

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

PORTING PROCESS MODEL FOR MOBILE APPLICATION

KESAVA PILLAI A/L RAJADORAI @ RAJOO

FSKTM 2018 22



PORTING PROCESS MODEL FOR MOBILE APPLICATION

By

KESAVA PILLAI A/L RAJADORAI @ RAJOO

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

December 2017

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

PORTING PROCESS MODEL FOR MOBILE APPLICATION

By

KESAVA PILLAI A/L RAJADORAI @ RAJOO

December 2017

Chair: Sa'adah Hassan, PhD Faculty: Computer Science and Information Technology

The current trend of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) is the convergence of communication, computing and the Internet. The birth of 3rd Generation mobile phone standard (3G) contributed to the development of smartphones. In the view of this trend, the mobile application development companies are developing different kind and type of applications to use in these smartphones. As these smartphones have different brands and models, it becomes an issue for the developers to develop an application that runs on any smartphones. Developers are also finding difficulties to port the native applications to other platform due to lack of standard porting process. This thesis analyzes the issues in mobile application porting processes and proposes a process model for mobile application porting. This process model is timely as the existing software development process models could not able to cater a specific guideline during mobile application porting. The research methodology used in this research composed of four phases; Literature Review, Preliminary Study, Design Proposed Model and Evaluation Proposed Model and were sequentially executed. To ensure legality, accuracy, reliability and validity of the research, some ethical considerations, quality of sample data collected and data sources were considered. The proposed process model composed of eight (8) processes, namely: Feasibility Analysis, Porting Requirement, Gaps Analysis, Porting Specification, Porting Design, Porting, Ported Mobile Application Testing and Test Report verification. Each of the processes were discussed in detail to provide comprehensive guidelines for the developers. A case study based porting assignment has been created (i.e. An Android application was ported to Windows Mobile 8.0 platform) to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed process model. Initially the porting assignment and proposed process model were reviewed by the experts. Finally, to justify the creditability of the proposed process model, Static-Group Comparison study was used. The data was collected from the comparison of two groups (i.e. Ad-Hoc and Treated), and then quantitatively evaluated. The overall finding shows that the proposed process model possesses most disciplined tasks where clear instruction were given throughout their porting process. Therefore, porting activities can be optimized and all necessary activities can be clearly identified and controlled. Thus,

the proposed process model has greatly help the developers to complete the porting project within costs and time, as well improves the quality of the application.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

MODEL PROSES PENGALIHAN UNTUK APPLIKASI MUDAH ALIH

Oleh

KESAVA PILLAI A/L RAJADORAI @ RAJOO

Disember 2017

Pengerusi: Sa'adah Hassan, PhD Fakulti: Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Trend semasa Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi (TMK) bertumpu pada komunikasi, pengkomputeran dan Internet. Kelahiran standard Generasi ketiga (3G) telefon bimbit, menyumbang kepada perkembangan telefon pintar. Berdasarkan trend ini syarikat pembangunan aplikasi mudah alih menghasilkan pelbagai jenis aplikasi untuk digunakan pada telefon pintar. Memandangkan telefon pintar terdiri daripada pelbagai jenama dan model, pembangun perisian menghadapi isu menghasilkan satu aplikasi yang boleh digunapakai pada kesemua jenis telefon pintar. Pembangun perisian menghadapi kesukaran untuk mengalihkan aplikasi asal ke platform lain disebabkan ketiadaan piawaian proses pengalihan. Tesis ini menganalisa isu dalam proses pengalihan dalam aplikasi mudah alih dan mencadangkan satu model spesifik untuk pengalihan aplikasi mudah alih. Kemunculan model proses ini tepat pada waktunya kerana model proses pembangunan perisian yang sedia ada tidak dapat memenuhi keperluan garispanduan spesifik semasa pengalihan aplikasi. Metodologi kajian yang digunakan dalam kajian ini merangkumi Kajian Literatur, Kajian Awal, Reka bentuk model yang dicadangkan dan Penilaian Model yang dicadangkan dan dilaksanakan secara berperingkat. Sebagai etika, kualiti pengumpulan data sampel dan sumber data telah dipertimbangkan untuk memastikan kesahihan, ketepatan, kebolehpercayaan dan kesahan kajian. Model proses yang dicadangkan mengandungi 8 proses jaitu Analisa Kebolehlaksanaan, Keperluan Pengalihan, Analisis Jurang, Spesifikasi Pengalihan, Reka Bentuk Alihan, Pengalihan, Pengujian Perisian Aplikasi Yang Dialih dan Penentusahan Laporan Pengujian. Satu kajian kes berdasarkan tugasan pengalihan telah direka (i.e Aplikasi Android yang dialih ke platform Windows Mobile 8.0) untuk menilai keefektifan dan keefisienan model proses yang dicadangkan. Pada mulanya tugasan pengalihan model proses yang dicadangkan telah disemak semula oleh pakar. Akhirnya untuk mengadili kredibiliti model proses yang dicadangkan, kajian perbandingan kumpulan statik telah digunakan. Data yang dikumpulkan daripada hasil perbandingan kedua-dua kumpulan (i.e Ad-hoc dan Treated) telah dinilai secara kuantitatif. Penemuan keseluruhannya menunjukkan model proses yang dicadangkan mempunyai disiplin tugasan di mana arahan yang jelas diberi sepanjang proses pengalihan. Maka aktiviti pengalihan dapat dioptimumkan dan segala aktiviti yang diperlukan dapat dikenalpasti dengan jelas dan dikawal. Oleh itu model proses

yang dicadangkan telah sangat membantu pembangun perisian untuk menyempurnakan projek pengalihan dalam lingkungan kos dan masa yang ditentukan, dan juga penggunaan model proses sebegini memperbaiki kualiti aplikasi.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I would like to praise the god for giving me courage and strength to do and complete this thesis. Without his encouragement and guidance, this thesis would not have materialized.

