

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

IMPACT OF LOCAL CONTENT POLICY ON JOB CREATION THROUGH FIRMS' PARTICIPATION AND BACKWARD LINKAGES IN NIGERIAN OIL SECTOR

# ADEDEJI ABDULKABIR NIRAN

FEP 2016 17



## IMPACT OF LOCAL CONTENT POLICY ON JOB CREATION THROUGH FIRMS' PARTICIPATION AND BACKWARD LINKAGES IN NIGERIAN OIL SECTOR



ADEDEJI ABDULKABIR NIRAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

January 2016

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



# DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to Allah (S.W.T) and my family members for their sacrifices to see me through the success of the programme.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

## IMPACT OF LOCAL CONTENT POLICY ON JOB CREATION THROUGH FIRMS' PARTICIPATION AND BACKWARD LINKAGES IN NIGERIAN OIL SECTOR

By

## ADEDEJI ABDULKABIR NIRAN

#### January 2016

## Chairman : Shaufique Fahmi bin Ahmad Sidique, PhD Faculty : Economics and Management

A common objective of many oil-rich countries, especially developing oil-dependent countries, is to maximise the economic benefit of naturally occurring extractive resources by using them for developing of local and national economies. By exploring their natural resources these countries seek primary benefits including local value creation (with particular reference to indigenous oil firms' participation), backward linkages development, as well as job creation for the local workforce in the industry. Government intervention in the oil sectors in through of regulatory policies was a strategy often used for obtaining maximum benefits from oil resources. One common utility of such policies is the local content policy (LC policy). The LC policy has potential and is used to create more entrepreneurial opportunities that favour indigenous oil service companies and the development of backward linkages (i.e. utilisation of local input materials) in the industry, eventually creating more jobs for the citizens. Accordingly, a majority of people can benefit from their country's national resources and more value can be created within the local economy.

Nigeria is ranked among the most resource-rich developing countries in the world. It is rich in crude oil and natural gas; and its economy depends heavily on oil wealth. The activities in the Nigerian petroleum sector are impressive and play a significant role in improving the country's growth in gross domestic product (GDP). The contribution of oil and gas to the GDP was more than 40% in 2013. Recently, the receipts from oil exports in 2014 represented approximately 74.4% of the total national exports. Earnings from the petroleum sector in the first quarter of 2015 were about US\$14.2 billion (NBS, 2015).

However, despite the multiple of entrepreneurial activities associated with oil exploration and production, only part of that wealth appeared to be captured by local firms. Utilisation of locally manufactured goods and services is low in the industry. This might have resulted in the low level of employment opportunities created for the local workforce in the oil and natural gas industry. The Nigerian government recognised the need to support and motivate indigenous oil firms in order to generate

more opportunities for local employment and revenue generation in the industry, as well as to promote utilisation of local goods and services. Connectivity of the industry to local economies is termed 'backward linkages'. In order to achieve local economy value targets, the government intervened in the oil sector by introducing the LC policy in 2001. This policy's primary goal is to increase indigenous oil firms' participation in the petroleum sector and develop backward linkages through more jobs could be created. This action considered a part of a strategy to create more employment opportunities for locals in the industry.

Noticeably, in Nigeria, the extent to which the LC policy influenced firms' participation in the petroleum sector, and their tendency to develop backward linkages has not yet been adequately assessed. Although a few studies investigated how successful the LC policy was, not only were mixed results reported, but also researchers overlooked the extent to which the policy influenced job creation through firms' participation and backward linkages. This generated divided views in the literature about the impact of the policy. In addition, although infrastructure is assumed to aid LC policy efficacy, the correlation effect between the policy and infrastructure has not yet been adequately analysed. This correlation has often been entirely ignored in previous studies.

Therefore, this study assesses the impact of the LC policy on job creation through firms' participation and backward linkages in the Nigerian oil sector and evaluates the confounding effect of infrastructure on these variables. Survey data were obtained and analysed using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). Since the relationships hypothesised between the variables in this study are theory-driven, the application of SEM, which is a theory testing method, is deemed appropriate for this study. SEM was applied not only to assess the reliability and validity of the variables, but also to examine the multiple, interrelated dependence relationships among them. Construct validity and discriminant validity of the measurement model were obtained through the confurmatory factor analysis (CFA) approach. Thereafter, the direct relationships among the variables, as well as the mediating effect of indigenous oil firms' participation (IOFP) and backward linkages (LINK) on the relationship between LC policy and job creation (JOB) were examined. Additionally, the bootstrap method using AMOS software was applied to analyse the multiple-mediation effects in this study.

The results obtained indicated that the LC policy positively and significantly influenced IOFP and LINK. The coefficients of 0.34 and 0.19 support the hypotheses that the LC policy has a positive relationship with IOFP and LINK, respectively. More interestingly, the relationship between IOFP and JOB, and between LINK and JOB were found to be statistically significant. However, no relationship was observed between IOFP and LINK. This provided the insight that local firms have not been proactive in encouraging the development of backward linkages. The correlation between the LC policy and infrastructure (INF) was found to be statistically significant, but not as a prerequisite for LC policy efficacy. Moreover, the direction of INF on IOFP was found to be statistically significant, but the effect on LINK was insignificant. Further, IOFP and LINK in a multiple model were found to mediate the relationship between the LC policy and JOB. This indicated that IOFP and LINK

jointly transmit the effect from LC policy to JOB. However, it was found that the effect through the three-path model operates more than the two-path model. This indicated that more jobs could be created for local labourers in the Nigerian oil sector with the effective impact of the LC policy on enhancing both local firms' participation and backward linkages.

Based on the findings in the study, it is proved that the LC policy influenced indigenous firms' participation and the development of backward linkages in the Nigerian oil sector. However, considered as a set, the level of local content development in the sector is lower than the expected target. By implication, if substantial local value-addition is to be achieved, to spin-off sustainable economic and social development through the oil sector, the efficacy of the LC policy needs to be closely monitored.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

## DARIPADA DASAR KANDUNGAN TEMPATAN KEPADA PENCIPTAAN PEKERJAAN MELALUI PENYERTAAN SYARIKAT DAN JARINGAN-JARINGAN KEBELAKANG DALAM SEKTOR MINYAK DI NIGERIA

Oleh

## ADEDEJI ABDULKABIR NIRAN

#### Januari 2016

# Pengerusi : Shaufique Fahmi bin Ahmad Sidique, PhD Fakulti : Ekonomi dan Pengurusan

Suatu sasaran yang lazim pada banyak negara-negara kaya dengan minyak, terutamanya negara-negara membangun yang bergantung dengan minyak, ialah untuk memaksimumkan manfaat ekonomi daripada sumber-sumber ekstraktif yang dikurniakan kepada mereka untuk pengembangan ekonomi. Pada intinya, manfaatmanfaat yang banyak daripada negara-negara ini yang biasanya hendak dicapai ialah seringnya dari segi meningkatkan penciptaan nilai tempatan, dengan rujukan khasnya kepada partisipasi daripada syarikat yang bersifat asli dan pengembangan jaringanjaringan kebelakang, serta penciptaan pekerjaan untuk tenaga kerja tempatan pada industri yang ada. Campur tangan kerajaan ddalam sektorminyak, melalui bentuk pelaksanaan dasar regulasi, telah menjadi suatu strategi yang seringkali digunakan untuk mendapatkan manfaat-manfaat maksimum daripada sumber-sumber minyak. Suatu penggunaan yang lazim daripada kebijakan seperti itu ialah yang biasa disebut degan local content policy (LC policy). LC policy dilihat memiliki potensi dan digunakan untuk menciptakan banyak peluang-peluang keusahawanan yang memihak kepada syarikat-syarikat perkhidmatan minyak asli dan pengembangan jariganjaringan kebelakang (penggunaan bahan input tempatan) pada industri melalui lebih banyak pekerjaan-pekerjaan yang dapat diciptakan untuk rakyat. Sesuatu yang seperti itu, banyak daripada orang-orang boleh memanfaatkan daripada sumber-sumber negara mereka dan lebih banyak nilai tempatan yang boleh diciptakan untuk menjadi ekonomi tempatan.

Nigeria ialah antara negara-negara membangun teratas yang kaya dengan sumber, minyak mentah dan gas semula jadi, dan ekonominya bergantung kepada kekayaan minyak. Aktivi-aktiviti dalam sektor petroleum di Nigeria telah mencatat secara mengesankan dan memainkan suatu peranan yang penting dalam meningkatkan pertumbuhan keluaran dalam negara kasar (KDNK). Sumbangan minyak dan gas untuk KDNK ialah lebih daripada 40% dan baru-baru ini, penerimaan dari eksport-eksport minyak pada tahun 2014 menunjukkan sekitar 74.4% dari jumlah eksport-eksport dan pendapatan daripada keluaran di suku pertama pada tahun 2015 sekitar US\$14.2 bilion (NBS,2015).

Bagaimanapun, beberapa aktiviti-aktiviti keusahawanan yang berkaitan dengan penerokaan minyak dan pengeluaran sedikitnya tampak untuk ditangkap oleh syarikatsyarikat tempatan, dan lebih daripada itu, penggunaan produk-produk dan perkhidmatan-perkhidmatan yang dihasilkan secara tempatan dikenalpasti rendah di industri yang ada. Barangkali, ini mungkin mengakibatkan pada peringkat rendah daripada peluang-peluang pekerjaan yang dibuat oleh tenaga kerja tempatan pada industri yang ada. Kerajaan Nigeria mengenali keperluan untuk menyokong dan memotivasi syarikat-syarikat minyak asli untuk menangkap lebih banyak peluangpeluang pada industri yang ada, dan menggalakkan penggunaan produk-produk dan perkhidmatan-perkidmatan tempatan, yang diistilahkan dengan sebutan jaringanjaringan kebelakang. Tujuan untuk mencapai sasaran-sasaran ini ialah, kerajaan didorong dan dicampurtangani pada sektor minyaknya dengan memperkenalkan LC policy pada tahun 2001. Sasaran utama LC policy ialah untuk meningkatkan penyertaan daripada syarikat-syarikat minyak asli dan membangun jaringan-jaringan kebelakang terhadap penciptaan-penciptaan pekerjaan yang lebih banyak pada sektor petroleum. Tindakan demikian telah dilihat menjadi bahagian daripada strategi-strategi dalam penciptaan lebih banyak pekerjaan untuk orang-orang tempatan pada industri sumber.

Secara nyata, di Negeria, setakat yang mana LC policy telah mempengaruhi penyertaan syarikat-syarikat dan jaringan-jaringan kebelakang belum cukup ditaksir. Meskipun, beberapa kajian telah menyiasat bagaimana berjayanya LC policy sebelium ini yang telah, walaupun, tidak hanya bahawa ada akibat-akibat yang bercampur-campur, tetapi juga mereka terlepas pada tumpuan pada setakat mana kebijakan telah menjana spekulasi-spekulasi perpecahan tentang impak daripada LC policy pada kesusasteraan. Tambahannya, infrastruktur menganggap untuk membantu keberkesanan LC policy; bagaimanapun, kesan korelasi dan infrastruktur belum cukup dianalisis, jika tidak sama sekali diabaikan daripada kajian-kajian sebelumnya.

Oleh itu, kajian ini menguji impak daripada LC policy pada penciptaan pekerjaan melalui penyertaan syarikat-syarikat dan jaringan-jaringan kebelakang pada sektor minyak di Nigeria, dan juga menilai kesan pembauran infrastruktur pada pemboleh ubah-pemboleh ubah ini. Data kajian didapati dan dianalisis dengan menggunakan Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). Sejak hubungan-hubugan hipotesis diantara pemboleh ubah-pemboleh ubah disiasat untuk kajian ini daripada teori yang didorong oleh aplikasi teknik SEM, yang mempakan suatu kaedah pengujian teori pada kajian difikir sesuai. SEM diaplikasikan tidak hanya untuk menguji ini yang kebolehpercayaan dan kesahihan daripada pemboleh ubah-pemboleh ubah, tetapi juga untuk menguji pelbagai hubungan-hubugan yang saling bergantung diantara pemboleh ubah. Pembinaan kesahihan dan kesahan diskriminan daripada model pengukuran diperolehi melalui pendekatan CFA. Selanjutnya, hubugan-hubungan yang langsung diantara pemboleh ubah, serta kesan pengantara daripada pastisipasi syarikat-syarikat minyak asli (indigenous oil firms' participation / IOFP) dan jaringan-jaringan kebelakang (backward linkages / LINK) pada hubungan antara LC policy dan penciptaan pekerjaan (job creation /JOB) diuji. Tambahannya, kaedah boostrap, pada perisian AMOS, digunakan untuk menganalisis kesan pengantaraan yang berganda pada kajian ini.

Hasil-hasil yang diperoleh menunjukkan bahwa LC policy secara positif dan signifikan mempengaruhi IOFP dan LINK. Pekali-pekali berupa 0.34 dan 0.19 menyokong hipotesis-hipotesis bahawa LC policy masing-masing memiliki suatu hubungan yang positif dengan IOFP dan LINK. Lebih menarik lagi, hubungan antara IOFP dan JOB dan antara LINK dan JOB ditemukan secara statistik signifikan. Bagaimanapun, ini ditemukan bahawa adanya ketidak hubungan antara IOFP dan LINK. Ini memaklumkan bahawa syarikat-syarikat tempatan belum melakukan penggalakkan yag cukup pada pembangunan jaringan-jaringan kebelakang. Korelasi antara LC policy dan infrastuktur (INF) ditemukan signifikan secara statistik, tetapi tidak sebagai suatu persyaratan untuk keberkesanan LC policy. Selanjutnya, INF langsung pada IOFP ditemukan signifikan secara statistik, tetapi kesan kepada LINK tidak signifikan. Tambahan pula, IOFP dan LINK pada suatu model berganda ditemukan untuk menjadi pengantara hubungan antara LC policy dan JOB. Ini menunjukkan bahawa IOFP dan LINK secara bersama-sama menghantarkan kesan daripada LC kepada JOB. Bagaimanapun, ini ditemukan bahawa kesan melalui model 3-jalan beroperasi lebih baik daripada model 2-jalan. Ini menujukkan bahawa lebih banyak pekerjaan boleh diciptakan untuk para pekerja tempatan pada sektor minyak di Nigeria melaui impak yang berkesan daripada LC policy dalam meningkatkan sama ada partisipasi syarikatsyarikat tempat mahupun jaringan-jarringan kebelakang.

