



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***MODELLING OF BIOGAS PRODUCTION PROCESS WITH
EVOLUTIONARY ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK AND
GENETIC ALGORITHM***

ABDUL SAHLI BIN FAKHARUDIN

FSKTM 2018 8



**MODELLING OF BIOGAS PRODUCTION PROCESS WITH
EVOLUTIONARY ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK AND
GENETIC ALGORITHM**

By

ABDUL SAHLI BIN FAKHARUDIN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor
of Philosophy

July 2017

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright ©Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MODELLING OF BIOGAS PRODUCTION PROCESS WITH EVOLUTIONARY ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK AND GENETIC ALGORITHM

By

ABDUL SAHLI BIN FAKHARUDIN

July 2017

Chair: Associate Prof. Md Nasir bin Sulaiman, PhD
Faculty: Computer Science and Information Technology

In recent years, several researchers have actively pursued the application of machine learning to biogas production processes. The application of artificial neural network (ANN) to generate the production model is used to improve the modelling accuracy. The model output optimisation by genetic algorithm (GA) produces higher biogas production compared to the optimisation using statistical methods.

This study utilised the evolutionary artificial neural network (EANN) modelling to improve the model accuracy. The EANN modelling was used to represent the biogas production process. One of the issues of ANN implementation is to correctly select the output activation function in achieving higher output. The EANN used a modified activation function to meet the optimisation requirement.

To evaluate the EANN model, 19 samples of experimental data from Zainol on the regression modelling of biogas production from banana stem waste were selected. Thirteen samples were used for training (70%) and six samples were used for testing (30%). The second dataset from Mahanty which consisted of 36 samples on the modelling and optimisation of biogas production from industrial sludge were divided into 25 training samples and 11 testing samples. Meanwhile, 34 samples from Tedesco on the optimisation of mechanical pretreatment of *Laminariaceae* spp. biomass for the production of biogas were divided into 24 training samples and 10 testing samples. The last dataset from the domain expert containing 143 samples were divided into 100 training samples and 43 testing samples.

The model performance was evaluated using root mean square error (RMSE) and coefficient of determination (R^2) and the maximum output from the

optimisation was compared to the mathematical modelling. The experiment was conducted with 50 trial runs on each dataset and EANN method produced better modelling results compared to the mathematical modelling. The model output from the optimisation using GA also produced better results than the mathematical model and able to limit the maximum output of the back-propagation and Levenberg-Marquardt ANN models which used linear function output.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PERMODELAN PROSES PENGHASILAN BIOGAS
MENGUNAKAN RANGKAIAN NEURAL BUATAN
TEREVOLUSI DAN ALGORITMA GENETIK**

Oleh

ABDUL SAHLI BIN FAKHARUDIN

Julai 2017

Pengerusi: Prof. Madya Md Nasir bin Sulaiman, PhD
Fakulti: Sains Komputer and Teknologi Maklumat

Sejak kebelakangan ini, terdapat penyelidik yang aktif menggunakan aplikasi pembelajaran mesin di dalam proses penghasilan biogas. Penggunaan rangkaian neural buatan untuk memodelkan proses penghasilan tersebut telah meningkatkan kejituan model. Pengoptimuman model output oleh algoritma genetik menghasilkan biogas yang lebih tinggi berbanding pengoptimuman menggunakan kaedah statistik.

Kajian ini telah menggunakan rangkaian neural buatan terevolusi untuk meningkatkan kejituan model. Rangkaian neural buatan terevolusi digunakan untuk mewakili proses penghasilan biogas. Salah satu isu di dalam penggunaan rangkaian neural buatan ialah pemilihan fungsi pengaktifan yang betul dalam mencapai output yang lebih tinggi. Rangkaian neural buatan terevolusi telah menggunakan fungsi pengaktifan yang telah diubahsuai untuk memenuhi keperluan proses pengoptimuman.

Untuk menilai model rangkaian neural buatan terevolusi, 19 sampel data eksperimen Zainol yang menggunakan permodelan regresi untuk penghasilan biogas dari sisa batang pisang telah dipilih. Tiga belas sampel digunakan untuk set latihan (70%) dan enam sampel digunakan untuk set pengujian (30%). Set data yang kedua adalah dari Mahanty yang mengandungi 36 sampel permodelan dan pengoptimuman proses penghasilan biogas dari enap cemar industri, telah dipecahkan kepada 25 set latihan dan 11 sampel pengujian. Manakala, 34 sampel dari Tedesco bagi pengoptimuman proses pra-rawatan mekanikal biomas *Laminariaceae* spp. untuk penghasilan biogas telah dibahagikan kepada 24 sampel latihan dan 10 sampel pengujian. Data terakhir daripada pakar domain yang mengandungi 143 sampel dan telah dibahagikan kepada 100 sampel latihan dan 43 sampel pengujian.

Prestasi model telah dinilai menggunakan punca kuasa min ralat dan pekali penentuan dan maksimum output dari proses pengoptimuman telah dibandingkan dengan permodelan matematik. Ujikaji telah dijalankan dengan 50 larian bagi setiap set data dan kaedah rangkaian neural buatan terevolusi menghasilkan keputusan model lebih baik dari permodelan matematik. Model output melalui pengoptimuman algoritma genetik juga menghasilkan keputusan lebih baik daripada model matematik dan dapat menghadkan output maksimum dari rangkaian neural buatan perambatan balik dan Levenberg-Marquardt yang menggunakan fungsi linear pada output.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, with His Rahman and Raheem this journey is complete. I would like to thank the following people and institutions for their support. I would like to express my utmost appreciation to my supervisor Associate Prof. Dr. Md. Nasir Sulaiman and my co-supervisor Associate Prof. Dr. Norwati Mustapha for their guidance, support and encouragement in this journey. My thanks also goes to the Ministry of Higher Education for their support in scholarship award.