Secondly, I would like to thank my thesis advisor Dr. Sa'adah Hassan of the Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology. The door to Dr. Saádah Hassan office was always open whenever I ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my research or writing. She consistently allowed this paper to be my own work, but steered me in the right direction whenever she thought I needed it.

I would like to thank my committee members, Associate Professor Dr. Hazura Zulzalil and Dr. Novia Indriaty Admodisastro, whose work demonstrated to me that the concern for global affairs supported by an "engagement" in comparative literature and modern technology, should always transcend academia and provide a quest for our times.

I would also like to thank the experts who were involved in the validation survey for this research. Without their passionate participation and input, the validation survey could not have been successfully conducted.

I would like to acknowledge Ms. Bawani of Asia Pacific Language Centre at Asia Pacific University as the second reader of this thesis and I am gratefully indebted to her for very valuable comments on this thesis.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my wife and my daughter for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them.

v

Thank you.

Author Kesava Pillai A/L Rajadorai @ Rajoo I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 12 December 2017 to conduct the final examination of Kesava Pillai a/l Rajadorai @ Rajoo on his thesis entitled "Porting Process Model for Mobile Application" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Marzanah binti A. Jabar, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Rodziah binti Atan, PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Abdul Azim bin Abd Ghani, PhD Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Fethi Rabhi, PhD Professor University of New South Wales Australia (External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 February 2018

vi

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Sa'adah bt Hassan, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Hazura bt. Zulzalil, PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Novia Indriaty Admodisastro, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No.: Kesava Pillai A/L Rajadorai @ Rajoo GS30056

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Dr. Sa'adah bt Hassan
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Hazura Zulzalil
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Dr. Novia Indriaty Admodisastro

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTR ABSTR ACKN APPRO DECL LIST O LIST O LIST O	RACT 2 <i>AK</i> OWLED OVAL ARATIO DF TABL DF FIGU DF ABBF TFR	GEMENTS N JES RES REVIATIONS	i iii v vi ix xii xii xii
UIIII	LI		
1	INTRO 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 LIT 2.1	DUCTION Overview Porting Mobile Application Problem Statement Research Questions Research Objectives Scope of Study Thesis Structure ERATURE REVIEW Mobile Application Development	1 1 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 6
	2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6	 2.1.1 Types of Mobile Application Development 2.1.2 Issues in Mobile Application Development Platform 2.1.3 Cross-Platform Development 2.1.4 Challenges in Cross-Platform Development 2.1.5 Cross-Platform Mobile Development Tools Mobile Application Porting 2.2.1 Types of Mobile Application Porting Categories Existing Mobile Application Porting Researches Porting Strategies Used by Mobile Development Organization Critical Analysis of Shortcoming in Existing Porting Strateg Chapter summary 	6 ns 8 8 10 10 11 12 14 0ns15 ies21 22
3	RES 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	EARCH METHODOLOGY OverviewConducting a Literature ReviewPreliminary StudyProposed Model DesignProposed Model Evaluation3.5.1Porting Assignment Description3.5.2The ParticipantsEvaluation and Communication Processes3.6.1Expert Reviewers3.6.2Proposed Process Model Evaluation ParticipantsEthical Consideration	23 23 24 28 29 30 32 32 32 33

Page i

C

	3.8	Quality of the Data	34
	3.9 3.10	Reliability and Validity Chapter Summary	34 35
4	PRE	LIMINARY STUDY	36
	4.1	Survey Result and Discussion	36
	4.2	Interview	38
		4.2.1 The Interview Session	39
		4.2.2 Analysis on Interview Transcript	41
		4.2.3 The Result and Discussion	45
	4.3	Overall Findings	46
	4.3	Chapter Summary	47
5	PRO	POSED PORTING PROCESS MODEL DESIGN	48
	5.1	Overview	48
	5.2	Phase 1 – Feasibility Study	50
		5.2.1 Feasibility Analysis	51
		5.2.2 Acquiring Porting Requirements	53
	5.3	Phase 2 – Requirement Analysis	54
		5.3.1 Analyzing Gap	55
		5.3.2 Specifying Porting Requirements	56
	5.4	Phase 3 – Design and Development	58
		5.4.1 Designing Ported Application	58
		5.4.2 Porting	60
		5.4.3 Ported Mobile Application Testing	63
		5.4.4 Test Report Verification	64
	5.5	Chapter Summary	64
6	EVA	LUATION OF THE PROPOSED PROCESS MODEL	65
	6.1	Expert Reviewer Summary	65
	-	6.1.1 Case Study Review	65
		6.1.2 Proposed Process Model Expert Review	66
	6.2	The Evaluation	66
		6.2.1 Effectiveness	67
		6.2.2 Efficiency	82
	6.3	Discussion	84
	6.4	Chapter Summary	84
7	CON	ICLUSION	87
	7.1	Summary	87
	7.2	Contribution	88
	7.3	Limitation	88
	7.4	Recommendation and Future Work	89
REFERE	NCES		90
APPEND	ICES		99
BIODATA	A OF S	STUDENT	168
LIST OF	PUBL	ICATION	169

