

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

CHARACTERISATION OF CAUSATIVE AGENTS AND PATHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED CORN INBRED LINES AGAINST SOUTHERN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE IN MALAYSIA

ABDULAZIZ BASHIR KUTAWA

FP 2016 21



CHARACTERISATION OF CAUSATIVE AGENTS AND PATHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED CORN INBRED LINES AGAINST SOUTHERN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE IN MALAYSIA

By

ABDULAZIZ BASHIR KUTAWA

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This research work is dedicated to my beloved Father and Mother, for their excellent encouragement, caring, advise and constant prayers during the course of my study. To the memory of my late grandfather, and my beloved grandmothers. The words could have been silent without you.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

CHARACTERISATION OF CAUSATIVE AGENTS AND PATHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED CORN INBRED LINES AGAINST SOUTHERN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE IN MALAYSIA

By

ABDULAZIZ BASHIR KUTAWA

May 2016

Chairman : Assoc. Prof. Kamaruzaman Sijam, PhD

Faculty : Agriculture

In Malaysia, corn is produced in small scale due to many diseases affecting this crop as a result of poor cultural practices or by planting susceptible hybrids to diseases. Leaf blight diseases have been identified as one of the main constraint for corn production in Malaysia. Yield loss could be up to 20-90%, if necessary disease management strategies were not employed, and this may leads to low income generation to the farmers. This research was carried out to characterize the causative agents of northern and southern leaf blight diseases in corn, and to assess resistance in selected inbred lines against southern leaf blight disease, as well as to determine the plant secondary metabolites produced during the interaction. Infected leaf samples were collected from infected farms of five major corn growing areas in Peninsular Malaysia from 2014 to 2015. A total of 15 isolates, were studied for their morphology. Based on morphological characteristics, the isolates were identified as Exserohilum turcicum and Cochliobolus heterostrophus. The conidial shapes for most of these pathogens were spindle, elongated and curved. Results of cultural characteristics showed that, the isolates varied in colony growth and colour. Colony growth rate of 15 isolates was significantly different at $P \le$ 0.05 after being grown on three different media viz., potato dextrose agar (PDA), corn meal agar (CMA) and potato sucrose agar (PSA), where PSA showed the highest growth rate for southern leaf blight pathogen, while CMA was the highest for northern leaf blight pathogen and PDA media was the least for all the pathogens. Based on the colony colour, all the 15 isolates were grouped into five categories i.e. dark gray, light gray, gray, light to gray and gray to green. Based on colony growth, the 15 isolates were categorized into 3 groups' viz., poor restricted growth, moderate growth and profused growth. Result of conidial measurement indicated that, the number of septa ranged from 4-6 and 8-10 for isolates CH006 and CH004, respectively. The highest conidial length was $89.44~\mu m$ for isolates ET003 and the least isolate was CH006 with $44.12~\mu m$. Likewise, the highest conidial width was 17.43 µm for isolates CH004 and the least were isolates ET002 and CH009 having 11.34 µm. Based on the pathogenicity test, isolate CH001 and CH009 shows the highest level of aggressiveness with disease severity index of 80% each, at the fourth week after inoculation. Isolate ET005 was found to be the least aggressive among the isolates tested, by having disease severity index of 22%. Molecular characterisation confirmed the identification of species, 10 of the isolates were *C. heterostophus* and 5 of the isolates were *E. turcicum*. Both the morphological and molecular identification have showed the same results. Results from assessment of resistance of selected inbred lines showed that, line SLBR5 was the most resistant line with mean disease severity index of 17.35%, while line SLBS3 was the most susceptible line by having mean disease severity 51.65%. The concentration of peroxidase (PO), polyphenols oxidase (PPO) and total phenolic content (TPC) were determined. In PO, a resistant inbred line, SLBR5 produced higher compounds with 6320, 7600 and 5800 mgGAE/g at 1st, 2nd and 3rd week after inoculation, respectively. Susceptible line, SLBS3 was found to produce less with 1640, 1800 and 1920 mgGAE/g at the same assessment periods. For PPO, inbred line SLBR5 was also found to produce higher PPO with 2440, 2560, and 2760 mgGAE/g at the 1st, 2nd and 3rd week after inoculation, respectively. While SLBS2 produced less PPO with 1080, 1240 and 880 mgGAE/g at the 1st, 2nd and 3rd week after inoculation, respectively. Similarly, in TPC, inbred line SLBR5 produced the highest TPC with 15720, 15960 and 17720 mgGAE/g at the 1st, 2nd and 3rd week after inoculation, respectively. Line SLBS3 was found to produce less TPC with 11960, 10240 and 10840 mgGAE/g at the 1st, 2nd and 3rd week after inoculation, respectively. Line SLBS3 was found to produce less TPC with 11960, 10240 and 10840 mgGAE/g at the 1st, 2nd and 3rd week after inoculation, respectively. Line SLBS3 was found to produce less TPC with 11960, 10240 and 10840 mgGAE/g at the 1st, 2nd and 3rd week after inoculation, respectively.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

PENCIRIAN AGEN PENYEBAB DAN PENILAIAN PATOLOGI BARISAN INBRED JAGUNG TERPILIH TERHADAP PENYAKIT HAWAR DAUN SELATAN DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

