</sup> ed) Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons
- Kouzes, J. M. & Posner, B. Z. (2001). *Leadership practices inventory (LPI)*, Jossey-Bass/Pfeiffer.

- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2007). *The leadership challenge* (4th ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass
- Koshiro, K. (1984). Lifetime employment in Japan: Three models of the concept. *Monthly Labor Review*, 107, 34-35.
- Kuppusamy, J., Ganesan, J., & Rosada, S. A. (2010). Leadership styles and management techniques: An analysis of Malaysian women entrepreneurs, IBIMA Publishing, 2010 (2010), 10.
- Kymenlaakso, I. V. (2012). Forgiveness as leadership tools. *Global Conference on Business & Finance Proceedings*, 7 (1), 432.
- Lee, S. S. (2008). Relationships among leadership empowerment, job satisfaction, and employee loyalty in university dining student workers. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Iowa State University.
- Lee, H., & Cummings. G.G. (2008). Factors influencing job satisfaction of front line nurse managers: a systematic review. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 16, 768-783.
- Leung, S. L., & Bozionelos, N. (2004). "Five-factor model traits and the prototypical image of the effective leader in the Confucian culture". *Employee Relations*, 26, 62-71.
- Lewin, K. (1939). Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created social climates. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 10, 271-301.
- Lincoln, J. R. & Doerr, B. (2012). Cultural effects on employee loyalty in Japan and the U.S.: Individual- or organization-level? An analysis of plant and employee survey data from the 80's. *Institute for Research on Labor and Employment*, 2012-116, Berkeley, CA.
- Lunenburg, F. C. (2010). Communication: The process, barriers, and improving effectiveness. *Schooling*, 1 (1), 1-11.
- Loureiro, S. M. C. (2013). The effect of perceived benefits, trust, quality, brand awareness/associations and brand loyalty on internet banking brand equity", *International Journal of Electronic Commerce Studies*, 4 (2), 139-158.
- Loureiro, S. M. C., Ruediger, K. H. & Demetris, V. (2012). Brand emotional connection and loyalty. *Journal of Brand Management*, 1-15.
- Lussier, R. N. & Achua, C. F. (2016). *Leadership: Theory, application, & skill development* (6<sup>th</sup> ed.). Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- Lutchman, C. (2008). *Leadership impact on turnover among power engineers in the Oil Sands of Alberta*. University of Phoenix, UMI Dissertation Publishing.
- Madlock, P. E. (2008). The link between leadership style, communication competence, and employee satisfaction. *Journal of Business Communication*, 45, 61-75.
- Makura, A. H. (2012). Leadership style of female educational leaders: in search of a gender inclusive leadership theory. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 31(3), 279-287.
- Malek, S. (2006, August). "Transforming human capital for public service excellence in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century". Speech presented for the 11<sup>th</sup> Civil Service Conference, National Institute of Public Administration Bukit Kiara, Kuala Lumpur.
- Malik, S. H. (2013). Relationship between leader behaviors and employees' job satisfaction: A path-goal approach. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, 7 (1), 209-222.

- Malik, S. H., Aziz, S. & Hassan, H. (2014). Leadership behavior and acceptance of leaders by subordinates: Application of path goal theory in telecom sector. *International Journal of Trade*, 5 (2), 170-175.
- Maloney, T. (2013). *Build Loyalty To Reduce Employee Turnover*. The Manager (May 2013).
- Maslow, A. (1954). *Motivation and personality*. New York, NY: Harper
- Matzler, K. & Renzl, B. (2006). The relationship between interpersonal trust, employee satisfaction, and employee loyalty. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 17 (10), 1261.
- Mayfield, J. & Mayfield, M. (2002). Leader communication strategies critical paths to improving employee commitment. *American Business Review*, 20, 89-94.
- Maznah, W. O., Kamaruzzaman, J. & Harniyati, H. (2010). Employee motivation and its impact on employee loyalty. *World Applied Science Journal*, 8 (7), 871-873.
- Maxwell, J. C. (2011). *The 5 Levels of Leadership*. Center Street, : Hachette Book Group New York.
