



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**UNCONSCIONABILITY IN THE LAW AND PRACTICE OF
FRANCHISING**

ZAHIRA MOHD. ISHAN.

GSM 2008 3



**UNCONSCIONABILITY IN THE LAW
AND PRACTICE OF FRANCHISING**

By

ZAHIRA BINTI MOHD. ISHAN

**Thesis Submitted to the Graduate School of Management,
Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the
Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2008



To my parents Mohd. Ishan bin Abd. Rahman and Atemah bt. Hashim;
my dearest husband Zaidi bin Adam and my son Ahmad Basri.



ABSTRACT

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra
Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor
of Philosophy

UNCONSCIONABILITY IN THE LAW AND PRACTICE OF FRANCHISING

By

ZAHIRA BINTI MOHD. ISHAN

APRIL 2008

Chair : Professor Dr. Shaik Mohd. Noor Alam S.M. Hussain, PhD

Faculty : Graduate School of Management

This study examined the operation of unconscionability in the law of franchising and the nature of existence of unconscionability in practice of franchising. Franchising is relatively a new branch of commercial law and practically opened to various forms of abuses by the franchisors and franchisees. Meanwhile, unconscionability has a rather uncertain scope within the general sphere of contract law. It is therefore, important to also identify the true nature of franchising, the development of unconscionability and its relationship with the relevant contractual theories and other doctrines or notions, the probabilities of unconscionable practices in franchising and the totality of the whole spectrum of the idea of unconscionability from the legal perspectives.

Applying the qualitative approach by means of inductive reasoning using the historical research method, this study found that franchising resulted from the commercial practices or conveniences rather than any legal tradition. It is multidimensional in nature, which incorporates, among other, the concept of



contract law, a notion of licence and some features of usufruct. Unconscionability is the most proper doctrine to deal with the abuses and unfair practices that occur in franchising. In fact, there are probable occurrences of unconscionable practices in franchising in Malaysia based on the empirical studies of actual cases. As unconscionability is still evolving and the formulation of another parameter could still be contemplated, a new parameter is proposed in this research whereby the broad doctrine of unconscionability encompasses fairness, good faith, fair dealing and undue influence, while inequality of bargaining power and honesty in certain circumstances become the supporting factors in proving unconscionability.

From this research, it is concluded that unconscionability is the situation whereby the contract is entered into, negotiated and obtained. As the contract is a bargain, when the bargain is unconscionable it becomes unconscionable bargain. Unconscionability is an essential doctrine in the law of contract and the formulation of the new parameters of unconscionability in the contract law is also applicable to the law of franchising. The parameters can assist in promoting conscionability in the franchise business environment locally and internationally.



Dengan menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif secara pentaakulan induktif melalui kaedah kajian berkaitan sejarah, kajian ini mendapati bahawa francais terhasil daripada amalan-amalan atau kemudahan-kemudahan komersil dan bukan daripada sebarang amalan perundangan. Ianya bersifat berbilang dimensi antaranya konsep undang-undang kontrak, idea lesen dan beberapa ciri 'usufruct'. Ketidakberhibaannya adalah doktrin yang paling sesuai untuk menangani penyalahgunaan-penyalahgunaan dan amalan-amalan tak adil yang berlaku dalam francais. Secara fakta, wujud kemungkinan amalan-amalan tak berhiba dalam francais di Malaysia berdasarkan kajian-kajian empirikal kes-kes sebenar. Disebabkan ketidakberhibaannya masih lagi berkembang dan perumusan had yang lain masih boleh lagi dilakukan, kajian ini mencadangkan suatu had baru dimana doktrin ketidakberhibaannya yang luas mencakupi keadilan, suci hati, urusan adil dan pengaruh tak berpatutan, manakala ketidakseimbangan kuasa dalam berurusan dan kejujuran dalam beberapa keadaan menjadi faktor-faktor yang menyokong pembuktian ketidakberhibaannya.

Daripada kajian ini, maka dapatlah dirumuskan bahawa ketidakberhibaannya adalah suatu keadaan dimana suatu kontrak dimasuki, dirundingkan dan diperolehi. Memandangkan suatu kontrak adalah merupakan suatu urusan, apabila urusan itu tak berhiba ianya menjadi urusan tak berhiba. Ketidakberhibaannya adalah doktrin asas dalam undang-undang kontrak dan perumusan had-had baru ketidakberhibaannya dalam undang-undang kontrak akan juga terpakai kepada undang-undang francais. Had-had ini akan

membantu menggalakkan keberhibaan dalam persekitaran perniagaan
français di peringkat tempatan dan antarabangsa.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Compassionate, the Most Merciful. Praise is only to Allah (s.w.t.) for His Benevolence wills me to complete this thesis. May the blessing and peace of Allah be upon Prophet Muhammad (s.a.w.) and upon his family.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Dr. Shaik Mohd. Noor Alam S.M. Hussain, my main supervisor, for his superb guidance that challenges my mind and enlightens my spirit throughout the process of this thesis. I am also highly indebted to my other supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Asmah Laili Hj. Yeon and Associate Professor Dr. Naemah Amin. All of them are great supervisors. Their continuous motivation and support enables me to complete this thesis with confidence.