ABDULAZIZ BASHIR KUTAWA

Mei 2016

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Kamaruzaman Sijam, PhD

Fakulti : Pertanian

Di Malaysia, jagung dihasilkan dalam skala yang kecil kerana diserang dengan banyak penyakit yang berpunca daripada amalan kultura yang buruk atau menaman hibrit yang rentan penyakit. Penyakit hawar daun telah dikenalpasti sebagai salah satu darpada halangan utama pengeluaran jagung di Malaysia. Kehilangan hasil boleh mencecah 20-90%, sekiranya tiada langkah pengurusan penyakit dilaksanakan, dan ini akan menyebabkan penjanaan pendapatan yang rendah kepada petani. Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk mencirikan agen penyebab penyakit hawar daun utara dan selatan pada jagung dan menilai kerintangan barisan inbred terpilih terhadap penyakit hawar selatan seterusnya menentukan metabolit sekunder tumbuhan yang terhasil daripada interaksi tersebut. Sampel daun berpenyakit telah dikumpul daripada lima buah ladang jagung di kawasan penanaman utama di Malaysia dari tahun 2014-2015. Sebanyak 15 isolat kulat telah disisih dan dikaji morfologinya. Berdasarkan pencirian morfologi, isolat tersebut dikenalpasti sebagai Exserohilum turcicum dan Cochiliobolus heterostrophus. Bentuk konidia bagi kebanyakan patogen adalah berbentuk gelendong, memanjang dan melengkung. Keputusan bagi pencirian morfologi kultur menunjukkan bahawa variasi pada pertumbuhan koloni dan warna isolat. Kadar pertumbuhan koloni bagi 15 isolat adalah sangat berbeza, $P \le 0.05$ selepas dikultur pada tiga jenis media iaitu 'potato dextrose agar' (PDA), 'corn meal agar' (CMA) dan 'potato sucrose agar' (PSA), yang mana PSA menunjukkan kadar pertumbuhan yang paling tinggi bagi patogen hawar daun selatan, sementara CMA adalah tertinggi bagi patogen hawar daun utara dan PDA adalah yang terendah bagi semua patogen. Berdasarkan warna koloni pula, kesemua 15 isolat telah dikelaskan kepada lima kategori iaitu kelabu gelap, kelabu terang, kelabu, kelabu terang kepada kelabu, kelabu kepada hijau. Berdasarkan pertumbuhan koloni, 15 isolat telah dikategorikan kepada tiga kumpulan iaitu pertumbuhan rendah, pertumbuhan sederhana dan pertumbuhan tinggi. Keputusan ukuran konidia menunjukkan bahawa bilangan septa di antara 4-6 dan 8-10 bagi isolat CH006 dan CH004. Konidia terpanjang adalah 89.44 µm bagi ET003 dan terpendek adalah 44.12 µm bagi CH006. Begitu juga, saiz lebar konidia terpanjang adalah 17.43 µm bagi isolat CH004 dan terpendek adalah isolat ET002 dan CH009 dengan 11.34 µm. Berdasarkan ujian patogenisiti, isolat CH001 dan CH009 menunjukkan paras aggrasif yang tinggi dengan indek keparahan penyakit 80% pada minggu ke-4 selepas diinokulasi. Isolat ET005 didapati kurang aggresif di kalangan isolat yang diuji, dengan indek keparahan penyakit, 22%. Pencirian molekular mengesahkan pengecaman spesis, 10 daripada 15 isolat adalah C. heterostrophus dan lima daripada bakinya adalah E. turcicum. Kedua-dua pencirian molekular dan morfologi menunjukkan keputusan yang sama. Keputusan daripada penilaian kerintangan barisan inbred menunjukkan bahawa, baris SLBR5 didapati paling rintang dengan purata indek keparahan penyakit, 17.35% manakala baris SLBS3 adalah paling rentan dengan purata indek keparahan penyakit, 51.65%. Kepekatan peroksida (PO), polifenol oksida (PPO) dan jumlah kandungan fenol (TPC) telah ditentukan. Bagi PO, baris rintang, SLBR5 menghasilkan kompoun tertinggi iaitu 6320, 7600 dan 5800 mgGAE/g pada minggu ke-1, ke-2 dan ke-3 selepas diinokulasi. Baris rentan, SLBS3 didapati menghasilkan lebih rendah iaitu 1640, 1800 dan 1920 mgGAE/g pada masa penilaian yang sama. Bagi PPO, baris rintang, SLBR5 didapati menghasilkan PPO yang tinggi iaitu 2440, 2560 dan 2760 mgGAE/g pada minggu ke-1, ke-2 dan ke-3 selepas diinokulasi. Manakala SLBS2 menghasilkan PPO yang terendah iaitu 1080, 1240 dan 880 mgGAE/g pada minggu ke-1, ke-2 dan ke-3 selepas diinokulasi. Begitu juga dengan TPC, baris rintang SLBR5 menghasilkan TPC tertinggi iaitu 15720, 15960 dan 17720 mgGAE/g pada minggu ke-1, ke-2 dan ke-3 selepas diinokulasi. Baris SLBS3 didapati menghasilkan TPC lebih rendah iaitu 11960, 10240 dan 10840 pada minggu ke-1, ke-2 dan ke-3 selepas diinokulasi.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My special thanks and precious note of appreciation goes to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kamaruzaman Sijam who happens to be a father to me for his tireless support, helpful, guidance, encouragement, enthusiasm, observation, constructive criticisms, valuable advice and armful suggestions despite his numerous commitments. I am indebted to him for his tenacious assistance and patience. May Al-mighty Allah bless, guide, direct and protect him and his family in all of their undertaken. I extend my special thanks and gratitude to my Co. Supervisor in person of Dr. Khairulmazmi Ahmad for his guidance, observation and outstanding function as a never failing mentor throughout my study. With due respect, I will like extend my thanks and gratitude to Green World Genetics (GWG) and Ministry of Education Malaysia through the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, (MARDI) for the sponsorship of my research under the supervision of Associate Professor Dr. Kamaruzaman Sijam who made it possible for me to complete this study. I extend my thanks and appreciation to the Management of Federal University, Dutsin-ma for the study fellowship given to me. My unlimited thanks and immense gratitude to my brothers and colleagues in learning Osama bin Zayd (Abu Ghaleeb), Ayad Altaee, Habu Musa, Saidu A. Paiko, Tijjani Ahmadu, Wael Alsultan, Hitsin, Hazirah Mohd Din, Jora Hameed, Nur Sakinah Ilyas, Ibrahim Bala, Mal. Jafar Ahmed, Aliyu Ahmad, Malam Mahmood Umar and Malam Sulaiman Kankara for their contribution in successful completion of my study. My sincere gratitude goes to Dr. Suleiman Elhori, Mrs. Junaina, Mr. Shamsuddeen, Mr. Nazri, Mrs. Azmalina, Mr. Jawari and Mr. Rozali for their valuable assistance during the conduct of my research. I am saying thank you indeed to all staff of Plant Protection Departmental for making my stay at UPM enjoyable by way of creating a friendly and relaxing learning environment. Without your encouragement and prayers during the difficult periods of hard work, this thesis would not have been possible. I offer my deepest gratitude to my aunties and uncles (Alh. Abdullahi Abubakar Kutawa, Hajiya Mairo Suleiman, Hajiya Bara atu Abdullahi, Alh. Ahmad Abubakar Kutawa, Hajia Jummai. Alh. Bashir Sa ad for their demonstrations of love, assistance, prayers and encouragement. Moreover, my sincere gratitude goes to my beloved brother and sisters, Sufyan, Khadija and Nauwaratu, for your love and encouragement in achieving this superb goal. Above all thank you very much for your patience and understanding of my absence. I thank you all my precious friends Abubakar M. Yaro, Jafar Sani Adam, Abubakar Amiru, Nazif Magaji, Abdulkareem Musa (A.K), Mustapha Kharofi, Abu sufyan, Umar Yaro Haruna, Salim S. Bawale, Abdulaziz Mansur, Umar Ismail, Musty D.T.C, Abubakar Amiru, Adam Isa and Idee Ameen. A heartful appreciation goes to my family members and well-wishers, with much regards to Naeema Abdullahi, Asmer u Umar Jibril, Bilkisu Ibrahim Ammani, Hannerh Sani Matazu, Aisha Umar Sanusi (Humaira), Fareeda K.D, Sadeeya Dangani, Mu awiya Musa, Sanusi U. Kutawa and Sanusi D. Sambo, for their immeasurable help, caring, encouragement and enduring my absence.