To my mother Saniah Jalir and father Fakharudin Zakaria, my wives Norazwina Zainol and Syarifah Fazlin Seyed Fadzir and to all my children, thank you for all the motivation.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 20 July 2017 to conduct the final examination of Abdul Sahli Fakharudin on his thesis entitled Modelling of Biogas Production Process with Evolutionary Artificial Neural Network and Genetic Algorithm in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Hamidah binti Ibrahim, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Abu Bakar bin Md Sultan, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Razali bin Yaakob, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Christopher Hinde, PhD

Professor
Department Computer Science, School of Science
Loughborough University
United Kingdom
(External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 December 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Md Nasir bin Sulaiman, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairperson)

Norwati binti Mustapha, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

Aida binti Mustapha, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

(Member)

Jailani bin Salihon, PhD

Professor, Ir

Faculty of Chemical Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Mara

(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: _____

Declaration by Member of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of the thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statements	2
1.3 Objectives	2
1.4 Scope of Study	2
1.5 Research Benefits	3
1.6 Organisation of the Thesis	3
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Bioenergy and Biogas Production	5
2.2 Mathematical and Statistical Modelling in Biogas Production from Waste	6
2.3 Artificial Neural Network	10
2.3.1 History	10
2.3.2 Biological Base	11
2.3.3 Back-propagation Training Algorithm	11
2.3.4 Levenberg - Marquardt Training Algorithm	13
2.3.5 Artificial Neural Network Modelling	14
2.4 Biogas Modelling using Artificial Neural Network	15
2.5 Issues in Artificial Neural Networks Modelling	17
2.6 Evolutionary Artificial Neural Network	19
2.6.1 History	19
2.6.2 NeuroEvolution of Augmenting Topologies (NEAT)	20
2.7 Genetic Algorithm	24
2.7.1 Genetic Algorithm Optimisation in Biogas Production	24
2.8 Summary	25

3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	26
3.1	Research Framework	26
3.2	Datasets and Data Pre-processing	26
3.3	Modelling of Biogas Process using Evolutionary Artificial Neural Network	30
3.4	Model Output Optimisation using Genetic Algorithm	31
3.5	Experimental Setup	32
3.6	Model Evaluation	32
3.7	Optimisation Evaluation	33
3.8	Summary	34
4	BIOGAS PRODUCTION PROCESS MODELLING AND OUTPUT OPTIMISATION	35
4.1	Evolutionary Artificial Neural Network Biogas Process Modelling Specifications	35
4.2	Modelling Benchmark Specifications	39
4.3	Model Output Optimisation using Genetic Algorithms	48
4.4	Summary	50
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	51
5.1	Results of Evolutionary Artificial Neural Network Modelling	51
5.1.1	Discussion	57
5.2	Results of Benchmark Modelling	61
5.2.1	Results of Benchmark Modelling Using BP Training	61
5.2.2	Results of Benchmark Modelling Using LM Training	68
5.3	Modelling Results	74
5.4	Results of Output Optimisation	81
5.4.1	Output Optimisation for Zainol Dataset	82
5.4.2	Output Optimisation for Mahanty Dataset	83
5.4.3	Output Optimisation for Tedesco Dataset	83
5.4.4	Output Optimisation for Domain Dataset	84
5.5	Summary	85
6	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS	86
6.1	Conclusion of the Study	86
6.2	Future Works	87
	REFERENCES	89
	APPENDICES	99
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	126
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	127

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 List of research on mathematical and statistical modelling of biogas production	9
2.2 The summaries of application of ANN and GA to model and optimise biogas production	16
3.1 Zainol dataset specification	28
3.2 Mahanty dataset specification	28
3.3 Tedesco dataset specification	28
3.4 Domain dataset specification	29
3.5 Zainol Training Set	29
3.6 Zainol Testing Set	30
3.7 Mahanty Training Set	30
3.8 Mahanty Testing Set	31
3.9 Tedesco Training Set	31
3.10 Tedesco Testing Set	32
4.1 Normal Hyperbolic Tangent results	36
4.2 Modified Hyperbolic Tangent maximum range selection	37
4.3 Modified Hyperbolic Tangent results	38
4.4 ANN using Modified Hyperbolic Tangent	38
4.5 Initial NEAT parameters	39
4.6 Zainol dataset NEAT parameters search	40
4.7 Zainol dataset final NEAT parameters	40
4.8 Mahanty dataset final NEAT parameters	40
4.9 Tedesco dataset final NEAT parameters	40
4.10 Domain dataset final NEAT parameters	41
4.11 Zainol dataset BP preliminary network architecture	42
4.12 Zainol dataset BP learning parameters selection	43
4.13 Zainol dataset BP learning parameters	43
4.14 Zainol dataset LM preliminary network architecture	43
4.15 Mahanty dataset BP preliminary network architecture	44
4.16 Mahanty dataset BP learning parameters selection	44
4.17 Mahanty dataset BP learning parameters	44
4.18 Mahanty dataset LM preliminary network architecture	45
4.19 Tedesco dataset BP preliminary network architecture	45
4.20 Tedesco dataset BP learning parameters selection	46
4.21 Tedesco dataset BP learning parameters	46
4.22 Domain dataset BP preliminary network architecture	46
4.23 Domain dataset BP learning parameters selection	47
4.24 Domain dataset BP learning parameters	47
4.25 Domain dataset LM preliminary network architecture	48
4.26 GA and RSM Optimisation Comparison	48
4.27 Parameters for GA optimisation process	50

