



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***ADAPTATION, ATTENUATION AND MOLECULAR  
CHARACTERISATION OF VERY VIRULENT INFECTIOUS BURSAL  
DISEASE VIRUS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF TISSUE CULTURE-BASED  
ATTENUATED AND INACTIVATED VACCINES***

**NAFI'U LAWAL**

**FPV 2018 10**



**ADAPTATION, ATTENUATION AND MOLECULAR  
CHARACTERISATION OF VERY VIRULENT INFECTIOUS BURSAL  
DISEASE VIRUS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF TISSUE CULTURE-BASED  
ATTENUATED AND INACTIVATED VACCINES**

By

**NAFTU LAWAL**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**March 2018**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**ADAPTATION, ATTENUATION AND MOLECULAR  
CHARACTERISATION OF VERY VIRULENT INFECTIOUS BURSAL  
DISEASE VIRUS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF TISSUE CULTURE-BASED  
ATTENUATED AND INACTIVATED VACCINES**

By

**NAFFU LAWAL**

**March 2018**

**Chairman : Professor Mohd Hair-Bejo, PhD**  
**Faculty : Veterinary Medicine**

Infectious bursal disease (IBD) is an important viral disease of chickens worldwide that causes high mortality, immunosuppression and serious economic loss in poultry industry that can only be prevented by proper vaccination and biosecurity programmes. The disease is endemic in Malaysia. Although IBD vaccines have been produced successfully using chicken eggs, but high cost, limited supply of specific pathogen free (SPF) eggs and possible contamination by viruses of avian origin limits the use of eggs as a tool for vaccine production. Currently, IBD vaccines mostly produced using classical (ca) and variant (va) IBDV as seed virus are SPF egg based. However, the high level of maternal antibody in chicks induced by the vaccine can be neutralized by vvIBDV. The vvIBDV strain also was reported to be difficult to adapt to tissue cultures in vitro

It was the objectives of this study to determine suitable tissue cultures for the adaptation, propagation and attenuation of vvIBDV and produce a suitable live attenuated and inactivated IBDV isolates for vaccine development using bioreactor technology. Two Malaysian vvIBDV strains UPM0081 (also known as B00/81) and UPM190 (also known as UPM04/190) isolated from local IBD outbreaks were serially passaged in SPF embryonated chicken eggs via the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) route for up to 12 passages. The isolates were then further adapted and propagated into chicken embryo fibroblast (CEF), Vero and BGM-70 cell lines for 10, 10 and 20 consecutive passages, respectively. The molecular characteristics of the vvIBDV at different passages were identified, analyzed and phylogenetic trees were constructed. Selected isolates passaged either in SPF embryonated chicken eggs or cell lines were further propagated in BGM-70 cell line using bioreactor. The pathogenicity,

immunogenicity and efficacy of attenuated and inactivated of these vvIBDV isolates were determined in chickens.

The study showed that 75% cytopathic effect (CPE) was developed in CEF following propagation of the UPM190 vvIBDV at passage 5 (P5) with the virus titre of  $10^{6.28}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL. The UPM0081 vvIBDV was similarly adapted at P5 with 75% CPE and a titer of  $10^{5.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL. In the Vero cells, 75% CPE was recorded at P5 and became 100% by P10 within 7 days pi with virus titer of  $10^{5.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL for UPM190. The UPM0081 similarly adapted at P1 with the same CPE pattern, and by P5 the CPE was 75% with a virus titer of  $10^{4.62}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL. Adaptation of UPM190 in BGM-70 cell line was achieved as early as P1 and was more prominent at P5 with a virus titer of  $10^{9.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL. Based on the titers obtained, BGM-70 cell line was selected for further propagation until the CPE became subtle at P18 and P19 for UPM0081 and UPM190, respectively. The serial passaging was finally stopped at P20. The CPE pattern was observed in BGM-70 cells inoculated with UPM190 isolate started at 50% CPE and gradually increased up to 100% at P9 and fell to 25% by P20 with a virus titer of  $10^{9.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL at P5. The UPM0081 exhibited similar adaptation and CPE pattern with UPM190 except that the titer was  $10^{9.2}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL at P5 and the CPE was 25% at P1 and fell to 25% by P20. The CPE pattern was similar in all the cell lines characterized by cell rounding, cytoplasmic vacuolation and granulation as well as detachment from flask.

The UPM0081 inoculated and adapted in SPF embryonated chicken eggs resulted in hyperemia, ecchymotic hemorrhages in the thigh and breast muscles, greenish to yellowish liver and intracranial hemorrhages. The UPM190 showed abdominal distension, subcutaneous edema, intracranial hemorrhages and mottled liver of the infected embryo.

Both CAM and cell culture samples at various passages were positive for vvIBDV by RT-PCR and sequencing. Sequence analysis using MEGA v.7 and BioEdit v.7 bioinformatics software that UPM190 vvIBDV propagated in SPF embryonated chicken eggs resulted in amino acid changes at A279D position as early as P8. Other amino acid changes observed were N212, E249, M264, A270 and N279 at EP12 which were maintained for up to P16. However, the UPM0081 had no amino acid changes. The amino acids observed in CEF adapted viruses at P1, P5 and P10 were E249, M264, A270 and D279 except for P10 where there was a change at 279 from D to N. Similarly, the Vero adapted viruses were showing similar molecular changes with the CEF adapted strains and only P10 was showing N279 mutation. In BGM-70 cell line, UPM190 showed that P1 and P5 viruses possessed E249, M264, A270 and N279 amino acids; Q249, I264, E270 and A279 amino acids at P10 to P20. The E249Q, I264 and A270E appeared to be maintained from P10 up to P20 in the UPM190 isolates. The UPM0081 also possessed Q249, I264 and E270 amino acids at P10 to P20 which appeared to be unique. The phylogenetically, all the isolates were in the vvIBDV clade as they cluster with other reported vvIBDV sequences deposited in GeneBank.

The vvIBDV UPM190 and UPM0081 propagated in BGM-70 at P15 were selected and successfully propagated in a bioreactor system with high viral yield and the bioreactor passaged viruses demonstrated the A270E amino acid changes. However, UPM190EP8 and UPM0081EP8 isolates propagated once in BGM-70 cell line and or bioreactor were lacking the E270 amino acid changes that was seen in the BGM-70 P15 or its bioreactor propagated isolate.

The study showed that UPM0081 and UPM190 isolates at BGM-70 P5 and P8 when inoculated in SPF chickens did not cause any clinical signs, gross or histopathological lesions at day 7 post inoculation (pi). However, IBDV were detected in the bursa of Fabricius using RT-PCR technique. The IBDV was not detected in the bursa of Fabricius when chickens were inoculated with BGM-70 P15 IBDV isolate. In contrast, clinical signs, gross and histological lesions were observed when UPM0081 and UPM190 at EP8 were inoculated in chickens. Similarly, when the isolates EP8 isolates were propagated in BGM-70 at P1 in flask and P1 in bioreactor, the IBDVs were detected in the bursa of Fabricius by RT-PCR technique. It appeared the UPM0081 and UPM190 IBDV isolates loss their pathogenicity when passaged in BGM-70 at P15. The EP8 (EP8BGMP1) isolates propagated in bioreactor were inactivated for the development of killed IBDV vaccine.

Furthermore, the study showed that day old commercial broiler chickens when inoculated with either the inactivated UPM190 (group A), inactivated UPM0081 (group B), attenuated UPM190BGMP10 (group C), attenuated UPM0081BGMP10 (group D), attenuated and inactivated UPM190 (group E) or attenuated and inactivated UPM0081 (group F) as well as the non-inoculated control group G did not cause any clinical abnormalities throughout 28 days of the trial. Grossly, bursa atrophy was recorded in the IBDV inoculated groups A to F when compared to the control group G. Histologically, overall bursa lesion scoring ranging from mild (scoring of 1) to mild to moderate (scoring of 2) were recorded in chickens from groups A to F when compared to the control group G with lesion scoring of 1 (mild) throughout the trial. The IBD antibody titre in the IBDV inoculated groups A ( $283 \pm 40$ ), B ( $244 \pm 18$ ) and E ( $253 \pm 94$ ) were not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ) when compared to the control group ( $253 \pm 97$ ) at day 28 post inoculation (pi). In contrast, the titre was significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in groups C ( $505 \pm 91$ ), D ( $412 \pm 146$ ) and F ( $642 \pm 187$ ). The study also showed that no abnormal clinical signs were recorded in chickens inoculated with IBDV in all groups (A to F) at 7 days post challenged (pc) when they were challenged with vvIBDV at 21 days pi. However, in the control group F severe depression and ruffled feathers were recorded at days 3 to 6 pc, but it recovered at day 7 pc. Grossly, bursa atrophy was recorded in the IBDV inoculated groups A to F at day 7 pc. Severe bursal atrophy with hemorrhages, congestion and yellowish to red exudates in the bursal mucosa were recorded in the control group F. Histologically, overall bursa lesion scoring ranging from mild to moderate (scoring of 2) to moderate (scoring of 3) were recorded in chickens from groups A to F when compared to the control group G with lesion scoring of 4 (moderate to severe) at day 7 pc. The IBD antibody titre in the IBDV inoculated groups A ( $5782 \pm 1517$ ), B ( $5151 \pm 1479$ ), C ( $4670 \pm 787$ ), D ( $4644 \pm 1359$ ), E ( $7315 \pm 1838$ ) and F ( $6235 \pm 1655$ ) were significantly higher ( $P > 0.05$ ).

when compared to the control group G ( $2475 \pm 991$ ) at day 7 pc. This demonstrated that the inactivated and attenuated combination of UPM190 isolate (group E) offered the best protection against vvIBDV challenged followed in descending order by inactivated and attenuated UPM0081 combination (group F), inactivated UPM190 (group A), inactivated UPM0081 (group B), attenuated UPM190 (group C) and lastly attenuated UPM0081 (group D), suggesting that UPM190 isolate is more immunogenic when compared to UPM0081 isolate.

It was concluded that the UPM190 and UPM0081 vvIBDV isolates were successfully adapted in various cell lines with high suitability to BGM-70. The vvIBDVs were attenuated following serial passaging with changes in amino acids composition at VP2 region resulting lost in pathogenicity and immunogenicity in the higher passage isolates. The vvIBDVs were successfully propagated in a bioreactor system with high titer yield for the development of attenuated and inactivated IBD tissue culture based vaccines. The chicken trials conducted showed that the bioreactor propagated IBD viruses were good immunogens that induced the production of high levels of IBD neutralizing antibodies which protected the chickens against severe bursa of Fabricius damage when challenged with vvIBDV isolate.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**ADAPTASI, ATENUASI DAN MOLEKUL PENCIRIAN VIRUS PENYAKIT  
BURSA BERJANGKIT YANG AMAT VIRULEN UNTUK PEMBANGUNAN  
VAKSIN ATENUASI DAN TIDAK AKTIF BERASASKAN TISU KULTUR  
DI MASA HADAPAN**

Oleh

**NAFI'U LAWAL**

**Mac 2018**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Mohd Hair-Bejo, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Perubatan Veterinar**

Penyakit bursal berjangkit (IBD) adalah penyakit virus ayam yang penting di seluruh dunia yang menyebabkan kematian yang tinggi, melemahkan daya imunisasi dan kerugian ekonomi yang serius dalam industri ayam. Penyakit ini hanya boleh dicegah dan dikawal dengan program vaksinasi dan biosekuriti yang sesuai. Penyakit ini adalah endemik di Malaysia. Walaupun vaksin IBD telah dihasilkan dengan jayanya menggunakan telur ayam, tetapi kos yang tinggi, bekalan terhad telur bebas patogen spesifik (SPF) dan kemungkinan pencemaran oleh virus unggas yang lain menghadkan penggunaan telur sebagai alat untuk pengeluaran vaksin. Pada masa ini, vaksin IBD kebanyakannya dihasilkan menggunakan virus IBD (IBDV) klasik (ca) dan varian (va) sebagai benih virus dengan menggunakan telur ayam SPF. Walaubagaimanapun, tahap antibodi ibu yang tinggi pada ayam yang dihasilkan oleh vaksin ini dapat dinutrakan oleh vvIBDV. Strain vvIBDV juga dilaporkan sukar untuk pensesuaian dalam tisu kultur secara in vitro.

Adalah menjadi objektif kajian ini bagi menentukan tisu kultur yang sesuai untuk mengadaptasi, menyebarkan dan mengatenuasi IBDV yang amat virulen (vvIBDV) dan menghasilkan isolat IBDV yang diatenuasi dan tidak aktif untuk pembangunan vaksin dengan menggunakan teknologi bioreaktor. Dua strain vvIBDV Malaysia UPM0081 (dikenali juga sebagai B00/81) dan UPM190 (dikenali juga sebagai UPM04/190) yang diasingkan daripada wabak IBD tempatan telah dipasag secara bersiri dalam telur ayam berembrio patogen SPF melalui membran korioalantoik (CAM) sehingga 12 pasag. Isolat tersebut kemudiannya diadaptasi and disebar dalam tisu kultur fibroblas embrio ayam (CEF), sel Vero dan BGM-70 masing-masing sebanyak 10, 10 dan 20 pasag berturut-turut. Ciri molekul vvIBDV pada pasag yang berbeza telah



dikenalpasti, dianalisis dan pokok filogenetik telah dibina. Isolat yang terpilih samaada yang telah dipasag dalam telur ayam SPF atau sel BGM-70 kemudiannya disebarakan dengan menggunakan bioreaktor. Patogenisiti, imunogenisiti dan keberkesanan isolat vvIBDV yang telah diatenuasi dan tidak aktif ditentukan dalam ayam SPF dan ayam pedaging komersial.

Kajian menunjukkan bahawa 75% kesan sitopatik (CPE) telah terbentuk di CEF berikutan penyebaran UPM190 vvIBDV pada pasag 5 (P5) dengan titre virus  $10^{6.28}$  TCID<sub>50</sub> / mL. UPM0081 vvIBDV juga mengalami adaptasi pada P5 dengan 75% CPE dan titer  $10^{5.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub> / mL. Dalam sel Vero, 75% CPE direkodkan pada P5 dan menjadi 100% pada P10 dalam tempoh 7 hari pasca inokulasi (pi) dengan titer virus  $10^{5.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub> / mL untuk UPM190. UPM0081 juga mengalami adaptasi yang sama di P1 dengan corak CPE yang sama, dan pada P5 CPE adalah 75% dengan titer virus  $10^{4.62}$  TCID<sub>50</sub> / mL. Adaptasi UPM190 dalam sel BGM-70 telah dicapai seawal P1 dan lebih menonjol pada P5 dengan titer virus  $10^{9.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub> / mL. Berdasarkan titer yang diperolehi, sel BGM-70 telah dipilih untuk penyebaran lanjut sehingga CPE menjadi kurang kelihatan masing-masing di P18 dan P19 untuk UPM0081 dan UPM190. Siri pasag akhirnya dihentikan pada P20. Corak CPE diperhatikan dalam sel BGM-70 yang di inokulasi dengan isolat UPM190 bermula pada CPE 50% dan secara beransur meningkat sehingga 100% pada P9 dan jatuh ke 25% pada P20 dengan titer virus  $10^{9.5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub> / mL pada P5. UPM0081 mempamerkan penyesuaian dan corak CPE yang sama dengan UPM190 kecuali titer adalah  $10^{9.2}$  TCID<sub>50</sub> / mL pada P5 dan CPE adalah 25% pada P1 dan jatuh kepada 25% pada P20. Corak CPE adalah serupa terdiri daripada sel bulat kecil dan biasan, granulasi dan vakuola sitoplasma dengan sel terlepas dari kelalang.

UPM0081 yang disuntik dan diadaptasi dalam telur ayam berembrio SPF menyebabkan hiperemia, pendarahan ekimotik di paha dan otot dada, kehijauan hingga kekuningan pada hati dan pendarahan intrakranial. UPM190 menunjukkan lesi seperti menyebabkan pembengkakan abdomen, edema subkutaneus, pendarahan intrakranial dan hati berbintik keatas embrio yang dijangkiti.

Sampel CAM dan sel kultur pada pelbagai pasag adalah positif untuk vvIBDV dikesan dengan RT-PCR. Analisis urutan dijalankan menggunakan perisian MEGA v.7 dan BioEdit v.7 perisian bioinformatik. UPM190 vvIBDV yang dipasag dalam telur ayam SPF berembrio menyebabkan perubahan asid amino pada kedudukan A279D seawal P8. Perubahan asid amino lain yang dilihat adalah N212, E249, M264, A270 dan N279 di EP12 yang dikekalkan sehingga P16. Walaubagaimanapun, UPM0081 stabil dari P1 hingga P16 tanpa perubahan asid amino. Perubahan asid amino dapat dilihat pada virus yang diadaptasi dalam CEF pada P1, P5 dan P10 adalah E249, M264, A270 dan D279, kecuali P10 di mana terdapat perubahan pada 279 dari D ke N. Begitu juga, virus yang diadaptasi dalam sel Vero telah menunjukkan perubahan molekul yang serupa dengan CEF adaptasi strain dan hanya P10 menunjukkan mutasi N279. Dalam BGM-70, UPM190 vvIBDV menunjukkan bahawa virus P1 dan P5 mempunyai asid amino E249, M264, A270 dan N279; Q249,

I264, E270 dan A279 asid amino pada P10 hingga P20. E249Q, I264 dan A270E kelihatan dikekalkan dari P10 hingga P20 dalam isolat UPM190. UPM0081 juga mempunyai asid amino Q249, I264 dan E270 pada P10 hingga P20. Strain virus ini juga mengekalkan perubahan A270E dari P10 hingga P20, tetapi tidak mempunyai perubahan E249Q yang dilihat di UPM190. Perubahan A270E kelihatan unik. Pokok filogenetik yang dibina menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua isolat adalah dalam kluster vvIBDV bersama dengan urutan vvIBDV yang lain yang dilaporkan dalam simpanan GeneBank.