Berdasarkan daripada hasil kajian ini, ini membuktikan bahawa LC policy mempengaruhi penyertaan syarikat-syarikat asli dan pembangunan jaringan-jaringan kebelakang pada sektor minyak di Nigeria, walau bagaimanapun, diambil sebagai suatu set, peringkat pembangunan kandungan tempatan pada sektor terbabit ialah kurang daripada purata peratus. Secara tersirat, apabila nilai tambah tempatan yang substansi, untuk memastikan pembangunan ekonomi tempatan yang mampan melalui sektor minyak, keberkesanan daripada LC policy memerlukan pantauan yang rapi.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All adoration belongs to Allah, to whom all the glory and thanks belong. I give thanks to Allah for His favour to complete my PhD project. First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Shaufique Fahmi bin Ahmad Sidique, Department of Economics, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), and the Director of Institute of Agricultural and Food Policy Studies (IKDPM), UPM; Associate Professor Dr. Azmawani Abd. Rahman, and Associate Professor Dr. Law Siong Hook, for their valued time invested and concerted efforts in supporting and guiding me throughout the writing of this thesis. Their constructive suggestions, experience and ideas greatly contributed to the completion this study. I also indebted to express my profound gratitude to the Vice Chancellor, the Dean and the HOD, Department of Economics, University of Maiduguri, for their support and encouragement to start the degree at an earlier time. My deep thanks and gratitude go to the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund), Nigeria, for granting financial support to ease the pecuniary constraints during the course of this study.

I would also like to acknowledge the price-worth contribution and support of the following personnel in government and petroleum agencies and oil companies, like: Mr Sanni Hassan (DPR, Lagos); Mr Abdulrahman Abu Faruq (DPR, Port Harcourt); Mr Shola Yinusa (NAPIMS, Lagos); Mr Obinna Ezeobi (NCDMB, Bayelsa); Olosho Saheed and Taofeek Adeleke (Shell Petroleum Development Company, Port Harcourt, Nigeria); and all the questionnaire participants, particularly, Mr Nojeem Jimoh (Taurus oil & Gas Ltd), Mrs Patience Pat (MidWestern Oil), Mr Yusuf Oyolola (Matrix Energy Ltd), Mr Onyeje Emeka (A-Z Petroleum), Mr Demola (Nestoil Limited) and Miss Courage (Nadabo Energy Limited). No amount of words is sufficient to express my gratitude, except to say "JAZKUMLLAH AKHIRAH". I am very much delighted to be grateful to former Dean, Department of Economics, UPM, Professor Mohd Shahwahid Othman, for recommendation letter he addressed to the Department of Petroleum Resources (DPR) headquarters office, Lagos, which assisted to obtain the list of names of Nigerian indigenous oil firms from the agency. I profoundly appreciate the pains taken by the research assistants, Najeem Oloso, Zainab Balogun and Ipaye Ibrahim, during the distribution and collection of the questionnaires.

I most appreciate the efforts of my Mum of her frequent calls and courage to face the tasks, and Alhaji Engineer Adedeji O. Mojeed and Alhaja Adedeji B. Adijat for their unflinching support to my family in Nigeria. I remember my demised loved brothers, Adedeji AbdulAzeez and Saheed Adebayo and my father, Adedeji AbdulAzeez Ajibade. I pray for the mercy of Allah on your souls. Also, I acknowledge the love, concern, and prayers of my siblings: Adedeji Sulaiman, Adebayo Habeebat and Abdulkareem Aminat and their families, as well as members of my extended families. I also appreciate the supports and well-wishes of Alh. Olawale Ganiy, Dr. Matemilola Saka, Lawyer Kazeem Atitebi, Alhaji and Hajia Adesokan and the members of the Companion Usrah group, Ikorodu chapter, Lagos, Nigeria.

Finally, I acknowledge unflinching love and support of my wife, the jewel of inestimable value, Sukurat Temitope, and my dear children: Abdulsobur Ajibade, Abidatullah Anike and Abdullah Adigun. May Allah reward you all immensely, "*AMINNA THUMA AMIN*".

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 12 January 2016 to conduct the final examination of Adedeji Abdulkabir Niran on his thesis entitled "Impact of Local Content Policy on Job Creation Through Firms' Participation and Backward Linkages in Nigerian Oil Sector" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

## Muzafar Shah Habibullah, PhD

Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Zaleha binti Mohd Noor, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Rusmawati binti Said, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Indah Susilowati, PhD

Professor Diponegoro University Indonesia (External Examiner)

**ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD** Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 25 May 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia for the fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

## Shaufique Fahmi bin Ahmad Sidique, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

## Azmawani Abd. Rahman, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

# Law Siong Hook, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

> **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD** Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## **Declaration by graduate student**

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or comcurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice –chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature:		Date:	

Name and Matric No: Adedeji Abdulkabir Niran GS 34168

## **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
ABST	TRAC	T	i
ABST	RAC	1	iv
ACK	NOW	LEDGEMENTS	vii
APPF	ROVA	L	viii
DECI	LERA	TION	X
LIST	OF T	ABLES	xv
LIST	OF F	IGURES	xvii
LIST	OF A	PPENDICES	xix
LIST	OF A	BBREVIATIONS	XXI
CHA	PTER	UPM	
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background of the Study	1
		1.1.1 Local Content Policy: Introduction, Implementation and Targets	4
		1.1.2 Job Creation in Economic Sectors and the Unemployment Trend in Nigeria	6
		1.1.3 Nature of Participation of Indigenous Oil Firms in the Oil Sector	8
	1.2	Problem Statement of the Study	10
	1.3	Objective of the Study	14
	1.4	Significance of the Study	14
	1.5	Scope of the Study	16
	1.6	Operational Definitions	16
	1.7	Organization of the Study	17
2	LITE	ERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL MODEL	18
	2.1	Introduction	18
	2.2	Definition and Perspective of Local Content Policy	18
	2.3	Nigeria Profile in the Oil Sector and Economic Performance	20
		2.3.1 Oil Sector and the Nigerian Economy	20
		<ul><li>2.3.2 Characteristics of On-dependent Economies</li><li>2.3.3 Establishment of National Oil Companies and Oil Sector</li></ul>	22 23
		Financing	25
	21	2.5.4 Structure of On and Gas Supply Value Cham Nigerian Oil Sector Value Creation Concepts	23 26
	2.7	2.4.1 Indigenous Oil Firms' Participation Concept	20 26
		2.4.2 Backward Linkages Concept	28
		2.4.3 Job Creation Concept	31
	2.5	Motivational Theories of Entrepreneurship	33
		2.5.1 Theories: 'Necessity' versus 'Opportunity'	33
		2.5.2 Theory of Economic Policy	34
	_	2.5.3 Entrepreneurship-Growth Theory	35
	2.6	Theoretical Framework	37
		2.6.1 Perceptible Impact of Local Content Policy in the Oil	37

		Sector	
		2.6.2 Local Content Policy and Infrastructure	41
	2.7	Conceptual Framework: Policy-Entrepreneurial Propensity	42
		2.7.1 Entrepreneurship From the Macro Perspective	43
		2.7.2 Entrepreneurship From the Micro Perspective	45
		2.7.3 Empirical Framework	47
	2.8	Summary of Literature Review	49
3	ME'	THODOLOGY	51
	3.1	Introduction	51
	3.2	Hypothetical Research Model	51
	3.3	Research Hypotheses	53
	3.4	Method of Analysis	55
		3.4.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)	58
		3.4.2 Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)	58
		3.4.3 Reflective Measurement/Confirmatory Factor Analysis Model	59
		3.4.4 Structural Equation Model	64
	3.5	Study Area	75
	3.6	Sample Collection	77
		3.6.1 Sampling Procedure	78
		3.6.2 Sampling Unit	79
		3.6.3 Minimum Sample Size	80
	3.7	Data Source	82
		3.7.1 Data Screening	82
		3.7.2 Multicollinearity	84
	0 0		0.4
	3.8	Questionniare	84
4	3.8 EM	Questionniare PIRICAL RESULTS	84 86
4	3.8 <b>EM</b> I 4.1	Questionniare PIRICAL RESULTS Introduction	84 86 86
4	3.8 <b>EM</b> 4.1 4.2	Questionniare PIRICAL RESULTS Introduction Oprationalisation of Observed Factors	84 86 86 86
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3	Questionniare PIRICAL RESULTS Introduction Oprationalisation of Observed Factors Descriptive Statistics	84 86 86 86 90
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3	Questionniare <b>PIRICAL RESULTS</b> Introduction Oprationalisation of Observed Factors Descriptive Statistics 4.3.1 Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy	84 86 86 90 92
4	3.8 EM0 4.1 4.2 4.3	Questionniare         PIRICAL RESULTS         Introduction         Oprationalisation of Observed Factors         Descriptive Statistics         4.3.1       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.2       Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure	84 86 86 90 92 93
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3	Questionniare         PIRICAL RESULTS         Introduction         Oprationalisation of Observed Factors         Descriptive Statistics         4.3.1       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.2       Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure         4.3.3       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation	84 86 86 90 92 93 95
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3	QuestionniarePIRICAL RESULTSIntroductionOprationalisation of Observed FactorsDescriptive Statistics4.3.1Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy4.3.2Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure4.3.3Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation4.3.4Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3	QuestionniarePIRICAL RESULTSIntroductionOprationalisation of Observed FactorsDescriptive Statistics4.3.1Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy4.3.2Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure4.3.3Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation4.3.4Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages4.3.5Observed Factors Measured Job Creation	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	QuestionniarePIRICAL RESULTSIntroductionOprationalisation of Observed FactorsDescriptive Statistics4.3.1Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy4.3.2Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure4.3.3Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation4.3.4Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages4.3.5Observed Factors Measured Job CreationExploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) Results	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	QuestionniarePIRICAL RESULTSIntroductionOprationalisation of Observed FactorsDescriptive Statistics4.3.1Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy4.3.2Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure4.3.3Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation4.3.4Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages4.3.5Observed Factors Measured Job CreationExploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) ResultsStructural Equation Modeling Analysis	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102
4	<ul> <li>3.8</li> <li>EMI 4.1</li> <li>4.2</li> <li>4.3</li> </ul>	QuestionniarePIRICAL RESULTSIntroductionOprationalisation of Observed FactorsDescriptive Statistics4.3.1Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy4.3.2Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure4.3.3Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation4.3.4Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages4.3.5Observed Factors Measured Job CreationExploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) ResultsStructural Equation Modeling Analysis4.5.1Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103
4	<ul> <li>3.8</li> <li>EMI 4.1</li> <li>4.2</li> <li>4.3</li> <li>4.4</li> <li>4.5</li> </ul>	QuestionniarePIRICAL RESULTSIntroductionOprationalisation of Observed FactorsDescriptive Statistics4.3.1Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy4.3.2Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure4.3.3Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation4.3.4Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages4.3.5Observed Factors Measured Job CreationExploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) ResultsStructural Equation Modeling Analysis4.5.1Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results4.5.2Specification of Structural Model	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103 112
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 SUN	QuestionniarePIRICAL RESULTSIntroductionOprationalisation of Observed FactorsDescriptive Statistics4.3.1Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy4.3.2Observed Factors Measured Infrastructure4.3.3Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation4.3.4Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages4.3.5Observed Factors Measured Job CreationExploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) ResultsStructural Equation Modeling Analysis4.5.1Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results4.5.2Specification of Structural ModelMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103 112 126
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 SUN 5.1	Questionniare         PIRICAL RESULTS         Introduction         Oprationalisation of Observed Factors         Descriptive Statistics         4.3.1       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.2       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.3       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.4       Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages         4.3.5       Observed Factors Measured Job Creation         Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) Results         Structural Equation Modeling Analysis         4.5.1       Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results         4.5.2       Specification of Structural Model	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103 112 126 126
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 SUN 5.1 5.2	Questionniare         PIRICAL RESULTS         Introduction         Oprationalisation of Observed Factors         Descriptive Statistics         4.3.1       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.2       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.3       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.4       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.5       Observed Factors Measured Job Creation         Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) Results         Structural Equation Modeling Analysis         4.5.1       Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results         4.5.2       Specification of Structural Model         MARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS         Summary         Discussion of Major Findings	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103 112 126 126 127
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 SUN 5.1 5.2 5.3	Questionniare         PIRICAL RESULTS         Introduction         Oprationalisation of Observed Factors         Descriptive Statistics         4.3.1       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.2       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.3       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.4       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.5       Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages         4.3.5       Observed Factors Measured Job Creation         Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) Results         Structural Equation Modeling Analysis         4.5.1       Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results         4.5.2       Specification of Structural Model         MARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS         Summary         Discussion of Major Findings         Conclusion	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103 112 126 126 127 129
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 SUN 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Questionniare         PIRICAL RESULTS         Introduction         Oprationalisation of Observed Factors         Descriptive Statistics         4.3.1       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.2       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.3       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.4       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.5       Observed Factors Measured Job Creation         Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) Results         Structural Equation Modeling Analysis         4.5.1       Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results         4.5.2       Specification of Structural Model         MARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS         Summary         Discussion of Major Findings         Conclusion         Policy Implications	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103 112 126 126 127 129 130
4	<ul> <li>3.8</li> <li>EMI 4.1</li> <li>4.2</li> <li>4.3</li> <li>4.4</li> <li>4.5</li> <li>SUN 5.1</li> <li>5.2</li> <li>5.3</li> <li>5.4</li> </ul>	Questionniare         PIRICAL RESULTS         Introduction         Oprationalisation of Observed Factors         Descriptive Statistics         4.3.1       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.2       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.3       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.4       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.5       Observed Factors Measured Job Creation         Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) Results         Structural Equation Modeling Analysis         4.5.1       Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results         4.5.2       Specification of Structural Model         MMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS         Summary         Discussion of Major Findings         Conclusion         Policy Implications         5.4.1         Economic Development	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103 112 126 126 126 127 129 130 130
4	3.8 EMI 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 SUN 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Questionniare         PIRICAL RESULTS         Introduction         Oprationalisation of Observed Factors         Descriptive Statistics         4.3.1       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.2       Observed Factors Measured Local Content Policy         4.3.3       Observed Factors Measured Local Firms' Participation         4.3.4       Observed Factors Measured Backward Linkages         4.3.5       Observed Factors Measured Job Creation         Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) Results         Structural Equation Modeling Analysis         4.5.1       Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) Results         Structural Equation of Structural Model         MMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS         Summary         Discussion of Major Findings         Conclusion         Policy Implications         5.4.1       Economic Development         5.4.2       Nigerian Content Development and Monitoring Board (NCDMB) and Other Regulatory Agencies	84 86 86 90 92 93 95 97 98 100 102 103 112 126 126 127 129 130 130 131