C

LIST OF TABLES

2.1.Native Application Development Environments62.2.Mobile Web Technologies72.3.XMT tools Support of Various Mobile OS102.4.MAD Organizations Porting Processes213.1.Coding Approach Example274.1.Overview of Interviewee394.2.Codes Generated From Interviewee 1414.3.Codes Generated From Interviewee 2414.4.Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewee 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools66.6.6.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group756.4.Checklist - Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Testing Activity766.1.Summary of Testing Activity766.1.Summary of Test Report Verific	T	ble	Page	
2.2.Mobile Web Technologies72.3.XMT tools Suppor of Various Mobile OS102.4.MAD Organizations Porting Processes213.1.Coding Approach Example274.1.Overview of Interviewee394.2.Codes Generated From Interviewee 1414.3.Codes Generated From Interviewee 2414.4.Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewee 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Desciption of Proposed Process Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools686.1.Ad-Hoc Group Genoup696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Ad-Hoc Group726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Testing Activity766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group<	2	1. Native Application Development Environments	6	
2.3.XMT tools Support of Various Mobile OS102.4.MAD Organizations Porting Processes213.1.Coding Approach Example274.1.Overview of Interviewee394.2.Codes Generated From Interviewee 1414.3.Codes Generated From Interviewee 2414.4.Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewee 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools666.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis756.9.Summary of Testing Activity756.9.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Testing Activity766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion p Treated Group78 </td <td>2</td> <td>2. Mobile Web Technologies</td> <td>7</td> <td></td>	2	2. Mobile Web Technologies	7	
2.4.MAD Organizations Porting Processes213.1.Coding Approach Example274.1.Overview of Interviewee394.2.Codes Generated From Interviewee 1414.3.Codes Generated From Interviewee 2414.4.Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4424.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Description of Proposed Process Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools6862.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Testing Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Collecting Porting Activity756.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.5.Collecting Porting Activity756.6.Porting Analysis73<	2	3. XMT tools Support of Various Mobile OS	10	
3.1.Coding Approach Example274.1.Overview of Interviewee394.2.Codes Generated From Interviewee 1414.3.Codes Generated From Interviewee 2414.4.Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewee 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools61Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group6963.6.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Generate Completion by Treated Group766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group766.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group766.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Treated Group766.15.Percentage o	2	4. MAD Organizations Porting Processes	21	
4.1.Overview of Interviewee394.2.Codes Generated From Interviewee 1414.3.Codes Generated From Interviewee 2414.4.Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewee 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools6862.6.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion - Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Testing Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Testing Activity766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Treated Group78<	3	1. Coding Approach Example	27	
4.2.Codes Generated From Interviewe 1414.3.Codes Generated From Interviewe 2414.4.Codes Generated From Interviewe 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewe 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable Development Tools616.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.10.Summary of Test Report Verification766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group786.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function79	4	1. Overview of Interviewee	39	
4.3.Codes Generated From Interviewe 2414.4.Codes Generated From Interviewe 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewe 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools68626.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirements726.5.Collecting Porting Requirements736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Testing Activity766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16.Maintainability Questions806.17.Portability Characteristics81	4	2. Codes Generated From Interviewee 1	41	
4.4.Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4424.5.Codes Generated From Interviewee 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable Development Tools686.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity756.11.Summary of Testing Activity766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16.Maintainability Questions806.17.Portability Characteristics81	4	3. Codes Generated From Interviewee 2	41	
4.5.Codes Generated From Interviewee 5434.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools686.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.CheckList - Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.11.Summary of Testing Activity766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group786.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.CheckList - Requirement Completion - 78786.15.Test Cases Login Function786.14.CheckList - Requirement Completion - 79786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16.Maintainability Questions806.17.Portability Characteristics81	4	4. Codes Generated From Interviewee 3 & 4	42	
4.6.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications444.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools686.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16.Maintainability Questions806.17.Portability Characteristics81	4	5. Codes Generated From Interviewee 5	43	
4.7.Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications Showing the Themes Created445.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools686.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Test Report Verification766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16.Maintainability Questions806.17.Portability Characteristics81	4	6. Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications	44	
5.1.Brief Description of Proposed Process Model505.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools686.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16.Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	4	 Porting Related Issues in Porting Mobile Applications Showing the Themes Created 	44	
5.2.Feasibility Study from Various Aspects525.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development Tools686.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group786.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	5	1. Brief Description of Proposed Process Model	50	
5.3.Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model605.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable61Development ToolsDevelopment Tools686.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	5	2. Feasibility Study from Various Aspects	52	
5.4.Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable Development Tools616.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16.Maintainability Questions806.17.Portability Characteristics81	5	3. Suitable Design Diagram Used for each Design Model	60	
6.1.Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report686.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	5	4. Mobile Development Platforms and its Suitable Development Tools	61	
6.2.Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group696.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	1. Ad-Hoc Group Design Phase Analysis Report	68	
6.3.Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group696.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	2. Requirement Completion by Ad-Hoc Group	69	
6.4.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group706.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	3. Percentage of Completed Product by Ad-Hoc Group	69	
6.5.Collecting Porting Requirements726.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	4. Checklist - Requirement Completion – Ad-Hoc Group	70	
6.6.Porting Analysis736.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	5. Collecting Porting Requirements	72	
6.7.Preparing PMARS and Test Cases746.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	6. Porting Analysis	73	
6.8.Design activity756.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	7. Preparing PMARS and Test Cases	74	
6.9.Summary of Porting Activity756.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	8. Design activity	75	
6.10.Summary of Testing Activity766.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	9. Summary of Porting Activity	75	
6.11.Summary of Test Report Verification766.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	0. Summary of Testing Activity	76	
6.12.Requirement Completion by Treated Group776.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	1. Summary of Test Report Verification	76	
6.13.Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group786.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	2. Requirement Completion by Treated Group	77	
6.14.Checklist - Requirement Completion - Treated Group786.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	3. Percentage of Completed Product by Treated Group	78	
6.15.Test Cases Login Function796.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	4. Checklist - Requirement Completion – Treated Group	/8	
6.16Maintainability Questions806.17Portability Characteristics81	6	5. Test Cases Login Function	79	
6.17 Portability Characteristics 81	6	16 Maintainability Questions	80	
	6	7 Portability Characteristics	81	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1.	iOS, Android, Windows Phone	9
2.2.	Smartphones - different screen sizes	11
2.3.	Desktop to Mobile Devices Porting	13
2.4.	Different platform Porting	13
2.5.	Different Mobile Phone Porting	14
2.6.	Cho and Bae Porting Strategy	15
2.7.	MobilePandits Mobile Application Porting Process	16
2.8.	CONTUS Mobile Application Porting Process	17
2.9.	V-Soft Mobile Application Porting Process	18
2.10.	Design Process of V-Soft Mobile Application	18
	Porting Process	
2.11.	RapidSoft System Mobile Application Porting Process	19
2.12.	Impetus Mobile Application Porting Process	19
3.1.	Research Methodology	23
3.2.	A Screen Grab of the Native Application – "Mobile	29
	shopping Cart with QR Code"	
3.3.	Smart phone – Nokia Lumia Series	30
3.4.	Schematic View of Evaluation Process	33
5.1.	Schematic View of Proposed Process Model for MAP	49
5.2.	General Phases of Proposed Process Model for MAP	49
5.3.	Feasibility study	51
5.4.	Schematic view of Requirement Analysis	54
5.5.	Gap Analysis	55
5.6.	Specifying Porting Requirements	57
5.7.	Design and Development	58
5.8.	Detail Design Activity	59
5.9.	Schematic View of Porting Activity	60
5.10.	Porting Strategy	62
5.11.	Managing Resources	63
6.1.	"Mobile Shopping Cart with QR Code" on Nokia	81
6.2	Sample Time Sheet – Ad-Hoc Group	83
63	Sample Time Sheet – Treated Group	83
0.5.	Sumple Time Sheet Treated Group	05