UPM190 dan UPM0081 vvIBDV yang disebarkan dalam BGM-70 pada P15 telah dipilih dan berjaya disebarkan dalam sistem bioreaktor dengan hasil virus yang tinggi dan virus yang dipasag dalam bioreaktor menunjukkan perubahan asid amino A270E. Walaubagaimanapun, isolat UPM190EP8 yang disebarkan sekali lagi dalam sel BGM-70 menggunakan kelalang tisu kultur dan sekali dalam BGM-70 menggunakan bioreaktor menunjukkan ketiadaan perubahan asid amino E270 yang dilihat dalam BGM-70 P15 atau isolat yang disebarkan dalam bioreaktor.

Kajian menunjukkan isolat UPM0081 dan UPM190 yang diadaptasi di BGM-70 pada P5 dan P8 apabila diinokulasi pada ayam SPF tidak menyebabkan tanda klinikal, lesi matakasar dan histologi pada hari ke 7 pi. Walaubagaimanapun, IBDV dikesan di bursa Fabricius menggunakan teknik RT-PCR. IBDV tidak dikesan di Bursa Fabricius apabila ayam disuntik dengan isolat BGM-70 P15 IBDV. Sebaliknya, tanda klinikal, lesi matakasar dan histologi diperhatikan apabila UPM0081 dan UPM190 di EP8 disuntikkan dalam ayam. Begitu juga, apabila isolat EP8 isolat disebarkan dalam BGM-70 pada P1 dalam kelalang tisu dan P1 dalam bioreaktor, IBDV dikesan di bursa Fabricius dengan teknik RT-PCR. Ini menunjukkan bahawa UPM0081 dan UPM190 IBDV hilang patogenisiti apabila dipasag dalam BGM-70 pada P15. Isolat EP8 (EP8BGMP1) yang disebarkan dalam bioreaktor telah tidak diaktifkan untuk pembangunan vaksin IBDV terbunuh.

Selain itu, kajian ini menunjukkan ayam pedaging komersial berumur satu hari apabila disuntik samada dengan UPM190 yang tidak aktif (kumpulan A), UPM0081 yang tidak aktif (kumpulan B), UPM190BGMP10 yang diatenuasi (kumpulan C), UPM0081BGMP10 yang diatenuasi (kumpulan D), UPM190 yang diatenuasi dan tidak aktif (kumpulan E) atau UPM0081 yang diatenuasi dan tidak aktif (kumpulan F) serta kumpulan kawalan G yang tidak disuntik, tidak menyebabkan keabnormalan klinikal sepanjang 28 hari kajian. Secara matakasar, atrofi bursa direkodkan dalam kumpulan A hingga F yang disuntik dengan IBDV berbanding dengan kumpulan kawalan G. Secara mikroskopi, keseluruhan skor lesi bursa berkisar dari ringan (skor 1) kepada ringan hingga sederhana (skor 2) dicatatkan dalam ayam dari kumpulan A hingga F apabila dibandingkan dengan kumpulan kawalan G dengan skor lesi 1 (ringan) sepanjang kajian. Titer antibodi IBD dalam kumpulan yang disuntik IBDV, kumpulan A ( $283 \pm 40$ ), B ( $244 \pm 18$ ) dan E ( $253 \pm 94$ ) tidak berbeza ( $P > 0.05$ ) berbanding dengan kumpulan kawalan ( $253 \pm 97$ ) pada hari ke 28 pasca inokulasi (pi). Sebaliknya, titer adalah lebih tinggi ( $P < 0.05$ ) dalam kumpulan C ( $505 \pm 91$ ), D ( $412$

$\pm 146$ ) dan F ( $642 \pm 187$ ). Kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa tiada tanda klinikal yang tidak normal dicatatkan dalam ayam yang disuntik dengan IBDV dalam semua kumpulan (A hingga F) pada 7 hari pasca dicabar (pc) apabila mereka dicabar dengan vvIBDV pada 21 hari pi. Walaubagaimanapun, dalam kumpulan kawalan F kemurungan yang teruk dan bulu yang tidak terurus dicatatkan pada hari 3 hingga 6 pc, tetapi ia pulih pada hari 7 pc. Secara matakasar, bursa atrofi direkodkan dalam kumpulan yang disuntik IBDV (kumpulan A hingga F) pada hari 7 pc. Atrofi bursal yang teruk dengan pendarahan, kongesi dan kekuningan hingga ke merahan eksudat dalam mukosa bursal dicatatkan dalam kumpulan kawalan F. Secara mikroskopi, keseluruhan skor lesi bursa berkisar dari ringan hingga sederhana (skor 2) hingga sederhana (skor 3) dicatatkan dalam ayam dari kumpulan A hingga F apabila dibandingkan dengan kumpulan kawalan G dengan skor lesi 4 (sederhana hingga teruk) pada hari 7 pc. Titer antibodi IBD dalam kumpulan yang disuntik IBDV, kumpulan A ( $5782 \pm 1517$ ), B ( $5151 \pm 1479$ ), C ( $4670 \pm 787$ ), D ( $4644 \pm 1359$ ), E ( $7315 \pm 1838$ ) dan F ( $6235 \pm 1655$ ) adalah lebih tinggi ( $P > 0.05$ ) apabila dibandingkan dengan kumpulan kawalan G ( $2475 \pm 991$ ) pada hari 7 pc. Ini menunjukkan bahawa gabungan UPM190 yang diatenuasi dan tidak diaktifkan (kumpulan E) menawarkan perlindungan yang terbaik terhadap cabaran vvIBDV diikuti dalam urutan menurun oleh gabungan UPM0081 yang diatenuasi dan tidak diaktifkan (kumpulan F), UPM190 yang tidak diaktifkan (kumpulan A), UPM0081 yang tidak diaktifkan (kumpulan B), UPM190 yang diatenuasi (kumpulan C) dan akhirnya UPM0081 yang diatenuasi (kumpulan D), mencadangkan bahawa UPM190 isolat lebih imunogenik apabila dibandingkan dengan UPM0081 isolat.

Disimpulkan bahawa isolat UPM190 dan UPM0081 vvIBDV telah berjaya diadaptasi di pelbagai sel kultur dengan kesesuaian tinggi di BGM-70. vvIBDV telah diatenuasi dengan perubahan dalam komposisi asid amino pada kawasan VP2 semasa pasag bersiri yang mengakibatkan patogenisiti dan imunogenisiti isolat hilang dalam pasag yang lebih tinggi. vvIBDV telah berjaya disebarkan dalam sistem bioreaktor dengan hasil titer yang tinggi untuk pembangunan vaksin atenuasi dan tidak diaktifkan berasaskan tisu kultur. Kajian dalam ayam yang dilakukan menunjukkan bahawa IBDV yang disebarkan dalam bioreaktor adalah immunogen yang baik yang dapat menghasilkan IBD antibodi titer yang tinggi dan dapat melindungi ayam terhadap kerosakan bursa Fabricus yang teruk ketika dicabar dengan vvIBDV isolat.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise is for Allaah, we thank Him, seek His help and His forgiveness and we seek refuge with Allaah from the evil of our own souls and from our bad deeds. Whomsoever Allaah guides will never be led astray, and whomsoever Allaah leaves astray, no one can guide. I bear witness that there is no god but Allaah, alone and without any associate and I bear witness that Muhammad is His slave and Messenger.

“O you who have believed! fear Allaah as He should be feared and do not die except as Muslims [in total submission to Him]” (Aal ‘Imraan 3:102). “O mankind, fear your Lord, who created you from one soul and created from it its mate and dispersed from both of them many men and women. And fear Allaah, through whom you ask one another, and the wombs. Indeed Allaah is ever, over you, an Observer”. (An-Nisaa’ 4:1). “O you who have believed! fear Allaah and speak words of appropriate justice. He will [then] amend for you your deeds and forgive you your sins. And whoever obeys Allaah and His Messenger has certainly attained a great attainment” (al-Ahzaab 33:70-71).

The prophet Muhammad (SallaLahu Alaihi Wasallam) said “He has not thanked Allaah who has not thanked people” (Ahmad, Abu Dawood). It is therefore, a moral obligation on me to thank my Creator, Allaah (The Exalted, Most High) for His unlimited blessings and mercies and for giving me the opportunity to successfully complete this study. I would like to express my sincere gratitude and heartfelt appreciation to my great mentor Professor Dr. Mohd Hair Bejo, my academic father and supervisor, for his kind guidance, astute comments, painstaking supervision, continuous encouragement, and kind assistance and patience throughout my research journey in this study. I am also grateful and indebted to the support and mentoring of my co-supervisors, Professor Dr. Abdul Rahman Omar, for his unscrupulous supports, guidance and mentorship; I really appreciate the knowledge transfer. My special thanks and appreciation goes to Professor Dr. Siti Suri Arshad who was always kind and supportive with constructive criticisms and for your motherly guidance. I also want to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to Professor Datin Paduka Dr. Aini Ideris, my mother, for her encouragements, professional support and guidance without which, this study will not come to reality.

Words alone cannot express my gratitude and sincere appreciation to my wonderful mother Fatima, who have supported my study journey every step of the way. Your support, prayers and foresight fuelled my drive and strengthened my determination, an essential ingredient for the success of this work. Thank you for being there and I love you. I want to thank my brothers Naziru, Umar, Aliyu (Abba), Nura and Najib, my sisters Sumayya, Labiba and Ummulkhair (Ameera) for their love, support and prayers, I really appreciate.



My lovely wife Samira has been a source of support, prayers and encouragements throughout this research journey. She has tolerated my late nights and incessant absence as I battle my way through. Words cannot express my appreciation for the understanding and patience you have shown and for the wise and sound advises you rendered to me my love. May Allah continue to strengthen our marital bonds with love, mercy and understanding till eternity (Jannatul Firdaus), I love you. Equally important is my lovely wife Dr. Aisha, whom I have caused an unmeasurable pain as I treaded on this path. Words cannot express how sorry I am for the pain caused and the time lost, ours is a strange love that is hard to fathom. May Allah reward you abundantly, grant us an everlasting peace and love and strengthen our marital bond till eternity (Jannatul Firdaus), I love you.

To my little angels Amina and Aisha (daughters) and Muhammad Auwal (Ameer-Hajji, son) and your yet to be born siblings, who constitute my happiness and perfect my little world, I appreciate your understanding and prayers and the patience you have shown for my absence when you needed my fatherly attention and love the most, a big thanks to you all.

To my friends Drs Jamilu, Bashir Tambuwal, Faruku Bande, Yusuf Abba, Hussain Abdallah, Nur Fitriah, Ashwak, Abdullahi, Bashir Garba, Mohammad Talba, Aliyu, Isah, Alhassan Sharif, Hassan Yahya, Sirajo Bello, Muhammad Sanusi, Abdullahi Gindi, Rabi'u, Adamu Abdul, Abdulkarim, Aliyu Sa'adu, Abdullahi Raji, Chidozie Ugwu, Bello Jambako, Malams Rayyan, Mukhtar (Bola), Sunusi, Muhammad Waziri, Sagir Ahmad, Sufyanu Maru, Nasir Funtua and many more too numerous to mention, your contributions both in kind and any other way towards the success of this work is sincerely acknowledged, thank you for all you have done.

I would also like to acknowledge and thank the entire staff and students of Laboratories of Serology, Virology, Bacteriology, Parasitology, Histopathology, Central Sterilization Room and Animal Research Facility of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, UPM as well as Laboratory of Vaccine and Immunotherapeutics of the Institute of Bioscience, UPM for allowing me to use their facilities thereby making this study possible.

Finally, I offer my regards and prayers to all of those who supported me in any way during this journey but I did not specifically mentioned in person, the kindness was well acknowledged.

Lastly, I would like to humbly dedicate this thesis to my late father, Alh. Lawal Muhammad. May Allaah in His infinite mercy forgive your shortcomings, have mercy on you, and grant you Jannatul Firdaus as your final abode. You are surely missed!

*“Glory is to You, O Allaah, and praise is to You. I bear witness that there is none worthy of worship but You. I seek Your forgiveness and repent to You”* [Abu Dawood, At-Tirmidhim Ibn Majah and An-Nasai].



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Hair Bejo, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Abdul Rahman Omar, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Siti Suri Arshad, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Datin Paduka Aini Ideris, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No: Nafi'u Lawal, GS39820

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Mohd Hair Bejo

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Abdul Rahman Omar

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Siti Suri Arshad

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dr. Datin Paduka Aini Ideris

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	ix
<b>APPROVAL</b>	xii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xxi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxiii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxvii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxx
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
 <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	 1
 <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	 6
2.1 Infectious Bursal Disease Outbreaks	6
2.2 Infectious Bursal Disease Virus	6
2.2.1 Classification of Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Strains	6
2.2.2 Virus Genome	7
2.2.3 Viral Proteins	8
2.3 Pathogenesis of Infectious Bursal Disease	9
2.3.1 Cellular Receptors for IBDV	10
2.3.2 Immunosuppression and Immune Response in IBDV Infection	10
2.4 Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Isolation	10
2.4.1 Specific Pathogen Free Chickens and Embryonated Chicken Eggs	10
2.4.2 Tissue Culture	11
2.5 Infectious Bursal Disease	12
2.5.1 Clinical Signs	12
2.5.2 Gross Pathology	13
2.5.3 Histopathology	13
2.5.4 Diagnosis	14
2.5.5 Prevention and Control	15
2.5.6 Previous Vaccine Development	16
2.6 Polymerase Chain Reaction	19
2.7 Bioreactor	23
2.8 Microcarriers	25
 <b>3 ADAPTATION AND PROPAGATION OF VERY VIRULENT INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE VIRUS IN TISSUE CULTURES</b>	 27
3.1 Introduction	27
3.2 Materials and Methods	29

3.2.1	Infectious Bursal Disease Virus	29
3.2.2	Virus Adaptation in Specific Pathogen Free Embryonated Chicken Eggs	30
3.2.3	Cell Cultures	30
3.2.3.1	Preparation of CEF Cell Line	30
3.2.3.2	Preparation of Vero Cell Line	31
3.2.3.3	Preparation of Baby Grivet Monkey-70 Cell Line	31
3.2.3.4	Subculture of Adherent Confluent Monolayer of Cell Lines	32
3.2.4	Infection of the Cell Lines Monolayer with vvIBDV Isolates	32
3.2.5	Harvesting of Viruses	33
3.2.6	Adaptation and Propagation of the IBDV in Cell Lines	33
3.2.7	Attenuation of the Adapted Virus in the Various Cell Lines	33
3.2.8	Indirect Immunoperoxidase Staining	33
3.2.9	Indirect Immunofluorescence Staining	34
3.2.10	Acridine Orange/Propidium Iodide Apoptosis Assay	35
3.2.11	Titration of virus	35
3.3	Results	36
3.3.1	Adaptation and Propagation of IBDV in Specific Pathogen Free Embryonated Chicken Eggs	36
3.3.2	Virus Titration	38
3.3.3	Cell Lines	38
3.3.4	Adaptation and Propagation of vvIBDV Isolates in Vero Cell Line	40
3.3.5	Adaptation and Propagation of vvIBDV Isolates in Chicken Embryo Fibroblast Cells	42
3.3.6	Adaptation and Propagation of vvIBDV Isolates in Baby Grivet Monkey-70 Cells	45
3.3.7	Titration of Cell Line Adapted IBD Viruses	51
3.3.8	Indirect Immunoperoxidase Staining	51
3.3.9	Indirect Immunofluorescence Staining	53
3.3.10	Acridine Orange/Propidium Iodide Apoptosis Assay	57
3.4	Discussion	61

#### **4 MOLECULAR CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ADAPTED AND PROPAGATED vvIBDV ISOLATES**

4.1	Introduction	64
4.2	Materials and Methods	67
4.2.1	Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Isolates	67
4.2.2	Extraction of RNA	67
4.2.3	Determination of RNA Concentration and Purity	67
4.2.4	Primer Design	68
4.2.5	Reverse Transcription and Polymerase Chain Reaction	68
4.2.6	Gel Electrophoresis, Staining and Viewing	68
4.2.7	Purification of RT-PCR Products and Gel Extraction	69

