

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MALKOM KHAN'S THOUGHT ON POLITICAL MODERNIZATION IN IRAN

KAMYAB SHAHRIARI

FEM 2016 24



MALKOM KHAN'S THOUGHT ON POLITICAL MODERNIZATION IN IRAN



By

KAMYAB SHAHRIARI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

January 2016

COPYRIGHT

All materials containing within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

G



DEDICATION

To my parents

For their support and encouragement

With deepest expression of love and appreciation



C

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in the Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MALKOM KHAN'S THOUGHT ON POLITICAL MODERNIZATION IN IRAN

By

KAMYAB SHAHRIARI

January 2016

Chairperson : Ku Hasnita Ku Samsu, PhD Faculty : Human Ecology

This research studied the subject of *Malkom Khan's Thoughts on Political Modernization in Iran*. The objectives of this study are to evaluate Malkom Khan's ideas toward political modernization in the first and second period of his thoughts (1857-1908) and to assess reasons that led to a change in Malkom Khan's political thoughts on political modernization.

To do this research, the biographical method was used to analyze data collected during the whole period of the research. As a biographic research, this research was conducted by using both the primary and secondary data together. The primary data in this research was obtained from Malkom Khan's books and documents (letters, correspondence and reports) and the secondary data was obtained from books, articles and critiques written by other researchers. In this research, the theory of political modernization was used to analyze Malkom Khan's political thoughts on modernization. As a political scholar Malkom Khan, introduced a modernization program in the framework of *Saltanate Motlagheye Montazem* (Law-based Absolute Monarchy) in his first period of his endeavors in order to modernize the structure of government. This method can be named as top-down political modernization and here, he paid more attention on modernization of political structure and his main goal was creation of modern and new structure. In fact, this type of government Malkom tried to establish was a government based on the concept of nation-state, although his approach to nation-state was focused predominantly on state building.

However, a number of historical and personal events during Malkom Khan's life occurred later which led him to change his initial ideas and he adopted a bottom-up political modernization course. These events were: Lottery affairs and Malkom Khan's subsequent dismissal, the Tobacco Movement, and failure of Sepahsalar's reform. In the second period, Malkom's idea changed and he introduced parliamentary constitutional monarchy and proposed separation of powers in order to limit the power of the king and national consultative assembly; his main goal on political modernization during this period was nation - building by bottom-up political modernization from bottom-up. Additionally, Malkom Khan during this period of modernization of political structure also paid attention to modernization of political culture and also the religious and cultural aspects of society. Malkom Khan in the second period of his political thought and activity realized the important role of religion and religious culture of the people and found it imperative to take into due consideration the religious culture of the people in order to promote his thoughts. He concluded that no bottom-up modernization plan would be successful unless he could reconcile modern and Western thoughts with Islamic beliefs and thoughts.

Therefore, he attempted in his writings to bring evidences from the *hadith* and *Quran* to achieve this reconciliation, and attempted to illustrate that there was no contradiction between Islam and modern and Western thoughts. This was to prevent possible opposition from the clergy and conservatives to his thoughts and also in order to attract the support of the progressive class of clergymen with his political modernization thoughts, which were developed on the basis of parliamentary constitutional monarchy.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMIKIRAN MALKOM KHAN MENGENAI PEMODENAN POLITIK DI IRAN

Oleh

KAMYAB SHAHRIARI

Januari 2016

Pengerusi : Ku Hasnita Ku Samsu, PhD Fakulti : Ekologi Manusia

Penyelidikan ini mengkaji subjek *Pemikiran Malkom Khan Mengenai Pemodenan Politik di Iran*. Objektif kajian ini ialah untuk menyelidik idea Malkom Khan ke arah pemodenan politik dalam tempoh pertama dan kedua pemikiran beliau (1857-1908) dan mengkaji sebab-sebab berlakunya perubahan tersebut.

Kaedah biografi telah digunakan menganalisa data yang dikumpul sepanjang tempoh kajian ini. Sebagai kajian biografi, kajian ini dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan kedua-dua data utama dan sekunder. Data utama diperolehi daripada buku, dokumen (bahan surat-menyurat dan laporan) manakala data sekunder didapati melalui buku, artikel dan kritikan yang ditulis oleh penyelidik lain. Dalam kajian ini, teori pemodenan politik telah diguna untuk mengkaji pemikiran Malkom Khan tentang modenisasi. Sebagai seorang cendekiawan politik, Malkom memperkenalkan program modenisasi dalam rangka kerja Saltanate Motlagheye Montazem (monarki mutlak berdasarkan undang-undang) dalam fasa pertama usaha beliau untuk membawa pemodenan kepada struktur kerajaan. Kaedah ini boleh dikatakan sebagai pemodenan politik dari atas ke bawah, dan di sini beliau menumpukan lebih perhatian terhadap pemodenan struktur politik dan objektif utama ialah mencipta struktur politik baru dan moden. Sebenarnya, kerajaan sedemikian yang cuba diasaskan oleh Malkom berlandaskan konsep 'negara bangsa' (nation-state), walaupun tumpuan utama beliau adalah dalam pembinaan negara. Namun beberapa peristiwa sejarah dan peribadi dalam kehidupan Malkom Khan menyebabkan beliau menukar idea-idea awalnya, dan mengikut hala tuju pemodenan politik dari akar umbi. Peristiwa berkenaan termasuk skandal loteri dan pemecatan Malkom seterusnya, gerakan tembakau, dan kegagalan reformasi Sepahsalar.

Dalam fasa yang kedua, idea-idea Malkom berubah dan beliau telah memperkenalkan sistem raja berperlembagaan parlimen dan mencadangkan pemisahan kuasa supaya kuasa raja dan majlis perundingan kebangsaan dapat dibendung; matlamat utama beliau berhubung pemodenan politik pada masa ini ialah membina negara melaui pemodenan politik dari bawah ke atas. Dalam tempoh masa ini, selain pemodenan struktur politik,

Malkom juga memberi tumpuan kepada pemodenan budaya politik dan budaya keagamaan di kalangan masyarakat.

