

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

PLACE ATTACHMENT PROCESS AND ITS INFLUENCE ON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK UTILIZATION IN PUTRAJAYA, MALAYSIA.

MOULAY AMINE

FRSB 2018 3



PLACE ATTACHMENT PROCESS AND ITS INFLUENCE ON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK UTILIZATION IN PUTRAJAYA, MALAYSIA.



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

February 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to:

Daring students of knowledge, researchers, academicians and scholars who never stop theorizing and practicing comprehensive understanding of knowledge.

Those who believe that we can make better places in this world

and

Those who have special place in my heart: my parents, my beloved and outstanding wife, my kids

and

all the people in my life who touch my heart.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

PLACE ATTACHMENT PROCESS AND ITS INFLUENCE ON NEIGHBORHOOD PARK UTILIZATION IN PUTRAJAYA, MALAYSIA.

By

MOULAY AMINE

February 2018

Chairman : Associate Professor Norsidah Ujang, PhD Faculty : Design and Architecture

Developing cities in the world are facing the challenging effect of rapid urbanization on their livability. Therefore, creating livable neighborhood has become a new urban agenda for the coming decades. Public spaces, including neighborhood parks, play a significant function in supporting the social life of a community since they are considered the backbone of the urban park systems. Nonetheless, many of the parks are underutilized. In the past, this issue was mainly considered in terms of physical and social attributes. However, less attention was directed to the psychological attachment process of park users, despite its crucial role in affecting their cognitions and behaviors. Furthermore, most studies on place attachment emphasize on the individual feeling and experiences, and have not placed these ties in the wider, socio-spatial context in which planners and urban designers operate. This is due to the multi-faceted, multi-disciplinary, multidimensional, and multi-paradigmatic nature of research on place attachment. The objective of this study is to explore the influence of the process of place attachment on neighborhood park utilization, reflected in the way individuals and groups relate to a place. This qualitative research inquiry purposively sampled twenty-nine park users living permanently and at least one year in the neighborhood of Precinct 9, in Putrajaya, the federal government administrative center for Malaysia. Through hermeneutic phenomenology, the informants were engaged to reflect their experiences of the park that matter most through probing questions. Data were collected through in-depth semi-structured interviews as the main method and supported by field observation method, then analyzed through Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis approach. This approach allowed the researcher to focus on the rich parts of the data and get initial themes from the transcript of each informant as an individual case. The second step was to refine the initial themes by turning conceptual connections into abstraction then coming out with more meaningful themes. The refined themes were cross-checked with the

individual transcripts to note further information and deepen analysis, which allowed developing a master list of more concise themes. The last step was the development of an explanatory model supported by different narrative explanations. The findings revealed that the process of park's attachment covering the functional attachment, park's meaning and the emotional attachment, is interrelated. In the context of underutilized parks, the functional attachment was found to be the key concept that helps to attract residents to a neighborhood park. It is also intimately related to residents' contextual and functional needs, expressed mainly through physical activities in the park. In turn, the functional needs were embedded in the residents' motivation to stay healthy. Upon intensely linking all themes on the reason behind the process of park's attachment, the functional, emotional and natural motivations emerged. Residents' motivation to use the park is the larger frame under which the process of place attachment should be developed. It can be concluded that the quality of facilities for physical activities is the most vital factor in enhancing residents' attachment to the neighborhood park, leading to frequent park use. Furthermore, enhancing the process of park's attachment will support stronger place engagement and place dependency that could turn underutilized parks into responsive social places. The research findings provide a holistic view on the process of park's attachment to understand how the park's design may first enhance residents' engagement with their park, and second how the full potential of park use can be reached. The central message of this study is that integrating the psychological aspect of place attachment in the place-making process will help transform values of places into a responsive social environment.

Keywords: neighborhood park, place attachment, park utilization, urban design.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PROSES IKATAN TEMPAT DAN PENGARUHNYA KE ATAS PENGGUNAAN TAMAN KEJIRANAN DI PUTRAJAYA, MALAYSIA

Oleh

MOULAY AMINE

Februari 2018

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Norsidah Ujang, PhD Fakulti : Rekabentuk dan Senibina

Bandar membangun di dunia sedang menghadapi kesan mencabar dari urbanisasi pantas terhadap kebolehkehidupannya. Mewujudkan kejiranan yang sesuai untuk didiami telah menjadi agenda bandar baharu untuk dekad yang akan datang. Ruang awam, termasuk taman kejiranan, memainkan peranan penting dalam menyokong kehidupan sosial sesebuah komuniti kerana ianya dianggap sebagai tulang belakang kepada sistem taman bandar. Walau bagaimanapun, banyak dari taman berkenaan kurang digunakan. Pada masa lalu, isu ini sangat diberi perhatian terutamanya dari segi fizikal dan sosial. Namun, kurang perhatian ditujukan kepada proses ikatan psikologi pengguna taman meskipun janya mempunyai peranan penting dalam mempengaruhi kognisi dan tingkah laku mereka. Tambahan pula, kebanyakan kajian tentang ikatan tempat menekankan perasaan dan pengalaman individu, dan tidak meletakkan hubungan ini dalam konteks sosio-spatial yang lebih luas di mana perancang dan pereka bandar beroperasi. Hal ini disebabkan oleh sifat multi-faceted, multi-disciplinary, multi-dimensional, and multi-paradigmatic dalam penyelidikan ikatan tempat. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji pengaruh proses ikatan tempat ke atas penggunaan taman kejiranan dalam kawasan kediaman yang dicerminkan melalui cara individu dan sesuatu kumpulan menghubungkan diri mereka dengan tempat tersebut. Kajian kualitatif ini mengambil sampel dua puluh sembilan orang pengguna taman yang merupakan penduduk tetap dan sekurang-kurangnya tinggal selama satu tahun di kejiranan Presint 9 di Putrajaya, pusat pentadbiran kerajaan persekutuan di Malaysia.

Melalui *hermeneutic phenomenology*, informan yang terlibat diminta untuk menggambarkan pengalaman terpenting yang mereka lalui di taman dengan mengajukan soalan secara berterusan. Data dikumpulkan melalui temubual separa berstruktur mendalam sebagai kaedah utama dan disokong dengan

kaedah pemerhatian lapangan, yang kemudiannya dianalisis menggunakan pendekatan Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis. Pendekatan ini membolehkan penyelidik memberikan tumpuan kepada bahagian-bahagian data yang penting dan mendapatkan tema awal dari transkrip setiap informan sebagai kes individu. Langkah kedua adalah untuk memperhalusi tema awal tersebut dengan mengubah hubungkait konseptual kepada abstrak dan kemudian menghasilkan tema yang lebih bermakna. Tema-tema yang telah diperhalusi disemak semula ke atas transkrip individu untuk mencatat maklumat lanjut dan membuat analisis lebih mendalam, yang membolehkan senarai tema utama yang lebih padat untuk dibentuk. Langkah terakhir ialah pembentukan sebuah model eksploratori yang disokong oleh pelbagai penjelasan naratif. Penemuan kajian mendapati bahawa proses ikatan taman yang meliputi ikatan fungsi, makna taman dan ikatan emosi, adalah saling berkaitan. Dalam konteks kurangnya penggunaan taman, didapati bahawa ikatan fungsi adalah konsep utama yang membantu menarik penduduk ke taman kejiranan mereka. Ia juga berkait rapat dengan keperluan penduduk dari segi kontekstual dan fungsi, terutamanya melalui aktiviti fizikal di dalam taman. Dengan demikian, keperluan fungsi terpahat dalam motivasi penduduk untuk kekal sihat. Setelah kesemua tema dihubungkan sebaiknya ke atas sebab di sebalik proses ikatan taman, motivasi fungsi, emosi dan natural telah terpapar. Motivasi penduduk untuk menggunakan taman adalah kerangka yang lebih besar di mana proses ikatan tempat harus dikembangkan. Dapat disimpulkan bahawa kualiti kemudahan untuk kegiatan fizikal adalah faktor yang paling penting dalam meningkatkan ikatan penduduk terhadap taman kejiranan, yang menggalakkan penggunaan taman yang lebih kerap. Tambahan lagi, peningkatan proses ikatan taman akan menyokong penglibatan dan kebergantungan tempat yang lebih kuat yang akan menjadikan taman yang kurang digunakan kepada tempat sosial yang responsif. Dapatan kajian ini memberikan pandangan holistik mengenai proses ikatan taman untuk memahami bagaimana reka bentuk taman dapat meningkatkan keterlibatan penduduk di taman mereka, dan kedua bagaimana potensi penggunaan taman dapat dicapai sepenuhnya. Mesej utama kajian ini ialah mengintegrasikan aspek psikologi ikatan tempat dalam proses pembentukkan tempat akan membantu mengubah nilai-nilai tempat menjadi persekitaran sosial yang responsif.

Katakunci: taman kejiranan, ikatan tempat, penggunaan taman, reka bentuk bandar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the chairman of the Supervisory Committee, Associate Professor Dr. Norsidah Ujang for her infinite support and supervision to complete this study. Thank you for being so patient, and helping me improve. Having you as a supervisor since the beginning of my academic journey in Malaysia was a pure blessing. I am eternally grateful for everything I have learnt from you. I would like also to express my sincere thanks to the rest of the supervisory committee: Associate Professor Dr. Suhardi Maulan, and Dr Sumarni Ismail for their thought motivating questions, supportive comments and encouragements which helped to see my study from different perspectives.

My wholehearted grateful also goes to my beloved teacher and guide Prof. Dr. Hj. Azimi Hj Hamzah, who continued guiding me even after his retirement. Thank you, Prof. Dr. Hj. Azimi for your consistent sharing of knowledge and experiences. Thank you for your total commitment to knowledge, and mostly for giving me the perfect image of human being. Also, thank you for enlightening me on the details of advanced qualitative research methodology. Last but not least, I want to extend my thanks to all interviewees who genuinely shared their views, understanding, and experiences on the researched subject. I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 28 February 2018 to conduct the final examination of Moulay Amine on his thesis entitled "Place Attachment Process and its Influence on Neighborhood Park Utilization in Putrajaya, Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Nangkula Utaberta, PhD

Associate Professor Ir. Faculty of Design and Architecture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohd Yazid bin Mohd Yunos, PhD Senior Lecturer Faculty of Design and Architecture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Ahmad Hariza bin Hashim, PhD Professor

Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Tim Heath, PhD

Professor University of Nottingham United Kingdom (External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 March 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Phylosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Norsidah Ujang, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Design and Architecture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Suhardi Maulan, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Design and Architecture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Sumarni Ismail, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Design and Architecture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work ;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fullyowned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification / fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:	
-		

Name and Matric No.: Moulay Amine, GS43507

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Norsidah Ujang

Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Suhardi Maulan

Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

Dr. Sumarni Ismail

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABS7 ACKN APPR DECL LIST LIST	Rova _ara ⁻ of ta of fi of ai	.EDGEMENTS L	Page i iii v vi vii xiv xv xv xvi xvii
CHAF	PTER		
1	INTR 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.10	Problem statement Research questions Research objectives Scope and limitation of the study Conceptional and operational definition of the key words Trustworthiness of the findings Significance of the study	1 1 6 9 9 11 12 13 14
2	LITE 2.1 2.2	 2.2.1 Introduction 2.2.2 The concept of place 2.2.3 Issues and concepts on urban public places 2.2.3.1 Neighborhood 2.2.3.2 Principles and attributes of responsive public spaces 2.2.4 Urban Parks 2.2.4.1 Characteristics of well-designed parks 2.2.4.2 Neighborhood parks 	16 16 16 17 19 19 20 21 22 22
	2.3	 2.2.4.3 Urban park utilization 2.2.4.4 Urban park and social interaction Place Attachment Theory 2.3.1 Introduction 2.3.2 Place Attachment Definitions 2.3.3 The research position among the tree of knowledge 2.3.4 Ontological perspective on the value of place attachment 2.3.5 Dimensions of place attachment 	23 24 24 24 26 26 29 32

		2.3.5.1 Active- and Self-conscious Attachment	33
		2.3.5.2 Personal Connections and Natural	33
		Bonding to Place	00
		2.3.5.3 Person, Place, and Process	34
		2.3.5.4 Subordinate concept	35
		2.3.6 The attachment Process	35
		2.3.7 Related concepts to place attachment	37
		2.3.7.1 Place dependence	37
		2.3.7.2 Place identity	38
		2.3.7.3 Meaning in urban environment	39
		2.3.7.4 Emotion towards place	40
		2.3.7.5 Behavioral commitment towards place	42
	2.4	The Malaysian context	43
		2.4.1 Culture	43
		2.4.2 Malaysian policy on green open spaces	45
		2.4.3 Current Malaysian Policies and Guideline for Parks	46
		and Open Spaces	
		2.4.4 Evolution of Malaysian Green Open Spaces	47
		2.4.5 Characteristics of neighborhood parks in Malaysia	48
		2.4.6 Ascertainment on Putrajaya neighborhood parks	50
		2.4.7 Planning and Design Guideline of Putrajaya Park	50
	2.5	Theoretical framework	51
			51
3-	MET	HODOLOGY	53
	3.1	Introduction	53
	3.2	Methodological approaches	53
	3.3	Research design	54
		3.3.1 The Qualitative Research Inquiry	55
		3.3.2 Phenomenology	56
		3.3.3 Case Study approach	57
		3.3.4 Methods employed	57
	3.4	Researcher as Instrument	58
	3.5	Sample Selection	59
	0.0		59
		3.5.1 Where the research will take place and how many	39
		settings the researcher should observe	
		a- The City of Putrajaya	60
		b- The neighborhood park	61
		3.5.2 With "whom" the research will take place and how	62
		many interviews the researcher should conduct	
		Preliminary Study	64
	3.7	Data collection (making data)	65
		3.7.1 Practicing Bracketing	66
		3.7.2 Conducting in-depth Semi-Structured Interview	66
		3.7.3 The Interview Process	67
		3.7.4 The Interview Protocol	68
		3.7.5 Field observation	69
	3.8		71
	0.0	3.8.1 Organizing and indexing data	73
			74
	20	3.8.2 Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis	
	3.9	Trustworthiness of the Findings	77

		3.9.1 Dependability	77
		3.9.2 Credibility	77
		3.9.3 Transferability	78
		3.9.4 Conformability	79
		3.9.5 Ethical Issues	79
	3.10	Flow of the Data Collection, Analysis and Interpretation	79
4-	FIND	DINGS AND DISCUSSION	82
	4.1	Introduction	82
	4.2	Background of Informants	82
		The emerging themes	84
	4.4	The functional needs of residents towards their	85
		neighborhood parks	00
		4.4.1 Contextual Park Utilization	85
		Physical Activities	85
		Gathering Outdoors	90
		Social Interaction	90 93
		4.4.2 Park's attachment process (1)	98
		Functional attachment	
		4.4.3 Incentive for park utilization (1)	98
		Functional Motivation	100
	4.5	The different forms of meanings towards neighborhood	101
		park	105
		4.5.1 Cognitive aspect of park use	105
		Attitude	105
		Beliefs related to park	100
		4.5.2 Park's attachment process (2)	
		Park's meaning	113 113
		4.5.3 Incentive for park utilization (2)	118
		Environmental motivation	118
	4.6	The different forms of emotional attachment towards the	
	4.0	neighborhood park	121
		4.6.1 Park's attachment process (3)	101
		Emotional attachment	121
		4.6.2 Incentive for park utilization (3)	122 125
		Emotional motivation	125
	4.7	What satisfy users for enhanced park utilization	128
		4.7.1 Conducive neighborhood park	128
		Responsiveness	120
		Park serenity	129
		Safety issues for neighborhood park	133
		4.7.2 Barriers to enhanced park utilization	136
		Tangible constraints	136
		Intangible constraints	130
	4.8	Explanatory model for park's attachment	142