My heartfelt thanks are also extended to the ex-Dean of GSM who was also my Research Methodology lecturer, Associate Professor Dr. Arfah Salleh for her encouragement and guidance. My appreciation also goes to the staffs of the Malaysian Franchise Association, in particular En. Azizan Baharom and En. Mohd. Faizal bin Abdul Latip for their dedicated assistance to me as a member of MFA and person interested in the franchising world, and Pn. Yasmeeen Yasim and Cik Saidatul Aisyah of the Franchise Unit, Ministry of Entrepreneur and Cooperative Development for their assistance pertaining to the case study requested.



My deepest gratitude also goes to my entire family; my beloved parents, my dearest husband and my loving son for their continuous and unwavering support, understanding and tolerance, I was able to persevere through the challenges encountered throughout the process of this thesis. Without them, I would not have had the strength to complete my thesis.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	viii
APPROVAL	x
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF CASES	xvii
LIST OF STATUTES	xxv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	2
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Questions	7
1.4 Research Objectives	8
1.5 Significance of Research	8
1.6 Literature Review	9
1.6.1 Meaning of Franchising	9
1.6.2 Concept of Property Law In Franchising	13
1.6.2.1 Concept of Property Law In General	14
1.6.2.2 Incidence of Property	15
1.6.2.3 Property and Proprietary Interests	18
1.6.2.4 Contractual Interest	20
1.6.3 A Hybrid Nature of Franchising	22
1.6.4 Definition of Unconscionability	33
1.6.4.1 Freedom of Contract	36
1.6.4.2 The Will Theory	38
1.6.4.3 The Reasonable Expectation	40
1.6.5 Contractual Justice	42
1.6.5.1 Good Faith and Fair Dealing	44
1.6.5.2 Undue Influence	47
1.6.5.3 Inequality of Bargaining Position	51
1.6.6 Doctrine of Unconscionability In Franchising	53
1.6.6.1 Honesty	55
1.6.6.2 Equity of Estoppel	57
1.7 Research Methodology	59
1.7.1 Historical Research Method	60
1.7.2 Journalistic Interview Method	61
1.7.3 Data Collection	61
1.7.4 Data Analysis	62
1.7.4.1 The Rule of Statutory Interpretation	63



1.7.4.2	The Doctrine of Binding Precedent (Stare Decisis)	65
1.7.5	Comparative Approach	65
1.8	Limitations and Scope of Study	67
2.	HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE OF FRANCHISING	
2.0	Introduction	71
2.1	The Evolution of Franchise	74
2.1.1	Law of Property in Franchising	76
2.1.2	Law of Contract	85
2.1.3	Law of Agency	87
2.1.4	Law of Employment	93
2.1.5	Law of Partnership	96
2.2	The Development of Franchising Law	101
2.2.1	Franchising Law in England	104
2.2.2	Franchising Law in the US	106
2.2.3	Franchising Law in Australia	111
2.2.4	Franchising Law in Malaysia	113
2.3	Summary	119
3.	THE DOCTRINE OF UNCONSCIONABILITY	
3.0	Introduction	122
3.1	Unconscionability and Some Theories of Contract	122
3.1.1	Freedom of Contract	128
3.1.2	Will Theory	130
3.1.3	Reasonable Expectation	131
3.2	Contractual Justice	137
3.2.1	Good Faith and Fair Dealing	139
3.2.2	Undue Influence	146
3.2.3	Inequality of Bargaining Position	151
3.3	Honesty	155
3.4	Equity of Estoppel	159
3.5	Summary	167
4.	UNCONSCIONABLE PRACTICES IN FRANCHISING	
4.0	Introduction	169
4.1	Areas of Franchising Disputes	169
4.2	Alternative Dispute Resolution Techniques	173
a)	Negotiation	174
b)	Mediation	175
c)	Arbitration	177
4.3	Data from the MECD	178
Table 1:	Types of Franchising Complaints (Until 15 March 2006)	178
Table 2:	Status of Franchising Complaints (Until 15 March 2006)	181
4.4	Probability of Unconscionable Practices	181



4.4.1	Case Study 1 and Its Analysis	184
4.4.1.1	Case Study 1	184
4.4.1.2	Analysis of Case Study 1	186
4.4.2	Case Study 2 and Its Analysis	191
4.4.2.1	Case Study 2	191
4.4.2.2	Analysis of Case Study 2	193
4.4.3	Case Study 3 and Its Analysis	200
4.4.3.1	Case Study 3	200
4.4.3.2	Analysis of Case Study 3	201
4.4.4	Case Study 4 and Its Analysis	203
4.4.4.1	Case Study 4	203
4.4.4.2	Analysis of Case Study 4	204
4.5	Summary	206
5.	THE LEGAL PERSPECTIVES OF UNCONSCIONABILITY	
5.0	Introduction	209
5.1	Statutory Unconscionability	210
5.1.1	The Moneylending and Hire-Purchase Legislations	211
5.1.2	Acquisition of Reversionary Interest	216
5.1.3	The Uniform Commercial Code of the United States	218
5.1.4	The Trade Practices Act 1974 of Australia	222
5.1.5	Legislations on Contracts	227
5.1.6	Other Relevant Legislations	230
5.2	Judicial Approaches to Unconscionability	231
5.2.1	Unconscionability as Administered by Courts in England	233
5.2.2	Unconscionability as Administered by Courts in the US	239
5.2.3	Unconscionability as Administered by Courts in Australia	247
5.2.4	Unconscionability as Administered by Courts in Malaysia	255
5.3	Summary	263
6.	THE PARAMETERS OF UNCONSCIONABILITY AND ITS APPLICATION TO FRANCHISING	
6.1	The Parameters of Unconscionability	266
6.1.1	Fairness as Part of Unconscionability	269
6.1.2	Good Faith and Fair Dealing as Part of Unconscionability	272
6.1.3	Undue Influence as Part of Unconscionability	276
6.1.4	The Limited Role of Inequality of Bargaining Power in Unconscionability	284
6.1.5	The Limited Role of Honesty in Unconscionability	289
6.2	Unconscionability as an Essential Doctrine In Contract	291



