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ABSTRACT

Test case prioritization (TCP) is a method to prioritize and schedule test cases. The technique is developed in order to run test cases of higher priority for minimizing the time, cost and effort during software testing phase. Complexity is one of the factors that affect the effectiveness of the TCP. However, the existing techniques for measuring complexity have some limitations. This is due to inaccuracy in finding the weightage value for complexity as the value will be used to determine the test case prioritization. This study aims on proposing a TCP approach using complexity factor in order to get a better accuracy in prioritizing the test case for event sequences. The study uses a Branch Coverage Expectation (BCE) complexity measurement that been proved empirically in the previous research. In this study, an automate tool is developed to calculate the BCE value using a Visual Basic and Microsoft Access. Average Percentage Fault Detection metric is used to evaluate the proposed approach. The fault matrix was build based on the testing done in Junit Eclipse. Based on the results, it shows that by only using complexity factor solely to determine the test case prioritization has does not improve the effectiveness of TCP approach. It is suggests that the proposed approach need to be combined with other factor(s) in order to improve the effectiveness of TCP.
ABSTRAK

dapat membuktikan bahawa ianya lebih berkesan dalam pendekatan keutamaan kes ujian. Pendekatan yang dicadangkan hendaklah digabungkan bersama faktor-faktor lain supaya keutamaan kes ujian adalah lebih berkesan.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

With the number of software users expanding geometrically on daily basis and the proliferation of more advanced software functionalities in business, industry, administration and communications to mention but a few, software engineering development has become more complex and large. Most of the organizations are taking software testing into consideration as an important task that needs to be implemented in the development process. Many researchers have proven that software testing is a critical phase in software development cycle and consumes significant resources in terms of cost, time and effort. The objectives of software testing are to validate the software product, authenticate quality of software product and to discover as much errors as possible in estimated time.

Test case prioritization (TCP) is one of the research areas in software testing. According to (Duggal, 2008), TCP prioritize the test cases so as to increase a test suite's rate of fault detection. Test case prioritization techniques schedule test cases so that the test cases that are higher in priority are executed before the test cases that have a lesser priority. TCP techniques
also can reduce time, cost and detecting more fault. This indeed has created 
the need to innovate and produce a new or improving algorithm in finding the 
best solution of TCP so that it can been implemented faster and cost efficient 
with quality. In recent years, there has been an increasing amount of 
literature on TCP (Catal & Mishra, 2013) that shows the interest of the 
researchers in TCP and how much of enhancement of TCP can be research 
and explored. In TCP there are factors that affect the effectiveness of the 
TCP technique. The factors are fault, redundancy, complexity, frequency, 
requirements, time, distance, cost, permutation and others. In this study, we 
only focus on the complexity factor.

Generally, a complex structure of a system is always a main challenge in 
software development. This is because the complexity factor always be one 
of the important factor in determined the cost, time and effort in the software 
development. It is known, the more complex the system, the greater number 
of defects will be found. Numerous complexity metrics have been proposed 
and published in the previous researches in different area of software 
development. The common complexity measure that been used by the 
industry and researchers are Mc Cabe’s Cyclomatic Complexity (Marchetto, 
Islam, Asghar, Susi, & Scanniello, 2016), Control Flow Graph (CFG) (C. Y. 
Huang, Chang, & Chang, 2010) and function point (FP) (Briand, L.C., 
Morasca, S., Basili, V.R, 1996). These are several complexities metric that 
can be used in measuring the complexity of the software(Ahmad & Baharom, 
2017).
1.2 Problem Statement

From the previous study (Ahmad & Baharom, 2017), a comparison of software complexity in measuring the complexity of event sequences been made and it is to determine the best metric. The result from the study showed that Unique Complexity Metric (UCM) was the best metric in measuring the complexity of event sequences. However, the researchers mentioned that even though UCM is the best metric, UCM still have some restriction where UCM does not assign the upper and lower bound complexity values in which it will lead to inaccuracy in finding the weightage value for complexity. Since this value will be used for determining the test case prioritization, hence, it must be as accurate as it can. Thus, a different complexity metric measure is proposed to determine the weightage value.

1.3 Objectives and Scope

The objectives of this study are as below:

a) To propose a technique to prioritize test case using complexity factor;

b) To implement the proposed technique;

c) To evaluate and measure the effectiveness of the proposed technique.

The main scope of this project is focusing on determining the test case prioritization technique in the area of event sequences. The factor used to
prioritize the test case is complexity factor. Besides that, this project only uses a java programming codes as a case study.

The evaluation part of this project will use an Average Percentage Fault Detected (APFD) metric in order to prove the effectiveness of the proposed approach.

1.4 Contributions

The proposed approach is expected to give a more accurate measurement of complexity value of the program. This study also emphasis on facilitating software testing team to elicit test case requirements towards producing a reliable software product.

1.6 Thesis Organization

This thesis is organized into six (6) chapters that including this chapter which covers the backgrounds of the study, problem statement, objectives, scope of the research, contribution and thesis structure. Chapter 2 present a literature review by covering existing study on test case prioritization, factors and complexity metric used in the research and also the evaluation metric that been implemented in the research. Chapters 3 present the methodology that explains on theoretical approaches and experimental design that were used
to achieve the research objectives. Proper planning to carry out this study is important to reduce unforeseen problem in the future. Meanwhile, in Chapter 4, the implementation of the proposed approach and prototype development will be covered. It is followed by evaluation and its results, which will be elaborated in Chapter 5. Finally, the last chapter, Chapter 6 summarizes the thesis finding and work that can be done in future.
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