



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***EVALUATION, AWARENESS AND USE OF CATTLE IDENTIFICATION
AND TRACEABILITY SYSTEM IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA***

SALINA BINTI AMAD BUGIS

FPV 2018 9



**EVALUATION, AWARENESS AND USE OF CATTLE IDENTIFICATION
AND TRACEABILITY SYSTEM IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

By

SALINA BINTI AMAD BUGIS

**Thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia, in fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

Dedicated to my husband Mat Fuat, my sons Qusyairree and Qhairulfahmie,
and my father Amad Bugis.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

EVALUATION, AWARENESS AND USE OF CATTLE IDENTIFICATION AND TRACEABILITY SYSTEM IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

By

SALINA BINTI AMAD BUGIS

January 2018

Chairperson: Prof Latiffah binti Hassan, PhD
Faculty: Veterinary Medicine

Traceability of animal and animal products are tools to overcome animal and public health or food safety issues. In 2009, the Malaysian government initiated a new traceability system which included the use of radio frequency identification (RFID) tag as an animal identification (ID) and the electronic animal movement tracking system known as ePermit2 system as an initiative to control foot and mouth disease (FMD). This study was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the current animal identification and traceability system in the FMD control program, to determine the performance of several commercial ear tags in terms of their retention and readability, to evaluate the level of farmers and traders knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) of traceability, to describe the inter-state movement of cattle in the FMD control program from 2010 to 2015 and to quantify the similarity of the cattle movement network from one calendar year to the next.

A total of 127 cattle ID from the ePermit2 system from 11 states in Peninsular Malaysia were randomly selected and were traced to their recorded destination to see if the cattle truly arrived to the designated destination. Scoring system was developed to categorize the findings for successful or unsuccessful trace forward or trace back. To ensure that animal movement requirement for the FMD control program is fulfilled, information on the presence or absence of the selected animal ID records from FMD antibody detection (FMDL-Ab) form were collected at the Regional Veterinary Laboratory, Kota Bharu, Kelantan. The overall traceability success rate was 21.3% among cattle that were moved for breeding and slaughter in 2013. Out of 127 cattle selected and traced at the FMD Laboratory, only five cattle (3.9%) were successfully traced to their laboratory records.

A field trial on 848 cattle was conducted to evaluate four types of RFID tags (Allflex, Cybortra, TSG and Ecosensa) and three types of visual tags (Allflex, Cybortra and Ecosensa) for retention and readability. After one year, Allflex and Cybortra RFID ID devices were well retained at 89.5% and 87.8%, respectively while TSG had the poorest retention at 69.1%. For visual ID device, Allflex has the highest retention at 98.3% while Ecosensa has the lowest retention at 86.8%. Among intact RFID ear tags, 45 (6.7%) failed to be read. Allflex ID device had the highest readability (98.8%), whereas TSG brand had the lowest (79.2%). The mean of survival time for RFID ID device was highest for Cybortra and lowest for TSG brand at 487 and 416 days, respectively. For visual ID devices, the survival time was highest at 515 and lowest at 478 days for Allflex and Ecosensa, respectively.

In a cross sectional survey, a total of 543 farmers and traders in Peninsular Malaysia completed a questionnaire which aimed to evaluate their KAP domains of traceability. The results showed that 61% of the respondents had good knowledge on traceability. Though the percentage of the farmers and traders that had good knowledge was moderate, their attitude (53%) and practices (52%) to be traceability compliant was slightly lower. Respondents who had secondary level of education, involved in both farming and trading and had more than 20 years' experience in cattle industry, were more likely to have good knowledge on traceability. In addition, respondents who had more than 20 years' experience in cattle industry and practiced intensive or feedlot husbandry system were twice more likely to have good attitude towards traceability. Respondents with tertiary level of education, who reared or traded between 101 and 1000 heads of cattle and practiced semi-intensive and intensive or feedlot husbandry system were more likely to be traceability compliant. In contrast, respondents who had never attended any courses or received training in cattle farming were less likely to have positive responses in the KAP questions.

Data on cattle movements registered in the ePermit2 system from 2010 to 2015 was examined using the social network analysis (SNA). A total of 24,508 movement events were recorded involving 530,064 cattle. In 2010, a large number of out-bound movements from Kelantan and Perlis was observed. However between 2013 and 2015, the pattern of cattle movement changed with Selangor showed the largest number of out-bound cattle movements, while Kelantan had the highest number of in-bound cattle movements. State of Pahang showed a consistent increased of out-bound cattle movement from 2011-2015. All districts (a total of 90 nodes) in Peninsular Malaysia were involved in the cattle movement network for the period 2010 to 2015 with the greatest number of directed links ($n = 2,972$) between districts occurred in 2014. This study found that the movement of cattle was associated with Hari Raya Aidil-Fitri festive celebration which occurred between July and September each year and, to a lesser extent, Hari Raya Aidil-Adha which occurred between September and November in the period of this study. Analyses showed that the Peninsular Malaysia cattle movement network had scale-free properties where small number of districts had a large number of

reported movement events, while many districts had small numbers of reported movement events. Each of the social networks constructed from 2010 to 2015 were found correlated to each other. Therefore disease surveillance efforts do not need to vary from year to year as the pattern of animal movement is similar and predictable.

This study has provided comprehensive information about traceability system that is practiced in Peninsular Malaysia. Matching the physical location of cattle after inter-state movements and tracing vital laboratory records was poor. Linking the two live cattle movement module systems with the laboratory system is expected to accelerate trace back or trace forward of cattle during disease incursion. Retention and readability are part of the criteria to be emphasized when choosing RFID and visual ear tag identification devices. The current RFID device brand TSG used widely in Malaysia had the poorest readability and retention and therefore is less suitable for traceability system. The KAP of local farmers and traders were modest which suggested that more efforts for structured education and training program is necessary and needed to highlight the benefits of the traceability system. States and districts with high frequencies of out-bound cattle movement are more likely to spread a disease to other parts of the country, while states and districts with high frequencies of in-bound movements are more likely to become recipients of a disease in the event of an infectious disease incursion.

Thus, those districts with high in-bound and out-bound cattle movements must strengthen the existing disease control measures to ensure reduction of disease spread and outbreaks.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENILAIAN, KESEDARAN DAN PENGGUNAAN TANDA PENGENALAN LEMBU DAN SISTEM DAYAJEJAK DI SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA

Oleh

SALINA BINTI AMAD BUGIS

Januari 2018

Pengerusi: Prof Latiffah binti Hassan, PhD
Fakulti: Perubatan Veterinar

Dayajejak haiwan dan produk haiwan merupakan alat untuk mengatasi isu kesihatan haiwan dan awam atau keselamatan makanan. Pada tahun 2009, kerajaan Malaysia telah memulakan sistem dayajejak baru merangkumi penggunaan tag *radio frequency identification* (RFID) sebagai tanda pengenalan haiwan dan sistem pengesanan pemindahan haiwan secara elektronik dikenali sebagai sistem ePermit2 sebagai inisiatif untuk mengawal penyakit kuku dan mulut (FMD). Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menilai keberkesanan sistem pengenalan dan dayajejak haiwan dalam program kawalan FMD, untuk menentukan prestasi beberapa tag telinga komersil daripada segi pengekal dan kebolehbacaan, untuk menilai tahap pengetahuan, sikap dan amalan (KAP) dayajejak penternak dan pedagang lembu, dan untuk menerangkan pemindahan lembu antara negeri dalam program kawalan FMD dari tahun 2010 hingga 2015 serta untuk mengukur persamaan rangkaian pemindahan lembu antara satu tahun ke tahun yang berikutnya.

Sejumlah 127 ekor lembu dari sistem ePermit2 telah dikenalpasti secara rawak dari 11 buah negeri di Semenanjung Malaysia dan telah dikesan ke destinasi yang direkodkan untuk melihat sama ada lembu itu benar-benar sampai ke destinasi yang ditetapkan. Sistem pemarkahan (skor) telah diwujudkan untuk mengkategorikan penemuan yang berjaya atau tidak berjaya dijejaki. Untuk memastikan bahawa keperluan pergerakan haiwan untuk program kawalan FMD dipenuhi, maklumat mengenai keberadaan atau ketiadaan rekod pengenalan haiwan yang dipilih daripada borang ujian pengesanan antibodi (FMDL-Ab) dikumpulkan di Makmal Veterinar Kawasan, Kota Bharu, Kelantan. Secara keseluruhan, sebanyak 21.3% lembu yang dipindahkan untuk tujuan pembiakan dan penyembelihan berjaya dijejaki pada tahun 2013. Daripada 127 ekor lembu yang dipilih dan dikesan rekodnya di makmal FMD, hanya lima (3.9%) rekod makmal berjaya dijejaki.