Finally, and overall, I would like to thank Almighty ALLAH for His unfailing love, protection, guidance, wisdom and for provision of the able, effective, directional, and courageous leadership that I worked under. Thank you ALLAH for the plans you have for me, which are plans to give me the future I hope for. ALHAMDULILLAH.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Kamaruzaman Sijam, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Khairulmazmi Ahmad, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or comcurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice -chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Cianatura	Detail
Signature:	Date:
Name and Mati	ric No: Abdulaziz Bashir Kutawa, GS41540

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature:	
Name of Chairman of Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Kamaruzaman Sijam
	2002
Signature:	
Name of Member	
of Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Khairulmazmi Ahmad

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
ABS' ACK APPI DEC LIST	TRACT TRAK NOWLH ROVAL LARAT OF TAI	BLES	i iii v vi viii xiii xiv
LIST	OF AB	BREVIATIONS	xv
СНА	PTER		
1		RODUCTION	1
	1.1 1.2	Background Objectives of the Study	1 2
	1.2	Objectives of the Study	2
2	LITE	CRATURE REVIEW	3
2	2.1	Corn in Asia	3
	2.1	2.1.1 Morphology of corn	5
		2.1.2 Uses of corn plant	6
		2.1.3 Effect of adverse conditions in corn	7
		2.1.4 Reproduction in corn	7
		2.1.5 Corn hybrids	8
		2.1.6 Development and Available Resistant Varieties	9
	2.2	against Leaf Blight Diseases An Overview of Corn Disease	9
	2.2	2.2.1 Diseases of corn	9
		2.2.2 Northern corn leaf blight disease	10
		2.2.3 Southern corn leaf blight disease	11
	2.3	Distribution and Host Range	12
	2.4	Symptomatology of E. turcicum and C. heterostrophus	12
	2.5	Taxonomy of leaf blight pathogens	13
	2.6	Characterisation of Leaf Blight Pathogens	13
		2.6.1 Morphological variability of leaf blight pathogens	13
	2.7	2.6.2 Molecular method of identification	14
	2.7	Pathogenicity Test	14
		2.7.1 Inoculation protocol2.7.2 Spraying method	14 15
		2.7.2 Spraying method2.7.3 Pathological variability of leaf blight pathogens	15
		2.7.4 Disease management	16
	2.8	Defense Mechanism in Plants	17
	2.0	2.8.1 Plant defense responses to leaf blight pathogens	17
		2.8.2 Induced resistance in plants	18

3.2.1 Sample collection of corn leaf blight pathogens 3.2.1 Sample collection of corn leaf blight pathogens 3.2.2 Isolation of fungi 3.2.3 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.2.3.1 Effect of media on colony growth 3.2.3.2 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.2.3.3 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.2.3.4 Experimental design and data analysis 3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.4 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of C. heterostrophus 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity	3		LATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF CORN LEAF GHT PATHOGENS	19
3.2.1 Sample collection of corn leaf blight pathogens 3.2.2 Isolation of fungi 3.2.3 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.2.3.1 Effect of media on colony growth 3.2.3.2 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.2.3.3 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.2.3.4 Experimental design and data analysis 3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.3.7 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity		3.1	Introduction	19
3.2.2 Isolation of fungi 3.2.3 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.2.3.1 Effect of media on colony growth 3.2.3.2 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.2.3.3 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.2.3.4 Experimental design and data analysis 3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.6 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 2.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity		3.2		20
3.2.3 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.2.3.1 Effect of media on colony growth 3.2.3.2 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.2.3.3 Effect of perpentation on colony growth 3.2.3.4 Experimental design and data analysis 3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of femperature on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				20
3.2.3.1 Effect of media on colony growth 3.2.3.2 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.2.3.3 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.2.3.4 Experimental design and data analysis 3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				20
3.2.3.2 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.2.3.3 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.2.3.4 Experimental design and data analysis 3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				21
3.2.3.3 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.2.3.4 Experimental design and data analysis 3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				21
3.2.3.4 Experimental design and data analysis 3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				21
3.2.4 Molecular identification 3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				21
3.2.4.1 DNA extraction 3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				22
3.2.4.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ITS region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 2.2.3.3 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				22
region and β-tubulin gene 3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				22
3.2.4.3 Gel electrophoresis and staining 3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of C. heterostrophus 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				22
3.2.4.4 Sequencing of DNA 3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of C. heterostrophus 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				
3.2.4.5 Phylogenetic analysis 3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				23
3.2.5 Pathogenicity test 3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				23
3.2.5.1 Preparation of seedlings, inoculum and inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 2.3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				23
inoculation 3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of C. heterostrophus 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				24
3.2.5.2 Experimental design and data analysis 3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				24
3.3 Results and Discussion 3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				
3.3.1 Cultural and morphological characterisation 3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of C. heterostrophus 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity		2.2		24
3.3.2 Effect of media on colony growth 3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity		3.3		25
3.3.3 Effect of pH on colony growth 3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				25
3.3.4 Effect of temperature on colony growth 3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of C. heterostrophus 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				32
3.3.5 Molecular identification 3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				35
3.3.5.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				36
3.3.5.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis 3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				38
3.3.6 Pathogenicity test 3.4 Conclusion 4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				38
4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				38
4 ASSESSMENT OF RESISTANCE AMONG SELECTED INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 5.4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity		2.4		45
INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity		3.4	Conclusion	51
INBRED LINES OF CORN AGAINST SOUTHERN CORN LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				
LEAF BLIGHT DISEASE, AND DETERMINATION OF SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity	4			52
SECONDARY METABOLITES PRODUCED DURING THE INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 5.2 A.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 5.4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				
INTERACTION 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				
4.1 Introduction 4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				
4.2 Materials and Methods 4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				
4.2.1 Planting materials 4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 5.5 4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 5.6 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity				52
4.2.2 Artificial inoculation of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> 5.4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 6.5 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity		4.2		53
4.2.3 Assessment of resistance in selected inbred lines 4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity			C	53
4.3 Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity			1	53
(PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity		4.2		54
		4.3		55
4.3.1 Samples and preservation 5				
			1 1	55
				55
				56
4.3.2.2 Determination of polyphenol 5				56

		4.3.3 Preparation and determination of total phenolic content (TPC)	56
	4.4	Data Analysis	57
	4.5	Results and Discussion	57
		4.5.1 Disease resistance of selected inbred lines	57
	4.6	Determination of Peroxidase (PO) and Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO) and Total Phenolic Content (TPC) Activity in	61
		Inoculated Corn	
	4.7	Conclusion	65
5	SUM	IMARY, GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND	66
	REC	COMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	
	5.1	Summary	66
	5.2	Conclusions	66
	5.3	Recommendations for future research	67
REF	ERENC	ES	68
APP	ENDICE	ES	78
BIO	DATA C	OF STUDENT	120
LIST	OF PH	RLICATIONS	121