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3G	3 rd Generation Mobile Phone Standard
AJAX	Asynchronous JavaScript And XML
APA-FUR	Additional Ported Application Functional User Requirements
API	Application Programming Interface
BF	Browser Functions
BREW	Binary Runtime Environment for Wireless
CSS	Cascade Style Sheet
DBMS	Database Management System
DDBMS	Dynamic Database Management System
DOM	Document Object Model
D-PMAR	Desired Ported Mobile Application Requirements
FR	Functional Requirements
FUR	Functional User Requirements
HCI	Human Computer Interaction
HP	Hewlett Packard
HTML5	Hyper Text Markup Language 5
IBM	International Business Machine
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
J2ME	Java 2 Platform Micro Edition
MAD	Mobile Application Development
MAP	Mobile Application Porting
MCPD	Mobile Cross Platform Development
MF	Middleware Functions
MOS	Mobile Operating System
MoSCoW	Mo – Must do, S – Should do, Co – Could do, W – Would do
NA-FUR	Native Application Functional User Requirements
NFR	Non-Functional Requirements
NMA	Native Mobile Application
OS	Operating System
OSF	Operating System Functions
PLVMF	Programming Language Virtual Machine Functions
PMA	Ported Mobile Application
PMADS	Ported Mobile Application Design Specifications
PMARS	Ported Mobile Application Requirement Specifications
QR Code	Quick Response Code
RDBMS	Relational Database Management System
SDLC	System Development Life Cycle
SMS	Small Message Service
StS	Storage Services
UI	User Interface
XMT	Cross-Platform Mobile Development Tool

 \bigcirc

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

The current trend of ICT is the convergence of communication, computing and the Internet. ICT operators and providers are keeping up these trends and becoming market leaders by opening data services as a unified services. The birth of 3G contributed to the development of smart mobile phones. 3G provides services such as SMS, email, Internet browsing, mobile TV, and games. Currently, WEB 2.0 has become an inevitable trend and the essence of mobile Internet development. Hence, the usage of smartphones and intelligent telecommunication devices have become essential in daily life.

In view of this trend, mobile development companies are developing different kinds and types of applications for these smartphones. As these smartphones have different brands and models, they have become an issue for the developers to develop an application that runs on all types of brands and models. Moreover, smartphones companies such as Samsung, Apple, and Windows Mobile have an installed base each of more than 25 million devices. On the other hand, not all platforms are made in the same way since every mobile devices has its own characteristics such as OS, power, processor, screen resolutions, memory size etc. Variety of mobile applications, diversity, and demand of OS, make porting an important process; that is, to guarantee an application that creates using one platform that can easily be implemented in some other platforms, to reach larger parts of the market (Johansson, A. & Svensson, J., 2009), (Madadipouya, K, 2015).

As reported by mobilestatistics.com (Mobilestatistics.com, 2016), that in 2016 alone 30 billion iPhone natives and third party applications were downloaded from Apple AppStore which lead to 209 million dollars. This situation becomes worst when every mobile communication company operator (e.g. Apple and Samsung) is provides specific operating system and launch its own proprietary applications. For example, Apple produces, publishes and sells all their applications through their own marketing platform called AppStore. Other mobile companies noticed the new momentum and followed suit by creating their own marketing platform (e.g. Android's Playstore) (Minelli, R., 2012).

To substantiate, DeviceAtlas (Deviceatlas.com, 2017) research, shows there will be over 4.9 billion mobile phone subscribers in 2018 who make up 87% of the world population have mobile phones and 2.9 billion of them will own smartphones and this number is increasing fast. As more and more people use smartphones, the necessity for mobile applications also increases. To meet the market demand, mobile application developers are struggling to develop more and more mobile applications. Currently, there are thousands of mobile applications in the market which were developed for a

single OS. Hence, developers are having difficulty to port these applications to other OS due to lack of disciplined porting process.