Kajian di lapangan pada 848 ekor lembu telah dijalankan untuk menilai empat jenis tag RFID (Allflex, Cybortra, TSG dan Ecosensa) dan tiga jenis tag visual (Allflex, Cybortra dan Ecosensa) daripada segi pengekelan dan kebolehbacaan. Selepas setahun, tanda pengenalan RFID Allflex dan Cybortra menunjukkan kadar pengekelan yang tinggi masing-masing pada 89.5% dan 87.8%, sementara TSG menunjukkan kadar pengekelan yang paling rendah pada 69.1%. Untuk tanda pengenalan visual, Allflex menunjukkan kadar pengekelan tertinggi pada 98.3% manakala Ecosensa (86.8%) menunjukkan kadar pengekelan terendah. Daripada tag RFID yang masih kekal, 45 (6.7%) gagal dibaca. Tanda pengenalan RFID Allflex menunjukkan kebolehbacaan tertinggi (98.8%), manakala jenama TSG menunjukkan kebolehbacaan yang paling rendah (79.2%). Purata masa kewujudan untuk tanda pengenalan RFID adalah tertinggi untuk Cybortra dan terendah untuk jenama TSG masing-masing pada 487 dan 416 hari. Manakala untuk tanda pengenalan visual, masa kewujudan adalah tertinggi pada 515 dan terendah pada 478 hari masing-masing untuk Allflex dan Ecosensa.

Di dalam kajian keratan rentas, seramai 543 penternak dan pedagang lembu di Semenanjung Malaysia telah melengkapkan soal selidik yang bertujuan untuk menilai domain KAP terhadap dayajejak haiwan. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa 61% daripada responden mempunyai pengetahuan yang baik mengenai dayajejak haiwan. Walaupun peratusan penternak dan pedagang lembu yang mempunyai pengetahuan yang baik adalah sederhana, sikap (53%) dan amalan (52%) mereka untuk mematuhi sistem dayajejak sedikit rendah. Responden yang mempunyai tahap pendidikan menengah, terlibat dalam kedua-dua aktiviti penternakan dan perdagangan, dan mempunyai lebih daripada 20 tahun pengalaman dalam industri penternakan lembu lebih cenderung mempunyai pengetahuan yang baik mengenai dayajejak. Selain itu, responden yang mempunyai lebih daripada 20 tahun pengalaman dalam industri ternakan dan mengamalkan sistem penternakan secara intensif atau fidlot mempunyai sikap dua kali lebih baik terhadap dayajejak. Responden yang mempunyai tahap pendidikan tinggi, memelihara atau berdagang antara 101 hingga 1000 ekor lembu dan mengamalkan sistem penternakan separa intensif, dan intensif atau fidlot lebih cenderung patuh dayajejak. Sebaliknya, responden yang tidak pernah menghadiri kursus atau menerima latihan dalam penternakan lembu lebih cenderung memberi maklumbalas negatif terhadap soalan-soalan mengenai pengetahuan, sikap dan amalan dayajejak.

Data pergerakan lembu yang didaftarkan di dalam sistem ePermit2 dari tahun 2010 hingga 2015 telah diperiksa menggunakan analisis jaringan social (SNA). Sejumlah 24,508 pergerakan yang melibatkan 530,064 lembu telah berlaku. Pada tahun 2010, banyak pergerakan keluar dari negeri Kelantan dan Perlis diperhatikan. Bagaimanapun, pada tahun 2013 hingga 2015, corak pergerakan lembu berubah dimana negeri Selangor menunjukkan bilangan pergerakan lembu keluar terbanyak, manakala Kelantan menunjukkan jumlah ternakan lembu masuk yang paling tinggi. Negeri Pahang telah menunjukkan pertambahan pergerakan lembu keluar yang konsisten dari tahun 2011 hingga

2015. Semua daerah (90 nod) di Semenanjung Malaysia terlibat dalam rangkaian pergerakan lembu untuk tempoh 2010 hingga 2015 dengan bilangan pautan terarah ($n = 2,972$) di antara daerah yang berlaku pada tahun 2014. Kajian ini mendapati bahawa pergerakan lembu adalah berkait dengan perayaan Hari Raya Aidil-Fitri yang berlaku antara bulan Julai dan September setiap tahun dan, Hari Raya Aidil-Adha yang berlaku antara September dan November dalam tempoh kajian ini. Analisis kami menunjukkan bahawa rangkaian pergerakan lembu di Semenanjung Malaysia mempunyai ciri skala bebas di mana sedikit daerah dilaporkan mempunyai bilangan pergerakan haiwan yang tinggi, sementara banyak daerah dilaporkan mempunyai bilangan pergerakan yang rendah. Setiap rangkaian sosial yang dibina untuk tahun 2010 hingga 2015 didapati mempunyai korelasi antara satu sama lain. Oleh itu, usaha surveilan penyakit tidak perlu kerap berubah-ubah dari tahun ke tahun kerana corak pergerakan haiwan adalah sama dan boleh diramalkan.

Kajian ini telah memberikan maklumat yang komprehensif mengenai sistem dayajejak yang diamalkan di Semenanjung Malaysia. Pemadanan kedudukan fizikal lembu selepas pergerakan antara negeri dan pengesanan rekod makmal adalah kurang baik. Menghubungkan kedua-dua sistem modul pergerakan lembu hidup dengan sistem makmal dijangka akan mempercepatkan pengesanan lembu semasa kejadian penyakit. Pengekalan dan kebolehbacaan adalah sebahagian daripada kriteria yang perlu diberi penekanan semasa memilih tanda pengenalan tag telinga RFID dan visual. Tanda pengenalan RFID jenama TSG yang digunakan secara meluas di Malaysia pada masa ini mempunyai kebolehbacaan dan pengekalan yang kurang baik, oleh itu kurang sesuai digunakan untuk sistem dayajejak. KAP penternak dan pedagang lembu tempatan adalah sederhana yang memerlukan lebih banyak usaha untuk mengadakan program pendidikan dan latihan berstruktur, dan perlu menekankan manfaat sistem dayajejak. Negeri dan daerah yang mempunyai frekuensi pergerakan lembu keluar yang tinggi lebih cenderung menyebarkan penyakit ke bahagian lain di negara ini, sementara negeri-negeri dan daerah-daerah dengan frekuensi pergerakan masuk yang tinggi lebih cenderung menjadi penerima penyakit dalam kejadian penyakit berjangkit. Daerah-daerah yang mempunyai pergerakan lembu masuk dan keluar yang tinggi mesti mengukuhkan langkah kawalan penyakit sedia ada untuk memastikan pengurangan penyebaran penyakit dan wabak.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

Alhamdulillah. My profound gratitude to Almighty Allah for giving me the strength, health and patience to complete this study. My deepest gratitude and many thanks to my main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Latiffah binti Hassan for her continuous support, guidance, patience and encouragement throughout the completion of my study. Thank you for believing in me and giving me the opportunity to convert my study to PhD level. I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my co-supervisors Prof. Dr. Mark A. Stevenson, Prof. Dr. Abd Aziz bin Saharee and Dr. Kamaliah bt Ghazali for their valuable guidance, constructive criticism, constant encouragement and positive comments during the research, analysis, writing and editing of the thesis.

