



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***DEVELOPMENT OF CORN SILAGE TECHNOLOGY AND ITS FINANCIAL
FEASIBILITY FOR BEEF CATTLE IN MALAYSIA***

MUHAMAD HAZIM BIN NAZLI

FP 2018 38



**DEVELOPMENT OF CORN SILAGE TECHNOLOGY AND ITS FINANCIAL
FEASIBILITY FOR BEEF CATTLE IN MALAYSIA**

By

MUHAMAD HAZIM BIN NAZLI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

January 2018

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



© COPYRIGHT UPM

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

DEVELOPMENT OF CORN SILAGE TECHNOLOGY AND ITS FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY FOR BEEF CATTLE IN MALAYSIA

By

MUHAMAD HAZIM BIN NAZLI

January 2018

Chairman : Associate Professor Mohd Ridzwan B Abd Halim, PhD
Faculty : Agriculture

The Malaysian beef cattle industry has shown a sluggish rate of growth despite the need to reduce imports of over 70% and one of the major limiting factors is the lack of suitable and economic feed. Intensive beef production practised in Malaysia, such as in feedlots, generally rely on the use of available crop by-products such as palm kernel cake (PKC) and imported feed ingredient to complement fodder. An alternative feed that has not been exploited is corn silage, a high-quality feed that is widely used and researched worldwide. In this regard, a series of experiments were done to identify appropriate technologies of corn silage production for the beef cattle industry in Malaysia. The first three experiments were associated with the technical aspects of silage making while the fourth study evaluates the financial performance of the technology. In the first experiment, the objective was to determine the optimum harvest stage for making corn silage in terms of yield and quality. An additional objective was to evaluate differences among varieties of corn. Four varieties of corn were harvested at either the silking, milk, dough and dent stages. The results showed that grain corn varieties out performed Malaysia's widely planted sweet corn variety mainly due to the huge yield difference. Sweet corn dry matter (DM) yield at 15.3 t/ha was significantly lower ($P \leq 0.05$) than Suwan, which yielded 28.6 t/ha. Generally, the corn plant was best harvested at the dent stage as the yield was the highest while the quality improved with advanced cob development. Although the crude protein (CP) was the highest at the earlier silking stage (11.4%), the difference was low compared to the dent stage (10.2%). In the second experiment, five different types of silo: i) Mini Bunker, ii) Well, iii) Siloseal, iv) Plastic Drum and v) Plastic Bag were evaluated. All the silo types were successful in producing well fermented silage characterized by a low pH (<4.0). However, the silage quality deteriorated with time after opening with a faster rate of deterioration on the surface layers compared with those at lower depths ($P \leq 0.05$). The deterioration rate also varied with the silo type. Plastic Drum was selected as the best silo type based on its superior aerobic stability (more than 21 days), good pH (between 3.2 and 3.7), very low top spoilage rate (0.2 %) and low DM loss (10.8 %). Mini Bunker produced silage with poor aerobic stability and high temperature while Well, Siloseal and Plastic Bag showed some good potential. The third experiment's objective was to determine the potential of corn

silage-based feed in a feeding trial using a beef feedlot system. Comparisons were made among three groups of cattle which were fed respectively with i) corn silage, ii) 50% corn silage plus 50% rice straw or iii) rice straw only as the basal feeds. The feed value and the animal performance showed that corn silage-based diet could produce a good growth rate for beef cattle. The average daily gain (ADG) obtained of 808 g/day from corn silage diet was comparable to cattle fed on conventional PKC/napier grass ration obtained elsewhere. However, the ADG was below that obtained in some other countries signalling potential for improvement. Lastly, all three major components in the corn silage chain: corn plant, corn silage and beef production were tested for their financial feasibility. The analysis showed that both corn plant and corn silage production were financially feasible with net present values (NPV) of RM217,128 and RM373,088 respectively. The calculations were made based on the assumptions developed from the previous experiments and survey done earlier. Only the Plastic Drum was feasible among the silo type with positive NPV of RM373,088. However, the partial budgeting analysis showed that corn silage as feed for beef cattle was not as profitable as conventional feed of PKC with napier grass. The difference in the net benefit per cycle was about 23%. In conclusion, corn silage production was proven to be technically and financially feasible using the technologies developed in the research. Corn silage's lower profitability than grass/concentrates ration highlight the need for further research in reducing the production cost and determining the best ratio of corn silage to be used in the feed.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENGHASILAN TEKNOLOGI DAN KAJIAN KEWANGAN SILAJ JAGUNG
UNTUK TERNAKAN LEMBU PEDAGING DI MALAYSIA**

Oleh

MUHAMAD HAZIM BIN NAZLI

Januari 2018

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Mohd Ridzwan B Abd Halim, PhD
Fakulti : Pertanian

Industri lembu pedaging Malaysia telah memperlihatkan kadar pertumbuhan yang perlahan walaupun terdapat keperluan untuk mengurangkan kadar import yang melebihi 70%. Salah satu faktor penghalang utama ialah kekurangan makanan haiwan yang sesuai dan ekonomik. Pengeluaran daging lembu secara intensif ada diamalkan di Malaysia terutama fidlot yang secara amnya bergantung pada penggunaan produk sampingan tanaman seperti hampas isirong sawit (PKC) dan bahan makanan haiwan yang diimport untuk melengkapi rumput foder. Satu makanan alternatif yang belum dieksploitasi adalah silaj jagung, satu makanan berkualiti tinggi yang banyak digunakan dan dikaji di seluruh dunia. Oleh itu, satu siri eksperimen telah dijalankan untuk mengenal pasti teknologi pengeluaran silaj jagung yang sesuai untuk industri lembu pedaging di Malaysia. Tiga eksperimen pertama melibatkan aspek teknikal pembuatan silaj sementara kajian keempat menilai prestasi kewangan teknologi tersebut. Objektif eksperimen yang pertama adalah untuk menentukan peringkat optimum untuk menuai jagung bagi membuat silaj melalui hasil dan kualiti. Satu objektif tambahan adalah untuk menilai perbezaan di antara beberapa varieti jagung. Empat varieti jagung tersebut telah dituai pada peringkat Sutera, Susu, Doh atau Gigi. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa varieti jagung bijirin lebih bagus untuk pembuatan silaj mengatasi varieti jagung manis yang ditanam secara meluas di Malaysia. Hasil bahan kering varieti Jagung Manis sebanyak 15.3 t/ha adalah lebih rendah ($P \leq 0.05$) berbanding Suwan yang memberi hasil sebanyak 28.6 t/ha. Secara amnya, pokok jagung terbaik dituai pada peringkat Gigi kerana hasil pada peringkat ini adalah yang tertinggi manakala kualitinya meningkat oleh kerana pertumbuhan tongkol jagung yang lebih maju. Walaupun kandungan protein kasar adalah tertinggi pada peringkat Sutera (11.4%), perbezaannya adalah sangat rendah berbanding peringkat Gigi (10.2%). Dalam kajian kedua, lima jenis silo yang berbeza: i) Bunker Mini, ii) Telaga, iii) Siloseal, iv) Drum Plastik dan v) Beg Plastik telah dinilai. Semua jenis silo berjaya menghasilkan silaj yang diperam dengan baik dengan pH yang rendah (< 4.0). Walau bagaimanapun, kualiti silaj setelah silo dibuka merosot merentasi masa dengan kadar kemerosotan yang lebih cepat pada lapisan permukaan berbanding silaj yang lebih dalam ($P \leq 0.05$). Kadar kemerosotan juga berbeza mengikut jenis silo. Drum Plastik dipilih sebagai jenis silo yang terbaik

berdasarkan tempoh kestabilan aerobik (melebihi 21 hari), pH (di antara 3.2 dan 3.7), kadar kerosakan atas yang sangat rendah (0.2 %) dan kadar kehilangan bahan kering yang rendah (10.8 %). Bunker Mini menghasilkan silaj yang mempunyai kestabilan aerobik yang lemah dan suhu yang tinggi sementara Telaga, Siloseal dan Beg Plastik menunjukkan potensi yang baik. Objektif eksperimen ketiga adalah untuk menentukan potensi makanan haiwan berasaskan silaj jagung dalam ujian pemakanan menggunakan sistem lembu pedaging fidlot. Perbandingan dibuat di antara tiga kumpulan lembu yang diberi makan dengan i) silaj jagung, ii) 50% silaj jagung dengan 50% jerami padi atau iii) jerami padi, sebagai makanan asas. Nilai pemakanan dan prestasi haiwan menunjukkan bahawa pemakanan berasaskan silaj jagung dapat menghasilkan kadar pertumbuhan yang baik untuk lembu pedaging. Purata kenaikan harian (ADG) yang diperoleh sebanyak 808 g/hari daripada pemakanan silaj jagung adalah setanding dengan lembu pedaging yang diberi makanan konvensional mengandungi campuran PKC dan rumput. Walau bagaimanapun, ADG tersebut adalah kurang berbanding sesetengah negara menandakan potensi untuk peningkatan. Akhirnya, ketiga-tiga komponen utama dalam rantai silaj jagung; pengeluaran pokok jagung, silaj jagung dan daging lembu telah diuji untuk menentukan dayamaju kewangan. Analisis menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua penghasilan pokok dan silaj jagung adalah berdayamaju dengan jumlah nilai kini sebanyak RM217,128 dan RM373,088. Namun, hanya Drum Plastik berdayamaju berbanding jenis silo yang lain dengan jumlah nilai kini positif sebanyak RM373,088. Manakala analisis belanjawan separa menunjukkan keuntungan penggunaan silaj jagung sebagai makanan ternakan lembu pedaging adalah kurang berbanding makanan konvensional PKC ditambah dengan rumput napier dengan perbezaan jumlah manfaat per kitaran sebanyak 23%. Kesimpulannya, pengeluaran silaj jagung telah terbukti secara teknikal dan kewangan berdasarkan teknologi yang telah dibangunkan dalam kajian ini. Keuntungan silaj jagung yang lebih rendah berbanding campuran rumput dan dedak menyerlahkan keperluan untuk penyelidikan yang lebih lanjut terutama dalam mengurangkan kos pengeluaran dan menentukan nisbah silaj jagung yang terbaik untuk digunakan dalam makanan ternakan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With profound gratitude to the almighty Allah s.w.t, I am thankful for His mercy that blessed me with the opportunity and time to complete my PhD study, "Alhamdulillah". I am also hopeful for His mercy on the day of judgement and the hereafter upon me and every person that ever lived on this world especially those who have helped me during my study.