5.5	Recommendations	132
5.6	Limitations and Gaps for Further Study	133
REFEREN	NCES	134
APPENDI	CES	150
BIODATA	A OF STUDENT	185
LIST OF F	PUBLICATIONS	186



# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Annual job creation by Sectors between 2012 and 2014	6
1.2	Nigeria: Allocation of Major Contracts in Oil and Gas (2002)	9
2.1	Nigeria Profile in Oil Sector and Oil contribution to the GDP and Job Creation	21
2.2	Founding Dates of Selected National Oil Companies	23
3.1	Symbol Notation in SEM	56
3.2	Population sample, administered and received questionnaires	79
4.1	Operationalization Table	87
4.2a	Response to LC policy	91
4.2b	Year of registration of Firms	92
4.3	Response to infrastructure	94
4.4	Response to Firms' participation	96
4.5	Response to Backward Linkages	97
4.6	Response to Job creation	99
4.7	Parallel analysis Simulation	100
4.8	Summary statistics of the factor solution and KMO and Bertlett's test	101
4.9	Fit Indexes output of the initial CFA model	105
4.10	Validity Test Results	105
4.11	Convergent and Discriminant Validity, and Composite reliability of initial Model	106
4.12	Decision on the fit Indexes of the initial CFA model	109
4.13	Convergent and Discriminant Validity, and Composite reliability of Respecified model	110
4.14	Fit Indexes of the initial SEM model	113

G

4.15	Unstandardized & Standardized Estimated Coefficients	117
4.16	Standardized Total Effect, Direct Effect, and Total Indirect Effect (n=209)	122
4.17	Multiple Indirect Mediated Effects	123
4.18	Single mediation	125



# LIST OF FIGURES

# Figure

 $\bigcirc$ 

1.1	Local Content Development Targets	5
1.2	Unemployment Rates (%) in Nigeria (2000 – 2010)	7
2.1	Oil Sector Expenditure (2013 – 2016)	24
2.2	Activities in the Oil and Gas Sector	25
2.3	Entrepreneur-Growth Model	44
2.4	Enabling Conditions and National Wealth Framework	44
2.5	Entrepreneurial Model showing Relationship among entrepreneurial Opportunities, Enterprising Individuals and Entrepreneurial Outcomes	46
3.1	Hypothetical Model showing Relationships among LC Policy, Infrastructure, Firms' Participation, Backward Linkages and Job Creation	52
3.2	Hypothetical Model showing the Hypotheses	55
3.3	Hypothesized Reflective Measurement Model	60
3.4	Path Diagram of Structural Model	66
3.5	Full-Fledged Structural Model	70
3.6	Multiple Mediation Model	74
3.7	Oil-producing states in the Niger Delta Region, Nigeria	76
4.1	Response to LC Policy	93
4.2	Response to Infrastructure	95
4.3	Response to Firms' Participation	97
4.4	Response to Backward Linkages	98
4.5	Response to Job Creation	99
4.6	Estimated Measurement Model	104
4.7	Respecified Measurement Model	108

4.8	Standardized Regression Structural Model	116
4.9	Full Structural Multiple Mediation Model	121



# LIST OF APPENDICES

	Appendix		Page
А		Request Letter from the Dean, Department of Economics, UPM, addressed to the Director, Department of Petroluem Resources (DPR).	150
	В	Reply Letter from DPR	151
	C 3A	Assessment of normality	152
	C 3B	Observations farthest from the centroid (Mahalanobis D2)	152
	C 3C	Inter-Item Correlation Matrix	155
	C 3C.1	Inter-Item Correlation Matrix	156
	C 3C.2	HTMT Correlation ratio between LC policy and JOB	157
	C 3C.3	HTMT Correlation ratio between LC policy and INF	158
	C 3C.4	HTMT Correlation ratio between LC policy and LINK	159
	C 3C.5	HTMT Correlation ratio between IOFP and JOB	160
	C 3C.6	HTMT Correlation ratio between INF and IOFP	161
	C 3C.7	HTMT Correlation ratio between INF and IOFP	162
	C 3C.8	HTMT Correlation ratio between INF and JOB	163
	C 3C.9	HTMT Correlation ratio between LINK and JOB	164
	C 3C.10	HTMT Correlation ratio between INF and LINK	165
	C 3D	Algorithm computation for minimum sample size	166
	D1	Correlation Matrix and Cronbach's $\alpha$ for LC policy	167
	D2	Correlation Matrix and Cronbach's $\alpha$ for Infrastructure: cost, quality and adequacy	168
	D3	Correlation Matrix and Cronbach's $\alpha$ for indigenous oil firms' participation	168
	D4	Correlation Matrix and Cronbach's $\alpha$ for backward linkages	169
	D5	Correlation Matrix and Cronbach's $\alpha$ for job creation	169

E1	Communalities: Full 27 Items & Communalities: 26 Items (Tech skill_s dropped)	170
E2	Total Variance Explained	171
E3	Summary Statistics of the Factor Solution	171
E4	Rotated Component Matrixa	173
F	Fit indices and their acceptable Thresholds	174
G	Standardized Regression Weights	175
н	Error term and Standardized Residuals Estimates	176
I1	IOFP Single Mediation Model	177
I2	LINK Single Mediation Model	177
J	Questionnaire	178

G

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AfDB	African Development Bank
ADF	Asymptotical Distribution-Free
AGFI	Adjusted Goodness-of- Fit
AMOS	Analysis of Moment Structure
ASV	Average Shared Squared Variance
AVE	Average Variance Extracted
b/d	barrels per day
CALAG	Calag Capital Limited
CEOs	Chief-executive-officers
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
CIO	Chief-information-officer
CIs	Confidence Intervals
CMIN	Chi-square
COO	Chief-operating-officer
CR	Construct Reliability
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
DPR	Department of Petroleum Resources
DV	Dependent variable
EFA	Exploratory Factor Analysis
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
FPSO	Floating Production, Storage and Offloading
GEM	Global Entrepreneurship Monitor
GDP	Gross Domestic Products
GFI	Goodness-of-fit
GLS	Generalized Least Squares
HTMT	Heterotrait-Monotrait
IOFP	Indigenous Oil Firms' Participation
ICT	Information and Communication Technologies
IFI	Incremental Fit Index
ILO	International Labour Organization
INTSOK	Norwegian Oil and Gas Partners
IV	Independent variable
JOB	Job Creation
КМО	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
LC policy	Local Content Policy
LINK	Backward Linkages
MD	Managing Director
ML	Maximum Likelihood
MOCs	Multinational Oil Companies
MSV	Maximum Shared Variance
NAPIMS	National Petroleum Investment Management Services
NBS	National Bureau of Statistics
NCDMB	Nigerian Content Development Monitoring Board
NCP	Nigeria Content Plan
NFI	Normed Fix Index
NNPC	Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation
NNOC	Nigerian National Oil Corporation

NOGICD OPEC	Nigerian Oil and Gas Industry Content Development Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries
PETAN	Petroleum Technology Association of Nigeria
PETROTRINS	Petroleum Company of Trinidad and Tobago
PNFI	Parsimony-adjusted Normed fit index
R&D	Research and Development
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
SEIA	Integrated Social and Economic Impact Assessment
SEM	Structural Modeling Equation
SRMR	Standardized Root Mean Square Residual
TLI	Tucker–Lewis index
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNIPORT	University of Port Harcourt
ULS	Unweighted Least Squares
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia

G

## **CHAPTER ONE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1 Background of the Study**

A common objective of many oil-rich countries, especially oil dependent national economies, is to maximise the economic benefit of their naturally occurring extractive resources for developing their economies. A key consideration for the targeted benefits in many of these countries is the increased local value creation in the sector (Ovadia, 2014; Ihua et al., 2011; Heum et al., 2003). This is seen considered a channel through which the benefits of extracting natural resources could reach a majority of the people in the country.

Government intervention in the oil and gas sector by implementing regulatory policies in many oil-resource countries, such as Angola, Norway and Venezuela, have long been considered a common strategy adopted for local value creation. Local value creation often refers to the increased participation of indigenous oil firms, promotion of backward linkages (i.e. utilisation of locally produced material inputs), and the creation of job opportunities for the local workforce. The experiences of these countries showed that government intervention this sector has the potential to ensure achievement of local value creation. Intervention is considered a mechanism through which the benefit of oil resources may reach a majority of citizens and foster local economic development (see Adewuyi and Oyejide, 2012; Teka, 2012; Kazzazi and Nouri, 2012; Ihua et al., 2011; Esteves and Barclay, 2011; Klueh, Pastor and Segura, 2009). One common theme of such government intervention, mostly adopted in the oil sector, is the Local Content policy (LC policy). This policy often aims to encourage higher participation of indigenous oil firms in related business activities in the oil and gas sector and promotes backward production linkages in the sector. This involves the procurement and utilisation of local input materials, which are created for the local workforce. More generally, this policy was considered a key factor that behind the localisation of business activities in the oil and gas sector. This is particularly true in many oil-dependent countries that achieved significant local value-added for local economies through high-volume production and value of contracts domiciliation (Teka, 2012: 465; UNCTAD, 2006: 3).

The high performance of entrepreneurial ventures, especially in dominant economic sectors, usually plays a significant role in contributing to employment generation. In many cases, the contribution of entrepreneurial activities to society is usually reflected in the reduction of the unemployment rate, especially among youths. However, the high challenge of unemployment is commonly faced by many developing, oil-dependent countries such as Nigeria. However, it was observed that the LC policy for oil and gas often has spin-off investment opportunities for local industries, and boosts backward production linkages in the sector. This usually creates additional jobs in the economy. For example, state intervention in the form of LC policy implementation in many resource-rich

countries such as Angola, Norway, and Venezuela is considered a strategy to promote small and medium entrepreneurship. Such state action is observed to have served as a link between oil and gas sector to other sectors in these countries, which also believed to create more employment opportunities for local workforce in the industry (Teka, 2012; Esteves and Barclay, 2011; UNCTAD 2006).

In Nigeria, the government intervened in the operational activities of its oil sector by introducing the LC policy in 2001, which is known as the Nigerian Oil and Gas Industry Content Development Act (NOGICD). This is part of the government's effort to create additional jobs by ensuring achievement of maximum benefits from oil resources, in terms of more local value creation in the form of employment and entrepreneurial activity. The LC policy was passed into a law in 2010 to strengthen it and achieve its goals by ensuring full compliance. Generally, in many oil-rich and -exporting developing countries, such as Norway and Venezuela, where the policy was earlier introduced in the resource sector, the primary objectives of such action were to increase participation of indigenous oil firms in the service supply chain of the petroleum sector and promote backward linkages, in respect to more utilisation of locally produced input materials. This, in turn, is perceived to create more job opportunities for the local workforce within the sector, as a way to reduce the unemployment rate in Nigeria, which is observed to be upwardly trending in the country (Ovadia, 2014). Similarly, in Nigeria, the LC policy intends to increase value addition to the local economy through increased participation by indigenous oil firms and utilisation of locally produced goods and services. This is expected to increase job creation. Regulation of business activities in the oil sector in favour of local oil service contractors has long been a strategy to extend the benefits of oil to the general population. In addition, it has also been a measure of translating oil resources for developing local economies (Tordo et al., 2013). As Bakare (2011) and Steven (2003) indicated, increased participation of indigenous firms in the oil sector is a measure for percolating the benefits of the nation's resources to the majority, especially in creating more job opportunities for the people within the industry.

In addition, development of infrastructure facilities, such as power supply (i.e. electricity), water supply, transportation, telecommunications, and internet services have also been identified as supporting factors in enhancing local entrepreneurial activities. Evidently, adequate provision of these facilities may reduce the production overhead costs, and increase the efficiency in processing raw materials and improving the ability of local suppliers or processors to link with and actively participate in the supply value chain (Morris et al., 2012; 2011; Teka, 2012; Perkins and Robins, 2011; Tallapragada and Adebusuyi, 2008). Regarding the entrepreneurial activities in the oil sector where several activities mostly start with processing, business infrastructure (e.g. power supply, water supply, transport system, internet services and telecommunication) is considered an important factor in facilitating processing operations, particularly in Nigeria.