1.2 Porting Mobile Application

Porting is an engineering process of converting an object (i.e. Software or hardware) which was initially developed for a specific environment to a new environment. For example, a mobile application initially developed for android OS needs to convert for Apple OS. (RapidSoft Systems Inc., 2012)

The high demand of mobile applications in the market, has forced mobile developers to develop the product within a short period. Hence, the developers are struggling to meet the market demand within the short period and are forced to use short-cuts such as adhoc approaches. Moreover, porting approach for mobile applications are new (RapidSoft Systems Inc., 2012), where previously developers redeveloped by using general desktop development methodologies such as agile methodologies for their redevelopment (V. Rahimian and R. Ramsin, (2008). As these approaches take longer time, the mobile development companies are trying to adapt to a new approach call porting, where Native Mobile Applications (NMA) are directly transformed into Ported Mobile Application (PMA). Since porting is new for mobile application developers, companies use ad-hoc development processes such as "hit or miss", "fire-fighting" techniques or desktop application development process models (Johansson, A. & Svensson, J. 2009), (Madadipouya, K., 2015). Owing to the ad-hoc process used, the products took longer than expected to develop and some customers' specific requirements could not be satisfied. Therefore formalizing a process for porting mobile application is essential to meet the customers' demand which is growing fast.

Although developers realize these issues, they are finding it difficult to cope with demand. These issues are severe because Mobile Application Porting (MAP) is not the same as desktop because mobile devices have some limited capabilities such as screen size, memory size and power. There are different variants of hardware, software, configuration of mobile application product and specific features supported by different mobile devices and operating systems. Mobile applications are developed using many different types of platforms such as J2ME and BREW. These platforms have the highest volumes of history of mobile software development (Rapid Soft Systems Inc., 2012). For example, a mobile application can be implemented using different platform and also the mobile application can be used for different mobile devices.

1.3 Problem Statement

Mobile technology is growing rapidly; everyday there are new mobile applications being developed and out in the market. However, these applications were developed for a particular mobile platform. It becomes a problem when the said mobile applications need to run in a different mobile platform. For example, an application that was natively developed for IOS platform, but then needed for Android platform. Thus, the developers will need to redevelop the application to suit the Android platform. However, developing the same application over and over significantly increases the effort, time and costs of the development team (Chaitanya Kaul and Saurav Verma, 2015). Currently, the developers are using re-development or rewrite approaches as there are lack of specific MAP process model, developers are using desktop application development process models (Johansson, A. & Svensson, J. 2009), (Madadipouya, K., 2015) or intuition. Following are the significant problems when using desktop application development approach for MAP;

- Developing the same application over and over significantly increases the **effort, time and costs** of the development team (Chaitanya Kaul and Saurav Verma, 2015).
- Desktop application development and MAP are not the same, because for MAP, developers need to consider porting platform specific functionalities such as OS functionality, middleware functionality, programming language virtual machine functionality and browser function. However, these are not required for desktop application (Abran, A., Al-Sarayreh, K. T. and Cuadrado-Gallego, J. J., 2013).
- Generic development process model, will only provide a general guideline for the developers to follow. As a result, the developers will tend to overlook certain aspect of specific activities which are necessary for the said mobile application porting.
- Despite the said understanding and the **immaturity of porting activities**, the developers are not paying much attention to develop a disciplined porting process (RapidSoft Systems Inc., 2012) as they are rushing to complete the product as soon as possible.
- Current approaches were not executed systematically, slowed down the porting activities, which made a number of mobile applications (13% for Windows phone market, 24% for Apple store and 37% for Android market) (Nimbalkar R. R, 2013) unable for launch on time and are forced out of the market every month.
- **Clients' special requests** are not included in the ported application, ported application requirements are not considered and inconsistency in design and development that make the integration difficult.
- **Inconsistent product design and development** as a consequence of undisciplined processes, product integration becomes complex and difficult where time will be wasted in the modification process.

Even though there are numerous on-going research by (Mooney, J.D., 1997), (Johansson, A. and Svensson, J., 2009), (RapidSoft Systems Inc., 2012), (Damith C. Rajapakse, 2012), (Nimbalkar R. R, 2013), (Abran, A., Al-Sarayreh, K. T. and Cuadrado-Gallego, J. J., 2013), (Madadipouya, K., 2015) (Chaitanya Kaul and Saurav Verma, 2015) to cover certain aspects of mobile porting processes, a solution to the problems above is still missing. Realizing these gaps and weaknesses, it aspires to explore options for a porting process model that would focus on MAP projects.

1.4 Research Questions

To explore further this research was broken into manageable research questions (RQ) as follows:

- RQ1. What are the available MAD platforms?
- RQ2. What are the currently available porting strategies in general?
- RQ3. What are the common phases in mobile porting?
- RQ4. What are the important characteristics to be considered in each of the phases?

1.5 Research Objective

This research is focused on the porting of mobile applications. The aim of this research is to develop a process model that would be used by mobile application developers for their MAP purposes.

To achieve the aim, the following objectives are considered:

- 1. To provide with important phases, tools, techniques and characteristics needed for MAP.
- 2. To develop a process model for porting of mobile application.
- 3. To evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed process model.

1.6 Scope of Study

This research focuses on porting of NMAs with concentration given to disciplined porting processes for MAP assuming that the hardware and the data remain constant.

1.7 Thesis Structure

This thesis is organized as follows: Chapter 2 presents the literature review that provides ideas for proposing the new process model. Chapter 3 explains the research methodology used for this research. Chapter 4 presents the analysis of the gathered data. Chapter 5 describes the design of the proposed process model. Chapter 6 elucidates the result along with the interpretation of the evaluation result. Finally, the conclusion and recommendation is presented in Chapter 7.