Special thanks towards my supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Ridzwan Abd. Halim, Associate Professor Dr. Anjas Asmara @ Ab. Hadi Samsudin, Associate Professor Dr. Amin Mahir Abdullah and Dr. Ghazali Hussin. I am indebted to all of you forever and as you've given me the opportunity and knowledge, I will also impart those priceless lessons towards others, "Insyallah".

I would also like to thank all the staffs, friends, colleagues and everyone who have helped me for all their patience and support. I am also thankful to Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and Malaysia Education Ministry for giving me the opportunity to further my study under their scholarship and towards Agro Biotechnology Institute (ABI) for their funding in this project.

Finally, I would like to thank my family especially my parents who have sacrificed everything they have for me and my siblings. I would like to dedicate my thesis for my late brother who has gone to meet our Creator during my study, "Al-fatihah". I would like to thank everyone and my hope is we will meet again in Heaven, "Insyallah".

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 23 January 2018 to conduct the final examination of Muhamad Hazim bin Nazli on his thesis entitled "Development of Corn Silage Technology and Its Financial Feasibility for Beef Cattle in Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Phebe Ding, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Rafii Yusop, PhD

Professor
Institute of Tropical Agriculture and Food Security
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Azhar Kassim, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Kenneth A. Albrecht, PhD

Professor
University of Wisconsin
United States
(External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 March 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Ridzwan Abd. Halim, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Anjas Asmara @ Ab. Hadi Samsudin, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Amin Mahir Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ghazali Hussin, PhD

Principal Scientist
Business Promotional and Development Centre
Malaysian Agriculture Research Institute
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Muhamad Hazim Bin Nazli (GS39410)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: Mohd Ridzwan
Abd. Halim, PhD

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Amin Mahir
Abdullah, PhD

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Anjas Asmara @
Ab. Hadi
Samsudin, PhD

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Ghazali Hussin,
PhD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Background of Silage	3
2.2 World Silage Production	3
2.3 Malaysian Silage Production	4
2.4 Silage Making	4
2.4.1 Ensilation Phases	5
2.4.2 Silage Management	9
2.5 Silage Quality	11
2.5.1 Physical Assessment	11
2.5.2 Chemical Assessment	14
2.5.3 Aerobic Stability	16
2.6 Corn as Silage Material	18
2.6.1 Corn for Silage	18
2.6.2 Corn Industry	19
2.6.3 Corn Morphology and Physiology	20
2.6.4 Corn Growth Stages	21
2.6.5 Corn Harvest Stages	23
2.6.6 Corn Varieties	28
2.7 Types of Silos	28
2.7.1 Bunker Silo	30
2.7.2 Bag Silo	30
2.7.3 Plastic Drum	31
2.7.4 Siloseal	32
2.7.5 Well silo	33
2.8 Corn Silage as Feed	33
2.8.1 Cattle Industry	33
2.8.2 Feeding System	35
2.9 Financial Feasibility Study	38
2.9.1 Financial Analysis	38
2.9.2 Capital Budgeting	39
2.9.3 Partial Budgeting	41
2.9.4 Risk and Uncertainty	41

3	OPTIMUM HARVEST STAGE OF FOUR CORN VARIETIES FOR SILAGE MAKING.	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.1.1	Objectives	44
3.2	Materials and Methods	44
3.2.1	Treatments	44
3.2.2	Experimental Design	45
3.2.3	Location of Study	46
3.2.4	Soil Preparation and Planting	46
3.2.5	Maintenance	46
3.2.6	Sampling	47
3.2.7	Measurements and Analysis	47
3.2.8	Statistical Analysis	49
3.3	Results and Discussion	50
3.3.1	DM Yield	52
3.3.2	DM Concentration	55
3.3.3	Leaf DM Yield	57
3.3.4	Stem DM Yield	59
3.3.5	Tassel DM Yield	61
3.3.6	Cob DM Yield	62
3.3.7	Leaves to Stem Ratio	64
3.3.8	Cob to Whole Plant Ratio	66
3.3.9	Plant Height	68
3.3.10	Leaf Number	70
3.3.11	Crude Protein	72
3.3.12	Neutral Detergent Fibre	74
3.3.13	Acid Detergent Fibre	76
3.3.14	Lignin	78
3.3.15	Summary	80
3.4	Conclusion	81
4	EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT SILAGE SILO TYPE	82
4.1	Introduction	82
4.1.1	Objectives	83
4.2	Materials and Methods	83
4.2.1	Experimental Design	83
4.2.2	Treatments	83
4.2.3	Location of Study	85
4.2.4	Silage Material Preparation	85
4.2.5	Ensilation	85
4.2.6	Sampling Method	85
4.2.7	Parameters Measured	86
4.2.8	Statistical Analysis	88
4.3	Results and Discussion	89
4.3.1	Ensilation Temperature	93
4.3.2	Opening Temperature	95
4.3.3	Top Spoilage rate	97
4.3.4	Dry Matter Loss	100
4.3.5	Aerobic stability	101
4.3.6	pH	103

	4.3.7	Crude Protein	106
	4.3.8	Neutral Detergent Fibre	112
	4.3.9	Acid Detergent Fibre	116
	4.3.10	Lignin	121
	4.3.11	Summary	126
4.4		Conclusion	127
5		DETERMINATION OF CORN SILAGE POTENTIAL FOR BEEF CATTLE PRODUCTION.	128
5.1		Introduction	128
	5.1.1	Objectives	129
5.2		Materials and Methods	129
	5.2.1	Experimental Design	129
	5.2.2	Treatments	130
	5.2.3	Location of Study	130
	5.2.4	Feed Material Preparation	131
	5.2.5	Animal Maintenance	132
	5.2.6	Parameters Measured	132
	5.2.7	Statistical Analysis	134
5.3		Results and Discussion	134
	5.3.1	Feed Composition	135
	5.3.2	Animal Feed Intake	137
	5.3.3	Animal Weight Gain	138
	5.3.4	Feed Digestibility	140
	5.3.5	Feed Efficiency	141
	5.3.6	Summary	141
5.4		Conclusion	142
6		FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF CORN SILAGE FOR FEEDLOT BEEF PRODUCTION.	143
6.1		Introduction	143
	6.1.1	Objectives	144
6.2		Methodology	144
	6.2.1	Data Collection	144
	6.2.2	Data Analysis	144
6.3		Results and Discussion	148
	6.3.1	Corn Plant Production	148
	6.3.2	Corn Silage Production	151
	6.3.3	Beef Production	154
	6.3.4	Summary	158
6.4		Conclusion	159
7		SUMMARY, GENERAL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	160
		REFERENCES	165
		APPENDICES	182
		BIODATA OF STUDENT	202
		LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	203

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Corn Silage Fermentation Product (on DM Basis) in Corn Silage and High Moisture (HM) Corn.	16
3.1	Harvest Stage Based on the General Days After Seedling Emergence.	44
3.2	Corn Varieties Chosen.	45
3.3	Average Harvest Time of Each Variety Based on The Harvest Stage.	50
3.4	ANOVA Mean Square Values of all the Parameters.	51
3.5	Comparison of Each Variety at Selected Harvest Stage.	81
4.1	Sampling Depth.	84
4.2	Sampling Time.	84
4.3	Mean Squares from ANOVA for the Temperature Parameters for Both Experiment Cycles.	90
4.4	Mean Squares from ANOVA for the Spoilage Rate, DM Loss and Aerobic Stability of Both Experimental Cycles.	90
4.5	Effect of Treatments on the Nutritive Value after Silo Opening During the First Experiment Cycle.	91
4.6	Effect of Treatments on the Nutritive Value after Silo Opening During the Second Experiment Cycle.	92
5.1	Treatment Groups Feeding Ratio.	130
5.2	Palm Kernel Cake Proximate Composition.	130
5.3	Feed Treatments Chemical Composition.	135
5.4	Feed Treatments Energy Values.	135
5.5	Animal Feed Intakes of the Different Feed Treatments.	137
5.6	Animal Weight Changes During the Feeding Trial.	138
5.7	Feed Treatments Digestibility.	140
5.8	Feed Treatments Efficiency Values.	141
6.1	Annual Production, Revenue, Cost and Profit of Corn Plant Production Business Model.	148
6.2	Average Cost and Breakeven Analysis Result of the Corn Plant Production Business Model.	149
6.3	Financial Analysis Result of the Corn Plant Production Business Model.	149
6.4	Sensitivity Analysis of Corn Plant Production Business Model.	150
6.5	Annual Production, Revenue, Cost and Profit of Corn Silage Production Business Model Using Four Different Silo Types.	151
6.6	Average Cost and Breakeven Analysis Result of Corn Silage Production Business Model Using Four Different Silo Types.	151

6.7	Financial Analysis Result of Corn Silage Production Business Model Using Four Different Silo Types.	152
6.8	Sensitivity Analysis of Corn Silage Production Business Model Using Plastic Drum Silo.	153
6.9	Partial Budget of Feedlot Beef Cattle Production Using Different Feed Type.	154
6.10	Dominance Analysis of Feedlot Beef Cattle Production Using Different Feed Type.	155
6.11	Marginal Analysis of Feedlot Beef Cattle Production Using Different Feed Type.	156
6.12	Residual Analysis of Feedlot Beef Cattle Production Using Different Feed Type.	157
6.13	Comparison Between Corn Plant and Corn Silage Productions with Two Profitable Agriculture-Based Industries in Malaysia.	158