Oleh yang demikian, Malkom melalui tulisannya cuba memberi bukti dari hadith dan Al-Quran untuk mencapai perdamaian dan cuba meyakinkan bahawa tidak ada percanggahan di antara pemikiran Islam dan pemikiran moden barat. Ini bertujuan mengelak tentangan yang mungkin berpunca daripada golongan ulama dan konservatif. Ia juga bertujuan meraih sokongan golongan ulama progresif terhadap pemikiran pemodenan politik Malkom Khan yang berasaskan raja berperlembagaan parlimen.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is with great pleasure that I express my appreciation to the various people who provided me with their full assistance and support in completing this thesis. I appreciate all members of my supervisory committee; Dr. Ku Hasnita Ku Samsu provided me with their full assistance a appreciate all members of my supervisory committee; Dr. Ku Hasnita Ku Samsu Prof. Dr. Sarjit Singh Darshan Singh, and Dr. Amaludin Bin Ab Rahman who directed my work through their guidance and critiques. And I would like to personally thank those who have enabled me to start and complete this study with their help, support and my father and my mother who believe in me and always encourage me to continue education and wish to see my success. I would like to dedicate this research to all my family including my parents, my brother and my sister.



This thesis is submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ku Hasnita Ku Samsu, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Sarjit Singh A/L Darshan Singh, PhD Associate Professor

Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Amaludin Ab. Rahman, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- This thesis is my original work;
- Quotation, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- This thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other instructions;
- Intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- Written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journal, modules, proceeding, pouplar writing, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in Uneversiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- There is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No: Kamyab Shahriari, GS18869

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:	
Name of	
Chairman of	
Supervisory	
	Dr. Ku Hasnita Ku Samsu
Signature:	
Name of	
Member of	
Supervisory	
	Associate Professor Dr. Sarjit Singh A/L Darshan Singh
commutee.	Associate i foressor Di. Sarjit Shigh A/L Darshan Shigh

Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Dr. Amaludin Ab. Rahman

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AB AC AP	BSTRAC BSTRAK CKNOW PROVA CCLARA	LEDGEMENT AL	Page i iii v vi vi viii
CH	IAPTER	ł	
1	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background of the Study	1
	1.2	Statement of the Problem	2
	1.3	Research Questions	4
	1.4	Research Objectives	4
	1.5	Significance of the Study	4
	1.6	Scope and Limitation	5
	1.7	Organization of the Study	6
	1.8	Summary	6
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1		7
	2.2	The Theory of Modernization: Historical overview	7
	2.3	Theoretical Context of Modernization School	8
	2.4	The Schools of Political Modernization Theory	8
		2.4.1 Studies of Social Process	8
		2.4.2 Historical – Comparative Studies	10
		2.4.3 Structural- Functionalism Studies	10
	2.5	Modernization in Iran	13
		2.5.1 Modenization during the Qajar Era	13
		2.5.2 Modernization in the Constitutional Era	15
		2.5.3 Modernization during Pahlavi Dynasty	17
		2.5.4 Modernization and Reformation in the Islamic Republic	20
	2.6	Literature Review on Nation-State Building	24
		2.6.1 Historical Process of Nation-State Building	24
	0.7	2.6.2 Nation-State in Iran	28
	2.7	Previous Studies	31
		2.7.1 Historical Approach	32
	2.0	2.7.2 Political Approach	35
	2.8	Theoretical Framework	38
		2.8.1 Political Modernization Theory	38
		2.8.2 Conceptual Framework2.8.3 The Implication of Political Modernization Theory	41 44
	2.9	Summary	44 44
-			
3		THODOLOGY	45
	3.1	Introduction	45
	3.2	Biographical Method	45
	3.3	Data Collection Tecniques	47

	3.3.1 Sources of Data	47
	3.4 Data Analysis	49
	3.4.1 Content Analysis	50
	3.4.2 Biographical Data Chronology	51
	3.4.3 Historical Analysis	51
	3.5 Summary	52
	5.5 Summary	52
4	MALKOM KHAN'S BIOGRAPHY	53
	4.1 Introduction	53
	4.2 Malkom Khan's Background and Early Life	53
	4.3 Beginning of Service	54
	4.4 Iran- British Treaty of 1857	56
	4.5 Malkom Khan and the Establishment of Faramooshkhaneh as a	
	Party	57
	4.6 Malkom Khan during Exile in Iraq	62
	4.7 Malkom Khan as Iran's Counselor in Istanbul	63
	4.8 Malkom Khan as Assistant to Sepahsalar (The Chancellor)	67
	4.9 Malkom Khan as Iran Ambassador in London	70
	4.10 Malkom Khan and Lottery Affairs	73
	4.11 Publication of Qanun Newspaper	74
	4.12 Tobacco Movement	76
	4.12 Tobacco Movement 4.13 Malkom Khan as Iran's Ambassador in Rome	78
		78
	4.14 Malkom Khan's Last years and Death	
	4.15 Summary	81
5	MALKOM KHAN'S THOUGHTS ON POLITICAL	
	MODERNIZATION IN THE FIRST PERIOD (1857-1889)	82
	5.1 Introduction	82
	5.2 Political Structure	82
	5.2.1 Iran's Political Structure during Qajar Era	82
	5.3 Political Structure in Malkom Khan's Thought	86
	5.3.1 Government Based on Law as a Structure	86
	5.3.2 Law-Based Absolute Monarchy Malkom Khan's ideal	
	Type of Government for Iran	89
	5.3.3 The Structure of Law-Based Absolute Monarchy	91
	5.4 Adoption of Civilization and Principles of Progress from the West	
	and Establishing them in Iran without Change	93
	5.5 Nation-State	96
	5.6 Nation Rights in the Theory of Law-Based Absolute Monarchy	99
	5.7 Summary	103
	·	
6	MALKOM KHAN'S THOUGHTS ON POLITICAL	104
	MODERNIZATION IN THE SECOND PERIOD (1889-1908)	104
	6.1 Introduction	104
	6.2 Political Structure	104
	6.3 Parliamentary Constitutional Monarchy as the Ideal Type of	101
	Government for Iran	104
	6.4 National Consultative Assembly105	105
	6.5 Separation of Powers	107
	6.6 Creating the League of Humanity as a Party	109
	6.7 Nation-State	112