	144
lings	144
-	146
	148
Implications	148
•	148
ing Implications	149
ns for Future Research	149
r	dings I Implications nplications ing Implications ns for Future Research

REFERENCES APPENDICS BIODATA OF STUDENT LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

151 191 210

211

LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e	Page
2.1	Summary of the closest research to the present study	28
2.2	Hierarchies of Parks and their Characteristics in Malaysia	49
4.1	Demographic profile of the informants	83
4.2	Summary of the emerging themes	84
4.3	Functional needs towards neighborhood park	85
4.4	Functional attachment	98
4.5	Different meanings towards neighborhood park	105
4.6	Emotional attachment towards neighborhood park	121
4.7	What satisfy and what hinder enhanced park use	128

 \bigcirc

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig	Jure	Page
1.1	Summary of the Research Design	14
2.1		17
2.2		18
2.3		33
2.4	 Place attachment four-dimensional model by Raymond et al.(2010) 	34
2.5	Place attachment tripartite model by Scannell & Gifford (2010)	34
2.6	Place attachment model of Jorgensen & Stedman (2001)	35
2.7	Prototype version (Version 1.0) of the GEW with 16 emotion terms	41
2.8	The Malaysian Green Open Spaces evolution	48
2.9	Theoretical framework of the research	52
3.1	Research qualitative methodology	55
3.2	Thick description of the real context	57
3.3		61
3.4	Public parks location in Putrajaya	62
3.5		62
3.6		67
3.7		70
3.8		71
3.9		72
3.1		74
3.1		81
4.1		87
4.2	0	91
4.3		92
4.4		96
4.5		110
4.6	5	130
4.7		133
4.8		134
4.9	Park's attachment model	143

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

NPNeighborhood ParkPAPlace AttachmentIPAInterpretative Phenomenological AnalysisCAQDASComputer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis



LIST OF APPENDICES

Ар	Appendix		
А	Informant Consent Form		191
В	Pilot Interview Questions		192
С	Refined Interview Questions		194
D	Field Observation Protocol		197
Е	Audit Trail		198
F	Sample Filed Notes		202
G	Initial Themes		206
Н	Refined Themes		208
1	"Informant 22" Sample transcript		209

G

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

In the field of urban design, researches principally associate human interaction with places generated by the physical and visual images, whereas few emphasize on its relationships with the psychological and functional aspect of place. Hence, this study explores the psychological process of place attachment on neighborhood park utilization. That is to understand from park users' perspectives how attachment in terms of emotion, meaning and behavior, influences park utilization. To explore the social phenomena of underutilized park, this study adopts a non-positivist paradigm approach. This includes an evolving process between field scenario and literature review. The context of the study is a neighborhood park in the city of Putrajaya. Although the city was planned as a model for other future sustainable and livable cities, several empirical studies highlighted the social phenomenon of underutilized parks, accompanied with a lack of social interaction. Furthermore, this social phenomenon occurs within an apparent comfortable physical and social environment. These qualities have supposedly provided the study with a relevant sample faced with the paradox of underutilized parks in planned residential area.

Following the introduction, this chapter is is divided into three parts. The first part explains the research background, issues that trigger the research and problem statement. The second part describes the aim and purpose of the research, challenging intellectual and theoretical understanding, plugging gap in knowledge and extending the debate on the integrating concept of place attachment. Furthermore, this part presents the research objectives and the research questions, generated from the research problem, and its ontological and epistemological perspectives. As the research process is inductive with a continual back and forth between literature review and field work, no assumptions were formulated. The third part briefly describes the research approach, the research methodology, and the introduction to the study areas. The third part also covers the scope and limitation of the research and the significance of the study to the development of knowledge in urban design practice and policy-making, and lastly the overall structure of the dissertation.

1.2 Background of the study

Cities and urban design are intimately linked to achieving livability goals. The physical, social, and psychological characteristics of cities should be harmoniously intertwined to enhance the inhabitants' quality of life. The

explosion of the urban population in the world, especially in the Asia and Pacific region is unprecedented (UN-Habitat & ESCAP, 2015). This trend is accompanied with an increasing concern on the quality of the urban environment (Wirth et al., 2016; Pacione, 2003). 50% of the global population lives in urban places, and this trend is estimated to rise to 70% by 2050 (UN report, 2015). Moreover, the UN has predicted that by the year 2030 the number of Asian cities with more than five million people will be four times greater than those in Europe and the US combined. In Peninsular Malaysia, it is expected that by 2020 most of the population will be urbanized (JPBD-NUP, 2017). The increase of urban population means additional concern on the quality and number of public amenities, housing, and infrastructure. This alarming situation constitutes an unprecedented challenge for urban designers, planners, and architects. According to the UN Habitat III Report on housing and sustainable urban development (Habitat III, 2016), one of the main outcomes of the new urban agenda for the next two decades is to emphasize the provision of public places regarding responsiveness and inclusiveness. In this regards, shared public spaces are crucial for sociability and the foci of the entire community (Ellis & Roberts, 2016; Hagerty et al., 2001). This trend is accompanied by a rising awareness about livability of cities. The concept of livability refers to the 90s debate about the poor quality of spaces and polluted environment (Madanipour et al., 1998; Davis, 1990; Soja, 1989), aiming to improve the quality of urban spaces in the modern cities. The livable city is a city for all, where social and physical elements must collaborate for the well-being and progress of the community. As such, the public realm considered as our common wealth, should be designed as hubs for social life of the community (Ellis and Roberts, 2016; Montgomery, 2013; Hagerty et al., 2001). At the same time, awareness of environmental experience and perception of place is an essential dimension of urban design (Carmona, 2010a,b).

One of the urban design issues concerning the mainstream studies on Asian cities is the development of cities and spaces out of their social and cultural context, using the Western cities as models. In this regards, Miao (2001) argues that East Asian cities are very much in transition, and are struggling to find their own identities. Thus, their cities overlook on the production of space, place, and identity from an Asian perspective, and leads inevitably to urbanization without substance. This scenario occurs because the production of spaces, buildings or public facilities, are none but the physical projection of a given culture. This noncontextual situation might result from different reasons; first, the larger parts of urban forms are the expression of the economic development emerging in response to globalization. Second, the Western colonialism disrupted the historical continuities. The problem continues when the majority of professionals and academicians from the emerging countries, were trained in Western institutions (Perera & Tang, 2013). These reasons might lead to overlooking some crucial dualities in urban forms development, such as global-local and Western-Asian.

One of the crucial physical and social structures that provide a pragmatic and effective way for organizing the urban forms is the residential neighborhood. Although designed as an area of identity where people live and meet most of their daily needs, neighborhoods are being a part of more impersonal and fragmented urban environment, especially in Asian cities (Oranratmanee & Sachakul, 2014; Miao, 2001). Hence, it is important to strengthen the functional and symbolic values of public places, defined as spaces accessible to and used by the public as the focus of community social life, which are meant to serve as social integrators (Moughtin, 2006; Loukaitou-Sideris, 2006; Lynch, 1998). Within neighborhoods' public places, exposure to nature promotes the residents' well-being and improves the social connectedness. People who lived next to green spaces knew more of their neighbors and reported a high level of community attachment (Sullivan et al., 2004; Kuo et al., 1998). It is the personal and shared sense of place that maintains people's relationship with the environment, thus facilitating social interaction and social cohesion (Bounds, 2008). The context of this study is limited to neighborhoods parks, considered the backbone of the park systems, allowing residents from all walks of life to recreate, congregate, relax, and meet others daily and within their residential neighborhood (Kerstin et al., 2014; Kamierzak, 2013).

Within Malaysia, one of the planning principles outlined by the Department of Urban and Country Planning (JBPD) under the Community Facilities Planning Guidelines (JBPD, 2006) is to encourage social interaction within community. The aim is to benefit and service local population toward sustainable living. In addition, the National Landscape Policy (NLP, 2011) realizes the Nation's strategy towards Vision 2020, through focusing on the well-being of the citizen by providing adequate landscape spaces for recreation and social interaction among Malaysian multi-cultural society. Besides, the Eleventh Malaysia Plan (2016-2020), is committed to support and implement the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, in order to make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable. To do so, the plan is focusing on people and how to improve their well-being (Malaysian Economic Planning Unit, 2015). Therefore a livable city should aim at fostering social interaction, enhancing the quality of public places as social spaces; creating opportunities for contact and proximity (Carmona, 2014). These components are core to the Malaysian development process. Putrajaya, as the federal administrative center of Malaysia, is a leading city in terms of reflecting the nation's ambitious modernized agenda (Morris et al., 2016; Moser, 2010). The concept of livability is clearly expressed in the city's structure plan through the designation of nearly 40% of its area (4 931 ha) for green and public open spaces (Morris et al., 2015; Siong, 2005).

The real significance of public open spaces lies in their ability to facilitate interaction between people and their environment. However, lack of social interaction as being the shared experiences among residents (Bukodi et al., 2015; Hari & Kujala, 2009), leads to a variety of psychological problems such as anxiety and depression (Knyazev et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2012;

Heimberg et al., 1992), and may lead to increased interethnic tensions (Heizmann & Böhnke, 2016; Peters et al., 2010). On the other hand, places with strong attachment and meaning for residents tend to attract social activities like meetings, greeting exchange, and conversation, thus contribute to social cohesion (Gehl & Svarre, 2013; Gehl, 2011). Neighborhood parks as fundamental places within neighborhoods' social networks are crucial places for socialization and exchange between residents. They are excellent means to strengthen bonding among residents by connecting them with their immediate living spaces (Kashef, 2016; Karuppannan & Sivam, 2012). They positively affect resident's quality of life by encouraging physical activities, social interaction, and providing escape areas and enjoyment of nature (Brown et al., 2013).

However, despite being regarded as fundamental social spaces in city planning, neighborhood parks in the context of planned residential areas are not fully utilized to the benefits of the users (Moulay et al., 2017; Azmi & Karim, 2012; Neutens et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2010; Moser, 2010). Such conditions deprive residents of the opportunity to socialize, which is considered a crucial human need (Ellis & Roberts, 2016; Kazmierczak, 2013). Furthermore, within developing Southeast Asian countries, the public open spaces are not sensitive to the local social and cultural context. Following the western model and adopting top-down initiatives for the planning process (Miao, 2013). This situation is a serious obstacle to the Malaysian government agenda of providing livable neighborhoods for its citizens (JPBD-NUP, 2017). This scenario may deprive neighborhood residents of suitable places for possible socialization, disrupt the environmental stimulus and decrease residents' bonding to their public places. The bonding of people to places is the core of the place attachment concept which is central to the human experience (Lewicka, 2011b; Giuliani, 2003; Altman & Low, 1992). Thus, it is an urge to understand the process of place attachment and its influence on park utilization within neighborhoods.

Place Attachment can be defined as the emotional bonds between people and a particular place or environment (Lewicka, 2011a; Manzo, 2005), and through this process, emotion, feeling, and dependence are developed. This research argues that the residents' park utilization patterns are deeply influenced by the emotional and functional aspect of the park settings, which create a sense of dependence and belonging. Inappropriate and uncontextual planning of these public open places, place attachment is slowly diminished, which influences negatively the liveliness of the parks through a loss of meaning, value and personal association (Octay & Bala Alkan, 2015; Ujang & Zakariya, 2014; Massey, 1994). Besides, fewer concerns were given to understand the process of developing an affective bonding between people and specific places including emotion, meaning, and behavior (Manzo & Devine-Wright, 2014; McCunn & Gifford, 2014; Manzo & Perkins, 2006). Based on reviews of numerous empirical and theoretical writings on place attachment, Lewicka (2011a) argued that the process of attachment is less explored. Besides, while most of the findings benefit urban designers in making parks and public spaces more visually and physically functional, the impact of these qualities on users' psychological sense and well-being has not been adequately explored in the previous researches.

This research approaches the underutilized park issue by employing placebased concepts and principles. Place bonding is central to the human experience because it denotes human need to form meaningful connections between one another, groups, objects, and places. These relationships situate and secure the residents in broader social and physical environment, connect them to the past, and influence future behaviors (Manzo & Devine-Wright, 2014). The concept is particularly relevant when dealing with issues of urban development, community building and testing theories of community decline and disorganization (Foster et al, 2016; Casakin & Bernardo, 2012; Trentelman, 2009; Giuliani & Feldmant, 1993). It is often related to parks, green places, and natural areas. The attachment concept may serve to develop and promote a responsible environmental behavior of the citizens through their psychological (emotion and feeling) and functional (dependence) domain of environmental experience. The place attachment theory can be used in different aspects of social life such as encouraging healthy community, developing community identity, engaging communities and improving and supporting community attachment (Buta et al, 2014; Inglis et al, 2008). Thus, place attachment is indeed a valuable concept that may help to mitigate the issue of underutilized parks.

Within this framework, the research focuses on place attachment dimensions in examining the psychological and functional aspects of place and its influence on the park users' attachment. The understanding could be referred to in transforming the less explored psychological values of a place from park users' perspectives and experiences into an explicit, responsive, and inclusive landscape design. The significance of such research remains on the fact that people do not attach to their next door neighbor as much as how they can read the public spaces that surround them. It deepens their meaning and helps to develop a sense of attachment towards public places (Carmona, 2015; Carmona et al, 2010; Blokland, 2009). Urban design is about making places for people's happiness and well-being (Carmona, 2014; Montgomery, 2013). Thus, it is necessary to have an insight into the humanplace relationship reflected in place attachment process. The challenge is to integrate different viewpoints and approaches due to the multi-dimensional concept of place attachment (Manzo, & Devine-Wright, 2014; Giuliani & Fledman, 1993).

Mitigating the issue of underutilized parks is more urgent within Asia Pacific realm because of the urban expansion and the rapid population growth experienced in the last decades. Between 1980 to 2010 the region's cities grew by approximately one billion people, and the United Nations projections show they will add a further billion by 2040 (UN report, 2015). Besides, the region is characterized by specific local climate, culture, and lifestyle (Miao,

2013) which demand contextual and local urban solution. In the context of newly developed towns in Malaysia, more than three-quarter of the population will live in urban area by 2020 (Department of Statistics, 2015). Besides, the gathering of people does not occur in squares and piazzas as in the western countries. Most crowds are found on the street where social interaction takes place such as "pasar malam" or night market, "pekan sehari" or one day market. This local context might give a challenge for planned neighborhood parks to fulfill their social and functional role (Lai et al, 2013; Shamsuddin, 2011). Thus, studies on the psychological aspect of place allow the researcher to regard neighborhood park as a milieu embedded in people's social and cultural lives rather than merely as a physical form.

The context of this study is a neighborhood park in the city of Putrajaya Malaysia. The area was chosen to explore "why" the social phenomenon of underutilized parks persists within an apparent livable neighborhood (Moulay & Ujang, 2016, Ujang, 2014; Azmi and Karim, 2012; Neutens et al., 2012; Moser, 2010; Peters et al., 2010). By understanding the process of attachment, the significant factors influencing place attachment should be brought to the surface in making public spaces more meaningful and responsive to human needs for bonding and attachment.