Special thanks goes to the Malaysia Government especially the Department of Public Services Malaysia (JPA) and Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia (DVS) for giving me the opportunity to pursue this study and providing me a scholarship and project grant. My sincere gratitude goes to Y.H. Dato' Dr. Mohamad Azmie bin Zakaria, Y.H. Dato' Dr. Ibrahim Che Embong, Dr. Abu Hassan Muhammad Ali, Dr. Siti Salmiyah bt Tahir and Dr. Wan Kamil bin Dato' Wan Nik for their trust and support on this study. To all DVS officers in PTH Jelai Gemas, PTH Pantai Timur, PTH Air Hitam, IVM Kluang, TAC Segamat, TAC Muadzam Shah and private farmers involved, in particular PPNJ, Aqil Berjaya Enterprise and En. Juris, thank you so much for the cooperation and support. Not to forget all the DVS officers in the states, districts and MVK Kota Bharu that involved in this study for their time and cooperation to distribute the questionnaires and tracing the cattle during the study, may Allah reward you all. I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to Prof. Hussni Omar Mohammed from Cornell University, Dr. Simon Firestone from The University of Melbourne and Dr. Tony Britt from the Department of Primary Industries, Victoria for their technical assistance, support, advices, friendship and memories. Sincere thanks to all my friends especially Dr. Jamaliah, Ms. Nurul Hasnida, Dr. Zarina, Dr. Nazri, Dr. Nieccorita, Dr. Donny, Dr. Ummi Noorhakimah, Kak Syarifah Hanisah and Jose Canevari for their encouragement, friendship and support to finish my study.

Finally, my special thanks goes to my beloved family especially my beloved husband Mat Fuat, my sons Qusyairee and Qhairulfahmie, my father Amad Bugis and my siblings Sumawati, Rohani and Mohd Azhar who always love me, sacrificing their time, giving their support and for their prayers. May Allah bless them with wisdom and success. Amin.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Latiffah Hassan, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abd. Aziz bin Saharee, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Kamaliah bt Ghazali, MVS

Deputy Director
Department of Veterinary Services
Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-based Industry Malaysia
(Member)

Mark A. Stevenson, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences
The University of Melbourne
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Salina binti Amad Bugis (GS36916)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: N. C. S. S. S.
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Hypotheses	3
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 4
2.1 Animal Traceability	4
2.1.1 Objectives of traceability	4
2.1.2 Animal identification	7
2.1.3 Premise registration	8
2.1.4 Tracking of animal movement	8
2.2 Malaysia Animal Traceability System (MATs)	10
2.2.1 ePermit2 system	12
2.3 Methods of animal identification	14
2.3.1 Traditional identification	14
2.3.2 Electronic identification	16
2.4 Social network analysis (SNA)	18
 3 EVALUATION ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TRACEABILITY SYSTEM FOR FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE CONTROL IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA	 20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 Materials and methods	21
3.2.1 Study area	21
3.2.2 Study design and data source	22
3.2.3 Study population and sample size	22
3.2.4 Data collection	22
3.2.4.1 The process of tracing animal location	22
3.2.4.2 Detection of location of non-compliance	23
3.2.4.3 Trace back laboratory record	24
3.2.5 Scoring system for findings on animal movement	24
3.2.6 Data analysis	25
3.3 Results	25
3.4 Discussion	27
3.5 Conclusion	33

4	RFID AND VISUAL EAR TAG RETENTION AND READABILITY IN CATTLE	34
4.1	Introduction	34
4.2	Materials and methods	35
4.2.1	Study design and study population	35
4.2.2	Data collection	37
4.2.3	Data analysis	38
4.3	Results	38
4.3.1	Descriptive analysis	38
4.3.1.1	Retention	38
4.3.1.2	Readability	40
4.3.1.3	Side effect	40
4.3.2	Survival analysis	41
4.3.3	Reasons for tag lost	44
4.3.3.1	Ear necrosis	44
4.3.3.2	Detachment of male and female portion of the tag	45
4.3.3.3	Ear tag caught on the objects or in the bushes	45
4.3.4	Reasons for unreadable tag	46
4.3.4.1	Microchip damaged	46
4.3.4.2	Tag stained with soil or dirt	47
4.4	Discussion	47
4.5	Conclusion	49
5	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES OF FARMERS AND TRADERS ON CATTLE IDENTIFICATION AND TRACEABILITY SYSTEM IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA	50
5.1	Introduction	50
5.2	Materials and methods	51
5.2.1	ePermit2 system	51
5.2.2	Study design	51
5.2.3	Data collection	51
5.2.4	Data analysis	52
5.3	Results	52
5.3.1	Demography and farming background	52
5.3.2	Knowledge of respondents on traceability	53
5.3.3	Attitude of respondents towards traceability	55
5.3.4	Practices of traceability among respondents	59
5.3.5	KAP of traceability by states	60
5.4	Discussion	62
5.5	Conclusion	64
6	A NETWORK ANALYSIS OF CATTLE MOVEMENTS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA, 2010 TO 2015	65
6.1	Introduction	65
6.2	Materials and methods	66
6.2.1	Study area and population	66
6.2.2	Data source	67

6.2.3	Data analysis	68
6.3	Results	71
6.4	Discussion	86
6.5	Conclusion	88
7	SUMMARY, GENERAL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	89
7.1	Summary	89
7.2	Conclusions	92
7.3	Recommendations for future research	93
	REFERENCES	94
	APPENDICES	107
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	113
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	114

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1: Summary of cattle traceability systems in selected major export and import countries as of June 2011	5
3.1: Scoring system based on the findings of trace back and/or trace forward activity for cattle movement in Peninsular Malaysia in 2013 under the FMD control program	25
3.2: Trace back and/or trace forward success rate among cattle moved for breeding and slaughter by states in year 2013 under FMD control program	26
3.3: Location where non-compliance was detected in the cattle movement process for the trace back and/or forward activity in Peninsular Malaysia in 2013 under the FMD control program	27
3.4: Laboratory record trace back/forward success rate for cattle movement in Peninsular Malaysia under the FMD Control Program	27
4.1: Farms and population of cattle selected in each farm	35
4.2: Brand and description of RFID and visual ear tags	36
4.3: Number of animals present during each evaluation, total lost and retained percentage of RFID and visual ear tags	39
4.4: Percentage of unreadable and readable electronic RFID across ID device brands	40
4.5: Percentage of RFID tagged cattle with side effect following ear tagging	40
4.6: Mean survival time for cattle RFID and visual ear tag retention across brands	41
4.7: Cox regression analysis on factors that affects the RFID tag retention across ID brands	44
4.8: Cox regression analysis on factors that affects the visual ear tag retention across ID brands	44
5.1: Responses to questions related to the knowledge on traceability in livestock among livestock farmers and traders in Peninsular Malaysia	53

5.2:	Univariable association of respondents' demographic characteristics and knowledge on traceability in Peninsular Malaysia	54
5.3:	Multivariable logistic regression on factors affecting knowledge on traceability among livestock farmers and traders in Peninsular Malaysia	55
5.4:	Attitude towards cattle traceability system among livestock farmers and traders in Peninsular Malaysia	56
5.5:	Univariable association of respondents' demographic characteristics and attitude towards livestock traceability in Peninsular Malaysia	57
5.6:	Multivariable logistic regression on factors affecting the attitude towards traceability among livestock farmers and traders in Peninsular Malaysia	58
5.7:	Practices on animal traceability among livestock farmers and traders in Peninsular Malaysia	58
5.8:	Association of respondents' demographic characteristics and livestock traceability practices in Peninsular Malaysia	59
5.9:	Multivariable logistic regression on factors affecting traceability practices among livestock farmers and traders in Peninsular Malaysia	60
5.10:	Knowledge, attitude and practices of traceability among livestock farmers and traders by each states in Peninsular Malaysia	61
6.1:	Variables recorded at the movement and district level	68
6.2:	Definitions of social network analysis terms used in this study	70
6.3:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Number of out-bound and in-bound cattle movement events year and state	72
6.4:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Number of out-bound and in-bound cattle moved by year and state	73
6.5:	Number of cattle moved between states in 2010-2015	76

6.6:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Number of cattle movements, stratified by purpose of movement and cattle type	78
6.7:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Mean and range of distances moved, counts of premises initiating movement events, and minimum and maximum number of movements per premises	82
6.8:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Descriptive statistics of network size, network centrality and network cohesion by year	83