4.2.8	Nucleotide and Amino Acid Sequence Determination and Analysis	69
4.2.9	Phylogenetic Tree Construction	72
4.3	Results	73
4.3.1	Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction	73
4.3.2	Analysis of VP2 Nucleotide and Amino Acid Sequences	76
4.3.2.1	SPF Eggs	77
4.3.2.2	CEF Cells	84
4.3.2.3	Vero Cells	86
4.3.2.4	BGM-70 Cells	88
4.4	Discussion	101
<b>5</b>	<b>PROPAGATION OF VERY VIRULENT INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE VIRUS IN BIOREACTOR</b>	<b>104</b>
5.1	Introduction	104
5.2	Materials and Methods	105
5.2.1	IBDV Isolates	105
5.2.2	Cell Lines Maintenance and Expansion	105
5.2.3	Microcarrier Preparation	106
5.2.4	Biosys Fermentec Bioreactor System	106
5.2.5	Cell Sampling and Counting	106
5.2.6	Cell Lines Morphology and Attachment	106
5.2.7	Cell Line Attachment to Cytodex 1	106
5.2.8	IBDV Isolate Preparation	107
5.2.9	Infection in Biosys Bioreactor System	107
5.2.10	RT-PCR of the Bioreactor Propagated vvIBDV	107
5.2.11	Gel Electrophoresis and Red Safe Staining	108
5.2.12	Sequence Analysis	108
5.2.13	Titration of Viruses	108
5.2.14	Indirect Immunofluorescence Staining	109
5.3	Results	109
5.3.1	BGM-70 Growth on Cytodex Microcarriers	109
5.3.2	Infection of Confluent BGM-70 Cells on Cytodex Microcarriers	110
5.3.3	Harvesting of Bioreactor Propagated Attenuated IBDV	111
5.3.4	RT-PCR, Sequencing and Sequence Analysis	111
5.3.5	Virus Titration	115
5.3.6	Indirect Immunofluorescence Staining	115
5.4	Discussion	117
<b>6</b>	<b>PATHOGENICITY, IMMUNOGENICITY AND EFFICACY OF LIVE ATTENUATED AND INACTIVATED vvIBDV ISOLATES IN CHICKENS</b>	<b>119</b>
6.1	Introduction	119
6.2	Materials and Methods	121
6.2.1	Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Isolates	121
6.2.1.1	SPF Embryonated Chicken Eggs Adapted vvIBDV Isolates	121

6.2.1.2	BGM-70 Cell Line Adapted vvIBDV Isolates	122
6.2.1.3	BGM-70 Cell Line Adapted vvIBDV in Bioreactor System	122
6.2.1.4	Challenge Virus (UPM190BGMP1)	123
6.2.1.5	Inactivation of vvIBDVs	123
6.2.2	Adjuvant Preparation	123
6.2.3	Inactivated IBDV Isolate Preparation with Adjuvant	123
6.2.4	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee	124
6.2.5	Preliminary Trials	124
6.2.5.1	Experiment I: Pathogenicity and Immunogenicity of UPM0081BGM15BP1 and UPM190BGM15BP1 in SPF and Commercial Broiler Chickens	124
6.2.5.2	Experiment II: Pathogenicity and Immunogenicity of UPM0081BGMP5, UPM0081BGMP8, UPM190BGMP5 and UPM190BGMP8 in SPF Chickens	124
6.2.5.3	Experiment III: Pathogenicity of UPM190EP8, UPM190EP4, UPM0081EP8 and UPM0081EP4 in SPF Chickens	125
6.2.5.4	Experiment IV: Pathogenicity of UPM0081EP8BGMBP1 and UPM190EP8BGMBP1 in SPF Chickens	125
6.2.6	Main Experimental Trial	126
6.2.7	Gross Pathology, Histopathology and Lesion Scoring	126
6.2.8	Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay	127
6.2.9	Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction	127
6.3	Statistical Analysis	127
6.4	Results	128
6.4.1	Preliminary Trials	128
6.4.1.1	Experiment I: Pathogenicity and Immunogenicity of UPM0081BGM15BP1 and UPM190BGM15BP1 in SPF and Commercial Broiler Chickens	128
6.4.1.2	Experiment II: Pathogenicity and Immunogenicity of UPM0081BGMP5, UPM0081BGMP8, UPM190BGMP5 and UPM190BGMP8 in SPF Chickens	131
6.4.1.3	Experiment III: Pathogenicity of UPM190EP8 (A), UPM190EP4 (B), UPM0081EP8 (C) and UPM0081EP4 (D) in SPF Chickens	134
6.4.1.4	Experiment IV: Pathogenicity of UPM0081EP8BGMBP1 and UPM190EP8BGMBP1 in SPF Chickens	137
6.4.1.5	RT-PCR	139
6.4.2	Main Experimental Trial	140
6.4.2.1	Clinical Signs	140
6.4.2.2	Body Weight	141

6.4.2.3	Bursal Weight	142
6.4.2.4	Bursa-Body Weight Ratio (X 10 <sup>-3</sup> )	143
6.4.2.5	Gross Lesions	145
6.4.2.6	Lesion Score	156
6.4.2.7	ELISA	157
6.4.2.8	Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction	158
6.5	Discussion	161
<b>7</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>168</b>
7.1	General Discussion	168
7.2	Conclusion	176
7.3	Recommendations	177
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>178</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>203</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>236</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>237</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1 Primers used for the RT-PCR amplification of the HPVR of vvIBDV VP2 gene	68
4.2 The names, accession numbers and country of origin of the 20 reference strains used for alignment and phylogenetic tree construction with BGM-70 cell passaged Malaysian vvIBDV	70
4.3 The names, accession numbers and country of origin of the Malaysian vvIBDV strains passaged in SPF eggs, Vero, CEF and BGM-70 cells used for alignment and phylogenetic tree construction with published reference strains	71
4.4 The names, accession numbers and country of origin of the Malaysian vvIBDV strains passaged in SPF eggs, Vero, CEF and BGM-70 cells used for alignment and phylogenetic tree construction with published reference strains	72
4.5 Comparison of nucleotide and amino acid similarities of the VP2 hypervariable region between UPM0081BGMP10 and 22 different reference strains	92
4.6 Comparison of nucleotide and amino acid similarities of the VP2 hypervariable region between UPM190BGMP10 and 22 different reference strains	93
4.7 Comparison of Nucleotide and Amino Acid similarities of the VP2 hypervariable region between UPM190BGMP10 and 64 different reference strains	94
4.8 Comparison of Nucleotide and Amino Acid similarities of the VP2 hypervariable region between UPM190BGMP10 and 22 different reference strains	95
4.9 Nucleotide and amino acid differences within the VP2 hypervariable region between the parental vvIBDV B00/81 and the BGM-70 passaged UPM0081P10	96
4.10 Nucleotide and amino acid differences within the VP2 hypervariable region between the parental vvIBDV B00/81 and the BGM-70 passaged UPM190P10	97

- 4.11 Nucleotide and amino acid mutations after sequence comparison of the VP2 hypervariable region between UPM0081P10 and attenuated vvIBDV, vaccine strain and caIBDV strains 97
- 4.12 Nucleotide and amino acid mutations after sequence comparison of the VP2 hypervariable region between UPM190P10 and attenuated vvIBDV, vaccine strain and caIBDV strains 98



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 vvIBDV infected SPF embryos	37
3.2 Normal monolayer of Vero, CEF and BGM-70 cell lines	39
3.3 Normal and vvIBDV infected Vero cells monolayer	42
3.4 Normal and vvIBDV infected CEF cells monolayer	44
3.5 Normal and vvIBDV infected BGM-70 cells monolayer	47
3.6 BGM-70 cell attenuation of vvIBDV	50
3.7 Indirect immunoperoxidase	52
3.8 Indirect immunofluorescence BGM-70 cells inoculated with UPM0081 IBDV at 48 hours pi	53
3.9 Indirect immunofluorescence of BGM-70 cells inoculated with UPM0081 IBDV at 5 days pi	54
3.10 Indirect immunofluorescence on BGM-70 cells inoculated with UPM190 IBDV at 48 hours pi	55
3.11 Indirect immunofluorescence on BGM-70 cells inoculated with UPM190 IBDV at 5 days pi	56
3.12 AO/PI apoptosis assay in BGM-70 cells infected with UPM0081 IBDV	58
3.13 AO/PI apoptosis assay in BGM-70 cells infected with UPM190 IBDV	60
4.1 RT-PCR of UPM0081 CAM homogenates	73
4.2 RT-PCR of UPM0081 and UPM190 CAM homogenates	74
4.3 RT-PCR of the UPM0081 and UPM190 from CEF cell culture supernatant	74
4.4 RT-PCR of UPM0081 and UPM190 from Vero cell culture supernatants	75
4.5 RT-PCR of UPM0081 adapted in BGM-70 cell line	75

4.6	RT-PCR of UPM190 adapted in BGM-70 cell line	76
4.7	Nucleotide sequence analysis	78
4.8	Phylogenetic analysis	82
4.9	Amino acid analysis of UPM isolates and reference sequences	99
4.10	Protein phylogenetic analysis	100
5.1	BGM-70 cells attaching to cytodex 1 in a bioreactor	109
5.2	vvIBDV infected BGM-70 cells detaching from cytodex	111
5.3	RT-PCR of bioreactor passaged viruses	112
5.4	Comparison of nucleotide sequences of bioreactor, conventional flask and CAM propagated UPM0081 and UPM190 IBDV isolates	113
5.5	Comparison of amino acid sequences of bioreactor, BGM-70, CEF and Vero propagated UPM0081 and UPM190 IBDV isolates	114
5.6	Phylogenetic analysis of bioreactor, CAM adapted and conventional flask propagated UPM0081 and UPM190 IBDV isolates	114
5.7	The indirect immunofluorescence test on the bioreactor propagated UPM0081BGM15BP1 and UPM190BGM15BP1 IBDV isolates showing high amount of viral antigen within the cytoplasm of BGM-70 cells 72 hours post inoculation	116
6.1	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	128
6.2	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	129
6.3	RT-PCR of the bursal homogenates from the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	129
6.4	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated commercial broiler chickens	130
6.5	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated commercial broiler chickens	130
6.6	RT-PCR of the bursal homogenates from IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated commercial broiler chickens	131

6.7	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	132
6.8	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	133
6.9	RT-PCR of the bursal homogenates from the inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	134
6.10	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	135
6.11	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	136
6.12	RT-PCR of bursal homogenate from the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	137
6.13	Bursa of Fabricius from the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	138
6.14	Bursa of Fabricius of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF Chickens	139
6.15	RT-PCR from the bursal homogenates of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated SPF chickens	140
6.16	Body weight of IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated non-challenged (NC) and challenged (CH) commercial broiler chickens	142
6.17	Bursal weight of IBDV inoculated and uninoculated non-challenged (NC) and challenged (CH) commercial broiler chickens	143
6.18	Bursa-body weight ratio of the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated non-challenged (NC) and challenged (CH) commercial broiler chickens	144
6.19	Normal Bursae from the IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated (control) in non-challenged commercial broiler chickens	146
6.20	Bursa of Fabricius of IBDV inoculated commercial broiler chickens challenged with vvIBDV at 7 day post challenge	148
6.21	Histopathology of bursa from IBDV non-challenged inoculated commercial broiler chickens	151
6.22	Histopathology of bursae from IBDV non-challenged commercial broiler chickens at 28 days post inoculation	152

6.23	Histopathology of bursae from IBDV inoculated challenged and uninoculated control commercial broiler chickens	155
6.24	Lesion score from bursa of IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated non-challenged (NC) and challenged (CH) commercial broiler chickens	157
6.25	ELISA of IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated non-challenged (NC) and challenged (CH) commercial broiler chickens	158
6.26	RT-PCR of bursal homogenates from IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated commercial broiler chickens at 7 days post inoculation	159
6.27	RT-PCR of bursal homogenates from IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated commercial broiler chickens at 14 days post inoculation	159
6.28	RT-PCR of bursal homogenates from IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated commercial broiler chickens at 21 days post inoculation	160
6.29	RT-PCR of bursal homogenates from IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated commercial broiler chickens at 28 days post inoculation	160
6.30	RT-PCR of bursal homogenates from IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated commercial broiler chickens at 7 days post challenge	161

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Table	Page
3.1 Cumulative mortality for UPM0081 inoculated SPF embryos	207
3.2 Cumulative mortality for UPM190 inoculated SPF embryos	207
3.3 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at EP12	208
3.4 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at EP12	208
3.5 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at EP8	209
3.6 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at EP8	209
3.7 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at EP4	210
3.8 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at EP4	210
3.9 Percentage CPE observed in Vero cells inoculated with UPM0081 IBDV isolate	211
3.10 Percentage CPE observed in Vero cells inoculated with UPM190 IBDV isolate	211
3.11 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at passage 5 in Vero cells	212
3.12 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at passage 5 in Vero cells	212
3.13 Percentage CPE observed in CEF cells inoculated with UPM0081 vvIBDV isolate	213
3.14 Percentage CPE observed in CEF cells inoculated with UPM190 vvIBDV isolate	213
3.15 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at passage 5 in CEF cells	214
3.16 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at passage 5 in CEF cells	214
3.17 Percentage CPE observed by BGM-70 cells inoculated with UPM0081 vvIBDV isolate	215
3.18 Percentage CPE observed by BGM-70 cells monolayer inoculated with UPM190 vvIBDV isolate	216
3.19 TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at passage 5 in BGM-70 cells	216



3.20	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at passage 5 in BGM-70 cells	217
3.21	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at passage 7 in BGM-70 cells	217
3.22	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at passage 7 in BGM-70 cells	218
3.23	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at passage 10 in BGM-70 cells	218
3.24	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at passage 10 in BGM-70 cells	219
3.25	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at passage 15 in BGM-70 cells	219
3.26	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at passage 15 in BGM-70 cells	220
5.1	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081 at passage 16 in BGM-70 cells (Bioreactor P1)	220
5.2	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM190 at passage 16 in BGM-70 cells (Bioreactor P1)	221
5.3	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081EP8BGMBP1 (Bioreactor)	221
5.4	TCID <sub>50</sub> determination for UPM0081EP8BGMBP1 (Bioreactor)	222
6.1	Commercial broiler chickens inoculated with BGM-70 adapted and bioreactor propagated inactivated vvIBDV and challenged with pathogenic vvIBDV at day 21 post vaccination	223
6.2	Body weight of IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated challenged and non-challenged chicken groups	224
6.3	Bursal weight of IBDV inoculated and uninoculated challenged and non-challenged chicken groups	224
6.4	Bursa-body weight ratio of IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated challenged and non-challenged chicken groups	225
6.5	Lesion score from bursa of IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated challenged and non-challenged chicken groups	225
6.6	ELISA of IBDV inoculated and non-inoculated challenged and non-challenged chicken groups	226
6.7	Lesion scoring for bursa of Fabricius	228

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Figure	Page
4.11 The Estimates of Evolutionary Divergence between the egg adapted, cell line adapted UPM0081 & UPM190 and the reference nucleotide sequences sequences	231
4.12 The Estimate of Evolutionary Divergence between Egg Adapted, Cell Line Adapted UPM0081 & UPM190 with Reference Amino Acid Sequences	234
6.31 Main Experimental Trial Flow Chart	235

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Amino acid
AGPT	Agar gel precipitation test
atIBDV	Attenuated infectious bursal disease virus
ATV	Antibiotic-trypsin-versine
BEI	Binary ethylenimine
BF	Bursa of Fabricius
BGM-70	Baby grivet monkey-70
Bp	Base pair
CALT	Conjunctiva associated lymphoid tissue
CAM	Chorioallantoic membrane
caIBDV	Classical infectious bursal disease virus
cDNA	Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CEB	Chicken embryo bursa
CEF	Chicken embryo fibroblast
CEK	Chicken embryo kidney
CMI	Cell-mediated immunity
ddH <sub>2</sub> O	Deionized double distilled water
DAB	Diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride
DF-1	Douglas Foster-1
DMSO	Dimethylsulphoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	Deoxynucleoside triphosphate
dsDNA	Double-stranded DNA

DXV	Drosophila Melanogaster X virus
ELL	East lansing line
EID50	Embryo effective dose fifty
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
FAPP	Filtered air positive pressure
FBS	Fetal bovine serum
FMD	Food and mouth disease
FP	Fowlpox
GALT	Gut associated lymphoid tissue
GDP	Gross domestic product
HALT	Head associated lymphoid tissue
H&E	Haematoxylin-and-eosin
HPAI	Highly pathogenic avian influenza
HPVR	Hypervariable region
HSV	Herpes simplex virus
IBD	Infectious bursal disease
IBDV	Infectious bursal disease virus
IB	Infectious bronchitis
IFT	Immunofluorescence technique
IgM	Immunoglobulin M
ILT	Infectious laryngotracheitis
IPNV	Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus
IPS	Immunoperoxidase staining technique
kB	Kilobase pair

kD	Kilo Dalton
LL	Lymphoid leucosis
LSCC-BK3	Leucosis sarcoma chicken cell line
MA-104	Microbiological Associates-104 cell line
MD	Marek's disease
Min	Minute
MRC-5	Medical Research Council-5 cell strain
mRNA	Messenger RNA
MT	Metric tone
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NAP	National agro-food policy
ND	Newcastle disease
Nt	Nucleotide
OD	Optical density
OIE	Office international des epizooties
OK	Ovine kidney
ORF	Open reading frame
P <sub>BC</sub>	Minor hydrophilic region I
PBS	Phosphate-buffered saline
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
P <sub>HI</sub>	Minor hydrophilic region II
pH	Hydrogen ion exponent
Pi	Post infection
%	Percentage