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Ensilation Phases.	7
2.2	Fresh Chopped Corn Plant, Normal Silage, Clostridial Silage and Black Silage Appearances.	12
2.3	Heating in Corn Silage.	17
2.4	Imports of Corn in Malaysia.	19
2.5	Corn Reproductive Stage Until Full Maturity.	22
2.6	Kernel Milkline Maturity.	24
2.7	Dry Matter Accumulation.	25
2.8	Corn Dry Mater and Plant Moisture Progress.	27
2.9	Several Types of Silos Used Worldwide.	29
2.10	Malaysia Self-sufficiency Level and Per Capita Consumption of Beef.	34
3.1	Layout of Experiment.	45
3.2	Layout of Subplot and Planting Distance.	46
3.3	Sampling Technique.	47
3.4	Comparison of DM Yield of Varieties at Each Harvest Stage.	52
3.5	Comparison of DM Yield at Different Harvest Stages for Each Variety.	53
3.6	Regression Analysis of the Four Varieties Dry Matter Yield.	54
3.7	Whole DM Concentration at Four Harvest Stages.	55
3.8	Whole DM Concentration Percentage of Different Variety.	56
3.9	Leaf DM Yield at Different Harvest Stage.	57
3.10	Leaf DM Yield of the Four Varieties.	58
3.11	Stem DM Yield at Four Harvest Stages.	59
3.12	Stem DM Yield of the Four Varieties.	60
3.13	Tassel DM Yield at Four Harvest Stages.	61
3.14	Tassel DM Yield of the Four Varieties.	61
3.15	Comparison of Cob DM Yield of Different Varieties at Each Harvest Stage.	62
3.16	Comparison of Cob DM Yield of Different Harvest Stages for Each Variety.	63
3.17	LS Ratio at Four Harvest Stages.	64
3.18	LS Ratio of the Four Varieties.	65
3.19	Comparison of CWP Among Varieties at Each Harvest Stage.	66
3.20	Comparison of CWP Among Harvest Stage for Each Variety.	67
3.21	Plant Height at Four Harvest Stages.	68

3.22	Plant Height of the Four Varieties.	69
3.23	Leaf Number at Four Harvest Stages.	70
3.24	Leaf Number of the Four Varieties.	71
3.25	CP Concentration at Four Harvest Stages.	72
3.26	CP Concentration of the Four Varieties.	73
3.27	NDF Concentration at Four Harvest Stages.	74
3.28	NDF Concentration of the Four Varieties.	75
3.29	Comparison of ADF Concentration Among Varieties at Each Harvest Stage.	76
3.30	Comparison of ADF Concentration Among Harvest Stages for Each Variety.	77
3.31	Lignin Concentration at Four Harvest Stages.	78
3.32	Lignin Concentration of the Four Varieties.	79
4.1	Silo Types Used in the Experiment.	84
4.2	Temperature Data Logger.	87
4.3	Near-infrared Spectrophotometer.	88
4.4	Silage Ensilation Temperature of Different Silo Types for the Second Experiment Cycle.	93
4.5	Mini Bunker Silage Turn Brown.	94
4.6	Silage Temperature upon Opening of Different Silo Types for the First Experiment Cycle.	95
4.7	Silage Temperature upon Opening of Different Silo Types for the Second Experiment Cycle.	96
4.8	Silage Top Spoilage Rate of Different Silo Types for the first cycle.	97
4.9	Silage Top Spoilage Rate of Different Silo Types for the Second Cycle.	98
4.10	Top spoilage in Mini Bunker.	99
4.11	Silage DM Loss Percentage of Different Silo Types.	100
4.12	Silo Aerobic Stability Period of the Different Silo Types for the First Experiment Cycle.	101
4.13	Silo Aerobic Stability Period of the Different Silo Types for the Second Experiment Cycle.	102
4.14	Comparison of Silo pH at Different Sampling Depth and Sampling Time for the First Experiment Cycle.	103
4.15	Comparison of Silo pH at Different Sampling Depth and Sampling Time for the Second Experiment Cycle.	104
4.16	Comparison of CP Among Sampling Days for Each Silo Type in the First Experiment Cycle.	106
4.17	Comparison of CP Among Sampling Days for each Silo type in the Second Experiment Cycle.	107
4.18	Comparison of CP Among Silo Types at Each Sampling Day for the First Experiment Cycle.	107

4.19	Comparison of CP Among Silo Types at Each Sampling Day for the Second Experiment Cycle.	108
4.20	Insect and Pest Detected in the Silo.	109
4.21	Comparison of CP Among Sampling Depths for Each Silo Type in the First Experiment Cycle.	109
4.22	Comparison of CP Among Sampling Depths for each Silo Type in the Second Experiment Cycle.	110
4.23	Comparison of CP Among Silo Types at each Sampling Depth for the First Experiment Cycle.	110
4.24	Comparison of CP Among Silo Types at each Sampling Depth for the Second Experiment Cycle.	111
4.25	Comparison of NDF Among Silo Types at Each Sampling Day for the First Experiment Cycle.	112
4.26	Comparison of NDF Among Silo Types at Each Sampling Day for the Second Experiment Cycle.	113
4.27	Comparison of NDF Among Sampling Days for Each Silo Type in the First Experiment Cycle.	113
4.28	Comparison of NDF Among Sampling Days for each Silo Type in the Second Experiment Cycle.	114
4.29	NDF at Different Sampling Depths for the First Experiment Cycle.	115
4.30	NDF at Different Sampling Depths for the Second Experiment Cycle.	115
4.31	ADF at Different Sampling Days for the First Experiment Cycle.	116
4.32	Comparison of ADF among Sampling Days for Each Silo Type in the Second Experiment Cycle.	117
4.33	Comparison of ADF among Silo Types at Each Sampling Day for the Second Experiment Cycle.	117
4.34	Comparison of ADF among Sampling Depths for Each Silo Type in the First Experiment Cycle.	118
4.35	Comparison of ADF among Sampling Depths for Each Silo Type in the Second Experiment Cycle.	119
4.36	Comparison of ADF among Silo Types at each Sampling Depth for the First Experiment Cycle.	119
4.37	Comparison of ADF among Silo Types at each Sampling Depth for the Second Experiment Cycle.	120
4.38	Comparison of Lignin among Sampling Days for Each Silo Type in the First Experiment Cycle.	121
4.39	Comparison of Lignin among Sampling Days for each Silo Type in the Second Experiment Cycle.	122
4.40	Comparison of Lignin among Silo Types at Each Sampling Day for the First Experiment Cycle.	122

4.41	Comparison of Lignin among Silo Types at Each Sampling Day for the Second Experiment Cycle.	123
4.42	Comparison of Lignin among Sampling Depths for each Silo Type in the First Experiment Cycle.	124
4.43	Comparison of Lignin among Sampling Depths Lignin for each Silo Type in the Second Experiment Cycle.	124
4.44	Comparison of Lignin among Silo Types at each Sampling Depth for the First Experiment Cycle.	125
4.45	Comparison of Lignin among Silo Types at each Sampling Depth for the Second Experiment Cycle.	125
5.1	ABI-UPM Livestock Research Complex.	131
5.2	Feed Stored in the Research.	131
5.3	Animals in the Newly Built Animal Facility.	132
5.4	Liveweight Changes Over the Feeding Trial Period.	138
6.1	Net Benefit Curve of Feedlot Beef Cattle Production Using Different Feed Type.	156

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Amino Acid
ABI	Agro Biotechnology Institute
AD	Acid Detergent
ADF	Acid Detergent Fibre
ADG	Average Daily Gain
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AOAC	Association of Analytical Communities
AP	Agrofood Policy Malaysia 2011-2020
BC	Before Christ
BCR	Benefit Cost Ratio
BE	Breakeven
BTL	Breeding Test Line
BW	Body Weight
CIMMYT	The International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center
CP	Crude Protein
CS	Corn Silage
CWP	Cob to Whole Plant Ratio
DAE	Days After Emergence
DCF	Discounted Cash Flow
DDM	Digestible Dry Matter
DE	Digestible Energy
DM	Dry Matter
DMI	Dry Matter Intake
DVS	Department of Veterinary Services
ETP	Economic Transformation Programme
FAOSTAT	Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Database
FCE	Feed Conversion Efficiency
FCR	Feed Conversion Ratio
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
HM	High Moisture
IRR	Internal Rate of Return
ITA	Institute of Tropical Agriculture
LAB	Lactic Acid Bacteria
LS	Leaves to Stem
LSD	Least Significance Difference
MARDI	Malaysia Agriculture Research and Development Institute
MB	Mini Bunker
ME	Metabolizable Energy
MOA	Ministry of Agriculture
MRR	Marginal Rate of Return
ND	Neutral Detergent
NDF	Neutral Detergent Fibre

NE _G	Net Energy for Gain
NE _M	Net Energy for Maintenance
NIRS	Near Infrared Spectrophotometer
NKEA	National Key Economic Area
NPV	Net Present Value
OM	Organic Matter
PB	Plastic Bag
PBP	Payback Period
PD	Plastic Drum
PE	Polyethylene
PI	Profitability Index
PKC	Palm Kernel Cake
PKE	Palm Kernel Expeller
PV	Present Value
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
RCBD	Randomized Completely Block Design
ROI	Return of Investment
SEA	South East Asia
TDN	Total Digestible Nutrient
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
VI	Voluntary Intake
WSC	Water Soluble Carbohydrate

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Beef production in Malaysia was only 23.5% of the national requirement with per capita consumption at 7.05 kg/year in 2015 (DVS, 2016). Malaysian self-sufficiency level for beef is declining while the consumption is increasing causing heavy dependence on imported beef. This situation has worsened due to slow growth of the local beef cattle industry (Serin, Radam, Shamsudin, & Mohamed, 2008). As the industry has always been dominated by small and medium scale farmers with limited grazing land and resources, the industry is dependent on purchased concentrates derived from imported ingredient (Mohamed, 2007). With the feed prices increasing and the market continuously crowded with cheaper imported meat, the local beef producers need cheaper alternative feed as feed constitutes about 70% of the total production cost. This problem can be resolved by producing the feed locally as it can be cheaper while the quality and quantity can be also manipulated accordingly. An option is to adopt whole corn plant silage that are widely used all over the world. Corn is one of the major summer forage crops for silage making in various climates including tropical and sub-tropical countries (Weinberg, Khanal, Yildiz, Chen, & Arieli, 2011). Unfortunately, neither silage nor corn production hold any significance in generating the Malaysian economy, instead it becomes the nation's biggest import item in term of quantity in recent years. Even though most of the corn imports are for non-ruminant feed, the situation highlights the need for locally produced feed to reduce dependence on costly import and subsequently increase the nation's 'feed security'.