4

REFERENCES

- Abran, A., Al-Sarayreh, K. T. and Cuadrado-Gallego, J. J. (2013). A Standards-Based Reference Framework For System Portability Requirements. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, Vol. 35(4): pp. 380-395.
- Aguilar, E. R., Garc'ıa, F. R. and Piattini, M. (2007). An exploratory experiment to validate measures for business process models. Proceedings from RCIS: *First International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science*.
- Aleksy, M., Butter, T., Schader, M., (2008). Architecture for the Development of Context-Sensitive Mobile Applications. *Mobile Information Systems*, Vol 4 (2008), Iss: 2, pp. 105-117. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2008/142986.
- Allan H., Antonio S. and Reza T., (2010). Challenges for Mobile Application Development. <u>http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=5640893</u>. Retrieved 31 October 2013.
- Alshehri, F. and Freeman, M., (2012). Methods of usability evaluations of mobile devices. Proceedings from: *The 23rd Australasian Conference on Information Systems*, Australia: Geelong. 3-5 Dec 2012.
- Avinash S., and Anandkumar P., (2013). To Study and Design a Cross-Platform Mobile Application for Student Information System using PhoneGap Framework. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*. Vol. 3(9), pp. 390-395.
- Beri, G. C., (2010). Collection of Data. In *Business Statistics* (pp. 11-22). New Delhi, Tata McGraw Hill Education.
- Boushehrinejadmoradi, N., Ganapathy, V., Nagarakatte, S., and Iftode, L., (2015). Testing Cross-Platform Mobile App Development Frameworks. Proceeding from: 30th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE). NE: Lincoln.
- Brewer, J. D. (2003). Deduction. In Miller, R. L. & Brewer, J. D. (Eds.). *The A-Z of Social Research* (pp. 67-68). London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Bryman, A. (2012). Secondary Analysis and Critical Statistics. In *Social Research Methods*, 4th Edition, (pp. 295- 311). New York, USA: Oxford University

Press.

- Butler, M., (2011). Android: Changing the Mobile Landscape. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*. Jan-March 2011. Vol. 10(1), pp. 4-7. doi: 10.1109/MPRV.2011.1.
- Carmichael, R., (2002). Measures of efficiency and effectiveness as indicators of quality: a systems approach. *Journal of Institutional Research South East Asia* vol. 1(1), pp. 3-14.
- Chaitanya, K. and Saurav, V., (2015). A Review Paper on Cross Platform Mobile Application Development IDE. *IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering* (*IOSR-JCE*) vol 17(1), ver. VI (Jan – Feb. 2015), pp. 30-33.
- Cho, D. and Bae, D., (2011). Case Study on Installing a Porting Process for Embedded Operating System in a Small Team. 5th International Conference on Secure Software Integration & Reliability Improvement Companion (SSIRI-C). pp. 19-25. South Korea: Jeju Island.
- Clément, Q., Sébastien, M., Carlos, P. and Laurence D. (2011). Using multiple feature models to design applications for mobile phones. Proceedings from SPLC '11: *The 15th International Software Product Line Conference, Vol. 2*, ACM, New York, USA.
- Conder, S., and Darcey, L., (2010). Android Wireless Application Development. 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley Professional.
- Consortium, W. W. Mobile Web. Retrieved Oct 8, 2015, from http://www.w3.org/standards/ webdesign/mobilweb/
- CONTUS, (2013). CONTUS. [Electronic version], http://www.contus.com/mobileapps-porting.php. Retrieved 28/03/2013.
- Cristian G. G., Jordán P. E., Cristina P. G. B. and Juan M. C. L., (2015). Swift vs. Objective-C: A New Programming Language. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Multimedia*. Vol. 3(3), pp. 74-81.
- Damith C., R., (2008). Fragmentation of mobile application, http://www.comp,nus.edu.sg/~damithch/df/device=framentation.htm/, Retrieved 18 March 2012.

Deviceatlas.com, (2017). "18 mobile market statistics you should know in 2018",

[Electronic version], https://deviceatlas.com/blog/18-mobile-market-statistics-you-should-know-2018, Retrieved 03/01/2018.

- Donald, F. (2005). Are your Requirements Complete? *Journal of Object Technology* (*JOT*), vol. 4(1): pp. 27-43.
- D. Sambasivan, N. John, S. Udayakumar and R. Gupta, (2011). Generic framework for mobile application development. Proceeding from: *Second Asian Himalayas International Conference on Internet (AH-ICI)*. Nepal:Kathmandu. pp. 1-5. doi: 10.1109/AHICI.2011.6113938.
- Eyal, E., (2012). Human-Centered Design in Mobile Application Development: Emerging Methods. International Journal of Mobile Human Computer Interaction, vol. 4(4). pp. 1-21.
- Gartner., (2011). Gartner Says Android to Command Nearly Half. www.gartner.com/it/page.jsp?id=1622614. Retrieved 10 October 2012.
- Georgieva, E. and Georgiev, T., (2007). Methodology for Mobile Devices Characteristics Recognition. Proceeding from Compsystech: International Conference On Computer Systems And Technologies.
- GSMA, (2015). Mobile Economy 2015, GSMA Intelligence. London: United Kingdom.
- Hair, J. F., Celsi, M. W., Money, A. H., Samouel, P. and Page, M. J., (2015). Analysis and Interpretation of Data. In *Essentials of Business Research Methods 3nd Edition*, pp 273-290. New York, USA: Routledge Publisher.
- Hammershoj, A., Sapuppo, A. and Tadayoni, R., (2010). Challenges for mobile application development. Proceeding from ICIN '10: *The 14th International Conference on Intelligence in Next Generation Networks*. Berlin, Germany.
- Heitkötter, H., Hanschke, S. and Majchrzak T. A. (2012). Comparing Cross-Platform Development Approaches For Mobile Applications. Proceeding from WEBIST '12: 8th International Conference on Web Information Systems and Technologies. Porto, Portugal.
- Holzinger, A., Treitler, P. and Slany, W., (2012). Making Apps Useable on Multiple Different Mobile Platforms: On Interoperability for Business Application Development on Smartphones. Proceeding from CD-ARES '12: *International*

Cross-Domain Conference and Workshop on Availability, Reliability, and Security. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.