1.3 Problem statement

The rapid urbanization experienced in many Asian countries has increased awareness about the protection, improvement, and diversification of the functions of public places. Public places are often regarded as the common denominator for a better social life (Carmona, 2015; Montgomery, 2013). Urban parks provide social and ecological benefits such as, promoting livability of places (Ramzy, 2015; Brown et al., 2013), community health (Wolf & Wohlfart, 2014; Ren et al., 2012), escape from the stress of city life, and enhance community wellbeing (Simões Aelbrecht, 2016; Latinopoulos et al., 2016).

 \bigcirc

In that regards, the Malaysian government through its Federal Department of Town and Country Planning Peninsular Malaysia, in his National Urbanization Policy (JPBD-NUP, 2017). It aims to "create a conducive livable urban environment with identity" "through developing and improving the social amenities" in terms of "housing, education, recreation and health facilities" (p.14, 21). In respect of the provision of recreational areas, the National Landscape Policy NLP (2011) provides guidelines for responsive public places and parks as well as accentuating the Malaysian unique landscape identity. Moreover, to reflect a pragmatic and a leading experience, the Malaysian Prime Minister Dato' Seri Najib Tun Abdul Razak did an important announcement in the Copenhagen Climate Change Summit 2009. He engaged to develop the new federal government administrative center of Malaysia, the city of "Putrajaya" as a pioneer green technology and livable township that encourages human interaction back with nature, as a showcase for the development of other townships (PPJ, 2017).

The tremendous Malaysian government's effort in developing a livable city through the Putrajaya experience would have been a strong response to tackle the bitter assessment which the National Urbanization Policy (JBPD-NUP, 2017, p25) sums up on its 2006 report. The report addresses issues and challenges, about the lack of emphasis on urban design: "the significance of urban design in creating a livable environment with character has been duly neglected" (p.25). Paradoxically, in many cases, the parks are not fully utilized to the benefits of the users (Riper & Kyle, 2014; Karuppannan & Sivam, 2012; Byomkech et al., 2012; Moser, 2010), despite their strong symbolism and apparent well-planned spaces. Findings indicate that social interactions in public spaces within new residential areas are not at a satisfactory level (Krellenberg et al., 2014; Neutens et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2010), despite being planned to foster social activities. In the Malaysian context, still sedentary lifestyle and lack of physical activity among the population are predominant (NHMS, 2015). Only 31.4 % are engaged in recreational activities at least once a week according to the fifth and latest report on Malaysian quality of life released in December 2013 (Abu Bakar et al., 2016; MWI, 2013 p.9).

Although Putrajaya city is in its physical sense well-planned in terms of accessibility, proximity, aesthetic, legibility, comfort, quality, activities, facilities, and maintenance, (Moulay & Ujang, 2016; Ujang et al., 2015; Abd Aziz & Rasidi, 2013; Putrajaya Corporation, 2002). The city is also apparently socially comfortable in terms of short commuting distance between home and workplace, perceived safety and compact mixed-use within mixed-income neighborhoods (Musa et al., 2016; Aris Anuar et al., 2012; Moser, 2010; Omar, 2006). However, the issue of underutilization of recreational parks persists (Moulay et al, 2017; Azmi and Karim; 2012; Neutens et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2010; Moser, 2010). The contradiction demands for an in-depth account of the phenomenon based on the psychological framework that might reveal the factors that contribute to the lack of utilization. Place meanings and attachment framework allow the psychological aspect of place to be examined and understood.

According to the leading authors in the field of urban design, and public places such as Jan Gehl, Matthew Carmona, Christopher Alexander, Clare Cooper Marcus and William Whyte, the issue of underutilization of public spaces can be approached based on three main segments. The physical segment (Md Sakip et al., 2015; Schultz et al, 2014; Karuppannan & Sivam, 2012), the socio-cultural segment (Ka'zmierczak, 2013; Cohen et al, 2012; Tachieva, 2010; Miao, 2001), and the psychological segment (Riper & Kyle, 2014; Firouzmakan & Daneshpour, 2014). In that regards, the city of Putrajaya is a conducive environment to understand further the issue of

underutilized parks. This is because the city affords an apparent ideal of physical and socio-cultural environment, thus, the focus should be more emphasized on the remaining segment which is the psychological aspect of parks. The concept of underutilized parks refers to parks that are not fully utilized to the benefits of users and where the available facilities are not being used to their fullest potential (Carmona, 2015, Alexander et al., 1977). This issue is due to the lack of appropriate facilities or the fact that these facilities are not fitting with the real expectations of users, reflecting both a lack of responsiveness and inclusiveness (Gehl, 2011; Peters et al., 2010).

In that regards, the success of livable cities such as Melbourne, Vienna, Vancouver, Toronto and others is contributed by the fact that planners concern themselves with the physics as well as the psychology of public place. They understood sooner that residents' behavior is deeply influenced by design (Othman et al., 2013; Holden & Scerri, 2013; Kamalipour et al., 2012). The psychological aspect of place is reflected by the concept of place attachment, defined as the emotional bonds between people and a particular place or environment (Manzo, 2005; Lewicka, 2011a).

To reach the full potential of attachments between places and people, the community planner has to translate the place attachment attributes to social capital and collective action at the community level (Manzo & Devine-Wright, 2014). In that regards, the issue of underutilized parks was mainly approached through the impact of the physical attributes (Moulay & Ujang, 2016; Kazmierczack, 2013; Lelévrier, 2013; Jorgensen & Stedman, 2001; Yeung, 1996). However, few emphasized on the psychological and functional aspect of place in terms of emotion, meaning and behavior, which are embedded in place attachment (Manzo & Devine-Wright, 2014; Ujang, 2014; Manzo & Perkins, 2006). Furthermore, despite decades of advancements in place attachment theory, from the concept development up to the concept application stage, some aspects of the phenomenon remain overlooked from the discourse.

The body of knowledge about place attachment, mostly emphasizes on the individual feeling and experiences, and has not placed these ties in the wider, socio-capital context in which planners operate. Conversely, the community of planners emphasizes participation and empowerment but did not pay attention to emotional connections to place (Manzo, 2014; Manzo & Perkins, 2006). Moreover, the concept of place attachment is more used on the negative affect and experience such as, mobility (Lewicka, 2011a; Gustafon, 2009b, 2001a), wars and forced displacements, poverty, stigma (Fullilove & Wallace, 2011; Manzo, 2008; Billig, 2006). However, far too little attention has been paid to the positive effect and experience of place attachment in the residential settings (Manzo & Devine-Wright, 2014; Manzo, 2011). Thus, the aim of this study is to use the "integrating concept of place attachment" (Altman & Low, 1992, p.4) to understand the process of place attachment in the context of neighborhood park utilization.

1.4 Research Questions:

Main RQ:

How does the process of place attachment influence neighborhood park utilization within a residential area?

Sub RQs:

1- Why do residents use neighborhood parks? (functional needs: conative)

2- How neighborhood park triggers meanings to the users? (meaning: cognitive)

3- How users are emotionally attached to their neighborhood park? (emotional bond: affective)

4- What are the benefits that satisfy the park users? (users' satisfaction)

1.5 Research Objectives:

Main RO:

To explore the influence of the process of place attachment on neighborhood park utilization within a residential area.

Sub ROs:

1- To examine the residents' functional needs towards their neighborhood park.

2- To understand how neighborhood park triggers meanings to the users

3- To examine the users' emotional bonds towards neighborhood parks.

4- To examine the particular needs that satisfy the park users.

1.6 Scope and Limitation of the Study

This study examines the influence of place attachment on the neighborhood park utilization. It focuses on how the emotional and the functional dimensions of attachment can affect the way residents use the park for social interaction and the meanings attached to the experience. Thus, the study contains specific scope and limitations, as described below:

a- Place attachment from urban design perspective:

The concept of place attachment (PA) is a broad and multidimensional concept developed extensively in the field of environment psychology. Environmental psychologists basically focus on relations between PA and territoriality, sense of community, place identity and sentiments towards places. They use to measure the negative relationships between PA and mobility and positive relationship between PA and life satisfaction. On the other hand, the concept of PA was adopted in several other fields of studies such as humanistic geographers and sociologists. They focus mainly on love towards place, how people remain still attached to places despite hostile surrounding environment. They emphasize subjective place experience, deep emotional ties, and individually constructed place meaning. However, the present study explores the concept of PA from urban design perspective. The aim is to translate the place attachments attributes to social capital. Thus, under the urban design objective to create better places for more livable and sustainable cities, this study explores how the process of PA can encourage residents to engage more with their neighborhood park. Because the more residents are outdoors, the more often they socialize and engage with their public open spaces (Gehl, 2011). Furthermore, this research is about how to improve residents' attachment towards their neighborhood park. It is not about how to create attachment towards neighborhood park.

b- Emotion and dependence:

The concept of place attachment encompasses the psychological and functional aspects of human bond with their environment. It reflects the desire to keep a sense of closeness to a particular place, reflecting both psychological (emotion and feeling) and functional (dependence) domain of environmental experience. This study aims to understand from residents' perspectives and experiences what influence their engagement towards neighborhood parks. Also to understand what is the key concept in the process of place attachment that helps to attract residents to their neighborhood park.

c- Neighborhood parks:

Public places as an important area for meeting and gathering include several kinds of open spaces. This study focuses on Neighborhood Park which is the most important social space for the development of social ties and resident's connectedness within a residential area (Neal, 2003). They are considered the backbone of the park systems, allowing residents from all walks of life to recreate, congregate, relax, and meet others daily and within their residential neighborhood (Kazmierczak, 2013).

d- Planned residential neighborhood :

This study was conducted within a planned residential neighborhood rather than an unplanned one for two main reasons. First, the global rapid urban growth, especially in Asian cities, is based on planned city infrastructure. Second, as place attachment grows with time in the mind of users, it is more problematic in new and planned urban areas rather than old and unplanned urban areas. When several generations have lived in the same place (old unplanned urban areas), their public places are more integrated into their daily life. Thus, within new urban residential areas, the need to strengthen residents' bonding to their public places is crucial.

e- The city of Putrajaya:

The context of the study is the city of Putrajaya, the new federal government administrative center for Malaysia. The city is chosen because it was comprehensively planned as a green and livable city. The city is the largest urban development project on a greenfield site, considered as a crucial development catalyst and was planned as a model for other future sustainable cities in the country (Musa et al., 2016; Morris et al., 2015). Additionally, several studies on Putrajaya highlighted the issue of underutilized parks even when considering their apparent well-design spaces (Moser, 2010; Siong, 2005).

From a non-positivist paradigm and to gain in-depth understanding of the issue of underutilized parks, one neighborhood park was selected as the studied area. The studied park has complete public facilities and advantageous physical and social environment for living. The context of the study is selected within Precinct 9 of Putrajaya. The area consists of high-rise apartments, semi-detached housing, and detached housing residential area. This choice is due to the uniqueness of the physical and social environment. What Yin (2011) calls the "instrumental case study". However, due to the specific administrative nature of the city of Putrajaya, with a majority of Malay resident, it would be difficult to apply the findings to other cities in Malaysia.

1.7 Conceptional (Co) and operational (Op) definitions (Def) of the key concepts

Place attachment:

Co-Def: the affective bond or link between people and specific places.

Op-Def: people's engagement and dependence towards their public place in terms of frequency and length of stay.

Underutilized parks:

Co-Def: parks that are not fully utilized to the benefits of users

Op-Def: the park's facilities are not fully utilized, and the number of residents using the park is very low during weekdays, weekends and public holydays.

Functional attachment:

Co-Def: is reffered to as "place dependence" which reflects the behavioral commitment towards a place and can be negative or positive.

Op-Def: Attachement towards a place due to the fulfilment of necessary activities rather than optional ones.

Functional needs:

Co-Def: necessary needs that are practical and useful rather than optional and attractive.

Op-Def: the primary and most important activities that residents come to fulfil in the public place.

Emotional attachment:

Co-Def: is the emotional bond towards a place, created through a process of interaction and experience with place.

Op-Def: is the affective emotions towards the place, which reflect the pleasant and unpleasant emotions, and causing attraction or repulsion towards the place.

Functional motivation:

Co-Def: the primary intention to perform a behavior

Op-Def: the main cognitive reason behind the will to use a place

1.8 Trustworthiness of the findings



In this study, to understand the functional and emotional influences of place attachment on park utilization, a qualitative methodology is applied, which is suitable to understand a place as a center of meaning. That is conative, cognitive and affective bonds towards place. The three elements provide deeper explanation for "why" the social phenomenon occurs, rather than describing and quantifying the strength of bonds towards a place (Manzo & Devine-Wright, 2014). Therefore, In-depth semi-structured interviews and systematic field observation were adopted as methods of exploration, which allow fulfilling the main research objective. For further reliability, the research will include evidence in the analytical report, use thick description and well express the key aspects. Data gathered in this study is validated through several processes. These include triangulation between the different methods; audit trail which is the records of every step in the research in order to allow reader to audit the events influences and actions of the researcher; to consider negative cases and constant comparison between finding of the different methods employed in the research. Finally, the findings of this study were compared with the established theories and similar research findings for External Validation.

1.9 Significance of the study

The present research is important to improve the livability of neighborhoods and the residents' quality of life. The findings provide pragmatic answers to promote the role of public places such as neighborhood parks in modern society. It proposes contextual solutions that fit with the real expectations of residents that satisfy their contextual needs. Furthermore, it highlights the real constraints that may hinder residents' engagement with their neighborhood parks. The research is significant to the development of a functional and meaningful public space where people find purpose and meaning that can motivate frequent engagement. Thus, this study will provide an added value in three main areas:

1- Participating in Malaysian policy development through providing further elements in terms of responsive neighborhood parks' design in the city of Putrajaya. This, in turn, will promote livable neighborhoods and provide an added value towards the government engagement in the Copenhagen Climate Change Summit 2009. That is to develop the city of Putrajaya in a pioneer livable township, playing the role of model city for further urban development in Malaysia. Moreover, the research outcome is a step further towards fulfilling the UN-Habitat III Report on housing and sustainable urban development (Habitat III, 2016). The established new urban agenda for the two coming decades is to emphasize on enhancing the provision of public places in terms of responsiveness and inclusiveness.

2- Contributing to a new body of knowledge in terms of proposing comprehensive theoretical model of place attachment that is more suitable to the field of urban design. Several models have been established by humanistic geographers, sociologists, and environmental psychologists; the need is to develop a model for urban design studies since the Place Attachment framework is seen as a missing component in most studies on public spaces, particularly in the Asian context.

3- Improving practice in terms of raising awareness among to environmental planning and design researchers, on the importance and value of place attachment process for enhancing the meaning and attraction towards public places. The research approach adds to the existing physical and social – oriented framework of designing public places, the psychological key concept to provide responsive and inclusive public places.