The infrastructure in Nigeria appears to be improving, especially power supply and transport infrastructure (see Adewuyi and Oyejide, 2012, NBS, 2011). Recently, a media report shows that the power output, which dropped to 3,500 megawatts in 2006 from 4,200 megawatts in 2004, increased to attain a peak of 4,810.7

2

megawatts in 2015 (Daily Trust Newspaper, 2015). The amount of electric transformation increased from 5,838 MVA<sup>1</sup> to 9,430MVA (NBS, 2011). Further, the government was lauded for enhancing the transport systems by constructing approximately 3,000 km of roads across the states, and the expansion of coastline development to increase business activities in the country (NBS, 2011). Thus, as suggested, adequate provision of infrastructure facilities may aid the success of the LC policy in increasing local firms' participation and backward linkages (Adewuyi and Oyejide, 2012; Morris et al., 2012; Bakare, 2011; Yeaple and Golub, 2007).

Consequently, although local firms' participation in the Nigerian petroleum industry and utilisation of local material resources increased, the value of the activities in the sector captured by the local firms, and retained in the local economy, appears to be less substantial. For instance, Ovadia (2014) pointed that the Nigerian-owned enterprises only captured about one-third (US\$5 billion) of the total value of US\$15 billion of contracts awarded in the years back. However, as he observed, foreign companies seized a large proportion of the contracts, which probably created values and benefits other economies. This implies that the foreign counterparts are still handling several contracts and services that create value outside Nigeria. In addition, utilisation of locally produced input materials also might be highly substituted with foreign input materials, which has likely resulted in low procurement the former in the sector. For instance, available records show that out of 86 contracts of input materials supplies (i.e. procurement) awarded in 2002, only about 11.6% (10 contracts) of the input materials were locally sourced, while about 88.6% (76 contracts) were sourced from abroad. Arguably, if substantial activities are captured by local firms and more locally produced goods are sourced, more job opportunities could be created for Nigerians and more value added to the national economy.

It cannot be denied as many of oil-rich developing countries identified to have a small industrial base, and that many operations (e.g. oil exploration and production processes) in the oil sector often require high technical skills, and that the sector is capital intensive. However, several entrepreneurial services, such as fabrication, construction, drilling, engineering, information and communications technology (ICT), consulting and other services usually require soft skills which can be delivered by the local firms. These services are mostly relatively labour intensive and may create a substantial number of jobs for the citizens in the sector (OPEC, 2013; Ovadia, 2014). However, the increasing rate of unemployment in Nigeria is alarming and raises serious concern. The unemployment wave appears to be widely spread across the country. Arguably, the main admissible factor, among others, can be traced to the low level of job creation in the economic sectors in the country, especially the oil sector (NBS, 2015; Ovadia, 2014; Ihua et al., 2011). This is attributed to the low participation of local oil service firms and the low preference to the procurement of locally produced input materials in the Nigerian oil sector.

However, little is known about the extent to which the LC policy influences job creation through firms' participation and backward linkages in Nigeria's oil sector. Exsting reports show that local firms face stiff competition from their foreign

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MVA means Mega Volts Amp

counterparts that dominate the sector, and lack the capacity and capability to competently compete (Ihua et al., 2011; Bakare, 2011). It is also evident that foreign companies often prefer to source input materials from outside the country (Ariweriokuma, 2009). However, the level to which the policy achieved the set goals with respect to value creation in Nigeria is yet to be ascertained. This has not only generated split speculations, but also raised a serious concern over the efficacy of the policy, which leads the present study to enquire: By what degree has the LC policy increased the local value-added in the Nigerian oil sector with respect to firms' participation and backward linkages? To what extent has firms' participation stimulated backward linkages in the oil industry? By how much has the LC policy evolved job creation through firms' participation and backward linkages in the industry? This study empirically addresses these questions to elucidate the efficacy of the LC policy and intends to contribute to the policyentrepreneurial literature. Since the success of the policy could better measure the extent to which it achieves its targets, thus, this study argues that if the LC policy is efficient, the consequence would largely reflect in the local value the policy created.

## 1.1.1 Local Content Policy: Introduction, Implementation and Targets

Over five decades, activities of exploration, development, production, and exportation of oil in Nigeria have continued to increase as the country's economy depends heavily on oil resources. Major operational activities in the oil sector often require high technical and capital intensity, which is mostly lacking in developing oil-rich countries (Tordo et al., 2011) including Nigeria. Multinational Oil Companies (MOCs) most often dominate the activities in the oil sector in these countries, as they possess significant technical knowledge and capital to explore the resources. Although, national-owned companies are usually involved in the service supply chain of the sector, MOCs capture a larger proportion of the value share of contracts as compared to their local counterparts. The MOCs often conduct their activities outside the host country (Heum et al., 2003), resulting in increased capital flight<sup>2</sup> from the domestic economy and lower utilisation of locally produced input goods and services (Ihua et al., 2011). Perhaps, this could result in low creation of job in the industry in Nigeria. This prompted the introduction of the LC policy to address this anomaly, with an aim to reap maximum economic benefits from their resources. In simple terms, the LC policy is a form of government strategy in the oil sector mostly designed to favour the participation of local oil companies in the activities for value creation in the domestic economy (Nordas et al., 2003). The policy is also considered a protection in creating more business opportunities for oil-infant firms, increasing supply of local material inputs to the sector (i.e. backward linkages<sup>3</sup> to the service sector), advancing technology transfer, creating jobs for locals, and promoting large-scale local economic development (Ovadia, 2014; Ihua et al., 2011; Heum et al., 2011; World Bank, 2009; Ariweriokuma, 2009; UNCTAD, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>UNCTAD report (2006) show that the value of the total annual spend for services accrue to foreign oil companies in Nigeria ranges from 91.5% to 97%, which is very high compare to about 35% in Malaysia, Brazil and Venezuela.

Backward linkages means utilization of local goods and services (Tordo et al., 2013).

Shortly after the inception of the LC policy in Nigeria, the government sets minimum targets for indigenous oil companies' participation and utilisation of locally produced input goods needed for exploration and production activities in the sector. This has often been referred to as Nigerian content development (Ovadia, 2014; Ariweriokuma, 2009). The process is used to gauge the efficacy of the policy, especially regarding to whether the contracts designated for Nigerian indigenous service companies are handled by them and practiced in Nigeria, even if their bid values exceed that of the foreign bids by 10%. For example, the government set to achieve 45% of the local content development in 2007 and 70% in 2010 (Ihua et al., 2011; Ariweriokuma, 2009), and more than 80% in 2020 (Bakare, 2011). As shown in Figure 1.1, the targets achieved ranged between about 10% to about 40% in the last decade (Ovadia, 2014).



In 2008, the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) reported that the policy has succeeded in increasing the participation of Nigerian firms to more than 40% (Ihua et al., 2011). However, this claim contradicted some reports that showed the development of local content as being not more than 15% to 20% (Business Day Newspaper, 2008). Recently, another report showed that only 35% of local content development was achieved between 2010 and 2012 (Daily Independent, 2013). Whichever estimate is correct, given the half century of oil production in Nigeria, the local content is often below the target, indicating low performance of the policy compared with the targets that were achieved in Angola, Brazil, Malaysia, Venezuela, and Norway, where the local content development ranges between 45% to 75% (Ovadia, 2014; UNCTAD, 2006).

Nonetheless, it is argued that there is still a great possibility to achieve a high percentage of local content development in Nigeria. For example, state-led industrial development has the potential to foster broad-based development in the oil service sector, and link the sector to other non-oil sectors in the economy

 $\bigcirc$ 

(Ovadia, 2014: 138). However, it is important to know the degree by which the policy has increased the domestic value in Nigeria, and, more specifically, its on job creation, which remains an important area of concern and a new focus in the literature.

# **1.1.2** Job Creation in Economic Sectors and the Unemployment Trend in Nigeria

Nigeria, like many other developing countries, faces the change of unemployment, with low job creation and an annual turnout of the working population in the country. This has been a serious concern for every successive government in the country. Consequently, the authority often seeks economic transformation policies as mechanisms to substantially create jobs to reduce unemployment in the country (NBS report, 2014: 9). According to the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) report (2014), job creation refers to employment generated by a formal establishment that employs 10 persons and above, or formal professional services that employ less than 10 persons in a business year.

As mentioned earlier, job creation is low in some economic sectors in Nigeria, particularly the oil sector, although, as shown in Table 1.1, the aggregate job creation increased from about 316,311 in 2012 to about 433, 321 in 2014 (NBS 2014). In absolute figures, this suggests a considerable increase in employment generation. However, in terms of the sectoral shared proportion of job creation, the mining and quarrying sector<sup>4</sup>, under which the oil sector has a large share, appears to have created the least amount of jobs in recent years compared to other sectors. The oil sector created about 681 (0.2%) jobs in 2012, which decreased to about 535 (0.1%) and 266 (0.1%) in 2013 and 2014, respectively. From a micro perspective, among others, the low level of job creation in the oil sector may likely be attributed to the low participation of Nigerian indigenous firms in the sector. In addition, there is a low demand for locally produced input materials or lack of effectiveness of the LC policy to create jobs for local labourers in the sector through firms' participation and backward linkages development (Ovadia, 2014). It is only when a large number of jobs are created, across all the economic sectors, that labour demand will be more than its supply, eventually reducing the unemployment rates.

	Number of jobs Created by sectors (Share of %)			
Sector	2012*	2013	2014	
Agriculture	3,952 (1.2)	5,750 (1.3)	15,649 (3.6)	
Mineral & Quarrying (Include Oil and Gas)	681 (0.2)	535 (0.1)	266 (0.1)	
Manufacturing	31,192 (9.9)	46,363 (10.7)	108,073 (24.9)	
Water Supply, Sewage, Waste Manag.& Remediation	n/a	n/a	9,501 (2.2)	
Building & Construction	2,861 (0.9)	7,883 (1.8)	14,526 (3.4)	
SMEs (Whole Sales & Retail Trade)	9,423 (3.0)	18,307 (4.2)	33,746 (7.8)	

## Table 1.1. Annual job creation by Sectors between 2012 and 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> According to NBS (2012), the Mining and Quarrying subsectors include oil and gas, coal mining, metal ore and quarrying and other minerals.

Hotel &Restaurants	8,044 (2.6)	13,393 (3.1)	25,621 (5.9)
Transports	6,696 (2.1) 5,011 (1.2)		2,179 (0.5)
Information & Communication	n/a	14,58 (0.4)	1,158 (0.3)
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	n/a	n/a	756 (0.2)
Financial Intermediation (Banking & Insurance)	30,754 (9.7)	50,304 (11.6)	13,598 (3.1)
Real Estate	14,922 ( 4.7)	8,046 (1.9)	1,447 (0.3)
Professional & Technical S Services	n/a	4,808 (1.1)	9,594 (2.2)
Administrative & Support Services	n/a	128 (0.0)	19,965 (4.6)
Education	166,561 (52.7)	213,067 (49.2)	128,585 (29.7)
Human Health & Social Services	33,850 (10.7)	47,309 (11.0)	42,564 (9.8)
Other Services	7,375 (2.3)	10,357 (2.4)	6,093 (1.4)
Total	316,311 (100)	432,719 (100)	433,321 (100)

Note: n/a=data not available. \* Third & fourth quarter data were computed for 2012, the first and second quarters not available as at the period the data extracted (4/4/2015). Sector share of percent are in parentheses.

Source: Nigerian Bureau of Statistics.

Available: www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/nbslibrary/socio-economic-statistics/labour

Since job creation appears to be low in Nigeria, and more workers are annually entering the labour market, the trend of the unemployment rate in Nigeria tends to soar upwards. For example, in Figure 1.2, the trend rate continued sloping upward for over a decade. In 2000, the unemployment rate was about 13% and increased to about 19% in 2009. The rate continued to increase and reached about 27% in 2012, with a slight drop to 24.7% and 25.1% in 2013 and 2014, respectively. However, this is considerably high compared to the rate unemployment in Ghana (3.6% and Egypt (12.0%) (NBS, 2015).



Figure 1.2. Unemployment rates (%) in Nigeria, 2000 -2014 Source: National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), Nigeria, 2015.

Recently, more than 20.3 million<sup>5</sup> economically active Nigerians have been reported unemployed (NBS, 2012). Similarly, a media report (Punch Newspaper, 2014)<sup>6</sup> indicated that about 1.8 million graduates are annually entering the job market, adding to the existing pool of unemployed graduates in the country. If this situation persists, it will increase the unemployment level and worsen the economic situation in the country. In addition, the NBS (2015) report indicates that the rate of unemployment increased by 7.5% in the first quarter of 2015, compared to 6.4% in the fourth quarter of 2014.

As noted earlier, a high unemployment level in Nigeria reduces the standard of living in the country. For instance, according to the NBS report (2010), approximately 70% of the total population in the country are said to be living below the specific poverty line, of which the majority is believed to be either unemployed or subsistent-employed (underemployed). This situation was a considerable concern to researchers and policy makers.

