



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***REGENERATIVE BRAKING STRATEGY FOR ELECTRIC
VEHICLES USING IMPROVED ADAPTIVE GENETIC
ALGORITHM***

HUSSEIN TALEGHANI

FK 2018 59



**REGENERATIVE BRAKING STRATEGY FOR ELECTRIC
VEHICLES USING IMPROVED ADAPTIVE GENETIC
ALGORITHM**

By

HUSSEIN TALEGHANI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti
Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science**

October 2017

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATIONS

To my loving and patient wife, Fatemeh, and my beloved parents. This work could not have been completed without their support.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

REGENERATIVE BRAKING STRATEGY FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES USING IMPROVED ADAPTIVE GENETIC ALGORITHM

By

HUSSEIN TALEGHANI

October 2017

Chairman : Associate Professor Ir. Mohd Khair Hassan, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

An electric vehicle (EV) is a clear alternative compared to the conventional internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles which are powered using fossil fuels. One of the fundamental advantages of EVs compared to conventional vehicles is the regenerative braking mechanism. Using this technique, some portion of the kinetic energy of the vehicle can be recovered during regenerative braking by using the electric drive system as a generator. Either parallel or series regenerative braking systems can be used to harvest the braking energy. Studies have shown that the amount of regenerated energy using a series (co-operative) system is more than the energy that a parallel system can harvest. A co-operative regenerative braking strategy should perform two tasks.

Firstly, it distributes the brake forces between front and rear axles of the vehicle. This braking force distribution affects vehicles stability while braking. If rear wheels lock prior to the front wheels, the vehicle would be unstable and start to skid. In order to keep vehicle's stability, the required braking force should be distributed according to the Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) regulations.

Secondly, it distributes the brake forces between regenerative braking and frictional braking systems. This braking force distribution affects the amount of harvested energy during braking. There are different algorithms used as a regenerative braking strategy. It is a time-consuming task to model and develop a regenerative braking strategy. Genetic Algorithm (GA) performance is flexible; it does not require many changes to be used in a different vehicle configuration. However, there are still issues remain to address such as increasing driving range and braking efficiency. Two GA based regenerative

braking strategies are proposed in this study. One uses Standard Genetic Algorithm (SGA), the second strategy uses Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm (IAGA). IAGA is able to solve complex and none-liner problems while providing faster convergence speed. In addition, SGA is prone to be stuck in local optimums when compared to IAGA. The performance of the proposed regenerative braking strategy is evaluated using Advanced Vehicle Simulator (ADVISOR) which is created in MATLAB/Simulink environment.

In order to illustrate the contrast between different regenerative braking strategies, three various strategies' performances are compared along with an EV configuration that does not utilize regenerative braking. The first regenerative braking strategy is the ADVISOR's Embedded Braking Strategy. The second strategy is based on Standard Genetic Algorithm (SGA). Lastly, the third strategy is utilizing Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm (IAGA). Four standard drive cycles are selected in order to evaluate each braking strategy performance under different driving conditions. 1015 drive cycle has been used in Japan to investigate on emissions and fuel economy for light duty vehicles. The Highway Fuel Economy Test (HWFET or HFET) cycle is a chassis dynamometer driving schedule developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) for the determination of highway fuel economy of light duty vehicles. The Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) simulates an urban rote to evaluate urban fuel economy rating. Lastly, US06 drive cycle represents an aggressive, high speed and/or high acceleration driving behavior with rapid speed fluctuations. The results on these four driving cycles show a superior performance of IAGA in compare with other strategies. IAGA is able to improve braking efficiency up to 90% while increasing driving range up to 25%. However, the amount of available energy to recover is depended on driver's behavior.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

STRATEGI REGENERATIF SISTEM BREK MENGGUNAKAN ALGORITMA GENETIK

Oleh

HUSSEIN TALEGHANI

Oktober 2017

Pengerusi : Professor Madya Ir. Mohd Khair Hassan, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kenderaan elektrik (electric vehicle, EV) merupakan pilihan yang lebih baik berbanding kenderaan enjin pembakaran dalaman (internal combustion engine, ICE) lazim yang menggunakan bahan api fosil. Salah satu kelebihan asas EV berbanding kenderaan lazim ialah mekanisme brek penjanaan semula. Dengan menggunakan teknik ini, sebahagian daripada tenaga kinetik kenderaan tersebut boleh dikumpul semula semasa brek penjanaan semula dengan menggunakan sistem pemacu elektrik sebagai penjana elektrik. Kedua-dua sistem brek penjanaan semula, sama ada selari atau bersiri, boleh digunakan untuk mengumpul tenaga brek. Beberapa kajian telah menunjukkan bahawa jumlah tenaga yang dijana semula menggunakan sistem bersiri (bekerjasama) adalah lebih banyak berbanding tenaga yang dapat dikumpul daripada sistem selari. Strategi kerjasama antara brek penjanaan semula perlu melakukan dua tugas.

Pertama, ia perlu mengagih daya brek di antara aci gandar hadapan dan belakang kenderaan. Pengagihan daya brek ini akan menjejaskan kestabilan kenderaan semasa membrek. Sekiranya roda belakang terkunci sebelum roda hadapan, kenderaan akan menjadi tidak stabil dan mula tergelincir. Untuk memastikan kestabilan kenderaan, daya brek yang diperlukan perlu diagihkan menurut peraturan-peraturan yang ditetapkan oleh Suruhanjaya Ekonomi untuk Eropah (Economic Commission for Europe, ECE).