LIST OF TABLES

Table	e	Page
2.1	Corn importation into Malaysia from other countries of the world in 2013-2015	5
2.2	Foliar fungicides of corn and its efficacy against northern corn leaf blight disease	17
3.1	List of diseased samples collected from four different states in Peninsular Malaysia	20
3.2	Morphological characteristic of <i>Exserohilum turcicum</i> conidia for all the five isolates	27
3.3	Morphological characteristic and growth pattern of <i>Cochliobolus</i> heterostrophus conidia for all the 10 isolates	29
3.4	<i>In-vitro</i> cultural characteristics of <i>E. turcicum</i> and <i>C. heterostrophus</i> fungal isolates obtained from infected corn in five different areas of peninsular Malaysia assessed on PDA	31
3.5	Effect of media on growth rate of <i>E. turcicum</i> isolates at incubation period of two weeks	32
3.6	Effect of media on growth rate of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> isolates at incubation period of two weeks	34
3.7	Effect of pH on growth of <i>E. turcicum</i> after incubation for a period of one week.	35
3.8	Effect of pH on growth of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> after incubation for a period of one week	36
3.9	Effect of temperature on growth of <i>E. turcicum</i> after incubation for a period of one week.	37
3.10	Effect of temperature on growth of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> after incubation for a period of one week	37
3.11	Blast results of 15 isolates of <i>E. turcicum</i> and <i>C. heterostrophus</i> for ITS region	40
3.12	Blast results of 15 isolates of <i>E. turcicum</i> and <i>C. heterostrophus</i> for β-tubulin gene	42
3.13	Disease severity index (%) of <i>E. turcicum</i> isolates from infected corn plants tested on TSS corn seedlings	47
3.14	Disease severity index (%) of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> isolates from infected corn plants tested on TSS corn seedlings	50
4.1	Disease classes with their corresponding symptoms on corn seedlings	55
4.2	Disease category used in the assessment of corn seedlings	55
4.3	Means of disease incidence (DI) for two experiments against <i>C. heterostrophus</i> pathogen.	58
4.4	Means of Disease severity index (DSI) for two experiments against <i>C. heterostrophus</i> pathogen on eight inbred lines of corn	60

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Region cultivated under grain crops in Asian continent in the year 2014/2015	4
2.2	Fully grown female flouroscence of sweet corn cultivar	8
2.3	Disease cycle of northern corn leaf blight disease	10
2.4	Disease cycle of southern corn leaf blight disease	11
3.1	Elongated and spindle shaped <i>E. turcicum</i> spores and elongated spore with a protruding hilum viewed under compound light microscope, magnification x40 (A and B)	26
3.2	Elongated and curved shaped <i>C. heterostrophus</i> spores and Elongated spore with clear simple septae arranged in linear order magnification x40 (A and B).	28
3.3	Colony appearance for isolate ET004 of <i>E. turcicum</i> grown on PDA, CMA and PSA media	32
3.4	Colony appearance for isolate CH010 of <i>C. heterostrophus</i> grown on PDA, CMA and PSA media	34
3.5	PCR results of 15 representative diseased samples of corn collected from four states in Peninsular Malaysia	38
3.6	Showing the phylogenetic relationship (ITS) of <i>E. turcicum</i> and <i>C. heterostrophus</i> isolates compared with accession numbers of other species	43
3.7	Showing the phylogenetic relationship (β -tubulin gene) of <i>E. turcicum</i> and <i>C. heterostrophus</i> isolates compared with accession numbers of other species	45
3.8	The symptom of NCLB first appeared as elliptical grey streaks. Over time, the symptoms progresses to form single, long and cigar shaped lesion (A and B)	46
3.9	The symptom of SCLB first appeared as elliptical brownish red spots, over time, the symptoms progresses to form necrotic long lesion (A and)	48
4.1	The symptoms on susceptible inbred line SLBS2 became severe with brownish red necrotic lesions; the symptoms on resistant inbred line SLBR3 first appear as spots (A and B).	57
4.2	Un-inoculated inbred lines without showing any symptom of SCLB disease.	58
4.3	Means in percentage of Disease severity index (DSI) for two experiments against pathogenic <i>C. heterostrophus</i> on eight inbred lines of corn	61
4.4	Activity of PO (Peroxidase) on corn leaves at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 weeks after inoculation with pathogenic <i>C. heterostrophus</i>	62
4.5	Activity of PPO (Polyphenol oxidase) on corn leaves at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 weeks after inoculation with pathogenic <i>C. heterostrophus</i>	63
4.6	Activity of TPC (Total phenolic content) on corn leaves at 1, 2, 3, 4	64

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AL Aluminium

ANOVA Analysis of variance

AUDPC Area under disease progressive curve

AVR Avirulence BP Base pairs BXS Benzoxazinoids CMA Corn meal agar DΙ Disease incidence DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid DSI Disease severity index EU European union

FAO Food and Agricultural Organization
GPDH Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase

GWG Green world genetics
HT High-throughput

ITS Internal transcribed spacer

KB Kilo base

LSD Least significant differences

MM Millimeter
MEA Malt extract agar
MLB Maydis leaf blight
MR Moderately resistant
MS Moderately susceptible
NCLB Northern corn leaf blight

OECD Organization for economic Development and cooperation

OPC Open photoacoustic cell technique

PCR Polymerase chain reaction
PDA Potato dextrose agar

PO Peroxidase

PPO Polyphenols oxidase
PSA Potato sucrose agar
PVP polyvinyl pyrrolidone
QTL Quantitative trait loci

R Resistant

RP Retinitis pigmentosa

S Susceptible

SCLB Southern corn leaf blight TCMS Texas cytoplasmic male sterility

TLB Turcicum leaf blight TPC Total phenolic content

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

WAI Week after inoculation

WT Wild type

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Cereals are considered as the most vital staple crops in the world including Asia. These crops have the most established record of cultivation by humanity, and have taken after difficult and interrelated course of evolution (Harlan et al., 1998). Human civilisation has been, and is still, firmly connected with cereals and has moved over the world conveying cereals culture amid humankind relocations. This is reflected through the journey of rice, wheat, millets and barley from old to the new world and of corn on the other hand. Numerous different crops are cultivated with, before or after this crop, to fulfill the taste and address the issue of people and also their necessity for dress, animal feed and shelter (Sadras et al., 2009). Wheat, rice and corn contribute about 60% of the human food globally (Tilman et al., 2002). These three crops represent over 90% of Asia's cereal production and cover more than 284 million ha of prime agricultural area (FAO, 2008). The minor crops incorporate sorghum, barley and other several kinds of millets. General production of cereals has been always expanding in Asia regardless of declining in cumulative region compared in production in 1980s (FAO, 2008). Corn is the second most imperative cereal crop after rice in Asia. It is the substitute staple for individuals in mountainous and rural areas, particularly amid times of rice deficiency. It is cultivated purposely for human utilization as either fresh or processes form. In addition, corn is produced for industrial purposes and animal feed, the industrial uses of corn includes: flour, ethanol, cooking syrup and starch (Kolawole, 2009).