6.3	Application of the Parameters of Unconscionability to Franchising	296
6.3.1	Unconscionability in the Process of Franchising	297
	a) Pre-contractual Stage	297
	b) Formation Stage	298
	c) Performance Stage	299
	d) Post-Termination Stage	300
6.3.2	Unconscionability in the Common Clauses in Franchising	301
6.4	Summary	333
7.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
7.1	Conclusion	334
7.2	Recommendations	343
7.2.1	Explicit Duty of Conscionable Conduct of Franchisor and Franchisee in the FA	344
7.2.1.1	Unconscionable Bargains as Implied Terms	345
7.2.1.2	Requirement to Avoid Unconscionable Bargains in Prescribed Common Clauses	345
7.2.1.3	Requirement to Avoid Unconscionable Bargains as Mandatory Clause	345
7.2.1.4	Requirement Not to Contract Out	346
7.2.2	Limiting the Scope of Section 16(3) of the Contracts Act, 1950	346
7.2.3	Removing, Preventing or Mitigating Unconscionable and Unethical Practices	347
7.2.3.1	Legislative Intervention	347
7.2.3.2	Provision of Incentive or Tax System	347
7.2.3.3	Dissemination of Knowledge on Unfair and Unethical Practices	348
7.2.3.4	Enforcement of Law	348
7.2.4	Franchising Tribunal as a Dispute Resolution Mechanism	350
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	358
	BIODATA OF THE CANDIDATE	



LIST OF CASES

- A & K Lick-A-Chick v. Cordiv Enterprises (1981) 119 DLR (3d) 440
A & M Produce Co. v. FMC Corp. (1982) 186 Cal. Reporter 114
A & M Thompson Pty Ltd v. Total Australia Ltd [1980] 2 NSWLR 1
A. Schroeder Music Publishing Co. Ltd. v. Macaulay [1974] 3 All ER 616
ACCC v. CG Berbatis Holdings Pty Ltd and Others (2000) 96 FCR 491; 169 ALR 324
ACCC v. CG Berbatis Holdings Pty Ltd (2003) 197 ALR 153
ACCC v. Kwik Fix International Pty Ltd (May 2002)
ACCC v. Samton Holdings Pty Ltd and Others (2002) 189 ALR 76
ACCC v. Simply No-Knead (Franchising) Pty Ltd & Anor (2000) 178 ALR 304; [2000] FCA 1365
AG of NSW v. World Best Holdings Ltd [2005] NSWCA 261; 223 ALR 346
Agip (Africa) Ltd. v. Jackson [1990] Ch 265
Agro Holdings Ltd. v. Flexi-Coil (Australia) Pty Ltd [1999] FCA 1658
Alec Lobb (Garages) v. Total Oil [1983] 1 WLR 87; [1985] 1 All ER 303
Allcard v. Skinner (1887) 36 Ch D 145
Allianz General Insurance Malaysia Bhd v. Navis Shim Lee Hiong [2004] 1 MLJ 437
Amalgamated Investment & Property Co. Ltd. (In Liquidation) v. Texas Commerce Intl Bank Ltd (1981) 3 All ER 577; [1982] 1 QB 84
American International Assurance Co Ltd v. Koh Yen Bee [2002] 4 CLJ 49
American Software, Inc v. Ali, 46 Cal App 4th 1386, 1996 Cal App LEXIS 610
Armendariz v. Foundation Health Psychcare Servs, Inc, 6 P.3d 669, 690 (Cal. 2000)
Atlantic Oil Co v. County of Los Angeles, 69 Cal 2d 585
Australian Blue Metals v. Hughes [1963] AC 74
Ayamas Convenience Stores Sdn. Bhd. v. Ayamas Sdn Bhd [1995] 1 CLJ 133
- Balderas and Castillo v. Nexus Rent-A-Car, Inc and Dollar Rent-A-Car Systems, Inc. 891 SW 2d 871; 1995 Mo. App. LEXIS 135
Ball Martty Medical Corp v. St Jude Medical, Inc, 1988 US Dist. LEXIS 15498
Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA v. Aboody [1990] 1 QB 923
Bank Voor Handel en Scheepvaart NV v. Slatford [1953] 1 QB 248
Barclays Bank plc v. O'Brien and Another [1994] 1 AC 340; [1993] 3 WLR 786; [1993] 4 All ER 417
Basnol Abol & Others v. The State Government of Sarawak & Other Appeal [2004] 2 CLJ 553
Bell v. Lever Bros [1932] AC 161
Benincasa v. Dentalkit Sri (Case C-269/95) [1988] All ER (EC) 135
Beuker v. H & R Block Canada Inc, 2000 SKQB 584 (CanLii); (2000), [2001] 10 WWR 274; (2000), [2001] 201 Sask R 1
Binions v. Evans [1972] Ch 359
Black v. Wilcox (1976) 70 DLR (3d) 192
Blomley v. Ryan (1956) 99 CLR 362
Bobux Marketing v. Raynor Marketing Ltd [2002] 1 NZLR 506
Bolter v. Superior Courts (2001) G027378 (Super Ct No 794294)