Silage is basically fermented feed for animals that can be made from forages, crop residue or industrial by-products. The fermentation relies on acidification process under anaerobic condition naturally by epiphytic bacteria or artificially using additives. This will preserve the silage over a long period of time with minimum nutritive losses. Basically, corn silage production can be divided into two main parts; crop production and silage production. The crop production involves land and corn cultivation including the harvesting process while the silage production relates to corn preparation for the ensilation until it becomes corn silage. Apart from the varying genetic makeup of different corn varieties, the harvest stage also heavily influences corn plant quantity and quality. In contrast to fodder grass, corn is usually harvested in a later reproductive stage, though the stage where the quality and yield of corn is optimum is still debatable. Extensive researches have been done in determining the optimum corn harvest stage but there is a scarcity of such studies in a tropical climate and almost none in Malaysia. The tropical climate can significantly affect corn production in many ways especially via the different temperature fluctuations and shorter day time compared to the summer days in temperate area. These differences can also significantly affect the second part of corn silage production, in which the silo is in play. Silo is an integral part of successful silage making as it provides protection against the external variable and most importantly it keeps the silage

in anaerobic condition over a long period. Like the corn plant, the tropical temperature can adversely affect the silo and the corn silage within. As there is very limited research on the silo types in Malaysia, the need for such study is certainly justified.

In addition to the lack of research in tropical corn silage production, studies on the feeding value of corn silage for tropical beef cattle is also lacking. As beef cattle performance is different in hot and humid tropical climate from the temperate area, the response towards corn silage inclusion as feed will also differ. Research is also needed in the inclusion of industrial by-products into the corn silage feed as an effort to improve feed efficiency. As Malaysia is abundant with industrial by-products especially from the oil palm and paddy sectors, the inclusion may provide a cost-effective solution. When incorporating research findings into commercial activities, farmers' main concerns are the cost and revenue of such input. Thus, it is important to determine corn silage financial feasibility to convince the farmers in their decision-making process. The study can also aid policy makers and fund providers in assessing the financial viability of businesses involving corn silage. A comprehensive study involving the technical and financial aspect of corn silage production in Malaysia is very much needed. The objectives of the study were:

1. To identify the optimum corn harvest stage for silage making.
2. To determine the most suitable silo type for silage making.
3. To determine the intake and growth performance of beef cattle when fed corn silage.
4. To determine the financial feasibility of using corn silage as basal feed in local beef cattle feedlot production.

REFERENCES

- Abrahamse, P. A., Vlaeminck, B., Tamminga, S., & Dijkstra, J. (2008). The Effect of Silage and Concentrate Type on Intake Behavior, Rumen Function, and Milk Production in Dairy Cows in Early and Late Lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 91(12), 4778–4792.
- Addah, W., Baah, J., Groenewegen, P., Okine, E. K., & McAllister, T. A. (2011). Comparison of the fermentation characteristics, aerobic stability and nutritive value of barley and corn silages ensiled with or without a mixed bacterial inoculant. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 91, 133–146.
- Adesogan, A. A. T. (2006). Factors affecting corn silage quality in hot and humid climates. In *17th Florida Ruminant Nutrition Symposium* (pp. 108–127).
- Adesogan, A. T. (2009). Challenges of tropical silage production. In G. A. Broderick, A. T. Adesogan, L. W. Bocher, K. K. Bolsen, F. E. Contreras-Govea, J. H. Harrison, & R. E. Muck (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 15th International Silage Conference* (pp. 139–154). Madison, Wisconsin.
- Ajorlo, M., Abdullah, R., Halim, R. A., & Ebrahimian, M. (2014). Cattle grazing effect on *Mimosa pudica* L. in tropical pasture system. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agriculture Science*, 37(2), 249–261.
- Al-marashdeh, O., Greenwood, S. L., Hodge, S., & Edwards, G. R. (2015). The effects of feeding maize silage at different times prior to a herbage meal on dry matter intake, milksolids production and nitrogen excretion in late-lactation dairy cows. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production*, 75, 140–144.
- Aldrich, S. R., Scott, W. O., & Leng, E. R. (1975). *Modern Corn Production*. A & L Publications.
- Alimi, T., & Manyong, V. M. (2000). *Partial budget analysis for on-farm research*. Ibadan, Nigeria: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture.
- Alimon, A. R., & Zahari, W. M. W. (2012). Recent advances in the utilization of oil palm by-products as animal feed. In *International Conference on Livestock Production and Veterinary Technology*. Bogor.
- Allen, M. S., Coors, J. G., & Roth, G. W. (2003). Corn Silage. In D. R. Buxton, R. E. Muck, & J. H. Harrison (Eds.), *Agronomy Monograph* (42nd ed., pp. 547–608). Madison, Wisconsin: American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Science Society of America.
- Andrae, J. G., Hunt, C. W., Pritchard, G. T., Kennington, L. R., Harrison, J. H., Kezar, W., & Mahanna, W. (2001). Effect of hybrid, maturity, and mechanical processing of corn silage on intake and digestibility by beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79, 2268–2275.
- Aoki, Y., Oshita, T., Namekawa, H., Nemoto, E., & Aoki, M. (2013). Effect of cutting height on the chemical composition, nutritional value and yield, fermentative quality and aerobic stability of corn silage and relationship with plant maturity at harvest. *Grassland Science*, 59(4), 211–220.
- Arias, S., Di Marco, O. N., & Aello, M. S. (2003). Effects of hybrid and maturity

- on maize stover ruminal degradability in cattle fed different diets. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 16(11), 1619–1624.
- Ariff, O. M., Sharifah, N. Y., & Hafidz, A. W. (2015). Status of beef industry of Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Animal Science*, 18(2), 1–21.
- Arnold, T., & Nixon, T. (2011). Measuring investment value: Free Cash Flow, Net Present Value, and Economic Value Added. In H. K. Baker & P. English (Eds.), *Capital Budgeting Valuation: Financial Analysis for Today's Investment Projects* (pp. 59–78). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Ashbell, G., Kipnis, T., Titterton, M., Hen, Y., Azrieli, A., & Weinberg, Z. G. (2001). Examination of a technology for silage making in plastic bags. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 91, 213–222.
- Ashbell, G., Weinberg, Z. G., Hen, Y., & Filya, I. (2002). The effects of temperature on the aerobic stability of wheat and corn silages. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology Biotechnology*, 28(5), 261–263.
- Asiedu, F. H. K., Alexander, C. A., & Proverbs, G. (1997). Ensilage For Low Resources Farmers 1. Drum, Wirebasket And Pit As Silos. In *Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Grassland Congress* (pp. 8–17). Winnipeg, Canada.
- Association of Analytical Communities. (2000). *Official Methods of Analysis*. (W. Horwitz, Ed.) (17th ed.).
- Baghdadi, A., Halim, R. A., Othman, R., Yusof, M. M., & Atashgahi, A. R. M. (2016). Productivity, relative yield and plant growth of forage corn intercropped with soybean under different crop combination ratio. *Legume Research*, 39(4), 558–564.
- Baker, H. K., & English, P. (2011). Capital Budgeting: An overview. In H. K. Baker & P. English (Eds.), *Capital Budgeting Valuation: Financial Analysis for Today's Investment Projects* (pp. 1–16). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Bal, M. A. (2006). Effects of hybrid type, stage of maturity, and fermentation length on whole plant corn silage quality. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 30, 331–336.
- Bal, M. A., Coors, J. G., & Shaver, R. D. (1997). Impact of the maturity of corn for use as silage in the diets of dairy cows on intake, digestion, and milk production. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 80, 2497–2503.
- Bates, G. (2000). Corn Silage. University of Tennessee Agricultural Extension Service, 1–8.
- Beadle, G. W. G. (1972). Mystery of Maize. *Field Museum of Natural History. Botanical Series*, 43, 1–11.
- Bedrosian, M. C. D., Nestor, K. E., & Kung Jr., L. (2012). The effects of hybrid, maturity, and length of storage on the composition and nutritive value of corn silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 95(9), 5115–5126.
- Belel, M. D., Halim, R. A., Rafii, M. Y., & Saud, H. M. (2014). Intercropping of corn with some selected legumes for improved forage production: A review.

Journal of Agricultural Science, 6(3), 48–62.