Every year, different types of pathogens i.e. fungi, viruses, bacteria and nematodes caused significant loss by affecting corn plant worldwide. Various diseases such as southern rust, common rust, sting, brown spots, seed rots, stalk rots, corn dwarf mosaic virus, northern corn leaf blight and southern corn leaf bight were found to affect corn, with individual fields regularly enduring serious losses. All parts of corn are vulnerable to attack (the leaves, stalks, roots and ears) at different phases of growth. Consequently, these diseases reduce the quality and value of the grain and might influence the operational costs. In corn ear, stalk and leaf disease for the most part are favoured by wet and warm climate (Harry *et al.*, 2014).

In Malaysia, northern and southern corn leaf blight, leaf rust and spots still remain the main foliar disease of corn in relatively most areas where corn is grown. This research will therefore be directed towards investigating two main diseases of corn southern and northern corn leaf blight diseases in Malaysia. So far, not many investigations have been put in place to study these diseases, therefore it might be quite challenging task to know the background as well as the current status of corn leaf blight diseases in Malaysia due to the inadequacy of published materials, since there are only few reports, if any on the prevalence of corn in corn growing areas in Malaysia. There is high requirement for an investigation on the portrayal of the pathogen and management strategies of leaf blight diseases in corn producing zones. Despite the fact that, many researchers have worked to

find out the actual pathogens responsible for causing southern and northern corn leaf blight diseases, up to now, a lot of work needs to be done with regards to morphological variability of these pathogens, for better and easy identification and to put more emphasis on production of hybrids that are resistant to the diseases. Based on this, the research work is focused to find out more about the molecular and morphological variability of northern and southern corn leaf blight pathogens, as well as to determine the aggressiveness of the fungal pathogens. Chapter 3 will focus on isolation and characterisation of corn leaf blight pathogens, while the assessment of resistance among selected inbred lines of corn, and determination of secondary metabolites produced during the interaction will be discuss in Chapter 4.

1.2 Objectives of the study

The objectives of this research are to:

- i. Isolate and characterize the causative agents of northern and southern corn leaf blight diseases in Malaysia.
- ii. Assess disease resistance of selected inbred lines against southern corn leaf blight disease.
- iii. Quantitate selected secondary metabolites, produced by tested corn inbred lines during the interaction.

REFERENCES

- Agrios, G. N. 2005. Plant Pathology (5th ed.). USA: Elsevier Academic Press, 952 p.
- Ait Barka, E. and Nowak, J. C. 2006. Enhancement of chilling resistance of inoculated grapevine plantlets with a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium, *Burkholderia phytofir* mans strain PSJN. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, **72**: 724–725.
- Alcorn, J. L. 1983. A Generic concepts of *Drechslera*, *Bipolaris* and *Exserohilum*. *Mycotaxon* 17: 1–86.
- Alcorn, J. L. 1988. The taxonomy of "Helminthosporium" species. Annual Review of Phytopathology 26: 37–56.
- Almaguer, M., Rojas, T. I., Dobal, V. and Batista, A. M. 2012. Effect of temperature on growth and germination of conidia in *Curvularia* and *Bipolaris* species isolated from the air. *Aerobiologia* 53: 29-57.
- Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schaffer, A. A., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. and Lipman, D. J. 1997. Blast and Psi-Blast: Protein database search programs. *Nucleid Acid Research*, **25**(17): 2289–4402.
- Amin, N., Nasruddin, A. and Daha, L. 2012. Isolation, Identification and *in-vitro* screening of fungal endophytes against pathogen of maize leaf blight, *Helminthosporium Maydis. Indonesian Phytopathology* 20: 37-92.
- Andreasen, M. F., Kroon, P. A., Williamson, G. and Garcia-Conesa, M. T. 2001. Esterase activity able to hydrolyze dietary antioxidant hydroxycinnamates is distributed along the intestine of mammals. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry* **49**: 5679–5684.
- Anselmi, C., Centini, M., Granata, P., Sega, A., Buonocore, A., Bernini, A. and Facino, R. M. 2004. Antioxidant activity of ferulic acid alkyl esters in a heterophasic system: a mechanistic insight. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry* **52**: 6425–6432.
- Ates, E., Godula, M., Stroka, J. and Senyuva, H. 2014. Screening of plant and fungal metabolites in wheat, maize and animal feed using automated online clean-up coupled to high resolution mass spectrometry. *Food Chemistry* **142**: 276–284.
- Ayers, J. E., Nelson, R. R., Berger, R. D., Koons, C. and Scheifele, G. L. 1970. Plant Disease and Reproduction. *Phytopathology* **54**: 277-281.
- Bajaj, H. K. and Bhatti, D. S. 1985. New and known species of *Pratylenchus filipjev*, 1936 (Nematoda: Pratylenchidae) from Haryana, India, with remarks on intraspecific variations. *Journal of Nematology* **16**: 360-367.

- Balint-Kurti, P. J., Zwonitzer, J. C., Wisser, R. J., Carson, M. L., Oropeza-Rosas, M. a., Holland, J. B. and Szalma, S. J. 2007. Precise mapping of quantitative trait loci for resstance to southern leaf blight, caused by *Cochliobolus heterostrophus* race O, and flowering time using advanced intercross maize lines. *Genetics* 176(1): 645–657.
- Begoude, B. A. D., Slippers, B., Wingfield, M. J. and Roux, J. 2010. Botryosphaeriaceae associated with Terminalia catappa in Cameroon, South Africa and Madagascar. Mycological Progress 9(1): 101–123.
- Bennett, R. N. and Wallsgrove, R. M. 1994. Secondary metabolites in plant defence mechanisms. *Phytopathology* **127**: 617–633.
- Berbee, M. L. and Pirseyed, i M. H. S. 1999. *Cochliobolus* phylogenetics and the origin of known, highly virulent pathogens, inferred from ITS and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene sequences. *Mycologia* **91**: 964–977.
- Blandino, M., Galeazzi, M., Savoia, W. and Reyneri, A. 2012. Timing of azoxystrobin+propiconazole application on maize to control northern corn leaf blight and maximize grain yield. *Field Crops Research* 139: 20–29.
- Boedijn K. B. and Einige, U. 1933. Phragmosporen Dematiazeen. *Annales Du Jardin Botanique de Buitenzorg* 13: 123-155.
- Boote, K. J., Jones, J. W., Mishoe, J. W. and Berger, R. D. 1983. Coupling pests to growth simulators to predict yield reductions. *The American Phytopathological Society* 21: 371-400.
- Boyer, C. D. and Len, C. C. H. 1994. *Kernel Mutants Corn*. USA: CRC Press Inc. Boca Raton, 26 p.
- Bravo, H. R. and Lazo, W. 1996. Antialgal and antifungal activity of natural hydroxamic acids and related compounds. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 44: 1569–1571.
- Buckler, E. S., Thornsberry, J. and San, K. 2001. Molecular diversity, structure and domestication of grasses. *Genetical Research* 77: 213 218.
- Campbell, C. L. and Madden, L. V. 1990. *Introduction to Plant Disease Epidemiology*. USA: Wiley-Interscience Press, 532 p.
- Cerović, S., Radosav B. and Pajić, Z. 2014. Pollen germination and pollen tube growth in ZP maize lines. *Genetika* **46**(3): 935–948.
- Choudhary, D. K., Prakash, A. and Johri, B. N. 2007. Induced systemic resistance (ISR) in Plants: Mechanism of action. *Indian Journal of Microbiology* **47**(4): 289–297.
- Chizzali, A., Cornelia, S. and Beerhues, L. 2012. "Phytoalexins of the Pyrinae: Biphenyls and dibenzofurans. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* **8**: 613–620.