5.1	Modelling comparison for Zainol dataset	52
5.2	Modelling comparison for Mahanty dataset	54
5.3	Modelling comparison for Tedesco dataset	55
5.4	Modelling comparison for domain dataset	57
5.5	Difference between training and testing RMSE for all dataset using EANN algorithm	59
5.6	Comparison of the best model for all dataset using EANN algorithm	60
5.7	Difference between training and testing RMSE for all dataset using BP algorithm	67
5.8	Comparison of the best model for all dataset using BP algorithm	67
5.9	Difference between training and testing RMSE for all dataset using LM algorithm	73
5.10	Comparison of the best model for all dataset using LM algorithm	73
5.11	Zainol models output optimisation	82
5.12	Zainol models optimisation predicted biogas output	82
5.13	Mahanty models output optimisation	83
5.14	Mahanty models optimisation predicted biogas output	83
5.15	Tedesco models output optimisation	84
5.16	Tedesco models optimisation predicted biogas output	84
5.17	Domain models output optimisation	85
5.18	Domain models optimisation predicted biogas output	85
A.1	Training Set	99
A.2	Testing Set	102
B.1	Crossover (CO) selection with mutation set to 0.1	103
B.2	Crossover (CO) selection with mutation set to 0.2	103
B.3	Population (Pop) selection with generation set to 100	103
B.4	Population (Pop) selection with generation set to 200	104
B.5	Population (Pop) selection with generation set to 500	104
C.1	EANN Run35	105
C.2	EANN Run36	106
C.3	EANN Run37	107
C.4	EANN Run38	108
C.5	EANN Run39	109
C.6	EANN Run40	110
C.7	EANN Run41	111
C.8	EANN Run42	112
C.9	EANN Run43	113
C.10	EANN Run44	114
C.11	EANN Run45	115
C.12	EANN Run46	116
C.13	EANN Run47	117
C.14	EANN Run48	118
C.15	EANN Run49	119
C.16	EANN Run50	120
D.1	Zainol BP Model	121
D.2	Zainol LM Model	121
D.3	Zainol EANN Model	121

D.4 Mahanty BP Model	122
D.5 Mahanty LM Model	122
D.6 Mahanty EANN Model	123
D.7 Tedesco BP Model	123
D.8 Tedesco EANN Model	123
D.9 Domain BP Model	124
D.10 Domain LM Model	124
D.11 Domain EANN Model	125



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Global energy sources 2011	6
2.2 Biomass and waste conversion technologies	7
2.3 Neuron cell	11
2.4 Neuron model by McCulloch dan Pitts	12
2.5 Back-propagation process	13
2.6 Comparison of two different ANN architectures	18
2.7 A typical cycle of the evolution of connection weights	20
2.8 A typical cycle of the evolution of architectures	21
2.9 A typical cycle of of the learning rules	21
2.10 NEAT genetic encoding	22
2.11 The two types of structural mutation in NEAT	23
2.12 The crossover operation in NEAT	23
3.1 Research Framework	27
3.2 Research Activities Flowchart	27
4.1 Hyperbolic tangent function	36
4.2 Modified hyperbolic tangent function pseudo-code	38
4.3 ANJI parameters for EANN modelling	39
4.4 Smallest architectures	42
5.1 RMSE for Zainol dataset EANN algorithm	51
5.2 R^2 for Zainol dataset EANN algorithm	52
5.3 RMSE for Mahanty dataset EANN algorithm	53
5.4 R^2 for Mahanty dataset EANN algorithm	53
5.5 RMSE for Tedesco dataset EANN algorithm	54
5.6 R^2 for Tedesco dataset EANN algorithm	55
5.7 RMSE for domain dataset EANN algorithm	56
5.8 R^2 for domain dataset EANN algorithm	56
5.9 EANN training RMSE comparison	58
5.10 EANN testing RMSE comparison	58
5.11 EANN training R^2 comparison	59
5.12 EANN testing R^2 comparison	60
5.13 RMSE for Zainol BP training	61
5.14 R^2 for Zainol BP training	61
5.15 RMSE for Mahanty dataset BP training	62
5.16 R^2 for Mahanty dataset BP training	62
5.17 RMSE for Tedesco dataset BP training	63
5.18 R^2 for Tedesco dataset BP training	63
5.19 RMSE for domain dataset BP training	64
5.20 R^2 for domain dataset BP training	64
5.21 BP training RMSE comparison	65
5.22 BP testing RMSE comparison	65

5.23	BP training R^2 comparison	66
5.24	BP testing R^2 comparison	66
5.25	RMSE for Zainol LM training	68
5.26	R^2 for Zainol LM training	69
5.27	RMSE for Mahanty dataset LM training	69
5.28	R^2 for Mahanty dataset LM training	70
5.29	RMSE for domain dataset LM training	70
5.30	R^2 for domain dataset LM training	71
5.31	LM training RMSE comparison	71
5.32	LM testing RMSE comparison	71
5.33	LM training R^2 comparison	72
5.34	LM testing R^2 comparison	72
5.35	Training RMSE for Zainol dataset on all modelling algorithms	74
5.36	Testing RMSE for Zainol dataset on all modelling algorithms	74
5.37	Training R^2 for Zainol dataset on all modelling algorithms	75
5.38	Testing R^2 for Zainol dataset on all modelling algorithms	75
5.39	Training RMSE for Mahanty dataset on all modelling algorithms	76
5.40	Testing RMSE for Mahanty dataset on all modelling algorithms	76
5.41	Training R^2 for Mahanty dataset on all modelling algorithms	77
5.42	Testing R^2 for Mahanty dataset on all modelling algorithms	77
5.43	Training RMSE for Tedesco dataset on all modelling algorithms	78
5.44	Testing RMSE for Tedesco dataset on all modelling algorithms	78
5.45	Training R^2 for Tedesco dataset on all modelling algorithms	79
5.46	Testing R^2 for Tedesco dataset on all modelling algorithms	79
5.47	Training RMSE for domain dataset on all modelling algorithms	80
5.48	Testing RMSE for domain datasets on all modelling algorithms	80
5.49	Training R^2 for domain dataset on all modelling algorithms	81
5.50	Testing R^2 for domain dataset on all modelling algorithms	81

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	Artificial Neural Network
BP	Back-propagation
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
EANN	Evolutionary Artificial Neural Network
GA	Genetic Algorithm
HRT	Hydraulic Retention Time
LM	Levenberg–Marquardt
MSE	Mean Square Error
NEAT	NeuroEvolution of Augmenting Topologies
OLR	Organic Loading Rate
R^2	Coefficient of Determination
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RSM	Response Surface Methodology



© COPYRIGHT UPM

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter will provide the background of previous studies, problem statements, objectives and scope of the study including the predicted output. It also contained research benefits and overall organisations of the thesis to give a general perspective of the research flow.