- IEEE Standard for Software And System Test Documentation, IEEE Std-829-2008. (2008). Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE.
- IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Requirements Specifications, IEEE-Std-830. (1998). Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE.
- Impetus. (2012). End-to-End Porting Solution. [Electronic version] http://impetus.com. Retrieved 12/06/2012.
- ISO 2382–1. Information technology Vocabulary Part 1: Fundamental terms. (1993). Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- ISO 24765, Systems and software engineering vocabulary, (2010). Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- ISO/IEC-9126, Software Engineering Product Quality Part 1: Quality Model 9126–1, (2004). Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- Jae-Kyung, P. and Sang-Yong, C., (2015). Studying Security Weaknesses of Android System. *International Journal of Security and Its Applications*. Vol. 99(3): pp. 7-12.
- Johansson, A. & Svensson, J., (2009). Techniques for Software Portability In Mobile Development, MSc. Thesis, Blekinge Institute of Technology.
- Julian, O., & Volker, T., (2012). Cross-Platform Development Tools for Smartphone Application. *Computer IEEE*. Vol. 45, Iss: 9, pp72-79. DOI: 10.1109/MC.2012.121.
- Joorabchi, M. E., Mesbah A., and Kruchten, P., (2013). Real Challenges in Mobile App Development. Proceeding from ACM / IEEE International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement. Baltimore, MD. DOI: 10.1109/ESEM.2013.9.
- Krysik, J. L. and Finn, J., (2010). *Research for Effective Social Work Practice*, 2nd Edition, UK: Routledge Publisher.

- Kumar, R., (2011). Considering ethical issues in data collection. In *Research Methodology: A Step-By-Step Guide for Beginners*. London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Kvale, S. and Brinkmann, S., (2015). Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing. In *InterViews*. 3rd Edition. Los Angeles, USA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Leau, Y. B., Loo, W. K., Tham, W. Y. and Tan, S. F., (2012). Software Development Life Cycle Agile Vs Traditional Approaches. Proceeding from ICINT '12: The International Conference On Information And Network Technology. Singapore: IACSIT Press.
- Li Ma, Lei Gu and Jin Wang, (2014). Research and Development of Mobile Application for Android Platform. *International Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering*, 9(4): pp. 187-198.
- Lindland, O.I., Sindre, G. and Sølvberg, A., (1994). Understanding Quality in Conceptual Modelling. *Journal of IEEE Software*, Vol. 11(2): pp. 42-49.
- Madari, I., & Lengyel, L., (2009). Synchronizing User Interfaces of Different Mobile Platforms. Proceeding from EUROCON '09: *The international conference of EUROCON 2009, IEEE. pp.* 1852-1859, St.-Petersburg. Doi: 10.1109/EURCON.2009.5167897.
- Maes, A. and Poels, G., (2007). Evaluating Quality of Conceptual Modeling Scripts Based on User Perceptions. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*, vol. 63(3), pp. 701–724.
- Madadipouya, K., (2015), Critical Evaluation of Application Porting In Mobile Platforms. *Journal of Engineering and Technology*. Vol. 6(2), pp. 9-17. ISSN: 2180-3811.
- Marketsandmarkets.com., (2010). World Mobile Applications Market Advanced Technologies, Global Forecast (2010 - 2015) [Electronic version]. Http://www.Marketsandmarkets.Com/Market-Reports/Mobile-Applications-228.Html. Retrieved 09 March 2013.
- Mauro, D., (2010). Mobile Apps cross-platform development challenge: Phone- Gap vs. Titanium vs. Rhodes [Electronic version]. http://surgeworks.com/blog/lab-mobile/iphone/mobile-apps-cross-platform-development-challenge-phonegap-vs-titanium-vs-rhodes Retrieved 12 Mac 2014.

- Matthews, B. and Ross, L., (2010). Research Design. In *Research Methods: A Practical Guide For The Social Sciences*, pp. 110-140. Harlow, England: Pearson Education Limited.
- Mendling, J., Reijers, H. and Cardoso, J., (2007). What makes process models understandable? Proceeding from BPM '07: *The 5th International conference on Business process management*. Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Mendling, J. and Strembeck, M., (2008) Influence factors of understanding business process models. Proceeding from BIS '08: *The 11th International Conference on Business Information Systems*. Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
- Minelli, R., (2012). Software Analytics for Mobile Applications. Università Della Svizzera Italiana, Italy.
- Mobidev, (2015). Cross-Platform Development: Challenges & Opportunities. [Electronic version]. <u>https://mobidev.biz/blog/cross-platform_development_challenges_opportunities. Retrieved 20 July 2016.</u>
- MobilePundits, (2011). mobilePundits. Electronic version], <u>http://www.mobilepundits.com/ Mobile Application Porting.html</u>. Retrieved 28/03/2013.
- Mobilestatistics.com, (2016). Mobile Statistics. [Electronic version]. http://www.mobilestatistics.com/mobile-devices/ Retrieved 06/01/2017.
- Moody, D. L., Sindre, G., Brasethvik, T. and Sølvberg, A., (2002). Evaluating the quality of process models: empirical analysis of a quality framework. Spaccapietra S., March, S.T. and Kambayashi, Y. (Eds). Proceedings from ER '02: *The 21st International Conference on Conceptual Modeling*. Tampere, Finland. Berlin Heidelberg, Germany: Springer Verlag.
- Mooi, E. A. and Sarstedt, M., (2011). Market Research Process. In *A Concise Guide to Market Research: The Process, Data, And Methods Using IBM SPSS Statistics*, pp. 11-23. Berlin Heidelberg, Gemany: Springer-Verlag.
- Mooney, J.D., (1990). *Strategies for supporting application portability*. Washington DC, USA: IEEE Computer, Vol. 23, Iss: 11, pp. 59-70. DOI: 10.1109/2.60881.