Ps	Post seeding
RdRp	RNA dependent RNA polymerase
RK-13	Rabbit kidney-13
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
Rpm	Revolution per minute
RSV	Respiratory syncytial virus
RT-PCR	Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction
RT-PCR/RFLP	Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction/restriction fragment length polymorphism
RT	Room temperature
SC-1	Cellosaurus mouse embryo fibroblast
SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
SPF	Specific-pathogen-free
TAE	Tris-acetate-EDTA
TV	Tequila virus
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
USA	United State of America
vaIBDV	Variant strain of infectious bursal disease virus
Vero	Green monkey kidney
VP1	Viral protein 1
VP2	Viral protein 2
VP3	Viral protein 3
VP4	Viral protein 4
VP5	Viral protein 5

vaIBDV	Variant infectious bursal disease virus
vvIBDV	Very virulent infectious bursal disease virus
w/v	Weight per volume
µg	Microgram
µl	Microliter
µm	Micrometer
A	Alanine (Ala)
R	Arginine (Arg)
N	Asparagine (Asn)
D	Aspartic Acid (Asp)
Q	Glutamine (Gln)
E	Glutamic Acid (Glu)
G	Glycine (Gly)
I	Isoleucine (Ile)
L	Leucine (Leu)
K	Lysine (Lys)
M	Methionine (Met)
F	Phenylalanine (Phe)
P	Proline (Pro)
S	Serine (Ser)
T	Threonine (Thr)
W	Thyptophan (Trp)
V	Valine (Val)



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

Commercial poultry production is one of the backbones of Agricultural economy in Malaysia. The industry has witnessed rapid advances within the last six decades similar to the advancement recorded in developed countries contributing 62.9% to the Malaysian livestock GDP (Shanmugavelu, 2014). With the rapid increase in population and economic growth in the country, there is a reciprocal increase in the demand of poultry and livestock products for consumption (Nor Amna A'liah & Mohammad, 2015). Based on the Malaysian National Agro-food Policy 2011-2020 (NAP), the demand for meat production is expected to increase with a 2.4% annual growth from 1.4 million metric tonnes (MT) in 2010 to 1.8 million MT in 2020 while meat production rate is estimated to rise at an annual 2.7% growth rate from 1.6 million MT to 2.1 million MT respectively within the same time frame (Nor Amna A'liah & Mohammad, 2015). The rapid growth of the industry can be due to favorable government policies, introduction of breeds of good quality (high vigor), shift from the traditional free range system of rearing to intensive management system, formulation of high quality feed, technological advancement and development of effective indigenous vaccines using local isolates. However, despite this high economic growth, the industry is faced with challenges of high production costs due to diseases and its management especially the reemerging ones (Hair-Bejo, 2010). Interestingly, almost all the major known poultry diseases such as Infectious Bronchitis (IB), Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD), Newcastle Disease (ND), Marek's Disease (MD), Lymphoid leukosis (LL), Fowl Pox (FP), Infectious Laryngotracheitis (ILT), Highly pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI), Salmonellosis, Fowl Cholera, Mycoplasmosis, and Coccidiosis have been reported in Malaysia (Hair-Bejo, 1992). This could be attributed to the global movement of migratory birds that respect no international boundary as well as movement of breeding parent stock, biologics and pet birds among other things (Hair-Bejo, 2010). This further necessitates the need for adequate and effective high level biosecurity, a feat that is difficult to maintain at all times.

It is a well known fact that, successful poultry production is determined by the health status of the birds which is in turn dependent upon high quality feed intake and prevention of diseases occurrence among the flock. Immunosuppression is the sequellae of most poultry diseases especially IBD, a feature that increases the susceptibility of infected birds to other pathogens (Kibenge *et al.*, 1988a).

The disease, IBD or avian nephrosis (Edgar, 1966), was first described by Cosgrove (Cosgrove, 1962) after an initial outbreak of 1957 in a broiler flock near a town called Gumboro, Delaware, USA; hence the synonym Gumboro disease. There were a lot of speculations about the right classification for the virus based on its morphology; some considered it a reovirus (Lukert & Davis, 1974) or an adenovirus (Almeida & Morris, 1973). In 1979, observations by Dobos *et al.* (1979) and Muller *et al.* (1979) revealed

the bisegmented double stranded nature of its RNA genome leading to the adoption of the name birnavirus. Their conclusion was based on the viral morphology (58 nm to 60 nm icosahedron), unique biophysical properties and possession of bisegmented, double stranded ribonucleic acid (2 dsRNA). The disease became a global problem within the span of four (4) decades spreading from USA to Europe, Middle East, Africa, Asia and Australia (Lasher & Shane, 1994; Lasher & Davis, 1997; Berg, 2000). It was first reported in Malaysia in 1991 (Hair-Bejo, 1992).

The virus (IBDV) together with infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) of fish, tellina virus (TV), oyster virus (OV), blotched snakehead virus (BSVN) and crab virus of bivalve molluscs belonging to Aquabirnavirus and drosophila X virus (DXV) of the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* belong to the *Birnaviridae* family, genus *Avibirnavirus*, members of which contain a double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) genome with two large and small segments, designated A and B segments, enclosed within a naked (non enveloped) single-shelled icosahedral capsid of approximately 60 nm in diameter (Dobos *et al.*, 1979; Muller *et al.*, 1979). The smaller B segment (approximately 2.8 kb to 2.9 kb) encodes viral protein 1 (VP1 of size of 95 kDa), an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) (Azad *et al.*, 1985; Spies *et al.*, 1987; Bruenn, 1991; Macreadie & Azad, 1993). The VP1 is present in the virion both as VPg, a genome-linked protein attached to the 5' end of the positive strands of the two genomic segments and as a free protein (Spies & Muller, 1990; Dobos, 1993). Segment A (approximately 3.3 kb to 3.4 kb) has two partially overlapping open reading frames (ORFs) (Tacken *et al.*, 2003). The first, smaller ORF encodes nonstructural viral protein VP5 (17 kDa), a protein not vital for *in vitro* viral replication but essential for virus-induced pathogenicity (Mundt *et al.*, 1995; Mundt *et al.*, 1997; Yao *et al.*, 1998). The larger ORF encodes a 110-kDa polypeptide which by automatic cleavage, give rise to three polypeptides: pVP2 (48 kDa), VP3 (32 kDa), and VP4 (28 kDa). This self processing is mediated by VP4 (Kibenge *et al.*, 1988; Sanchez and Rodriguez, 1999; Lejal *et al.*, 2000), a serine-lysine protease (Birghan *et al.*, 2000). It is a soluble protein mainly associated with type II tubules of 24 nm in diameter (Granzow *et al.*, 1997). Further processing of pVP2 at its carboxy-terminus leads to VP2 (40 kDa) (Lejal *et al.*, 2000; Da Costa *et al.*, 2002). Incomplete virus particles were reported to interfere with the replication of the normal IBDV and they have been found to contain high amounts of pVP2 and other defective polypeptides (Müller *et al.*, 1986). The VP2 and VP3 are the structural proteins forming the outer and inner layers of the virion, and constitute 51% and 40% of the total viral proteins respectively (Bottcher *et al.*, 1997; Caston *et al.*, 2001).

There are two reported serotypes of IBDV, serotype 1 and serotype 2. Serotype 1 causes clinical disease in chicken, while serotype 2 infects both chicken and turkeys without any overt clinical signs (Kibenge *et al.*, 1988a). Serotype 1 viruses have been divided further into three groups namely; classical (ca), variant (va) and very virulent (vv) strains (Winterfield & Thacker, 1978). The vvIBDV was recognized in 1986 as an isolate of serotype 1 with enhanced virulence resulting in vaccination failures (Jackwood & Saif, 1987; Chettle *et al.*, 1989). The virus is reported to be stable and persist in the environment by withstanding high temperature, low pH of 3 and

treatment with many disinfectants and organic solvents such as ether or chloroform (Murphy *et al.*, 1999).

The disease is controlled by vaccination and strict adherence to biosecurity (Jackwood & Sommer, 2002; Hair-Bejo, 2010). Effective vaccination is dependent on certain factors such as vaccine type, vaccination time, level of chick's maternally derived antibody and wild IBDV strain (Hair-Bejo, 2010). Some researchers reported some degree of bursal atrophy associated with lymphocyte depletion and immunosuppression in chickens vaccinated with live attenuated IBD vaccines (Tsukamoto *et al.*, 1999; Jackwood *et al.*, 2008a). Passage in chicken embryonated egg and or tissue culture is the technique used to attenuate IBDV for live attenuated vaccine production (Jackwood *et al.*, 2008a; Hair-Bejo, 2010). The first live unattenuated "bursal-derived" IBD vaccine was developed by Edgar using bursal homogenate obtained from chickens with active infection (Edgar & Cho, 1973). The search for more effective vaccine lead Lasher and Gelenczei to develop chicken embryo vaccine and adapted three field isolates in chicken and duck embryo fibroblast by blind passage (Lasher & Davis, 1997), and later in the early 1970s, Lukert, Leonard and Davies successfully propagated the virus in continuous cell line using kidney cells passage first and then culturing onto Vero cells (Lasher & Davis, 1997).

The vaccine virus replicate in the bursa of the chicken and induced protective immunity. Unfortunately, reversion of live attenuated vaccine strains to wild pathogenic strains has been well documented (Muskett *et al.*, 1985; Jackwood *et al.*, 2008a). This necessitates the need for a safer vaccine with no reversion ability. Killed vaccines were developed, but with lesser potency compared to the live vaccines as they do not replicate in the target organ, hence they induce low immunity (Berg, 2000). Adjuvants such as oil and plant extracts were later incorporated in to killed vaccines to enhance the immune response (Jackwood *et al.*, 2008b).

In recent years, expression of structural viral proteins of many viruses for use as a vaccine (subunit vaccine) has been explored using recombinant DNA technology. Scientist developed three types of vaccines using this technology; live genetically modified vaccines, recombinant inactivated vaccines and genetic vaccines (Jackwood *et al.*, 2008c). This technology was adopted for IBDV and the viral protein VP2 with immunogenic properties was used as the vaccine candidate (Hair-Bejo *et al.*, 2010).

Because RNA viruses genetically have high mutation rates, there is a potential risk that a poorly attenuated IBDV will revert to a more virulent state when replicating in poultry after several replication cycles within the bursa of Fabricius and this was observed in IBDV partially attenuated by site-directed mutation (Raue *et al.*, 2004). Reverse genetic studies have shown that amino acids at positions 253 and 284 in VP2 are involved in virulence and cell tropism of IBDV (Mundt, 1999; Boot *et al.*, 2000; van Loon *et al.*, 2002; Liu & Vakharia, 2004). In another study, the amino acids 253, 279 and 284 were reported to control virulence and cell tropism (Brandt *et al.*, 2001). Furthermore, it was shown that segment B which encodes the viral RNA dependent

RNA polymerase was important for efficient viral replication and virulence *in vivo* (Liu & Vakharia, 2004). The discovery of a natural reassortant of IBDV also demonstrated that segment B may be important for the pathogenicity of vvIBDV strains (Le Nouen *et al.*, 2005), a proof that VP2 is not the sole determinant of virulence in vvIBDV (Boot *et al.*, 2000). Together, these studies suggest that more than one molecular determinant contributes to the virulence of IBDV.

Chicken embryo fibroblasts (CEF) cells are used generally for the propagation and attenuation of IBDV and the production of IBD vaccine. Unfortunately, CEF being non continuous cells have several disadvantages such as limited *in vitro* life span, high cost and laborious preparation for continuous supply. There are two spontaneously immortalized CEF cell lines namely; DF-1 and SC-1 in existence. DF-1 (named after Douglas Foster, its founder) has been reported to be better than SC-1 cell line due to lower growth rate and lack of uniform cell morphology (Christman *et al.*, 2005), have an enhanced growth potential compared to secondary embryo fibroblasts and support the growth of different avian viruses (Himly *et al.*, 1998; Maas *et al.*, 2006; Tiwari *et al.*, 2006; Ciota *et al.*, 2007; Jia *et al.*, 2007; Khatri and Sharma, 2007; Lin *et al.*, 2007; Lee *et al.*, 2008). Additionally, it was shown that the cytopathic effects of IBDV in both CEF and DF-1 cells were similar but, higher viral titers were detected in the DF-1 cell line when evaluated using real time RT-PCR (Wang *et al.*, 2009).

Many mammalian continuous cell lines such as RK-13 from rabbit kidney (Petek *et al.*, 1973), Vero cells from adult African green monkey kidney (Jackwood *et al.*, 1987; Kibenge *et al.*, 1988; Marquis, 1997; Fang, 2007; Donis *et al.*, 2014), BGM-70 from baby grivet monkey kidney (Jackwood *et al.*, 1987; Hassan & Saif, 1996), MA-104 from foetal rhesus monkey (Jackwood *et al.*, 1987) and OK from ovine kidney (Kibenge *et al.*, 1992) and LSCC-BK3 cells (Spies *et al.*, 1987) were reported to be susceptible to IBDV. Mammalian cell lines are easy to handle and maintain and are free from vertically transmitted extraneous viruses of avian origin (Hassan *et al.*, 1996a). The ability of continuous cell lines to yield higher viral titers is another valuable characteristic that make growing virus in them advantageous over primary cell cultures. Due to limited SPF eggs and SPF chickens supply, it will be useful for research laboratories especially those engaged in vaccine development, to propagate viruses of interest in continuous cell lines because large viral titers are required to rapidly manufacture large quantity of vaccines to meet the demand against the ever present poultry diseases. The high viral titer generated by the continuous cell lines can range from 6.85 to 8.35 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub> / ml (Kibenge *et al.*, 1988a; Simoni *et al.*, 1999; Hussain & Rasool, 2005) better than the primary CEF cells that generate 5.35 to 6.10 log<sub>10</sub> TCID<sub>50</sub> /ml (Kibenge *et al.*, 1988a). This means that mammalian cell lines are valuable medium for IBDV propagation when large quantity of the cultivated virus is needed especially when using bioreactor technology.

Although conventional vaccines against IBD have been produced successfully using SPF egg passage, but vaccine failures have been frequently reported in some vaccinated flocks especially when the challenging field strain is the vvIBDV since the strain can cross the maternally derived antibody (MDA) (Rasoli *et al.*, 2015). The



vaccines are usually produced using caIBDV or vaIBDV and are effective in controlling infection with these strains as the field challenge, but vvIBDV strain was found to cause severe infection in vaccinated flocks and even breaking through high level of maternally derived antibody raised against caIBDV and vaIBDV vaccines (Jackwood & Saif, 1987). In Malaysia, there are vvIBDV based commercial vaccines such as MyVac UPM93 and MyHatch UPM93 are used for IBD control, but they have been produced using SPF eggs as medium of production which is costly and labor intensive (Hair-Bejo, 2010) and egg based production system cannot adopt the use of bioreactor technology. Although adaptation of vvIBDV to tissue culture was reported to be difficult, but when achieved, it will provide the cheapest and fastest means of generating high virus titer required for vaccine production. Furthermore, IBDV cell culture adaptation will allow the use of bioreactor system that will allow more system control of vital growth parameters such as pH and temperature, low bacterial and fungal contamination of seed virus and exponential increase in virus titer up to 50 fold compared to the conventional flask cultures. So there is a need to identify a cell line that can adapt the virus faster in conventional flask and allow its propagation using bioreactor technology to produce higher yields of infectious virus required for vaccine production purposes.

It was the hypothesis of this study that the vvIBDV isolates can be adapted and propagated in different cell lines; the adapted viruses will mutate in the amino acid composition of their VP2 hypervariable region following adaptation in the cell lines; the adapted viruses will propagate well in bioreactor; and the BGM-70 adapted and bioreactor propagated vvIBDV will be excellent candidates for attenuated and inactivated IBD vaccines with high immunogenicity in commercial chickens.

The main objectives of this study were to identify a suitable tissue culture type for the adaptation, propagation and attenuation of vvIBDV and produce a suitable live attenuated and inactivated IBDV isolates for vaccine development.

The specific objectives of the study were:

1. to adapt, propagate and attenuate UPM0081 and UPM190 vvIBDV isolates in embryonated SPF chicken eggs and tissue cultures.
2. to determine the molecular characteristics of the propagated vvIBDV isolates.
3. to propagate the vvIBDV isolates in bioreactor.
4. to determine the pathogenicity, immunogenicity and efficacy of the live attenuated and inactivated vvIBDV isolates in chickens.