- Daniel Abebe, N. S. 2006. Morphological, cultural and pathogenicity variation of *Exserohilum turcicum* (Pass) Leonard and Suggs isolates in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Kasetsart Journal of Natural Science* **40**: 341–352.
- Degani, O. 2014. Pathogenicity assay for *Cochliobolus heterostrophus* G-Protein and MAPK signaling deficiency strains. *American Journal of Plant Sciences* **5**(9): 1318–1328.
- Del Pozo, D., Brenes, C. H., Serna, S. O. and Talcott, S. T. 2006. Polyphenolic and antioxidant content of white and blue corn (*Zea mays L.*) products. *Food Research International* **39**(6): 696–703.
- Delp, B. R., Stowell, L. J. and Marois, J. J. 1986. Field runner: A disease incidence, severity, and spatial pattern assessment system. *Plant Disease* **70**:954-957.
- Devegowda, A. S. 2004. Rice and corn quality workshop,. Retrieved from http://prr.hec.gov.pk/Chapters/128S-2.pdf *Review of Literature*, 22–24.
- Dhugga, K.S. and Grünwald, N. J. 2007. Maize biomass yield and composition for biofuels. *Crop Science* 47: 2211–2227.
- Didvania, S., Shah, R. and John, K. S. 2012. A new disease of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* var.grossum) caused by *Drechslera bicolor*, its pathophysiology, efficacy of fungicides and botanicals. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 70: 332-341.
- Drechsler, C. 1934. Leaf spot of maize caused by *Ophiobolus heterostrophus*, the asigerous stage of *Helminthosporium* exhibiting bipolar germination. *Journal of Agricultural Resources* 31: 701–26.
- Duvick, D. N. 2005. The contribution of breeding to yield advances in maize (Zea mays L.). *Advances in Agronomy* **86**: 21-33.
- Emami, K. and Hack, E. 2002. Conservation of XYN11A and XYN11B Xylanase Genes in *Bipolaris sorghicola*, *Cochliobolus sativus*, *Cochliobolus heterostrophus*, and *Cochliobolus spicifer*. *Journal of Microbiology* 7(45): 303–306.
- FAO. 2008. Statistical database. http://www.fao.org (Verified on 4 September 2008).
- FAO. 2015. Statistical database. http://www.fao.org.
- Farfan, I. D. B., Murray, S. C., Labar, S. and Pietsch, D. 2013. A multi-environment trial analysis shows slight grain yield improvement in Texas commercial maize. *Field Crops Research* **149**: 167–176.
- Felsentein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**: 783-791
- Guarro, J., Soler, L. and Reu, M. G. 1999. Pathogenicity and antifungal susceptibility of *Chaetomiun* species. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology Infected Diseases* **14**: 613–618.

- Hallauer, A. R. 2000. Potential for outcrossing and weediness of genetically modified insect protected corn. *APHIS-USDA* **10**: 97–110.
- Hari Kumar Prasai, S., Sharma, U. K. and Jen. S. 2015. Evaluation of quality protein maize and drought tolerant maize in far western hills of Nepal. *Int J. Appl Sci Biotechnol* **3**(3): 387–391.
- Harlan, J.R., James, M. J., De, W. and Eden, G. P. 1998. *Comparative Evolution of Cereals*. USA: Evolution Press, 1–17 p.
- Harlapur, S. I., Kulkarni, M. S., Hedge, Y. and Kaikarni, S. 2007. Variability in Exserohilum turcicum (Pass) leonard Suggs, causal agent of turcicum leaf blight of maize. Karnatakar Journal of Agricultural Research 20(3): 665–666.
- Harry, E. Duncan, J. and Gary, K. J. 2014. Major corn diseases in north Carolina. *Plant Pathology* **20**: 453–455.
- Hesseltine, C. W., Ellis, J. J. and Shotwell, O. L. 1971. Secondary Metabolites, southern leaf blight of corn, and Biology. *Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **19**(4): 707–717.
- Ho, W. C. and Ko, W. H. 2007. A simple method for obtaining single spore isolates of fungi. *Botanical Bulletin of Academia sinica* **38**: 41-44.
- Ito, S. 1930. On some new ascigerous stages of the species of Helminthosporium parasitic on cereals. Proceedings of the Imperial Academy Japan 6(8): 352-355.
- Jenkins, C. E. and M. T. 1946. *Heliminthosporium turcicum* leaf blight of corn. *Phytopathology* **36**: 660–666.
- Johnson, R. and Moy, P. H. 1994. Corn pollination under moisture and high temperature stress. Proceedings of the corn and sorghum industry research. *American Seed* 21: 66–67.
- Kachapur, M. R. and Hegde, R. K. 1988. Studies on *turcicum* leaf blight of maize caused by *Exserohilum turcicum*. *Plant Pathology* **6**: 33–35.
- Kim, H. S., Sneller, C. H., Diers, B. W. 1999. Evaluation of soybean cultivars for resistance to sclerotinia stem rot in field environments. *Crop Science* **39**:64–68
- Kinraide, L., Peter, R., Ryan, T. and Ban, V. K. 1991. Al3+-Ca2+ interactions in aluminum rhizotoxicity. *Journal of Phytopathology* **192** (1): 98–103.
- Kokkinakis, D. M. and Brook, J. L. 1979. Tomato peroxidase: purification, characterization and catalytic properties. *Plant Physiology* **63**: 93–99.
- Kolawole, L. A.2009. Growth and yield of maize as influenced by sowing date and poultry manure application. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici* **37**: 221-232.