- Bennouna, K., Meredith, G. G., & Marchant, T. (2010). Improved capital budgeting decision making: evidence from Canada. *Management Decision*, 48(2), 225–247.
- Bernardes, T. F., Nussio, L. G., & do Amaral, R. C. (2012). Top spoilage losses in maize silage sealed with plastic films with different permeabilities to oxygen. *Grass and Forage Science*, 67(1), 34–42.
- Bierman Jr., H., & Smidt, S. (2007). Capital Budgeting and Valuation Under Certainty. In *Advanced Capital Budgeting Refinements in the economic analysis of investment projects* (p. 3). New York: Routledge.
- Block, S. (2005). Are there differences in capital budgeting procedures between industries? An empirical study. *The Engineering Economist*, 50(1), 55–67.
- Bolsen, K. K., Dickerson, J. T., Brent, B. E., Sonon, R. N., Dalke, B. S., Lin, C., & Boyer, J. E. (1993). Rate and Extent of Top Spoilage Losses in Horizontal Silos. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 76(10), 2940–2962.
- Borreani, G., Bernardes, T. F., & Tabacco, E. (2008). Aerobic deterioration influences the fermentative, microbiological and nutritional quality of maize and sorghum silages on farm in high quality milk and cheese production chains. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 37, 68–77.
- Borreani, G., Dolci, P., Tabacco, E., & Cocolin, L. (2013). Aerobic deterioration stimulates outgrowth of spore-forming *Paenibacillus* in corn silage stored under oxygen-barrier or polyethylene films. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 96(8), 5206–5216.
- Borreani, G., & Tabacco, E. (2010). The relationship of silage temperature with the microbiological status of the face of corn silage bunkers. *Journal of Dairy Science*.
- Brewbaker, J. L. (2003). Corn production in the tropics The Hawaii Experience. College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources. Manoa: University of Hawaii.
- Bureenok, S., Yuangklang, C., Vasupen, K., Schonewille, J. T., & Kawamoto, Y. (2012). The effects of additives in napier grass silages on chemical composition, feed intake, nutrient digestibility and rumen fermentation. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 25(9), 1248–54.
- Buxton, D. R., & O'Kiely, P. (2003). Preharvest plant factors affecting ensiling. *Silage Science and Technology*, 199–250.
- Cammell, S. B., Sutton, J. D., Beever, D. E., Humphries, D. J., & Phipps, R. H. (2000). The effect of crop maturity on the nutritional value of maize silage for lactating dairy cows 1. Energy and nitrogen utilization. *Animal Science*, 71(2), 381–390.
- Cavallarin, L., Tabacco, E., Antoniazzi, S., & Borreani, G. (2011). Aflatoxin accumulation in whole crop maize silage as a result of aerobic exposure. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 91(13), 2419–2425.
- Chahine, M., Fife, T. E., & Shewmaker, G. E. (2009). Target Values For Corn

- Silage. In *Idaho Alfalfa and Forage Conference Proceedings* (pp. 1–5).
- Chamberlain, C. C., Fribourg, H. A., Barth, K. M., Felts, J. H., & Anderson, J. M. (1971). Effect of maturity of corn silage at harvest on the performance of feeder heifers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 33(1), 27–42.
- Chamhuri, N., & Batt, P. J. (2013). Exploring the factors influencing consumers' choice of retail store when purchasing fresh meat in Malaysia. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 16(3), 99–122.
- Charmley, E. (2001). Towards improved silage quality - A review. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 81(2), 157–168.
- Chaudhary, D. P., Kumar, S., & Yadav, O. P. (2014). Nutritive Value of Maize: Improvements, Applications and Constraints. In D. P. Chaudhary, S. Kumar, & S. Langyan (Eds.), *Maize: Nutrition Dynamics and Novel uses* (pp. 3–17). Springer India.
- Chiba, S., Chiba, H., & Yagi, M. (2005). A Guide for Silage Making and Utilization in the Tropical Regions. *Japan Livestock Technology Association*.
- Chin, F. Y. (2001). Silage production and techniques in Malaysia. In *Forage development in Southeast Asia: Strategies and impacts*. 7th Meeting of the Regional Working Group on Grazing and Feed Resources, Manado, Indonesia, 2-7 July 2001.
- Chin, F. Y., & Idris, A. B. (2000). Silage making activities of the Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia. In *FAO Electronic Conference on Tropical Silage*.
- Choudhary, V. K., & Kumar, P. S. (2013). Maize production, economics and soil productivity under different organic source of nutrients in eastern himalayan region, India. *International Journal of Plant Production*, 7(2), 167–186.
- CIMMYT. (1988). *From Agronomic Data to Farmers Recommendation: An Economics Training Manual*. Mexico.
- Clark, P. W., Kelm, S., & Endres, M. I. (2002). Effect of feeding a corn hybrid selected for leafiness as silage or grain to lactating dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 85(3), 607–612.
- Cone, J. W., Van Gelder, A. H., Van Schooten, H. A., & Groten, J. A. M. (2008). Effects of forage maize type and maturity stage on in vitro rumen fermentation characteristics. *Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 55(2).
- Coors, J. G., & Lauer, J. G. (2001). Silage corn. In A. R. Hallauer (Ed.) (2nd ed., pp. 347–392). Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Cox, W. J., & Cherney, J. H. (2005). Timing corn forage harvest for bunker silos. *Agronomy Journal*, 97(1), 142–146.
- Cozzi, G., & Mazzenga, A. (2007). Meat quality from Charolais bulls fed diets with different levels of corn silage inclusion. *Poljoprivreda*, 13(1), 99–102.
- Crafts-Brandner, S. J., & Salvucci, M. E. (2002). Sensitivity of photosynthesis in a C4 plant, maize, to heat stress. *Plant Physiology*, 129(4), 1773–1780.
- Cram, C. M., & Friedrichsen, L. (2013). Breakeven Analysis. In *New*

Perspectives: Portfolio Projects for Business Analysis (pp. 14–15). Boston: Course Technology, Cengage Learning.

- Dahmardeh, M., Ghanbari, A., Syasar, B., & Ramrodi, M. (2009a). Intercropping maize (*Zea mays* L.) and cow pea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) as a whole-crop forage: Effects of planting ratio and harvest time on forage yield and quality. *Journal of Food Agriculture & Environment*, 7(2), 505–509.
- Dahmardeh, M., Ghanbari, A., Syasar, B., & Ramroudi, M. (2009b). Effect of intercropping maize (*Zea mays* L.) with cow pea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) on green forage yield and quality evaluation. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 8(3), 235–239.
- Darby, H. M., & Lauer, J. G. (2002). Harvest date and hybrid influence on corn forage yield, quality, and preservation. *Agronomy Journal*, 94(3), 559–566.
- Dayananda, D., Irons, R., Harrison, S., Herbohn, J., & Rowland, P. (2002). *Capital budgeting: financial appraisal of investment projects*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Demirel, R., Akdemir, F., Saruhan, V., Demirel, D. S., Akinci, C., & Aydin, F. (2011). The determination of qualities in different whole-plant silages among hybrid maize cultivars. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6(24), 5469–5474.
- Dewhurst, R. J. (2013). Milk production from silage: comparison of grass, legume and maize silages and their mixtures. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 22(1), 57–69.
- Dhuyvetter, K. C., Harner III, J. P., Boomer, G., Smith, J. F., & Rodriguez, R. (2005). Bunkers, Piles, or Bags: Which is the most economical? Retrieved September 21, 2016, from [http://agmanager.info/livestock/budgets/production/dairy/SilageStorage\\$\(Nov2005\).pdf](http://agmanager.info/livestock/budgets/production/dairy/SilageStorage$(Nov2005).pdf)
- Di Marco, O. N., Aello, M. S., Nomdedeu, M., & Van Houtte, S. (2002). Effect of maize crop maturity on silage chemical composition and digestibility (in vivo, in situ and in vitro). *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 99, 37–43.
- Dolci, P., Tabacco, E., Cocolin, L., & Borreani, G. (2011). Microbial dynamics during aerobic exposure of corn silage stored under oxygen barrier or polyethylene films. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77(21), 7499–7507.
- Dunière, L., Sindou, J., Chaucheyras-Durand, F., Chevallier, I., & Thévenot-Sergentet, D. (2013). Silage processing and strategies to prevent persistence of undesirable microorganisms. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 182(1), 1–15.
- DVS. (2004). *Manual Penternakan Lembu Fidlot*. Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- DVS. (2016). Livestock Statistic 2014/2015. Retrieved September 28, 2016, from <http://www.dvs.gov.my/index.php/pages/view/1498>
- Engle, C. R. (2010). *Aquaculture Economics and Financing: Management and Analysis*. Iowa: Wiley-Blackwell.

- Estrada-Flores, J. G., González-Ronquillo, M., Mould, F. L., Arriaga-Jordan, C. M., & Castelan-Ortega, O. A. (2006). Chemical composition and fermentation characteristics of grain and different parts of the stover from maize land races harvested at different growing periods in two zones of central Mexico. *Animal Science*, 82, 845–852.
- Ettle, T., & Schwarz, F. J. (2003). Effect of maize variety harvested at different maturity stages on feeding value and performance of dairy cows. *Animal Research*, 52, 337–349.
- FAO. (2016). World Food Situation. Retrieved September 28, 2016, from <http://www.fao.org/worldfoodsituation/csdb/en/>
- FAOSTAT. (2015a). FAOSTAT: Compare Data : Maize. Retrieved September 28, 2016, from <http://faostat3.fao.org/compare/E>
- FAOSTAT. (2015b). FAOSTAT: Import/Commodities by country. Retrieved September 28, 2016, from http://faostat3.fao.org/browse/rankings/commodities_by_country_imports/E
- FAOSTAT. (2016). FAOSTAT: Crops: Maize. Retrieved September 28, 2016, from <http://fenix.fao.org/faostat/beta/en/?#data/QC/visualize>
- Ferraretto, L. F., & Shaver, R. D. (2012). Meta-analysis: Effect of corn silage harvest practices on intake, digestion, and milk production by dairy cows. *The Professional Animal Scientist*, 28, 141–149.
- Ferraretto, L., & Shaver, R. (2012). What's New with Corn Silage for Dairy Cattle? *Proceedings of the 21st Tri-State Dairy Nutrition Conference*, (608), 67–74.
- Ferreira, D. (2011). Corporate Strategy and Investment Decisions. In H. K. Baker & P. English (Eds.), *Capital Budgeting Valuation: Financial Analysis for Today's Investment Projects* (pp. 19–36). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Filya, I. (2004). Nutritive value and aerobic stability of whole crop maize silage harvested at four stages of maturity. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 116, 141–150.
- Fisher, L. J., Logan, V. S., Donovan, L. S., & Carson, R. B. (1968). Factors influencing dry matter intake and utilization of corn silage by lactating cows. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 48(2), 207–214.
- Galinat, W. C. (1971). The origin of maize. *Annual Review of Genetics*, 5(1), 447–478.
- Gerlach, K., Roß, F., Weiß, K., Büscher, W., & Südekum, K.-H. (2013). Changes in maize silage fermentation products during aerobic deterioration and effects on dry matter intake by goats. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 22(1), 168–181.
- Ghazali, H., & Halim, R. A. (2014). Underground Silo, a practical and potential silage production method for local feedlot operators. In J. M. Panandam, A. R. Alimon, H. Yaakub, H. Wahid, M. A. Omar, & W. E. Wan Khadijah (Eds.), *1st ARCAP & 35th MSAP Ann. Conf.* (pp. 95–96). Kuching:

Malaysian Society of Animal Production.