# 1.1.3 Nature of Participation of Nigerian Indigenous Oil Firms in the Oil Sector

Oil and gas resources, like most commodities, have the potential to benefit domestic socioeconomic development if the resources are well managed and regulated. Otherwise, it could be detrimental to the economy, as it may increase the level of poverty (Ross, 2012). The exhaustibility nature of oil resources explains why many developing oil-dependent economies opted for state intervention to support their local oil operators, rather than allowing a free market. More importantly, state intervention in the oil sector was considered a strategy for developing local firms' capacities to enable them to be competent and competitive. Low participation of indigenous oil firms appeared to be prevalent in Nigeria's oil sector prior to the introduction of the LC policy. For instance, according to conducted by Klueh et al. (2009), oil and gas contracts worth about US\$2.8 billion were awarded in 2002 (Table 1.2), and of these only about US\$0.46 billion (about 16.4%) was captured by indigenous companies, carried out in-country and retained as value-addition into the local economy. However, a large proportion of the contracts were captured by the foreign oil service companies, and about US\$2.1 billion (about 76%) created additional value to other economies outside Nigeria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Vanguard Newspaper (2014): http://www.vanguardngr.com/2014/04/scourge-unemployment/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>http://www.punchng.com/news/1-8-million-graduates-enter-job-market-yearly-fg/

	Value Added in Nigeria		Value Add	Value Added abroad	
	Nigeria	Foreign	Nigeria	Foreign	Total number
Goods and Services supplied	owned	owned	owned	owned	of contracts
Consultancy	6	0	8	0	14
Drilling and well completion	9	5	13	33	60
Environmental services	2	0	5	3	10
Exploration	2	4	0	10	16
Others	0	0	0	3	3
Gas development	0	0	0	3	3
Hotel and Catering	5	0	5	5	15
ICT	5	1	11	4	21
Procurement	8	2	65	11	86
Production facility maintenance	3	1	45	29	78
Project/Construction	6	5	13	30	54
Transportation	9	6	36	36	87
Total number of Contracts	55	24	201	167	447
Memo item					
Dollar value of Contracts (billion)	0.2	0.5	0.2	1.9	2.8
Percentage distribution	8.3	17.2	8.1	67.9	100

## Table 1.2. Nigeria: allocation of major contracts in oil and gas industry (2002)

Adapted from Klueh et al., 2009.

Table 1.2 shows that several oil business activities were undertaken in the industry, which could have substantially benefited many Nigerians and the economy in general. Despite that, indigenous firms captured a small proportional value of the activities, most of which were undertaken abroad and benefited foreign economies.

Against this backdrop, many oil-rich countries introduced an oil regulatory policy as a tool to ensure active participation of local companies in the oil activities for value-addition, especially in terms of job creation. This has proved to be effective in some countries. It is evident that if a large proportion of the oil business activities were domiciled in the host country, it would add value to the domestic economy. As proved by Tordo et al. (2013) and Heum et al. (2003), if local entrepreneurs are motivated to increasingly engage in service business activities in the oil sector, consequently, there is a possibility to expand employment opportunities that would absorb a large number of local workforce within the sector.

The regulatory policy adopted in the Trinidad and Tobago's oil sector, for instance, seen to have increased participation of its local firms in the oil business related activities and created more local employment in the industry (Tordo et al., 2013). This reformation led to the establishment of the national oil company, the Petroleum Company of Trinidad and Tobago (PETROTRINS) in 1993, to further promote petroleum activities in favour of the locals. The policy mandated major oil business activities to be carried out in the country, promoted skills development and increased the number of national workers in the industry (Tordo et al., 2013). In 2004, the policy was amended by adopting comprehensive content that included local ownership control and financing to ensure that the sector is domesticated for local economic development. Similarly, in Brazil and Mexico, the government provided more support to their local companies through their regulatory policies to gain more benefits from their resources (Ariweriokuma, 2009).

 $\bigcirc$ 

However, a scrutiny of the experience of oil-dependent economies that allow government intervention in the in oil sector, reveals a discernible fact about this government interference in regulating oil business activities. This could enhance the benefits for the local oil firms, and create more jobs for the citizens within the sector. In addition, it is noticed that the increase in participation of local companies may enhance sourcing and utilisation of locally manufactured input materials (Adewuyi and Oyejide, 2012). This may also generate additional employment for the affiliate firms that supply input materials and increase added value through the oil sector into the domestic economy (UNCTAD, 2006: 18). These are the major reasons that prompted the Nigerian government to interfere in the oil operational activities in its oil sector by introducing of the LC policy.

## **1.2** Problem Statement of the Study

Shortly after the discovery of oil in Nigeria, in 1958, the country's economy gradually transformed from an agrarian economy, which depended on the export of cocoa, ground nuts, and palm oil, to an oil-based one (Ariweriokuma, 2009: 33). The oil boom of the early 1970s transformed the oil sector into the major economic mainstay of the country. The existing records recently showed that Nigeria is among the top oil-resource-rich countries in the world endowed with crude oil and natural gas. According to the OPEC report (2013), the country has approximately 37 billion barrels of crude oil reserve and more than 19.2 billion cubic metres of natural gas, placing Nigeria among the top six oil-rich countries in the world. The contribution of the oil sector to the country's gross domestic product (GDP) was more than 40%. Furthermore, the receipts from the oil exports in 2014 represented about 74.4% of the total exports, and earnings from the oil-product exports alone in the first quarter of 2015 was about US\$14.2 billion (NBS, 2015).

Exploration, production, and exportation of crude oil often entail operations that require several services, such as fabrication services, drilling and well construction and completion, engineering services, consultancies, products, and material supplies (for example, chemicals, steels, pipes and cable supplies). These activities are undertaken regularly in the oil sector in Nigeria (Tordo et al., 2013; Klueh et al., 2009:1132; Heum et al., 2003: 9). As mentioned ealier, for example, a total contract of 447 of service activities, worth US\$2.8 billion (Table 1.2), was claimed to be awarded to Nigeria in 2002 (Klueh et al., 2009), and the activity has been increasing in volume and value (Ovadia, 2014). However, as observed, the indigenous oil servicing firms often capture only few contracts, despite the fact that several of the service business activities could be handled by local firms and benefit local economy (Vaaland et al., 2012; Bakare, 2011; Klueh et al., 2009). For instance, according to Klueh et al., (2009), from the total services contracts awarded in 2002, local firms only captured 8.3% which was retained and added value to the local economy, while 67.9% was captured by their foreign counterparts and added value to the foreign economies (see Table 1.2). This depicts that the participation of local firms in the activities in this sector is low. The likely factor that attributes to low participation of Nigerian firms is the low capacity and capability of the firms for bidding for contracts and/or to withstand stiff competition in the sector. The oil sector is usually characterised by a high technical capacity that often provides MOCs an edge to dominate the business activities in the sector. Nevertheless, many service activities that could effectively be handled by indigenous oil firms are still being executed by MOCs (Ariweriokuma, 2009). Consequently, most of the operations are often carried out outside Nigeria.

It can be inferred that the low participation of local oil servicing firms and the low preference given to the procurement of locally produced goods and services, prompted the federal government in Nigeria to intervene in the sector and introduce the LC policy in the year 2001. The policy was passed into a law in 2010 to strengthen the competitive capacity of the Nigerian indigenous oil companies in the service supply chain operations in the sector (Ovadia, 2014). The policy is considered a productive development tool aimed to open the industry to involve more indigenous firms' participation, and develop backward linkages, in terms of increasing the procurement of labour-intensive locally produced inputs materials (goods and services). This can also create jobs for the local workforce in the industry. This is perceived as a strategy for developing the local economy, beyond the contributions of the industry to the country's GDP and foreign earnings.

In the last decade, the government aimed to increase the participation level of local oil service suppliers in the oil and gas activities and improve the level of linkages development by setting targets to achieve in local content development through LC policy. Initially, 70% local content development was targeted to achieve in the industry in 2010 and more than 100% by 2020 (Adewuyi and Oyejide, 2012; UNCTAD/CALAG, 2006). However, the level to which the LC policy achieves this goal, with particular reference to local firms' participation and backward linkages development in the Nigerian oil sector, has not yet been ascertained. This has not only generated divergent speculation about the efficacy of the policy, but also the previous investigations on how successful the policy was, only produced mixed results. For instance, the NNPC claimed that over 40% local content development was successfully achieved regarding the award of services and contracts captured by Nigerian oil service firms. However, media reports refuted this claim and emphasised that only about 15% to 20% local content development was achieved (Business Day Newspaper, 2008). In addition, recently NNPC claimed that in 2012 the policy succeeded in achieving 87% of local value addition in the petroleum industry (Daily Independent Newspaper, 2015<sup>7</sup>). This assertion generated split speculations as to whether the policy achieved such significant development, and the scientific methods employed to generate the figures were scrutinised as they were not detailed. This leads to a serious concern and leaves some important questions unanswered as to what level the LC policy increased local value creation in Nigeria.

Furthermore, virtually all approaches used to investigate the impact of the LC policy from various perspectives in the previous studies rarely allow testing for consistency of the variables (i.e. latent constructs). Since latent constructs are unobservable as they are usually abstractive in nature, observed variables are often used to represent them. Thus, such observed variables are required to be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> http://dailyindependentnig.com/2013/02/local-content-facts-and-fictions-of-policys-gains-2/ Retrieved: 13/03/2014

statistically validated to measure whether they are meaningful prior to the estimation of the interrelationships among the latent constructs (Radosevic and Yoruk, 2013: 1024). The validity process to confirm whether the observed variables adequately represent their respective theoretical latent constructs that they presumed to measure rarely gained attention in previous studies that examined the entrepreneurial implications of the LC policy. Estimation of the relationships among the latent variables, when the validity of constructs is not tested, may be biased and misled. This led to important questions on how adequately the observed variables used in previous studies measured what they are supposed to measure and how relatively important these variables are. To the best of our knowledge, only Ihua et al. (2011) employed exploratory factor analysis (EFA) in their study. However, their approach lacked the ability to indicate the extent to which the observed variables measured what they were supposed to measure. Their objective was to explore the number of observed item variables grouped under respective constructs. However, investigating the nature of the relationships between the constructs measured by the item variables will contribute more to the knowledge.

In addition, the rationale behind the federal government's effort on the indigenisation of the Nigerian oil sector is to ensure that backward linkages are developed and additional jobs are created for the local workforce. This has been considered as the continuing efforts of the government to reduce unemployment faced the country. However, some studies, such as Klueh et al. (2009), pointed out that several raw materials (goods and services) needed for exploration and production of oil in the Nigerian oil sector are sourced from abroad, and as such, created job opportunities and added value to other economies outside Nigeria. On the contrary, other studies, such as Adewuyi and Oyejide (2012), claimed that procurement of locally produced materials has been increased. The debate is yet to settle, and however, the degree to which local firms' participation stimulated backward linkages in the industry is unclear.

Moverover, high unemployment rates appear to be trending upwards in Nigeria for over a decade. For instance, the rate stood at 12% in 2004, increased to 19% in 2009, and further increased to about 25.1% in 2014. It is also evident that several qualified youth graduates are unemployed (NBS report, 2015; Punch newspaper, 2014; Vanguard newspaper, 2014). The trend of the unemployment rate is considered a major problem in the country, raises concern, and appears to pose a serious threat to economic development. The mineral and quarrying sector, of which the oil and gas sector represents a large share in Nigeria, often creates the least amount of jobs in the economy. According to the NBS annual reports (2012; 2013; 2014), for example, the oil sector created only 0.2% jobs in 2012, and 0.1% in both 2013 and 2014. These figures are meagre compared with other sectors, like the education and manufacturing sectors that created more than 25% jobs in 2014 (see Table 1.1). It is often argued that the oil sector is not labour-intensive as it is considered highly technical and capital-intensive.

However, recently, there is a divergent opinion on this assertion as most activities in the sector are often divided into many categories, such as geology and geophysics services, engineering and construction services, drilling, and fabrication services. This categorisation often enables creating more direct and indirect jobs in the services associated with oil exploration and production. Further, most of these activities require less technical skills and capital (OPEC, 2013). The OPEC report (2013: 36) suggested that this has increased the demand for labour in oil industries in many oil-exporting countries, including Nigeria. Thus, the extent to which firms' participation and backward linkages increased job creation in the Nigerian oil sector receives much less attention in academic research. More importantly, it is essential to examine the relationship between the variables, particularly when considering the efficacy of the LC policy. This will provide vital information for policymakers on the effectiveness of the policy on value creation in the industry.

In addition, the potential of the LC policy in creating additional job opportunities for the local workforce through firms' participation in the oil industry and backward linkages is considered a method for the policy to achieve its target on local value creation. However, the mediating effects of firms' participation and backward linkages on the relationship between the policy and job creation is not yet adequately assessed, if not totally ignored, in past studies. We recognised that few empirical studies, such as Adewuyi and Oyejide (2012) and that of Ihua et al. (2011), attempted to examine the impact of the LC policy in the Nigerian oil sector on backward linkages and local entrepreneurial activities in the sector, respectively. However, these studies focused less on the impact of the policy on job creation, and also did not capture whether indigenous firms' participation and backward linkages mediate the relationship between the policy and job creation. This creates a gap that is very important to address as the credibility of the LC policy resides on the job opportunities it could create to reduce the unemployment rate in Nigeria.

The role that infrastructure facilities, such as power supply, transportation, internet services and communications, play in entrepreneurship development and performance has long been considered very important. This is because unarguably adequate provision of infrastructure often reduces overhead costs and increases productivity (Perkins and Robins, 2011; Morris et al., 2011). The impact of infrastructure on entrepreneurial activities is commonly assessed on an aggregate level; however, there is a dearth of literature on the sectoral level. Besides, the contingent effect, (i.e. inter-correlation) between infrastructure and the LC policy has not yet been examined. Although Adewuyi and Oyejide (2012) examined the impact of infrastructure on local linkages in the Nigerian oil sector, the authors narrowed their focus and did not capture the covariance<sup>8</sup> relationship between the policy and infrastructure. Examination of this covariance relationship will provide further insight into the level at which infrastructure complements the LC policy effectiveness and will also provide a better understanding of the extent to which the policy is efficient on its own.

Based on these issues, it is imperative to further investigate the LC policy performance, especially regarding the extent to which it has created jobs and developed backward linkages through indigenous entrepreneurial activities in the Nigerian oil sector. This would provide more insight into the impact of the policy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Hair et al. (2010: 619): Covariance is a systematic correlation between two constructs. Thus, statistical significance of the correlation provides evidence that covariation is present.

on the oil sector in Nigeria. This present study focuses these aspects through the research objectives as stated in the following subsection.