xi

	6.8	Dealing with Religious Culture of the People			
		6.8.1	Reconciling of Modern Political Ideas with Islamic		
			Beliefs	115	
		6.8.2	Attracting of Clergymen as an Effective Force	119	
		6.8.3	Secularization of Religion	122	
	6.9	Politica	al Culture	123	
		6.9.1	Criticizing and Desecrating King's Authority	124	
		6.9.2	Political Culture of Law Demanding	125	
		6.9.3	Secularization of Political Culture	126	
		6.9.4	Introducing the Principles of Humanity	127	
		6.9.5	Participant Political Culture as a Modern Political Culture	129	
	6.10	Conclu	sion	130	
7	SUM	MARY	AND CONCLUSION	132	
	7.1	Introdu	ction	132	
	7.2	Summa	ary and Conclusion	132	
	7.3	Summa	ary	135	
DEE		CEC		10.6	
	EREN			136 147	
	APPENDICES				
BIOD	DATA	OF STU	JDENT	177	

 \bigcirc

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

At the dawn of the 19th century, Iran was in a chaotic and backward situation in terms of social, political, economical and cultural conditions. Political characteristics and the structure of the Iranian government were also traditional and undeveloped. Such backwardness that had its roots in the tradition and traditional structure of previous governments was intensified by the chronic inadequacies of Qajar dynasty.

According to many scholars, including Katouzian (2003), Foran (1993), Lambton (2007), Keddie (1999), Afary (1996) and Abrahamian (2004), before the 1906 revolution the political system of Iran was an absolute monarchy having features such as hierarchical power structure, lacking in democratic legitimacy, and being based on tradition. Absolute monarchy with retardation of socio-economic reforms led to a deplorable situation in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. Absolute government has been always one of the most enduring characters of the political power structure during the history in Iran. Absolutism, absolutist monarchy, increasing oppression of court and government officials and cruel, repressive measures towards the Iranian general populace were the main traits of the Iranian government at that time (Avery, 1993). The laws comprised orders and edicts, which came from an absolute and despotic ruler and was apt to be altered at his whim. The king was considered as the shadow of God on earth to whom everybody owed full and unquestionable obedience. There was no modern concept of nation and citizenship rights.

The shock of defeat in the wars with Russia in 1813 and 1828, in which Iran lost large chunks of the country, brought into contrast the inefficiency and backwardness of political-social structures of Iran. The defeat showed the government officials and political authorities the need to reform and to modernize Iranian society. An increase in the number of travels to overseas made by some diplomats and business mens provided them with the opportunity to be familiar with the political situation of the western countries, governing structure of European countries. Moreover, it gave them the chance to comprehend issues such as press freedom, the workings and mechanisms of political parties and movements, the sanctity of law, the limitation of the ruler's power and the responsibility of the government towards the nation's elected representatives. All these along with modern sciences and inventions illuminated Iranian people and pushed them forward to ask for change and reform.

The pioneers of modernization in Iran were Abbas Mirza, Ghaem Magham Farahani and Amir Kabir. They undertook some measures to reform and modernize Iran. Indeed, the modernization of Iran was initiated by Abbas Mirza by means of military modernization. After Iran's defeats in the wars with Russia, Abbas Mirza strove to modernize the Iranian army with assistance from the French and for this purpose; a French military delegation led by General Gardan visited Iran to modernize its military. Abbas Mirza's attempts at reforms, however, did not go beyond Iran's military modernization, and even in that, he had limited success.

The second phase of modernization in Iran was undertaken by Ghaem Magham Farahani, who tried to bring elements of modernization to the bureaucracy and the court and made attempts to organize them in accordance with that of Western developed countries (Rahmani, 2005b). His reforms too, did not endure and was brought to an abrupt end when he was murdered by Mohammad Shah Qajar. The third step of the modernization in Iran was undertaken by Amir Kabir, the Shah's chancellor. The establishment of the Dar al-Fonun School, and the Vaghayeh Etefaghiyeh newspaper, modernization of the tax system, military modernization, reforming the judicial system, establishment of the post office, and reformation of Iran's foreign policy were among his most notable reform actions (Adamiyyat, 2007). In fact, Amir Kabir's actions were more extensive and meaningful than those of Abbas Mirza and Ghaem Magham, but they did not extend into the realm of political modernization. The first person who proposed a specific program for political modernization in Iran was Malkom Khan. He was the most distinguished and influential political scholar and activist in the period leading to the Constitutional Movement and formulated a comprehensive plan for the political modernization of Iran.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

In traditional and transitional societies, political modernization is a necessity because in these societies, political modernization is considered as a socio-political necessity more than in other societies as people can gain the needed political awareness under such a process.

Malkom Khan was the first scholar who was aware of the fast-paced and relentless efforts of the modern West to dominate the Third World, especially Iran. According to Malkom Khan, Iran's transition from a traditional society to a modern society had been inevitable and the only way for Iran to survive was modernization - specifically political modernization. In most of his books, treatises, letters and reports, he noted the necessity for change and modernization of social and political structures, the need for law and order in society. As he explicitly stated in the treatise *Dastgahe Divan:* The government must be based on law and order as European governments are or they will be broken down or subdued by their strength (Malkom Khan, 2002a).

Malkom Khan believed that modernization of the political structure of the country was the only way to establish security, order, justice and equality as well as the development of the country. In his view, converting the political system from an arbitrary government into a law-based government having rule of law, could protect people's rights, lives and property legally, within the framework of national interest.