- Maxwell, J. C. (2013). *Ultimate Leadership: Maximize Your Potential and Empower Your Team*. Thomas Nelson.
- McCallum Layton (2016). Statistics Calculator on Sample Size.
- McCusker, D., & Wolfman, I. (2002, March 7). *Loyalty in the Eyes of the Employers and Employees*. Retrieved on 2014, September 17 from Workforce: <http://www.workforce.com/articles/loyalty-in-the-eyes-of-the-employers-and-employees>
- McCrae, R. R & Costa, P. T. (1987). Validation of the five factor model of personality across instruments and observers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52 (1), 81-90.
- McCrindle, M. & Wolfinger, E. (2014). *The ABC of XYZ*. University of New South Wales Press, Australia.
- McCroskey, J. C. & McCain, T. A. (1974). The measurement of interpersonal attraction. *Speech Monographs*, 41, 261-266.
- McCroskey, J. C. (2006). *An Introduction to Rhetorical Communication; A Western Rhetorical Perspective* (9<sup>th</sup> Ed.), Pearson Education, Inc.
- McElroy, J. C. & Morrow, P. C. (1993). Introduction: Understanding and managing loyalty in a multi-commitment world. *Journal of Business Research*, 26 (1), 1-2.
- Mehmood, Z. U. I. & Arif, M. I. (2011). Leadership and HRM: Eevaluating new leadership styles for effective human resource management. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2 (15), 236-243.
- Mehta, S, Singh, T., Bhakar, S. S. & Sinha, B. (2010). Employee loyalty towards organization – A study of academician. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Research*, 1 (1), 98-108.
- Meier, K. J. & Hicklin, A. (2008). Employee turnover and organizational performance: Testing a hypothesis from classical public administration. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18 (4), 53-590.
- Meierhans, D., Rietmann, B. & Jonas, K. (2008). Influence of fair and supportive leadership behavior on commitment and organizational citizenship behavior. *Swiss Journal of Psychology*, 67 (3), 131-141.
- Meister, J. (2012, August 14). Job hoping is the ‘new normal’ for millenials: Three ways to prevent a human resource nightmare. Retrieved on 14 July 2015 from <http://www.forbes.com/sites/jeannemeister/2012/08/14/job-hopping-is->

the-new-normal-for-millennials-three-ways-to-prevent-a-human-resource-nightmare/#339de2155085

- Merriam-Webster. (n.d.). Retrieved December 12, 2015, from <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionart/loyalty>
- Messick, S. (1989). Validity. In R. Linn (Ed.), *Educational measurement* (3rd ed). New York: Macmillan
- Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Relations*, 1, 61-89.
- Michalos, A. C. (2014). *Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research*. Springer Reference, Netherlands.
- Mir, A. M. (2010). Leadership in Islam. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 4 (3), 69-72.
- Michlitsch, J. F. (2000). High-performing, loyal employees: The real way to implement strategy. *Strategy & Leadership*, 28(6), 28-33.
- Mihalcea, A. (2013). The impact of leaders personality on employees' job satisfaction. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 78, 90-94.
- Miller, N. E., & Dollard, J. (1941). *Social learning and imitation*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Mohan, T., McGregor, H., Saunders, S. & Archee, R. (2008). *Communicating as Professionals* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.), Cengage Learning, Australia.
- Mohamad, A. A., Nor, A. Y., Muhammad, S. B. Y., & Syed, H. N. (2014). Comparative study on medical student personality traits between interview and non interview admission method in Universiti Sains Malaysia. 5<sup>th</sup> World Conference of Educational Science – WCES 2013, *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 2281-2285.
- Mohrman, A. M., Cooke, R. S., Mohrman, S. A., Duncan, R. B., & Zaltman, G. (1977). *An assessment of a structural task approach to organizational development of a school system*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Education.
- Monge, P. R., Bachman, S. G., Dillard, J. P., & Eisenberg, E. M. (1982). *Communicator competence in the workplace: Model testing and scale development*. In M. Burgoon (Ed.), *Communication Yearbook 5*. New Brunswick: ICA
- Morrall, A. (1999). The survivor loyalty factor. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 10(1), 95-99.