Bonfield v. Aamco Transmissions, Inc, 708 F Supp 867 (ND 111 1989)
 Boustany v. Pigott [1993] EGCS 85; (1993) 42 WLR 175
 Boustead Trading (1985) Sdn Bhd v. Arab-Malaysian Merchant Bank Bhd
 [1995] 3 MLJ 331; [1995] 4 CLJ 283
 Bowkett v. Action Finance Ltd [1992] 1 NZLR 449
 Bridge v. Campbell Discount Co Ltd [1962] AC 600
 Bridgewater and Others v. Leahy and Others [1998] 194 CLR 457, 158 ALR
 66
 Brotoco Engineering & Construction, Inc v. Superior Court, 107 Cal App 4th
 1326; 171 Cal Rptr 2d 866 (2003)
 Budget Rent a Car v. Marmos Slough Ltd [1977] Sol. Jo
 Burger King Corp. v. Hungry Jacks Pty Ltd (2001) NSWCA 187
 Burger King v. Kellogg, et al, Bus Franchise Guide (CCH) ¶ 9730 (SD Fla
 1990)
 Butler v. McDonald's Corp., 110 F Supp 2d 62, 68 (DRI 2000)
 Buxton & Anor v. Supreme Finance (M) Bhd [1992] 2 MLJ 481

Campbell Soup Co v. Wentz 172 F.2d 80 (3d Cir 1948)
 Carboni v. Arrospide, 2 Cal Rptr 2d 845 (Cal App 1991)
 Carter v. Boehm (1766) 3 Burr 1905; 97 ER 1162
 Casio (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd v. Wahab Tuan Idris [2001] 2 ILR 117
 Cassidy v. Ministry of Health [1951] 2 KB 343
 Cawthorn v. Philips Petroleum 124 So 2d 517 (1960)
 Central London Property Trust Ltd v. High Trees House Ltd [1947] KB 130
 Chait Singh v. Budin bin Abdullah (1918) 1 FMSLR 348
 Cheng Hang Guan & Ors v. Perumahan Farlim (Penang) Sdn Bhd & Ors
 [1993] 3 MLJ 352
 Cheo Lean How v. Fock Fong Looi [1985] 1 LNS 48
 Chia Keng Beng v. M.T.A. Taynappa Chitty (1900 & 1901) 6 SSLR 6
 Chin Choy & Ors v. Collector of Stamp Duties [1978] 1 LNS 26
 Chung Kiaw Bank Ltd. v. United Overseas Bank Ltd [1970] 1 MLJ 185
 CIBC Mortgages plc v Pitt [1994] 1 AC 200; [1993] 3 WLR 802; [1993] 4 All
 ER 433
 Clifford Davis Management Ltd v. WEA Records Ltd [1975] 1 All ER 237
 Coe v. Esau 377 P 2d 815 (1963)
 Combe v. Combe [1951] 2 KB 215
 Commercial Bank of Australia v. Amadio (1983) 151 CLR 447, 46 ALR 402
 Connie A Nagrampa v. Mailgroups Inc; The American Arbitration Association
 No. 03-15955 (9th Cir 2005) 3379; 413 F 3d 1024; 2005 US App LEXIS
 12782, June 28, 2005
 Cooke v. Lamotte (1851) 15 Beav 234
 County Asphalt Inc. v. Lewis Welding & Engineering Corp (1970) 323 F Supp
 1300
 Credit Lyonnais Bank Nederland NV v. Burch [1997] 1 All ER 144
 Creswell v. Potter [1978] 1 WLR 255

Datuk Jaginder Singh & Ors v. Tara Rajaratnam [1983] 2 MLJ 196
 Davies v. Directloans Ltd [1986] 1 WLJ 823
 Dayan v. McDonald's Corp, 466 NE 2d 958, 972 (Ill App Ct 1984)
 Dellneed Limited v. Chin (1987) 281 EG 531



DHN Food Distributors Ltd. v. Tower Hamlets LBC [1976] 1 WLR 852
 Doctor's Associates, Inc v. Casarotto, _ US _ (1996)
 Dr A Dutt v. Assunta Hospital [1981] 1 LNS 5
 Dunkin' Donuts of America, Inc v. Minerva, Inc, Bus Franchise Guide (CCH) 9988 (11th Cir 1992)
 Dymocks Franchise Systems (NSW) Pty Ltd v. Todd & Ors [2002] 2 All ER (Comm) 849; [2002] UKPC 50
 Dymocks Franchise Systems (NSW) Pty Ltd v. Bilgola Enterprises Ltd (1999) 8 TCLR 612
 Dymocks Holdings Pty. Ltd. v. Top Ryde Booksellers Pty. Ltd. & Others [2000] NSWSC 795
 Dyno Rod plc & another v. Reeve & another [1999] FSR 148; (1998) IP & T Digest 28