Kedua, ia mengagihkan daya brek antara sistem brek penjanaan semula dan brek geseran. Pengagihan daya brek akan mempengaruhi jumlah tenaga yang dikumpul semasa membrek. Terdapat beberapa algoritma berbeza yang digunakan dalam strategi brek penjanaan semula. Walau bagaimanapun, tugas mencipta model dan membangunkan strategi brek penjanaan semula boleh

memakan masa yang lama. Prestasi Algoritma Genetik (genetic algorithm, GA) adalah fleksibel; tidak banyak perubahan perlu dilakukan untuk menggunakan GA di dalam konfigurasi kendaraan yang berbeza. Namun, masih ada isu yang perlu ditangani, contohnya, meningkatkan jarak pemanduan dan kecekapan brek. Maka, kajian ini mencadangkan dua strategi brek penjaan semula berdasarkan GA. Satu strategi menggunakan sistem Algoritma Genetik Standard (Standard Genetic Algorithm, SGA), manakala strategi kedua menggunakan Algoritma Genetik Boleh suai Diperbaiki (Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm, IAGA). IAGA mampu menyelesaikan masalah-masalah rumit dan tidak linear, di samping menyediakan kelajuan penumpuan yang lebih cepat. Selain itu, SGA lebih mudah tersekat dalam keadaan optimum terhad jika dibandingkan dengan IAGA. Prestasi strategi brek penjaan semula yang dicadangkan ini dinilai menggunakan Simulator Kendaraan Maju (Advanced Vehicle Simulator, ADVISOR) yang dicipta di dalam persekitaran MATLAB/Simulink.

Untuk menggambarkan perbezaan di antara strategi-strategi brek penjaan semula yang berlainan, prestasi tiga strategi yang berlainan telah dibandingkan, termasuklah satu konfigurasi EV yang tidak menggunakan brek penjaan semula. Strategi brek penjaan semula pertama ialah Strategi Membrek Terbenam ADVISOR. Strategi kedua pula adalah berdasarkan Algoritma Genetik Standard (SGA). Akhir sekali, strategi ketiga menggunakan Algoritma Genetik Boleh suai Diperbaiki (IAGA). Empat kitaran pemanduan standard telah dipilih untuk menilai prestasi setiap strategi brek di dalam keadaan memandu yang berbeza. Kitaran memandu 1015 telah digunakan di Jepun untuk menyiasat pelepasan gas dan ekonomi bahan api untuk kendaraan ringan. Kitaran Ujian Ekonomi Bahan Api di Lebuhraya (Highway Fuel Economy Test, HWFET atau HFET) adalah jadual pemanduan dinamometer casis yang dibangunkan oleh Agensi Perlindungan Alam Sekitar Amerika Syarikat (US Environmental Protection Agency, US EPA) untuk menentukan ekonomi bahan api di lebuhraya oleh kendaraan ringan. Jadual Pemanduan Dinamometer Bandar (Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule, UDDS) pula menyerupai laluan dalam bandar untuk menilai kedudukan ekonomi bahan api dalam bandar. Akhir sekali, kitaran pemanduan US06 mewakili tingkah laku memandu yang agresif dan laju dan/atau pemecutan yang tinggi dengan penurunan-penaikan tahap kelajuan yang pantas. Keputusan daripada empat kitaran pemanduan ini menunjukkan prestasi unggul IAGA berbanding dengan strategi lain. IAGA mampu meningkatkan kecekapan membrek sehingga 90%, di samping meningkatkan jarak pemanduan sebanyak 25%. Walau bagaimanapun, jumlah tenaga yang ada untuk dikumpul semula bergantung kepada tingkah laku pemandu.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my deep sense of respect and utmost gratitude towards my advisor, Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd Khair Hassan, whose constant encouragement, guidance and support has been invaluable to me. His patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge has helped me during the research and writing of this thesis. He has been a wonderful mentor all throughout my stay in UPM.

Next, I want to express my respects to my co-supervisory committee Assoc. Prof. Azura Che Soh and Dr. Ribhan Zafira Abdul Rahman for their advices and wonderful suggestions during the research time. My sincere gratitude is due to them.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank the ones closest to my heart, my parents and my wife. I owe them my everything.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Khair Hassan, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Azura Che Soh, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ribhan Zafira Abdul Rahman, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Hussein Taleghani, GS39533

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.;

Signature : _____

Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee : _____

Signature : _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee : _____

Signature : _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee : _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
<i>ABSTRAK</i>	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Thesis Scope	5
1.5 Contribution	6
1.6 Thesis Organization	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Energy Storage Systems	7
2.3 Electric Motors	9
2.4 Vehicle Stability During Braking	10
2.5 Advanced Vehicle Simulation (ADVISOR)	13
2.5.1 ADVISOR Structure	14
2.5.2 File Structure And Data Flow	17
2.5.3 Electric Vehicle Drivetrain	17
2.5.4 Energy Storage System (ESS) Modeling In ADVISOR	19
2.5.5 Electric Motor Modeling In ADVISOR	24
2.5.6 ADVISOR's Embedded Regenerative Braking Strategy	27
2.6 Genetic Algorithm	28
2.7 The Co-operative Regenerative Braking Strategy	30
2.8 Summary	32
3 METHODOLOGY	35
3.1 Introduction	35