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1: Modular database in Malaysia Animal Traceability System (MATs)	10
2.2: Pre-numbered visual ear tag	14
2.3: Tattoo	15
2.4: Freeze branding	15
2.5: Paint marking	16
2.6: Electronic neck collar	17
2.7: Rumen bolus	17
2.8: RFID tag	18
2.9: Tag position	18
3.1: Flowchart for the trace back and/or forward process for cattle movement in Peninsular Malaysia in 2013 under the FMD control program	23
3.2: Traceability pathway for the movement of cattle inter-state and intra-state according to ePermit2 system	24
4.1: Universal applicator gun, Brand: Allflex	37
4.2: Brand of RFID handheld reader, 1=Allflex, 2=Allflex, 3=Cybortra, 4=Ecosensa	37
4.3: Kaplan-Meier survival curves based on retention time of electronic RFID devices across brand	42
4.4: Kaplan-Meier survival curves based on retention time of visual ID devices across brand	43
4.5: Ear necrosis in cattle with Allflex RFID tag	45
4.6: Ear necrosis in cattle with TSG RFID tag	45
4.7: Detachment of male and female portion of TSG RFID tag	45
4.8: Detachment of male and female portion of Ecosensa tag	45
4.9: TSG RFID tag caught on objects and caused split earlobe	46

4.10:	Ecosensa tag caught on objects and caused earlobe tear	46
4.11:	TSG RFID tag failed to be read	46
4.12:	Ecosensa tag stained with soil and dirt	46
6.1:	Map of Peninsular Malaysia showing state (solid black) and district (solid grey) boundaries	67
6.2:	Distribution of out-bound cattle movements by district in Peninsular Malaysia, 2015	74
6.3:	Distribution of in-bound cattle movements by district in Peninsular Malaysia, 2015	75
6.4:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Vertical bar chart showing the number of cattle moved by purpose of movement and year	77
6.5:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Vertical bar chart showing the number of cattle moved by cattle type and year	78
6.6:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Line plot showing the number of movement events per day, 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2015	79
6.7:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Line plot showing the number of cattle moved per day, 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2015	80
6.8:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Frequency histogram showing the distribution of distances over which cattle were moved, by year	81
6.9:	Network graph of cattle movement from January to December 2015. The size and color of nodes represents the degree of movement within a node. Large dark green node indicate higher degree of cattle movement compared to small light blue and white node	84
6.10:	Movement of cattle in Peninsular Malaysia, 2010-2015. Networks of cattle movements (a) 2010; (b) 2013; and (c) 2015	85

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADIC	Animal Disease Information System
AI	Avian influenza
BSE	Bovine spongiform encephalopathy
CI	Confidence Interval
CTS	Cattle Tracing System
Cum	cumulative
D	diameter
DVO	District veterinary office
DVS	Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia
EU	European Union
FMD	Foot and mouth disease
FMDL-Ab	Foot and mouth disease antibody detection
gm	gram
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Geographical Positioning System
H	height
HPAI	Highly pathogenic avian influenza
IBM	International Business Machines
ICAR	International committee for animal recording
ID	Identification
I&R	Identification and registration
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
JUPEM	The Department of Survey and Mapping Malaysia
KAP	Knowledge, attitude, practice
kHz	kilohertz
km ²	square kilometer
Lab	laboratory
MAQIS	Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services
Department	
MATs	Malaysia Animal Traceability System
MLA	Meat Livestock Australia
mm	milimeter
mo	month
Movts	movements
MTM	Malaysia-Thailand-Myanmar
MVSOP	Malaysian Veterinary Standard Operating Procedures
NAIS	National Animal Identification System
N	Number
<i>n</i>	number
NA	Not applicable
NLIS	National Livestock Identification System
No.	Number
NSP	Non-structured protein
OGA	Other Government Agencies
OIE	World Organisation for Animal Health
OR	Odds ratio
PIA	Permit Issuing Agencies
PIC	Premise identification code

P1	primary vaccination
P2	revaccination
QAP	Quadratic assignment procedure
RFID	Radio frequency identification
RM	Ringgit Malaysia
SEA	Southeast Asia
SENASA	National Service for Agrifood Health and Quality
SGS	Sanitary management system
SMK	Sistem Maklumat Kastam
SNA	Social network analysis
SPS	Sanitary and phytosanitary
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TAC	Target area concentration
TB	Tuberculosis
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
VHC	Veterinary health certificate
WP	Wilayah Persekutuan
%	percentage
σ	standard deviation

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Traceability system for live animals and animal products is an important tool to monitor animal health, animal husbandry, public health, food safety and trade (OIE, 2016a). A complete animal traceability system comprises of three main components: animal identification, premise registration and animal movement tracking (Schroeder and Tonsor, 2012). Most developed countries have placed traceability of animal and animal products as a priority to ensure food safety and security (Caporale et al., 2001).

Animal identification is used to identify individual or group of animals. It is the most important tool to achieve traceability along the food supply chain. Common methods used to mark the animals especially cattle, buffaloes, sheep and goats are ear tags, neck chains, freeze brands, rumen boluses, tattoos and leg bands (Neary and Yager, 2002). Animal identification has long been introduced in Malaysia to improve animal traceability (Salina and Azmie, 2013). In cattle, a visual ear tag is the most common identification used. This method of identification is easy to apply and cheap. However, the drawback of this method is the lack of standard numbering system which increase the chance of duplication of ID numbers.

The RFID tag manufacturing sector has grown in tandem with the growth of the livestock industry worldwide (Voulodimos et al., 2010). Animal identification using radio frequency identification (RFID) technology has been introduced to the local animal sector in 2009. The RFID tag is able to keep information of individual animal, which enable tracking of animals being done more effectively throughout the supply chain (Mennecke and Townsend, 2005). Furthermore, Malaysia has been identified as the first Asian nation to implement the livestock tracking program based on RFID technology. This ID system was introduced to control animal disease outbreak especially the foot and mouth disease (FMD) (Swift, 2009). Initially, RFID ear tags were enforced on imported livestock including cattle, buffaloes, sheep and goats. For local animals, this type of ID was implemented on voluntary basis (Salina and Azmie, 2013).

One particular brand of RFID tag has been used by cattle farmers and traders in Malaysia since it was first introduced. This tag was supplied on a complimentary basis to the local smallholder farmers during the FMD vaccination program. However, cattle traders have to bear the cost of RFID tags for their imported cattle (Salina et al., 2015). During the implementation of the RFID tag, numerous complaints have been received from the traders

which included the tag dropped or lost at the quarantine stations and failure to read the tags.

FMD is endemic in Peninsular Malaysia but is working towards disease freedom with vaccination by 2021 (DVS, 2018). Sabah and Sarawak or East Malaysia has been declared as the FMD free zone without vaccination since December 2003 (OIE, 2016b). In 2012, the DVS Malaysia began implementing FMD-free zones in Peninsular Malaysia. Movement control is part of the strategy to achieve disease freedom along with FMD vaccination, FMD non-structured protein testing, veterinary inspection, disinfection, vehicle seal and animal movement documentation (Naheed et al., 2011). It is mandatory to have a veterinary health certificate (VHC) attached with the movement permit for every inter-state consignment. The permit is issued by the state veterinary authority as enforced in Sections 34, 51, 57 and 58, Animal Act 1953 (Revised 2006). Cattle movement for slaughter require an additional slaughter permit (Maznah and Azmie, 2010).

As part of the FMD control program, the DVS Malaysia has developed an online system named ePermit2 system. The system stores information on the animal identification and registration, premises (farm) registration, and inter- and intra-state animal movement (Salina and Azmie, 2013). Animals especially cattle, buffaloes, sheep and goats to be moved to another location are required to have an individual animal identification (Azmie et al., 2010). However, this system is new and need to be evaluated. The present study assesses the ePermit2 system in terms of data adequacy and procedures which will help to uncover issues and gaps in the system.

In this study, social network analysis (SNA) is used to characterize the connections between farms or districts that are involved in the cattle movement events. SNA is a set of mathematical, graphical and theoretical tools for describing networks and their structure. The unit of analysis in network analysis is an entity consisting of a collection of individuals and the linkages among them (Wasserman and Faust, 1994). Ortiz-Pelaez et al. (2006) use SNA to characterize the pattern of animal movements in the FMD epidemic in UK while, Aznar et al. (2011) used it to characterize the movement connections made between regions and districts as a result of cattle movement events in Argentina. In this study, SNA is used to describe the cattle movement in Peninsular Malaysia using data extracted from the ePermit2 system.