1.1 Background

The consumption of renewable energy from 2000 to 2011 has increased by 30% and the highest absolute increase among the renewable energy consumption was the bioenergy source (WBA, 2014). The bioenergy categories into the solid biomass, liquid biofuels, wastes and biogas. Interest in converting biomass resources to an alternative fuel such as biogas, have received more attention in recent times (Yang et al., 2013). A feasibility study on biogas production and utilisation as a source of renewable energy in Malaysia by Hosseini and Wahid (2013) discussed the used of palm oil industry by-product as the sources to extract biogas. A review by Ahmed et al. (2015) presented the biogas production and performance evaluation of the treatment process from palm oil mill effluent.

This biogas yield can be improved with better process design, which include the modelling, simulation and optimisation process as an integrated part of modern design practice (Betiku and Ajala, 2014). Work by Sendjaja et al. (2015) mentioned about two main types of modelling approach in anaerobic digestion, including biogas production, which are the mathematical based model derived from mathematical equations and data or statistical approach using multivariate regression and machine learning approach.

Modelling of biogas generation using mathematical and statistical approach was a proven knowledge used by many researchers (Zainol et al., 2009; Mahanty et al., 2014; Tedesco et al., 2014). They used a regression model to represent their process and the model output was being optimised using statistical method to obtain the maximum biogas output. The predicted maximum biogas output from the generated model was presented and its successfully improved the biogas output from the actual production.

The improvement of the biogas production using modern computer science field also has advantages than the mathematical modelling. Such area that is being explored (Behera et al., 2015; Dhussa et al., 2014; Yetilmezsoy et al., 2012) was a specific intelligent computing area, which used artificial neural networks (ANN) to model their process. These researchers had reported the application of ANN had succeeded to model their biogas production process. Most of the ANN training (Yetilmezsoy et al., 2012; Abu Qdais et al., 2010) was set from a small number of hidden neurons to the maximum number according to each specification.

1.2 Problem Statements

This study addressed the problem of the model output optimisation done by Akbaş et al. (2015) which unable to generate higher output (Abu Qdais et al., 2010; Gueguim Kana et al., 2012) than the actual process. He used ANN modelling with hyperbolic tangent as the activation function and the maximisation of biogas output was limited to highest function range. The optimal biogas output from the model could not achieve the actual biogas output let alone more than it.

Gueguim Kana et al. (2012) used ANN modelling with linear activation function and the optimisation process produced higher maximum output than the actual process because the linear function was not limited to a certain maximum range. The implementation of linear activation on output layer made it as a threshold layer even the hidden layer used sigmoid activation function. If the linear function output being optimised by an efficient optimiser, then the maximum output value could produce a very high, unrealistic output, because the unlimited range of the linear activation function.

It is important to develop a predictive model for engineering process that can maximise the production. A proper implementation of specialised ANN with a modified activation function should be able to regulate the network output from producing an unlimited output and should be able to produce maximum output more than one to ensure the model output is better than the actual output.

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this research is to model the biogas process with evolutionary artificial neural network (EANN) for optimum production. The specific objectives of this research are as follows:

1. To propose an implementation of evolutionary artificial neural network modelling to improve the mathematical modelling accuracy of biogas process.
2. To propose a modified activation function in evolutionary artificial neural network modelling to find the best biogas representation and to fulfil the output optimisation requirements.
3. To propose an appropriate parameter for genetic algorithm to optimise the selected biogas process representation.

1.4 Scope of Study

The study used a dataset from Zainol et al. (2009) in order to model the biogas process where she used mathematical modelling and optimisation of biogas production from banana stem waste. Three additional datasets also being used to determine the model accuracy improvement and the output optimisation

comparison. These four datasets will be normalised and divided into training and testing set.

The results from the modelling of biogas process representation will be evaluated using root mean square errors (RMSE) and correlation determination (R^2) for performance evaluation. The accuracy measurements were based on traditional ANN evaluation with an additional engineering process modelling validation.

The best model will be selected and it will be used to find the optimal biogas output using the genetic algorithm (GA). The predicted optimised output will be collected from the model output and will be compared with the mathematical optimisation.

1.5 Research Benefits

There are two main benefits of this research:

1. The EANN modelling for biogas production representation; it will be proposed as an alternative to ANN which reduce the guesswork and complexity.
2. The modified activation function; it will present the important of specific ANN design and architecture in solving a problem even though the ANN generalisation mostly works.

1.6 Organisation of the Thesis

The thesis consists of six chapters. Chapter 1 discussed the introduction to biogas production modelling and optimisation. The purpose of this chapter was to explain the problem statements, objectives of the study, the scopes of the study and the benefits. It concluded by the organisation of the thesis.

In Chapter 2 it discussed the literature review of the research. This chapter contains the information on the biogas production and research related to the topic. Followed by the previous study of modelling of biogas production from waste using a mathematical approach. The next part discussed about the ANN and the used of ANN for modelling and followed by previous study that used ANN for modelling biogas production. The next topic discussed about issues in ANN modelling and suggested solutions. The final part of the chapter discussed about GA and the previous study which utilising GA optimisation.

The next Chapter 3 discussed about the research methodology to perform the modelling and optimisation process. It started with the research framework followed by the biogas production data processing. The brief information of biogas modelling and optimisation was presented next. The experimental setup

was discussed later followed by the evaluation on both modelling and optimisation results.

In chapter 4, the details of the modelling and optimisation of biogas production were presented. The EANN modelling was discussed first and followed by the benchmark modelling. The optimisation of the model using GA algorithms was discussed last on this chapter.

Chapter 5 presented the results of the modelling using EANN and the benchmark modelling. It followed by the details on the result of GA optimisation from the models generated by EANN and ANN.