1.10 Summary of the Research Design






REFERENCES

- Abd Aziz, H., & Rasidi, M. H. (2013). Study of Habitat Indicator: Openness and complexity level in the parks of Putrajaya. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 85, 332 – 344.
- Abdul Malek, N., & Mariapan, M. (2009). Visitors Perception on Vandalism and Safety Issues in Malaysian Urban Park. Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management, 4(13), 93-107.
- Abdul Malek, N. (2011). Assessment of Satisfactions, Preferences, Needs and Use Patterns in Quality Neighbourhood Park Development in Malaysia. PhD Unpublished Dissertation. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Abdul-Rahim, Z. (2015). The Characteristics, Changes And Effects Of Building Elements In The Two-Storey Public Low Cost Housing On The Malay Culture Core. PhD Unpublished Dissertation. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Abreu-Harbich, L. V., Labaki, L. C., & Matzarakis, A. (2015). Effect of tree planting design and tree species on human thermal comfort in the tropics. Landscape and Urban Planning, 138, 99–109.
- Abu Bakar, J. (2002). A Design Guide for Public Parks in Malaysia, University Technology Malaysia, Skudai, Johor.
- Abu Bakar, A., Mohamed Osman, M., Bachok, S., & Ibrahim, I. (2016). Investigating Rationales of Malaysia Quality of Life and Wellbeing Components and Indicators. Procedia- Social and Behavioral Sciences, 222, 132 – 142.
- Abu Bakar, E. A., Ujang, N., & Abdul Aziz, F. (2016). Place Attachment Towards Waterfront In Kangar, Perlis, Malaysia. Pertanika J. Soc. Sci. & Hum, 9 (2) 81 - 92.
- Abu-Ghazzeh, T. (1999). Reclaiming public spaces in space: the ecology of neighborhood open the town of Abu-Nuseir, Jordan. Landscape and Urban Planning, 36, 197-216.
- Aitken, R., & Campelo, A. (2011). The four Rs of place branding. Journal of Marketing Management, 27(9-10), 913-933.
- Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. InJ. Kuhl & J. Beckmann (Eds.), Action-control: From cognition to behavior (pp. 11-39). Heidelberg: Springer.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50, 179-211.

- Al-bishawi & Ghadban, S. (2011). A methodological approach for reading urban open space. ArchNet-IJAR, International journal of Architectural Research, 5(1), 73-85.
- Alegre, J., & C. Juaneda. (2006). Destination Loyalty: Consumers' Economic Behavior. Annals of Tourism Research, 33 (3), 684-706.
- Alexander, C., Ishikawa, S., & Silverstein, M. (1977). A pattern language: Towns, buildings, construction. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Altman, I., & Low, S. (Eds.). (1992). Place attachment. New York: Plenum Press.
- Anjomshoaa, E., & Hayat Khan, T. (2012). Identifying Significant Land Use Patterns as Major Contributors to the Vibrancy of Urban Commercial Districts in The Context of Malaysia. Scottish Journal of Arts, Social Sciences and Scientific Studies, 1, 24-41.
- Anton, C.E., & Lawrence, C. (2016). The relationship between place attachment, the theory of planned behaviour and residents' response to place change. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 47, 145-154.
- Aris Anuar, A. N., Bookhari, S. N., & Aziz, N. A. (2012). The Effectiveness of Safe City Programme as Safety Basic in Tourism Industry: Case Study in Putrajaya. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 42, 477 - 485.
- Atkinson, P. & Coffey, A. (2004). Analysing Documentary Realities, in Qualitative Research: Theories, Methods and Practice, 2nd edn. D. Silvermann Ed. pp. 56-75, London: SAGE Publications.
- Auerbach, C. F & Silverstein, L. B. (2003). Qualitative data, An Introduction to Coding and Analysis. New York University Press.
- Averill, J. R., Stanat, P., & More, T. A. (1998). Aesthetics and the environment. Review of General Psychology, 2, 153–174.
- Azimi, H., Krauss, S. E., Mohamed Shaffril, H. A., Suandi, T., Ismail, I. A., & Abu Samah, B. (2013). Toward Undertstanding Malaysian Fishremen's Decision Making on the Use of Fishing Technology: A Mental Model Approach. International Journal of Psychology, 49 (5), 397-403.
- Azmi, D. I., & Karim, H. A. (2012). Implications of walkability towards promoting sustainable urban neighbourhood. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 50, 204–2013.

- Bai, X., Nath, I., Capon, A., Hasan, N., & Jaron, D. (2012). Health and wellbeing in the changing urban environment: complex challenges, scientific responses, and the way forward. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4(4), 465-472.
- Bally, C., & Gautier, L. (1922). Recueil des publications scientifiques de Ferdinand de Saussure. Librairie & Cie, Geneve (in French).

Baudrillard, J. (1968). Le Système des objets. Gallimard, Paris. (in French)

- Billig, M. (2006). Is my home my castle? Place attachment, risk perception, and religious faith. Environment and Bihavior, 38, 248-265.
- Bakopoulou, I. & Dockrell, J. E. (2016). The role of social cognition and prosocial behaviour in relation to the socio-emotional functioning of primary aged children with specific language impairment. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 49 (50), 354–370.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Towards a unifying theory of behavioral chane. Psychological Review, 84,191-215.
- Bedimo-Rung, A. L., Mowen, A. J., & Cohen, D. A. (2005). The significance of parks to physical activity and public health: A conceptual model. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 28, 159–168.
- Bell, P., J. Fisher, A. Baum, & T. Green. (1990). Environmental Psychology. London: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Berto, R. (2014), The Role of Nature in Coping with Psycho-Physiological Stress: A Literature Review on Restorativeness. Behavioral Sciences, 4, 394-409.
- Bing, K. W. (2005). A Phenomenological Investigation of Knowing Events among Women Head Teachers of Government Schools. PhD. Unpublished Dissertation, University of Putra Malaysia
- Blokland, T. (2009). Rethinking Urban Parks: Public Space and Cultural Diversity by Seitha Low, Dana Taplin & Suzanne Scheld. Journal of Urban Design.
- Boateng, H., Adam, D. R., & Okoe, A. F. (2016). Assessing the determinants of internet banking adoption intentions: A social cognitive theory perspective. Computers in Human Behavior, 65, 468-478.
- Bonaiuto, M., Bonnes, M., Nenci, A.N. & Carrus, G. (2011). Urban Diversities- Environmental and Social Issues. Hogerfe Publishing. USA.
- Bonaiuto, M., Alves, S., De Dominicis, S., & Petruccelli, I. (2016). Place attachment and natural hazard risk: Research review and agenda. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 48, 33-53.

- Bonnes, M., Lee, T., & Bonaiuto, M. (2003). Psychological Theories for Environmental Issues. Ashgate Publishing Company. England.
- Bott, S. ,Cantrill, G. J., & Myers and E. O. Jr. (2003). Place and the Promise of Conservation Psychology.Human Ecology Review, 10(2), 100-112.
- Bounds, M. (2008). Urban Social Theory: city, self and society. Oxford university press.
- Brehm, J. M., Eisenhauer, B.W., & Krannich, R. S. (2006). Community attachments as predictors of local environmental concern. The case of multiple dimensions of attachment. American Behavioral Scientist, 50(2), 142–165.
- Brennan, M. A. (2007). Community development in the west of Ireland: Twenty years on in the Killala area. Community Development Journal, 42(3), 330–347.
- Bricker, K. S., & Kerstetter, D. L. (2005). Level of specialization and place attachment: an exploratory study of whitewater recreationists. Leisure Sciences, 22, 233-257.
- Brocki, J. M., & Wearden, A. J. (2006). A Critical Evaluation of the Use of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) In Health Psychology. Psychology & Health 21(1): 87–108.
- Brown, G., Shcebella, M., & Weber, D. (2013). Using participatory GIS to measure physical activity and urban park benefit. Landscape and Urban Planning, 121, 34-44.
- Brown, B. B., & Perkins, D. D. (1992). Disruptions in place attachment. In I. Altman, & S. M. Low (Eds.), Place attachment (pp. 279-304). New York: Plenum Press.
- Brown, G., & Raymond, C. (2007). The relationship between place attachment and landscapevalues: Toward mapping place attachment. Applied Geography, 27, 89-111.
- Brown, B., Perkins, D., & Brown, G. (2003). Place attachment in a revitalizing neighborhood: Individual and block levels of analysis. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 23, 259–271.
- Brown, G., Smith, C., Alessa, L., & Kliskey, A. (2004). A comparison of perceptions of biological value with scientific assessment of biological importance. Applied Geography, 24, 161-180.

- Bukodi, E., Goldthorpe, J., Waller, L., & Kuha, J. (2015). "The mobility problem in Britain: new findings from the analysis of birth cohort data", The British Journal of Sociology, 66, 1 93 117.
- Buschman, J., & Leckie, G. J. (2007). The Library as Place: History, Community, and Culture. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 33(4), 520-520.
- Bussey, S.C. (1996). Public Use, Perceptions and preferences for urban woodlands in redditch, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Central England, Birmingham.
- Buta, N., Holland, S. M., & Kaplanidou, K. (2014). Local communities and protected areas: The mediating role of place attachment for proenvironmental civic engagement. Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism, 5 (6), 1-10.
- Byomkesh, T., Nakagoshi, N. & Dewan, A. M. (2012). Urbanization and green space dynamics in Greater Dhaka, Bangladesh. Landscape and Ecological Engineering, 8, 45-58.
- Byrne, J., Wolch, J., & Zhang, J. (2009). Planning for environmental justice in an urban national park. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 52, 365–392
- Canter, D. V. (1977). The psychology of place. London: Architectural Press.
- Carlisle, S., Henderson, G., & Hanlon, P. W. (2009). Wellbeing': A collateral casualty of modernity? Social Science & Medicine, 69 (10), 1556–1560.
- Carmona, M. (2015). Sustainable urban place-shaping. Journal of Urban Design, 21(1), 31-35.
- Carmona, M. (2014). The Place-shaping Continuum: A Theory of Urban Design Process, Journal of Urban Design, 19, 12-36.
- Carmona, M. (2010a). "Contemporary Public Space: Critique and Classification, Part One: Critique." Journal of Urban Design, 15 (1) 123–148.
- Carmona, M. (2010b). "Contemporary Public Space, Part Two: Classification." Journal of Urban Design, 15 (2) 157–173.
- Carmona, M., Tiesdell, S., Heath, T., & Oc, T. (2010). Public Places- Urban Spaces: The Dimensions of Urban Design. MA architectural press.

- Carrus, G., Scopelliti, M., Fornara, F., Bonnes, M., & Bonaiuto, M. (2014). Place attachment, community identification, and pro-environmental engagement. In L. C. Manzo, & P. Devine-Wright (Eds.), Place attachment: Advances in theory, methods, and applications (pp. 154-164). New York, NY, USA: Routledge.
- Casakin, H., & Bernardo, F. (2012). The role of place identity in the perception, un- derstanding, and design of built environments. Sharjah, United Arab Emirates: Bentham Science Publishers.
- Casakin, H.P., & Kreitler, S. (2008). Place attachment as a function of meaning assignment. Open Environmental Sciences, 2, 80-87.
- Cascetta, E., & Cartenì, A., (2014). The hedonic value of railways terminals. A quantitative analysis of the impact of stations quality on travellers behaviour. Transport. Res. Part A: Pol. Pract, 61, 41–52.
- Charmaz, K. (2004). Grounded Theory. In S. Hesse-Biber & P. Leavy (Eds.), Approaches to Qualitative Research: A Reader on Theory and Practice (pp. 496-521). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Chase-Dunn, C. (2005). Size and power. Urbanization and Empire Formation in world-systems. Pp. 92-112 in Chase-Dunn, C and E. Anderson eds. The Historical Evolution of world-systems. London: Palgrave. [A quantitative study of the growth of cities of cities and empires since the Bronze age].
- Chebat, J.C., Haj-Salem, N., & Oliveira, S. (2014a). Why shopping pals make malls different? Journal of Retail and Consumer. Services, 21 (2), 77–85.
- Chiesura, A. (2004). The role of urban parks for the sustainable city. Landscape and Urban Planning, 68 (1), 129-138.
- Cheng, V., Ng, E., Chan, C., & Givoni, B. (2012). Outdoor thermal comfort study in a sub-tropical climate: a longitudinal study based in Hong Kong. International Journal of Biometeorology, 56, 43-56.
- Cheshmehzangi, A., & Heat, T. (2012). Urban identities: Influences on socioenvironmental values and spatial inter- relations. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 36, 253-264.

- Chow, K. & Healey, M. (2008). Place attachment and place identity: Firstyear undergraduates making the transition from home to university. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 28(4), 362-372.
- Christian, T. J. (2012). Automobile commuting duration and the quantity of time spent with spouse, children, and friends. Preventive Medicine, 55, 215-218.
- Chuo, L. (2014). Ethnicity, Culture and Park Design: Case Studies of Urban Parks in American Chinatowns. Journal of Urban Design, 19 (2), 230– 254.
- Clissett. P. (2008). Evaluating Qualitative Research. Journal of Orthopedic Nursing, 12, 99-105.
- Cohen, D., Han, B., & Derose K. (2012). Neighborhood poverty, park use, and park-based physical activity in a Southern California city. Soc Sci Med, 75 (12) 2317–2325.
- Cohen, D.A., Han Bing, Nagel, C.J., Harnik, P., McKenzie T.L. Evenson, K.R. Marsh, T., Williamson, S., Vaughan, C., & Katta, S. (2016). The First National Study of Neighborhood Parks. Implications for Physical Activity. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 4, 419-426.
- Cohen, L., Mannion, L. & Morrison, K. (2007). Research Methods in Education (6th edition.). London: Routledge.
- Coleman, T., & Kearns, R. (2015). The role of bluespaces in experiencing place, aging and wellbeing: Insights from Waiheke Island, New Zealand. Health & Place, 35, 206–217.

Cresswell, T. (2004). Place: A short introduction. Oxford: Blackwell.

- Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches. (3rd edition). Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J. W. (2007). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing among Five Approaches (2nd edition). Sage Publication
- Crotty, M. (1998). The Foundations of Social Science Research: Meaning and Perspective in the Research Process. New South Wales: Allen and Uwin.
- Crouch, D. (1994). Future of Urban Parks and Open Spaces: Popular Culture of City Parks . Comedia press.

- Das, G., & Varshneya, G. (2017). Consumer emotions: Determinants and outcomes in a shopping mall. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 38, 177–185.
- Davis, M. (1990). City of Quartz. London and New York: Verso.
- Davis, A. (2016). Experiential places or places of experience? Place identity and place attachment as mechanisms for creating festival environment. Tourism Management, 55, 49-61.
- De Dominicis, S., Fornara, F., Cancellieri, U. G., Twigger-Ross, C., & Bonaiuto, M. (2015). We are at risk, and so what? Place attachment, environmental risk perceptions and preventive coping behaviours. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 43, 66-78.
- De Nisco, A., & Warnaby, G. (2014). Urban design and tenant variety influences on consumers' emotions and approach behaviour. Journal of Business Research, 67, 211-217.
- Delmelle, E. C., Haslauer, E., & Prinz, T. (2013). Social satisfaction, commuting and neighborhoods. Journal of Transport Geography, 330, 110-116.
- Department of Statistics, Malaysia. (2015). http://www.statistics.gov.my/portal/index.php. Accessed on March 2016.
- Denzin, N. (1994). The Art and Politics of Interpretation. In N. Denzin & Y. Lincoln (Eds.), Handbook of Qualitative Research (pp. 500–515). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.
- Devine-Wright, P. (2011). Place attachment and public acceptance of renewable energy: A tidal energy case study. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 31, 336-343.
- Devine-Wright, P., & Clayton, S. (Eds.). (2010). Identity, place, and environmental behavior. [Special Issue]. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30, 267-338.
- Dias, P., & Ramadier, T. (2015). Social trajectory and socio-spatial representation of urban space: the relation between social and cognitive structures. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 41,135-144.