Earhart v. William Low, Co 25 Cal 3d 503 (1979)
 Earl of Aylesford v. Morris (1873) LR 8 Ch App 484
 Earl of Chesterfield v. Janssen (1751) 2 Ves Sen 157; 28 ER 82
 Earl of Oxford's Case (1615) 1 Chan Rep 1; 21 ER 485
 Elkins v Husky Oil Co, 455 P 2d 329 (1969)
 Employees Provident Fund Board v. Bata Shoe Company (Malaya) Ltd [1968] 1 MLJ 236
 Errington v. Errington [1952] 1 KB 290
 Eusof Ali & Anor. v. Nyonya Lee Gaik Hooi [1952] 1 LNS 26

FN Roberts Pest Control Co v. McDonald 208 SE 2d 13 (Ga App 1974)
 Faccenda Chicken Ltd v. Fowler [1985] 1 All ER 724
 Far Horizons Pty Ltd and Rodney Hackett v. McDonalds Australia Ltd (2000) VSC 310
 FCT v. Williamson (1943) 67 CLR 561
 Federated Engine Drivers Association of Australia v. BHP (1911) 12 CLR 409
 First Energy (UK) Ltd v. Hungarian International Bank Ltd [1993] 2 Lloyd's Rep 194
 Fleet Mobile Tyres Ltd. v. Stone & another [2006] EWHC 1947
 Flores v. Transamerica Homefirst, Inc, 93 Cal App 4th 846; 113 Cal Rptr 2d 376 (2001)
 Foakes v. Beer (1884) 9 App Cas 605
 Fox v. Dynamark Security Centers, [1989-1990 Transfer Binder] Bus Fran Guide (CCH) (4th Cir 1989)
 Freeman & Lockyear v. Buckhurst Park Properties (Mangal) Ltd [1964] 2 QB 480, [1964] 1 All ER 630
 Freeman v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc, 111 Cal App 4th 660, 2003 Cal App LEXIS 1300
 Fry v. Lane [1889] 40 Ch D 312; [1886-90] All ER Rep 1084
 Fui Lian Credit & Leasing Sdn Bhd v. Kim Leong Timber Sdn Bhd [1991] 1 CLJ 522

Garry Rogers (Aust) Pty Ltd v. Subaru (Aust) Pty Ltd [1999] FCA 903
 Gateway Realty Ltd v. Arton Holdings Ltd (No. 3) (1991) 106 Nova Scotia Rep (2d) 180



Geraldine E Goss, et al v. CAN Wildlife Trust, Inc, Ct of Special Appeal of Maryland, 2004
 Gertrude Overstreet v. Contigroup Companies, Inc, (5th Cir 08/23/2006)
 Giumelli v. Giumelli [1999] HCA 10;(1999) 196 CLR 101;(1999) 161 ALR 473
 Goldsworthy v. Brickell [1987] All ER 853
 Gonzalez v. A-1 Self Storage, 350 NJ Super 403, 2000 NJ Super LEXIS 496
 Goodman v. Dicker 169 F 2d 684 (1948)
 Government of the State of Sabah v. Suwiri [2005] 4 CLJ 727
 Gregg v. Tasmanian Trustees Ltd [1997] 143 ALR 328

Hart v. O'Connor [1985] 2 All ER 880; [1985] AC 1000
 Hill v. Mobile Auto Trim, Inc, 725 SW 2d 168, 171 (Tex 1987)
 Hoffman v. Red Owl Stores, 26 Wis 2d 683, 133 NW 2d 267 (1965)
 Hoffnagle v. McDonald's Corp, 522 NW 2d 808 (Iowa 1994)
 Holee Holdings (M) Sdn Bhd v Chai Him & Ors [1997] 4 MLJ 601
 Hospital Products Ltd v. United States Surgical Corporation (1984) 58 ALJR 587
 Hughes v. Metropolitan Railway Co (1877) 2 App Cas 439

Interfoto Picture Library Ltd v. Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd [1988] 1 ALL ER 348
 Ismail v. Treats Inc, 2004 ACWSJ 23892

James Vlahos v. International Banking Co Inc, No A102335, 2005 WL 1632089, Bus Franchise Guide (CCH) P13, 113 (Cal Ct App July 12, 2005)
 Jefferson Loan Co v. Livesay, 175 NJ Super 470, 1980 NJ Super LEXIS 841 (Cty Ct)
 Jirna Ltd v. Mister Donut of Canada Ltd [1972] 1 OR 251; (1973), 40 DLR (3d) 303; [1975] 1 SCR (SCC)
 John Lee and Son (Gratham) Ltd v. Railway Executive [1949] 2 All ER 581
 Jones v. Citigroup, Cal Ct App 01/26/ 2006
 Jones v. Morgan [2001] EWCA Civ 995
 Jordan v. Money (1854) 5 HL Cas 185
 Judge v. Blackfin Yacht Corp, 357 NJ Super 418, 2003 NJ Super LEXIS 56 (App Div)
 Jumbunna Coal Mine No Liability v. Victorian Coal Miners Association (1908) 6 CLR 309