- Ghazali, H., Mokhtar, W. Y. W., Mustafa, M., & Sasyafezleen, M. Z. (2010). Production of high density oil palm frond silage in Malaysia. In W. H. Kum, N. Samat, A. H. M. S. Suhaimi, N. M. Ismail, M. A. Marzuki, T. Y. Ju, ... S. Jamli (Eds.), *4th International Conference on Animal Nutrition* (pp. 187–189). Johor Bahru: Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute.
- Ghazali, H., & Syed Hussein, S. A. (2003). SILAGER—Increasing potential for commercial drum silage production. *Forages and Feed Resources in Commercial Livestock Production Systems*, 96.
- Goering, H. K., & van Soest, P. J. (1970). Forage analyses. *USDA Agriculture Handbook No.379*.
- Götze, U., Northcott, D., & Schuster, P. (2015). Capital Budgeting and Investment Decisions. In *Investment Appraisal Methods and Models* (2nd ed., p. 3). Berlin: Springer.
- Gwayumba, W., Christensen, D. A., McKinnon, J. J., & Yu, P. (2002). Dry matter intake, digestibility and milk yield by Friesian cows fed two Napier grass varieties. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 15(4), 516–521.
- Hadijah, A. D., & Subagio, H. (2012). Economic Analysis of Hybrid Maize in South Sulawesi. In *Proceeding International Maize Conference* (pp. 278–281).
- Hallauer, A. R. (2004). Specialty Corns. In C. W. Smith, J. Betran, & E. C. A. Runge (Eds.) (pp. 897–934). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Hanafiah, H. A., Zulkifli, I., Soleimani, A. F., & Awad, E. A. (2017). Apparent metabolisable energy and ileal crude protein digestibility of various treated palm kernel cake based diets for heat-stressed broiler chickens. *European Poultry Science*, 81.
- Hargreaves, A., Hill, J., & Leaver, J. D. (2009). Effect of stage of growth on the chemical composition, nutritive value and ensilability of whole-crop barley. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 152(1), 50–61.
- Harlan, J. R. (1992). Origins and processes of domestication. *Grass Evolution and Domestication*, 159, 175.
- Helfert, E. A. (2003). *Techniques of Financial Analysis: A Guide to Value Creation*. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Hetta, M., Mussadiq, Z., Gustavsson, A. M., & Swensson, C. (2012). Effects of hybrid and maturity on performance and nutritive characteristics of forage maize at high latitudes, estimated using the gas production technique. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 171, 20–30.
- Holzer, M., Mayrhuber, E., Danner, H., & Braun, R. (2003). The role of *Lactobacillus buchneri* in forage preservation. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 21(6), 282–287.
- Horhotă, L. (2009). Financial analysis techniques employed in agriculture. In *International Conference on Applied Economics* (p. 213).

- Horrocks, R. D., & Vallentine, J. F. (1999). Processing and Storing Silage. In R. D. Horrocks & J. F. Vallentine (Eds.), *Harvested Forages* (pp. 325–337). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Huber, J. T., Thomas, J. W., & Emery, R. S. (1968). Response of Lactating Cows Fed Urea-Treated Corn Silage Harvested at Varying Stages of Maturity. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 51(11), 1806–1810.
- Hunt, C. W., Kezar, W., & Vindande, R. (1989). Yield, chemical composition and ruminal fermentability of corn whole plant, ear, and stover as affected by maturity. *Journal of Production Agriculture*, 2, 357–361.
- Idris, A. B., Yusoff, S. M., & Sharif, A. (2000). Sweet Corn Stover Silage Production. In *FAO Electronic Conference on Tropical Silage* (pp. 1–3).
- Idris, M. S. H., & Aminudin, D. (2007). Silaj pelepah kelapa sawit: Penilaian potensi dan masa depan dalam industri ternakan. *Buletin Teknol. Ternakan*, 3, 1–9.
- Inglett, G. E. (1970). *Corn: Culture, Processing, Products* (Major feed). Avi Publishing Co Inc.
- Ismail, M. M., Abdullah, A. M., & Serin, T. (2013). Financial assesment of government incentives on broiler production in Peninsular Malaysia. In R. Hamid, G. H. Tanakinjal, L. H. Ann, & L. L. Majawat (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2nd Applied International Business Conference* (pp. 94–100). Labuan: Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- ISO. (2008). ISO 13906:2008, Animal feeding stuffs - Determination of acid detergent fibre (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) contents. International Organization for Standardization.
- Israelsen, C., Barnhill, J., Pace, M., Greenhalgh, L., & Gale, J. (2009). Harvesting corn silage by plant moisture. *Utah State University Cooperative Extension*.
- Jamaludin, M. H., Hassan, M. H., Amin, M. R., & Zulhisyam, A. K. (2014). The Future of the Malaysian Beef Industry. *J. Trop. Resour. Sustain. Sci*, 2, 23–29.
- Johari, J. A., & Jasmi, Y. (2009). Breeds and breeding program for beef production in Malaysia. In *Proceedings of the 8th Malaysia Congress on Genetics* (pp. 22–28). Genting Highlands, Malaysia.
- Johnson, L. M., Harrison, J. H., Davidson, D., Mahanna, W. C., Shinnors, K., & Linder, D. (2002). Corn Silage Management: Effects of Maturity, Inoculation, and Mechanical Processing on Pack Density and Aerobic Stability. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 85(2), 434–444.
- Jones, C. M., Heinrichs, A. J., Roth, G. W., & Isher, V. A. (2004). *From harvest to feed: Understanding silage management*. Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania State University.
- Kalyebara, B., & Islam, S. M. N. (2014). Corporate governance, capital markets, and capital budgeting An integrated approach. Berlin: Springer.
- Kariuki, J. N., Tamminga, S., Gitau, G. K., Gachuri, C. K., & Muia, J. M. K. (1999). Performance of Sahiwal and Friesian heifers fed on napier grass

- supplemented with graded levels of lucerne. *South Africa Journal of Animal Science*, 29(1).
- Keady, T. W. J., & Gordon, A. G. (2006). The effects of maturity of maize at harvest and level of maize in forage based diets on the performance of beef cattle. In *Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science* (p. 46).
- Keady, T. W. J., Gordon, A. W., & Moss, B. W. (2013). Effects of replacing grass silage with maize silages differing in inclusion level and maturity on the performance, meat quality and concentrate-sparing effect of beef cattle. *Animal*, 7(5), 768–777.
- Keady, T. W. J., Kilpatrick, D. J., Mayne, C. S., & Gordon, F. J. (2008a). Effects of replacing grass silage with maize silages, differing in maturity, on performance and potential concentrate sparing effect of dairy cows offered two feed value grass silages. *Livestock Science*, 119(1), 1–11.
- Keady, T. W. J., Lively, F. O., Kilpatrick, D. J., & Moss, B. W. (2007). Effects of replacing grass silage with either maize or whole-crop wheat silages on the performance and meat quality of beef cattle offered two levels of concentrates. *The Animal Consortium*, 1(4), 613–623.
- Keady, T. W. J., Lively, F. O., Kilpatrick, D. J., & Moss, B. W. (2008b). The effects of grain treatment, grain feed level and grass silage feed value on the performance of and meat quality from, finishing beef cattle. *The Animal Consortium*, 2(1), 149–159.
- Keown, A. J., Martin, J. D., & Petty, J. W. (2011). *Foundations of Finance: The Logic and Practice of Financial Management* (7th ed.). Boston: Prentice Hall.
- Khaing, K. T., Loh, T. C., Ghizan, S., Halim, R. A., & Samsudin, A. A. (2014). Effect of different particle lengths on the bacterial population, fermentation profiles and nutritive value of whole maize plant silage. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 26(11).
- Khaing, K. T., Loh, T. C., Ghizan, S., Halim, R. A., & Samsudin, A. A. (2015). Feed intake, growth performance and digestibility in goats fed whole corn plant silage and Napier grass. *Malaysian Journal of Animal Science*, 18(1), 87–98.
- Khusahry, M. Y. M., Najib, M. A. M., Zahari, M. A. W., & Azizan, A. R. (2004). An overview of native and improved forage use in commercial livestock production. In R. . Halim, N. R. Hamid, & S. M. Nasir (Eds.), *Forages and Feed Resources in Commercial Livestock Production Systems* (p. 3).
- Kim, J. D., Kwon, C. H., & Kim, D. A. (2001). Yield and quality of silage corn as affected by hybrid maturity, planting date and harvest stage. *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 14(12), 1705–1711.
- Kim, S. C., & Adesogan, A. T. (2006). Influence of Ensiling Temperature, Simulated Rainfall, and Delayed Sealing on Fermentation Characteristics and Aerobic Stability of Corn Silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 89(8), 3122–3132.
- Koc, F., Coskuntuna, L., Ozduven, M. L., Coskuntuna, A., & Samli, H. E. (2009).

The effects of temperature on the silage microbiology and aerobic stability of corn and vetch-grain silages. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A - Animal Science*, 59(4), 239–246.