- Droseltis, O., & Vignoles, V. L. (2010). Towards an integrative model of place iden- tification: dimensionality and predictors of intrapersonal-level place prefer- ences. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30(1), 23– 34.
- Dryden,W., & Ellis, A. (2001). Rational emotive behavioral therapy. In K. S. Dobson (Ed.), Handbook of cognitive-behavioral therapies (2nd ed., pp. 295–348). NewYork: Guilford Press.
- Dukes, S. (1984). Phenomenological methodology in the human sciences. Journal of Religion and Health, 23(3), 197-203
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (1998). Attitude structure and function. In D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), The handbook of social psychology (pp. 269–322). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Eliasson, I., Knez, I., Westerberg, U., Thorsson, S., & Lindberg, F. (2007). Climate and Behaviour in a Nordic city. Landscape and Urban Planning, 82, 72–84.
- Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister's Department. (2015). Eleventh Malaysia Plan. Anchoring Growth On People. Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Berhad, Kuala Lumpur, ISBN 978-9675842085.
- Eisenhauer, B. W., Krannich, R. S., & Blahna D. J. (2000). Attachments to special places on public lands: An analysis of activities, reason for attachments, and community connections. Society Nat. Resources, 13, 421-441.
- Erkip, F. (2003). The shopping mall as an emergent public space in Turkey. Environment and Planning, 35, 1073 1093.
- Ellis, P., & Roberts, M. (2016). Leveraging Urbanization in South Asia: Managing Spatial Transformation for Prosperity and Livability. World Bank Group, Washington, DC (www.worldbank.org).
- ETP. (2014), Malaysian Economic Transformation Programme. Retrieved from: http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/annualreport2014/upload/ETP2014_BM_full _version.pdf
- Fade, S. (2004). Using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis for Public Health Nutrition and Dietetic Research: A Practical Guide. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 63 (04) 647–653.

Farkisch, H., Ahmadi, V., & Che-Ani, A.I. (2015). Evaluation of neighborhood center attributes on resident's territoriality and sense of belonging a case study in Boshrooyeh, Iran. Habitat International, 49, 56-64.

Fakouhi, N. (2008). Urban Anthropology, Ney Publications, Tehran.

- Farnum, J., Hall, T., & Kruger, L. E. (2005). Sense of place in natural resource recreation and tourism: An evaluation and assessment of research findings. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-660. United States Department of Agriculture, Pacific Northwestern Research Station.
- Fasick, F. A. (2001). Some Uses of Untranscribed Tape Recordings in Survey Research. Public Opinion Quarterly, 41(4) 549 552.
- Federal Department of Town and Country Planning. (2005). Open Space in Urban Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Federal Department of Town and Country Planning, Peninsular Malaysia, Ministry of Housing and Local Government, Malaysia.
- Feng, X., & Astell-Burt, T. (2016). What types of social interactions reduce the risk of psychological distress? Fixed effects longitudinal analysis of a cohort of 30,271 middle-to-older aged Australians. Journal of Affective Disorders, 204, 99–102.
- Finch, J. (1984). 'It's great to have someone to talk to: the ethics of interviewing women' in C. Bell and H. Roberts (eds) Social Researching: Politics, Problems, Practice, London: Routledge & Kegan Pau.
- Firouzmakan, S. & Daneshpour, S.A. (2015). Promotion Quality of Life by Increasing Place Attachment in Public Places. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 201, 418-428.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). Beliefs, attitudes, intention and behaviour: An introduction to theory and research. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Fishwick, L., & Vining, J. (1992). Toward a phenomenology of recreation place. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 12,57-63.
- Fleming, I., Baum, A., & Weiss, L. (1987). Social density and perceived control as mediators of crowding stress in high-density residential neighbourhoods. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 52, 899-906.

- Fornara, F., Bonaiuto, M., & Bonnes, M. (2010). Cross-validation of abbreviated perceived residential environment quality (PREQ) and neighborhood attachment (NA) indicators. Environment and Behavior, 42(2) 171-196.
- Forsyth, A. & Musacchio, L. (2005) Designing Small Parks: A Manual for Addressing Social and Ecological Concerns (Hoboken, NJ:Wiley).
- Foster, S., Hooper, P., Knuiman, M., Bull, F., & Giles-Corti, B. (2016). Are liveable neighbourhoods safer neighbourhoods? Testing the rhetoric on new urbanism and safety from crime in Perth, Western Australia. Social Science & Medicine, 164, 150-157.
- Fried, M. (1963). Grieving for a lost home. In L. J. Duhl (Ed.), The urban condition: People and policy in the metropolis (pp. 124-152). New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Frijda, N. H. (2007). Klaus Scherer's article on "What are emotions?" Comments. Social Science Information, 46, 381-443.
- Fullilove, M. T. (1996). Psychiatric implications of displacement: contributions from the psychology of place. American Journal of Psychiatry, 153,1516-1523.
- Fullilove, M. T., & Wallace, R. (2011). Serial forced displacement in American cities, 1916-2010. Journal of Urban Health, 88(3), 381-389.
- Gallaway, J. H., & Bernasek, A. (2002). Gender and Informal Sector Employment in Indonesia. Journal of Economic Issues, 36(2) 313-321.
- Gardner, B. D. (2008). What drives car use? Modelling psychological antecedents of travel mode choice. University of Sussex, Dphil.
- Gehl, J. (2011). Life between buildings: Using public spaces, The John Hopkins University Press, London.

Gehl, J. (2009). Cities for people, Island press.

Gehl, J., & Svarre, B. (2013). How To Study Public Life, Island press.

Giles-Corti, B., Broomhall, M. H., Knuiman, M., Collins, C., Douglas, K., & Ng, K. (2005). Increasing walking: How important is distance to, attractiveness and size of public open space? American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 28,169-176.

- Gilligan, Carol. (1982). In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. (QS)
- Giuliani, M.V., & Feldman, R. (1993). Place attachment in a developmental and cultural context. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 13, 267-274.
- Giuliani, M.V. (2003). Theory of attachment and place attachment. In M. Bonnes, T. Lee and Bonaiuto (eds), Psychological theories for environmental issues (pp. 137-170). Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Ghoomi, H. A., Yazdanfar, S. A., Hosseini, S. B., & Maleki, S. N. (2015). Comparing the Components of Sense of Place in the Traditional and Modern Residential Neighborhoods. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 201, 275 – 285.
- Goffman, E. (1983). Behaviour in Public Places: Notes on the Social Organization of Gatherings, 'The Interaction Order: American Sociological Association, 1982 Presidential Address. American Sociological Review, 48, 1–17.
- Grant, R. (2008). A phenomenological Case Study of a Lecturer's Understanding of Himself as an Assessor. The Indo-Pacific Journal of Phenomenology, 8, 1-10.
- Greaves, M., Zibarras, L. D., & Stride, C. (2013). Using the theory of planned behavior to explore environmental behavioral intentions in the workplace. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 34, 109-120.
- Green, D. O. (2004). Fighting the battle for racial diversity: A case study of Mich- igan's institutional responses to Gratz and Grutter. Educational Policy, 18 733-751.
- Gross, M. J., & Brown, G. (2008). An empirical structural model of tourists and places: Progressing involvement and place attachment into tourism. Tourism Management, 29, 1141-1151.
- Guardia, J., & Pol, E. (2002). A critical study of theoretical models of sustainability through structural equation systems. Environment and Behavior, 34, 137-149.
- Grahn, P. (1986). Grönplanering för Människor. Stad & Land No. 44. Sveriges Lantbruksuniversitet, Alnarp (in Swedish).

- Gu, H., & Ryan, C. (2008). Place attachment, identity and community impacts of tourism: the case of a Beijing Hutong. Tourism Management, 29,637-647.
- Gubbels, J. S., Kremers, S. P. J., Droomers, M., Hoefnagels, C., Stronks, K., Hosman, C., & de Vries, S. (2016). The impact of greenery on physical activity and mental health of adolescent and adult residents of deprived neighborhoods: A longitudinal study. Health & Place, 40, 153–160.
- Gustafson, P. (2001a). Roots and routes: Exploring the relationship between place attachment and mobility. Environment and Behavior, 33, 667-686.
- Gustafson, P. (2001c). Meanings of place : Everyday experience and theoretical conceptualizations. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 21, 5-16.
- Gustafson, P. (2006). 'Place Attachment and Mobility', in N. McIntyre et al. (eds), Multiple Dwelling and Tozirism: Negotiating Place, Home and Identity (Cambridge: CABI).
- Gustafson, P. (2009b). Mobility and territorial belonging. Environment and Behavior, 41, 490-508.
- Gustafson, P. (2014). Place attachment in an age of mobility. In L. C. Manzo,
 & P. Devine-Wright (Eds.), Place attachment: Advances in theory, methods and ap- plications (pp. 37-48). New York: Routledge
- Habitat III, (2016). United Nations Conference on Housing and Sustainable
 Urban Development. Quito, Ecuador, from 17 20 October 2016.
 Resolution 66/207. Accessed by author on November 2016
 http://unhabitat.org/habitat-iii.
- Harun, N. A., Zakariya, H., Mansor, M., & Zakaria, K. (2014). Determining Attributes of Urban Plaza for Social Sustainability. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 153, 606 - 615.
- Hagerty, M.R., Cummins, R.A., & Ferriss, A.L. (2001). Quality of life indexes for national policy: review and agenda for research. Soc. Indic. Res. 55 (1) 1-96.
- Hair, J.F., Money, A.H., Samouel, P. & Page, M. (2007). Research Methods for Business, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Halpenny, E., (2006) Ph.D. Environmental Behaviour, Place attachment and park visitation: A case study of visitors to Point Pelee National Park (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Waterloo, Canada.

- Halpenny, E. A. (2010). Pro-environmental behaviours and park visitors: The effect of place attachment. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30, 409-421.
- Hammitt,W. E., Backlund, E. A., & Bixler, R. D. (2004). Experience use history, place bonding, and resource substitution of trout anglers during recreation engagements. Journal of Leisure Research, 36, 356–378.
- Han, B., Cohen, D., & McKenzie, TL. (2013) Quantifying the contribution of neighborhood parks to physical activity. Prev Med. 5, 483–487.
- Hansmann, R., Hug, S.M., & Seeland, K. (2007). Restoration and stress relief through physical activities in forests and parks. Urban For. Urban Green, 6, 213-225.
- Haque, A. (2008). Culture-bound syndromes and healing practices in Malaysia. Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 11(7) 685-696.
- Haque, A., & Masuan, K. A. (2009). Perspective: Religious psychology in Malaysia. International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 12(4) 277–289.
- Hari, R., & Kujala, M. V. (2009). Brain basis of human social interaction: from concepts to brain imaging. Physiological reviews, 89, 453-479.
- Harnik, P. (2010). Urban green: Innovative parks for resurgent cities. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- Hay, R. (1998). Sense of place in developmental context. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 18, 5-29.
- Heimberg, R. G., Mueller, G. P., Holt, C. S., Hope, D. A. & Liebowitz, M. R. (1992). Assessment of anxiety in social interaction and being observed by others: the Social Interaction Anxiety Scale and the Social Phobia Scale. Behavior Therapy, 23, 57-73.
- Heizmann, B., & Böhnke, P. (2016). Migrant poverty and social capital: The impact of intra- and interethnic contacts. Research in Social Stratification and Mobility, 46, 73-85.
- Hernandez, B., Hidalgo, M.C., Salazar-Laplace, M.E., & Hess, S. (2007). Place attachment and place identity in natives and non-natives. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 27, 310-319.

- Hernandez, B., Hidalgo, M.C., & Ruiz, C. (2014). Theoretical and methodological aspects of research on place attachment. In L.C. Manzo & P. Devine-Wright (Eds.), Place attachment: Advances in theory, methods and applications (pp. 125?137). London: Routledge.
- Hester, R. (1993). Sacred structures and everyday life: a return to Manteo, North Carolina. In D. Seamon (ed.), Dwelling Seeing and Designing: Toward a Phenomenological Ecology (pp. 217–98). New York: State University of New York Press.
- Hester, R. T., Jr. (2014). Do not detach! Instruction from and for community design. In L. Manzo, & P. Devine-Wright (Eds.), Place attachment: Advances in theory, methods and research (pp. 191-205). New York, NY, USA: Routledge
- Hidalgo, M. C., & Hernandez, B. (2001). Place Attachment: Conceptual and Empirical Questions. Journal of Environmental Psychology. 21, 273-281.
- Hinds, J., & Sparks, P. (2008). Engaging with the natural environment: The role of affective action and identity. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 28,109-120.
- Holden, M., & Scerri, A. (2013). More than this: Liveable Melbourne meets liveable Vancouver. Cities, 31, 444-453.
- Holm, S. (1998). The use and importance of urban parks. Ph.D. Thesis, The Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Copenhagen.
- Holst, J., & Mayer, H. (2011). Impacts of street design parameters on humanbiome-teorological variables. Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 20, 541-552.
- Hopkins, D. J., & Williamson, T. (2014). Inactive by design? Neighborhood design and political participation. Political Behavior, 34, 79-101.
- Hochschild, A. R. (1989). The second shift. New York: Oxford University Press. (QS)
- Hou, J.-S., Lin, C.-H., & Morais, D. B. (2005). Antecedents of attachment to a cultural tourism destination: The case of Hakka and non-Hakka Taiwanese visitors to Pei-Pu, Taiwan. Journal of Travel Research, 44(2), 221–233.

- Huang, J., Zhou, C., Zhuo, Y., Xu, L., & Jiang, Y. (2016). Outdoor thermal environments and activities in open space: An experiment study in humid subtropical climates. Building and Environment, 103, 238-249.
- Hummon, D. (1992). Community Attachment: Local Sentiment and Sense of Place. In I. Altman & S. Low (Eds.), Place Attachment (Vol. 12, pp. 253-278). New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Hwang, R. L., Lin, T. P., Cheng, M. J., & Lo, J. H. (2010). Adaptive comfort model for tree-shaded outdoors in Taiwan. Building and Environment journal. Building and Environment, 45 (8), 1873-1879.
- Hwang, S-N, Lee, C & Chen, H-J .(2005). The relationship among tourists' involvement, place attachment and interpretation satisfaction in Taiwan's national parks. Tourism Management, 26 (2), 143–56.
- Inglis, J., Deery, M., & Whitelaw, P. (2008). The Development of Place Attachment in Parks Sustainable Tourism, Crc Tech Report Feedback National Library of Australia Cataloguing-In-Publication Entry.
- Ivory, V. C., Russell, M., Witten, K., Hooper, C. M., Pearce, J., & Blakely, T. (2015). What shape is your neighbourhood? Investigating the micro geographies of physical activity. Social Science & Medicine journal, 133, 313-321.
- Jacobs, J. (2002). The death and life of great American cities. New York: Random House.
- Jalal, F. H., & Sumari, M. (2008). Risk and protective behaviors of family with youth misbehaviors in a collectivist society: Implications for family counseling. Counseling, Psychotherapy, and Health, 4(1), 134-161.
- JBPD (2006). National Urbanization Policy. Federal Department of Town and Country Planning (JBPD), Peninsular Malaysia.
- JBPD- NUP, Federal Department of Town and Country Planning, Peninsular Malaysia. National urbanization policy, http://www.townplan.gov. my/download/ publication/ dpn-eng.pdf, accessed on 15 March 2017.
- Jenkins, J. M., & Pigram, J. J. (2003). Encyclopedia of Leisure and Outdoor Recreation. Taylor & Francis Group, Routledge.
- Jessop, B., & Sum, N-L. (2016). What is critical? Critical Policy Studies, 10(1), 105-109.