1.2 Objectives

The specific objectives of the study were:

1. to evaluate the effectiveness of traceability system for FMD control in Peninsular Malaysia.
2. to determine the performance of several commercial ear tags in terms of their retention and readability in cattle.
3. to evaluate the level of farmers and traders knowledge, attitude, and practices of traceability system.
4. to describe the inter-state movement of cattle in the FMD control program from year 2010 to 2015, and to quantify the similarity of the cattle movement network from one calendar year to the next.

1.3 Hypotheses

In this study, it was hypothesized that:

1. The effectiveness of the system in tracing the physical location of cattle after the inter-state movement is poor.
2. The retention and readability is different given the type of animal identification.
3. The levels of farmers and traders knowledge, attitude and practices of traceability system are poor.
4. Cattle farm-to-farm and farm-to-slaughter movement patterns vary throughout the year and the movement connections made between nodes (districts) from the cattle movement events are concentrated during festive seasons.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, U.N., Hassan, L. and Lee, O.B. (2015). Cattle Importation in Relation to Occurrence of Foot and Mouth Disease in Peninsular Malaysia. Master Thesis. University Putra Malaysia.
- Abila, R., and Foreman, S. (2006). Control of Foot-and-Mouth Disease in Southeast Asia. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Symposium on Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics, Cairns, Queensland, Australia*, 45–49. http://www.sciquest.org.nz/elibrary/download/63751/T6-5.1.1_-_Control_of_foot_and_mouth_disease_in_So.pdf. Accessed 6 June 2017.
- Adesokan, H.K., and Ocheja, S.E. (2014). Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Traceability among Livestock Traders in South-Western Nigeria: Implications for Sustainable Livestock Industry. *Tropical Animal Health Production* 46 (1): 159–65.
- Ahmad, F. (2001). Sustainable Agriculture System in Malaysia. In *Regional Workshop on Integrated Plant Nutrition System (IPNS), Development in Rural Poverty Alleviation, United Nations Conference Complex, Bangkok, Thailand*, 18–20. <http://banktani.tripod.com/faridah.pdf>. Accessed 14 August 2017.
- Ajayi, O.C. (2007). User Acceptability of Sustainable Soil Fertility Technologies: Lessons from Farmers' Knowledge, Attitude and Practice in Southern Africa. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* 30 (3): 21–40.
- Augsburg, J.K. (1990). The Benefits of Animal Identification for Food Safety.” *Journal of Animal Science* 68 (3): 880–883.
- Awad, A.I. (2016). From Classical Methods to Animal Biometrics: A Review on Cattle Identification and Tracking. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 123: 423–435.
- Azmie, M. Z., Suhaimi, A.O., Ahmad, S., Zaliha, A., Salina, A.B., Aida, M., Lim, Y.S., Shahrul, A.T., Madiah, R.A.S., Soh, T.S., Halim, A.T. and Saonah, M.N. (2010). Arahan Prosedur Tetap Veterinar Malaysia : Pengenalan Dan Dayajajak Haiwan, Hasil Dan Produk Serta Premisnya. <http://www.dvs.gov.my/dvs/resources/auto%20download%20images/560caea72da9d.pdf>. Accessed 24 February 2017.
- Aznar, M. N., Stevenson, M.A., Zarich, L. and León, E.A. (2011). Analysis of Cattle Movements in Argentina, 2005. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 98 (2): 119–127.

- Bajardi, P., Barrat, A., Natale, F., Savini L., and Colizza, V. (2011). Dynamical Patterns of Cattle Trade Movements. *PloS One* 6 (5): e19869.
- Bajardi, P., Barrat, A., Savini, L. and Colizza, V. (2012). Optimizing Surveillance for Livestock Disease Spreading through Animal Movements. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 9 (76): 2814–2825.
- Barabási, A., and Bonabea, E. (2003). Scale-Free. *Scientific American* 288 (5): 50–59.
- Bastian, M., Heymann, S. and Jacomy, M. (2009). Gephi: An Open Source Software for Exploring and Manipulating Networks. *Icwsn* 8: 361–362.
- Becker, G.S. (2007). Animal Identification and Meat Traceability. *Animal Agriculture Research Progress*, 91.
- Bigras-Poulin, M., Thompson, R. A., Chriél, M., Mortensen, S. and Greiner, M. (2006). Network Analysis of Danish Cattle Industry Trade Patterns as an Evaluation of Risk Potential for Disease Spread. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 76 (1): 11–39.
- Borgatti, S.P., Mehra, A., Brass, D.J. and Labianca, G. (2009). Network Analysis in the Social Sciences. *Science* 323 (5916): 892–895.
- Borgatti, S.T., Everett, M.G. and Johnson, J.C. (2013). *Analyzing Social Networks*. SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Bosona, T. and Gebresenbet, G. (2013). Food Traceability as an Integral Part of Logistics Management in Food and Agricultural Supply Chain. *Food Control* 33 (1): 32–48.
- Britt, A.G., Bell, C.M., Evers, K. and Paskin, R. (2013). Linking Live Animals and Products: Traceability. *Revue Scientifique et Technique (International Office of Epizootics)* 32 (2): 571–582.
- Broom, D.M. (2007). Traceability of Food and Animals in Relation to Animal Welfare. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Traceability of Agricultural Products*. EMBRAPA Brasilia, Brazil. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Donald_Broom/publication/299986973_Traceability_of_food_and_animals_in_relation_to_animal_welfare/links/5707b8e408aea660813316a6.pdf. Accessed 31 May 2017.
- Burns, M., Prescott, E., Scharko, P. and Wycoff, C. (2015). Beef Cattle: Types of Identification. Clemson University. <https://www.clemson.edu/extension/publications/files/livestock-forages/lf03-beef-cattle-id.pdf>. Accessed 28 February 2017.
- Caja, G., Conill, C., Nehring, R. and Ribó, O. (1999). Development of a Ceramic Bolus for the Permanent Electronic Identification of Sheep, Goat and Cattle. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 24 (1): 45–63.

- Caja, G., Ghirardi, J.J., Hernández-Jover, M. and Garín, D. (2004). Diversity of Animal Identification Techniques: From 'fire Age' to 'electronic Age'. *ICAR Technical Series* 9: 21–39.
- Caporale, V., Giovannini, A., Di Francesco, C. and Calistri, P. (2001). Importance of the Traceability of Animals and Animal Products in Epidemiology. *Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International Des Epizooties* 20 (2): 372–378.
- Carné, S., Caja, G., Ghirardi, J.J. and Salama, A.A.K. (2009). Long-Term Performance of Visual and Electronic Identification Devices in Dairy Goats. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92 (4): 1500–1511.
- CFIA. (2011). Livestock Identification and Traceability. <http://www.inspection.gc.ca/animals/terrestrial-animals/traceability/eng/1300461751002/1300461804752>. Accessed 26 January 2017.
- Charlebois, S., Sterling, B., Haratifar, S. and Naing, S.K. (2014). Comparison of Global Food Traceability Regulations and Requirements. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* 13 (5): 1104–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12101>.
- Corner, L.A.L., Pfeiffer, D.U. and Morris, R.S. (2003). Social-Network Analysis of Mycobacterium Bovis Transmission among Captive Brushtail Possums (*Trichosurus Vulpecula*). *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 59 (3): 147–167.
- Csardi, G., and Nepusz, T. (2006). The Igraph Software Package for Complex Network Research. *InterJournal, Complex Systems* 1695 (5): 1–9.
- Despa, S. (2010). What Is Survival Analysis? Cornell Statistical Consulting Unit, Cornell University. <https://www.cscu.cornell.edu/news/statnews/stnews78.pdf>. Accessed 18 February 2018.
- Domenech, J., Lubroth, J., Eddi, C., Martin, V. and Roger, F. (2006). Regional and International Approaches on Prevention and Control of Animal Transboundary and Emerging Diseases. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1081 (1): 90–107.
- Dubé, C., Ribble, C., Kelton, D. and McNab, B. (2008). Comparing Network Analysis Measures to Determine Potential Epidemic Size of Highly Contagious Exotic Diseases in Fragmented Monthly Networks of Dairy Cattle Movements in Ontario, Canada. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* 55 (9–10): 382–392.
- Dubé, C., Ribble, C., Kelton, D. and McNab, B. (2009). A Review of Network Analysis Terminology and Its Application to Foot-and-Mouth Disease Modelling and Policy Development. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* 56 (3): 73–85.