# **1.3** Objective of the Study

The main objective of this study is to evaluate the impact of the LC policy on local value creation in the Nigerian oil sector, with particular reference to indigenous oil firms' participation, backward linkages and job creation, as well as the covariance relationship between the policy and infrastructure. To achieve this overall objective, the following four specific targets are pursued:

- I. To assess and explain the effect of the LC policy on indigenous oil firms' participation and backward linkages in the Nigerian oil sector;
- II. To evaluate the structural interrelationship between local firms' participation, backward linkages and job creation within the Nigerian oil sector;
- III. To evaluate the covariance relationship between the LC policy and infrastructure in the structural model used in this study; and
- IV. To evaluate the effect of the LC policy on job creation through local firms' participation and backward linkages in a multiple-mediator model.

# 1.4 Significance of the Study

This study uniquely extends the investigation on the impact of the LC policy on local value creation in the oil and gas sector in Nigeria, in the context of increased local firms' participation, local linkages, and job creation. Many discussions through symposiums, seminars, and conferences held on the efficacy of the LC policy, along with a few research studies, have attempted to investigate the impact of the policy towards value creation in the oil sector in Nigeria. The various attempts to evaluate the influence of the policy were either focused on the impact on indigenous firms' participation (e.g. Antai et al., 2012; Ihua et al., 2011; Bakare, 2011) or backward linkages (e.g. Adewuyi and Oyejide, 2012; Nwosu et al., 2006). However, the impact of the policy on job creation received less attention, and no specific items have been used to measure the concept. Thus, this study developed observed indicators to measure job creation and incorporated the concept into the model to extend and create new literature. More importantly, job creation in the Nigerian oil sector is an end focal objective of the LC policy based on which the performance of the policy could better assess. Thus, the present study extends the research on the effect of the LC policy on job creation through firms' participation and backward linkages to understand and provide useful information about the efficacy of the policy.



This study employs the Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), using Analysis of Moment Structures (AMOS) software, version 21, to analyse its data and achieve its specific objectives. SEM is a multivariate inferential statistical technique that is suitable for simultaneously analysing multiple relationships between latent variables (Blunch, 2008), as opposed to univariate econometric methods used in some related studies (Adewuyi and Oyejide, 2012; Kazazzi and Nouri, 2012; Ihua et al., 2011; Bakare, 2011) or descriptive statistics (Monday, 2015; Kafada, 2012). The method has psychometric fit properties for validating the variance shared between observed items and unobserved constructs which are theoretical in nature. The technique has also been found appropriate to detect idiosyncratic relationships among the latent construct variables. The relationships among the variables are presumably based on theoretical precision, like SEM, is often recommended to generate robust estimates. Since this study is theory driven, the choice of applying SEM is appropriate. This is the first time SEM will be applied in this type of study.

More specifically, this study contributes to practice by testing the opportunity theory which hinges on the assumptions that enterprising individuals are often motivated to engage in business activities, especially in organised markets, when they are favoured by entrepreneurial opportunity and that the level of their participation depends on the number of jobs created (Reynolds et al., 2001). If the theory holds, it will strengthen the contribution of this study of the assessment of the policy-entrepreneurial transmission process on job creation in the Nigerian oil sector.

In addition, this study considers the correlation between infrastructure and the LC policy, in connection with its impact on job creation through indigenous firms' participation and backward linkages, which has not yet been explored in previous studies. Assessing the covariance effect between the LC policy and infrastructure is important because it will provide more insight into the policy's efficacy in influencing entrepreneurial activities in the oil sector. Thus, such an investigation will contribute significantly to the literature.

Unlike previous studies, this study provides new empirical results drawn from survey data obtained from the local oil service firms operating in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. Since the local firms are the prime target of the policy in the Nigerian oil sector, the inhabitants in the oil region were selected as respondents in Ihua et al.'s study (2011). The local firms are considered the best respondents that qualified to have a general understanding of the issues surrounding the effectiveness of the LC policy, and accordingly, they are best to assess the value the policy creates in the oil industry. Thus, the selection of local firms as respondents enables this study to obtain broad-scope information, which helped address to two gaps. First, this enables the study to generate empirical evidence to contribute to the long debate on the efficacy of the LC policy, which generated split speculations. Second, it enables the study to draw a new conclusion and provide insightful recommendations. This will enable policy makers to make informed decisions, particularly on how to optimise local value creation and how the local economy could be sustained through the oil sector. More generally, recommendation derived from this research will significantly benefit the countries

newly discovered oil resources, such as Ghana, that are considering adopting of the LC policy.

In sum, this research contributes to the quantitative research on conceptual and empirical aspects related to oil firms' perceptions on the impact of the LC policy on value creation in Nigeria's oil sector. It also provides empirical evidence to determine the success of the policy.

## **1.5** Scope of the Study

This study targets local oil service companies (first-tier suppliers) who operate in oil-producing states of the Niger Delta region, Nigeria, as respondents. The Niger Delta area comprises nine states, out of 36 states in Nigeria. These states are situated along the coastal belt of the country. However, this study selected five states in the Niger Delta: Akwa-Ibom, Bayelsa, Delta, Cross-River, and Rivers, where the questionnaires were administered. The reason for choosing these states is that several oil firms are located there and major oil business activities were often undertaken there (Antai et al., 2012). Besides, they represent more than half of all the states in the Niger Delta region.

## **1.6 Operational Definitions**

Local content policy (LC policy) is regarded as 'quantum of composite value added to or created in the Nigerian economy by a systematic development of capacity and capability through the deliberate utilisation of Nigerian human, material resources and services in the Nigerian oil and gas industry' (NOGICD, 2010). It could be argued that the government can maximise the benefit of oil resources for local economic development and sustainability. Thus, if this assertion holds, this study may provide a better understanding of how the majority of local people will benefit more from their nation's oil-wealth.

*Firms' participation:* Most definitions used to describe entrepreneurship are often linked to that of Schumpeter (1934), who defined entrepreneurship as an act of innovation. He explained innovation as the ability of entrepreneurs to identify and exploit opportunities in the markets. The extension of this definition includes external factors that may influence the participation of entrepreneurs in the market activities (see Gnyawali and Fogel, 1994). In this regard, this study equates firms' participation as the involvement of firms in business activities based on the opportunities identified in the market and being motivated to exploit them. The philosophical basis of this definition is that when firms recognise an opportunity in the market, and are motivated, especially by favourable entrepreneurship policies, they will tend to pursue such opportunities.

*Backward linkages:* In this study, this refers to sourcing and utilising the locally produced input materials, such as mud-baryte, bentonite, cables, steel pipes and plates, chemicals, flat steels, cables, and other local materials in the oil industry. The increase in the usage of these materials in the industry may enhance local firms' activities and create more employment opportunities (Tordo et al., 2013).



Job creation is defined as 'employment generated in establishments that employ 10 persons and above, or formal professional services that employ less than 10 persons', in the context of formal jobs, while for informal jobs it is defined as 'employment generated by individuals or businesses employing less than 10 persons or those businesses operating with little or no structures' (NBS, 2015: 3). In the present study, job creation is viewed as a frequency of the degree of formal employment available and/or the reserve for local labour (qualified) that are without work, available for work, seek work, and preferred for securing employment (Hussmann, Mehran and Verma, 1992).

*Infrastructure* is conceptualised as business facilities, in terms of power supply, water supply, transportation, telecommunication, and internet services. These were considered provisions that often aid business activities. Infrastructure was considered an important factor as it enhances the potential for entrepreneurial activities. Infrastructure is often referred to as a business-facilitating aid that reduces costs and enhances productivity (Morris et al., 2012: 7; Ariweriokuma, 2009: 177).

# 1.7 Organization of the Study

This study has five chapters. Chapter one introduces the general background of the research, problem statement, objective of the study, significance of the study, and scope of the research.

Chapter two draws on the existing related studies to elaborate on the LC policy, its entrepreneurial implications, the theoretical framework, and discussions on the variable indicators.

In Chapter three, the methodology, which includes research design, data collection, and the instrument and conceptual model, is presented. In addition, it includes the sampling procedure and method, as well as the sample size, operationalisation of variables, and analyses of the SEM.

Chapter four presents the empirical results, data analyses, model diagnostics, model validity and fitting, as well as the achievement of specific objectives and interpretation of the results.

Finally, Chapter five summarises the major findings, conclusion, and recommendations. It also highlights the policy implications and limitations of the study, and suggests areas for future studies.

## REFERENCES

- Abdulkabir, A. N., Sidique, F. S., Abd. Rahman, A. & Hook, L. S. (2015). Relationship among Local Content Policy, Indigenous Oil Firms' Participation and Job Creation in Nigeria: A Theoretical Concept. *Journal* of Developing Areas, 49(3): 385 – 397.
- Acs, Z. J. & Armington, C. (2004), Employment Growth and Entrepreneurial Activity in Cities. *Regional Studies*, 38(8): 911-27.
- Adewale, A. A. (2010). Glocalization of Microfinance as a Strategy to Alleviate Intergenerational Transmission of Poverty in Nigeria. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, International Islamic University, Malaysia.
- Adewuyi, A. O., & Oyejide, T. A. (2012). Determinants of Backward Linkages of Oil and Gas industry in the Nigerian Economy. *Resources Policy*.37(4): 452–460.
- African Development Report (2007). Natural Resources for Sustainable Development in Africa. Oxford University Press, Great Clarendon Street, Oxford Ox2 6dp, New York, United States of America.
- Alfaro, L., & Rodríguez-Clare, A. (2004). Multinationals and Links: An Empirical Investigation. *Economia (Journal of LACEA)*, 4(2): 157–63.
- Al-Moneef, M. *The Contribution of the Oil Sector to Arab Economic Development*. Paper presented at the High-level Round table Partnership for Arab Development: A Window of Opportunity held at OFID, May 5, 2006.
- Alumran, A., Hou, X., Sun, J., Yousef, A. A. & Hurst, C. (2014). Assessing the construct validity and reliability of the parental perception on antibiotics (PAPA) scales. *BMC Public Health*, 14(73): 2-9.
- Alumran, A., Hou, X., & Hurst, C. (2013). Assessing the Overuse of Antibiotics in Children with URTIs in Saudi Arabia: Development of the Parental perception on Antibiotics Scale (PAPA scale). *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health*, 3: 3–10.
- Antai, A. S., Anam, B. E., & Ita, J. J. (2012). Entrepreneurship as a Strategy for the Economic Development of the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*. 4(17): 89-99.
- Ariweriokuma S. (2009). *The Political Economy of Oil and Gas in Africa: The case of Nigeria*. New York: Routledge.
- Atkinson, G., & Hamilton, K. (2003). Savings, Growth and the Resource Curse Hypothesis. *World Development*, 31(11): 1793–1807.

- Audretsch, D. B., & Keilbach, M. (2007). The Theory of knowledge Spillover Entrepreneurship. *Journal of Management Studies*, 44(7): 1242–1254.
- Audretsch, D. B., & Keilbach, M. (2010). Entrepreneurship and Growth. In Franco Malerba (Ed.). Knowledge-Intensive Entrepreneurship and Innovation Systems: Evidence from Europe. Routledge, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14, 285-296.
- Auty, R. M. (2001). *Resource Abundance and Economic Development*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ayelazuno, J. (2013). Oil wealth and the well-being of the subaltern classes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A critical analysis of the resource curse in Ghana. *Resources Policy*, 1–8.
- Bakare A. S. (2011). Local Content Policy in Oil Sector and the Capacity Utilization in Nigerian Manufacturing Industry. *Business and Management Review*, 1(6): 82–92.
- Baptista, R., Escaria, V. & Madruga, P. (2008). Entrepreneurship, Regional Development and Job Creation: The Case of Portugal. Small Bus Econ, 30(1): 49–58.
- Barbier, E. B. (2005). *Natural Resources and Economic Development*. Cambridge University Press, New York, United States.
- Barrett, P. (2007). Structural equation modelling: Adjudging model fit. *Personality* and *Individual Differences*, 42(5), 815–824.
- Bateman, I. J., & Munro, A. (2009). Household versus individual valuation: What's the difference? *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 43(1), 119–135.
- Baumol W. J. (1968). Entrepreneurship in Economic Theory. The American Economic Review. Papers and Proceedings of the Eightieth Annual Meeting of the American Economic Association, 58(2): 64-71.
- Baumol, W. J. (1993). Formal Entrepreneurship Theory in Economics: Existence and Bounds. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 8, 197–210.
- Bentler, P. M. (1990). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107: 238-246.
- Bentler, P. M. & Bonett, D. G. (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structure. *Psychological Bulletin.* 88: 588-606.
- Bentler, P. M. & Chou, C. (1987). Practical Issues in Structural Modeling. Sociological Methods Research, 16: 78-117.

- Blunch, N. J. (2008). Introduction to Structural equation Modeling Using SPSS and AMOS. Singapore: Sage.
- Bollen K. A. & Ting, K. (2000). A tetrad test for causal indicators. *Psychological Methods*, 5(1): 3–22.
- Boomsma, A. (1982). Robustness of LISREL against Small Sample Sizes in Factor Analysis Models. In K. G. Jöreskog and H. Wold (Eds.), Systems under Indirect Observations, Causality, Structure, Prediction (Part 1), North Holland, Amsterdam, 149–173.
- Borsboom, D., Mellenbergh, G. J., & van Heerden, J. (2004). The concept of validity. *Psychological Review*, 111: 1061–1071.
- Briggs, N. (2006). Estimation of the standard error and confidence interval of the indirect effect in multiple mediator models. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 37, 4755B.
- Browne, M. W. & Cudeck, R. (1993). Alternative Ways of Assessing Model Fit. In K.L.J, Bollen (Ed.), *Testing Structural Equation Models*. Sage; Newbury Park, CA, 136–162.
- Brunnschweiler, C. N. (2008). Cursing the Blessings? Natural Resource Abundance, Institutions, and Economic Growth. World Development, 36(3): 399–419.
- Bulte, E. H., Damania, R. & Deacon, R. T. (2005). Resource Intensity, Institutions, and Development. *World Development*, 33: 1029–1044.
- Business Day Newspaper. (2008). [Online] Available: http://www.businessdayonline.com/energy/8151.html. Accessed: 4/10/2014.
- Byrne, B. M. (2010). *Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programing,* 2<sup>nd</sup> *Edition.* London: Routledge, New York.
- Byrne, B. M. (2001). Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS basic concepts, applications and programming. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Byrne, B. M. (1994). Burnout: Testing for the validity, replication, and invariance of causal structure across elementary, intermediate, and secondary teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 31(3): 645-673.
- Clark, L. A & Watsosn, D. (1995). Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(3): 309-319.