In fact, political modernization was Malkom Khan's political ideal, and central to this idealist concept was the rule of law. Law was the golden key to Utopia while an absolute and lawless government had acted as an impediment for the creation of this desired vision of an enlightened government. A deeper study of Malkom Khan's works

showed two phases of intellectual transformation in his thoughts. In one phase, he first brought up the idea of a law- based absolute monarchy and considered it as a substitute for an absolutist government. In fact, Malkom Khan was a propagator of the idea of law-based absolute monarchy, (law as the basis and method of its operation). In the second period of his political career, after publishing the *Qanun* newspaper and revising his political thoughts, he advocated Parliamentary Constitutional Monarchy as the best model of government for Iran and he also started advocating the political modernization process from bottom.

Political modernization in the transitional society of Iran, which experienced long period of despotic governments, is of utmost importance. This was because Iran society after the defeat in the war with Russia in the first two decades of eighteenth century tended to lean towards political modernization and advocated of constitutional government. The Constitutional Revolution of 1906 was the outcome of the efforts of Iran society to meet these demands. However, the revolution and its goal, which was political modernization, failed and consolidation of despotic government was the outcome of this failure. The Islamic Revolution of 1979, which held similar goals of Constitutional Revolution, also suffered the same fate and none of those goals have been incarnated in a tangible way. Malkom Khan as reformist was the first Iranian scholar who attempted to struggle for individual rights and freedom, law, rule of law. He was the first scholar who spoke about the necessity of establishment of Parliamentary Constitutional Monarchy and national consultative assembly. Constitutional Revolution of 1906 was propelled based on the same model Malkom Khan had depicted in *Qanun* newspaper years ago (Adamiyyat, 2009; Ajdani, 2007a). The secret associations were affected by Malkom Khan's thought either in the form of organization or political thought. Secret proclamations which printed and distributed in this period and encouraging the people to rebellion and revolution were influenced by Malkom Khan's thought (Abadian, 2009; Lambton, 1987).

Malkom Khan was the first political activist to establish an organized political party in the modern sense (Adamiyyat, 2009; Panahi, 2004). His actions and thoughts were the most important intellectual resources of the 1906 Constitutional Movement and the most important intellectuals of that period such as Akhundzadeh, Mirza Agha Khan Kermani, Talebof and Seyed Jamal al-Din Afghani were influenced by his ideas (Safari, 1998). Malkom Khan's greatest significance in the Iranian society lies in his quest for law (Qanun) and the establishment of strong centeralized state. Malkom Khan presented many separate laws and several articles containing all the basic elements of a constitution in Iran. The importance of the intellectual and political thoughts of Malkom Khan in the political modernization process in contemporary Iran thus, warrants a study and analysis of his political ideas in detail. Although content of reforms and modernization program in the period of Malkom Khan to some extent differs from with the content of political modernization in the current period; but critical study of Malkom Khan's thoughts and activities as most prominent scholar in the Constitutional era can contribute to understand the nature of political modernization process in Iran. Because political modernization process in Iran is still in a state of flux and has not reached political maturity.

In reviewing the literature on Malkom Khan, the researcher has found a lack of research about Malkom Khan's thought on political modernization. Therefore, the major contribution of this study is to examine the concepts of political modernization in Malkom Khan's political thought, written in two different periods of his political life. As a result, the research will look at Malkom Khan's political thoughts to uncover his ideas on political modernization in the first period of his political thought, and then go on to find out why and how Malkom Khan's thought on political modernization had shifted during the second period.

1.3 Research Questions

- 1. What were Malkom Khan's thoughts on political modernization in the first and second period (1857-1908?
- 2. Why did Malkom Khan's thoughts on political modernization shift in the second period (1889-1908)?

1.4 Research Objectives

- 1. To evaluate Malkom Khan's thought towards political modernization in the first period and second period (1857-1908).
- 2. To assess the reasons that led to the change in Malkom Khan's political thoughts on political modernization in the second period (1889-1908).

1.5 Significance of the Study

Political modernization in the transitional society of Iran that underwent a long period of despotic governments is of utmost importance. Because Iran society due to the defeat in war with Russia in the first two decades of eighteenth century tended to political modernization and advocated of constitutional government. Constitutional Revolution of 1906 was the outcome of the efforts of Iran society to meet that demands. But the revolution and its goal which was political modernization failed, and consolidation of despotic government was the outcome of this failure. This was subsequently followed by the Islamic Revolution of 1979, which held somewhat the same goals as Constitutional Revolution. It too suffered the same fate.

Although 100 years have passed since the victory of Iran's Constitutional Revolution and in spite of 150 years passing since Malkom Khan introduced modern political concepts and inclusive struggles to establish modern political system, none of these have been incarnated in a tangible way. As a result, Iranian society is still in search of the rule of law, freedom and democracy, which had been introduced for the first time by Malkom Khan more than hundred years ago.

Since Malkom Khan is considered as a most important scholar in the constitutional era, therefore the study of Malkom Khan's thoughts on political modernization can contribute and help Iranian society to gain a better and deeper understanding of the major reasons behind the failure of the process of political modernization in Iran.

Although there are sporadic studies on the issue of Malkom Khan, the importance of this study rests upon the fact that there has been no serious attempt to conduct this type of study on the basis of political modernization theory and concept. It is hoped that this investigation will serve as a launch pad for further similar researches on the concept of modernization in Iran according to Malkom Khan's thought.

The findings of this research may also contribute to the advancement of the intellectual movement and democracy in Iran and in those countries, which aspire to be democratic. Intellectuals have a significant role to play in the process of revolution and democratizing countries but significant research cannot be found in Iran. Therefore, the few existing studies have not been able to solve the problems in terms of clarifying complexities and viewing issues in proper perspective.

This research will help to shed light on hidden facets of the ideas of Malkom Khan about political modernization that have not been deservedly taken into consideration so far. It can act as a point of departure for further research in order to make possible a comprehensive political modernization study of the country.

1.6 Scope and Limitation

Since this research engages the cocept of political modernization in Malkom Khan's thoughts, so it needs to indicate its scope and limitations in this research. This study focuses on the concept of political modernization in Malkom Khan's political thought between the years of 1833 to1908. Malkom Khan was chosen because he was the most prominent political thinker in Constitutional era and considered as the father of Constitutional Revolution. He was the first scholar who formulated one specific plan for political modernization in Iran society.