- Kroon, L. P. N. M., Bakker, F. T., Van den Bosch, G. B. M., Bonants, P. J. M., and Flier, W. G. 2004. Phylogenetic analysis of *Phytophthora* species based on mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 41(8): 766–782.
- Kumar, A., Guta, M. and Mahmood, A. 1977. Studies on leaf blight of maize caused by *Helminthosporium turcicum* Pass. and *Helminthosporium maydis* Nisk and Miyake, *Science and Culture* **42**: 533–535.
- Kump, K. I., Bradbury, P. J., Wisser, R. J., Buckler, E. S., Belcher, A. R., Rosas, M. O.
 A., Zwonitzer, J. C., Kresovich, S., McMullen, M. D., Ware, D., Balint-Kurti,
 P. J. and Holland, J. B. 2011. Genome-wide association study of quantitative resistance to southern leaf blight in maize nested association mapping population. *Nature Genetics* 43:163-68.
- Leonard, K. J. and Suggs, E. G. 1974. *Setosphaeria prolata*, the ascigerous state of *Exserohilum prolatum*. *Mycologia* **66**: 281–297.
- Levic J. and Viktorija P. 1993. Morphology of a New pathotype of *Bipolaris zeicola* (Stout) Shoemaker. *Journal of Phytopathology* **139**(4): 339–346.
- Levy, Y. 1991. Variation in fitness among field isolates of *Exserohilum turcicum* in Israel. *Plant Disease* **75**: 163–166.
- Lim, S. M., Hooker, A. L. and Paxton, J. D. 1971. Disease Determination of *Helminthosporium maydis* Race T. *Journal of Phytopathology* **62**(1): 968–971.
- Lutrell, L. S. 1958. Taxonomic criteria in *Helminthosporium*. *Mycologia* 55: 643-674.
- Madden, L. V. and Hughes, G. 1995. Plant disease incidence: distributions, heterogeneity, and temporal analysis. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 33: 529-564.
- Madden, L. V., Hughes, G. and Van den Bosch, F. 2007. The Study of Plant Diseas Epidemics. USA: APS Press, 621 P.
- Manamgoda, D. S., Cai, L. and Bahkali, A. H. 2011. *Cochliobolus*: an overview and current status of species. *Fungal Diversity* **51**: 3–42.
- Manamgoda, D. S., Cai, L. and McKenzie, E. H. C. 2012. A phylogenetic and taxonomic re-evaluation of the *Bipolaris Cochliobolus Curvularia* complex. *Fungal Diversity* **56**: 131–144.
- Manamgoda, D. S., Rossman, A. Y., Castlebury, L. A., Crous, P. W., Madrid, H., Chukeatirote, E. and Hyde, K. D. 2015. The genus *Bipolaris*. *Studies in Mycology* **79**: 221–288.
- Margaret, T. M. 2013. Effectively managing northern and southern corn leaf blight. *Phytopathology* **21**(8): 221-234.

- Marocco, A, Lorenzoni, C., and Fracheboud, Y. 2005. Maize disease resistance. *Maydica* **50**: 571–580.
- Mateille, T. and Folkertsma, S. 1994b. A survey of nematodes and fungi in roots of banana CV Poyo in the Ivory Coast. *Review of Nematology* **14**(1): 3-8.
- Miller, P. R. 1970. Southern corn leaf blight. Plant Disease Reporter 54: 1099-1136.
- Mitchell, J. and John, F. P. 1988. Heat stress effects on isolated reproductive organs of maize. *Plant Physiology* **133**: 2625–68.
- Mohali, S., Slippers, B. and Wingfield, M. J. 2006. Two new *Fusicoccum* Species from *Acacia* and *Eucalyptus* in Venezuela based on morphology and DNA sequence data. *Mycological Research* 110(4): 405–415.
- Morris, M. L. 1998. *Maize Seed Industries in Developing Countries*. Publishers,. Inc. and Lynne Rienn, Int. Retrieved from http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10327-014-0519-1.
- Muiru, W. M., Mutitu, E. W., and Ken, J. W. 2008. Distribution of turcicum leaf blight of maize in Kenya and cultural variability of its causal agent, Exserohilum turcicum. Journal of Tropical Microbiology and Biotechnology 4(1): 32–39.
- Muiru W. M., Koopmann, B., Tiedemann, A. V. and Mutitu, K. J. 2010. Race typing and evaluation of aggressiveness of *Exserohilum turcicum* isolates of Kenyan, German and Austrian origin. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 6(3): 277–284.
- Mungoma, C. and Pollak, L. M. 1998. Heterotic patterns among ten Corn Belt and exotic maize population. *Crop Science* **28**:500-504.
- Naz, I., Sehar, S., Perveen, I., Rehman, A., Hameed, A., Ahmad, Y. and Ahmed, S. 2012. Optimization of cultural conditions for *Cochliobolus heterostrophus* isolates from infected maize plants from different Agricultural Zones of Pakistan. *British Microbiology Research Journal* 2(4): 233–242.
- Nelson, R. R., Mek, D. R. and schiefele, G. L. 1970. Interaction of genes for phytopathogenicity and virulence in *Trichometaspaeria turcica* with different numbers of gene for vertical resistance in *Zea mays. Phytopathology* **60**: 1250–1254.
- Niemeyer, H. M. 1988. Hydroxamic acids (4-hydroxy-1,4 benzoxazin-3-ones), defence chemicals in the *gramineae*. *Phytochemistry* **27**: 3349–3358.
- Nisikado, Y. 1929. Studies on the *Helminthosporium* diseases of *Gramineae* in Japan. *Berichte Des Ohara Institute Landwirtschaftliche Forschungen* **4**: 111–126.
- Odjakova, M. and Hadjiivaova, C. 2001. The complexity of pathogen defense in Plants. *Bulgarian Journal of Plant Physiology* **27**(1): 101-109.

- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). 2005. Biology of *Zea mays* subsp. mays (Maize). *ENV/JM/MONO*, 34p.
- Pan, X., Niu, G. and Liu, H. 2003. Microwave-assisted extraction of tea polyphenols and tea caffeine from green tea leaves. *Chemical Engineering and Processing* **42**(2): 129–133.
- Pandurangegowda, K. T., Shetty, H. S., Gowda, B. J. and Prakash, S. 1993. Comparison of two methods for assessment of yield losses due to *turcicum* leaf blight of maize. *Indian Phytopathology* **45**: 316–320.
- Pataky, J., Williams, M., Meyer, M., Warsaw, B. and Moody, J. 2008. Sweet corn hybrid disease nursery. *Phytopathology* 7: 73-97.
- Peipp, H., Maier, W., Schmidt, J. and Wray, V. S. D. 1997. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus-induced changes in the accumulation of secondary compounds in barley roots. *Phytochemistry* 44: 581–587.
- Praveen Kumar, M., Narayan Reddy, P. R. and Ranga Reddy, A. S. 2010. Management of turcicum leaf blight caused by Exserohilum turcicum in maize. Indian Journal of Plant Protection 38(1): 63–66.
- Rahul, K. and Singh, I. S. 2002. Inheritance of resistance to banded leaf and sheath blight (*Rhizoctonia solani* f. sp. Sasakii) of maize. *Phytopathology* 11: 356-65.
- Rajeshwar, R. P., Narayan, R., Ranga, R. S. and Sefa, R. 2014. Cultural and morphological variability among *Exserohilum turcicum* Isolates. *Indian Journal of Agriclture* 34: 37-52.
- Ramakrishna, W. J., Emberton, M., Ogden, P., SanMiguel, and Joh. L. 2002. Structural analysis of the maize Rp1 complex reveals numerous sites and unexpected mechanisms of local rearrangement. *Plant Cell* 14: 213–223.
- Raymundo, A. and Hooker, A. 1981. Measuring the relationship between northern corn leaf blight and yield losses. *Plant Disease* 81: 541 620.
- Reddy, T. R., Pan, N., Reddy, R. R. and Pradesh, A. 2013. Review article *Turcicum* leaf blight of maize incited by *Exserohilum turcicum*: A review. *IJABPT* **5**(1): 376–455.
- Richardson, A., Barea, J. M. and McNeill, A. P. C. 2009. Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. *Plant Soil* **321**: 305–339.
- Robards, K., Prenzler, P. D., Tucker, G., Swatsitang, P. and Glover, W. 1999. Phenolic compounds and their role in oxidative processes in fruits. *Food Chemistry* **66**(4): 401–436.
- Robert, A. L. and Sprague, G. F. 1960. Adaption of the corn leaf blight fungus to a resistant and susceptible corn host. *Phytopathology* **50**: 261–263.