Finally, chapter 6 concluded the findings. The purpose of this chapter is to make the conclusion of the research and the future research that can be continued from this research.

REFERENCES

- Abdurahman, A. and Jiang, H. (2016). New results on exponential synchronization of memristor-based neural networks with discontinuous neuron activations. *Neural Networks*, 84:161–171.
- Abu Qdais, H., Bani Hani, K., and Shatnawi, N. (2010). Modeling and optimization of biogas production from a waste digester using artificial neural network and genetic algorithm. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 54(6):359–363.
- Ahmed, Y., Yaakob, Z., Akhtar, P., and Sopian, K. (2015). Production of biogas and performance evaluation of existing treatment processes in palm oil mill effluent (pome). *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42:1260–1278.
- Akbaş, H., Bilgen, B., and Turhan, A. M. (2015). An integrated prediction and optimization model of biogas production system at a wastewater treatment facility. *Bioresource Technology*, 196:566–576.
- Appels, L., Lauwers, J., Degreve, J., Helsen, L., Lievens, B., Willems, K., Van Impe, J., and Dewil, R. (2011). Anaerobic digestion in global bio-energy production: Potential and research challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(9):4295–4301.
- Atallah, N. M., El-Fadel, M., Ghanimeh, S., Saikaly, P., and Abou-Najm, M. (2014). Performance optimization and validation of adm1 simulations under anaerobic thermophilic conditions. *Bioresource Technology*, 174:243–255.
- Bagheri, M., Mirbagheri, S., Ehteshami, M., and Bagheri, Z. (2015). Modeling of a sequencing batch reactor treating municipal wastewater using multi-layer perceptron and radial basis function artificial neural networks. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 93:111 – 123.
- Bao, G. and Zeng, Z. (2016). Global asymptotical stability analysis for a kind of discrete-time recurrent neural network with discontinuous activation functions. *Neurocomputing*, 193:242–249.
- Batstone, D. J., Keller, J., Angelidaki, I., Kalyuzhnyi, S. V., Pavlostathis, S. G., Rozzi, A., Sanders, W. T., Siegrist, H., and Vavilin, V. A. (2002). The iwa anaerobic digestion model no 1 (adm1). *Water Sci Technol*, 45(10):65–73.
- Batstone, D J Keller, J Angelidaki, I Kalyuzhnyi, S V Pavlostathis, S G Rozzi, A Sanders, W T M Siegrist, H Vavilin, V A Journal Article Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't England Water Sci Technol. 2002;45(10):65-73.
- Battiti, R. (1992). First- and second-order methods for learning: Between steepest descent and newton's method. *Neural Computation*, 4(2):141–166.
- Baxter, J. (1992). The evolution of learning algorithms for artificial neural networks. In *in Complex Systems*, pages 313–326. IOS Press.

- Behera, S., Meher, S., and Park, H.-S. (2015). Artificial neural network model for predicting methane percentage in biogas recovered from a landfill upon injection of liquid organic waste. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 17(2):443–453.
- Betiku, E. and Ajala, S. O. (2014). Modeling and optimization of thevetia peruviana (yellow oleander) oil biodiesel synthesis via musa paradisiacal (plantain) peels as heterogeneous base catalyst: A case of artificial neural network vs. response surface methodology. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 53(0):314–322.
- Bobbili, R., Madhu, V., and Gogia, A. K. (2014). Neural network modeling to evaluate the dynamic flow stress of high strength armor steels under high strain rate compression. *Defence Technology*, 10(4):334–342.
- Bornholdt, S. and Graudenz, D. (1992). General asymmetric neural networks and structure design by genetic algorithms. *Neural Networks*, 5(2):327–334.
- Bos, A., Bos, M., and van der Linden, W. E. (1992). Artificial neural networks as a tool for soft-modelling in quantitative analytical chemistry: the prediction of the water content of cheese. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 256(1):133–144.
- Bourquin, J., Schmidli, H., van Hoogevest, P., and Leuenberger, H. (1998). Pitfalls of artificial neural networks (ann) modelling technique for data sets containing outlier measurements using a study on mixture properties of a direct compressed dosage form. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 7(1):17–28.
- Broomhead, D. and Lowe, D. (1988). Radial basis functions, multi-variable functional interpolation and adaptive networks. RSRE-MEMO-4148.
- Cairns, D. S., Huff, R. D., and Clayton, C. D. (1990). Multivariate process modelling of advanced composite materials. *Composites Manufacturing*, 1(4):229–234.
- Caudell, T. P. and Dolan, C. P. (1989). Parametric connectivity: Training of constrained networks using genetic algorithms. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Genetic Algorithms*, pages 370–374, San Francisco, CA, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Caudill, M. (1988). The polynomial adaline algorithm. *Comput. Lang. (San Francisco, CA)*, 5(12):53–59.
- Chalmers, D. J. (1990). The evolution of learning: an experiment in genetic connectionism. In *Proceedings of the 1990 Connectionist Models Summer School*, pages 81–90. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Chinese, D., Patrizio, P., and Nardin, G. (2014). Effects of changes in italian bioenergy promotion schemes for agricultural biogas projects: Insights from a regional optimization model. *Energy Policy*, 75:189–205.
- Dhussa, A. K., Sambhi, S. S., Kumar, S., Kumar, S., and Kumar, S. (2014). Nonlinear autoregressive exogenous modeling of a large anaerobic digester producing biogas from cattle waste. *Bioresource Technology*, 170:342–349.