The concept of political modernization was chosen because because political modernization process in Iran is still in a state of flux and has not reached political maturity. As a result, Iranian society is still in search of the rule of law, freedom and democracy, which was proposed for the first time by Malkom Khan more than hundred years ago. Therefore, analyzing Malkom Khan's thought on modernization can contibute to understand the nature of political modernization process in contemprary Iran. However, because of the vast scope regarding the understanding of the concept of modernization, this study will only deal with the questions of political modernization in Malkom Khan's political thought, by observing the works of the mentioned thinker and works of other researchers on the topic. Then the scope of this study is limited to the works directly deal with his political modernization thought.

Finally concerning generalization of this study, the result of this research is not able to generalize because it focuses only on the specific issue, time and place such as Malkom Khan and political modernization in Iran from (1833 to 1908) so it is not generalizable to other countries and times. Therefore, the results cannot generalize to other situation or populations. Finally, as Blaikie (2000, p. 253) has noted: "qualitative data do not lend themselves to the kind of generalization commonly used in quantitative research,

such as using statistical techniques which are based on probability theory". Therefore, due to the nature of this study, results are not generalizable to other times or societies.

1.7 Organization of the Study

The thesis is organized into seven chapters.

- Chapter 1 provides the introduction, the problem statement, research questions and objectives, scope and significance of the study.
- Chapter 2 covers the literature review, in which main theories and approaches and books related to the subject of the study are explained. In this chapter, the theoretical framework is also explained.
- Chapter 3 focuses on the research methodology. The main method used in conducting the research is the biographical method, which will be explained in detail.
- Chapter 4 discusses biography of Malkom Khan from (1833-1908).
- Chapter 5 provides answers to the research questions, which will be elaborated in the chapter, and then Conceptualizing of political modernization in the thought of Malkom Khan is also explained in this chapter.
- Chapter 6 answers the research question. Malkom Khan's thoughts regarding political modernization and his approach in dealing with the political structure and political culture discussed,
- Chapter 7 provides the summary and conclusion of the study. This chapter also summarizes the results and conclusions of the study.

1.8 Summary

This chapter contains a general explanation about the process of the research. The chapter clarifies the background, problem statement, questions, objectives, scope, significance and organization of the study. The following chapters have been undertaken based on the process that has been outlined in this first chapter.

REFERENCES

- Abadian, H. (2009). The Crisis of Awareness and Genesis of Intellectualism in Iran. Tehran: Kavir.
- Abrahamian, Y. (2004). Iran Between Two Revolutions. Tehran: Ney.
- Abrahamiyan, E. (2010). A History of Modern Iran (Fatahi, Trans.). Tehran: Ney.
- Adamiyyat, F. (2006). The Politics of Reform in Iran. Tehran: Kharazmi.
- Adamiyyat, F. (2007). Amir Kabir and Iran. Tehran: Kharazmi.
- Adamiyyat, F. (2009). *Thought of Freedom and Prelude of Constitutional Movement*. Tehran: Kharazmi.
- Afary, J. (1996). *The Iranian Constitutional Revolution*, 1906-1911. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Afshar, I. (2001). Tilgiraf dar Iran. Tehran: Agah.
- Ahmadi, D. (2001). Iranian Intellectuals and Modern Thought. Tehran: Mizan.
- Ahmadi, H. (2003). Iranian National Identity in History. Motaleate Melli (15), 43-56.
- Ahmadi, H. (2011). *The Foundation of National Identity in Iran*. Tehran: Pazhooheshkadeye Elmi Farhangi.
- Ajdani, L. (2007a). Iranian Intellectuals in Constitutional Era. Tehran: Akhtaran.
- Ajoodani, M. (2009). Iranian Constitution. Tehran: Akhtaran.
- Akbari, M. A. (2003). The Pattern of Absolute Constitutional Monarchy. *Journal of History*, 4(4), 89-110.
- Akhundzadeh, F. (2008). *New Alphabet and Writings* (4 ed.). AZarbayjan: Farhangestane Oloome Jomhooriye Shoravi ye Sosialistiye Azarbayjan
- Alavi, A. (1999). political Figures of Constitutional Era. Tehran: Asatir.
- Algar, H. (1973). *Mirza Malkum Khan: A Biographical Study to Iranian Modernism*. California: Uc Press.

Algar, H. (1990). Religion and State in Iran (A. Sari, Trans.). Tehran: Tous.

Alikhani, A. (2008). Mosharekate Siasi. Tehran: Safir.

Almond, G., & Verba, S. (1963). Civic Culture. Princeton University Press.

- Almond, G., & Powell, G. B. (1966). *Comparative Politics: A Developmental Approach:* Boston Little Brown and Co.
- Almond, G., & Verba, S. (1963). Civic Culture: Princeton University Press.
- Amanat, A., & Vedjdani, F. (2012). Iran Facing Others: Identity Boundaries in a Historical Perspective: Palgrave Macmilan.
- Amiri, H. (2002). *Political Society, Civil Society and National Development*. Tehran: Naghsho Negar.
- Amlashi, T. (2010). Nation-State in Twentieth Century. Tehran: Elmi Farhangi.
- Apter, D. (1965). The Politics of Modernization. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Ary, D., Jackobs, L., C. Razavieh, A., & Sorensen, C. (2006). Introduction to Research in Education. USA: Thomson Higher Education.
- Aryanpour, S. (2000). The Life of Mirza Malkom Khan. Tehran: Ney.
- Asadi, J. (2009). The Islamic Republic and Political Participation. Tehran: Arghavan.
- Asghari, H. (2010). *The Pioneers of Modernity in the Contemporary History of Iran*. Tehran: Elmi va Farhangi.
- Ashouri, D. (1998). We and Modernity. Tehran: Serat.
- Asil, H. (Ed.). (2002a). Malkom Khan's Booklets, Collected Works. Tehran: Ney.
- Avery, P., Hambly, G. R. G & Melville, C. (1993). *The Cambridge History of Iran:* from Nadir Shah to the Islamic Republic (Vol. 7). Cambridge University Press.