- Jin, P., & Cooper, M. (2000). Malay Culture and Personality: A Big Five PerspectiveAmerican Behavioral Scientist, 44, 95-111.
- JLN. (2008). Garis Panduan Landskap Negara edisi 2 (GPLN 2). Putrajaya, Jabatan Landskap Negara (JLN), Kementerian Perumahan dan Kerajaaan Tempatan (KPKT) Malaysia.
- Jorgensen, B. S., & Stedman, R. C. (2001). Sense of place as an attitude: Lakeshore property owners' attitudes toward their properties. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 21, 233–248.
- Jorgensen, B. S., & Stedman, R. C. (2006). A comparative analysis of predictors of sense of place dimensions: Attachment to, dependence on, and identification with lakeshore properties. Journal of Environmental Management, 79 (3), 316-327.
- Juodinytė-Kuznetsova. K. (2011). Architectural Space And Greimassian Semiotics. Socialinių mokslų studijos/Societal Studies, 3(4), 1269– 1280.
- Kals, E., Schumaker, D., & Montada, L. (1999). Emotional affinity toward nature as a motivational basis to protect nature. Environment and Behavior, 31,178–202.
- Kaltenborn, B. P., & Williams, D. R. (2002). The meaning of place. Attachments to Femundsmarka National Park, Norway, among tourists and locals. Norwegian Journal of Geography, 56(3), 189–198.
- Kamalipour, H., Yeganeh, J.A & Alalhesabi, M. (2012). Predictors of Place Attachment in Urban Residential Environments: A Residential Complex Case Study. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 35,459-467.
- Kampmann, I. L., Emmelkamp, P. M. G., Hartanto, D., Brinkman, W. P., Zijlstra, B. J. H., & Morina, N. (2016). Exposure to virtual social interactions in the treatment of social anxiety disorder: A randomized controlled trial. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 77, 147-156.
- Kántor, N., Chen, L., & Gal, C. V. (2017). Human-biometeorological significance of shading in urban public spaces—Summertime measurements in Pécs, Hungary. Landscape and Urban Planning, 170, 241-255.
- Kaplan, S., & Berman, M. G. (2010). Directed attention as a common resource for executive functioning and self-regulation. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 5(1), 43-57.

- Karuppannan, S., & Sivam, A. (2012). Comparative analysis of utilisation of open space at neighbourhood level in three Asian cities: Singapore, Delhi and Kuala Lumpur. Urban Design International, 2, 145-164.
- Kashef, M. (2016). Urban livability across disciplinary and professional boundaries. Frontiers of Architectural Research, 5, 239-253.
- Kasarda, J. D. & M. Janowitz. (1974). Community attachment in mass society. Am. Sociol. Rev, 39, 328–339.
- Kaur, A. (2013). Maslow's Need Hierarchy Theory: Applications and Criticisms. Journal of Management and Business Studies, 3(10), 1061-1064.
- Kazmierczak, A. (2013). The contribution of local parks to neighbourhood social ties. Landscape and Urban Planning, 109(1), 31–44.
- Kling, Z. (1995). The Malay family: Beliefs and realities. Journal of Comparative Family Studies, 26(1), 43-66.
- Kellekci Ö., L. & Berköz L. (2006). Mass Housing: User Satisfaction in Housing and Its Environment Istanbul, Turkey. Eur. J. Housing Policy, 6(1), 77-99.
- Keller, S. (1968). The urban neighborhood. New York: Random House.
- Korpela, K. M. (1989). Place-identity as a product of environmental selfregulation. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 9, 241-256.
- Korpela, K., Hartig, T., Kaiser, F. G., & Fuhrer, U., (2001). Restorative experience and self- regulation in favourite places. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 16, 221-233.
- Knez, I. (2005). Attachment and identity as related to a place and its perceived climate. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 25 (2), 207–218.
- Knyazev, G. G., Savostyanov, A. N., & Bocharov, A. V. (2016). Anxiety, depression, and oscillatory dynamics in a social interaction model. Brain Research, 1644, 62-69.
- Krampen, M. (2007). Meaning in the urban environment. Routledge, Taylor& Francis group. London and New York.
- Krauss, S. E. (2005). Research paradigms and meaning making: A primer. The Qualitative Report, 10(4), 758-770.

- Krefting, L. (1991). Rigor in Qualitative Research: The Assessment of Trustworthiness. The American Journal of Occupational Therap, 45(3), 214-222.
- Krellenberg, K., Welz, J., & Reyes-Päcke, S. (2014). Urban green areas and their potential for social interaction: A case study of a socioeconomically mixed neighbourhood in Santiago de Chile. Habitat International journal, 44, 11-21.
- Kuo, F. E., Sullivan, W. C., Coley, R. L., & Brunson, L. (1998). Fertile ground for community: Inner-city neighbourhood common spaces. American Journal of Community Psychology, 26, 823-851.
- Kyle, G. T., Absher, J. D., & Graefe, A. R. (2003). The moderating role of place attachment on the relationship between attitudes toward fees and spending preferences. Leisure Sciences, 25, 1–18.
- Kyle, G., Bricker, K., Graefe, A., & Wickham, T. (2004a). An examination of recreationists' relationships with activities and settings. Leisure Sciences, 26, 123-142.
- Kyle, G., Graefe, A., Manning, R., & Bacon, J., (2004b). Effects of place attachment on users' perceptions of social and environmental conditions in a natural setting. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 24, 213-225.
- Kyle, G., Graefe, A., Manning, R., & Bacon, J., (2004c). Predictors of behavior loyalty among hikers along the Appalachian Trail. Leisure Sciences, 26, 99-118.
- Kyle, G. T., Graefe, A., & Manning, R. (2005). Testing the dimensionality of place attachment in recreational settings. Environment and Behaviour, 37,153-177.
- Lai, L. Y., Said, I., & Kubota, A. (2013). The Roles of Cultural Spaces in Malaysia's Historic Towns: The case of Kuala Dungun and Taiping. Procedia- Social and Behavioral Sciences, 85, 602 – 625.
- Lalli, M. (1992). Urban-related identity: theory, measurement, and empirical findings. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 12(4), 285-303.
- Larson, S., De Freitas, D.M., & Hicks, C.C. (2013). Sense of place as a determinant of people's attitudes towards environment: implications for natural resources management and planning in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Journal of Environment Management, 117, 226–234.

- Latinopoulos, D., Mallios, Z., & Latinopoulos, P. (2016). Valuing the benefits of an urban park project: A contingent valuation study in Thessaloniki, Greece. Land Use Policy, 55, 130-141.
- Lee, I., Arcodia, C., & Lee, T. J. (2012). Benefits of visiting a multicultural festival: the case of South Korea. Tourism Management, 33(2), 334-340.
- Lee, J., Kyle, G., & Scott, D. (2012). The mediating effect of place attachment on the relationship between festival satisfaction and loyalty to the festival hosting destination. Journal of Travel Research, 51(6), 754– 767.
- Leigh, A. (2006). Trust, inequality and ethnic heterogeneity. The Economic Record, 82, 268-290.
- Lelévrier, C. (2013). Social mix neighbourhood policies and social interaction: The experience of newcomers in three new renewal developments in France. Cities, 35, 409-416.
- Lengen, C., & Kistemann, T. (2012). Sense of place and place identity: Review of neuroscientific evidence. Health & Place, 18 (5), 1162–1171.
- Lewicka, M. (2005). Ways to make people active: the role of place attachment, cultural capital, and neighbourhood ties. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 25, 381-395.
- Lewicka, M. (2010). What makes neighborhood different from home and city? Effects of place scale on place attachment. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30, 35-51.
- Lewicka, M. (2011a). Place attachment: How far have we come in the last 40 years? Journal of Environmental Psychology, 31, 207-230.
- Lewicka, M. (2011b). On the Varieties of People's Relationships with Places: Hummon's Typology Revisited. Environment and Behavior, 43 (5), 676-709.
- Lian, T. C., Bonn, G., Han, Y. S., Choo, Y. C., & Piau, W. C. (2016). Physical Activity and Its Correlates among Adults in Malaysia: A Cross-Sectional Descriptive Study. Plos One, 11(6), 1-14.
- Lin, C., & Lockwood, M. (2014). Forms and sources of place attachment: evidence from two protected areas. Geoforum, 53, 74-81.

- Lin, T. P. (2009). Thermal perception, adaptation and attendance in a public square in hot and humid regions. Build Environment, 44, 2017-26.
- Lincoln, Y. S. & Guba, E. G. (1985), Naturalistic Inquiry: Sage Publications.
- Lofland, L. H. (1998). In The Public Realm: Exploring the City's Quintessential Social Territory. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.
- Loukaitou-Sideris, A. (2006). Is it safe to walk? Neighborhood safety and security considerations and their effects on walking. Journal of Planning Literature, 20(3), 219-232.
- Loureiro, S.M.C., & Roschk, H. (2014). Differential effects of atmospheric cues on emotions and loyalty intention with respect to age under online/offline environment. J. Retail. Consum. Serv. 21 (2), 211–219.
- Luo, Q., Wang, J., & Yun, W. (2016). From lost space to third place: The visitor's perspective. Tourism Management, 57, 106-117.
- Lynch, K. (1998). Good city form: Mass: MIT Press.
- Lynch, K. (1960). The Image of the City. MIT Cambridg, Massachusetts and London Englana.
- O'Campo, P., Wheaton, B., Nisenbaum, R., Glazier, R.H., Dunn, J. R., & Chambers, C. (2015). The Neighbourhood Effects on Health and Wellbeing (NEHW) study. Health & Place, 31, 65–74.
- Octay, D., & Bala Alkan, H. (2015). A holistic research approach to measuring urban identity: Findings from Kyrenia area study. International Journal of Architectural Research: ArchNet-IJAR, 9 (2), 201-215.
- Oguz, D. & Cakci, I. (2010). Changes in leisure and recreational prefrences: A case study of ankara. Scientific Research and Esseys, 5(8), 721-729.
- Oldenburg, R. (1989). The Great Good Place: Cafes, Coffee Shops, Bookstores, Bars, Hair Salons, and Other Hangouts at the Heart of a Community. New York: Marlowe.
- Oliver, J. E. (2010). The paradoxes of integration: Race, neighborhood, and civic life in multiethnic America. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Omar, D. (2006). Urban planning and the quality of life in Putrajaya, Malaysia. WIT Transactions on The Built Environment, Vol 86, doi:10.2495/ARC060091.

- Oranratmanee, R. & Sachakul, V. (2014). Streets as Public Spaces in Southeast Asia: Case Studies of Thai Pedestrian Streets. Journal of Urban Design, 19(2), 211-229.
- Osman, H., Johns, N., & Lugosi, P. (2014). Commercial hospitality in destination experiences: McDonalds and tourists' consumption of space. Tourism Management, 42, 238-247.
- Othman, S., Nishimura, Y., & Kubota, A. (2013). Memory Association in Place Making: A review. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 85, 554-563.
- Ozer, B., & Emin Baris, M., (2012). Landscape Design and Park Users' Preferences. Social and Behavioral Sciences, 82, 604 – 607.
- Ma, J. F., Xin, X. Y., Liang, L., Liu, L. H., Fang, R., Zhang, Y. J., Wang, D. Y., Fahn, S., Tang, H. D., & Chen, S. D. (2012). Restless legs syndrome in Chinese elderly people of an urban suburb in Shanghai: A communitybased survey. Parkinsonism and Related Disorders, 18, 294-298.
- Macintyre, S., Ellaway, A., & Cummins, S. (2002). Place effects on health: how can we conceptualise, operationalise and measure them? Social Science and Medicine, 55, 125-139.
- Madanipour, A., ed. (2010). Whose Public Space? International Case Studies in Urban Design and Development. London: Routledge.
- Madanipour, A., Cars, G., & Allen, J. (1998). Social exclusion in European cities: processes, experiences, and responses. London: Jessica Kingsley.
- Mahmoudi, M., Ahmad, F., & Abbasi, B. (2015). Livable streets: The effects of physical problems on the quality and livability of Kuala Lumpur streets. Cities, 43, 104-114.
- Mahmoodi-Nejhad, H., Mohammad-Reza, P. J., Mohammad-Reza, B., Mojtaba, A., & Ali-Akbar, T. (2009). Phenomenology Of The Urban Environment: Promoting Urban Spaces To Urban Places. Journal Of Environmental Science And Technology, 10(4), 282–297.
- Makaremi, N., Salleh, A., Jaafar, M.A., & Hoseini A.H.G. (2012). Thermal comfort conditions of shaded outdoor spaces in hot and humid climate of Malaysia. Building and Environment, 48, 7-14.

- Malek, N. (2011). Assessment of Satisfactions, Preferences, Needs and Use Patterns in Quality Neighborhood Park Development in Malaysia. PhD Unpublished Dissertation. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Maloney, W. F. (2004). Informality Revisited. World Development, 32(7), 1159–1178.
- Mander, U., Brebbia, C. A., & Tiezzi, E. (2006). The Sustainable City IV: Urban Regeneration and Sustainability. WIT Press, UK.
- Mansor, M., & Harun, N. Z. (2014). Health Issues and Awareness, and the Significant of Green Space for Health Promotion in Malaysia. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 153, 209 220.
- Manzo, L. C. (2003). Beyond house and haven: towarda revisioning of emotional relationships with places. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 23, 47–61.
- Manzo, L. C. (2005). For better or worse: Exploring multiple dimensions of place meaning. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 25, 67–86.
- Manzo, L. C. (2008). Experience of displacement on sense of place and wellbeing. In J. Eyles, & A. Williams (eds.), Sense of place, health and quality of life (pp. 87-104). London: Ashgate.
- Manzo, L. C. (2011). Recognizing the lived experience of place: Challenges to genuine participation in redeveloping public housing communities. In S. Sutton, & S. Kemp (eds.), The paradox of urban space: Inequity and transformation in marginalized communities. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Manzo, L.C. (2013). 'We are the fruit bowl': Place, cultural identity and social ties among immigrant residents in public housing. In J. Hou (Ed.), Transcultural cities: Border crossing and placemaking (pp. 135?148). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Manzo, L. C. (2014). On uncertain ground: being at home in the context of public housing redevelopment. International Journal of Housing Policy, DOI: 10.1080/14616718.2014.947125. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Manzo, L.C., & Devine-Wright, P. (2014). Place Attachment: Advances in Theory, Methods and Applications. London & New York. Routledge.