3.2	Flowchart Of The Study	36
3.3	Regenerative Braking Strategy As An Optimization Problem	36
3.4	Standard Genetic Algorithm As A Regenerative Braking Strategy	38
3.4.1	ECE Stability Rules	38
3.4.2	Chromosome Coding And The First Population	40
3.4.3	Fitness function	41
3.4.4	Selection	43
3.4.5	Crossover in standard genetic algorithm	43
3.4.6	Mutation in standard genetic algorithm	44
3.4.7	Ending Criteria	45
3.5	Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm As A Regenerative Braking Strategy	45
3.5.1	Improved Adaptive Crossover And Mutation Probabilities	45
3.5.2	Elitism In Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm	47
3.5.3	Local Search In Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm	47
3.6	Summary	48
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	Simulation Set-up And Parameters	49
4.2.1	ADVISOR Parameters	49
4.2.2	Genetic Algorithm Initialization Parameters	51
4.2.3	Drive Cycles	51
4.3	Simulation Results	54
4.4	Summary	56
5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	58
5.1	Conclusion	58
5.2	Suggestion And Future Work	59
	REFERENCES	64
	APPENDICES	65
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	71

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 States of electrical energy storage systems	9
2.2 Characteristics of common electric motors for electric vehicles	10
2.3 Different co-operative regenerative braking studies	34
4.1 Main simulation parameters in ADVISOR	50
4.2 SGA and IAGA simulation parameters	51
4.3 Four standard drive cycles used in this study	52
4.4 Simulation results	55

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 The ECE Regulation of braking force distribution	11
2.2 Relation between β and z according to the ECE braking regulation	13
2.3 Vehicle input GUI window of ADVISOR	15
2.4 Simulation setup GUI window of ADVISOR	15
2.5 ADVISOR Results GUI window	16
2.6 ADVISOR file interactions and data flow	17
2.7 The proposed electric vehicle configuration in ADVISOR	18
2.8 Electric vehicle drivetrain in Simulink/ADVISOR	19
2.9 Resistance-capacitive electrical schematic	20
2.10 Internal resistance model electrical schematic	20
2.11 Schematic diagram of cell used in fundamental model	21
2.12 Neural network battery model block diagram	21
2.13 RC vs. Rint and validation: SOC for 15 cycles [Johnson, 2002]	22
2.14 ADVISOR's Rint battery model in MATLAB/Simulink	23
2.15 Charging and discharging power limit for the battery [Malikopoulos et al., 2006]	24
2.16 ESS thermal model	25
2.17 Efficiency contour of Unique Mobility 100kW PM Motor/Inverter on the torque-speed plane	26
2.18 ADVISOR's electric motor model block diagram	26
2.19 The default 'braking strategy' block in ADVISOR	27
2.20 The default distribution of braking force in ADVISOR [Panagiotidis et al., 2000]	28
3.1 Flowchart of the study	37
3.2 Flowchart of GA-based braking force distribution strategy	39
3.3 Regenerative braking energy flow	41
3.4 The flowchart of Improved Adaptive GA based braking force distribution	46
4.1 ADVISOR vehicle configuration used in this study	50
4.2 Vehicle speed over 1015 drive cycle	52
4.3 Vehicle speed over HWFET drive cycle	53
4.4 Vehicle speed over UDDS drive cycle	53
4.5 Vehicle speed over US06 drive cycle	54
5.1 ESS temperature modeling in Simulink	65
5.2 Motor/Inverter temperature modeling in Simulink	65
5.3 Braking strategy block in Simulink	65
5.4 Vehicle input GUI window of ADVISOR	66
5.5 Simulation setup GUI window of ADVISOR	67
5.6 ADVISOR Results GUI window	68
5.7 ADVISOR's Rint battery model in MATLAB/Simulink	69
5.8 ADVISOR vehicle configuration used in this study	70

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EV	Electric Vehicle
BEV	Battery Powered Electric Vehicle
ICE	Internal Combustion Engines
ESS	Energy Storage System
SOC	State Of Charge
ABS	Anti-lock Braking System
ESC	Electronic Stability Control
CAE	Computer Aided Engineering
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
ADVISOR	Advanced Vehicle Simulator
IAGA	Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm
AGA	Adaptive Genetic Algorithm
SGA	Standard Genetic Algorithm
NiMH	Nickel-Metal Hydride
PM	Permanent Magnet Motor
IM	Induction Motor
SRM	Switched Reluctance Motor
IPM	Interior Permanent Magnet Motor
RBS	Regenerative Braking System
FEV	Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle
ECE	Economic Commission for Europe
FE-RBS	Fully Electrified Regenerative Braking System
MF	Membership Functions
GUI	Graphical User Interface
RC	Resistive-Capacitive
R_{int}	Internal Resistance
V_{oc}	open circuit voltage source
MC	Motor/Controller
NRB	An EV Without Regenerative Braking
AEBS	ADVISOR's Embedded Braking Strategy
HWFET	Highway Fuel Economy Test
HFET	Highway Fuel Economy Test
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
UDDS	Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule Test