## REFERENCES

- Abdel-Alim, G., Awaad, M. H. H., & Saif, Y. M. (2014). Characterization of Egyptian field strains of infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 47(4), 1452–1457.
- Abdul, R., Murgia, M. V, Rodriguez-Palacios, A., Lee, C.-W., & Saif, Y. M. (2013). Persistence and tissue distribution of infectious bursal disease virus in experimentally infected SPF and commercial broiler chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 57(4), 759–66.
- Adamu, J., Owoade, A. A., Abdu, P. A., Kazeem, H. M., Fatihu, M. Y., Owoade, A. A., ... Fatihu, M. Y. (2013). Characterization of field and vaccine infectious bursal disease viruses from Nigeria revealing possible virulence and regional markers in the VP2 minor hydrophilic peaks, *Avian Pathology*, 42(5), 420-433.
- Akin, A., Ching Wu, C., & Long Lin, T. (1999). Amplification and cloning of infectious bursal disease virus genomic rna segments by long and accurate PCR. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 82(1), 55–61.
- Al-Natour, M. Q., Ward, L. a, Saif, Y. M., Stewart-Brown, B., & Keck, L. D. (2004). Effect of different levels of maternally derived antibodies on protection against infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 48(1), 177–182.
- Alfonso-Morales, A., Rios, L., Martinez-Perez, O., Dolz, R., Valle, R., Perera, C. L., ... Perez, L. J. (2015). Evaluation of a phylogenetic marker based on genomic segment B of infectious bursal disease virus: facilitating a feasible incorporation of this segment to the molecular epidemiology studies for this viral agent. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5), 1–21.
- Almeida, J. D. & Morris, R. (1973). Antigenically-related viruses associated with infectious bursal disease. *Journal of General Virology*, 20: 369-375,
- Anon (2016). Technical guide for the elaboration and use of monographs for vaccines and immunological veterinary medicinal products. *European Pharmacopoeia 7.0*, European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines & HealthCare
- Ashraf, S. (2005). Studies on infectious bursal disease virus. *Dissertation*, 216.
- Azad, A., Barrett, S., & Fahey, K. (1985). The characterization and molecular cloning of the double-stranded rna genome of an Australian strain of infectious bursal disease virus. *Virology*, 143(1),35-44.
- Azad, A., Jagadish, M., Brown, M., & Hudson, P. (1987). Deletion mapping and expression in *Escherichia coli* of the large genomic segment of a birnavirus. *Virology*, 161(1), 145-52.
- Bahnemann, H. G. (1990). Inactivation of viral antigens for vaccine preparation with particular reference to the application of binary ethylenimine. *Vaccine*, 8(4), 299–303.

- Bayliss, C. D., Spies, U., Shaw, K., Peters, R. W., Papageorgiou, A., Muller, H., & Boursnell, M. E. G. (1990). A comparison of the sequences of segment A of four infectious bursal disease virus strains and identification of a variable region in VP2. *Journal of General Virology*, 71(6), 1303–1312.
- Bayliss, C.D., Peters, R.W., Cook, J.K., Reece, R.L., Howes, K., Binns, M.M. & Boursnell, M.E. (1991). A recombinant fowlpox virus that expresses the VP2 antigen of infectious bursal disease virus induces protection against mortality by the virus. *Archives of Virology*, 120, 193-205.
- Becht, H., Müller, H., & Müller, H. (1988). Comparative studies on structural and antigenic properties of two serotypes of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of General Virology*, 69(Pt 3), 631-40.
- Becht H. (1980). Infectious Bursal Disease Virus. In: Arber W. *et al.* (eds) *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology*. volume 90. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg
- Berg, T. P. (2000). Acute infectious bursal disease in poultry: a review. *Avian Pathology*, 29(3), 175–194.
- Bermingham, N., & Luetlich, K. (2003). Polymerase chain reaction and its applications. *Current Diagnostic Pathology*, 9(3), 159–164.
- Besseboua, O., Ayad, A., & Benbarek, H. (2015). Determination of the optimal time of vaccination against infectious bursal disease virus (gumboro) in Algeria. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 82(1), 1–6.
- Birghan, C., Mundt, E., & Gorbalenya, a E. (2000). A non-canonical lon proteinase lacking the ATPase domain employs the ser-Lys catalytic dyad to exercise broad control over the life cycle of a double-stranded rna virus. *The EMBO Journal*, 19(1), 114–123.
- Block, H., Meyer-Block, K., Rebeski, D. D., Scharr, H., de Wit, S., Rohn, K., & Rautenschlein, S. (2007). A field study on the significance of vaccination against infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) at the optimal time point in broiler flocks with maternally derived ibdv antibodies. *Avian Pathology*, 36(5), 401–409.
- Boot, H. J., ter Huurne, A. A., Peeters, B. P. & Gielkens, A. L. (1999). Efficient rescue of infectious bursal disease virus from cloned cDNA: evidence for involvement of the 3'-terminal sequence in genome replication. *Virology*, 265 (2), 330-341.
- Boot, H. J., ter Huurne, A. A., Hoekman, A. J., Peeters, B. P., & Gielkens, A. L. (2000). Rescue of very virulent and mosaic infectious bursal disease virus from cloned cDNA: VP2 is not the sole determinant of the very virulent phenotype. *Journal of Virology*, 74(15), 6701–6711.
- Bose, R. K., Hossain, K. M., Sil, B. K., & Taimur, M. (2003). Comparative sero evaluation of live and killed gumboro vaccine in broilers. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 2, 157–162.



- Böttcher, B., Kiselev, N. A., Stel'Mashchuk, V. Y., Perevozchikova, N. A., Borisov, A. V., & Crowther, R. A. (1997). Three-dimensional structure of infectious bursal disease virus determined by electron cryomicroscopy. *Journal of Virology*, 71(1), 325–30.
- Brandt, M., Yao, K., Liu, M., Heckert, R. A., Vakharia, V. N., & Yao, K. U. N. (2001). Molecular determinants of virulence, cell tropism, and pathogenic phenotype of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Virology*, 75(24), 11974–11982.
- Brown, F. (2002). Inactivation of viruses by aziridines, *Vaccine*, 20, 322–327.
- Brown, M. D., Green, P., & Skinner, M. A. (1994). VP2 sequences of recent European “very virulent” isolates of infectious bursal disease virus are closely related to each other but are distinct from those of “classical” strains. *Journal of General Virology*, 75(3), 675–680.
- Bruenn, J. (1991). Relationships among the positive strand and double-strand rna viruses as viewed through their rna-dependent rna polymerases. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 19(2), 217–226.
- Bublot, M., Pritchard, N., Le Gros, F.X. & Goutebroze, S. (2007). Use of vectored vaccine against infectious bursal disease of chickens in the face of high-titred maternally derived antibody. *Journal of Comparative Pathology*, 137, S81–S84.
- Butler, M., Burgener, a., Patrick, M., Berry, M., Moffatt, D., Huzel, N., ... Coombs, K. (2000). Application of a serum-free medium for the growth of vero cells and the production of reovirus. *Biotechnology Progress*, 16(5), 854–858.
- Cast ón, J. R., Marti, J. L., Lombardo, E., Rodri, J. F., Casal, I., & Carrascosa, J. L. (2001). C terminus of infectious bursal disease virus major capsid protein vp2 is involved in definition of the T number for capsid assembly. *Journal of Virology*, 75(22), 10815–10828.
- Chettle, N., Stuart, J., Wyeth, P. (1989). Outbreak of virulent infectious bursal disease in East Anglia. *Veterinary Record* 125, 271–272.
- Cheville, N. F. (1967). Studies on the pathogenesis of Gumboro disease in the bursa of Fabricius, spleen and thymus of the chicken. *American Journal of Pathology*, 51: 527–551
- Ching Wu, C., Rubinelli, P., & Long Lin, T. (2007). Molecular detection and differentiation of infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 51(2), 515–526.
- Chisti, Y. (2000). Animal-cell damage in sparged bioreactors. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 18(10), 420–432.
- Cho, B., Raymond, R., & Hill, R. (1979). Growth of infectious bursal disease virus with plaque formation in chick embryo fibroblast cell culture. *Avian Diseases*, 23(1), 209–218.
- Cho Y. & Edgar S. A. (1969). Characterization of the infectious bursal agent. *Poultry Science* 48: 2102–2109.

- Chong, L.K., Omar, A.R., Yusoff, K., Hair-Bejo, M. and Aini, I. (2001). Nucleotide sequence and phylogenetic analysis of a segment of a highly virulent strain of infectious bursal disease virus. *Acta Virologica*, 45 (4), 217-226.
- Christman, S.A., Kong, B.W., Laundry, M.M., Foster, D.N., (2005). Chicken embryo extract mitigates growth and morphological changes in a spontaneously immortalized chicken embryo fibroblast cell line. *Poultry Science*, 84, 1423–1431.
- Ciota, A.T., Lovelace, A.O., Ngo, K.A., Le, A.N., Maffei, J.G., Franke, M.A., Payne, A.F., Jones, S.A., Kauffman, E.B., Kramer, L.D., (2007). Cell-specific adaptation of two flaviviruses following serial passage in mosquito cell culture. *Virology*, 357, 165–174.
- Coffman, R. L. , Sher, A. & Seder, R. A. (2010). Vaccine adjuvants: putting innate immunity to work. *Immunity*, 33(4): 492-503.
- Cosgrove, A. (1962). An apparently new disease of chickens: avian nephrosis. *Avian Diseases*, 6(3), 385-389.
- Coulibaly, F., Chevalier, C., Gutsche, I., Pous, J., Navaza, J., Bressanelli, S., ... Rey, F. A. (2005). The birnavirus crystal structure reveals structural relationships among icosahedral viruses. *Cell*, 120(6), 761–772.
- Crespo, R., Badcoe, L. M., Williams, C., Bary, A. I., Crespo, R., Badcoe, A. D. L. M., ... C, A. I. B. (2016). Inactivation of infectious bursal disease virus through composting of litter from poultry houses research note — inactivation of infectious bursal disease virus through composting of litter from poultry houses, *Avian Diseases*, 60(2), 506–510.
- Da Costa, B., Chevalier, C., Henry, C., Huet, J., Petit, S., Lepault, J., ... Delmas, B. (2002). The capsid of infectious bursal disease virus contains several small peptides arising from the maturation process of pVP2. *Journal of Virology*, 76(5), 2393–2402.
- Dahling, D., & Wright, B. (1986a). Optimization of the bgm cell line culture and viral assay procedures for monitoring viruses in the environment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 51(4), 790-812.
- Darteil, R., Bublot, M., Laplace, E., Bouquet, J.-F., Audonnet, J.-C. & Rivie`re, M. (1995). Herpesvirus of turkey recombinant viruses expressing infectious bursal disease virus (ibdv) vp2 immunogen induce protection against an ibdv virulent challenge in chickens. *Virology*, 211, 481-490.
- Delgui, L., Ona, A., Gutierrez, S., Luque, D., Navarro, A., Caston, J. R., Rodriguez, J. F. (2009) The capsid protein of infectious bursal disease virus contains a functional alpha 4 beta 1 integrin ligand motif. *Virology*, 386:360–372.

- Deng, M. Y., Wang, H., Ward, G. B., Beckham, T. R., & McKenna, T. S. (2005). Comparison of six RNA extraction methods for the detection of classical swine fever virus by real-time and conventional reverse transcription-PCR. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, 17(6), 574–578.
- Dobos, P. (1979). Peptide map comparison of the proteins of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Virology*, 32(3), 1047–1050.
- Dobos, P. (1993). In vitro guanylation of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus polypeptide VP1. *Virology*, 193(1), 403–413.
- Dobos, P., Hill, B. J., Hallett, R., Kells, D. T., Becht, H., & Teninges, D. (1979). Biophysical and biochemical characterization of five animal viruses with bisegmented double-stranded RNA genomes. *Journal of Virology*, 32(2), 593–605.
- Dohms, J., Lee, K., & Rosenberger, J. (1981). Plasma Cell Changes in the Gland of Harder Following Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Infection of the Chicken. *Avian Diseases*, 25(3), 683–695.
- Donis, R. O., Ziegler, T., Chen, I. M., Davis, C. T., Foust, A., Hossain, M. J., ... Ziegler, T. (2014). Performance characteristics of qualified cell lines for isolation and propagation of influenza viruses for vaccine manufacturing. *Vaccine*, 32(48), 6583–6590.
- Edgar, S. A. (1966). Infectious bursal disease (Gumboro disease) prevention and control. *10<sup>th</sup> annual poultry health management short course, Clemson, South Carolina*. pp 93-98.
- Edgar, S. A. & Cho, Y. (1973). Immunization of chickens for the control of infectious bursal disease. *Poultry Science*, 52: 492-497.
- Eldaghayes, I., Rothwell, L., Williams, A., Withers, D., Balu, S., Davison, F., Kaiser, P. (2006). Infectious bursal disease virus: strains that differ in virulence differentially modulate the innate immune response to infection in the chicken bursa. *Viral Immunology*, 19:83–91
- El-mahdy, S. S., Hayam, F., & Abd El-Wanis, N. A., Hamouda, M. M. (2013). Comparative studies between different commercial types of live infectious bursal disease [ibd] vaccine strains in egypt. *Zagazig veterinary Journal*, 42 (3). *American Journal of Research Communication*, 1(10), 113-129.
- Escaffre, O., Le Nouen, C., Amelot, M., Ambroggio, X., Ogden, K. M., Guionie, O., ... Etteradossi, N. (2013). Both genome segments contribute to the pathogenicity of very virulent infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Virology*, 87(5), 2767–2780.
- Etteradossi, N., Arnould, C., Tekai, F., Toquin, D., Coq, H. Le, Guittet, M., ... Berg, T. P. Van Den. (2010). Antigenic and genetic relationships between european very virulent infectious bursal disease viruses and an early west african isolate. *Avian Pathology*, 28(1), 36-46.

- Etteradossi, N., Arnould, C., Toquin, D., & Rivallan, G. (1998). Critical amino acid changes in VP2 variable domain are associated with typical and atypical antigenicity in very virulent infectious bursal disease viruses. *Archives of Virology*, 143(8), 1627–1636.
- Evans, M. F. (2009). The polymerase chain reaction and pathology practice. *Diagnostic Histopathology*, 15(7), 344–356.
- Fahey, K., McWaters, P., Brown, M., Erny, K., Murphy, V., & Hewish, D. (1991). Virus-Neutralizing and Passively Protective Monoclonal Antibodies to Infectious Bursal Disease Virus of Chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 35(2), 365–373.
- Fahey, K., Erny, K., & Crooks, J. (1989). A conformational immunogen on VP-2 of infectious bursal disease virus that induces virus-neutralizing antibodies that passively protect chickens. *Journal of General*, 70(Pt 6), 1473–81.
- Fodor, I., Horvath, E., Fodor, N., Nagy, E., Rencendorsh, A., Vakharia, V.N. & Dube, S.K. (1999). Induction of protective immunity in chickens immunised with plasmid DNA encoding infectious bursal disease virus antigens. *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, 47, 481–492.
- Francois, A., Chevalier, C., Delmas, B., Etteradossi, N., Toquin, D., Rivallan, G. & Langlois, P. (2004). Avian adenovirus celo recombinants expressing vp2 of infectious bursal disease virus induce protection against bursal disease in chickens. *Vaccine*, 22, 2351–2360.
- Gao, Y., Liu, W., Gao, H., Qi, X., Lin, H., Wang, X., & Shen, R. (2008). Effective inhibition of infectious bursal disease virus replication in vitro by DNA vector-based RNA interference. *Antiviral Research*, 79(2), 87–94.
- Garcia-Ochoa, F., & Gomez, E. (2009). Bioreactor scale-up and oxygen transfer rate in microbial processes: an overview. *Biotechnology Advances*, 27(2), 153–176.
- Geerligs, H. J., Ons, E., Boelm, G. J., & Vancraeynest, D., (2015). Efficacy , Safety , and interactions of a live infectious bursal disease virus vaccine for chickens based on strain ibd V877. *Avian Disease*, 59(1), 114–121.
- Genzel, Y., Olmer, R. M., Schäfer, B., & Reichl, U. (2006). Wave microcarrier cultivation of mdck cells for influenza virus production in serum containing and serum-free media. *Vaccine*, 24(35–36), 6074–6087.
- Ghorashi, S. A., O'Rourke, D., Ignjatovic, J., Noormohammad, A. H. (2011). Differentiation of infectious bursal disease virus strains using real-time RT-PCR and high resolution melt curve analysis. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 171, 264–271.
- Gomes, A. D., Abreu, J. T., Resende, J. S., Martins, N. R. S. & Resende, M. (2008). Differentiation of IBDV strains from Brazil. Unpublished.
- Gouzheng, W., Zhang, W., Rich, M., & Gossain, V. (2009). Growing cho cells in a celligen ® blu benchtop , stirred-tank bioreactor using single-use vessels. *Biotechnology Progress*, 1–4.