- Dubé, C., Ribble, C., Kelton, D. and McNab, B. (2011). Introduction to Network Analysis and Its Implications for Animal Disease Modelling. *Revue Scientifique et Technique-OIE* 30 (2): 425.
- Dutta, B.L., Ezanno, P. and Vergu, E. (2014). Characteristics of the Spatio-Temporal Network of Cattle Movements in France over a 5-Year Period. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 117 (1): 79–94.
- DVS. (2009a). Electronic Permit. 2009. <http://epermit.dagangnet.com/epermit.jsp;jsessionid=FAF06E089A5F87DE4D53AB35686393BF>. Accessed 7 June 2017.
- DVS. (2009b). e_PERMIT2. 2009. <https://epermit2.dvs.gov.my/>. Accessed 13 June 2017.
- DVS. (2010). Portal Rasmi AnimalPassport. 2010. http://petpassport.dvs.gov.my/animalpassport_portal/index.php?r=column/cone&id=22. Accessed 7 June 2017.
- DVS. (2011). e_BAKA. 2011. <https://epermit2.dvs.gov.my/ebaka/index.php?atklogout=1>. Accessed 7 June 2017.
- DVS. (2013). Malaysian Burungwalit Registration System. <http://ebndvs.efoodpremise.gov.my/>. Accessed 7 June 2017.
- DVS. (2015a). Livestock Population 2015. 2015. http://www.dvs.gov.my/dvs/resources/user_1/DVS%20pdf/Perangkaan%202015%202016/page_1.pdf. Accessed 18 February 2018.
- DVS. (2015b). Sistem Veterinar Malaysia. <http://svm.dvs.gov.my/>. Accessed 13 June 2017.
- DVS. (2018). National Strategic Plan for FMD Control.
- Edwards, J. (2003). The Importance of Livestock Identification and Movement Control to the Promotion of Animal Disease Control and Facilitation of Trade. <https://www.oie.int/doc/ged/D682.PDF>. Accessed 23 August 2017.
- Effler, P., Ching-Lee, M., Bogard, A., Leong, M., Nekomoto, T. and Jernigan, D. (1999). Statewide System of Electronic Notifiable Disease Reporting From Clinical Laboratories: Comparing Automated Reporting With Conventional Methods. *JAMA* 282 (19): 1845–50. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.19.1845>.
- Ekum, D.E. (2009). Livestock Identification, Traceability and Tracking” http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/drought/docs/livestock%20D.pdf. Accessed 15 June 2017.
- Erdos, P., and Rényi, A. (1960). On the Evolution of Random Graphs. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci* 5 (1): 17–60.

- Esri. (2014). ArcGIS 10.2.2. <https://www.arcgis.com/features/index.html>. Accessed 24 August 2017.
- Fèvre, E.M., Bronsvoort, B.M.C., Hamilton, K.A. and Cleaveland, S. (2006). Animal Movements and the Spread of Infectious Diseases. *Trends in Microbiology* 14 (3): 125–131.
- Forman, S., Gall, F.L., Belton, D., Evans, B., Francois, J.L., Murray, G., Sheesley, D., Vandersmissen, A. and Yoshimura, S. (2009). Moving towards the Global Control of Foot and Mouth Disease: An Opportunity for Donors. *Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz* 28 (3): 883–96.
- Fosgate, G. T., Adesiyun, A.A. and Hird, D.W. (2006). Ear-Tag Retention and Identification Methods for Extensively Managed Water Buffalo (*Bubalus Bubalis*) in Trinidad. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 73 (4): 287–296.
- Gilbert, M., Mitchell, A., Bourn, D., Mawdsley, J., Clifton-Hadley, R. and Wint, W. (2005). Cattle Movements and Bovine Tuberculosis in Great Britain. *Nature* 435 (7041): 491–96. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03548>.
- Gracia, A., and Zeballos, G. (2005). Attitudes of Retailers and Consumers toward the EU Traceability and Labeling System for Beef. *Journal of Food Distribution Research* 36 (3): 45.
- Gregory, G.M. (1997). Permanent Cattle Identification. Department of Animal Science, North Carolina State University. https://projects.ncsu.edu/cals/an_sci/extension/animal/news/sept96/sept96-2.html. Accessed 28 February 2017.
- Hanton, J.P. and Leach, H.A. (1981). Electronic livestock identification system. US4262632 A, filed April 25, 1974, and issued April 21, 1981. <http://www.google.com/patents/US4262632>. Accessed 14 June 2017.
- Heeks, R. (2002). Information Systems and Developing Countries: Failure, Success, and Local Improvisations. *The Information Society* 18 (2): 101–112.
- Hong-da, W. (2012). Application of Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) in Dairy Information Management. *Journal of Northeast Agricultural University (English Edition)* 19 (1): 78–81.
- Humphries, M.D. and Gurney, K. (2008). Network ‘small-World-Ness’: A Quantitative Method for Determining Canonical Network Equivalence. *PloS One* 3 (4): e0002051.
- ICAR. (2016). *ICAR Recording Guidelines*. <http://www.icar.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Guidelines-Edition-2016.pdf>. Accessed 20 July 2017.
- Igbaria, M. (1993). User Acceptance of Microcomputer Technology: An Empirical Test. *Omega* 21 (1): 73–90.

- Ilie-Zudor, E., Kemeny, Z., Egri, P. and Monostori, L. (2006). The RFID Technology and Its Current Applications. *Proceedings of the Modern Information Technology in the Innovation Processes of the Industrial Enterprises-MITIP 5* (7). https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/09bc/85bee08b16eee5cde7e_b27c4dccfe0f5e1dd.pdf. Accessed 25 March 2017.
- JUPEM. (2017). Peta Elektronik (eMAP). Portal Rasmi Jabatan Ukur Dan Pemetaan Malaysia (JUPEM). <https://www.jupem.gov.my/v1/my/produk-perkhidmatan/peta-elektronik-emap/>. Accessed 11 July 2017.
- Khengwa, C., Jongchansittoe, P., Sedwisai, P. and Wiratsudakul, A. (2017). A Traditional Cattle Trade Network in Tak Province, Thailand and Its Potential in the Spread of Infectious Diseases. *Animal Production Science* 57 (1): 152–160.
- Knight-Jones, T.J.D., and Rushton, J. (2013). The Economic Impacts of Foot and Mouth disease—What Are They, How Big Are They and Where Do They Occur? *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 112 (3): 161–173.
- Kurscheid, J., Stevenson, M., Durr, P.A., Toribio, J.L., Kurscheid, S., Ambarawati, I., Abdurrahman, M. and Fenwick, S. (2017). Social Network Analysis of the Movement of Poultry to and from Live Bird Markets in Bali and Lombok, Indonesia. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/tbed.12613/full>. Accessed 6 July 2017.
- Leon, E.A., Stevenson, M.A., Duffy, S.J., Ledesma, M. and Morris, R.S. (2006). A Description of Cattle Movements in Two Departments of Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 76 (1–2): 109–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2006.04.010>.
- Leslie, E.E.C., Christley, R.M., Geong, M., Ward, M.P. and Toribio, J.L.M.L. (2015). Analysis of Pig Movements across Eastern Indonesia, 2009–2010. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 118 (4): 293–305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2014.12.002>.
- Lilinbangsa. (2012). Pengenalan Geografi. <https://lilinbangsa.wordpress.com/2012/05/13/pengenalan-geografi/>. Accessed 19 December 2016.
- Lockhart, C.Y., Stevenson, M.A., Rawdon, T.G., Gerber, N. and French, N.P. (2010). Patterns of Contact within the New Zealand Poultry Industry. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 95 (3): 258–266.
- Mardones, F.O., Donha, H., Thunes, C., Velez, V. and Carpenter, T.E. (2013). The Value of Animal Movement Tracing: A Case Study Simulating the Spread and Control of Foot-and-Mouth Disease in California. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 110: 133–38.
- Marguin, L., and Balvay, B. (2009). Traceability of Beef Production and Industry in France. In *Computer and Computing Technologies in*

Agriculture II, Volume 3, 1797–1805. Springer. http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4419-0213-9_29.

Marquetoux, N., Stevenson, M.A., Wilson, P., Ridler, A. and Heuer, C. (2016). Using Social Network Analysis to Inform Disease Control Interventions. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 126: 94–104.