- Morrow, P. C. & McElroy, J. C. (1993). Introduction: Understanding and managing loyalty in a multi-commitment worlds. *Journal of Business Research*, 26, 1-2.
- Moss, J., Jr., & Liang, T. (1990). *Leadership, leadership development, and the National Center for Research in Vocational Education*. Berkeley, CA: University of California at Berkeley, National Center for Research in Vocational Education.
- Mowday, R. T., Steers, R.M. & Porter, L.W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 14(2), 224-247.
- Muhammad, J. and Damhoeri, K. (2006). Leadership traits and expectation among malay business owners: Some factor analytic findings. *Malaysia Management Review*, 41 (2), 23-32.
- Muhamad, S. B. Y., Abdul, F. A. R., Mohamad, N. M. P., See, C. M., Rogayah, J. & Ab, R. E. (2011). The validity and reliability of the USM Personality

- Inventory (USMaP-i): Its use to identify personality of future medical students. *International Medical Journal*, 18(4), 283-287.
- New Straits Time (23<sup>rd</sup> May 2012). Job-hopping trend alarming. New Straits Times Press (M) Sdn Bhd.
- Noe, R. (2008). *Employee Training and Development*. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin
- Norman, W. T. (1963). "Toward an adequate taxonomy of personality attributes: Replicated factor structure in peer nomination personality ratings". *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 66 (6), 574-583.
- Norman, W. T. (1967). 2800 personality trait descriptors: Normative operative characteristics for a university population. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Psychology, University of Michigan.
- Northouse, P. G. (2011). *Introduction to leadership concept and practice*. Sage Publication.
- Northouse, P. G. (2012), *Introduction to leadership; Concepts and practice*. Sage Publications, Inc, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.
- Olanrewaju, J. A. (2009). *The influence of leadership on employees' commitment to the Nigerian public service: Implications for organizational effectiveness*. Dissertation Thesis. Capella University.
- Oreg, S. & Berson, Y. (2011), Leadership and employees' reactions to change: The role of leaders personal attributes and transformational leadership style. *Personnel Psychology*, 64, 627-659.
- Osborne, J., & Waters, E. (2002). Four assumptions of multiple regression that researchers should always test. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 8(2). Retrieved on 15 July 2014 from <http://PAREonline.net/getvn.asp?v=8&n=2>
- Oxford Dictionary (2013). Definition of behavior in English. Retrieved 15 July 2014 from <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/behaviour>
- Padma, S. (2010), Leadership attribute among women employees. *Advances In Management*, 3 (7), 36-40.
- Pandey, C. & Khare, R. (2012). *Impact of job satisfaction and organizational commitment on employee loyalty*. *International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research*, 1 (8), 26-41.
- Paswan, A. (2009). *Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Structural Equations Modeling, An Introduction*, Department of Marketing and Logistics, COB, University of North Texas, USA.
- Pavit, C. & Haight, L. (1986). Implicit theories of communicative competence: Situational and competence level differences in judgments of prototype and target. *Communication Monographs*, 53, 221-235.
- Pereira, K. N. (2009). *Loyalty of online faculty: A work design perspective of the impact of a telecommuting work environment on employee loyalty*. Published Dissertation Thesis, University of South Florida.
- Peter, H., Charles, B. J., Ioannis, M. N., Moschos, S. & Richard, W. (2012), Attributes of effective leadership. *Advances In Management*, 5 (3), 31-32.
- Phipps, S. T. A & Prieto, L. C. (2011). The influence of personality factors on transformational leadership: Exploring the moderating role of political skills. *International Journal of Leadership Studies*, 6 (3), 430-447.
- Pride, W. M., Hughes, R. J. & Kapoor, J. R. (2010). *Business*, South-Western Cengage Learning, USA.

- Polston-Mudoch, L. (2013). An investigation of path-goal theory, relationship of leadership style, supervisor-related commitment, and gender. *Emerging Leadership Journeys*, 6 (1), 13-44.
- Queiri, A., Wan, F. W. Y. & Dwaikat, N. (2015). Explaining Generation-Y employees' turnover in Malaysian context. *Asian Social Science*, 11 (10), 126-138.