- Komleh, S. H. P., Keyhani, A., Rafiee, S., & Sefeedpary, P. (2011). Energy use and economic analysis of corn silage production under three cultivated area levels in Tehran province of Iran. *Energy*, 36, 3335–3341.
- Kum, W. H., & Zahari, M. W. (2011). Utilisation of oil palm by-products as ruminant feed in Malaysia. *Jurnal Oil Palm Research*, 23, 1029–1035.
- Kung, L., & Shaver, R. (2001). Interpretation and use of silage fermentation analysis reports. *Focus on Forage*, 3(13), 1–5.
- Kung Jr, L. (2010). Understanding the biology of silage preservation to maximize quality and protect the environment. In *Proceedings of California Alfalfa & Forage Symposium* (pp. 41–54).
- Lee, C. D., Herbek, J. H., Lacefield, G., & Smith, R. (2005). Producing Corn for Silage. *University of Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service*, 8.
- Lewis, A. L., Cox, W. J., & Cherney, J. H. (2004). Hybrid, maturity, and cutting height interactions on corn forage yield and quality. *Agronomy Journal*, 96(1), 267–274.
- Liu, Q. H., Shao, T., & Zhang, J. G. (2013). Determination of aerobic deterioration of corn stalk silage caused by aerobic bacteria. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 183(3), 124–131.
- Mahanna, B., & Chase, L. E. (2003). Practical applications and solutions to silage problems. In D. R. Buxton, R. E. Muck, & J. H. Harrison (Eds.), *Silage science and technology* (pp. 855–895). Madison, Wisconsin: ASA, CSSA, SSSA.
- Mannetje, L. (2009). Silage For Animal Feed. In H. W. Doelle, S. Rokem, & M. Berovic (Eds.), *Agricultural Biotechnology* (pp. 123–135). Eolss Publisher Co. Ltd.
- MARDI. (2014). Livestock and Feed Production Sustainability. In *Five Excellent Years of Innovation in Agriculture* (pp. 23–33). Serdang: Malaysian Agriculture Research and Development Institute.
- McNamara, K., O'Kiely, P., Whelan, J., Forristal, P. D., & Lenehan, J. J. (2002). Simulated bird damage to the plastic stretch-film surrounding baled silage and its effects on conservation characteristics. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 41(1), 29–41.
- Mélida, H., García-Angulo, P., Alonso-Simón, A., Alvarez, J. M., & Acebes, J. L. (2010). The phenolic profile of maize primary cell wall changes in cellulose-deficient cell cultures. *Phytochemistry*, 7, 1684–1689.
- Meyer, K., & Kiyamaz, H. (2011). Estimating Project Cash Flows. In H. K. Baker & P. English (Eds.), *Capital Budgeting Valuation: Financial Analysis for Today's Investment Projects* (pp. 175–195). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Mickan, F. J., Martin, M. D., & Piltz, J. W. (2004). Silage storage. In A. G. Kaiser,

- J. W. Piltz, H. M. Burns, & N. W. Griffiths (Eds.), *Successful silage* (p. 217). New South Wales: Dairy Australia and New South Wales Department of Primary Industries.
- Millner, J. P., Villaver, R., & Hardacre, A. K. (2005). The yield and nutritive value of maize hybrids grown for silage. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 48, 101–108.
- MOA. (2015). *Agrofood Statistic 2014*. Putrajaya, Malaysia: Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-Based Industry, Malaysia.
- Mohamed, Z. A. (2007). The Livestock Industry. In M. A. Fatimah, N. M. Raja Abdullah, B. Kaur, & A. M. Abdullah (Eds.), *50 years of Malaysian Agriculture: Transformational Issues, Challenges and Direction* (pp. 553–584). Serdang, Selangor: Penerbit Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Moran, J. (2005). Feeding management for small holder dairy farmers in the humid tropics. In *Tropical Dairy Farming* (p. 295). Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.
- Moran, J. B. (2009). Key performance indicators to diagnose poor farm performance and profitability of smallholder dairy farmers in Asia. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 22(12), 1709–1717.
- Moran, J. B., Drysdale, G. R., Shambrook, D. A., & Markham, N. K. (2000). A study of key profit drivers in the Victorian dairy industry. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 13, 54–57.
- Moran, J. P., Weinberg, Z. G., Ashbell, G., Hen, Y., & Owen, T. R. (1996). A comparison of two methods for the evaluation of the aerobic stability of whole crop wheat silage. In *Proceedings XI International Silage Conference*. Aberystwyth, UK.
- Muck, R. E. (2002). Effects of corn silage inoculants on aerobic stability. *American Society of Agricultural Engineers Meeting Paper No.021058*. St. Joseph, Michigan: ASAE.
- Muck, R. E., Moser, L. E., & Pitt, R. E. (2003). Postharvest Factors Affecting Ensiling. In D. R. Buxton, R. E. Muck, & J. H. Harrison (Eds.), *Agronomy Monograph* (42nd ed., pp. 251–304). Madison, Wisconsin: American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Science Society of America.
- Najim, A., Amin, M. R., Karim, S. M. R., & Mei, S. J. (2015). Small Holder Farmers' Preferences in Feeding cattle In ECER Region, Malaysia. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 8(6), 21–27.
- National Research Council. (2000). *Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle*. National Academies Press.
- Nennich, T. D., Linn, J. G., Johnson, D. G., Endres, M. I., & Jung, H. G. (2003). Comparison of feeding corn silages from leafy or conventional corn hybrids to lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 86(9), 2932–2939.
- Neylon, J. M., & Kung Jr, L. (2003). Effects of cutting height and maturity on the nutritive value of corn silage for lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 86(6), 2163–2169.

- Obst, W. J., Graham, R., & Christie, G. (2007). *Financial Management for Agribusiness*. Victoria: Landlinks Press.
- Opsi, F., Fortina, R., Borreani, G., Tabacco, E., & Lopez, S. (2013). Influence of cultivar, sowing date and maturity at harvest on yield, digestibility, rumen fermentation kinetics and estimated feeding value of maize silage. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 151, 740–753.
- Pahlow, G., Muck, R. E., Driehuis, F., Oude Elferink, S. J. W. H., & Spoelstra, S. F. (2003). Microbiology of ensiling. *Silage Science and Technology*, 42, 31–93.
- PEMANDU. (2012). National Key Economic Area Agriculture. Retrieved October 7, 2016, from http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/annualreport2011/12_National_Key_Economic_Areas-@-Agriculture.aspx
- Peterson, P. P., & Fabozzi, F. J. (2002). *Capital Budgeting: Theory and Practice*. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Pezo, D. (2000). Design and ex-ante analysis for technical interventions. In C. Devendra & A. S. Frio (Eds.), *Improving the contribution of livestock to crop-animal systems in rainfed areas in Southeast Asia* (pp. 57–84). Kunming: International Livestock Research Institute.
- Phipps, R. H., Sutton, J. D., Beever, D. E., & Jones, A. K. (2000). The effect of crop maturity on the nutritional value of maize silage for lactating dairy cows. 3. Food intake and milk production. *Animal Science*, 71(2), 401–409.
- Pioneer. (2016). Vegetative Corn Growth Stages and Scouting Tips. Retrieved September 29, 2016, from <https://www.pioneer.com/home/site/us/agronomy/crop-management/crop-growth-stages/corn-growth-stages/vegetative/#>
- Pishgar-Komleh, S. H., Keyhani, A., Mostofi-Sarkari, M. R., & Jafari, A. (2012). Energy and economic analysis of different seed corn harvesting systems in Iran. *Energy*, 43, 469–476.
- Pordesimo, L. O., Hames, B. R., Sokhansanj, S., & Edens, W. C. (2005). Variation in corn stover composition and energy content with crop maturity. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 28(4), 366–374.
- Purwin, C., Łaniewska-Trokenheim, Ł., Warمیńska-Radyko, I., & Tywończuk, J. (2006). Silage quality: Microbial health promoting and production aspect. *Medycyna Weterynaryjna*, 62(8), 865–869.
- Rahman, M. M., Abdullah, R. B., Khadijah, W. E. W., Nakagawa, T., & Akashi, R. (2013). Feed intake, digestibility and growth performance of goats offered Napier grass supplemented with molasses protected palm kernel cake and soya waste. *Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 8(3), 527–534.
- Rahman, M. M., Nakagawa, T., Abdullah, R. Bin, Embong, W. K. W., & Akashi, R. (2014). Feed intake and growth performance of goats supplemented with soy waste. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 49(7), 554–558.
- Rajasekaran, V., & Lalitha, R. (2011). Break-Even and Cost-Volume-Profit

- Analysis. In *Cost Accounting* (pp. 743–806). New Delhi: Pearson.
- Ranjit, N. K., & Kung, L. (2000). The Effect of *Lactobacillus buchneri*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, or a Chemical Preservative on the Fermentation and Aerobic Stability of Corn Silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83(3), 526–535.
- Reddy, K. R. N., & Salleh, B. (2011). Co-occurrence of moulds and mycotoxins in corn grains used for animal feeds in Malaysia. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 10(5), 668–673.
- Reiber, C., Schultze-Kraft, R., Peters, M., & Hoffmann, V. (2009). Potential and constraints of little bag silage for smallholders-Results and experiences from Honduras. *Experimental Agriculture*, 45(2), 209.
- Ridley, A. W., Burrill, P. R., Cook, C. C., & Darglish, G. J. (2011). Phosphine fumigation of silo bags. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 47(4), 349–356.
- Rooke, J. A., & Hatfield, R. D. (2003). Biochemistry of ensiling. Retrieved September 3, 2016, from <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub/1399/>
- Rooney, L. W., McDonough, C. M., & Waniska, R. D. (2004). The corn kernel. In W. Smith & J. Betran (Eds.), *Corn: Origin, history, technology, and production* (pp. 273–303). Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rosmiza, M. Z., Davies, W. P., Rosniza Aznie, C. R., Jabil, M. J., Mazdi, M., Wan Tore, W. Y., & Che Rosmawati, C. M. (2015). Developing More Green Agribusiness: The Case for Exploiting Malaysia's Under-Utilised Rice Straw. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(3), 532–538.
- Ruppel, K. A., Pitt, R. E., Chase, L. E., & Galton, D. M. (1995). Bunker Silo Management and Its Relationship to Forage Preservation on Dairy Farms. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 78(1), 141–153.
- Sara, R. R., Ismail, M. M., Kamarulzaman, N. H., & Mohamed, Z. A. (2014). The impact of government incentives on financial viability of selected aquaculture species in Malaysia. *International Food Research Journal*, 21(4), 1451–1456.
- Sarnklong, C., Cone, J. W., Pellikaan, W., & Hendriks, W. H. (2010). Utilization of rice straw and different treatments to improve its feed value for ruminants: a review. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 23(5), 680–692.
- Scarborough, N. M. (2012). Building a Business Plan: Financial Issues. In *Effective Small Business Management: An Entrepreneurial Approach* (10th ed., pp. 193–232). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Schils, R. L. M., Olesen, J. E., del Prado, A., & Soussana, J. F. (2007). A review of farm level modelling approaches for mitigating greenhouse gas emissions from ruminant livestock systems. *Livestock Science*, 112, 240–251.
- Schroeder, J. W. (2004). *Silage fermentation and preservation*. NDSU Extension Service Fargo, North Dakota.