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\eta_{coulomb}$	Coulombic efficiencies
Ah_{max}	Battery maximum capacity
P	Electric power
$P_{m,req}$	Electrical power required by motor
$P_{m,out}$	Motor power output
$P_{m,loss}$	Motor power loss
τ_m	Motor torque
$\tau_{m,out}$	Motor torque output
$\tau_{m,req}$	Motor torque required
$\tau_{m,inertia}$	Motor torque needed due to inertial effect
ω_m	Motor angular speed
$I_{m,inertia}$	Motor inertia
z	Braking intensity
k	Adhesive utilization coefficient
β	Front axle braking force to the total braking force ratio
F_{bf}	Surface braking force of the front axle
F_{br}	Surface braking force of the rear axle
F_{zf}	Vertical load of the front axle
F_{zr}	Vertical load of the rear axle
L	Distance between the front and the rear axle
a	Distance from the center of the vehicle to the front axle
b	Distance from the center of the vehicle to the rear axle
h_g	Center of weight of the vehicle's high
F_t	Total braking force required
F_d	Braking force provided by drivetrain
F_f	Braking force provided by front axle
F_r	Braking force provided by rear axle
F_{dr}	Ratio of regenerative braking force to the total front axle braking force
i_n	Individual n-th in the population
r	Rolling radius of the wheels
i	Transmission ratio
η_{drive}	Drivetrain efficiency
η_{axle}	Axle efficiency
ϕ_i	Fitness of individual i.
P_{cr}	Crossover probability
P_{mt}	Mutation probability
ϕ_{max}	Maximum fitness in a population
ϕ_{avg}	Average fitness in a population
ϕ'	Maximum fitness between two selected parents

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

At least one electric motor is used for propulsion in an electric vehicle (EV) which is also known as an electric drive vehicle. There are three main varieties of EVs including:

1. An external power station is used to directly power the EV.
2. An external power source is used to store electricity in an energy storage system on EV (BEV).
3. An on-board electrical generator, such as an internal combustion engine is used to propel the EV (hydrogen fuel cell or hybrid electric vehicles).

In response to regulations by governments, market interests, environmental issues, and economical concerns the automobile industry has been concentrating on developing clean, efficient and sustainable vehicles as a replacement for conventional vehicles which are propelled using internal combustion engines (ICE). The idea of battery powered electric vehicles (BEVs) works well with this trend due to high efficiency, quiet operation and zero emissions of EVs. However, energy storage is still an issue for EVs today due to the restrictions such as bulky size, heavy weight, long charging times, and expensive nature of current generation batteries.

Regenerative braking is an important and fundamental technique for increasing the driving range; it also compensates for battery capacity limitation of an EV with an energy storage system (ESS). With this method, kinetic energy is converted to electricity. The harvested electricity can be stored in an ESS such as a battery (or/and an ultra-capacitor) in order to be reused again while driving [Zhang and Mi, 2011]. With an appropriate control strategy, the electric motor that drives the wheels can be used as a generator [Cao et al., 2005] that provides braking torque when the brakes are applied while regenerating energy, by converting kinetic energy of the vehicle back into electricity which is then stored in the ESS. This technique can save considerable amount of energy also increasing the drive range [Ortmeyer and Pillay, 2002]. The provided braking force may not be guaranteed because of many limitations (including the driver's deceleration request, the state of charge (SOC) of the battery, the vehicle speed etc.). Therefore, friction brakes and a controller are required to ensure an active co-operative control with regenerative braking in response to driver's demands. Co-operative braking strategy that does not work properly may significantly

affect the braking performance, energy harvesting, and braking feeling [Fujimoto et al., 2012].

Two types of regenerative braking systems can be used to harvest the braking energy, Either a parallel or a series (co-operative) system. In a parallel system, the brake pedal is connected mechanically to the friction brakes while the electric motor provides additional braking torque to reduces vehicle's speed. While, in a series system, the brake pedal is decoupled from the friction brakes. When the drivers braking demand is higher than the motor/generator's power capacity, the friction brakes are then applied. The amount of regenerated energy using series (co-operative) system is more than the energy that a parallel system can harvest [Von Albrichsfeld and Karner, 2009].

Whereas, the series regenerative braking system is more complicated due to the fact that the wheel pressure must be controlled without causing noise and vibration and also the braking system must response in real time [Nakamura et al., 2002]. This can be achieved by using an input device in order to determine brake demand and provide normal pedal feeling for the vehicle driver. In normal driving situations, the friction brakes provide the difference between the required torque demand and the available braking torque provided by electric motor. As the speed reduces, the regenerative torque increases until the speed drops to below the base speed of the motor. At low speed, the recovered energy is small and it is difficult to meet the drivers pedal feel expectations [Yeo and Kim, 2002], they found that the driver behavior in braking dramatically affects the harvested energy amount. For instance, if the brake demand is constantly high this causes the friction brakes to be continuously actuated from the start of braking, and a lower proportion of the braking energy can be harvested.