- Djatkov, D., Effenberger, M., and Martinov, M. (2014). Method for assessing and improving the efficiency of agricultural biogas plants based on fuzzy logic and expert systems. *Applied Energy*, 134:163–175.
- Donoso-Bravo, A., Mailier, J., Martin, C., Rodriguez, J., Aceves-Lara, C. A., and Wouwer, A. V. (2011). Model selection, identification and validation in anaerobic digestion: A review. *Water Research*, 45(17):5347–5364.
- Dreyfus, S. E. (1990). Artificial neural networks, back propagation, and the kelley-bryson gradient procedure. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 13(5):926–928.
- Durruty, I., Zaritzky, N. E., and Gonzalez, J. F. (2013). Organic fractions influence on biogas generation from potato residues. kinetic model generalization. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 59:458–467.
- Emkes, H., Coulon, F., and Wagland, S. (2015). A decision support tool for landfill methane generation and gas collection. *Waste Management*, 43:307–318.
- Fogel, D. B., Fogel, L. J., and Porto, V. W. (1990). Evolving neural networks. *Biological Cybernetics*, 63(6):487–493.
- Fontanari, J. F. and Meir, R. (1991). Evolving a learning algorithm for the binary perceptron. *Network: Computation in Neural Systems*, 2(4):353–359.
- Giam, X. and Olden, J. D. (2015). A new r2-based metric to shed greater insight on variable importance in artificial neural networks. *Ecological Modelling*, 313:307–313.
- Gibson, C. A., Meybodi, M. A., and Behnia, M. (2014). Investigation of a gas turbine chp system under the carbon price in australia considering natural gas and biogas fuels. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 68(12):26–35.
- Gueguim Kana, E. B., Oloke, J. K., Lateef, A., and Adesiyun, M. O. (2012). Modeling and optimization of biogas production on saw dust and other co-substrates using artificial neural network and genetic algorithm. *Renewable Energy*, 46(0):276–281.
- Guo, M., Song, W., and Buhain, J. (2015). Bioenergy and biofuels: History, status, and perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42:712–725.
- Hagan, M. T. and Menhaj, M. B. (1994). Training feedforward networks with the marquardt algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 5(6):989–993.
- Hancock, P. J. B. and Smith, L. (1991). *Gannet: Genetic design of a neural net for face recognition*, volume 496 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, book section 43, pages 292–296. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Harp, S. A., Samad, T., and Guha, A. (1989). Towards the genetic synthesis of neural network. In *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Genetic Algorithms*, pages 360–369, San Francisco, CA, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.

- He, G., Bluemling, B., Mol, A. P. J., Zhang, L., and Lu, Y. (2013). Comparing centralized and decentralized bio-energy systems in rural china. *Energy Policy*, 63:34–43.
- He, W., Williard, N., Chen, C., and Pecht, M. (2014). State of charge estimation for li-ion batteries using neural network modeling and unscented kalman filter-based error cancellation. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 62:783–791.
- Heaton, J. (2015). Encog: Library of interchangeable machine learning models for java and c#. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 16:1243–1247.
- Hejase, H. A. N., Al-Shamisi, M. H., and Assi, A. H. (2014). Modeling of global horizontal irradiance in the united arab emirates with artificial neural networks. *Energy*, 77:542–552.
- Hertz, J., Krogh, A., and Palmer, R. G. (1991). *Introduction to the Theory of Neural Computation*. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Boston, MA, USA.
- Hinken, L., Huber, M., Weichgrebe, D., and Rosenwinkel, K. H. (2014). Modified adm1 for modelling an uasb reactor laboratory plant treating starch wastewater and synthetic substrate load tests. *Water Research*, 64:82–93.
- Hinton, G. E. and Nowlan, S. J. (1996). How learning can guide evolution. In Belew, R. K. and Mitchell, M., editors, *Adaptive Individuals in Evolving Populations*, pages 447–454. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Boston, MA, USA.
- Hosseini, S. E. and Wahid, M. A. (2013). Feasibility study of biogas production and utilization as a source of renewable energy in malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 19:454–462.
- James, D. and Tucker, P. (2004). Another neat java implementation. <http://anji.sourceforge.net/>. Accessed: 2014-06-2.
- Kaelbling, L. P., Littman, M. L., and Moore, A. W. (1996). Reinforcement learning: A survey. *J. Artif. Int. Res.*, 4(1):237–285.
- Kandel, T. P., Gislum, R., Jrgensen, U., and Lrke, P. E. (2013). Prediction of biogas yield and its kinetics in reed canary grass using near infrared reflectance spectroscopy and chemometrics. *Bioresource Technology*, 146:282–287.
- Kim, S. E. and Seo, I. W. (2015). Artificial neural network ensemble modeling with conjunctive data clustering for water quality prediction in rivers. *Journal of Hydro-environment Research*, 9(3):325 – 339.
- Koch, K., Lbken, M., Gehring, T., Wichern, M., and Horn, H. (2010). Biogas from grass silage measurements and modeling with adm1. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(21):8158–8165.

- Koza, J. R. and Rice, J. P. (1991). Genetic generation of both the weights and architecture for a neural network. In *Neural Networks, 1991., IJCNN-91-Seattle International Joint Conference on*, volume ii, pages 397–404 vol.2.
- Kumar, A., Kumar, N., Baredar, P., and Shukla, A. (2015). A review on biomass energy resources, potential, conversion and policy in india. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 45:530–539.
- Kuvendziev, S., Lisichkov, K., Zekovi, Z., and Marinkovski, M. (2014). Artificial neural network modelling of supercritical fluid co2 extraction of polyunsaturated fatty acids from common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) viscera. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 92:242–248.
- Li, C., Champagne, P., and Anderson, B. C. (2011). Evaluating and modeling biogas production from municipal fat, oil, and grease and synthetic kitchen waste in anaerobic co-digestions. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(20):9471–9480.
- Linko, P. and Zhu, Y.-H. (1992). Neural network modelling for real-time variable estimation and prediction in the control of glucoamylase fermentation. *Process Biochemistry*, 27(5):275–283.
- Lo, H. M., Kurniawan, T. A., Sillanp, M. E. T., Pai, T. Y., Chiang, C. F., Chao, K. P., Liu, M. H., Chuang, S. H., Banks, C. J., Wang, S. C., Lin, K. C., Lin, C. Y., Liu, W. F., Cheng, P. H., Chen, C. K., Chiu, H. Y., and Wu, H. Y. (2010). Modeling biogas production from organic fraction of msw co-digested with mswi ashes in anaerobic bioreactors. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(16):6329–6335.
- Lo Schiavo, M., Prinari, B., Gronski, J. A., and Serio, A. V. (2015). An artificial neural network approach for modeling the ward atmosphere in a medical unit. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 116:44–58.
- Lpez, I., Passeggi, M., and Borzacconi, L. (2015). Variable kinetic approach to modelling an industrial waste anaerobic digester. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 96:7–13.
- Ma, Y. Z. and Gomez, E. (2015). Uses and abuses in applying neural networks for predictions in hydrocarbon resource evaluation. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 133:66–75.
- Mahanty, B., Zafar, M., Han, M. J., and Park, H.-S. (2014). Optimization of co-digestion of various industrial sludges for biogas production and sludge treatment: Methane production potential experiments and modeling. *Waste Management*, 34(6):1018–1024.
- Masters, T. (1993). *Practical Neural Network Recipes in C++*. Academic Press Professional, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA.
- McCord-Nelson, M. and Illingworth, W. T. (1991). *A Practical Guide to Neural Nets*. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Boston, MA, USA.
- McCulloch, W. and Pitts, W. (1943). A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *The bulletin of mathematical biophysics*, 5(4):115–133.