Azad Armaki, T. (2003). Iranian Modernity. Tehran: Ejtema.

Azghandi, A. (2006). Political and Social Changes in Iran 1941-1979. Tehran: Samt.

Babbie, E. (2007). The Practice of Social Research. Belmont: Thomson.

- Bahar, M. (2005). A Brief History of Political Parties in Iran. Tehran: Sherkate Sahami Ketabhaye Jibi.
- Banihashemi, M. (2004). *The Process of Nation-Building in Middle East*. Tehran: Moasseye Farhangi va Motaleati Abrar.

Bashirieh, H. (2001). Obtacles to Political Development in Iran. Tehran: Gaame No.

Bashirieh, H. (2009). *State, Civil Society and Political Development Discourses*. Tehran: Nashre Tablighate Eslami.

Behnam, j. (2005a). Iranians and Thought of Modernity. Tehran: Farzan.

- Berg, B. (1989). *Qualitative Research Methods for Social Science*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Berg, B. (2001). *Qualitative Research Method for Social Science*. California State University. Long Beach.
- Bigdeli, A. (2006). Political and Social History of Iran. Tehran: University of Tehran.
- Binder, L. (1971). *Crises and Sequences in Political Development*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Blaikie, N. (2000). Designing Social Research. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Bogdan, R. C & Biklen, S. K. (1992). *Qualitative Research for Education: An Introduction to Theory and Methods*. New York: Allyn and Bacon.
- Bornschier, V. (2000). *State-Building Europe; the Revitalization of Western Europe Integration*: Cambridge University Press.
- Brumberg, D. (2001). *The Struggle for Reform in Iran*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Burnham, P., Lutz, K. G., Grand, W., & Layton-Henry, Z. (2008). Research Methods in Politics. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Cresswell, J. W. (1994). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Traditions. London: Sage.
- Cronin, S. (2003). *The Making of Modern Iran; State and Society under Reza Shah,* (M. Saghebfar, Trans.). Tehran: Jami.
- Delavari, A. (2006). Modernization in Iran. Ph.D, Tehran: University of Tehran.
- Denzin, N., & Lincoln, Y. (1989). Strategies of Qualitative Inquiry: Londonn: Sage.
- Deutsch, K. (1961). Social Mobilization and Political Development. *The American Political Science Review, LV.*

Eghbal Ashtiani, A. (2001). Mirza Taghi Khan Amir Kabir. Tehran: Javidan.

Ehteshamol Saltaneh, M. (2004). Memoirs of Ehteshamol Saltaneh. Tehran: Zavar.

Eisenstatdt, S. (1966). *Modernization, Protest, and Change* Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice-Hall.

Ettehadiyeh, M. (2009). Best Dates. Tehran: Tarikhe Iran.

Falsafi, N. (2003). Life and Political struggles of Shah Abbas. Tehran: Donyaye Ketab.

Farahbod, V. (2011). Rafsanjani and Reformists. Mehrnameh, 2(4), 13-21.

Fardoost, h. (2009). Rise and Fall of Pahlavi Dynasty. Tehran: Eettelaat.

- Farmanfarmaian, R. (2008). War and Peace in Qajar Persia: Implications Past and Present: Routledge.
- Fashahi, M. (2008). The Development of Capitalism in Iran. Tehran: Gutenberg.
- Flick, U. (2009). An Introduction to Qualitative Research. London: Sage.
- Foran, J. (2003). Fragile Resistance (A. Tadayyon, Trans.). Tehran: Rasa.
- Ghaderi, H. (2009b). Other Ideas. Tehran: Boghe.
- Ghani Nezhad, M. (2010). A Critics on Iranian Hostility to the West. Tehran: Hoseiniyeh Ershah.
- Ghavam, A. (1997). Modernization and Political Development. Tehran: Samt.
- Ghazi Moradi, H. (2010). Despotism In Iran. Tehran: Akhtaran.
- Gheisari, A. (2010). The Iranian Intellectuals in Twenteeth Centuey. Tehran: Hermes.
- Ghoddosi, B. (2007). Mashahire Iran Zamin. Tehran: Cheshmeh.
- Gilani, a. (2009). Iran during Constitutiona Era. Tehran: Shoor Afarin.
- Glass, L. (1989). *Historical Research: Advanced Design in Nursing Researc, Newbury* Park, CA: Sage.
- Gobineau, A. (2009). *The World of the Persians* (Z. Mansouri, Trans.). Tehran: Donyaye Ketab.
- Goodarzi, G. (2008). Unfinished Modernity of Iran. Tehran: Akhtaran.
- Haddadi, N. (2007). The History of Ottoman Empire. Tehran: Mizan.
- Haeri, A. (2009). *Plitical and Social Freedoms from the Perspective of Intellectuals*. Mashhad: Jahade Daneshgahi.
- Haghani, M. (2002). *Political History of Iran*. Tehran: Moasseseye Motaleate Tarikhe Moaser e Iran.
- Haghdar, A. (2003). the History of Modernity in Costitutional Era. Tehran: Kavir
- Hall, J. (2003). Nation-States in History. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Harrison, D. (2005). *The Sociology of Modernization and Development* (Second ed.). London and New York: University of Sussex.
- Hashemi, J. (2000). the *Transformation of Intellectual Discourse in Iran*. Tehran: Donyae Ketab.

Hayati, M. (2008). Tradition, Modernity and Development. Tehran: Javidan. Hedayat, M. (2010). *Memoirs and Risks*. Tehran: Zavar.