The main benefit of using a parallel regenerative braking system is its simplicity. Vehicles with parallel braking system can use a conventional braking system directly, resulting in a lower cost of the vehicle. The TATA ACE is a small electric truck which utilize a parallel regenerative braking system [Lukic et al., 2008]. Using a parallel regenerative braking system, the driver controls the pressure of the wheel cylinders of the both friction brakes of front and rear axle, while the electric motor which is in generator mode while braking, provides regenerative braking force in addition to the friction force. The electric motor limitations have a crucial impact on the amount of the regenerative braking force provided at any given speed. The driver have a perception on the vehicle deceleration rate based on the force he is applying to the brake pedal. However, due to the limitations of the motor that provides the regenerative braking force, the provided force is not fixed. When the driver is expecting the vehicle to decelerate with a certain rate, if the total braking torque is larger or smaller that what the driver demands, the driver would feel uncomfortable about the braking system. Moreover, modern EVs utilize other brake safety

systems such as Anti-lock Braking (ABS) and Electronic Stability Control (ESC). Indeed, vehicle and passenger safety is critical in contrast with energy harvesting. Thus, regenerative braking system should be turned off while ABS or ESC systems are activated. Hence, a co-operation between regenerative braking system and the brake safety systems is required.

Computer Aided Engineering (CAE) has been playing a significant and crucial role throughout the process of vehicle design in order to increase the efficiency and accuracy of automotive design. With the increase of computing power, manufacturers are now able to design, test, and optimize the vehicle through computer simulation, all prior to the actual manufacturing of the vehicle. Similar to other areas of automotive research such as vehicle dynamics and crash worthiness, numerous software packages are developed in order to evaluate the energy efficiencies of electric vehicles. One particular example is a software originally developed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) called ADVISOR (Advanced Vehicle Simulator). ADVISOR was later acquired by AVL Powertrain Engineering, Inc. This software which is based on MATLAB/Simulink, can be used to simulate and analyze various types of vehicles, including electric and hybrid vehicles, where it allows the user to customize the power components such as internal combustion engines and electric motors to study the effect on fuel efficiency and vehicle performance on different drive cycles.

1.2 Problem Statement

While a conventional ICE (internal combustion engine) vehicle brakes, the kinetic energy of the vehicle is wasted as heat due to friction in the brakes. An energy storage system (ESS) provides energy to the electric motor to run in an EV. Regenerative Braking is an energy recovery method which tries to harvest the braking energy before it is wasted as heat. Energy recovery in a regenerative braking system is performed by using the motor as a generator. While decelerating, the motor converts mechanical energy to electricity and thus charging the ESS. It has been pointed out by [Xu et al., 2011] that the regenerative braking system (RBS) is capable of increasing the driving range as much as 8%-25%. The advantages of regenerative braking by co-operative braking systems has been theoretically and experimentally validated in various kinds of EVs, for instance for battery electric vehicle (BEV) by [Han et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2012], fuel cell electric vehicle (FEV) by [Lv et al., 2015] and hybrid electric vehicle (HEV) by [Li et al., 2016]. Although, there are few concerns remained to be investigated, including how to improve energy efficiency, driving range and also braking safety [Fujii and Fujimoto, 2007; Chan, 2007]. Two types of regenerative braking systems can be used to harvest the braking energy, Either a parallel or a series system. The amount of regenerated energy using a series (co-operative) system is more than the energy that a parallel system can harvest [Fujimoto et al., 2012; Von Albrichsfeld and

Karner, 2009].

A co-operative braking control strategy has two parts:

1. To distribute braking force between front and rear axle brakes
2. To distribute the demanded braking force between regenerative braking torque provided by drivetrain and mechanical friction brakes

The former, affects vehicles stability while braking. If rear wheels lock prior to the front wheels, the vehicle would be unstable and start to skid. In order to keep vehicle's stability, the required braking force should be distributed according to the Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) regulations [ECE, 2014; Chu et al., 2011].

The latter, affects the amount of harvested energy during braking. There are different algorithms used as a regenerative braking strategy. Some studies have utilized a rule-based strategy [Xu et al., 2017; Zhang and Gohlich, 2016; Chu et al., 2009; Zhang Jingming et al., 2008; Mutoh et al., 2007], they use look-up tables or rules to distribute braking force in a vehicle. Usually it is a time-consuming task to model and develop rules for these systems, it demands performing tests on the actual vehicle to build this type of braking strategy and also experts needs to perform these time-consuming tests on every different types of vehicle. Other studies have been using a Fuzzy logic based strategy [Qin, 2015; Xu et al., 2011; Jing-ming et al., 2009; Peng et al., 2008; Bathaee et al., 2005]. However, Fuzzy based approaches are heavily depended on the membership functions one designs for each system and these algorithms are also not flexible enough to distribute braking force if the driver's behavior varies. Few studies has suggested using a Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithm [Li et al., 2017; Fengjiao and Minxiang, 2015; Guo et al., 2009] to optimize braking distribution strategy in order to harvest maximum energy possible. However, there are still issues remain to address. An Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm (IAGA) based braking strategy is proposed in this study to maximize the recovered energy while following the rules for stability. Generally Genetic Algorithm (GA) performance is more flexible than other rule-based or fuzzy algorithms [Haupt and Haupt, 2004], it does not require many changes to be used in a different vehicle configuration. Two GA based regenerative braking strategies are proposed. One uses Standard Genetic Algorithm (SGA), the second strategy uses Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm (IAGA). IAGA is able to solve complex and none-liner problems while providing faster convergence speed. And also, SGA is prone to be stuck in local optimums when compared to IAGA [Fan and Chen, 2017; Wang et al., 2014; Huang, 2012; Xu et al., 2010].