- Rahman, A. Noraida, A. K, M.O. Rashidi, A. P., Harnizam, Z, Gopala, K. S. N. and Kamaruzzaman, J. (2009). The linkage of employee satisfaction and loyalty in hotel industry in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 4 (10), 152-160.
- Ramlall, S. (2004). A review of employee motivation theories and their implications for employee retention within organizations. *Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge*, 5(1/2):52.
- Reichheld, F. F. (2001). *Loyalty Rules!* Harvard Business Press.
- Rhodes, D. W. (1989). Employee loyalty is an attainable goal. *Human Resource Planning. The Journal of Business Strategy*, Nov/Dis, 51-53.
- Richmond, V. P. & McCroskey, J. C. (2009). *Organizational communication for survival: Making work, work*. Pearson/Allyn & Bacon, Boston, MA.
- Riley, S. (2005). *Herzberg's two factor theory of motivation applied to the motivational techniques within financial institution*, (Unpublished Theses), Eastern Michigan University.
- Robbins, S. P. (2001). *Organizational Behavior*, 9<sup>th</sup> Ed. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Roberts, B. W. (2006). *Personality development and organizational behavior*. In B. M. Staw (Ed), *Research on organizational behavior*, Elsevier Science/JAI Press, 1-41.
- Roscoe, J. T. (1975). *Fundamental Research Statistics for the Behavioural Sciences*, 2nd edition. New York: Holt Rinehart & Winston.
- Rohani, S., Nair, M. S. & Haryanni, H. (2012). Job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention: A case study on employees of a retail company in Malaysia. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering*, 6 (12), 3429-3436.
- Ryan, J. (2005). *Inclusive Leadership*. Jossey-Bass.
- Saiful, M., B. Y., Fuad, A. A. R. & Rahman, A. E. (2010). *The USM Personality Inventory Manual*, KKMED Publications, Malaysia.
- Salegna, G. J. & Fazel, F. (2013). An integrative approach for classifying services. *The Journal of Global Business Management*, 9 (1), 1-11.
- Sammons, G. L. (1997). A comparison of factors affecting job satisfaction of nurses in Oklahoma public hospitals with hospitals' recruitment and retention priorities, *Proquest Journal*, 143-147.
- Saucier, G., & Goldberg, L. R. (2003). *The structure of personality attributes*. In M. R. Barrick & A. M. Ryan (Eds.), *Personality and work: Reconsidering the role of personality in organizations* (pp.1-29). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Saufi, R. A., Wafa, S. A., & Hamzah, Y. Z. (2002). "Leadership style preference of Malaysian managers". *Malaysian Management Review*, 37, 1-10.
- Schawbel, D. (22<sup>nd</sup> November 2011). Who's at fault for high gen-y turnover?, Retrieved on April 23, 2016 from

- <http://www.forbes.com/sites/danschawbel/2011/11/22/whos-at-fault-for-high-gen-y-turnover/#7a56ba76461c>.
- Schrag, B. (2001). The moral significance of employee loyalty. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 11(1), 41-66.
- Science Daily (August 26, 2010). Generation X more loyal to religion. Retrieved on 31 January 2015 from <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2010/08/100826083620.htm>
- Sekaran, U. (2002). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (4<sup>th</sup> ed). Wiley: India.
- Setó-Pamies, D. (2012). Customer loyalty to service providers: examining the role of service quality, customer satisfaction and trust. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 23 (11), 1257-1271.
- Scouller, S. (2011). *The Three Levels Of Leadership: How To Develop Your Leadership Presence, Know-How And Skill*. Cirencester: Management Books 2000.
- Sekaran, U. (2000). *Research Methods For Business: A Skill Building Approach*. New York: John Wiley And Sons.
- Shao, L. and Webber, S. (2006), A cross-cultural test of the 'five-factor model' of personality and transformational leadership, *Journal of Business Research*, 59(8), 936-944.