Coase, R. H. (1937). The Nature of the Firm. *Economica*, 4(16): 386–405.

Creswell, J. W. & Miller, D. L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into Practice*, 39(3): 124-130.

- Daily Independent Newspaper (2013). Local Content: Facts and Fictions of Policy's Gains. Available: http://dailyindependentnig.com/2013/02/localcontent-facts-and-fictions-of-policys-gains-2/. Retrieved 13/03/2014.
- Daily Trust Newspaper (2015). Nigeria Generates 4,810.7 Megawatts of Electricity. http://www.dailytrust.com.ng/news/news/nigeria-generates-4-810-7-megawatts-of-electricity/108086.html, Retrieved 22/01/2016.
- Davis, S. J., Haltiwanger, J. & Schuh, S. (1993). Small Business and Job Creation: Dissecting the Myth and Reassessing the Fact. Working Paper of the National Bureau of Economic Research, 4472, 1-46.
- Davis, T. (2008). Understanding Entrepreneurship: Developing Indicators for EntreprenurialComparions and Assessment. In Congredado, E. (Ed.). Measuring Entrepreneurship: Building a Statistical System. Springer, New York, NY, USA, 39-63.
- Demirguc-Kunt, A., Beck, T. & Honohan, P. (2008). *Finance For All: Policies and Pitfalls in Expanding Access.* A World Bank Policy Research Report. Retrieved on Aprila 5, 2014 from www.worldbank.org.
- Denison, E. F. (1985). Trends in American Economic Growth 1929–1982. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Dew, N., Velamuri, S.R., & Venkataraman, S. (2004). Dispersed knowledge and an entrepreneurial theory of the firm. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 19: 659–679.
- Diamantopoulos, A., & Siguaw, A. J. (2006). Formative Versus Reflective Indicators in Organizational Measure Development: A Comparison and Empirical Illustration. *British Journal of Management*. 17(4): 263–282.
- Diamantopoulos, A., Sarstedt, M., Fuchs, C., Wilczynski, P. & Kaiser, S. (2012). Guidelines for choosing between multi-item and single-item scales for construct measurement: a predictive validity perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(3): 434–449.
- Diamantopoulos, A. & Winklhofer, H. M. (2001). Index construction with formative indicators: an alternative to scale development. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38(2): 269–277.
- Dollar, D. & Kraay, A. (2002). Growth is Good for the Poor. *Journal of Economic* of Growth. 7: 195-225.
- Easterly, W., Kremer, M., Pritchett, L. & Summers, L. (1993). Good policy or good luck? Country growth performance and temporary shocks. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 32: 459–483.

- Easterly, W. & Levine, R. (1997). Africa's growth strategy: Policies and ethnic divisions. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112(4): 1203–1250.
- Ebeku, K. S. A. (2008). Niger Delta oil, Development of the Niger Delta and the New Development Initiative: Some Reflections from a Socio-Legal Perspective. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 43(4): 399-425.
- Efron, B. & Tibshirani, R. J. (1993). *An introduction to the Bootstrap*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall.
- Ana Maria Esteves, A. M., Franks, D. & Vanclay, F. (2012). Social impact assessment: the state of theart. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 30(1): 34-42.
- Esteves, A. M. & Barclay, M. A. (2011). Enhancing the benefits of Local Content: Integrating Social and Economic Impact Assessment into Procurement Strategies. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 29(3), 205–215.
- Etete, K. (2013, September 3,). Oil & Gas: Stimulant for Development. *The Guardian Newspaper*, 67.
- Fan, X., Thompson, B. & Wang, L. (1999). Effects of sample size, estimation methods, and model specification on structural equation modeling fit indexes. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1): 56-83.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research.* 18(1): 39-50.
- Fritz, M. S. & MacKinnon, D. P. (2007). Required sample size to detect the mediated effect. *Psychological Science*, 18, 233-239.
- Gerbing, D. W. & Anderson, J. C. (1993). Monte Carlo evaluations of goodness-offit indices for structural equation models. In K. A. Bollen & J. S. Long (Eds.), *Testing structural equation models* (pp. 40-65). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE.
- Gnyawali, D. R., & Fogel, D. (1994). Environments for Entrepreneurship Development: Key Dimension and Research Implications. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Policy*.18(4): 4-63.
- Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A. & Segars, A. H. (2001). Knowledge management: an organizational capabilities perspective. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 18(1), 185–214.
- Gorsuch, R. L. (1997). Exploratory factor analysis: its role in item analysis. Journal of Personality Assessment, 68(3), 532-560.

- Guadagnoli, E. & Velicer, W. F. (1988). Relation of sample size to the stability of component patterns. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103:265-275.
- Gylfason, T. (2001). Natural resources, education, and economic development. *European Economic Review*.45(4-6): 847–859.
- Hair, J., Babin, B., Money, A. & Samouel, P. (2003), *Essentials of Business Research Methods*. Wiley International, Hoboken, NJ.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E. & Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J. & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. (7th Ed.). Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M. & Sarstedt, M. M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2): 139-152.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. M. (2014). A Premier on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). SAGE Publications, Inc. 2455 Teller Road, Thousand Oaks, California 91320, United States of America.
- Harrison, A. & Rodríguez-Clare, A. (2010). *Trade, Foreign Investment, and Industrial Policy for Developing Countries*. A handbook of Developing Economics, Elsevier.
- Hausmann, R. & Rodrik, D. (2003). Economic Development as Self-discovery. Journal of Development Economics. 72: 603–33.
- Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium. *Communication Monographs*, 76(4): 408-420.
- Hayes, A. F. & Preacher, K. J. (2010). Quantifying and Testing Indirect Effects in Simple Mediation Models When the Constituent Paths Are Nonlinear. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 45: 627–660.
- Hayes, A., Preacher, K. & Myers, T. A. (2011). Mediation and the Estimation of Iindirect Effects in Political Communication Research. In Bucy, E.P. and Holbert, R.L. (Eds), Sourcebook for Political Communication Research: Methods, Measures, and Analytical Techniques (pp. 434-465). Routledge, New York.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M. & Sarstedt, M. A. (2015). New criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modelling. *Journal of the Academic of Marketing Science*. 43:115–135.

- Heum, P., Quale, C., Karlsen, J. E., Kragha, M. & Osahon, G. (2003). Enhancement of Local Content in the Upstream Oil and Gas Industry in Nigeria: A Comprehensive and Viable Policy Approach. A Joint Study by Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration, Rogaland Research and Kragha and Associates, April 2003.
- Heum, P. (2008). Local Content Development: Experience from Oil and Gas Activities in Norway. Working Paper of Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration, February 2008.
- Heum, P., Mwakali, J.A., Ekern, O. F., Byaruhanga, J. N. M., Koojo, C.A., & Bigirwenkya, N.K. (2011). *Enhancing National Participation in the Oil and Gas Industry in Uganda*. The National Content Study in the Oil and Gas Sector in Uganda by Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration, September 2011.
- Hoe, S. L. (2008). Issues and Procedures in Adopting Structural Equation Modeling Technique. *Journal of Applied Quantitative Method*. 3(1): 76-83.
- Hooper, D., Coughlan, J. & Mullen, M. (2008). Structural Equation Modelling: Guidelines for Determining Model Fit. *Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*. 6(1): 53-60.
- Hoyle, R. H. (1995). Structural equation modeling: Concepts, issues, and applications. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Hu, L. T. & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6: 1-55.
- Hussmanns, R., Mehran, F. & Verma, V. Surveys of economically active population, employment, unemployment and underemployment: An ILO manual on concepts and methods. A publication of International Labour Organization, Geneva. Second edition, 1992.
- Ihua, U. B. (2010). Local Content Policy and SMEs Sector Promotion: The Nigerian Oil Industry Experience. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(5): 3-14.
- Ihua, U. B., Olabowale, O. A., Eloji, K. N., and Ajayi, C. (2011). Entrepreneurial implications of Nigeria's oil industry local content policy: Perceptions from the Niger Delta region. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, 5(3): 223–241.
- Iimi, A. (2007). Escaping from the Resource Curse: Evidence from Botswana and the Rest of the World. *IMF Staff Papers*, 54(4), 663–699.

INTSOK (2012). Annual Report.

- Isham, J., Woodcock, M., Pritchett, L., & Busby, G. (2003). The varieties of resource experience: How natural resource export structures affect the political economy of economic growth. Middlebury College Economics Discussion Paper 03-08, Middlebury College, Vermont.
- Judd, C. M. & Kenny, D. A. (1981). Process analysis: estimating mediation in evaluation research. *Evaluation Research*, 5: 602 619.
- Kafada, A. A. (2012). Oil Exploration and Spillage in the Niger Delta of Nigeria. Journal of Civil and Environmental Research, 2(3): 38-51.
- Kazzazi, A., & Nouri, B. (2012). A conceptual model for local content development in petroleum industry. *Management Science Letters*, 2(6): 2165–2174.
- Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A. & Mastruzzi, M. (2005). Governance matters IV: Governance indicators for 1996–2004. World Bank Policy Research working paper series 2005, no. 3630.
- Kelley, D. J., Singer, S. & Herrington, M. (2011). The Global Entrepreneurship Monitor, 2011 Global Report. A publication of the Global Entrepreneurship Research Association, London Business School, Regents Park, London NW1 4SA, UK.
- Kenny, D., Kashy, D. & Bolger, N. (1998). Data analysis in social psychology. In Gilbert, D.T., Fiske, S.T. and Lindzey, G. (Eds). *The Handbook of Social Psychology, 4th ed.* (pp. 233-265), Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. New York: The Guilford Press. A Division of Guilford Publications, Inc. 72 Spring Street, New York, NY 10012.
- Kline, R. B. (2005). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. New York: The Guilford Press. A Division of Guilford Publications, Inc. 72 Spring Street, New York, NY 10012.
- Klueh, U. H., Pastor, G. & Segura, A. (2009). Policies to Improve the Local Impact from Hydrocarbon Extraction: Observations on West Africa and Possible Lessons for Central Asia. *Energy Policy*, 37: 1128–1144.
- Kodithuwakku, S., Rosa, P. & Balunywa, W. (2006). Reassessing Necessity Entrepreneurship in Developing Countries. Institute for Small Business and Entrepreneurship. A publication of Center for Entrepreneurship Research, University of Edinburgh, U.K.
- Leibenstein, H. (1987). Entrepreneurship, Entrepreneurial Training and X-Efficiency Theory. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 8: 191 – 205.

- Leite, C., & Weidmann, J. (1999). Does mother nature corrupt? Natural Resources, Corruption, and Economic Growth. *IMF working paper N0.99/85*. *International Monetary Fund*.
- Levie, J. & Autio, E. (2011). Regulatory burden, rule of law, and entry of strategic entrepreneurs: An international panel study. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48: 1392-1419.
- MacCallum, R. C. & Austin, J. T. (2000). Applications of structural equation modeling in psychological research. Annual Review of Psychology, 51: 201–226.
- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M. & Williams, J. (2004). Confidence Limits for the Indirect Effect: Distribution of the Product and Resampling Methods. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 39(1): 99-128.
- MacKinnon, D. P. (2008). Introduction to Statistical Mediation Analysis. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Taylor & Francis Group, 270 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016.
- Marsh, H. W. & Bailey, M. (1991). Confirmatory Factor Analyses of Multitrait-Multimethod Data: A Comparison of Alternative Models. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 15(1): 47–70.
- Marsh, H. W. & Hocevar, D. (1985). Application of confirmatory factor analysis to the study of self-concept: First- and higher order factor models and their invariance across groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 97: 562-582.
- Marsh, H. W., Hau, K.-T., Balla, J. R. & Grayson, D. (1998). Is more ever too much? The number of indicators per factor in confirmatory factor analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 33: 181–220.
- Marsh, H. W., Balla, J. R. & Hau, K. T. (1996). An evaluation of incremental fit indices: A clarification of mathematical and empirical properties. In G. A. Marcoulides and R. E. Schumacker (eds.), Advanced Structural Equation Modeling: Issues and Techniques (pp. 315-353). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Mahwah, NJ.
- Mehlum, H., Moene, K., & Torvik, R. (2006). Institutions and the resource curse. *The Economic Journal*. 116: 1–20.
- Michael, W. Community Content: The Interface of Community Investment Programmes with Local content Practices in the Oil and Gas. ODI, London, 2007.
- Mideksa, T. K. (2013). The economic impact of natural resources. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 65(2): 277–289.