1.3 Objectives

The overall aim of this research is to improve driving range of EVs and also to increase braking efficiency for co-operative regenerative braking, i.e. regenerative braking with friction braking. The research objectives are as follows:

- i. To develop a fitness function to simulate the main elements of a co-operative braking system
- ii. To improve driving range of EV using an IAGA based co-operative braking strategy that considers the brake demand from the driver, vehicle constraints and vehicle stability rules
- iii. To compare performance of SGA and IAGA as a co-operative braking strategy

1.4 Thesis Scope

This dissertation demonstrates a braking distribution strategy based on Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm (IAGA) for a medium-small four-wheel electric vehicle, whereas different parts' mathematical model has been investigated to harvest regenerative braking energy and distribute braking force for regenerative and friction brakes. The braking strategy is designed to perform on a wide range of driving cycles harvesting maximum energy while keeping the vehicle stable. Generally, there are two main regenerative braking approaches: the first system is a parallel approach in which the brake pedal is connected to the friction brakes mechanically and motor provides an additional braking torque, the second system is a series configuration where the friction brakes are decoupled from the brake pedal, the friction brakes are applied when the braking demand is higher than the motor's braking torque. The series configuration is the sole interest of this study for the proposed braking strategy design.

Developing a braking strategy based on IAGA, particularly on a strategy development that can handle mix-mode braking distribution successfully is emphasized on this study. Nevertheless, there are numerous difficulties can be encountered in obtaining that goal which influences harvested energy and stability. One of these problems is the adopting of genetic algorithm suitable for this situation. The braking strategy should handle different driving behavior which is applied to the system via drive cycles with a suitable efficiency regardless of the situation. The strategy should be integrated with ADVISOR to make the seamless evaluation process possible.

This study focuses on these issues only for series regenerative braking configuration. Therefore, the gaps this study is trying to cover are adopting

effective genetic algorithm based braking strategy and also developing robust distribution strategy that can perform under different driving behavior and situations.

1.5 Contribution

The focus of this study is to design a braking strategy that can operate and harvest maximum energy in a wide range of drive cycle types which represent different driving situations. Therefore, the main contribution of this study can be pointed out as:

- Increasing driving range of the proposed EV.
- Increasing braking efficiency
- Developing a braking distribution strategy based on improved adaptive genetic algorithm
- Comparing different braking strategies and their behavior under different driving situations

1.6 Thesis Organization

The remaining parts of this thesis are organized as follows:

- Chapter 2: This chapter provides the literature review. Starting with different energy storage systems followed by electric motor types used for EVs. Followed by introducing Advanced Vehicle Simulator's (ADVISOR) structure and its data flow and also mathematical modeling of motor and energy storage system. Afterwards, a literature review about Genetic Algorithm is provided. Finally, reviewing literature on braking distribution strategies in electric and hybrid vehicles.
- Chapter 3: Presents adopted methodology for the braking strategy design. Initially, it starts by introducing flowchart of the study followed by presenting regenerative braking strategy as an optimization problem. Afterwards, two braking strategies are presented: first, a strategy based on Standard Genetic Algorithm (SGA). Followed by the second strategy which is based on Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm (IAGA).
- Chapter 4: Reveals and discusses simulation results of the braking strategies, their performance and operating behavior. The analysis and investigation includes simulation in ADVISOR in MATLAB/Simulink environment on four different standard drive cycles.
- Chapter 5: Presents the conclusion on this study as well as the proposed future work.

REFERENCES

- Bathae, S., a.H. Gastaj, Emami, S., and Mohammadian, M. (2005). A Fuzzy-based Supervisory Robust Control For Parallel Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference*, pages 694–700.
- Berman, B. (2008). First Numbers on Hybrid Battery Failure.
- Bhatikar, S. R., Mahajan, R. L., Wipke, K., and Johnson, V. (2000). Artificial Neural Network Based Energy Storage System Modeling for Hybrid Electric Vehicles. Number August.
- Brooker, A., Haraldsson, K., Hendricks, T., Johnson, V., Kelly, K., Kramer, B., Markel, T., O’Keefe, M., Sprik, S., Wipke, K., and Zolot, M. (2003). ADVISOR Advanced Vehicle Simulator Documentation, <http://adv-vehicle-sim.sourceforge.net/>.
- Cao, B. C. B., Bai, Z. B. Z., and Zhang, W. Z. W. (2005). Research on control for regenerative braking of electric vehicle. *IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety, 2005.*, pages 92–97.
- Chan, C. C. (2007). The State of the Art of Electric, Hybrid, and Fuel Cell Vehicles. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 95(4):704–718.
- Chu, L., Sun, W., Yao, L., Zhang, Y., Ou, Y., Wei, W., Liu, M., and Li, J. (2009). Integrative control strategy of regenerative and hydraulic braking for hybrid electric car. *5th IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, VPPC ’09*, pages 1091–1098.
- Chu, L., Yao, L., Chen, J., Chao, L., Guo, J., Zhang, Y., and Liu, M. (2011). Integrative braking control system for electric vehicles. In *2011 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, VPPC 2011*.
- ECE (2014). Regulation No. 13-H Uniform provisions concerning the approval of passenger cars with regard to braking.
- Fan, Y. and Chen, D. (2017). Application of Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm in Train Energy Saving. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Forum on Decision Sciences*, pages 723–736. Springer Singapore.
- Fengjiao, Z. and Minxiang, W. (2015). Multi-objective optimization of the control strategy of electric vehicle electro-hydraulic composite braking system with genetic algorithm. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 7(3):168781401456849.
- Fujii, K. and Fujimoto, H. (2007). Traction control based on slip ratio estimation without detecting vehicle speed for electric vehicle. *Fourth Power Conversion Conference-NAGOYA, PCC-NAGOYA 2007 - Conference Proceedings*, pages 688–693.
- Fujimoto, H., Amada, J., and Maeda, K. (2012). Review of traction and braking