Kall-Kwik Printing (UK) Limited v. Rushe (1998) FSR 114
 Kall-Kwik Printing (UK) Ltd v. Rush [1996] FSR 114; (1995) IP & T Digest 32
 Kemayan Engineering (Sea) Pte Ltd v. Sunyap Development Sdn Bhd [2002] 1 CLJ 22
 Kent Klostermann v. Choice Hotels International, Inc, No. Civ-05-076-E-BLW, Bus Franchise Guide (CCH) P13, 096 (D Idaho May, 2005)
 Kerl v. Dennis Rasmussen, Inc, 2004 WI 86, ¶7, ___ Wis.2d ___, 682 NW 2d 328 ¶6
 Kheng Soon Finance Bhd v. MK Retnam Holdings Sdn Bhd (Bhagat Singh s/o Surian Singh & Ors, Interveners) [1996] 2 MLJ 31
 Khoo Yong Seng v. Ng Choo Peng & Anor [2003] 2 CLJ 191



Kugler v. Romain, 58 NJ 522, 543, 279 A 2d 640 (1971)

L'Estrange v. F Graucob Ltd [1934] 2 KB 394

Larese v. Creamland Dairies, Inc, 767 F.2d 716,717 (10th Cir 1985)

Lebron v. Citicorp Vendor Fin, Inc, 2004 Tex App LEXIS 6447

Legal & General Franchising Ltd v. Hill, Ch Div, (Transcript: John Larking), 16 May 1997

Legione v. Hateley [1983] 57 AJLR 292; [1983] 152 CLR 406

Leong Hup Holdings Bhd v. Tuan Haji Ishak bin Ismail & Ors [1995] MLJU LEXIS 881; [1995] 334 MLJU 1

Levison v. Patent Steam Carpet Cleaning Co Ltd [1978] QB 69

Liberty Fin Mgmt v. Beneficial Data, 670 SW2d 40, 49 (Mo Ct App 1984)

Lim Yoke Kong v. Sivapiran a/l Sabapathy [1992] 2 MLJ 571

Lloyds Bank v. Bundy (1975) QB 326

Loi Hieng Chiong v. Kon Tek Shin [1983] 1 MLJ 31

Louth v. Diprose (1992) 67 AJLR 95

Lucier v. Williams, 841 A 2d 907, (2004) 366 NJ Super 485, 2004 NJ Super LEXIS 65 (App Div)

Malaya Palm Oil Bulking Co Ltd v. Comm of Stamps, Singapore (1936) 1 LNS 30

Market Investigations Ltd v. Minister for Social Security [1969] 2 QB 173

Mat Jusoh bin Daud v. Sykt Jaya Seberang Takir Sdn Bhd [1982] 2 MLJ 71

McKinnon v. Secretary, Department of Treasury, 2005 FCAFC 142

McQuire v. Western Morning News [1903] 2 KB 100

Mechinsky v. Nichols Yacht Sales, Inc, 110 NJ 464, 1988 NJ LEXIS 47

Mendez v. Palm Harbor Homes, Inc, 111 Wn App 446; 2002 Wash App LEXIS 768

Mercantile Bank Ltd Ipoh v. Yoon Siew Kang [1969] 1 LNS 179

Miller v. McDonald's Corp, 945 P 2d 1107 (Or Ct App 1997)

Minister for Industrial Affairs v. Civil Tech Pty Ltd [1998] 70 SASR 394

Mobil Oil Corporation v. Jeremy Barnsford, 648 So 2d 119; 1995 Fla LEXIS 10; 20 Fla L Weekly S 11 (SC Florida)

Moorgate Mercantile Co Ltd v. Twitchings [1976] 1 QB 225

Moreen v. Swinton & Pendlebury Borough Council [1965] 1 WLR 576

Morris v. Burroughs (1737) 1 Atk. 398; West t. Hard. 242

Mortgage Express Ltd v. Newman & Co [2001] PNLR 86

Multi-Purpose Bank Bhd v. Diamond Agreement Sdn Bhd & Anor [2000] 2 CLJ 73

Multiservice Book-binding Ltd v. Marden [1979] Ch 84

NV De Bataafshce Petroleum Maatschappig & Ors v. The War Damage Commission (1956) 1 LNS 72

Narayana Ponnusamy v. Kannamah Ponnusamy (1993) 4 CLJ 389

National Westminster Bank plc v. Morgan [1985] 1 All ER 821; [1985] AC 686

National Provincial Bank Ltd v. Ainsworth [1965] AC 1175

National Steel & Shipbuilding Company v. The United States 190 Ct Cl 247; 419 F 2d 863; 1969 US Ct Cl LEXIS 167

Neale v. Richardson (1938) All ER 753

Neeta's Herbal (M) Sdn Bhd v. Lim Bak Hiang [2000] 6 MLJ 321



Norton v. Canadian Pacific Steamships Ltd [1961] 2 All ER 785
 Novus Franchising, Inc v. Taylor, 795 F Supp 122 (MD Pa 1992)