- Hobsbawm, E. (1990). Nation and Nationalism Since 1780, Program, Myth, Reality. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hoveyda, F. (2003). The Shah and the Ayatollah: Iranian Mythology and Islamic Revolution: Westport: Praeger.
- Isa Nia, R. (2010). *Two Periods of Podernity and Modernization*. Master, Bagher al Oloom, Tehran.
- Jackson, G., & Sorensen, R. (2004). *Introduction to International Relations: Theories* and Approaches (M. Zakerian, Trans.). Tehran: Mizan.
- Jafari, B. (2014). The Perspective of Modernization and Reform After Revolution. Journal of Political Outcome, 7(6), 20-31.
- Jalali, H. (2009). Mashrooteh va Gharb. Tehran: Gostareh.
- Jamshidi, M. H. (1999). Malkom Khan. Nameh Pazhoohesh(7).
- Karimi, B. (2003). Clergy and Intellectuals. Tehran: Sokhan.
- Kasravi, A. (2004). The Constitutional History of Iran. Tehran: Amir Kabir.
- Katouzian, H. (2003). Iranian History and Politics, the Dialectic of State and Society. London and New York: Routledge.
- Kazemi, A. (2002). *The Crisis of Modernity and Political Culture In Contemporary Iran.* Tehran: Ghomes.
- Kazemzadeh, F. (2001). Russia and West In Iran. Tehran: Rozaneh.
- Kazeni, A. (2005). Opening the Land: Tribe, State and Ethnicity in Qajar Iran, 1800-1911. Ph.D, Yale.
- Keddie, N. (1999). *Qajar Iran and the Rise of Reza Khan*. California: Mazda, Costa Mesa.

Kermani, N. (2005b). Iranian History of Awakening. Tehran: Agah.

- Khalili Khoo, M. (2004). *Modernization During Reza Shah's Era*. Tehran: Jahade Daneshgahi.
- Khanbaghi, A. (2006). The Fire, the Star and the Cross: Minority Religions in Medieval and Early. London & New York: IB Tauris.

Kinzer, S. (2003). All the Shah's Men. New Jersey: John wiley & Sons.

Lambton, A. K. S. (1987). *Qajar Persia*. Texas: University of Texas.

- Lambton, A. K. S. (2007). *Qajar Persia (I. Golmohammadi, Trans.)* (I. Golmohammadi, Trans.). Tehran: Atieh.
- Lerner, D. (1985). *The Passing Of Traditional Society*. London: The Free Press of Glencoe, Collier-Macnilan.
- Levy, M. (1966). *Modernization and the Structure of Societies*: Princeton University Press.
- MacMillan, J., & Linklaster, A. (2003). Bounaries in Question : New Directions in International Relations. London. Sage.
- Madad Pour, M. (2005). the Process of Contemporary Thinking of Modernity and Secularrizing. Tehran: Tarbiat.
- Maghsoudi, b. (2010). Iran in Ninetheenth Century. Thran: Akhtaran.
- Mahmoud, M. (2004). *Political Relations Between Iran and Britain in Nineteenth Century*. Tehran: Eghbal.
- Mahrooyan, H. (2004). Modernity and Our Crisis. Tehran: Akhtaran.
- Malcolm, S. J. (2008). *The History of Persia (R. Saeedfar, Trans)* (M. Heirat, Trans.). Tehran: Bita.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2002a). Malkom Khan's Booklets. (Collected Works), Dastgahe Divan, Edited wih an Introduction By Hojjatollah Asil. Tehran: Ney.
- Malkom Kan, M. (2002b). Malkom Khan's Booklets (Collected Works), Resale ye Meftah, Edited wih an Introduction By Hojjatollah Asil. Tehran: Ney.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2004a). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper) (No. 8) Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2004b). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper). (No. 22). Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran; Amir Kabir.
- Malkom Khan, M. (1866). *Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence*, (No 166). Tehran: Iranian Institution For Contemporay Historical Studies..
- Malkom Khan, M. (1870a). *Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence (No* 166). Central Library of University of Tehran.
- Malkom Khan, M. (1870b). *Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence (No* 170). Iranian Institution For Contemporary Historical Studies.
- Malkom Khan, M. (1872). *Malkom Khan's letter to Sepahsalar. Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence (6570).* Document Center of The Central Library of University of Tehran.

- Malkom Khan, M. (1875). *Malkom Khan to Ministry of Foreign Affairs (No. 67). Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence*: Documents Center of The Central Library of University of Tehran.
- Malkom Khan, M. (1876a). Malkom Khan to Akhundzadeh. Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence (5678). Central Library of University of Tehran.
- .Malkom Khan, M. (1876b). Malkom Khan to Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence (5680). Central Library of University of Tehran.
- Malkom Khan, M. (1876). *Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence (No. 170)*. Documents Section of Central Library of University of Tehran.
- Malkom Khan, M. (1898). Malkom Khan to Ministry of Foreign Affairs (No 5684) Malkom Khan's Documents and Correspondence: Documents Section of National Library of University of Tehran.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2002a). Malkom Khan's Booklets (collected works). Resale ye Osule Mazhabe Divanian, Edited wih an Introduction Tehran: Ney.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2002a). Malkom Khan's Booklets (*Collected Works*), *Resaleye*. *Resale ye Daftare Qanun, Edited wih an Introduction By Hojjatollah Asil*. Tehran: Ney.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2002b). Malkom Khan's Booklets (Collected Works), Resaleye Daftare Tanzimat, Edited wih an Introduction By Hojjatollah Asil. Tehran: Ney.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2002c). Malkom Khan's Booklets (Collected Works), Osoole Mazhabe Divanian, Edited wih an Introduction By Hojjatollah Asil. Tehran: Ney.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2002d). Malkom Khan's Booklets (Collected Works), Resale ye Sheikh va Vazir, Edited wih an Introduction By Hojjatollah Asil. Tehran: Ney.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2002b). Malkom Khan's Booklets (Collected Works), Resale ye Tofighe Amanat, Edited wih an Introduction By Hojjatollah Ail. Tehran: Ney.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2004a). Rouzname ye Qanun,(Qanun Newspaper) (No.4). Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran: Amir Kabir
- Malkom Khan, M. (2004b). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper) (No. 5). Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran: Amir Kabir