- control for electric vehicle. In *2012 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, VPPC 2012*, number I, pages 1292–1299.
- Gao, Y., Chu, L., and Ehsani, M. (2007). Design and control principles of hybrid braking system for EV, HEV and FCV. *VPPC 2007 - Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference*, (1):384–391.
- Guo, J., Wang, J., and Cao, B. (2009). Application of Genetic Algorithm for Braking Force Distribution of Electric Vehicles. In *2009 4th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications*, pages 2150–2154. IEEE.
- Han, J., Park, Y., and Park, Y. (2014). Cooperative regenerative braking control for front-wheel-drive hybrid electric vehicle based on adaptive regenerative brake torque optimization using under-steer index. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*, 15(6):989–1000.
- Haupt, R. L. and Haupt, S. E. (2004). *Practical Genetic Algorithms*. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2 edition.
- Huang, J.-b. (2012). Function optimization and image matching based on improved adaptive Genetic algorithm. *International Journal of Advancements in Computing Technology*, 4(19):57–64.
- J. N. Harb (1999). Use of a Fundamentally Based Lead-Acid Battery Model in Hybrid Vehicle Simulations. *Annual Electrochemical Society Conference Seattle, Washington*, (1).
- Jing-ming, Z. J.-m. Z., Bao-yu, S. B.-y. S., Shu-mei, C. S.-m. C., and Dian-bo, R. D.-b. R. (2009). Fuzzy Logic Approach to Regenerative Braking System. *2009 International Conference on Intelligent Human-Machine Systems and Cybernetics*, 1:451–454.
- Johnson, V. (2002). Battery performance models in ADVISOR. *Journal of Power Sources*, 110(2):321–329.
- Khaligh, A. and Zhihao Li (2010). Battery, Ultracapacitor, Fuel Cell, and Hybrid Energy Storage Systems for Electric, Hybrid Electric, Fuel Cell, and Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles: State of the Art. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 59(6):2806–2814.
- Kumar, L. and Jain, S. (2014). Electric propulsion system for electric vehicular technology: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 29:924–940.
- Li, L., Zhang, Y., Yang, C., Yan, B., and Marina Martinez, C. (2016). Model predictive control-based efficient energy recovery control strategy for regenerative braking system of hybrid electric bus. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 111(January):299–314.
- Li, N., Ning, X., and Wang, Q. (2017). Genetic Algorithm Optimization of Hydraulic Regenerative Braking System for Electric Vehicles. *Boletín Técnico*, 55(6):513–523.
- Lin, W. Y., Lee, W. Y., and Hong, T. P. (2003). Adapting crossover and mutation

- rates in genetic algorithms. *Journal of Information Science and Engineering*, 19(5):889–903.
- Lukic, S., Mulhall, P., and Emadi, A. (2008). Energy Autonomous Solar/battery Auto Rickshaw. *Journal of Asian Electric Vehicles*, 6(2):1135–1143.
- Lv, C., Zhang, J., Li, Y., and Yuan, Y. (2015). Novel control algorithm of braking energy regeneration system for an electric vehicle during safetycritical driving maneuvers. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 106(December):520–529.
- Malikopoulos, A., Filipi, Z., and Assanis, D. N. (2006). Simulation of an Integrated Starter Alternator (ISA) System for the HMMWV. In *SAE Technical Papers*, number April 2006.
- Markel, T., Brooker, A., Hendricks, T., Johnson, V., Kelly, K., Kramer, B., O’Keefe, M., Sprik, S., and Wipke, K. (2002). ADVISOR: A systems analysis tool for advanced vehicle modeling. *Journal of Power Sources*, 110(2):255–266.
- Mishra, P., Saha, S., and Ikkurti, H. P. (2013). Selection of propulsion motor and suitable gear ratio for driving electric vehicle on Indian city roads. In *2013 International Conference on Energy Efficient Technologies for Sustainability*, pages 692–698. IEEE.
- Mutoh, N., Hayano, Y., Yahagi, H., and Takita, K. (2007). Electric braking control methods for electric vehicles with independently driven front and rear wheels. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 54(2):1168–1176.
- Nakamura, E., Soga, M., Sakai, A., Otomo, A., and Kobayashi, T. (2002). Development of Electronically Controlled Brake System for Hybrid Vehicle. page 8. SAE International.
- Negnevitsky, M. (2005). *Artificial Intelligence: A Guide to Intelligent Systems, Second Edition*. Addison-Wesley, 2 edition.
- Ortmeyer, T. and Pillay, P. (2002). Transportation sector technology energy use and GHG emissions. *IEEE Power Engineering Society Summer Meeting*, 1:34–35.
- Panagiotidis, M., Delagrammatikas, G., and Assanis, D. (2000). Development and Use of a Regenerative Braking Model for a Parallel Hybrid Electric Vehicle. In *SAE International*, number 724, page 12.
- Peng, D., Zhang, Y., Yin, C. L., and Zhang, J. W. (2008). Combined control of a regenerative braking and antilock braking system for hybrid electric vehicles. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*, 9(6):749–757.
- Qin, L. (2015). Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm for Regenerative Braking Fuzzy Control of Electric Vehicle. In *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Information Sciences, Machinery, Materials and Energy*, number Icismme, pages 739–743, Paris, France. Atlantis Press.
- Savaresi, S. M. and Tanelli, M. (2010). *Active Braking Control Systems Design for Vehicles*.