- Granzow, H., Birghan, C., Mettenleiter, T. C., Beyer, J. R., Llner, B. K., & Mundt, E. (1997). A second form of infectious bursal disease virus-associated tubule contains VP4. *Journal of Virology*, 71(11), 8879–8885.
- Guan, J., Chan, M., Brooks, B. W., & Rohonczy, L. (2014). Inactivation of infectious bursal disease and newcastle disease viruses at temperatures below 0°C using chemical disinfectants. *Avian Diseases*, 58(2), 249–254.
- Gunawardana, T., Foldvari, M., Zachar, T., Popowich, S., Chow-Lockerbie, B., Ivanova, M. V., ... Gomis, S. (2015). Protection of neonatal broiler chickens following in ovo delivery of oligodeoxynucleotides containing cpg motifs (cpg-odn) formulated with carbon nanotubes or liposomes. *Avian Diseases*, 59(1), 31–7.
- Habib, M., Hussain, I., Fang, W. H., Rajput, Z. I., Yang, Z. Z., & Irshad, H. (2006). Inactivation of infectious bursal disease virus by binary ethylenimine and formalin. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE B*, 7(4), 320–323.
- Haddad, E.E., Whitfill, C.E., Avakian, A.P., Ricks, C.A., Andrews, P.D., Thoma, J.A. & Wakenell, P.S. (1997). Efficacy of a novel infectious bursal disease virus (ibdv) immune complex vaccine in broiler chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 41, 882–889.
- Hair-Bejo, M. (1992). An outbreak of infectious bursal disease in broilers. *Jurnal Veterinar Malaysia*.
- Hair-Bejo, M., Ng, M.K., Ng, H. Y. (2004). Day old vaccination against infectious bursal disease in broiler chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 3(2), 124–128.
- Hair-Bejo, M. (2010). *An innovation for food safety & security*. Penerbit Universiti Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang Selangor Darul Ehsan.
- Hairul Aini, H., Omar, A. R., Hair-Bejo, M., & Aini, I. (2008). Comparison of Sybr Green I, ELISA and conventional agarose gel-based pcr in the detection of infectious bursal disease virus. *Microbiological Research*, 163(5), 556–563.
- Hall, T. (2011). BioEdit: an important software for molecular biology. *GERF Bulletin of Biosciences*, 2(June), 60–61.
- Hassan, A. (2015). Growth of different infectious bursal disease virus strains in cell lines from origin of lymphoid leukosis tumors. *Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 3(2), 46–50.
- Hassan, M., Al-Natour, M., Ward, L., & Saif, Y. (1996a). Pathogenicity, attenuation, and immunogenicity of infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 40:567–571,
- Hassan, M. K., Nielsen, C. K. , Ward, L. A., Jackwood, D. J. & Saif, Y. M. (1996b). Antigenicity, pathogenicity, and immunogenicity of small and large plaque infectious bursal disease virus clone. *Avian Diseases*, 40:832–836.



- Hassan, M. K., & Saif, Y. M. (1996c). Influence of the host system on the pathogenicity, immunogenicity, and antigenicity of infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 40(3), 553–561.
- Hassan, M. K. (2004). Very virulent infectious bursal disease virus in Egypt: epidemiology, isolation and immunogenicity of classic vaccine, *Veterinary Research Communications*, 28 (4), 347–356.
- Haygreen, E.A., Kaiser, P., Burgess, S.C. & Davison, T.F. (2006). In ovo dna immunisation followed by a recombinant fowlpox boost is fully protective to challenge with virulent ibdv. *Vaccine*, 24, 4951-4961.
- He, X., Chen, G., Yang, L., Xuan, J., Long, H., & Wei, P. (2016). Role of naturally occurring genome segment reassortment in the pathogenicity of ibdv field isolates in three-yellow chickens. *Avian Pathology*, 45(2), 178–86.
- Hemboldt, C. F. & Garner, E. (1964). Experimentally induced Gumboro disease (IBA). *Avian Diseases*, 8: 561–575.
- Hernández, M., Tomás, G., Marandino, A., Iraola, G., Maya, L., Mattion, N., ... Pérez, R. (2015). Genetic characterization of South American infectious bursal disease virus reveals the existence of a distinct worldwide-spread genetic lineage. *Avian Pathology*, 44(3), 212–21.
- Heyderman, E. (1979). Immunoperoxidase technique in histopathology: applications, methods, and controls. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, 32(April), 971–978.
- Himly, M., Foster, D.N., Bottoli, I., Iacovoni, J.S., Vogt, P.K., (1998). The DF-1 chicken fibroblast cell line: transformation induced by diverse oncogenes and cell death resulting from infection by avian leukosis viruses. *Virology*, 248, 295–304.
- Hirai, K., Kato, N., Fujiura, A., & Shimakura, S. (1979). Further morphological characterization and structural proteins of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Virology*, 32(1), 323–328.
- Hitchner, S. (1970). Infectivity of infectious bursal disease virus for embryonating eggs. *Poultry Science*, 49(2), 511-6
- Hon, C.-C., Lam, T.-Y., Drummond, A., Rambaut, A., Lee, Y.-F., Yip, C.-W., ... Leung, F. C. C. (2006). Phylogenetic analysis reveals a correlation between the expansion of very virulent infectious bursal disease virus and reassortment of its genome segment B. *Journal of Virology*, 80(17), 8503–9.
- Hoque, M. M., Omar, A. R., Chong, L. K., Hair-Bejo, M., & Aini, I. (2001). Pathogenicity of SspI-positive infectious bursal disease virus and molecular characterization of the VP2 hypervariable region. *Avian Pathology*, 30(4), 369–80.
- Hu, Z. X. & Zhang, M. F. (1998). Coding sequences of genome segment A of a China Very Virulent Infectious Bursal Disease Virus. (Unpublished), <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>

- Huang, T. K., & McDonald, K. A. (2012). Bioreactor systems for in vitro production of foreign proteins using plant cell cultures. *Biotechnology Advances*, 30(2), 398–409.
- Hudson, P. J., McKern, N. M., Power, B. E., & Azad, A. A. (1986). Genomic structure of the large RNA segment of infectious bursal disease virus. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 14(12), 5001–12.
- Hundt, B., Best, C., Schlawin, N., Kaßner, H., Genzel, Y., & Reichl, U. (2007). Establishment of a mink enteritis vaccine production process in stirred-tank reactor and wave ® bioreactor microcarrier culture in 1 – 10 L scale. *Vaccine*, 25, 3987–3995.
- Hussain, I., & Rasool, M. (2005). Adaptation of an indigenous very virulent infectious bursal disease virus on vero cell line. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 25(84113001).
- Hsieh, M.K., Wu, C.C. & Lin, T.L. (2007). Priming with dna vaccine and boosting with killed vaccine conferring protection of chickens against infectious bursal disease. *Vaccine*, 25, 5417-5427.
- Hsieh, M.K., Wu, C.C. & Lin, T.L. (2010). DNA-mediated vaccination conferring protection against infectious bursal disease in broiler chickens in the presence of maternal antibody. *Vaccine*, 28, 3936-3943.
- Imajoh, M., Goto, T., & Oshima, S. (2007). Characterization of cleavage sites and protease activity in the polyprotein precursor of Japanese marine aquabirnavirus and expression analysis of generated proteins by a VP4 protease activity in four distinct cell lines, *Archives of Virology*, 152(6), 1103–1114.
- Ingrao, F., Rauw, F., Lambrecht, B., & Van den Berg, T. (2013). Infectious bursal disease: a complex host-pathogen interaction. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, 41(3), 429–438.
- Innis, M. A., & Gelfand, D. H. (1990). Innis M. A., Gelfand D. H., Sninsky J. J. & White T. J. (Eds) Optimization of pcrs. in: *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*. Academic Press Inc. P3-12.
- Islam, M. R., Zierenberg, K., & Müller, H. (2001). The genome segment B encoding the rna-dependent rna polymerase protein vp1 of very virulent infectious bursal disease virus ( ibdv ) is phylogenetically distinct from that of all other ibdv strains. *Archives of Virology*, 146(12):2481-2492.
- Jackwood, D. J., Sommer, S. E., & Knoblich, H. V. (2014). Amino acid comparison of infectious bursal disease viruses placed in the same or different molecular groups by RT/PCR-RFLP. *Avian Diseases*, 45(2), 330–339
- Jackwood, D. J. (2013). Multivalent virus-like-particle vaccine protects against classic and variant infectious bursal disease viruses. *Avian Diseases*, 57(1), 41–50.



- Jackwood, D. J., & Stoute, S. T. (2013). Molecular evidence for a geographically restricted population of infectious bursal disease viruses. *Avian Diseases*, 57(1), 57–64.
- Jackwood, D. J. (2012). Research note — molecular epidemiologic evidence of homologous recombination in infectious bursal disease viruses, *Avian Diseases*, 2(4), 574–577.
- Jackwood, D. J., Crossley, B. M., Stoute, S. T., Sommer-Wagner, S., Woolcock, P. R., & Charlton, B. R. (2012). Diversity of genome segment B from infectious bursal disease viruses in the united states. *Avian Diseases*, 56(1), 165–172.
- Jackwood, D. J., & Sommer-Wagner, S. E. (2011). Amino acids contributing to antigenic drift in the infectious bursal disease birnavirus (IBDV). *Virology*, 409(1), 33–37.
- Jackwood, M., Hickie, L., Kapil, S., & Silva, R. (2008a). Vaccine development using recombinant DNA technology. *Council for Agricultural Science and Technology Issue Paper*, 7(38), 1–11.
- Jackwood, D. J., Sreedevi, B., LeFever, L. J., & Sommer-Wagner, S. E. (2008b). Studies on naturally occurring infectious bursal disease viruses suggest that a single amino acid substitution at position 253 in VP2 increases pathogenicity. *Virology*, 377(1), 110–116.
- Jackwood, D. J., & Sommer-Wagner, S. (2007). Genetic characteristics of infectious bursal disease viruses from four continents. *Virology*, 365(2), 369–375.
- Jackwood, D. J., & Sommer, S. E. (2005). Molecular studies on suspect very virulent infectious bursal disease virus genomic RNA samples. *Avian Diseases*, 49(2), 246–51.
- Jackwood, D. J., & Sommer, S. E. (2002). Identification of infectious bursal disease virus quasispecies in commercial vaccines and field isolates of this double-stranded RNA virus. *Virology*, 304(1), 105–113.
- Jackwood, D., & Sommer, S. (1999). Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms in the VP2 Gene of Infectious Bursal Disease Viruses from outside the United States. *Avian Diseases*, 43(2), 310–314.
- Jackwood, D., & Sommer, S. (1998). Genetic Heterogeneity in the VP2 Gene of Infectious Bursal Disease Viruses Detected in Commercially Reared Chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 42(2), 321–339.
- Jackwood, D. J., Jackwood, R. J., & Sommer, S. E. (1997). Identification and comparison of point mutations associated in classic and variant infectious bursal disease viruses. *Virus Research*, 49(2), 131–137.
- Jackwood, D. J. & Jackwood, R. J. (1994). Infectious bursal disease viruses: molecular differentiation of antigenic subtypes among serotypes I viruses. *Avian Diseases*, 38: 531–537.

- Jackwood, D., Saif, Y., & Hughes, J. (1987). Replication of infectious bursal disease virus in continuous cell lines. *Avian Diseases*, 31 (2), 370-375
- Jackwood, D., & Saif, Y. (1987). Antigenic diversity of infectious bursal disease viruses. *Avian Diseases*, 31 (4), 766-770.
- Jia, Y.Q., Moudy, R.M., Dupuis, I.I., Ngo, A.P., Maffei, K.A., Jerzak, J.G., Franke, G.V.S., Kauffman, M.A., Kramer, E.B.L.D., (2007). Characterization of a small plaque variant of West Nile virus isolated in New York in 2000. *Virology*, 367, 339-347
- Juneja, S. S., Ramneek, Deka, D., Oberoi, M. S., & Singh, A. (2008). Molecular characterization of field isolates and vaccine strains of infectious bursal disease virus. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, 31(1), 11-23.
- Jungmann, A., Nieper, H., & Müller, H. (2001). Apoptosis is induced by infectious bursal disease virus replication in productively infected cells as well as in antigen-negative cells in their vicinity. *Journal of General Virology*, 82(5), 1107-1115.
- Kasanga, C. J., Yamaguchi, T., Wambura, P. N., Maeda-Machang'u, A. D., Ohya, K., & Fukushi, H. (2007). Molecular characterization of infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV): diversity of very virulent ibdv in Tanzania. *Archives of Virology*, 152(4), 783-790.
- Keck, L., Skeeles, J., & McNew, R. (1993). Antibody detection in matched chicken sera and egg-yolk samples by commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits for newcastle disease virus, infectious bronchitis virus, infectious bursal disease virus, and avian reovirus. *Avian Diseases*, 37(3), 825-828.
- Kelemen, M., Forgách, K., Iván, J., Palya, V., Süveges, T., Tóth, B., & Mészáros, J. (2000). Pathological and immunological study of an in ovo complex vaccine against infectious bursal disease. *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, 48(4), 443-454.
- Khatri, M. and Sharma, J.M. (2004). Adaptation of virulent serotype 1 and variant serotype 1 infectious bursal disease virus to macrophages (Unpublished), <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.
- Khatri, M. & Sharma, J. M. (2007) Replication of infectious bursal disease virus in macrophages and altered tropism of progeny virus. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 117 (1-2), 106-115
- Kibenge, F. S., A. S. Dhillon, and Russell. R.G. (1988a). Biochemistry and immunology of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of General Virology*, (Pt 8), 1757-1775.
- Kibenge, F., Dhillon, A.S., & Russell, R.G. (1988b). Growth of serotypes I and II and variant strains of infectious bursal disease virus in vero cells. *Avian Diseases*, 32(2), 298-303.

- Kibenge, F. S. B., Dhillon, A. S., & Russell, R. G. (1988c). Identification of serotype II infectious bursal disease virus proteins. *Avian Pathology*, 17(3), 679–687.
- Kibenge, F., & Jackwood, D. (1990). Nucleotide sequence analysis of genome segment A of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of General Virology*, 71 (Pt 3), 569–577.
- Kibenge, F. S., & McKenna, P. K. (1992). Isolation and propagation of infectious bursal disease virus using the ovine kidney continuous cell line. *Avian Diseases*, 36(2), 256–61
- Kibenge, F. S. B., Jackwood, D. J., & Mercado, C. C. (1990). Nucleotide sequence analysis of genome segment A of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of General Virology*, 71(1990), 557–569.
- Kibenge, F. S., McKenna, P. K. & Dybing, J. K. (1991). Genome cloning and analysis of the large RNA segment (segment A) of a naturally avirulent serotype 2 infectious bursal disease virus. *Virology*, 184 (1), 437–440.
- Kim, T. K. & Yeo, S. G. (2003). Analysis of nucleotide sequence encoding VP2 protein of infectious bursal disease virus detected in Korea. *Taehan Suui Hakhoe Chi Taehan Suui Hakhoe*, 43 (3), 439–448 .
- Knoblich, H. V., Sommer, S. E. & Jackwood, D. J. (2000). Antibody titers to infectious bursal disease virus in broiler chicks after vaccination at one day of age with infectious bursal disease virus and Marek's disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 44:874–84.
- Kong, L. L., Omar, A. R., Hair-Bejo, M., Aini, I., & Seow, H. F. (2004). Sequence analysis of both genome segments of two very virulent Infectious bursal disease virus field isolates with distinct pathogenicity brief report. *Archives of Virology*, 149(2), 425–434.
- Kong, L., Omar, A., Hair-Bejo, M., & Ideris, A. (2009). Development of SYBR green I based one-step real-time rt-pcr assay for the detection and differentiation of very virulent and classical strains of infectious bursal. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 161(2), 271–279.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(7), 1870–1874.
- Kusk, M., Kabell, S., Jørgensen, P. H., & Handberg, K. J. (2005). Differentiation of five strains of infectious bursal disease virus: development of a strain-specific multiplex pcr. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 109(3–4), 159–167.
- Landgraf, H., Vielitz, E. & Kirsch, R. (1967). Occurrence of an infectious disease affecting the bursa of Fabricius (Gumboro disease). *Duetsch Tieraerztl Wochenschr* 74:6–10.
- Lasher, H., & Shane, S. (1994). Infectious bursal disease virus. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 50:133–166.

- Lasher, H. N. & Davis, V. S. (1997). History of ibdv in the usa- the first two decades. *Avian Diseases*, 41(1), 11-19.
- Lawal, N., Hair-Bejo, M., Arshad, S. S., Omar, A. R. & Ideris, A. (2017). Adaptation and Molecular Characterization of Two Malaysian Very Virulent Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Isolates Adapted in BGM-70 Cell Line. *Advances in Virology*, 8359047 (2017).
- Lazarus, D., Pasmanik-Chor, M., Gutter, B., & Gallili, G. (2008). Attenuation of very virulent infectious bursal disease virus and comparison of full sequences of virulent and attenuated strains. *Avian Pathology*, 37(2), 151-159.
- Le Nouën, C., Rivallan, G., Toquin, D., & Eterradossi, N. (2005). Significance of the genetic relationships deduced from partial nucleotide sequencing of infectious bursal disease virus genome segments A or B. *Archives of Virology*, 150(2), 313–325.
- Lee, L. H. (1992). The use of monoclonal antibody probes for the detection of infectious bursal disease virus antigens. *Avian Pathology*, 21(1), 87-96.
- Lee, L. H., Ting, L. J., Shien, J. H & Shieh, H. K. (1994). Single-tube, noninterrupted reverse transcription-pcr for detection of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 32(5), 1268-1272.
- Lee, C.W., Jung, K., Jadhao, S.J., Suarez, D.L., (2008). Evaluation of chicken-origin (df-1) and quail-origin (qt-6) fibroblast cell lines for replication of avian influenza viruses. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 153, 22–28.
- Lee, S. Y., Kim, Y. H., Roh, Y. S., Myoung, H. J., Lee, K. Y., & Kim, D. I. (2004). Bioreactor operation for transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum* cell cultures and continuous production of recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor by perfusion culture. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 35(6–7), 663–671.
- Lejal, N., Lejal, N., Huet, J., Huet, J., Delmas, B., & Delmas, B. (2000). Role of Ser-652 and Lys-692 in the protease activity of infectious bursal disease virus VP4 and identification of its substrate cleavage sites. *Journal of General Virology*, 81, 983–992.
- Letzel, T., Coulibaly, F., Rey, F. A., Delmas, B., Jagt, E., van Loon, A. A. M. W., & Mundt, E. (2007). Molecular and structural bases for the antigenicity of VP2 of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Virology*, 81(23), 12827–35.
- Ley, D., Yamamoto, R., & Bickford, A. (1983). The Pathogenesis of Infectious Bursal Disease: Serologic, Histopathologic, and Clinical Chemical Observations. *Avian Diseases*, 27(4), 1060-1085.
- Li, K., Courtillon, C., Guionie, O., Allee, C., Amelot, M., Qi, X., Gao, Y., Wang, X. & Eterradossi, N. (2014). Genetic, antigenic and pathogenic characterization of four infectious bursal disease virus isolates from China suggests continued evolution of very virulent viruses (Unpublished), <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.