- Shapiro (2014, November). Inclusive Leadership: Culture change for business success. Retrieved September 17, 2015, from [http://www.genderportal.eu/sites/default/files/resource\\_pool/inclusive\\_leadership\\_-\\_culture\\_change\\_for\\_business\\_success.pdf](http://www.genderportal.eu/sites/default/files/resource_pool/inclusive_leadership_-_culture_change_for_business_success.pdf)
- Siddique, N. A. (2006). Public management reform in Malaysia. *International Journal of Public Sector Management Journal*, 19 (4), 339-358.
- Sierra, J. J. & McQuitty, S. (2005). Service providers and customers: Social exchange theory and service loyalty. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 19 (6), 392-400.
- Smith, C. S. (2015). Motivation and its impact on employee loyalty and commitment: A qualitative analysis. (Unpublished master degree dissertation). Trinity Washington University.
- Smith, G. M. (1967). Usefulness of peer ratings of personality in educational research. *Education Psychology*, 27 (9), 67-84.
- Smith, P.C., Kendall, L.M. and Hulin, C. L. (1969). *The Measurement of Satisfaction in Work and Retirement*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Smither, L. (2003). Managing employee life cycles to improve labor retention. *Leadership & Management in Engineering*, 3(1), 19-23.
- Spears, L. C. (2010). Character and servant leadership: Ten characteristics of effective, caring leaders. *The Journal of Virtues & Leadership*, 1 (1), 25-30.
- Spector, P. E. (1985). Measurement of human service staff satisfaction: Development of the job satisfaction survey. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 13, 693-713.
- Srivastava, S. (2012). Measuring the Big Five Personality Factors, Retrieved November 4, 2012 from <http://psdlab.uoregon.edu/bigfive.html>.
- Stogdill, R. M. (1948). Personal factors associated with leadership: A survey of the literature. *Journal of Psychology*, 25, 35-71.
- Stogdill, M, & Coons, A. (1957). *Leader Behavior: Its Description and Measurement*. Research Monograph no 88, Columbus, OH: Bureau of Business Research Ohio State University.



- Stogdill, R. M. (1974). *Handbook of leadership: A survey of the literature*, New York: Free Press
- Sulaiman, M., Arumugam, S., & Wafa, S. A. (1999). "Subordinates' preference in leadership behavior: Expatriate or local bosses --The case of Malaysia". *Malaysian Management Review*, 34, 24-31.
- Swaroop, K.R. & Prasad, N. G. A. (2013). Are leaders born or made?. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing & Management Review*, 2 (8), 35-40.
- Tajaddini, R. & Mujtaba, B. G. (2009). Stress perception and leadership orientation of Malaysians: Exploring their similarities and differences with Americans, *Chinese Business Review*, 8(8),26-42.
- Tang, T. L.P. & Gilbert, P. R. (1995). Attitudes towards money as related to intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction, stress and work related attitudes, *Personality and Individual Differences*, 19(3), 327-332.
- Templer, K.J. (2012), Five-factor model of personality and job satisfaction: The importance of agreeableness in a tight and collectivistic Asian society. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 61, 114-129
- The New Straits Times (May 26, 2014). MASEU calls for MAS CEO resignation among others. Retrieved on September 17, 2014 from <http://www2.nst.com.my/latest/maseu-calls-for-mas-ceo-resignation-among-others>
- Tolbize, A. (16<sup>th</sup> August 2008). Generational differences in the workplace. Research and Training Center on Community Living. University of Minnesota.
- Ton, Z. & Huckman, R. S. (2008). Managing the impact of employee turnover on performance: The role of process conformance, *Organization Science*, 19(1), 56-68.
- Tsai, Y. (2011). Relationship between organizational culture, leadership behavior and job satisfaction. *BMC Health Service Research*, 11 (98).
- Trinka, J. (2004). Building great leaders at the IRS, *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 36(7), 262-264.
- Turkyilmaz, A., Akman, G. & Okzan, C., & Pastuzak, Z. (2011). Empirical study of public sector employee loyalty and satisfaction, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 111(5), 675-696.
- Turnbull, S. (2006). *The Samurai and The Sacred*. Osprey Publishing Limited.