- Schroeder, J. W. (2013). *Corn Silage Management*. NDSU Extension Service Fargo, North Dakota.
- Selvavinayagam, K. (1991). *Financial analysis in agricultural project preparation*. (No. 338.9 F38). Rome: FAO.
- Serin, T., & Hashim, F. A. H. (2010). Status and demand of technology for selected beef cattle producers in Peninsular Malaysia. *Economic and Technology Management*.
- Serin, T., Radam, A., Shamsudin, M. N., & Mohamed, Z. (2008). The efficiency of beef cattle production: A case study in the target area of concentration in Johor, Malaysia. *Economic and Technology Management Review*, 3, 57–74.
- Setapar, S. H. M., Talib, N. A., & Aziz, R. (2012). Review on crucial parameters of silage quality. *APCBEE Procedia*, 3(0), 99–103.
- Shao, T., Zhang, Z. X., Shimojo, M., Wang, T., & Masuda, Y. (2005). Comparison of fermentation characteristics of Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) and guineagrass (*Panicum maximum* Jacq.) during the early stage of ensiling. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 18(12), 1727–1734.
- Sharun, A. M., & Noor, N. M. (2004). Beef cattle farming as a new technology for the oil palm industry. In R. A. Halim, N. R. A. Hamid, & S. M. Nasir (Eds.), *Forages and feed resources in commercial livestock production systems* (pp. 31–35). Kuala Lumpur.
- Shehzad, M. A., Maqsood, M., Bhatti, M. A., Ahmad, W., & Shahid, M. R. (2012). Effects of nitrogen fertilization rate and harvest time on maize (*Zea mays* L.) fodder yield and its quality attributes. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Research*, 2(1), 19–26.
- Shinners, K. J., Binversie, B. N., Muck, R. E., & Weimer, P. J. (2007). Comparison of wet and dry corn stover harvest and storage. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 31, 211–221.
- Siddique, M. A. B., Sarker, N. R., Hamid, M. A., Amin, M. N., & Sultana, M. (2015). Growth Performance, Feed Conversion Ratio and Economics of Production of Native and Crossbred (Local× Holstein Friesian) Bulls for Fattening under Different Improved Feeding. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A*, 5, 770–780.
- Slottnner, D., & Bertilsson, J. (2006). Effect of ensiling technology on protein degradation during ensilage. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 127(1–2), 101–111.
- Soest, P. J. V. (2006). Rice straw, the role of silica and treatments to improve quality. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 130(130), 137–171.
- Storm, I. M. L. D., Kristensen, N. B., Raun, B. M. L., Smedsgaard, J., & Thrane, U. (2010). Dynamics in the microbiology of maize silage during whole-season storage. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 109(3), 1017–1026.
- Suparjo, N. M., Tan, Y. J., Mohd Farihin, M. S., Mohd Nasir, A., Zaidi, S., Abdullah, F. M., & Shokri, O. A. (2010). Comparative study of silage intake

- from Siloseal and drum. In W. H. Kum, N. Samat, A. H. M. S. Suhaimi, N. M. Ismail, M. A. Marzuki, T. Y. Ju, ... S. Jamli (Eds.), *4th International Conference on Animal Nutrition* (pp. 401–403). Johor Bahru: Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute.
- Tabacco, E., Righi, F., Quarantelli, A., & Borreani, G. (2011). Dry matter and nutritional losses during aerobic deterioration of corn and sorghum silages as influenced by different lactic acid bacteria inocula. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *94*(3), 1409–1419.
- Terzić, D., Radosavljević, M., Milašinović-Šeremešić, M., Todorović, G., Pajić, Z., & Filipović, M. (2012). Quality parameters of maize biomass as a feed raw material. *XV International Feed Technology Symposium Feed-to Food / Cost Feed for Health Joint Workshop*, 359–365.
- Tey, Y. S., Shamsudin, M. N., Radam, A., Mohamed, Z., & Mahir, M. A. (2008). Demand for beef in Malaysia: preference for quantity, quality or lean? *International Food*, *15*(3), 347–353.
- Tohiran, K. A., Omar, R. Z. R., Omar, W., Omar, I., & Ismail, S. (2012). Intensive cattle production in oil palm plantation. *MPOB Information Series*, 3–6.
- Tohno, M., Kobayashi, H., Nomura, M., Kitahara, M., Ohkuma, M., Uegaki, R., & Cai, Y. (2012a). Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Italian ryegrass silage. *Animal Science Journal*, *83*, 111–120.
- Tohno, M., Kobayashi, H., Nomura, M., Uegaki, R., & Cai, Y. (2012b). Identification and characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from mixed pasture of timothy and orchardgrass, and its badly preserved silage. *Animal Science Journal*, *83*, 318–330.
- Tolera, A., & Sundstøl, F. (1999). Morphological fractions of maize stover harvested at different stages of grain maturity and nutritive value of different fractions of the stover. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *81*(1), 1–16.
- Vellinga, T. V., & Hoving, I. E. (2011). Maize silage for dairy cows: mitigation of methane emissions can be offset by land use change. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, *89*(3), 413–426.
- Vissers, M. M. M., Driehuis, F., Giffel, M. C. Te, Jong, P. De, & Lankveld, J. M. G. (2006). Improving farm management by modeling the contamination of farm tank milk with butyric acid bacteria. *Journal of Dairy*, *89*, 850–858.
- Waldern, D. E. (1972). Effects of supplemental hay on consumption of low and medium dry matter corn silage by high-producing dairy cows. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, *52*(3), 491–495.
- Weinberg, Z. G., & Ashbell, G. (2003). Engineering aspects of ensiling. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, *13*(2–3), 181–188.
- Weinberg, Z. G., & Chen, Y. (2013). Effects of storage period on the composition of whole crop wheat and corn silages. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *185*(3–4), 196–200.
- Weinberg, Z. G., Khanal, P., Yildiz, C., Chen, Y., & Arieli, A. (2011). Ensiling fermentation products and aerobic stability of corn and sorghum silages.

Grassland Science, 57(1), 46–50.

- Weinberg, Z. G., Szakacs, G., Ashbell, G., & Hen, Y. (2001). The effect of temperature on the ensiling process of corn and wheat. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 90(4), 561–566.
- Wheaton, H. N., Sewell, H. B., Martz, F. A., & Meinershagen, F. H. (1993). Corn Silage. *Extension Publications (MU)*.
- White, W. G., Moose, S. P., Weil, C. F., McCann, M. C., Carpita, N. C., & Below, F. E. (2011). Tropical maize: exploiting maize genetic diversity to develop a novel annual crop for lignocellulosic biomass and sugar production. In M. S. Buckeridge & G. H. Goldman (Eds.), *Routes to Cellulosic Ethanol* (pp. 167–179). New York: Springer.
- Wiersma, D. W., Carter, P. R., Albrecht, K. A., & Coors, J. G. (1993). Kernel milkline stage and corn forage yield, quality, and dry matter content. *Journal of Production Agriculture*, 6(1), 94–99.
- Wilkins, R. J., Syrjala-Qvist, L., & Bolsen, K. K. (1999). The future role of silage in sustainable animal production. In T. Pauly (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 12th International Silage Conference* (pp. 23–40). Uppsala, Sweden.
- Wilkinson, J. M. (2005). *Silage*. Southampton: Chalcombe Publications.
- Wilkinson, J. M., & Davies, D. R. (2012). The aerobic stability of silage: Key findings and recent developments. *Grass and Forage Science*, 68(1), 1–19.
- Wilkinson, J. M., Newman, G., & Allen, D. M. (1998). *Maize: Producing and Feeding Maize Silage*. Lincoln, UK: Chalcombe Publications.
- Wilkinson, J. M., & Toivonen, M. I. (2003). *World Silage*. Southampton, UK: Chalcombe Publications.
- Woolford, M. K. (1990). The detrimental effects of air on silage. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 68(2), 101–116.
- Wu, G. (2010). Functional Amino Acids in Growth, Reproduction, and Health. *Advances in Nutrition*, 1, 31–37.
- Wu, G., Bazer, F. W., Dai, Z., Li, D., Wang, J., & Wu, Z. (2014). Amino Acid Nutrition in Animals: Protein Synthesis and Beyond. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, 2(1), 387–417.
- Xiccato, G., Cinetto, M., Carazzolo, A., & Cossu, M. E. (1994). The effect of silo type and dry matter content on the maize silage fermentation process and ensiling loss. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 49(3–4), 311–323.
- Zahari, M. W., & Alimon, A. R. (2005). Use of palm kernel cake and oil palm by-products in compound feed. *Palm Oil Developments*, 40, 5–9.
- Zahari, M. W., Hassan, O. A., Wong, H. K., & Liang, J. B. (2003). Utilization of oil palm frond-based diets for beef and dairy production in Malaysia. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 16(4), 625–634.
- Zamir, M. S. I., Ahmad, A. H., Javeed, H. M. R., & Latif, T. (2011). Growth and yield behaviour of two maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) towards different plant

spacing. *Cercetări Agronomice În Moldova*, 14(2), 33–40.

Zaralis, K., Nørgaard, P., Helander, C., Murphy, M., & Weisbjerg, M. R. (2014). Effects of maize maturity at harvest and dietary proportion of maize silage on intake and performance of growing/finishing bulls. *Livestock Science*, 168, 89–93.

Zebeli, Q., Ametaj, B., Junck, B., & Drochner, W. (2009). Maize silage particle length modulates feeding patterns and milk composition in loose-housed lactating Holstein cows. *Livestock Science*, 124(1–3), 33–40.