- Li, Z., Wang, Y., Li, X., Li, X., Cao, H., & Zheng, S. J. (2013). Critical roles of glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper in infectious bursal disease virus (ibdv)-induced suppression of type I interferon expression and enhancement of ibdv growth in host cells via interaction with VP4. *Journal of Virology*, 87(2), 1221–31.
- Lim, B., Cao, Y., Yu, T., & Mo, C. (1999). Adaptation of very virulent infectious bursal disease virus to chicken embryonic fibroblasts by site-directed mutagenesis of residues 279 and 284 of viral coat protein. *Journal of Virology*, 73(4), 2854–62.
- Lin, T.W., Lo, C.W., Lai, S.Y., Fan, R.J., Lo, C.J., Chou, Y.M., Thiruvengadam, R., Wang, A.H.J., Wang, M.Y., (2007). Chicken heat shock protein 90 is a component of the putative cellular receptor complex of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal Virology*, 81, 8730–8741
- Lin, Z., Kato, A., Otaki, Y., Nakamura, T., Sasmaz, E. and Ueda, S. (1993). Sequence comparisons of a highly virulent infectious bursal disease virus prevalent in Japan. *Avian Diseases*, 37: 315–323.
- Liu, H. ., Huang, P. ., Wu, Y. ., Lin, M. ., & Liao, M. . (2001). Molecular characterisation of very virulent infectious bursal disease viruses in Taiwan. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 70(2), 139–147.
- Liu, H., Giambrone, J., & Dormitorio, T. (1994). Detection of genetic variations in serotype I isolates of infectious bursal disease virus using polymerase chain reaction and restriction endonuclease analysis. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 48(2-3), 281–91.
- Liu, L. & Li, Y. (2015). Direct submission. institute of animal husbandry and veterinary medicine, beijing academy of agriculture and forestry science, 9 shuguang garden middle road, haidian district, beijing 100097, china (Unpublished), <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.
- Liu, M., & Vakharia, V. N. (2004). VP1 protein of infectious bursal disease virus modulates the virulence in vivo. *Virology*, 330(1), 62–73.
- Lombardo, E., Maraver, A., Espinosa, I., Fernández-Arias, A., & Rodriguez, J. F. (2000). VP5, the nonstructural polypeptide of infectious bursal disease virus, accumulates within the host plasma membrane and induces cell lysis. *Virology*, 277(2), 345–357.
- Lucio, B., & Hitchner, S. B. (1979). Infectious bursal disease emulsified vaccine: effect upon neutralizing antibody levels in the dam and subsequent protection of the progeny. *Avian Diseases*, 23:466–478.
- Lukert, P. D., & Mazariegos, L. A. (1985). Virulence and immunosuppressive potential of intermediate vaccine strains of infectious bursal disease virus [abst]. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association*:187–306.1985



- Lukert, P. D., & Rifuliadi, D. (1982). Replication of virulent and attenuated infectious bursal disease virus in maternally immune day-old chickens [abst]. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association*:187-306.
- Lukert, P. D. & Saif, Y. M. (1997). "Infectious bursal disease". In *Diseases of Poultry*, 10<sup>th</sup> edn, Edited by: Calnek, B. W., Barnes, H. J., Beard, C. W., McDougald, L. R. & Saif, Y.M. 721–738. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press.
- Lukert, P. D. (1986). Serotyping recent isolates of infectious bursal disease virus. *Proceedings of the 123rd Annual Meeting of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, abstract no. 182.
- Lukert, P. D., Leonard, J., & Davis, R. B. (1975). Infectious bursal disease virus: antigen production and immunity. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*, 36(4 Pt 2), 539-40.
- Luque, D., Saugar, I., Rejas, M. T., Carrascosa, J. L., Rodríguez, J. F., & Castón, J. R. (2009). Infectious bursal disease virus: ribonucleoprotein complexes of a double-stranded rna virus. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 386(3), 891–901.
- Luque, D., Saugar, I., Rodríguez, J. F., Verdaguer, N., Garriga, D., Martín, C. S., ... Castón, J. R. (2007). Infectious bursal disease virus capsid assembly and maturation by structural rearrangements of a transient molecular switch. *Journal of Virology*, 81(13), 6869–6878.
- Maas, R., Zoelen, D.V., Oei, H., Claassen, I., (2006). Replacement of primary chicken embryonic fibroblasts (cef) by the df-1 cell line for detection of avian leucosis viruses. *Biologicals*, 34, 177–181.
- Macreadie, I., & Azad, A. (1993). Expression and rna dependent rna polymerase activity of birnavirus vp1 protein in bacteria and yeast. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology International*, 30(6), 1169-78.
- Mahgoub, H. A. (2012). An overview of infectious bursal disease. *Archives of Virology*, 157(11), 2047–2057.
- Marquardt, W., Johnson, R., Odenwald, W., & Schlotthober, B. (1980). An indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (elisa) for measuring antibodies in chickens infected with infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 24(2), 375-385.
- Marquis, C. P. . (1997). Mammalian cell culture. *Biotechnology*, 1, 25.
- Mazariegos, L. A., Lukert, P. D. & Brown, J (1990). Pathogenicity and immunosuppressive properties of infectious bursal disease "intermediate" strains. *Avian Diseases*, 34:203-8.
- McFerran, J. B., McNulty, M. S., McKillop, E. R., Connor, T. J., McCracken, R. M., Collins, D. S., & Allan, G. M. (1980). Isolation and serological studies with infectious bursal disease viruses from fowl, turkeys and ducks: demonstration of a second serotype. *Avian Pathology*, 9(3):395-404.

- Meir, R., Maharat, O., Farnushi, Y., & Simanov, L. (2010). Development of a real-time taqman rt-pcr assay for the detection of infectious bronchitis virus in chickens, and comparison of rt-pcr and virus isolation. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 163(2), 190–194.
- Méndez, F., De Garay, T., Rodríguez, D., & Rodríguez, J. F. (2015). Infectious bursal disease virus VP5 polypeptide: a phosphoinositide-binding protein required for efficient cell-to-cell virus dissemination. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4), 1–19.
- Méndez, F., Romero, N., Cubas, L. L., Delgui, L. R., Rodríguez, D., & Rodríguez, J. F. (2017). Non-lytic egression of infectious bursal disease virus (ibdv) particles from infected cells. *PLoS ONE*, 12(1), e0170080.
- Meuwly, F., Ruffieux, P. A., Kadouri, A., & von Stockar, U. (2007). Packed-bed bioreactors for mammalian cell culture: bioprocess and biomedical applications. *Biotechnology Advances*, 25(1), 45–56.
- Mickael, C. S., & Jackwood, D. J. (2005). Real-time rt-pcr analysis of two epitope regions encoded by the VP2 gene of infectious bursal disease viruses. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 128(1–2), 37–46.
- Mittal, D., Jindal, N., Gupta, S. L., Kataria, R. S., & Tiwari, A. K. (2005). Detection of infectious bursal disease virus in field outbreaks in broiler chickens by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4(4), 239–243.
- Mohammed M. H & Hasoon M. F. (2011). Immune response to inactivated newcastle disease virus by electrolysed catholyte anolyte and binary ethylenimine in specific pathogen free chickens. *Journal of World's Poultry Research*, 1(1), 18–21.
- Mohd-Azmi, M. L., Awang-Isa, K. & Osman Rahim (2015). *Laboratory manual in diagnostic virology*. Malaysia, MY: Virology Laboratory, University Veterinary Hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Mondal, S. K., Neelima, M., Seetha Rama Reddy, K., Ananda Rao, K., & Srinivasan, V. A. (2005). Validation of the inactivant binary ethylenimine for inactivating rabies virus for veterinary rabies vaccine production. *Biologicals*, 33(3), 185–189.
- Müller, H., Mundt, E., Eterradossi, N., & Islam, M. R. (2012). Current status of vaccines against infectious bursal disease. *Avian Pathology*, 41(2), 133–9.
- Müller, H., & Nitschke, R. (1987). The two segments of the infectious bursal disease virus genome are circularized by a 90,000-Da protein. *Virology*, 159(1), 174–177.
- Müller, H., Scholtissek, C., & Becht, H. (1979). The genome of infectious bursal disease virus consists of two segments of double-stranded RNA. *Journal of Virology*, 31(3), 584–589.



- Müller, H., Lange, H. & Becht, H. (1986). Formation, characterization and interfering capacity of a small plaque mutant and of incomplete virus particles of infectious bursal disease virus. *Virus Research*, 4(3), 297-309.
- Mundt, E. (1999). Tissue culture infectivity of different strains of infectious bursal disease virus is determined by distinct amino acids in VP2. *Journal of General Virology*, 80(8), 2067–2076.
- Mundt, E., Beyer, J., & Müller, H. (1995). Identification of a novel viral protein in infectious bursal disease virus-infected cells. *Journal of General Virology*, 76(Pt 2), 437-43.
- Mundt, E. K. K. D. (1998). VP5 of infectious bursal disease virus is not essential for viral replication in cell culture. *Journal of Virology*, 71(7), 5647–5651.
- Mundt, E., Köllner, B., & Kretzschmar, D. (1997). VP5 of infectious bursal disease virus is not essential for viral replication in cell culture. *Journal of Virology*, 71(7), 5647–51.
- Mundt, E., & Müller, H. (1995). Complete nucleotide sequences of 5'-and 3'-noncoding regions of both genome segments of different strains of infectious bursal disease virus. *Virology*, 209(1), 10-18.
- Nagarajan, M. M., & Kibenge, F. S. B. (1997). Infectious Bursal Disease Virus : A review of molecular basis for variations in antigenicity and virulence. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 61, 81–88.
- Nagarajan, M. M., Kibenge, F. S. B., & López, A. (2001). Selection of an infectious bursal disease virus mutant with increased immunogenicity following passage under humoral immune pressure. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 65(2), 89–96.
- Nick, H., Cursiefen, D., & Becht, H. (1976). Structural and growth characteristics of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Virology*, 18(1), 227–234.
- Nieper, H., Teifke, J. P., Jungmann, A., Lo, C. V, Hr, È, Mu, H., & Ller, È. (1999). Infected and apoptotic cells in the IBDV-infected bursa of fabricius, studied by double-labelling techniques. *Avian Pathology*, 28(November 2014), 279–285.
- Nilsson, K. (1988). Microcarrier cell culture. *Biotechnology & Genetic Engineering Reviews*, 6, 403–439.
- Nor Amna A'liah, M. N. & Mohamad H. R. (2015). The development and future direction of malaysia's livestock industry. malaysian agricultural research and development institute (MARDI),persiaran MARDI-UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.
- Nurulfiza, I., Hair-Bejo, M., Omar, A. R., & Aini, I. (2006). Molecular characterization of recent infectious bursal disease virus isolates from Malaysia. *Acta Virologica*, 50(1), 45–51.

- Ogawa, M., Yamaguchi, T., Setiyono, A., Ho, T., Matsuda, H., Furusawa, S., Fukushi, H. & Hirai, K. (1998). Some characteristics of a cellular receptor for virulent infectious bursal disease virus by using flow cytometry. *Archives of Virology*, 143(12): 2327- 2341.
- Ohashi, R., Singh, V., & Hamel, J. P. (2001). Perfusion culture in disposable bioreactors. *Genetic Engineering News*, 21(7), 40.
- Oña, A., Luque, D., Abaitua, F., Maraver, A., Cast ón, J. R., & Rodr íguez, J. F. (2004). The C-terminal domain of the pVP2 precursor is essential for the interaction between VP2 and VP3, the capsid polypeptides of infectious bursal disease virus. *Virology*, 322(1), 135–142.
- Park, J.H., Sung, H.W., Yoon, B.I. & Kwon, H.M. (2009). Protection of chicken against very virulent ibdv provided by in ovo priming with dna vaccine and boosting with killed vaccine and the adjuvant effects of plasmid-encoded chicken interleukin-2 and interferon gamma. *Journal of Veterinary Science*, 10, 131-139.
- Perozo, F., Villegas, A.P., Fernandez, R., Cruz, J. & Pritchard, N. (2009). Efficacy of single dose recombinant herpesvirus of turkey infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) vaccination against a variant IBDV strain. *Avian Diseases*, 53, 624-628.
- Petek, M., D'Aprile, P. N. & Cancelloti, F. (1973) Biological and physico-chemical properties of the infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV). *Avian Pathology*, 2: 135-152.
- Peters, G. (1967). Die Histologie der Gumboro Krankheit. *Berliner und Muenchner Tieraerztliche Wochenschrift*, 80: 394–396.
- Peters, M. A, Lin, T. L., & Wu, C. C. (2005). Real-time RT-PCR differentiation and quantitation of infectious bursal disease virus strains using dual-labeled fluorescent probes. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 127(1), 87–95.
- Petit, S., Lejal, N., Huet, J. C., & Delmas, B. (2000). Active residues and viral substrate cleavage sites of the protease of the birnavirus infectious pancreatic necrosis virus. *Journal of Virology*, 74(5), 2057–2066.
- Petkov, D., Linnemann, A. E., Kapczynski, D. R., & Sellers, H. S. (2007). Full-length sequence analysis of four IBDV strains with different pathogenicities, *Virus Genes*, 34(3), 315–326.
- Petolo. (2006). Cytodex 3. *GE Healthcare*, 1–4. <http://www.gehealthcare.com>.
- Phenix, K.V., Wark, K., Luke, C.J., Skinner, M.A., Smyth, J.A., Mawhinney, K.A. & Todd, D. (2001). Recombinant semliki forest virus vector exhibits potential for avian virus vaccine development. *Vaccine*, 19, 3116-3123.
- Phong, S. F., Hair-Bejo, M., Omar, a R., & Aini, I. (2003). Sequence analysis of Malaysian infectious bursal disease virus isolate and the use of reverse transcriptase nested polymerase chain reaction enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of VP2 hypervariable region. *Avian Diseases*, 47(1), 154–62.

- Pitcovski, J., Gutter, B., Gallili, G., Goldway, M., Perelman, B., Gross, G., Krispel, S., Barbakov, M. & Michael, A. (2003). Development and large-scale use of recombinant vp2 vaccine for the prevention of infectious bursal disease of chickens. *Vaccine*, 21, 4736-4743.
- Pörtner, R., Nagel-Heyer, S., Goepfert, C., Adamietz, P., & Meenen, N. M. (2005). Bioreactor design for tissue engineering. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 100(3), 235–45.
- Qi, X., Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Gao, L., Wu, G., Qin, L., ... Wang, X. (2013). Mutations of residues 249 and 256 in VP2 are involved in the replication and virulence of infectious bursal disease virus. *PLoS ONE*, 8(7).
- Rajendran, R., Lingala, R., Vuppu, S. K., Bandi, B. O., Manickam, E., Macherla, S. R., ... Maithal, K. (2014). Assessment of packed bed bioreactor systems in the production of viral vaccines. *AMB Express*, 4, 25.
- Rasoli, M., Yeap, S. K., Tan, S. W., Roohani, K., Kristeen-Teo, Y. W., Alitheen, N. B., ... Omar, A. R. (2015). Differential modulation of immune response and cytokine profiles in the bursae and spleen of chickens infected with very virulent infectious bursal disease virus. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 11(1), 75.
- Raue, R., Islam, M. R., Islam, M. N., Islam, K. M., Badhy, S. C., Das, P. M., & Müller, H. (2004). Reversion of molecularly engineered, partially attenuated, very virulent infectious bursal disease virus during infection of commercial chickens. *Avian Pathology*, 33(2), 181–189.
- Rautenschlein, S., Yeh, H.Y. & Sharma, J.M. (2002). The role of T cells in protection by an inactivated infectious bursal disease virus vaccine. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 89, 159-167.
- Rautenschlein, S., & Haase, C. (2005). Differences in the immunopathogenesis of infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) following in ovo and post-hatch vaccination of chickens. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 106(1–2), 139–150.
- Rautenschlein, S., Kraemer, C., Vanmarcke, J., & Montiel, E. (2005). Protective efficacy of intermediate and intermediate plus infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) vaccines against very virulent IBDV in commercial broilers. *Avian Diseases*, 49(2), 231–237.
- Rautenschlein, S., Yeh, H. Y., & Sharma, J. M. (2003). Comparative immunopathogenesis of mild, intermediate, and virulent strains of classic infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 47(1), 66–78.
- Razmyar, J., & Peighambari, S. M. (2009). Isolation and characterization of a very virulent infectious bursal disease virus from turkey. *Acta Virologica*, 53(4), 271–276.
- Reed, L., & Muench, H. (1938). A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 27(3), 493-497.