- Menczer, F. and Parisi, D. (1992). Evidence of hyperplanes in the genetic learning of neural networks. *Biol. Cybern.*, 66(3):283–289.
- Miao, P., Shen, Y., and Xia, X. (2014). Finite time dual neural networks with a tunable activation function for solving quadratic programming problems and its application. *Neurocomputing*, 143:80–89.
- Miller, G. F., Todd, P. M., and Hegde, S. U. (1989). Designing neural networks using genetic algorithms. In *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Genetic Algorithms*, pages 379–384, San Francisco, CA, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Montana, D. J. and Davis, L. (1989). Training feedforward neural networks using genetic algorithms. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence - Volume 1, IJCAI'89*, pages 762–767, San Francisco, CA, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Mühlenbein, H. and Kindermann, J. (1989). The dynamics of evolution and learning - toward genetic neural networks. In Pfeifer, R., Schreter, Z., Fogelman-Soulié, F., and Steels, L., editors, *Connectionism in Perspective*, pages 173–198. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Nastos, P., Paliatsos, A., Koukouletsos, K., Larissi, I., and Moustris, K. (2014). Artificial neural networks modeling for forecasting the maximum daily total precipitation at athens, greece. *Atmospheric Research*, 144:141 – 150. Perspectives of Precipitation Science - Part {II}.
- Nath, K. and Das, D. (2011). Modeling and optimization of fermentative hydrogen production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(18):8569–8581.
- Nolfi, S., Parisi, D., and Elman, J. L. (1994). Learning and evolution in neural networks. *Adapt. Behav.*, 3(1):5–28.
- Ozkaya, B., Demir, A., and Bilgili, M. S. (2007). Neural network prediction model for the methane fraction in biogas from field-scale landfill bioreactors. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 22(6):815 – 822.
- Paredis, J. (1991). The evolution of behavior: Some experiments. In Meyer, J. and Wilson, S. W., editors, *Proc. 1st Int. Conf. Simulation of Adaptive Behavior: From Animals to Animat*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Piotrowski, A. P. and Napiorkowski, J. J. (2013). A comparison of methods to avoid overfitting in neural networks training in the case of catchment runoff modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 476:97–111.
- Podstawczyk, D., Witek-Krowiak, A., Dawiec, A., and Bhatnagar, A. (2015). Biosorption of copper(ii) ions by flax meal: Empirical modeling and process optimization by response surface methodology (rsm) and artificial neural network (ann) simulation. *Ecological Engineering*, 83:364–379.

- Prakasham, R., Sathish, T., and Brahmaiah, P. (2011). Imperative role of neural networks coupled genetic algorithm on optimization of biohydrogen yield. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 36(7):4332 – 4339. Emerging Materials Technology: Materials in Clean Power System.
- Rangasamy, P., Pvr, I., and Ganesan, S. (2007). Anaerobic tapered fluidized bed reactor for starch wastewater treatment and modeling using multilayer perceptron neural network. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 19(12):1416 – 1423.
- Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., and McClelland, J. L. (1986). Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition, vol. 1. chapter A General Framework for Parallel Distributed Processing, pages 45–76. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Russell, S. J. and Norvig, P. (2003). *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. Pearson Education, 2 edition.
- Scaglia, B., Confalonieri, R., D'Imporzano, G., and Adani, F. (2010). Estimating biogas production of biologically treated municipal solid waste. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(3):945–952.
- Schaffer, J. D., Caruana, R. A., and Eshelman, L. J. (1990). Using genetic search to exploit the emergent behavior of neural networks. *Phys. D*, 42(1-3):244–248.
- Schievano, A., Pognani, M., D'Imporzano, G., and Adani, F. (2008). Predicting anaerobic biogasification potential of ingestates and digestates of a full-scale biogas plant using chemical and biological parameters. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(17):8112–8117.
- Schittenkopf, C., Deco, G., and Brauer, W. (1997). Two strategies to avoid overfitting in feedforward networks. *Neural Networks*, 10(3):505–516.
- Schmitt, L. M. (2001). Theory of genetic algorithms. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 259(12):1 – 61.
- Sendjaja, A. Y., Tan, Y., Pathak, S., Zhou, Y., bin Abdul Majid, M., Liu, J. L., and Ng, W. J. (2015). Regression based state space adaptive model of two-phase anaerobic reactor. *Chemosphere*, 140:159–166.
- Shah, S. and Palmieri, F. (1990). Meka-a fast, local algorithm for training feedforward neural networks. In *1990 IJCNN International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, pages 41–46 vol.3.
- Shamsul, N. S., Kamarudin, S. K., Rahman, N. A., and Koffi, N. T. (2014). An overview on the production of bio-methanol as potential renewable energy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 33:578–588.
- Shehu, M. S., Abdul Manan, Z., and Wan Alwi, S. R. (2012). Optimization of thermo-alkaline disintegration of sewage sludge for enhanced biogas yield. *Bioresource Technology*, 114:69–74.