Martínez-López, B., Perez, A.M. and Sánchez-Vizcaíno, J.M. (2009). Social Network Analysis. Review of General Concepts and Use in Preventive Veterinary Medicine. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* 56 (4): 109–120.

Mawhinney, C.H. and Lederer, A.L. (1990). A Study of Personal Computer Utilization by Managers. *Information & Management* 18 (5): 243–253.

Maznah, A. and Azmie, M.Z. (2010). Arahan Prosedur Tetap Veterinar Malaysia : Kebenaran Sembelih. Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia. <http://www.dvs.gov.my/dvs/resources/auto%20download%20images/560caec256e9d.pdf>. Accessed 24 March 2017.

McGrann, J. and Wiseman, H. (2001). Animal Traceability across National Frontiers in the European Union. *Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International Des Epizooties* 20 (2): 406–410.

Mennecke, B.E. and Townsend, A.M. (2005). Radio Frequency Identification Tagging as a Mechanism of Creating a Viable Producer's Brand in the Cattle Industry. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/matric_researchpapers/3/. Accessed 20 July 2016.

Merriam-Webster. (2017a). Definition of KNOWLEDGE. <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/knowledge>. Accessed 3 May 2017.

Merriam-Webster. (2017b). Definition of PRACTICE. <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/practice>. Accessed 3 May 2017.

Mitchell, A., Bourn, D., Mawdsley, J., Wint, W., Clifton-Hadley, R. and Gilbert, M. (2005). Characteristics of Cattle Movements in Britain – an Analysis of Records from the Cattle Tracing System. *Animal Science* 80 (03): 265–273. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ASC50020265>.

MLA. (2014). National Livestock Identification System. <http://www.mla.com.au/Meat-safety-and-traceability/National-Livestock-Identification-System>. Accessed 20 July 2017.

MLA. (2016a). NLIS Cattle. <http://www.mla.com.au/meat-safety-and-traceability/national-livestock-identification-system/nlis-cattle/>. Accessed 20 July 2017.

MLA. (2016b). Tagging Livestock <https://www.mla.com.au/meat-safety-and-traceability/red-meat-integrity-system/about-the-national-livestock-identification-system-2015/tagging-livestock/>. Accessed 7 August 2017.

- Morse, S. (2004). Factors and Determinants of Disease Emergence. *Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International Des Épizooties* 23 (2): 443–452.
- Mubiru, R., Namirimu, T., Owino, S., Kyalingonza, L., Nyadoi, P. and Buyinza, J. (2013). From Extensive to Semi-Intensive Livestock Production Systems in Uganda's Albertine Rift: Practical Interventions Manual. http://www.academia.edu/11454967/From_Extensive_to_Semi-intensive_Livestock_Production_Systems_in_Uganda_s_Albertine_Rift_Practical_Interventions_Manual. Accessed 14 August 2017.
- Mweu, M.M., Fournie, G., Halasa, T., Toft, N. and Nielsen, S.S. (2013). Temporal Characterisation of the Network of Danish Cattle Movements and Its Implication for Disease Control: 2000-2009. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 110: 379–87.
- Naheed, M.M.H., Mokhtar, M.A., Salina, A.B. and Muhammad-Nazri, K. (2011). Protokol Veterinar Malaysia : Penyakit Kuku Dan Mulut (Foot and Mouth Disease). <http://www.dvs.gov.my/dvs/resources/auto%20download%20images/560cae0df382e.pdf>. Accessed 20 July 2016.
- Natale, F., Giovannini, A., Savini, L., Palma, D., Possenti, L., Fiore, G. and Calistri, P. (2009). Network Analysis of Italian Cattle Trade Patterns and Evaluation of Risks for Potential Disease Spread. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 92 (4): 341–350.
- Neary, M. and Yager, A. (2002). "Methods of Livestock Identification." Purdue University. http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download;jsessionid=0B6_B9F917411961E301DDE3E2CAE9E9E?doi=10.1.1.8.4912&rep=rep1&type=pdf. Accessed 25 May 2016.
- Noremark, M., Håkansson, N., Lewerin, S.S., Lindberg, A. and Jonsson, A. (2011). Network Analysis of Cattle and Pig Movements in Sweden: Measures Relevant for Disease Control and Risk Based Surveillance. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 99 (2–4): 78–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2010.12.009>.
- OIE. (2016a). General Principles on Identification and Traceability of Live Animals. http://www.oie.int/index.php?id=169&L=0&htmfile=chapitre_ident_traceability.htm. Accessed 20 July 2017.
- OIE. (2016b). List of FMD Free Members: OIE - World Organisation for Animal Health. <http://www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world/official-disease-status/fmd/list-of-fmd-free-members/>. Accessed 20 July 2017.
- OIE. (2017). Prevention and Control: OIE - World Organisation for Animal Health. <http://www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world/fmd-portal/prevention-and-control/>. Accessed 26 January 2017.

- Okunade, E.O. (2007). Effectiveness of Extension Teaching Methods in Acquiring Knowledge, Skill and Attitude by Women Farmers in Osun State. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research* 3 (4): 282–286.
- Oladele, O.I. (2008). Factors Determining Farmers' Willingness to Pay for Extension Services in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Agricultura Tropica et Subtropica* 41 (4): 165–70.
- Opara, L.U. and Mazaud, F. (2001). Food Traceability from Field to Plate. *Outlook on Agriculture* 30 (4): 239–47. <https://doi.org/10.5367/000000001101293724>.
- Ortiz-Pelaez, A., Pfeiffer, D.U., Soares-Magalhaes, R.J. and Guitian, F.J. (2006). Use of Social Network Analysis to Characterize the Pattern of Animal Movements in the Initial Phases of the 2001 Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD) Epidemic in the UK. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 76 (1–2): 40–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2006.04.007>.
- Oxford. (2018). Definition of Non-Compliance in English by Oxford Dictionaries. Oxford Dictionaries | English. 2018. <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/non-compliance>. Accessed 31 January 2018.
- Pendell, D.L. (2006). Value of Animal Traceability Systems in Managing a Foot-and-Mouth Disease Outbreak in Southwest Kansas. Kansas State University. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Dustin_Pendell/publication/29867530_Value_of_animal_traceability_systems_in_managing_a_foot-and-mouth_disease_outbreak_in_southwest_Kansas/links/00b7d521b430ab585b000000.pdf. Accessed 20 July 2016.
- Pendergrass, E. (2007). *A Comparison of International Animal Identification Programs*. National Agricultural Law Center. http://www.nationalaglawcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/assets/articles/pendergrass_internationalanimalid.pdf. Accessed 20 July 2016.
- Phillips, C.J.C, Foster, C.R.W., Morris, P.A. and Teverson, R. (2003). The Transmission of Mycobacterium Bovis Infection to Cattle. *Research in Veterinary Science* 74 (1): 1–15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-5288\(02\)00145-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-5288(02)00145-5).
- Poolkhet, C., Kasemsuwan, S., Seng, S., Keartha, C., Sokmao, C., Shin, M., Kalpravidh, W. and Hinrichs, J. (2016). Social Network Analysis of Cattle Movement in Kampong Cham, Kampong Speu and Takeo, Cambodia. *Acta Tropica* 159 (July): 44–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.03.027>.
- QGIS. (2017). Welcome to the QGIS Project! <https://www.qgis-project.org/>. Accessed 11 July 2017.
- Ramesh, B. (1998). Factors Influencing Requirements Traceability Practice. *Communications of the ACM* 41 (12): 37–44.