- Mooney, J.D., (1993). Issues in the Specification and Measurement of Software Portability. [Electronic version]. www.lcsee.wvu.edu/~jdmooney/classes/cs533/notes/ refs/specmeas.pdf. Retrieved 17 August 2015.
- Mooney, J.D., (1997). Bringing Portability to the Software Process. [Electronic version] http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.36.9762&rep=rep1 &type=pdf. Retrieved 17 August 2015.
- Mooney J.D. (2004) Developing Portable Software. In Reis R. (eds) *Information Technology*. IFIP International Federation for Information Processing. Vol 157. Boston, MA: Springer.
- Nimbalkar, R. R., (2013). Mobile Application Testing and Challenges. *International Journal of Science and Research*, Vol. 2(7): pp. 56-58.
- Ohrt, J. and Turau, V., (2012). Cross-Platform Development Tools for Smartphone Application. *IEEE Computer*, Vol. 45, Iss: 9, pp. 74-75. DOI: 10.1109/MC.2012.121.
- Phyo Min Tun, (2014). Choosing a Mobile Application Development Approach. *ASEAN Journal of Management & Innovation*, Vol. 1 Iss: 1, pp. 69-74. DOI: 10.14456/ajmi.2014.4.
- Rachel H., Derek F. and David D., (2013). Usability of mobile applications: literature review and rationale for a new usability model. [Electronic version] *Journal of Interaction Science*. DOI: 10.1186/2194-0827-1-1 http://www.journalofinteractionscience.com/content/1/1/1. SpringerOpen.
- RapidSoft Systems Inc., (2012). Mobile Application Porting Services, Mobile Development Services, Mobile App Outsourcing, iPhone Software Development, Android App Development, iPhone Development, Android development. Rapidsoft Systems Inc, Retrieved http://www.rapidsoftsystems.com/mobile-porting-services.html.

Reddy, N. & Acharyulu, G. V. R. K., (2009). Marketing Research. New Delhi, India: Excel Books. ISBN – 978-81-7446-616-7.

Roger S. P., (2010). Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach, 7th edition, New York, USA: McGraw-Hill. ISBN-10: 9780073375977.

- Rogness, N. & Case, S., (2003). An Assessment of Design and Implementation Trade-Offs and Their Impact on Mobile Applications. Paper presented at the meeting of *The 36th Annual Midwest Instruction and Computing Symposium*. Department of Computer & Information Sciences, Minnesota State University, Mankato.
- S. Blom, M. Book, V. Gruhn, R. Hrushchak and A. Köhler, (2008). Write Once, Run Anywhere A Survey of Mobile Runtime Environments. Proceedings from 3rd International Conference on Grid and Pervasive Computing – Workshops. Kunming, Yunnan, China. doi: 10.1109/GPC.WORKSHOPS.2008.19.
- Saldana, J., (2009). *The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers. 3rd Edition*. London: Sage Publications Ltd. ISBN: 9781473902497.
- Smutný, P., (2012). Mobile development tools and cross-platform. Proceedings from ICCC '12: *The 13th International Carpathian Control Conference*. pp. 653-656. Piscataway, USA: IEEE publisher.
- Selvakumar. S. and Sudeshna. G., (2013). The impact of programming paradigm concepts in mobile application design and its resource utilizations. Proceedings from 3rd International Conference on Computer Science and Network Technology, Dalian, China. pp. 355-359. DOI: 10.1109/ICCSNT.2013.6967128.
- Steve C, Developing maintainable software, Software Sustainability Institute. [Electronic version]. <u>http://software.ac.uk/resources/guides/developing-maintainable-software</u>. Retrieved 7 May 2016.
- Tanaka T., Hakuta, M., Iwata, N. and Ohminami, M., (1995). Approaches to making software porting more productive. Proceedings from TRON '95: *The 12th TRON Project International Symposium*. Washington DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society.
- Tashakkori, A. & Teddlie, C., (2010). Sage Handbook of Mixed Methods In Social & behavioural Research, London: Sage Publications.
- Teng, C., C. and Helps, R., (2010). Mobile Application Development: Essential New Directions for IT. Washington DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, pp. 471-475.

V. Alves et al., (2005). Comparative analysis of porting strategies in J2ME games. 21st

IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM'05), pp. 123-132. DOI: 10.1109/ICSM.2005.30.

- V. Rahimian and R. Ramsin, (2008). Designing an agile methodology for mobile software development: A hybrid method engineering approach. Proceeding from Second International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science, Marrakech, Morocco. pp. 337-342. DOI: 10.1109/RCIS.2008.4632123.
- V-Soft, (2013). *V-Soft*. [Electronic verion] <u>http://www.v-softinc.com/mobile-application-porting.html</u>, Retreived 28/03/2013.
- Wasserman, A.I., (2010). Software Engineering Issues for Mobile Application Development. Proceeding from *Workshop on Future of Software Engineering Research*.
- Wilson, J., (2010). Essentials Of Business Research: A Guide To Doing Your Research Project, London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Wohlin, C., Runeson, P., Höst, M., Ohlsson, M.C., Regnell, B., Wesslén, A., (2012). Experimentation in Software Engineering, Validity Evaluation (pp, 102-116). Berlin Heidelberg, Germany: Springer Verlag.