- Manzo, L. C., & Perkins, D. D. (2006). Neighborhoods as common ground: the importance of place attachment to community participation and development. Journal of Planning Literature, 20, 335–350.
- Manzo, L. C., Kleit, R. G., & Couch, D. (2008). "Moving Three Times Is Like Having Your House on Fire Once": The Experience of Place and Impending Displacement Among Public Housing Residents. Urban Studies, 45(9), 1855-1878.
- Marcotullio, D., Peter, J., & Gordon McGranahan, eds (2007), Scaling urban environmental challenges: from local to global and back, Earthscan, London.
- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G.B. (1995). Designing Qualitative Research (4th edition.). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publication.
- Mason, J. (2002). Qualitative researching (2nd ed). SAGE Publications. London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi.
- Massey, D. (1994). Space, Place, and Gender. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, MN.
- Master Plan. (1997). Putrajaya Review master plan 1997 page 6-15.
- Maxwell, J. (2013). Qualitative Research Design An Interactive Approach (3rd ed). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Mazumdar, S. (2005). Religious place attachment, squatting and "qualitative" research: A commentary. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 25, 87-95.
- McCracken, G. (1988). The long interview. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- McCunn, L.J., & Gifford, R. (2014). Interrelations between sense of place, organizational commitment, and green neighborhoods. Cities, 41, 20– 29.
- McLean, D., & Hurd Amy, R., (2012). Recreation and Leisure in Modern Society. Jones & Bartlett Learning. LLC.
- Md Sakip, S. R., Mt Akhir, N., & Omar, S. S. (2014). Determinant Factors of Successful Public Parks in Malaysia. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 170, 422 – 432.
- Mehan, A., & Soflaei, F. (2017). Social Sustainability in urban context: Concepts, Definitions, and Principles. Architectural Research Addressing Societal Challenges: Proceedings of the EAAE ARCC 10th

International Conference (EAAE ARCC 2016), 15-18 June 2016, Lisbon, Portugal Volume / Manuel Jorge Rodrigues Couceiro da Costa; Filipa Roseta; Joana Pestana Lages; pp. 293-299. CRC Press by Taylor and Francis Group

- Mehta, V. (2014). Evaluating Public Space. Journal of Urban Design, 19(1), 53-88.
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). Qualitative research: A Guide to Design and Implementation. Revised and Expanded from Qualitative Research and Case Study Applications in Education. San Francisco. Jossey- Bass.
- Mesch, G. S., & Manor, O. (1998). Social ties, environmental perception and local attachment. Environment and Behavior, 30, 504-519.
- Miao, Pu. (2001). Public Places in Asia Pacific Cities: Current issues and strategies. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Miao, P. (2013). Beyond the Image: Reusing Tradition in Modern Design. Journal of the Indian Institute of Architects, 78 (12), 13-18.
- Mihaylov, N., & Perkins, D. D. (2014). Community place attachment and its role in social capital development in response to environmental disruption. In L. Manzo, & P. Devine-Wright (Eds.), Place attachment: Advances in theory, methods and research (pp. 61-74). New York, NY, USA: Routledge.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook (2nd edition.). Sage Publications Ltd.
- Mitchell, D. (1995). The end of public space? People's park, definitions of the public, and democracy. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 85(1), 108 133.
- Moghtadaie, M. (2009). The criteria to evaluate the possibility of formation, record and transfer of public memories in the City Tehran Case Study, Honar-ha-ye-Ziba, 37, 5–16.
- Mohamad-Ali, H. (2013). The Interdependency Of House Layout, Privacy And Culture From The User-Behavioral Perspective Of Urban Malays. PhD Unpublished Dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Montgomery, J. (1998). Making a city: Urbanity, vitality and urban design. Journal of Urban Design, 3(1), 93-116.

- Montgomery, C. (2013). Happy city, transforming our lives through urban design. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Montigny, L. D., Ling, R., & Zacharias, J. (2012). The effects of weather on walking rates in nine cities. Environment and Behavior, 44 (6), 821-840.
- Moore, G. T. (2005). Environment, Behaviour and Society: A brief look at the field and Some current EBS Research at the University of Sydney Proceedings of the 2005 Environment-behaviour Studies 22-25th October. Tianjin University, Tianjin, China, , 489–506.
- Moore, R. L., & Graefe, A. R. (1994). Attachment to recreation settings: the case of rail-trail users. Leisure Sciences, 16, 17-31.
- Moore, R. L., & Scott, D. (2003). Place Attachment and Context: Comparing a Park and a Trail Within. Forest Science, 49 (6), 877-884.
- Morgan, P. (2010). Towards a developmental theory of place attachment. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30,11-22.
- Morris, K. I., Salleh, S. A., Chan, A., Gee Ooi, M. S., Abakr, Y. A., Oozeer, M. Y., & Duda, M. (2015). Computational study of urban heat island of Putrajaya, Malaysia. Sustainable Cities and Society, 19, 359-372.
- Morris, K. I., Chan, A., Gee Ooi, M., Oozeer, M. Y., Abakr, Y. A., & Morris, K, J. K. (2016). Effect of vegetation and waterbody on the garden city concept: An evaluation study using a newly developed city, Putrajaya, Malaysia. Computers, Environment and Urban Systems, 58, 39-51.
- Morse Janice M. & Richards Lyn (2002). Readme First for a User's Guide to Qualitative Methods. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage.
- Moser, S. (2010). Putrajaya: Malaysia's new federal administrative capital. Cities, 27(4), 285-297.
- Moulay, A. & Ujang, N. (2016). Legibility of Neighbourhood Parks and its Impact on Social Interaction in a Planned Residential Area. International Journal of Architectural Research: Archnet-IJAR, 10 (1), 184-194.
- Moulay, A., Ujang, N., & Said, I. (2017). Legibility of neighborhood parks as a predicator for enhanced social interaction towards social sustainability. Cities, 61,58-64.
- Moughtin, C., R. Cuesta, C. Sarris, & P. Signoretta. (1999). Urban Design: Method and Techniques. Oxford: Architectural Press.

- Moughtin, C. (2006). Urban Design: Street and Square. London: Architectural Press.
- Muhammad, A. (2009). The influence of culture on Malay Muslím business practice: A case study of Malaysia with special reference to the state of Kelantan. PhD Unpublished Dissertation, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, West Midlands.
- Murphy, E., & Dingwall, R. (2001) 'The Ethics of Ethnography' in P. Atkinson et al. (eds) Handbook of Ethnography, London: Sage.
- Murphy, L., Moscardo, G., & Benckendorff, P. (2007). Using brand personality to differentiate regional tourism destinations. Journal of Travel Research, 46(1), 5-14.
- Murray, M. (2009). Narrative psychology. In Jonathan A. Smith (Ed.), Qualitative psy- chology: A practical guide to research methods (pp. 111–132). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Musa, H. D., Yacob, M. R., Abdullah, A. M., & Ishak, M. Y. (2016). Sustainable City: Assessing the Community Happiness of Residents in Putrajaya Municipality Malaysia. Canadian Center of Science and Education, 9(1), doi:10.5539/res.v9n1p31
- MWI, Malaysia Wellbeing Report, 2013. <u>http://www.epu.gov.my/sites/default/files/Pembentangan%20MWI%202</u> <u>013_2Disember%202013.pdf</u>. Accessed on August 2013.
- Nasir, R. A., Ahmad, S. S., & Ahmed, A. Z. (2016). The Relationship of Physical Activity and Human Comfort in Urban Park. Asian Journal of Behavioural Studies, 1(2), 36-48.
- Nelson, Arthur C. (2013). Reshaping Metropolitan America: Development Trends and Opportunities. Washington. DC. Island Press.
- Neal, P. (2003). An Urban Village Primer in Urban Vilages and the Making of Communities edited by Peter Neal. London: Spon Press.
- Neutens, T., Farber, S., Delafontaine, M., & Boussauw, K. (2012). Spatial variation in the potential for social interaction: A case study in Flanders (Belgium). Computers, Environment and Urban Systems, 41, 318-331.
- Neuvonen, M., Pouta, E., & Sievanen, T. (2010). Intentions to revisit a national park and its vicinity: Effect of place attachment and quality perceptions. International Journal of Sociology, 40(3), 51–70.

- NHMS, National Health and Morbidity Survey 2015 (2015). Vol. II: Non-Communicable Diseases, Risk Factors & Other Health Problems. http://www.iku.gov.my/images/IKU/Document/REPORT/nhmsreport201 5vol2.pdf, accessed on May 2017.
- Niemelä, J., Saarela, S.R., Söderman, T., Kopperoinen, L., Yli-Pelkonen, V., Väre, S., & Kotze, D.J. (2010). Using the ecosystem services approach for better planning and conservation of urban green spaces: a Finland case study. Biodiversity Conservation, 19 (11), 3225–3243.
- NLP, National Landscape Policy. (2011). National Landscape Department Ministry of Housing and Local Government. Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Berhad, Kuala Lumpur.
- Nielsen-Pincus, M., Hall, T., & Force, J. E., (2010). Sociodemographic effects on place bonding. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30,443-454.
- Ng, R. (1996). The Politics of Community Services: Immigrant Women, Class and State. Halifax: Fernwood.
- Ngesan, M.R., & Zubir, S.S. (2015). Place Identity of Nighttime Urban Public Park in Shah Alam and Putrajaya. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 170, 452 – 462.
- Ngesan, M.R., Abdul Karim, H., & Zubir, S.S. (2013). Human Behaviour and Activities in Relation to Shah Alam Urban Park during Night-time. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 68, 427 – 438.
- Nielsen-Pincus, M., Hall, T., & Force, J. E. (2010). Sociodemographic effects on place bonding. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30,443-454.
- Nikolopoulou, M., & Lykoudis, S. (2007). Use of outdoor spaces and microclimate in a Mediterranean urban area. Build Environment, 42 (3), 691-707.
- Nordh, H., & Østby, K. (2013). Pocket parks for people A study of park design and use. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 12 (1), 12-17.
- Nurizan, Y. & Norlela, M. N. (1 993). Well-being of Teenagers in the Flats:A Focus on Study Space and Social Activities. Journal of Education and Educators, 12, 92-102.
- Pacione, M. (2003). Urban environmental quality and human wellbeing—a social geographical perspective. Landscape And Urban Planning, 65, 19-30.
- Parsaee, M., Parva, M., & Karimi, B. (2014). Space and place concepts analysis based on semiology approach in residential architecture The case study of traditional city of Bushehr, Iran. Housing and Building National Research Center- HBRC Journal, 11, 368–383.

- Patterson, M. E., & Williams, D. R. (2005). Maintaining research traditions on place: diversity of thought and scientific progress. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 25, 361–380.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods (3rd edition.). Sage Publications Ltd
- Perera, N. & Tang, W, S. (2013). Transforming Asian Cities: Intellectual Impasse, Asianizing Space, And Emerging Translocalities, Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Peters, K., Elands, B., & Buijs, A. (2010). Social interactions in urban parks: Stimulating social cohesion? Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 9, 93-100.
- Pink, S. (2001) Doing Visual Ethnography, London: Sage.
- Pol, E. (2002). The Theoretical Background of the City-Identity-Sustainability Network. Environment and Behavior, 34, 8-25.
- Polkinghorne, D. E. (1989). Phenomenological research methods. In R. S. VaUe & S. Hailing (Eds.), Existential-phenomenalogical perspectives in psychology (pp. 41-60). New York: Plenum Press.
- Prochaska, J.O. (1979). Systems of psychotherapy: A transtheoretical analysis, Homewood, IL, Dorsey Pres.
- Prochaska, J. & Diclemente, C. (1983). Stages and Processes of Self-Change of Smoking: Toward an Integrative Model of Change. J. Consult. Clin. Psych, 51, 390–395.
- PPJ, Putrjaya Corporation, Ministry of Federal Territories. http://www.ppj.gov.my/portal/page?_pageid=311,1&_dad=portal&_sche ma=PORTAL#1691, accessed Mai 2016.
- Pretty, G. H., Chipuer, H. M., & Bramston, P. (2003). Sense of place amongst adolescents and adults in two rural Australian towns: The discriminating features of place attachment, sense of community and place dependence in relation to place identity. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 23, 273-287.
- Proshansky, H. M., Fabian, A. K., & Kaminoff, R. (1983). Place-identity: physical world socialization of the self. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 3(1), 57-83.
- Punter, J. (1991). Participation in the design of urban space. Landscape Design, 200, 24-27.
- Putrajaya Corporation. (2002). Local Plan Manual, Putrajaya. Precincts 7,8,9 and 10.

Putajaya Corporation. (2009). Structure Plan of Putrajaya. Inspection Report.

- Ram, Y., Bjork., & Weidenfeld, A. (2016). Authenticity and place attachment of major visitor attractions. Tourism Management, 52, 110-122.
- Ramkissoon, H.,Weiler, B., & Graham-Smith, L. D. (2012). Place attachment and pro- environmental behavior: The development of a conceptual framework. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 20(2), 257–276.
- Ramzy, N, S. (2015). Sustainable Spaces With Psychological Connotation: Historical Architecture As Reference Book For Biomimetic Models With Biophilic Qualities. International Journal of Architectural Research, Archnet-IJAR, 9 (2), 248-267.
- Rasidi, M. H., Jamirsah, N., & Said, I. (2012). Urban Green Space Design Affects Urban Residents' Social Interaction. Procedia - Socialand Behavioral Sciences, 68, 464-480.
- Ratcliffe,J (1975). An Introduction to Town and Country Planning. London: Hutchinson & Company Limited.
- Raymond, C. M., Brown, G., & Weber, D. (2010). The measurement of place attachment: Personal, community, and environmental connections. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30, 422-434.
- Reisenzein, R. (2007). What is a definition of emotion? And are emotions mental-behavioral processes? Social Science Information, 46(3), 424-428.

Relph, E. (1976). Place and placelessness. London: Pion

- Relph, E. (2007). Spirit of place and sense of place in virtual realities. Techné, 10(3), 1-8.
- Ren,Yan., Wei, Wang. & Yang, Song. (2012). Effects of rapid urban sprawl on urban forest carbon stocks: integrating remotely sensed, GIS and forest inventory data. Journal of environmental management, 113, 447-455.
- Resch, B., Summa, A., Zeile, P., & Strube, M. (2016). Citizen-Centric Urban Planning through Extracting Emotion Information from Twitter in an Interdisciplinary Space-Time-Linguistics Algorithm. Urban Planning, 1(2), 114-127.

- Riemen, D. J. (1986). The essential structure of a caring interaction: Doing phenomenology. In P. M. Munhall & C. J. Oiler (Eds.), Nursing research: A qualitative perspective (pp. 85–105). New York: Appleton & Lange.
- Riper, C. J., & Kyle, G. T. (2014). Understanding the internal processes of behavioral engagement in a national park: A latent variable path analysis of the value-belief-norm theory. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 38, 288-297.
- Rollero, C., & De Piccoli, N. (2010). Place attachment, identification and environment perception: An empirical study. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30,198-205.
- Roy, A. (2005). Urban informality: Toward an epistemology of planning. Journal of the American Planning Association, 71, 147–158.
- Saffer, H., (2008). The demand for social interaction. The Journal of Socio-Economics, 37, 1047–1060.
- Saldana, J. (2013). The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers. Sage Publications Ltd.
- Sanoff, H. (2000). Community Participation Methods in Design and Planning. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons
- Sacharin, V., Schlegel, K., & Scherer, K. R. (2012). Geneva Emotion Wheel rating study (Report). Geneva, Switzerland: University of Geneva, Swiss Center for Affective Sciences.
- Sandelowski, M. (2000). Whatever Happened To Qualitative Description? Research in Nursing & Health, 23(4), 334-340.
- Saegert, S. (1989). Unlikely leaders, extreme circumstances: Older black women building community households. American Journal of Community Psychology, 17, 295-316.
- Scannell, L., & Gifford, R. (2010). Defining place attachment: A tripartite organizing framework. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30, 1–10.
- Scannell, L., & Gifford, R. (2013). Personally relevant climate change the role of place attachment and local versus global message framing in engagement. Environ- ment and Behavior, 45, 60-85.