- Regan, G., McCaffery, F., McDaid, K. and Flood, D. (2012). The Barriers to Traceability and Their Potential Solutions: Towards a Reference Framework. In *Software Engineering and Advanced Applications (SEAA), 2012 38th EUROMICRO Conference on*, 319–322. IEEE. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6328169/>. Accessed 10 February 2017.
- Roberts, A.J., Wallace, L.E., Harbac, M. and Paterson, J.A. (2012). CASE STUDY: Retention and Readability of Radio Frequency Identification Transponders in Beef Cows over a 5-Year Period 1. *The Professional Animal Scientist* 28 (2): 221–26. [https://doi.org/10.15232/S1080-7446\(15\)30343-0](https://doi.org/10.15232/S1080-7446(15)30343-0).
- Rweyemamu, M., Roeder, P., MacKay, D., Sumption, K., Brownlie, J. and Leforban Y. (2008). Planning for the Progressive Control of Foot-and-Mouth Disease Worldwide. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* 55 (1): 73–87.
- Rweyemamu, M., Roeder, P., Mackay, D., Sumption, K., Brownlie, J., Leforban, Y., Valarcher, J.F., Knowles, N.J. and Saraiva, V. (2008). Epidemiological Patterns of Foot-and-Mouth Disease Worldwide. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* 55 (1): 57–72.
- Salina, A.B. and Azmie, M.Z. (2009). Arahan Prosedur Tetap Veterinar Malaysia : Pengurusan Kebenaran Pindah Haiwan Dan Produk Haiwan Antara Negeri Di Malaysia. Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia. <http://www.dvs.gov.my/dvs/resources/auto%20download%20images/560cae54d177c.pdf>. Accessed 24 March 2017.
- Salina, A.B. and Azmie, M.Z. (2011). Arahan Prosedur Tetap Veterinar Malaysia : Pengurusan Kebenaran Pindah Haiwan, Hasil Dan Produk Haiwan Dalam Negeri. Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia. <http://www.dvs.gov.my/dvs/resources/auto%20download%20images/560caea4b4c94.pdf>. Accessed 24 March 2017.
- Salina, A. B. and Azmie, M.Z. (2013). Development, Establishment and Current Achievement of Animal Traceability System in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Animal Science* 16 (2): 83–97.
- Salina, A. B., Hassan, L., Saharee, A.A., Stevenson, M.A. and Ghazali, K. (2015). Farmers and Traders Willingness to Use and Pay for Animal Identification and Traceability System in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Veterinary Research* 6 (2): 1-8.
- Schroeder, T.C. and Tonsor, G.T. (2012). International Cattle ID and Traceability: Competitive Implications for the US. *Food Policy* 37 (1): 31–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2011.10.005>.
- Schwägele, F. (2005). Traceability from a European Perspective. *Meat Science* 71 (1): 164–173.

- Schwalm, A. and Georg, H. (2011). Elektronische Tierkennzeichnung–ISO-Standards Und Aktuelle Situation in Deutschland. *Landbauforschung–vTi Agriculture and Forestry Research* 61 (4): 283–288.
- Smith, G.C., Pendell, D.L., Belk, K.E., Tatum, J.D., Sofos, J.N. and Morris, D.L. (2009). Opinions of Those in Cattle, Swine, and Sheep Slaughtering and Rendering Sectors Regarding Aspects of the National Animal Identification System. *The Professional Animal Scientist* 25 (6): 641–653.
- Stanford, K., Stitt, J., Kellar, J.A. and McAllister, T.A. (2001). Traceability in Cattle and Small Ruminants in Canada. *Revue Scientifique et Technique-Office International Des Epizooties* 20 (2): 510–522.
- Stankovski, S., Ostojic, G., Senk, I., Rakic-Skokovic, M., Trivunovic, S. and Kucevic, D. (2012). Dairy Cow Monitoring by RFID. *Scientia Agricola* 69 (1): 75–80.
- Stegeman, A., Bouma, A., Elbers, A.R., Jong, M., Nodelijk, G., Klerk, F., Koch, G. and Boven, M. (2004). Avian Influenza A Virus (H7N7) Epidemic in The Netherlands in 2003: Course of the Epidemic and Effectiveness of Control Measures. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 190 (12): 2088–2095.
- Stevenson, M.A. (2014). An Introduction to Social Network Analysis. Massey University.
- Swift, J. (2009). Malaysia Begins RFID-Enabled Livestock Tracking Program. SecureIDNews. April 6, 2009. <http://www.secureidnews.com/news-item/malaysia-begins-rfid-enabled-livestock-tracking-program/>. accessed 20 July 2016.
- Thakur, K.K., Revie, C.W., Hurnik, D., Poljak, Z. and Sanchez, J. (2016). Analysis of Swine Movement in Four Canadian Regions: Network Structure and Implications for Disease Spread. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* 63 (1). <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/tbed.12225/full>. Accessed 1 August 2017.
- Thomson, G.R., Tambi, E.N., Hargreaves, S.K., Leyland, T.J., Catley, A.P., Klooster, G.G. and Penrith, M.L. (2004). International Trade in Livestock and Livestock Products: The Need for a Commodity-Based Approach. *The Veterinary Record* 155 (14): 429–433.
- Tonsor, G.T. and Schroeder, T.C. (2006). Livestock Identification: Lessons for the US Beef Industry from the Australian System. *Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing* 18 (3–4): 103–118.
- Trautman, D., Goddard, E.L. and Nilsson, T. (2008). *Traceability: A Literature Review*. Department of Rural Economy, University of Alberta. http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ellen_Goddard/publication/464727

64_Traceability_--_A_Literature_Review/links/0fcfd507e541adf706000000.pdf. Accessed 20 July 2016.

Trevarthen, A. (2007). The National Livestock Identification System: The Importance of Traceability in E-Business. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research* 2 (1): 49.

USDA. (2007). Program Standards and Technical Reference. <http://farmandranchfreedom.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/usda-nais-program-standards-technical-reference-october2007.pdf>. Accessed 14 December 2016.

USDA. (2015). Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) Response Ready Reference Guide— Quarantine, Movement Control, and Continuity of Business. https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergency_management/downloads/fmd_rrg_cob_qmc_plan.pdf. Accessed 26 January 2017.

VanderWaal, K.L, Picasso, C., Enns, E.A., Craft, M.E., Alvarez, J., Fernandez, F., Gil, A., Perez, A. and Wells, S. (2016). Network Analysis of Cattle Movements in Uruguay: Quantifying Heterogeneity for Risk-Based Disease Surveillance and Control. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 123: 12–22.

Velthuis, A.G.J. and Mourits, M.C.M. (2007). Effectiveness of Movement-Prevention Regulations to Reduce the Spread of Foot-and-Mouth Disease in The Netherlands.” *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 82 (3): 262–281.

Vernon, M.C. (2011). Demographics of Cattle Movements in the United Kingdom. *BMC Veterinary Research* 7: 31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-6148-7-31>.

Voulodimos, A.S., Patrikakis, C.Z., Sideridis, A.B., Ntafis, V.A. and Xylouri, E.M. (2010). A Complete Farm Management System Based on Animal Identification Using RFID Technology. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 70 (2): 380–388.

Wallace, L.E., Paterson, J.A., Clark, R., Harbac, M. and Kellom, A. (2008). Readability of Thirteen Different Radio Frequency Identification Ear Tags by Three Different Multi-Panel Reader Systems for Use in Beef Cattle. *The Professional Animal Scientist* 24 (5): 384–391.

Wasserman, S., and Faust, K. (1994). *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications*. Cambridge University Press.

Watts, D.J., and Strogatz, S.H. (1998). Collective Dynamics Of'small-World'networks. *Nature* 393 (6684): 440.

WebFinance. (2017). How Has This Term Impacted Your Life?” 2017. <http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/attitude.html>. Accessed 3 May 2017.

- Wey, T., Blumstein, D.T., Shen, W. and Jordán, F. (2008). Social Network Analysis of Animal Behaviour: A Promising Tool for the Study of Sociality. *Animal Behaviour* 75 (2): 333–344.
- Wismans, W.M.G. (1999). Identification and Registration of Animals in the European Union. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 24 (1): 99–108.
- Wongsathapornchai, K., Salman, M.D., Edwards, J.R., Paul S. Morley, P.S., Keefe, T.J., Campen, H. and Weber, S. (2008). Assessment of the Likelihood of the Introduction of Foot-and-Mouth Disease through Importation of Live Animals into the Malaysia-Thailand-Myanmar Peninsula. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 69 (2): 252–260.
- Xing, Y., Li, H., Zhang, J. and Fu, Z. (2008). RFID Based Traceability System For Sheepbreeding. In *International Conference on Computer and Computing Technologies in Agriculture*, 2275–2281. Springer. http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4419-0213-9_80. Accessed 24 August 2016.
- Yali, F., Xiumin, W. and Xiaofeng, L. (2011). Analyses on the Willingness and the Influence Factors of the Provider to Establish the Livestock Products Traceability System—take Chengdu for Example. *International Business Management* 5 (3): 173–177.