- Twenge, J. M., Charles E. L., Brian J. H., & Stacy M. C. (2010). Generational differences in work values: Leisure and extrinsic values increasing, social and intrinsic values decreasing. *Journal of Management*, 36 (5), 1117-1142.
- Science Daily (2010, August 26). Generation X more loyal to religion. Retrieved September 17, 2015, from <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2010/08/100826083620.htm>
- Vokic, N. P. & Hernaus, T. (2015). The triad of job satisfaction, work engagement and employee loyalty; The interplay among the concepts. EFZG Working Paper Series, 15 (7).
- Vroom, V. H. & Yetton, P. W. (1973). *Leadership and Decision Making*. University of Pittsburgh Press, Pittsburgh.
- Wah, S. S. (2002), Chinese classical leadership revisited: lessons for the contemporary leader, *Malaysian Management Review*, 37(1), 11-18.
- Wan, H. L. (2007). Human capital development policies: Enhancing employees' satisfaction, *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 31(4), 297 – 322.

- Wan, F. W. Y., Tan, S. K. & Mohammad, T. M. I. (2013). Herzberg's two factors theory on work motivation: Does its work for today's environment?. *Global Journal of Commerce & Management Perspective*, 2 (5), 18-22.
- Waldman, D. A., Ramirez, G. G., House, R. J., & Puranam, P. (2001). Does RESEARCH REPORTS 933 leadership matter?: CEO leadership attributes and profitability under conditions of perceived environmental uncertainty. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44, 134–143.
- Werther, W. B. Jr (1988). Loyalty at work. *Business Horizons*. March-April, 28-35.
- West, R. & Turner, L. H. (2009). *Understanding Interpersonal Communication*, (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.), Wadsworth, Boston, USA.
- Winska, J. (2010). Influence of superior subordinate communication of employee satisfaction. *Journal of Positive Management*, 1(1), 110-124.
- Woo, K. H. (2015). Recruitment practices in the Malaysian public sector: Innovations or political responses. *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 21 (2), 229-246.
- Wood. S. (2013). Generation Z as consumers: Trends and innovation. Institute for Emerging Issues, NC State University.
- Yee, R. W., Yeung, A. C., & Cheng, T. C. (2010). An empirical study of employee loyalty, service quality and firm performance in the service industry. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 124 (1), 109-120.
- Yin C. S., Fen, C. Y., Meng, K. Y., Yin, L. P. and Jack, W.W. (2012). *A study of employee satisfaction and its effects toward loyalty in hotel industry*. (Unpublished bachelor degree dissertation). Universiti Tuanku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia.
- Yousaf, U, Zulfiqar, R. Aslam, M. & Altaf, M. (2012). Studying brand loyalty in the cosmetics industry. *Scientific Journal of Logistics*, Logforum 8 (4), 6.
- Yow, H. C. (2013). How different is different: A study of the workforce generation differences in relation to employee loyalty within the call centre industry in Malaysia. DBA Theses. Southern Cross University, Lismore, NSW.
- Yu, X. (2010). *Exploring the relationship between supervisor's leadership style and employee loyalty*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wincousin Stout.
- Yukl, G. (2010). *Leadership in organization (7<sup>th</sup> Ed.)*, Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Yusef, O. al-Huzaim (2011). *The principles of leadership*. Riyadh, Maktaba Darussalam.
- Zaccaro, S. J., Kemp, C., & Bader, P. (2004). Leader traits and attributes. In J. Antonakis, A. T. Cianciolo, and R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *The nature of leadership* (pp. 101–124). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Zaccaro, S. J. (2007). Trait based perspectives of leadership. *American Psychologist*, 62 (1), 6-16.
- Zhang, Z., Wang, M. & Shi, J. (2012). Leader-follower congruence in proactive personality and work outcomes: The mediating role of leader-member exchange. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55 (1), 111-130.
- Zomorrodian, A. (2014, May 3). Strategic human capital: Approaches & models for achieving organizational long-term competitiveness and sustainability. *21st Annual Meeting of American Society of Business and Behavioral Science, Las Vegas, Nevada*. Proceedings of ASBBS, 21 (1), 54-771.