Olex Focas Pty Ltd v. Skodaexport Co Ltd (1997) 142 ALR 527
 Ooi Boon Leong v. Citibank NA [1984] 1 MLJ 22

Paperlight Ltd and others v. Swinton Group Ltd, QBD (Transcript) 5 August
 1998; [1998] CLC 1667
 Papoo v. Veeriah [1965] 1 MLJ 127
 Pappan Enterprises, Inc v. Hardee's Good Sys, Inc, 143 F 3d 800 (3d Cir
 1998)
 Perry v. Thomas 482 US 483 (1987)
 Peterson v. BASF Corp, 618 NW 2d 821, 2000 Minn App LEXIS 1143
 Petronas Dagangan Sdn Bhd v. Omar bin Abdul Samad [1996] 4 MLJ 391
 Phammalaysia Bhd. v. Dinesh Kumar Jashbai Nagjibha Patel and Others
 [2004] 7 CLJ 465
 Pinnel's case (1602) 5 Co Rep 117a; 77 ER 1471
 Polygram Records Sdn Bhd v. The Search & Anor [1994] 3 MLJ 127
 Pooathurai v. Kannappa Chettiar (1919) LR 47 IA 1
 Portman Building Society v. Dusangh and others, Lexis 19 April 2000
 Preston Corp Sdn Bhd v. Edward Leong [1982] CLJ 302; [1982] CLJ (Rep)
 272
 Prima Union Plywood (M) Sdn Bhd v. Sri Kemajuan Sdk Sdn Bhd [2005] 1
 LNS 79
 Printing & Numerical Registering Co v. Sampson (1875) LR 19 Eq 462
 Prism Leisure Sdn Bhd v. Lumut Marine Resort Bhd [2002] 5 CLJ 391
 Pritchard v. Racecage Pty Ltd (1997) 72 FCR 203
 Prontoprint plc v. London Litho Ltd [1987] FSR 315
 Pronuptia de Paris GmbH v. Pronuptia de Paris Irmgard Schillgalis Case
 161/84 [1986] ECR 353

R v. Smith (1855) 6 Cox CC 554
 Rangunath Prasad v. Sarju Prasad AIR 1924 PC 60
 Rasiah Munusamy v. Lim Tan & Sons Sdn Bhd [1985] 2 MLJ 291
 Re An Advocate [1964] MLJ 1
 Re Parkington & Co's Application 175 LT 181
 Re Tan Tye (Deceased); Tan Lian Chye v. British & Malayan Trustees Ltd
 (1966) 1 LNS 152
 Ready Mixed Concrete v. Minister of Pensions & National Insurance [1968] 2
 QB 497
 Reg. v. Devon County Council, Ex Parte Baker and Another, R v. Durham
 County Council, Ex Parte Curtis and Another [1995] 1 All ER 73
 Results Oriented, Inc v. Crawford, 538 SE 2d 73 (Ga App 2000)
 Reynolds v. Skelly Oil Co 287 NW 823 (1939)
 Risner v. McDonald's Corp, 18 SW 2d 903 (Tex Ct App 2000)
 Rite Color Chemical Co v. Velvet Textile Co, 105 NC App 14, 1992 N App
 LEXIS 16
 Ronald Cislav et al v. Southland Corporation, 4 Cal App 4th 1284; 6 Cal Rptr
 2d 386; 1992 Cal App LEXIS 375; 92 Cal Daily Op Service 2631



Royal Bank of Scotland Plc v Etridge (No 2) [2001] UKHL 44; [2001] 4 All ER 447; [2002] 2 AC 773
 Royal Bank of Scotland Plc v. Etridge [1998] 4 All ER 705
 Russell v Russell [1897] AC 395

Saad Marwi v. Chan Hwan Hua & Anor [2001] 3 CLJ 98
 Sabil Mulia (M) Sdn Bhd v. Pengarah Hospital Tengku Ampuan Rahimah & Ors [2005] 3 MLJ 325, [2005] 2 CLJ 122
 Samantha Holdings Bhd v. Sakullah Holdings Sdn Bhd & Ors [2006] 5 CLJ 459
 Samuel v. Newbold [1906] AC 461
 Saunders (Executrix of the Will of Rose Maud Gallie, Dcsd) v. Anglia Building Soc [1971] AC 1004
 Schroeder Music Publishing Co Ltd v. Macaulay [1974] 3 All ER 616
 Seafood Court Estate Ltd v. Asher [1949] 2 All ER 155
 Search International, Inc v. Snelling and Snelling, Inc, 168 F Supp 2d 621 (ND Tex 2001)
 Seasiona Sdn Bhd v. Saab Scania Ab & Anor [1986] 1 MLJ 430
 Sehulster Tunnels/Pre-Con v. Taylor Bros, Inc/Obayashi Corp, 111 Cal App 4th 1328; 4 Cal Rptr 3d 655(2003)
 Shearson Lehman Hutton Inc and Another v. Maclaine Watson & Co Ltd and Others (QBD (Comm Court) [1989] 2 Lloyd's Rep 570
 Shelanu Inc v. Print Three Franchising Corp, 2003 ACWSJ LEXIS 4154; 2003 ACWSJ 20329; 123 ACWS (3d) 267
 Sherman v. Texas Oil Co 165 NE 2d 916 (1960)
 Simmons v. Mobil Oil Corp, Bus. Franchise Guide (CCH) 10493 (9th Cir 1994)
 Smith v. Kriska 113 SW 3d 293 (Mo Ct App 2003)
 Societe Italo-Belge Pour Le Commerce et L'Industrie SA v. Palm and Vegetable Oils (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd (The Post Chaser) [1981] 1 All ER 19; [1981] 2 Lloyd's Rep 695
 Sri Kelangkota-Rakan Engineering JV Sdn Bhd & Ors v. Arab-Malaysian Prima Realty Sdn Bhd & Ors [2001] 1 MLJ 324, [2001] 1 CLJ 779
 Standard Chartered Bank Malaysia Bhd v. Foreswood Industries Sdn Bhd & Anor [2004] 6 CLJ 320
 Stern v. Mc Arthur (1988) 81 ALR 463
 Stevenson, Jordan and Harrison Limited v. MacDonald and Evans [1952] 1 TLR 101
 Supermarche ARG Inc et Supermarche Frontenac Inc [1997] No. 500-09-000298-950