- Smith, J. M. (1987). When learning guides evolution. *Nature*, 329(6142):761–762. 10.1038/329761a0.
- Soltanali, S., Halladj, R., Tayyebi, S., and Rashidi, A. (2014). Neural network and genetic algorithm for modeling and optimization of effective parameters on synthesized zsm-5 particle size. *Materials Letters*, 136:138–140.
- Souza, T. S. O., Ferreira, L. C., Sapkaite, I., Prez-Elvira, S. I., and Fdz-Polanco, F. (2013). Thermal pretreatment and hydraulic retention time in continuous digesters fed with sewage sludge: Assessment using the adm1. *Bioresource Technology*, 148:317–324.
- Srinivas, M. and Patnaik, L. M. (1991). Learning neural network weights using genetic algorithms-improving performance by search-space reduction. In *Neural Networks, 1991. 1991 IEEE International Joint Conference on*, pages 2331–2336 vol.3.
- Stanley, K. (2002). Neat c++. <http://nn.cs.utexas.edu/?neat-c>. Accessed: 2014-06-2.
- Stanley, K. O. and Miikkulainen, R. (2002). Evolving neural networks through augmenting topologies. *Evol. Comput.*, 10(2):99–127.
- Starr, K., Talens Peiro, L., Lombardi, L., Gabarrell, X., and Villalba, G. (2014). Optimization of environmental benefits of carbon mineralization technologies for biogas upgrading. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 76:32–41.
- Strik, D. P., Domnanovich, A. M., Zani, L., Braun, R., and Holubar, P. (2005). Prediction of trace compounds in biogas from anaerobic digestion using the {MATLAB} neural network toolbox. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 20(6):803 – 810.
- Syaichurrozi, I., Budiyo, and Sumardiono, S. (2013). Predicting kinetic model of biogas production and biodegradability organic materials: Biogas production from vinasse at variation of cod/n ratio. *Bioresource Technology*, 149:390–397.
- Tedesco, S., Marrero Barroso, T., and Olabi, A. G. (2014). Optimization of mechanical pre-treatment of laminariaceae spp. biomass-derived biogas. *Renewable Energy*, 62:527–534.
- Turkdogan-Aydnol, F. I. and Yetilmezsoy, K. (2010). A fuzzy-logic-based model to predict biogas and methane production rates in a pilot-scale mesophilic uasb reactor treating molasses wastewater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 182(13):460–471.
- Vierucci, U. (2002). Neat java (jneat). <http://nn.cs.utexas.edu/?jneat>. Accessed: 2014-06-2.
- WBA (2014). World Bioenergy Association (WBA) global bioenergy statistics 2014. <http://www.worldbioenergy.org/content/wba-gbs>. Accessed: 2015-06-2.

- Whitley, D. (1989). The genitor algorithm and selection pressure: Why rank-based allocation of reproductive trials is best. In *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Genetic Algorithms*, pages 116–121. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Whitley, D. (1994). A genetic algorithm tutorial. *Statistics and Computing*, 4(2):65–85.
- Whitley, D., Starkweather, T., and Bogart, C. (1990). Genetic algorithms and neural networks: optimizing connections and connectivity. *Parallel Computing*, 14(3):347–361.
- Wilamowski, B. M., Iplikci, S., Kaynak, O., and Efe, M. O. (2001). An algorithm for fast convergence in training neural networks. In *Neural Networks, 2001. Proceedings. IJCNN '01. International Joint Conference on*, volume 3, pages 1778–1782 vol.3.
- Wilson, S. W. (1990). Perceptron redux: Emergence of structure. *Phys. D*, 42(1-3):249–256.
- Xu, F., Huang, Z., Miao, H., Ren, H., Zhao, M., and Ruan, W. (2013). Identical full-scale biogas-lift reactors (blrs) with anaerobic granular sludge and residual activated sludge for brewery wastewater treatment and kinetic modeling. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 25(10):2031–2040.
- Yang, X.-S., Koziel, S., and Leifsson, L. (2013). Computational optimization, modelling and simulation: Recent trends and challenges. *Procedia Computer Science*, 18(0):855–860.
- Yao, X. (1999). Evolving artificial neural networks. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 87(9):1423–1447.
- Yao, X. and Shi, Y. (1995). A preliminary study on designing artificial neural networks using co-evolution. In *in Proceedings of the IEEE Singapore International Conference on Intelligent Control and Instrumentation*, pages 149–154.
- Yetilmesoy, K., Turkdogan, F. I., Temizel, I., and Gunay, A. (2012). Development of ann-based models to predict biogas and methane productions in anaerobic treatment of molasses wastewater. *International Journal of Green Energy*, 10(9):885–907.
- Yin, C., Rosendahl, L., and Luo, Z. (2003). Methods to improve prediction performance of ann models. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 11(34):211–222.
- Zainol, N., Salihon, J., and Abdul-Rahman, R. (2008). Biogas production from banana stem waste: optimisation of 10 l sequencing batch reactor. In *Sustainable Energy Technologies, 2008. ICSET 2008. IEEE International Conference on*, pages 357–359.
- Zainol, N., Salihon, J., and Abdul-Rahman, R. (2009). Biogas production from waste using biofilm reactor: Factor analysis in two stages system. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 54.

Zhou, H., Lffler, D., and Kranert, M. (2011). Model-based predictions of anaerobic digestion of agricultural substrates for biogas production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(23):10819–10828.

Zhou, Y. and Wu, Y. (2011). Analyses on influence of training data set to neural network supervised learning performance. In Jin, D. and Lin, S., editors, *Advances in Computer Science, Intelligent System and Environment*, pages 19–25. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