- Sadras, V., Calderini, D., and Sharma, R. C. 2009. *Crop Physiology*. USA: Elsevier Academic Press, 10 p.
- Salati, M. A. l. 2010. First report of *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* causing downy mildew of *Trichosanthes cucumerina* in Malaysia. *Plant Disease* **94**: 642-643.
- Samatha, T., Shyamsundarachary, R., Srinivas, P. and Swamy, N. R. 2012. Quantification of total phenolic and total flavonoid contents in extracts of *Oroxylum indicum. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research* 5: 177–179.
- Savory, E. A. 2012. Analysis of the *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* transcriptome during cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) Infection. *Mycologia* 7(4): 351-360.
- Scheifele, G. L., Whitehead, W. and Rowe, C. 1970. Journal of Plantt Disease 4: 315-317.
- Sharma, J. P. and Mishra, B. 1988. Effect of spray schedule of mancozeb (dithane M-45) on *Turcicum* leaf blight & impact on grain yield in maize. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection* 16: 189–193.
- Shimizu, K., Tanaka, C., and Peng Y. L. 1998. Phylogeny of *Bipolaris* inferred from nucleotide sequences of Brn1, a reductase gene involved in melanin biosynthesis. *Journal of General and Applied Microbiology* 44: 251–258.
- Shoemaker, S. 1959. Nomenclature of *Drechslera* and *Bipolaris*, grass parasites segregated from *Helminthosporium*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 37 879–887.
- Sicker, D., Frey, M., Schulz, M. and Gierl, A. 2000. Role of natural benzoxazinones in the survival strategy of plants. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **198**: 319–346.
- Singh, G. P. 1966. A leaf spot disease of maize caused by *Bipolaris maydis* (Nisikado) Shoemaker. *Natural Acadmey of Science* **36**: 303–305.
- Singh, N. P., and Norong, N. N. 2005. *Maize in India: Production Systems, Constraints , and Research Priorities.* India: Mexa Press, 21-27 p.
- Singh, R. and Srivastava, R. P. 2012. Southern corn leaf blight- An important disease of maize: An extension fact sheet. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education* I(I): 334–337.
- Singleton, V. L., Orthofer, R. and Lord, R. R. 1999. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. *Methods Enzymology* **299**: 152–178.
- Sivanesan, A. 1987. *Graminicolous* species of *Bipolaris*, *Curvularia*, *Drechslera*, *Exserohilum* and their teleomorphs. *Mycological Papers* **158**: 1–261.
- Sleper, D. A. and Poehlman, J. M. 2006. *Breeding Field Crops* (5th ed.). *Blackwell Publishing*, 200 p.

- Slinkard, K. S. V. 1977. Total phenol analyses: automation and comparison with manual methods. *American Journal of Entomology* **28**: 49–55.
- Smith, D. R., Hooker, A. L. and Lim, S. M. 1970. Physiologic races of *Helminthosporium maydis. Plant Disease Report* **54**: 819–22.
- Sprague, G. F., Dudley, J. W., Hallauer, A. R., Russell, W. A. and Lamkey, K. 1988. *Breeding in Corn.* U.S.A: WI Press, 463–564 p.
- Tefferi, A., Mengestu, H. and Welz, H. G. 1996. Assessment of damage and grain yield loss in maize caused by northern leaf blight in western Ethopia. *Journal of Plant Disease and Protection* **103**: 353–363.
- Tilman, D., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A, Naylor, R., and Polasky, S. 2002. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature*. **418** (68): 671–7.
- Tsaftaris, A. S. 1995. The biology of maize (Zea mays, L.) Document XI/754/95 European Commission.
- Tsuda, K., Nozoe, S., i'llorisaki, M., Hirai, K., Itai, A., Okuda, S., Canonica, L., Fiecchi, A., Galli Kienle, M. and Scala, A. 1977. Tetra- Izedron for controlling plant diseases. *Journal of Botany* 12: 33-69.
- Turgeon, B. G., Garber, R. C. and Yoder, O. C. 1985. Transformation of the fungal maize pathogen *Cochliobolus heterostrophus* using the *Aspergillus nidulans*am dS Gene. *Molecular and General Genetics* **201**: 450–453.
- Ullstrup, A. J. 1966. The impacts of southern corn leaf blight epidemics of 1970–1971. *Phytopathology* 10: 37–50.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2016. Corn: World Markets and Trade. USA: Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA, 37 p.
- Vieira, R. A., Mesquini, R. M., Silva, C. N., Hata, F. T., Tessmann, D. J. and Scapim, C. A. 2014. A new diagrammatic scale for the assessment of northern corn leaf blight. *Crop Protection* 56: 55–57.
- Walker, V., Couillerot, O., Von Felten, A., Bellvert, F., Jansa, J., Maurhofer, M. and Comte, G. 2012. Variation of secondary metabolite levels in maize seedling roots induced by inoculation with *Azospirillum*, *Pseudomonas* and *Glomus* consortium under field conditions. *Plant and Soil* **356**: 151–163.
- Wallin, J. R. and Loonan, D. 1977. Temperature and humidity associated with sporulation of *Helminthosporium maydis* race T. *Phytopathology* **67**: 13: 70-72.
- Wei, J., Lui, K., Chen, J., Luo, P. and Lin, S. O. 1988. Pathological and physiological identification of race C of *Bipolaris maydis* in China. *Phytopathology* **78**: 550–54.

- Welz, H. G. and Geiger, H. H. 2000. Genes for resistance to northern corn leaf blight in diverse maize populations. *Plant Breeding* **119**: 1 14.
- White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. and Talor, J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics In: PCR protocols, a guide to methods and applications. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **44**: 315–322.
- Wise, K. 2015. Fungicide efficacy for control of corn diseases. *Purdue Extension Publication* **6**: 160-161.
- Ye, Y., Li, Q., Fu, G., Yuan, G., Miao, J. and Lin, W. 2005. Identification of antifungal substance (Iturin A2) Produced by *Bacillus subtilis* B47 and Its effect on southern corn leaf blight. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 11(1): 90–99.
- Zaidi, P. H., Rashid, Z., Vinayan, M. T. and Anil Babu, T. 2012. Pre-germination anaerobic stress tolerance in tropical maize (*Zea mays L.*). *American Journal of Crop Science* 6(12): 170–171.