- Scannell, L., & Gifford, R. (2014). Comparing the theories of interpersonal and place attachment. In L. Manzo, & P. Devine-Wright (Eds.), Place attachment: advances in theory, methods, and applications. New York: Routledge.
- Scazzosi, L. (2004). Reading and Assessing the Landscape as Cultural and Historical Heritage. Landscape Research, 4, 335-355.
- Scopelliti, M., & Tiberio, L. (2010). Homesickness in university students: The role of multiple place attachment. Environment and Behavior, 42, 335-350.
- Seamon, D., & Gill, H. K. (2016). Qualitative Approaches to Environment– Behavior Research: Understanding Environmental and Place Experiences, Meanings, and Actions. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. DOI: 10.1002/9781119162124.ch7.
- Shaharuddin A., Noorazuan M. H, Yaakob M. J., Kadaruddin A. & Fahmi M. (2010). The effect of different land uses on the temperature distribution in urban areas. SEAGE 2010, Hanoi 23- 26 Nov 2010. Onlice proceeding.
- Shamai, S. (1991). Sense of place. An empirical measurement. Geoforum, 22, 347–358.
- Shamsuddin, S. (2011). Townscape Revisited: Unraveling the Character of the Historic Townscape in Malaysia. Penerbit UTM Press.
- Shamsuddin, S., & Ujang, N. (2008). Making places: The role of attachment in creating the sense of place for traditional streets in Malaysia. Habitat International, 32 (3), 399-409.
- Sharpley, R., & Jepson, D. (2011). Rural tourism: A spiritual experience. Annals of Tourism Research, 38(1), 52–71.
- Shenton, A. K. (2004). Strategies for Ensuring Trustworthiness in Qualitative Research Projects. Education for Information, 22, 63-75.
- Scherer, K. R. (2005). What are emotions? And how can they be measured? Social Science Information Sur Les Sciences Sociales, 44 (4), 695-729.
- Shinew, K.J., Stodolska, M., Floyd, M., Hibbler, D., Allison, M., Johnson, C.,
 & Santos, C. (2006). Race and ethnicity in leisure behavior: where have we been and where do we need to go? Leisure Science, 28, 403–408.

- Schön, D. A. (1991). The Reflective Practitioner: How professionals think in action. Aldershot, Hants: Arena.
- Schmidt, S., & Németh, J. (2010). Space, Place and the City: Emerging Research on Public Space Design and Planning. Journal of Urban Design, 4, 453-457.
- Schultz, P. W., Milfont, T. L., Chance, R. C., Tronu, G., Luís, S., & Ando, K. (2014). Cross-cultural evidence for spatial bias in beliefs about the severity of envi- ronmental problems. Environment and Behavior, 46, 267-302.
- Shumaker, S. A., & Taylor, R. B. (1983). Toward a clarification of peopleplace relationships: a model of attachment to place. In N. R. Feimer, & E. S. Geller (Eds.), Environmental psychology: directions and perspectives. New York: Praeger.
- Silverman, D. (2013). A very short, fairly interesting and reasonably cheap book about qualitative research, 2nd ed. SAGE Publication. Thousand Oaks, California.
- Simões Aelbrecht, P. (2016). 'Fourth places': the contemporary public settings for informal social interaction among strangers. Journal of Urban Design, 21 (1), 124-152.
- Siong, S. Q. & H. C. (2005). I-7-4 Towards Putrajaya Green City 2025 Planning Strategy for Sustainability. APSA congress, 409–420.
- Sivalioğlu, P., & Berköz, L. (2016). Relationship between place attachment and user satisfaction at some national parks in Turkey. ITU A|Z, 13 (1), 171-181.
- Sıvalıoğlu, P. & Berköz, L. (2012a) User Satisfaction in national parks, Academic Research Internation- al, 2 (3), 537-548.

Sıvalıoğlu, P. & Berköz, L. (2012b). Perceptual evaluation of the national park users. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 50, 928 – 940.
Skinner, B. F. (1953). Science and human behavior. New York: Macmillan.

Slemp, C., Davenport, M. A., Seekamp, E., Brehm, J. M., Schoonover, J. E., & Williard, K.W. J. (2012). "Growing too fast:" local stakeholders speak out about growth and its consequences for community well-being in the urban-rural interface. Landscape and Urban Planning, 106,139-148.

- Soja, E. (1989). Postmodern Geographies: Reassertion of space in critical social theory. London: Verso.
- Soroka, S.D., & Johnston, R. (2008). When does diversity erode trust? Neighborhood diversity, interpersonal trust and the mediating effect of social interactions. Political Studies, 56, 57-75.
- Smaldone, D. (2006). The role of time in place attachment. Proceedings of the 2006 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium.
- Smith, J. A. (2003). Validity and qualitative psychology. In J. A. Smith (Ed.), Qualitative Psychology: A Practical Guide to Research Methods (pp. 232–235). London: Sage.
- Smith, J. A. & Eatough, V. (2006).Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis. In Breakwell, G.M, Hammond, S., Fife-Schaw, C., & Smith, J.A. (Eds.) Research Methods in Psychology (3rd edition.). London: Sage Publications.
- Smith, J. A., & Osborn, M. (2008). Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis. In J. A. Smith (Ed.), Qualitative Psychology (53-80). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications.
- Smith, J. A., & Osborn, M. (2004). Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis. In G. M. Breakwell (Ed.), Doing Social Psychology Research (pp. 229--254). Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Smith, J. A. & Osborn, M. (2003).Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis. In J.A. Smith (Ed) Qualitative Psychology: A Practical Guide to Research Methods: London: Sage.
- Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M (2009). Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research, Sage Publications.
- Smith, J. A., Jarman, M. & Osborn, M. (1999). Doing interpretative phenomenological analysis. In Qualitative Health Psychology: Theories and Methods, pp. 218–240 [M Murray and K Chamberlain, editors]. London: Sage Publications.
- Spartz, J. T., & Shaw, B. R. (2011). Place meanings surrounding an urban natural area: A qualitative inquiry. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 31,344-352.

- Sreetheran, M. (2017). Exploring the urban park use, preference and behaviours among the residents of Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 25, 85–93.
- Stedman, C. R. (2003). Is it really just a social construction: The contribution of the physical environment to sense of place. Society and Natural Resources, 16, 671-685.
- Stedman, R. C. (2006). Understanding place attachment among second home owners. The American Behavioral Scientist, 50, 187-205.
- Stedman, R. C. (2002). Toward a social psychology of place: Predicting behavior from place-based cognitions, attitude and identity. Environment and Behavior, 34(5), 561–581.
- Stewart, W. P., Parry, D. C., & Glover, T. D. (2008). Writing Leisure: Values and Ideologies of Research. Journal of Leisure Research, 40(3), 360-384.
- Stokols, D., & Altman, I. (1987). Handbook of Environmental Psychology. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Stokols, D., & Shumaker, S. A. (1981). People in places: A transactional view of settings. In Harvey (Ed.), Cognition social behaviour and the environment: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc.
- Stolle, D., Soroka, S., & Johnston, R. (2008). When does diversity erode trust? Neighborhood diversity, interpersonal trust and the mediating effect of social interactions. Political Studies, 56,57-75.
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998) Axial coding. Basics of Qualitative Research:
 Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory, pp. 123– 142. London: Sage Publications.
- Sullivan, W.C. Kuo, F.E & DePooter, S.F. (2004). The Fruit of Urban Nature: Vital Neighborhood Spaces. Environmental and Behavior. 36, 5, 678-700.
- Syms, P. (2014). Shaping Places: Urban Planning, Design and Development. Journal of Urban Design, 19 (1), 140-142.

Tachieva, G. (2010). Sprawl Repair Manual. Island Press.

Talen, E. (2010). The Spatial Logic of Parks. Journal of Urban Design, 15(4), 473-491.

- Theodori, G. (2000). Levels of Analysis and Conceptual Clarification in Community Attachment and Satisfaction Research: Connections to Community Development. Journal of the Community Development Society. 31(1), 238-250.
- Trentelman, C. K. (2009). Place attachment and community attachment: A primer grounded in the lived experience of a community sociologist. Society & Natural Resources. 22(3), 191–210.
- Tsai, S.P. (2012). Place attachment and tourism marketing: Investigating international tourists in Singapore. International Journal of Tourism Research, 14(2), 139–152.
- Tsaur, S. H., Liang, Y. W., & Weng, S. C. (2014). Recreationist-environment fit and place attachment. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 40, 421-429.
- Thomas, R. & Murray. (2003). Blending Qualitative and Quantitative Research Methods in Theses and Dissertations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Tuan, Y. F. (1974). Topophilia: A study of environmental perception, attitudes, and values, Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Tuan, Y. F. (1977). Space and place: the perspective of experience. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Ujang, N. (2014). Place Meaning And Significance Of The Traditional Shopping District In The City Centre Of Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. International Journal of Architectural Research, 8 (1), 66-77.
- Ujang, N., & Shamsudin, S. (2012). The Influence of Legibility on Attachment towards the Shopping Streets of Kuala Lumpur. Pertanika J. Soc. Sci. & Hum, 20 (1): 81 – 92.
- Ujang, N., & Zakariya, K. (2015). Place Attachment and the Value of Place in the Life of the Users. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 168, 373 380.
- Ujang, N., Moulay, A., & Zakariya, K. (2015). Sense of Well-Being Indicators: Attachment to public parks in Putrajaya, Malaysia. Procedia- Social and Behavioral Sciences 202, 487 – 494.

- UNESCO. (2015). General Conference; 38th; Organization of the work of the session. http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0023/002330/233091e.pdf. Accessed on February 2016.
- United Nations Human Settlements Program & the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and Pacific (2015). The State of Asian and Pacific Cities 2015: Urban Transformations Shifting from Quantity to Quality. HS/071/15E. ISBN: (Volume) 978-92-1-132681-9.
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2015). World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision, Methodology of the United Nations Population Estimates and Projections. ESA/P/WP.242.
- Uzzell, D., Pol, E., & Badenas, D. (2002). Place identification, social cohesion and environmental sustainability. Environment and Behaviour, 34, 26– 53.
- Vale, L.J. (1997). Empathological places: Residents' ambivalence toward remaining in public housing. Journal of Planning Education and Research, 16,159-175.
- Van Herzele, A., & Wiedemann, T. (2003). A monitoring tool for the provision of accessible and attractive urban green spaces. Landscape and Urban Planning, 63, 109-126.
- van Riper, C.J., & Kyle, G.T. (2014). Understanding the internal processes of behavioral engagement in a national park: A latent variable path analysis of the value-belief-norm theory. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 38, 288-297.
- Vaske, J. J. & Kobkrin. (2001). Place attachment and environmentally responsible behavior. Journal of Environmental Education, 32, 116–121.
- Vorkinn, M., & Riese, H. (2001). Environmental concern in a local context: The significance of place attachment. Environment & Behavior, 33, 249-263.
- Waage, S. A. (2001). (Re)claiming space and place through collaborative planning in rural Oregon. Political Geography, 20, 839–857.

- Wang, D., Brown, G., Liu, Y., & Mateo-Babiano, I. (2015). A comparison of perceived and geographic access to predict urban park use. Cities, 42, 85–96.
- Wang, H., Shen, Q., Tang, B. S., Lu, C., Peng, Y., & Tang, L. (2014). A framework of decision-making factors and supporting information for facilitating sustainable site planning in urban renewal projects. Cities, 40, 44–55.
- Wang, W. Hsu, W. Chiu, Y & Liang, C. (2012). The hierarchical model of social interaction anxiety and depression: The critical roles of fears of evaluation. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 26, 215–224.
- Warin, M., Baum, F., Kalucy, E., Murray, C., & Veale, B. (2000). The power of place: Space and time in women's and community health centres in Australia. Social Science and Medicine, 50, 1863–1875.
- Watson, J. B. (1924). Psychology from the standpoint of a behaviorist (2nd ed). Philadelphia: Lip-pincott.
- Warzecha, C. A., & Lime, D. W. (2001). Place Attachment in Canyonlands National Parks: Visitors' assessment ofsetting attributes on the Colorado and Green Rivers. Journal of Park and Recreation Administration, 19(1), 59–78.
- Waxman, L. (2006). The Coffee Shop: Social and Physical Factors Influencing Place Attachment. Journal of Interior Design, 31 (3), 35-54.
- Wengraf, T (2001). Qualitative Research Interviewing: Biographic Narrative and Semi Structured Method. London. Sage Publications.
- Wester-Herber, M. (2004). Underlying concerns in land-use conflicts—the role of place identity in risk perception. Environmental Science & Policy, 7, 109–116.
- Widen, S. C., & Russell, J. A. (2010). Descriptive and Prescriptive Definitions of Emotion. Emotion Review, 2(4), 377-378.
- Williams, D.R. & Roggenbuck, J. W., (1989). Measuring place attach- ment: Some preliminary results. Paper presented at the session on Outdoor Planning and Management, NRPS Symposium on Leisure Research, San Antonio, Texas.

- Williams, Daniel R, Michael E Patterson, Joseph W Roggenbuck & Alan E Watson (1992), "Beyond the Commodity Metaphor: Examining Emotional and Symbolic Attachment to Place," Leisure Sciences: An Interdisciplinary Journal, 16, 29-46.
- Williams, D. R., & Stewart S. I. (1998). Sense of place. Journal of Forestry, 98, 18-23.
- Williams, D. R., & Vaske, J. J. (2003). The measurement of place attachment: Validity and generalizability of a psychometric approach. Forest Science, 49(6), 830-840.
- Wilson, G., & Baldassare, B. (1996). Overall 'sense of community' in a suburban region: The effects of localism, privacy and urbanisation. Environment and Behavior, 28, 27-43.
- Wirth, T. V., Gret-Regamey, A., Moser, C., & Stauffacher, M. (2016). Exploring the influence of perceived urban change on residents' place attachment. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 46, 67-82.
- Wolf, I. D., Stricker, H. K., & Hagenloh, G. (2013). Interpretive media that attract park visitors and enhance their experiences: A comparison of modern and traditional tools using GPS tracking and GIS technology. Tourism Management Perspectives, 7, 59–72.
- Wolf, I. D., & Wohlfart, T. (2014). Walking, hiking and running in parks: A multidisciplinary assessment of health and well-being benefit. Landscape and Urban Planning, 130, 89-103.
- Yan, H., Wu. F., & Dong, L. (2018). Influence of a large urban park on the local urban thermal environment. Science of the Total Environment, 622-623, 882-891.
- Yeung, H. W. (1996). Urban Imagery and the Main Street of the Nation: The Legibility of Orchard Road in the Eyes of Singaporeans. Urban Studies.
- Yin, R. K. (2011), Qualitative Research from Start to Finish. New York, The Guilford Press.
- Yuksel, A., Yuksel, F., & Bilim, Y. (2010). Destination attachment: Effects on customer satisfaction and cognitive, affective and conative loyalty. Tourism Management, 31(2), 274–284.

- Zaidin, N., Mohd Hussain, M. R., Tukiman, I., & Mohd Shahli, F. (2016). Place Attachment in Relation to Urban Street Vitality. International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management, & Applied Sciences & Technologies, 7 (1), 35-47.
- Zelenski, J. M., & Nisbet, E. K. (2012). Happiness and feeling connected: The distinct role of nature relatedness. Environment and Behavior,1–21, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1177/0013916512451901 (Published online before print).