- Shetty, S. S. and Karabasoglu, O. (2014). Regenerative Braking Control Strategy for Hybrid and Electric Vehicles Using Artificial Neural Networks. In *Engineering Applications of Neural Networks*, pages 103–112. Springer International Publishing.
- Srinivas, M. and Patnaik, L. M. (1994). Adaptive Probabilities of Crossover and Mutation in Genetic Algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 24(4):656–667.
- Tie, S. F. and Tan, C. W. (2013). A review of energy sources and energy management system in electric vehicles. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 20:82–102.
- Vagati, A., Pellegrino, G., and Guglielmi, P. (2010). Comparison between SPM and IPM motor drives for EV application. In *The XIX International Conference on Electrical Machines - ICEM 2010*, pages 1–6. IEEE.
- Vahdati, G., Yaghoubi, M., Poostchi, M., and S, M. N. (2009). A New Approach to Solve Traveling Salesman Problem Using Genetic Algorithm Based on Heuristic Crossover and Mutation Operator. *2009 International Conference of Soft Computing and Pattern Recognition*, (January 2009):112–116.
- Von Albrichsfeld, C. and Karner, J. (2009). Brake System for Hybrid and Electric Vehicles. In *SAE Technical Paper*. SAE International.
- Wang, F., Li, J., Liu, S., Zhao, X., Zhang, D., and Tian, Y. (2014). An improved adaptive genetic algorithm for image segmentation and vision alignment used in microelectronic bonding. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 19(3):916–923.
- West, J. (1994). DC, induction, reluctance and PM motors for electric vehicles. *Power Engineering Journal*, 8(2):77–88.
- Westbrook, M. H. (2001). *The electric and hybrid electric car*. SAE International and Institution of Electrical Engineers.
- Williamson, S. S., Emadi, A., and Rajashekara, K. (2007). Comprehensive efficiency modeling of electric traction motor drives for hybrid electric vehicle propulsion applications. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 56(4 I):1561–1572.
- Xing, Y., Chen, Z., Sun, J., and Hu, L. (2007). An Improved Adaptive Genetic Algorithm for Job-Shop Scheduling Problem. In *Third International Conference on Natural Computation (ICNC 2007)*, number Icnc, pages 287–291. IEEE.
- Xu, G., Li, W., Xu, K., and Song, Z. (2011). An intelligent regenerative braking strategy for electric vehicles. *Energies*, 4(9):1461–1477.
- Xu, G., Xu, K., Zheng, C., Zhang, X., and Zahid, T. (2015). Fully Electrified Regenerative Braking Control for Deep Energy Recovery and Safety Maintaining of Electric Vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 9545(MARCH):1–1.

- Xu, P., Zheng, J., Chen, H., and Liu, P. (2010). Optimal design of high pressure hydrogen storage vessel using an adaptive genetic algorithm. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(7):2840–2846.
- Xu, S., Tang, Z., He, Y., and Zhao, X. (2017). Regenerative braking control strategy of electric truck based on braking security. In Balas, V. E., Jain, L. C., and Zhao, X., editors, *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, volume 455 of *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, pages 263–273. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Xue, X., Lin, J., Zhang, Z., Ng, T., Luk, K., Cheng, K., and Cheung, N. (2009). Study of motoring operation of in-wheel switched reluctance motor drives for electric vehicles. *2009 3rd International Conference on Power Electronics Systems and Applications (PESA)*, pages 2–7.
- Yeo, H. and Kim, H. (2002). Hardware-in-the-loop simulation of regenerative braking for a hybrid electric vehicle. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D: Journal of Automobile Engineering*, 216(11):855–864.
- Yu, X. and Gen, M. (2010). *Introduction to Evolutionary Algorithms*. Decision Engineering. Springer London, London.
- Zhang, X. and Gohlich, D. (2016). A Novel Driving and Regenerative Braking Regulation Design Based on Distributed Drive Electric Vehicles. In *2016 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC)*, pages 1–6. IEEE.
- Zhang, X. and Mi, C. (2011). Vehicle Power Management. *World Oil*, pages 179–182.
- Zhang Jingming, Song Baoyu, and Niu Xiaojing (2008). Optimization of parallel regenerative braking control strategy. In *2008 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference*, pages 1–4. IEEE.
- Zhou, Z., Mi, C., and Zhang, G. (2012). Integrated control of electromechanical braking and regenerative braking in plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. *International Journal of Vehicle Design*, 58(2/3/4):223.