- Rekha, K., Sivasubramanian, C., Chung, I.-M., & Thiruvengadam, M. (2014). Growth and replication of infectious bursal disease virus in the DF-1 cell line and chicken embryo fibroblasts. *BioMedical Research International*, 2014, 1-6.,
- Rinaldi, A., Cessi, D., Cervio, G., & Lodetti, E. (1974). Attenuation of infectious bursal disease virus and vaccination trials under laboratory and field conditions. *Avian Pathology*, 3 (1), 51-57.
- Rodriguez-Chavez, I. R., Rosenberger, J. K., Cloud, S. S., & Pope, C. R. (2002a). Characterization of the antigenic, immunogenic, and pathogenic variation of infectious bursal disease virus due to propagation in different host systems (bursa, embryo, and cell culture). I. antigenicity and immunogenicity. *Avian Pathology*, 31(5), 463–471.
- Rodriguez-Chavez, I. R., Rosenberger, J. K., Cloud, S. S., & Pope, C. R. (2002b). Characterization of the antigenic, immunogenic, and pathogenic variation of infectious bursal disease virus due to propagation in different host systems (bursa, embryo, and cell culture). III. Pathogenicity. *Avian Pathology*, 31(5), 485–492.
- Rodríguez-Lecompte, J. C., & Kibenge, F. S. B. (2002). Site-directed mutagenesis of Avibirnavirus VP4 gene. *Virology*, 292(2), 241–246.
- Rodríguez-Lecompte, J. C., Niño-Fong, R., Lopez, A., Frederick Markham, R. J., & Kibenge, F. S. B. (2005). Infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) induces apoptosis in chicken B cells. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, 28(4), 321–337.
- Rong, J., Jiang, T., Cheng, T., Shen, M., Du, Y., Li, S., Wang, S., Xu, B. & Fan, G. (2007). Large-scale manufacture and use of recombinant vp2 vaccine against infectious bursal disease in chickens. *Vaccine*, 25, 7900-7908.
- Rosenberger, J.K., Cloud, S.S. & Metz A. (1987), Use of infectious bursal disease virus variant vaccines in broilers and broiler breeders, In *Proceedings of the 36th Poultry Diseases Conference* (pp. 105-109). Davis, California, USA.
- Rudd, M. F., Heine, H. G., Sapats, S. I., Parede, L. & Ignjatovic, J. (2002). Characterisation of an Indonesian very virulent strain of infectious bursal disease virus. *Archives of Virology*, 147 (7), 1303-1322 .
- Ryan, J. (2008). Understanding and managing cell culture contamination. *Corning Technical Bulletin*, (Table 1), 1–24.
- Sajc, L., Grusbisic, D., & Novakovic, G. (2000). Bioreactors for plant engineering: an out further research. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 4, 89–99.
- Sánchez, A. B., & Rodriguez, J. F. (1999). Proteolytic processing in infectious bursal disease virus: identification of the polyprotein cleavage sites by site-directed mutagenesis. *Virology*, 262(1), 190–199.



- Santi, N., Vakharia, V. N., & Evensen, Ø. (2004). Identification of putative motifs involved in the virulence of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus. *Virology*, 322(1), 31–40.
- Scanavini Neto, H., Ito, N., Miyaji, C., Lima, E. D. A., Okabayashi, S., Corrêa, A., ... Zuanaze, M. (2004). Infectious bursal disease virus: case report and experimental studies in vaccinated and unvaccinated spf chickens and commercial broiler chicks. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola*, 6(1), 41–54.
- Schijns, V.E.J.C., Sharma, J. & Tarpy, I. (2008). Practical aspects of poultry vaccination. In F. Davison, B. Kaspers & K.A. Schat (Eds.). *Avian Immunology* 1st edn (pp. 373393). London: Academic Press.
- Shanmuganvelu, S. (2014). *Decision Support System in Livestock Production*. research inaugural lecture. malaysian agricultural research and development institute (MARDI); Serdang, Malaysia.
- Sharma, J. M., Dohms, J. E., & Metz, A. L. (1989). Comparative pathogenesis of serotype 1 and variant serotype 1 isolates of infectious bursal disease virus and their effect on humoral and cellular immune competence of specific-pathogen-free chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 33(1), 112–24.
- Sharma, J. M., Kim, I. J., Rautenschlein, S., & Yeh, H. Y. (2000). Infectious bursal disease virus of chickens: pathogenesis and immunosuppression. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, 24(2–3), 223–235.
- Siavosh Haghighi, Z. M., Tavasoly, A., Shoshtary, A., Bahmaninejad, M. A., & Marjanmehr, S. H. (2009). An experimental study on early pathogenesis of a very virulent isolate of infectious bursal disease virus, employing immunohistochemistry. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 10(2), 125–131.
- Simoni, I., Fernandes, M., & Custódio, R. (1999). Susceptibility of cell lines to avian viruses. *De Microbiologia*, 30, 373-376.
- Singh, Y., Yadav, K., Singh, V. K., & Kumar, R. (2014). Molecular diagnosis and adaptation of highly virulent infectious bursal disease virus on chicken embryo fibroblast cell, *Veterinary World*, 7, 351–355.
- Skeeles, J. K., Lukert, P. D., Fletcher, O. J. & Leonard, J. D. (1979). Immunization studies with a cell culture- adapted infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 23,456-465.
- Smiley, J. R., Sommer, S. E. & Jackwood, D. J. (1999). Development of a ssRNA Internal Control Reagent for an Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Reverse Transcription/Polymerase Chain Reaction-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism Diagnostic Assay. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* , 11(6), 497 - 504.
- Smith, J., Sadeyen, J. R., Butter, C., Kaiser, P. & Burt, D. W. (2015). Analysis of the early immune response to infection by infectious bursal disease virus in chickens differing in their resistance to the disease. *Journal of Virology*, 89 (5): 2469-2482.

- Solano, W., Giambrone, J. J. & Panangala, V. S. (1985). Comparison of a Kinetic-Based Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (KELISA) and Virus-Neutralization Test for Infectious Bursal Disease Virus. I. Quantitation of Antibody in White Leghorn Hens. *Avian Diseases*, 29(3), 662-671.
- Soubies, S. M., Courtillon, C., Briand, F., Courtois, D., Amelot, M., Grousseau, K., ... Etteradossi, N. (2017). Identification of a european interserotypic reassortant strain of IBDV, *Avian Pathology*, 46 (1), 19-27.
- Spies, U., & Muller, H. (1990). Demonstration of enzyme activities required for capsid structure formation in infectious bursal disease virus, a member of the birnavirus group. *Journal of General Virology*, 71(4), 977-981.
- Spies, U., Müller, H., & Becht, H. (1987). Properties of RNA polymerase activity associated with infectious bursal disease virus and characterization of its reaction products. *Virus Research*, 8, 127-140.
- Stoute, S. T., Jackwood, D. J., Sommer-Wagner, S. E., Cooper, G. L., Anderson, M. L., Woolcock, P. R., ... Charlton, B. R. (2009). The diagnosis of very virulent infectious bursal disease in California pullets. *Avian Diseases*, 53(2), 321-6.
- Stoute, S. T., Jackwood, D. J., Sommer-Wagner, S. E., Crossley, B. M., Woolcock, P. R. & Charlton, B. R.. (2012) Molecular and Pathogenic Investigation of Reassortant Very Virulent Infectious Bursal Disease Virus in California. (Unpublished) <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.
- Sue, M. J., Yeap, S. K., Omar, A. R., & Tan, S. W. (2014). Application of PCR-ELISA in molecular diagnosis. *BioMedical Research International*, 1-6.
- Tacken, M. G. J., Peeters, B. P. H., Thomas, A. A. M., Rottier, P. J. M., & Boot, H. J. (2002). Infectious bursal disease virus capsid protein VP3 interacts both with VP1, the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and with viral double-stranded RNA. *Journal of Virology*, 76(22), 11301-11.
- Tacken, M. G. J., Van Den Beuken, P. a J., Peeters, B. P. H., Thomas, a. a M., Rottier, P. J. M., & Boot, H. J. (2003). Homotypic interactions of the infectious bursal disease virus proteins VP3, pVP2, VP4, and VP5: Mapping of the interacting domains. *Virology*, 312(2), 306-319.
- Tacken, M. G., Rottier, P. J., Gielkens, A. L., & Peeters, B. P. (2000). Interactions in vivo between the proteins of infectious bursal disease virus: capsid protein VP3 interacts with the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, VP1. *The Journal of General Virology*, 81(Pt 1), 209-218.
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., & Kumar, S. (2011). MEGA5 : molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood , evolutionary distance , and maximum parsimony methods, *Molecular Biology Evolution*, 28(10), 2731-2739.

- Tan, D. Y., Hair-Bejo, M., Omar, A. R., & Aini, I. (2004a). Pathogenicity and molecular analysis of an infectious bursal disease virus isolated from Malaysian village chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 48(2), 410–416.
- Tan, D. Y., Hair-Bejo, M., Aini, I. & Omar, A. R. (2004b) Infectious bursal disease virus: its genomic properties, evolution, and infection to the head-associated lymphoid tissues. (Unpublished) <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.
- Taylor, G. R., & Logan, W. P. (1995). The polymerase chain reaction: new variations on an old theme. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 6(1), 24–29.
- Tham, K. M., & Moon, C. D. (1996). Apoptosis in cell cultures induced by infectious bursal disease virus following in vitro infection. *Avian Diseases*, 40(1), 109–113.
- Thomassen, Y. E., Van Der Welle, J. E., Van Eikenhorst, G., Van Der Pol, L. A., & Bakker, W. A. M. (2012). Transfer of an adherent vero cell culture method between two different rocking motion type bioreactors with respect to cell growth and metabolic rates. *Process Biochemistry*, 47(2), 288–296.
- Tiwari, A., Patnayak, D.P., Chander, Y., Goyal, S.M., (2006). Permissibility of different cell types for the growth of avian metapneumovirus. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 138, 80–84.
- Tippenhauer, M., Heller, D. E., Weigend, S., & Rautenschlein, S. (2013). The host genotype influences infectious bursal disease virus pathogenesis in chickens by modulation of T cells responses and cytokine gene expression. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, 40(1), 1-10.
- Tsai, H. J. & Saif, Y. M. (1992). Effect of cell-culture passage on the pathogenicity and immunogenicity of two variant strains of infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 36(2), 415–422.
- Tsukamoto, K., Kojima, C., Komori, Y., Tanimura, N., Mase, M., & Yamaguchi, S. (1999). Protection of chickens against very virulent infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) and Marek's disease virus (MDV) with a recombinant MDV expressing IBDV VP2. *Virology*, 257(2), 352–362.
- Tsukamoto, K., Saito, S., Saeki, S., Sato, T., Tanimura, N., Isobe, T., Mase, M., Imada, T., Yuasa, N. & Yamaguchi, S. (2002). Complete, long-lasting protection against lethal infectious bursal disease virus challenge by a single vaccination with an avian herpesvirus expressing VP2 antigens. *Journal of Virology*, 76, 5637-5645.
- Ture, O., & Saif, Y. M. (1993). Structural proteins of classic and variant strains of infectious bursal disease viruses. *Avian Diseases*, 36(4), 829–836.
- Vakharia, V.N., Snyder, D.B., He, J., Edwards, G.H., Savage, P.K. & Mengel-Whereat, S.A. (1993). Infectious bursal disease virus structural proteins expressed in a baculovirus recombinant confer protection in chickens. *Journal of General Virology*, 74, 1201-1206



- Vakharia, V. N., He, J., Ahamed, B., & Snyder, D. B. (1994). Molecular basis of antigenic variation in infectious bursal disease virus. *Virus Research*, 31, 265–273.
- Van den berg, T. P., Gonze, M., & Meulemans, G. (1991). Acute infectious bursal disease in poultry: isolation and characterisation of a highly virulent strain. *Avian Pathology*, 20(1), 133–143.
- van Loon, A. A., De Haas, N., Zeyda, I., & Mundt, E. (2002). Alteration of amino acids in VP2 of very virulent infectious bursal disease virus results in tissue culture adaptation and attenuation in chickens. *Journal of General Virology*, 83(1), 121–129.
- Wang, Y., Qi, X., Gao, H., Gao, Y., Lin, H., Song, X., ... Wang, X. (2009). Comparative study of the replication of infectious bursal disease virus in DF-1 cell line and chicken embryo fibroblasts evaluated by a new real-time RT-PCR. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 157(2), 205–210.
- Winterfield, R. W., Dhillon, A. S. & Thacker, H. L. (1981). Characteristics of apparent derivatives of the 2512 strain of infectious bursal disease virus when used as vaccines. *Avian Diseases*, 25:900-10.
- Wu, C. C., Lin, T. L., Zhang, H. G., Davis, V. S., & Boyle, J. a. (1992). Molecular detection of infectious bursal disease virus by polymerase chain reaction. *Avian Diseases*, 36(2), 221–226.
- Wyeth, P.J. & Chettle, N. (1990). Use of infectious bursal disease vaccines in chicks with maternally derived antibodies. *Veterinary Record*, 126, 577-578.
- Yamaguchi, T., Kondo, T., Inoshima, Y., Ogawa, M., Miyoshi, M., Yanai, T., ... Hirai, K. (1996a). In vitro attenuation of highly virulent infectious bursal disease virus: some characteristics of attenuated strains. *Avian Diseases*, 40(3), 501-9.
- Yamaguchi, T., Ogawa, M., Inoshima, Y., Miyoshi, M., Fukushi, H., & Hirai, K. (1996b). Identification of sequence changes responsible for the attenuation of highly virulent infectious bursal disease virus. *Virology*, 223(1), 219–223.
- Yamaguchi, T., Ogawa, M., Miyoshi, M., Inoshima, Y., Fukushi, H., & Hirai, K. (1997). Sequence and phylogenetic analyses of highly virulent infectious bursal disease virus. *Archives of Virology*, 142, 1441–1458.
- Yao, K., Goodwin, M. a, & Vakharia, V. N. (1998). Generation of a mutant infectious bursal disease virus that does not cause bursal lesions. *Journal of Virology*, 72(4), 2647–54.
- Yao, K., & Vakharia, V. N. (1998). Generation of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus from cloned cDNA. *Journal of Virology*, 72(11), 8913–8920.
- Yao, K., & Vakharia, V. N. (2001). Induction of apoptosis in vitro by the 17-kDa nonstructural protein of infectious bursal disease virus: possible role in viral pathogenesis. *Virology*, 285(1), 50–58.

- Yilmaz, H., Altan, E., Cizmecigil, U. Y., Gurel, A., Ozturk, G. Y., Bamac, O. E., ... Turan, N. (2016). Phylogeny and S1 gene variation of infectious bronchitis virus detected in broilers and layers in turkey. *Avian Diseases*, 60(3), 596–
- Zeryehun, T., Hair-Bejo, M., & Rasedee, A. (2012). Hemorrhagic and clotting abnormalities in infectious bursal disease in specific-pathogen-free chicks. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 16(8), 1123–1130.
- Zhou, T., Du, L., Lan, Y., Sun, F., & Fan, Y. (2014). Development of SYBR green I- based one- step real time rt- pcr assay for quantifying southern rice black- streaked dwarf virus in rice. *Journal of Phytopathology*, 162, 26-32.
- Zhou, X., Wang, D., Xiong, J., Zhang, P., Li, Y., & She, R. (2010). Protection of chickens, with or without maternal antibodies, against IBDV infection by a recombinant IBDV-VP2 protein. *Vaccine*, 28(23), 3990–3996.
- Zierenberg, K., Nieper, H., van den Berg, T. P., Ezeokoli, C. D., Voss, M., & Müller, H. (2000). The VP2 variable region of African and German isolates of infectious bursal disease virus: comparison with very virulent, “classical” virulent, and attenuated tissue culture-adapted strains. *Archives of Virology*, 145(1), 113–125.
- Zierenberg, K., Mueller, H. & Nieper, H. (2001). Sequences of the coding regions of segment A and segment B of the old IBDV strain Cu-1wt, (Unpublished) <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>.
- Zierenberg, K., Raue, R., Nieper, H., Islam, M. R., Eterradossi, N., Toquin, D., & Müller, H. (2004). Generation of serotype 1/serotype 2 reassortant viruses of the infectious bursal disease virus and their investigation in vitro and in vivo. *Virus Research*, 105(1), 23–34.