- Milgrom, P. & Roberts, J. (1995). Complementarities and Fit Strategy, Structure, and Organization Change in Manufacturing. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 19: 179 208.
- Monday, J. U. (2015). Local Content Policy, Human Capital Development and Sustainable Business Performance in the Nigerian Oil and Gas Industry. *Journal of Management and Sustainability*, 5(1): 75-84.
- Morris, M., Kaplinsky, R. & Kaplan, D. (2011). Commodities and Linkages: Meeting the Policy Challenge. Making the Most of Commodities Programme (MMCP) Discussion Paper No. 14.
- Mueler, R. O. & Hancock, G. R. (2008). Best Practices in Structural Equation Modeling in J.W. Osborne (ed). *Best Practices in Quantitative Methods*. London: Sage Publications.
- Neff, S. Memorandum on International Best Practice in Development of Local Content in the Energy Sector. *The research study of OLDWYN International Strategies*, LLC, Washington DC, May 2005, pp. 1 – 45.
- Nigerian Content Development and Monitoring Board (NCDMB) report, 2014. Nigerian Content Implementation Framework.
- Nigeria National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2010). Nigeria Poverty Profile.
- Nigeria National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2012). Part III: Health, Employment, Public Safety, Population and Vital Registration Report.

Nigeria National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2013). Annual Socio-Economic Report.

- Nigeria National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2014). 1<sup>st</sup> 4<sup>th</sup> Quarters Job Creation Survey Reports.
- Nigeria National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2015). Foreign Trade Statistics for the first quarter of 2015
- Nigerian Oil and Gas Industry Content Development (NOGICD) Act, 2010.
- Nordas, K. H., Vatne, E. & Heum, P. The upstream petroleum industry and local industrial development: A comparative study. A project of the Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration, May 2003, pp. 1-95.
- Nunnally, J. C., and Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Nwosu, H. U., Nwachukwu, I. N., Ogaji, S. O. T. & Probert, S. D. (2006). Local Involvement in Harnessing Crude Oil and Natural Gas in Nigeria Glossary

of Terms Africa: its problems, causes and opportunities. *Applied Energy*, 83(11), 1274–1287.

- Olorunfemi M. A. The Role of Financial Institutions in Promoting Local Content and Indigenous Participation in the Oil Industry. *Paper presented at the National Workshop on Local Content organized by Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC)*, August 2-3 2001, pp. 1-10.
- OPEC. (2013). Annual Statistical Bulletin.
- OPEC. (2012). Annual Statistical Bulletin.
- OPEC. (2007). Annual Statistical Bulletin.
- OPEC. (2006). Annual Statistical Bulletin.
- Oyejide & Adewuyi. Enhancing linkages of oil and gas industry in the Nigerian economy. Discussion Paper of Making the Most of Commodities Programme (MMCP) funded by Centre for Social Science Research, Development Policy and Practice and The Open University, March 2011, pp. 1 – 104.
- Ovadia, J. S. (2014). Local content and natural resource governance: The cases of Angola and Nigeria. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 1: 137–146.
- Ovadia, J. S. (2013). The Nigerian "One Percent" and The Management of National Oil Wealth Through Nigerian Content. Science and Society, 77(3), 315–341.
- Pallant, J. (2011). SPSS Survival Manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Allen and Unwin, 83 Alexander street Crows Nest, NSW 2065, Australia.
- Perkins, D. & Robbins, G. (2011). The contribution to local enterprise development of infrastructure for commodity extraction projects: Tanzania''s central corridor and Mozambique''s Zambezi Valley. Making the Most of Commodities Programme (MMCP) Discussion Paper No. 9.
- Preacher, K. J. & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 36: 717-731.
- Preacher, K. J. & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and Resampling Strategies for Assessing and Comparing Indirect Effects in Multiple Mediator Models. *Behavior Research Methods* 40: 879-891.
- Punch Newspaper (2014, 2<sup>nd</sup> April). "1.8 Million Graduates Enter Job Market Yearly – FG". Available:http://www.punchng.com/news/1-8-milliongraduates-enter-job-market-yearly-fg/. Retrieved on 02/04/2014.

- Radosevic, S. & Yoruk, E. (2013). Entrepreneurial propensity of innovation systems: Theory, methodology and evidence. *Research Policy*, 42: 1015– 1038.
- Radosevic, S. National System of Innovation and Entrepreneurship: In Search of a Missing link. Economics Working Paper No. 73 of Centre for the Study of Economic and Social Change in Europe. February 2007.
- Reinartz, W., Haenlein, M. & Henseler, J. (2009). An empirical comparison of the efficacy of covariance-based and variance-based SEM, *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 26(4): 332-344.
- Reynolds, P. D., Camp, S. M., Bygrave, W. D. E., Autio, and Hay, M. (2001). *The Global Entrepreneurship Monitor*, 2001 Executive Report. London Business School and Babson College, London.
- Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous Technical Change. Journal of Political Economy, 98: 71–102.
- Ross, M. N. Nigeria's Oil Sector and the Poor. Prepared for the Department for International Development "Nigeria: Drivers of Change" program, UK. May 23 2003.
- Ross, M., N. (2012). The Oil Curse: How Petroleum Wealth Shapes the Development of Nations. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Sachs, J. D. & Warner, A. M. (2001). The curse of natural resources. *European Economic Review*, 45(4-6): 827–838.

Sachs, J. D. & Warner, A. M. Natural Resource Abundance and Economic Growth;

- Development Discussion Paper: Harvard Institute for International Development, 1997.
- Sala-i-Martin, X. & Subramanian, A. Addressing the Natural Resource Curse: An *Illustration from Nigeria*. Working Paper Series: National Bureau of Economic Research, NBER, 2003.
- Sarah, Y. S., Carol A. & Prem, W. S. Y. (2013). The mediating effects of the adoption of an environmental information system on top management's commitment and environmental performance. *Sustainability Accounting*, *Management and Policy Journal*, 4(1): 75 – 102.
- Schreiber, J. B., Nora, A., Stage, F. K., Barlow, E. A. & King, J. (2006). Reporting Structural Equation Modeling and Confirmatory Factor Analysis Results: A Review. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 99(6): 323-338.

- Schmidt, S., Muhlan, H. & Power, M. (2005). The EUROHIS-QOL 8-item index: Psychometric Results of a Cross-Cultural Field Study. *European Journal of Public Health*. 16(4): 420–428.
- Schumacker, R. E. & Lomax, R. G. (2010). A Beginner's Guide to Structural Equation Modeling, Second edition. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The Theory of Economic Development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1949). *Theory of Economic Development*, Harvard University Press: Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Segars, A. H. & Grover, V. (1993). Re-examining Perceived Ease of Use and Usefulness: A Confirmatory Factor Analysis. *MIS Quarterly*, 17(4): 517-525.
- Shrout, P. E. & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in Experimental and Nonexperimental Studies: New Procedures and Psychological Methods, 7, 422-445.
  Recommendations.
- Sidique, S. F., Lupi, F. & Joshi, F. V. (2009). The Effects of Behavior and Attitudes on Drop-Off Recycling Activities. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 54: 163–170.
- Sonibare, J. A. & Akeredolu, F.A. (2006). Natural gas domestic market development for total elimination of routine flares in Nigeria's upstream petroleum operations. *Energy Policy*, *34*(6): 743–753.
- Steiger, J. H. (2007). Understanding the limitations of global fit assessment in structural equation modelling. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42(5), 893-98.
- Suhr, D. D. (2006). Exploratory or Confirmatory Factor Analysis? Statistics and Data Analysis, http://www2.sas.com/proceedings/sugi31/200-31.pdf. Accessed 3/06/2014.
- Sun Newspaper (2014, June 6th). New CBN Gov. Pledges to tackle Unemployment. Available: http://sunnewsonline.com/new/?p=66547. Retrieved: June 6, 2014.
- Schreiber, J. B., Nora, A., Stage, F. K., Barlow, E.A. & King, J. (2006). Reporting Structural Equation Modeling and Confirmatory Factor Analysis Results: A Review, *The Journal of Educational Research*. 99(6): 323-337.
- Solow, R.M. (1970). *Growth Theory: An Exposition*, Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press.

- Stevens, P. (2003). Resources Impact: How to Maximise the Benefits and Minimise the Negatives: The Contribution of the Companies. A Draft Report for Centre for Energy Petroleum and Mineral Law and Policy, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, Scotland.
- Stijns, J.C. (2005). Natural Resource Abundance and Economic Growth Revisited. *Resources Policy*. 30: 107 - 130.
- Tabachnick, B. G. & Fidell, L.S. (2007). Using Multivariate Statistics (5th ed.). New York: Allyn and Bacon.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2013). Using Multivariate Statistics, (6th ed). Boston : Pearson.
- Tallapragada, P. V. S. N. & Adebusuyi B. S. (2008). Nigeria's Power Sector: Opportunities and Challenges. In P. Collier, C. Pattillo and C.C. Soludo (eds.). *Economic Policy Options for a Prosperous Nigeria (pp. 301-327)*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Tang, J., Folmer, H. & Xue, J. (2013). Estimation of Awareness and Perception of Water Scarcity among Farmers in the Guanzhong Plain, China, by means of a Structural Equation Model. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 126: 55 – 62.
- Taylor, A. B., Kinnon, D. P. & Tein, J. Y. (2008). Tests of the three-path mediated effect. *Organizational Research Methods*, 11(2): 241-269.
- Teka (2012). Linkages to manufacturing in the resource sector: The case of the Angolan oil and gas industry. *Resources Policy*. 37: 461–467.
- Teka, Z. (2011). Backward Linkages in the Manufacturing Sector in the Oil and Gas Value Chain in Angola. Discussion Paper of Making the Most of Commodities Programme (MMCP) funded by Centre for Social Science Research, Development Policy and Practice and The Open University, March 2011, pp. 2 – 60.
- Teo, T., Su Luan, W. & Sing, C. C. (2008). A cross-cultural examination of the intention to use technology between Singaporean and Malaysian pre-service teachers: an application of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). *Educational Technology and Society*, 11(4): 265–280.
- Teo, T., & Lee, C. B. (2010). Examining the efficacy of the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) to understand pre-service teachers' intention to use technology. Curriculum, Technology and Transformation for an Unknown Future. Proceedings Ascilite Sydney, 968–972.

- Thompson, B. & Daniel, L. G. (1996). Factor Analytic Evidence for the Construct Validity of Scores: An Historical Overview and Some Guidelines. *Educational and Psychological Measurement.* 56: 213-224.
- Tordo, S. (2007). Fiscal Systems for hydrocarbons: Design Issues. World Bank working paper, N0 123.
- Tordo, S., Tracy, B.S. & Arfaa, N. (2011). *National Oil Companies and Value Creation*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- Tordo, S., Warner, M., Manzano, O E., & Anouti, Y. (2013). *Local Content Policies in the Oil and Gas sector*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- Udechukwu, F.N. Survey of Small and Medium Scale and their Potentials in Nigeria. Paper presented at the Seminar on Small and Medium Industries Equity Investment Scheme (SMIEIS), Central Bank of Nigeria. 2003.
- United Nations Conference Trade and Development (UNCTAD). *Promoting Linkages.* World Investment Report, New York and Geneva, 2001.
- United Nations Conference On Trade And Development (UNCTAD) / CALAG. Creating Local Linkages by Empowering Indigenous Entrepreneurs. African Oil And Gas Services Sector Survey Volume 1 – Nigeria, New York and Geneva, 2006.
- United Nations Conference Trade and Development (UNCTAD). Creating Business Linkages: A Policy Perspective. A Publication: New York and Geneva, 2010.
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) Report (2006). Niger Delta Human Development Report.
- Vaaland, T. I., Alabi, S. O. S. & Owusu, R. A. (2012). Local content and struggling suppliers: A network analysis of Nigerian oil and gas industry. *African Journal of Business Management*. 6(15): 5399-5413.

Vanguard Newspaper (2014, April 04). 5.3m youths unemployed.

http://www.vanguardngr.com/2014/04/5-3m-youths-unemployed-okonjoiweala/

Retrieved: April 4 2014.

- Wang, C. L., & Ahmed, P. K. (2004). The Development and Validation of the Organisational Innovativeness Construct Using Confirmatory Factor Analysis. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 7(4): 303-313.
- Warner, M. (2007). Community content: the interface of community investment programmes with local content practices in the oil and gas development sector. ODI, London, Briefing Note 9, Available at: www.odi.org.uk/business.

- Wennekers, S., & Thurik, R. (1999). Linking Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth. *Small Business Economics*, 13: 27–55.
- Westland, J. C. (2010). Lower Bounds on Sample Size in Structural Equation Modeling. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 9: 476–487.
- Westland, J. C. (2012). Erratum to "Lower bounds on sample size in structural equation modeling". *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 11: 445.
- Wheaton, B. B., Muthén, D., Alwin, F. & Summers, G. F. (1977). Assessing reliability and stability in panel models. In: Sociological methodology, D. R. Heise, ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 84–136.
- Wijanto, S. H. (2008). Structural Equation Modeling (SEM): A Tool for Research in Business and Management. *Journal of Quantitative Methods*. Proceedings of the International Conference on Quantitative Methods used in Economics and Business, 115-137.
- Williams, J. & MacKinnon, D. P. (2008). Resampling and Distribution of the Product Methods for Testing Indirect Effects in Complex Models. Structural Equation Modeling, 15: 23-51.
- World Bank. (2009). "Nigeria: Employment and Growth Study". Report on Poverty Reduction and Economic Management, No. 51564-NG.
- Xavier, S. R., Kelley, D., Kew, J, Herrington, M. & Vorderwulbecke, A. (2012). Global Entrepreneurship Monitor, 2012 Global Report.
- Xiong, Skitmore, Xia, Masrom, Ye & Adrian Bridge (2014). Examining the influence of participant performance factors on contractor satisfaction: A structural equation model. *International Journal of Project Management*. 32: 482–491.
- Yeaple, S. R., & Golub, S. S. (2007). International Productivity Differences, Infrastructure, and Comparative Advantage. *Review of International Economics*, 15(2): 223-242.
- Zainuddin, A. (2012). A Handbook on SEM for Academicians and Practitioners: Practical Guidelines for the Beginners.