Takacs v. The Guardian Life Insurance Company of America 2004 US Dist LEXIS 10363
 Takang Timber Sdn Bhd v. The Government of Sarawak & Another (1997) 1 LNS 242
 Tan Boon Kean v. PP [1995] 4 CLJ 456
 Tan Kim Hor & Ors v. Tan Heng Chew & Ors [2004] 7 MLJ 224
 Tan Say Geok & Ors v. HG Warren [1963] 1 MLJ 179
 Taylor v. Johnson (1983) 151 CLR 422
 The "Stolt Loyalty" [1993] 2 Lloyd's Rep 281



The Commonwealth v. Verwayen (1990) 170 CLR 394; 95 ALR 321
 The Henrik Sif [1982] 1 Lloyd's Rep 456
 The Manchester, Sheffield and Lincolnshire Railway Co v. HW Brown (1883)
 8 AC 703
 Thomas v. Sorrell (1673) 124 ER 1098
 Thomas v. Thomas (1842) 2 QB 851
 Thrifty Rent-A-Car System Inc v. Thrifty Rent-A-Car Sdn. Bhd. & Anor [2004]
 7 MLJ 567
 Ticknor v. Choice Hotels International, Inc, 265 F 3d 931, 941 (9th Cir 2001)
 Towers & Co, Ltd v. Gray [1961] 2 QB 351
 Transfield Projects (M) Sdn Bhd v. Malaysian Airline Systems Bhd [2000] 7
 MLJ 583
 Twinsectra Ltd v. Yardley [2002] 2 AC 164

Union Eagle Ltd v. Golden Achievement Ltd [1997] 2 All ER 215
 United States v. Bethlehem Steel Corp, 315 US 289 (1942)

Vaughn v. General Foods Corporation 797 F 2d 1403 (7th Cir 1986); 479 US
 1087 (1987)
 Vendo Plc v. Mervyn Adams [2002] NICH 3
 Victoria Hotel v. Ho See Teck [1965] 1 LNS 196

WL May Co v. Philco-Ford Corp, 273 Ore 701, 1975 Ore LEXIS 370
 Wah Chan Consolidated Sdn Bhd v. Sasidaran a/l Damoo Kunjiraman [2005]
 1 MLJ 747
 Walker v. American Cyanamid Co, 130 Idaho 824, 1997 Ida LEXIS 136
 Waltons Stores (Interstate) Ltd v. Maher (1988) 164 CLR 387; 76 ALR 513
 Ward v. Kirkham [1967] Ch 194
 Warnborough Ltd v. Garmite Ltd [2006] EWHC 10
 Weiss v. Barker Gosling [1993] 16 Fam LR 728
 West v. AGC (Advances) Ltd (1986) 5 NSWLR 610
 Willey v. Southwestern Bell Tel Co, 219 Kan 755, 1976 Kan LEXIS 422
 Williams & Anor v. Natural Life Health Foods Ltd & Anor [1998] 2 All ER 577;
 [1998] 1 BCLC 689; [1998] 1 WLR 830; 17 Tr L 152; [1998] BCC 428
 Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co, 350 F 2d 445 (DC Cir 1965)
 Winter Garden Theatre (London) Ltd v. Millenium Productions Ltd [1946] 1 All
 ER 679
 WJ Alan & Co Ltd v El Nasr Export and Import Co [1972] 1 Lloyd's Rep 313;
 [1972] 2 QB 189
 Wood v. Leadbitter 13 M&W 838; 153 ER 351

Yewens v Noakes (1880) 6 QBD 530
 Yewpam Sdn Bhd v. Mohd. Salleh bin Sheikh Ahmad and Another Suit
 [2001] 1 LNS 43

Zapatha v. Dairy Mart, Inc 381 Mass 284; 408 NE 2d 1370 (1980); 1980
 Mass LEXIS 1260
 ZTT Records & Anor v. Holly Johnson, Independent Law Reports, 2 August
 1989
 Zubaidah v. Zulkathar [1963] MLJ 63