- Malkom Khan, M. (2004c). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper) (No. 13). Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran. Amir Kabir.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2004d). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper) (No. 14). Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4th Ed.). Tehran: Amir Kabir.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2004e). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper) (No. 22). Collected and Reprinted With an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran. Amir Kabir.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2004f). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper) (No. 18). Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran; Amir Kabir.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2004g). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper) (No. 27) Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran; : Amir Kabir.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2006a). Rouzname ye Qanun (Qanun Newspaper) (No. 2), Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran: Amir Kabir.
- Malkom Khan, M. (2006b). Rouzname ye Qanun, (Qanun Newspaper) (No.1), Collected and Reprinted with an Introduction, By Homa Nateq (4 Ed.). Tehran: Amir Kabir.
- Marschal, C., & Rossman, G. B. (1989). *Designing Qualitative Research*. London: Sage Publication Ltd.
- Martin, V. (2005). The Qajar Pact: Bargaining, Protest and the State in Nineteenth-Century Persia: I. B. Tauris.
- Masoudi, P. (2011). Tarikhe iran dar Zamane Qajar. Tehran: Foroozan.
- Mcclelland, J. C. (2005). A History of Western Political Thought. Routledge.
- Mcnabb, D. E. (2005). Research Method for Social Science: Qualitative and Quantitative Methods. New Delhi: Prenticehall.
- Milani, A. (2011). The Shah: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Miller, R., & Brewer, J. (2003). Biographic Method: Sage Publication.
- Mirsepasi, A. (2000). *Intellectual Discourse and Politics of Modernization*: Cambridge University Press.
- Mojtahedi, K. (2000). Ashnae ye Iranian ba Andishehaye Modern e- Gharb Tehran: Hermes.

Mollaei Tavani, A. (2003a). Reformation in Ottoman Empire. Tehran: Tarhe No.

Momtaz, D. (2004). Iran in Nineteenth Century. Tehran: Mehr.

- Moore, B. (1966). *The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Mosallai, N. (2013). Reformation From Qajar to Islamic Revolution. *Cheshm Andaze Iran*, 11(9).
- Mostowfi, A. (2009). My Biography. Tehran: Zavar.
- Motazed, Kh. (2009). from Alasht ta Africa. Tehran: Adineh.
- Movassaghi, A. (2008). *Religion, Society and State in Iran*. Tehran: Moasse ye Tahghighat va Toseye Oloome Ensani.
- Movassaghi, A. (2009). Modernization and Reformation in Iran. Tehran: Ghomes.
- Nafisi, S. (2007). *History of Political and Social Development in Iran*. Tehran: Tarhe No.
- Naghib Zadeh, A. (2004). *History of Diplomacy International Relations*. Tehran: Ghomes.
- Nateq, H. (1997). Iranian Intellectuals and Civil Society. Tehran: Tous.
- Nateq, H., & Adamiyyat, F. (2002). Political, Social and Economical Thoughts in Unpublished Works of Qajar Era. Tehran: Agah.
- Newman, A. (2006). Safavid Iran: Rebrirth of a Persian Empire: IB Tauris.
- Niazmand, S. (2006). *Reza Shah: From Downfall to Death*. Tehran: Hekayate Ghalame Novin.
- Nooraee, F. (1996). A study Into The Ideas of Mirza Malkom Khan Nazem al -Dowleh. Tehran: Shekate Sahami-ye Ketabhay-e Jibi.
- Notter, L. (1972). The Case for Historical Research for Nursing. Nursing Research.
- Nozari, E. (2005). Social History of Iran from the Beginning to Constituional Era. Tehran: Khojasteh.
- Omidi, A. (2009). *World Policy: Limitations and Choice Oprtunities*. Tehran: Daftare Motalete Siasi va Beinolmelal.
- Panahi, A. (2004). *Iranian Intelectuals and the West*. Tehran: Moasseseye Ghadre Velayat.

Parsons, T. (2005). The Social System: Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Pritzel, I. (1998). National Identity and Foreign Policy. Cambridge University Press.

- Pye, L. (1965). Aspects of Political Development. Boston: Little Brown.
- Raeen, I. (1980). Faramooshkhaneh and Freemasonary In Iran. Tehran: Amir Kabir.
- Raeen, I. (1981). Malkom Khan's Life and Political Efforts. Tehran: Amir Kabir.
- Rahbari, M. (2008b). Failed Constitution. Tehran: Kavir.
- Rahimi, H. (2006). Polirtical Culture From Iranian Intellectuals' Perspective. Tehran: Negahe Moaser.
- Rahmani, F. (2005a). The History of Qajar Dynasty. Tehran: Shoor Afarin.

Rahmati, N. (2010). From Qajar to Islamic Revolution. Tehran: Elmi.

- Ramezani, H. (2003). Roshanfekrane Irani Dar Asre Ghajar. Tehran: Agah.
- Ravandi, M. (2008). Social History of Iran. Tehran: Negah.
- Rostow, W. (1960). The Stages of Economic Growth: Oxford. Oxford University Press.
- Saeedi, G. (2009). Mirza Malkom Khan: Tradition and Modernity. Tehran: Akhtaran.
- Safaee, I. Newfound Documents. Tehran: Bina.
- Safaee, I. (2001). Leaders of constitution. Tehran: Babak.
- Safaee, I. (2002). The History of Constitution According to Documents. Tehran: Iranian.

Safari, M. A. (1998). Mashrooteh Sazan Tehran: Elm.

Saikal, A. (1980). The Rise and the Fall of the Shah: Princeton University Press.

Salkind, N. J. (1996). Exploring Research. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.

Sarmadi, R. (2004). History of intellectuality in Iran. Tehran: Ghatreh.

Sasani, K. (1998). Yadboodhaye Safare Isatnbul. Tehran: Bit bina.

Sasani, K. (2001). Iranian Politicaians in Qajar Era Tehran: Bina.

Savory, R. (2007). Iran Under Safavids. Cambridge University Press.

Seyyed Emami, K. (2011). National Unity and the Growth of Ethnic Identities. *Journal* of Central Asia and Caucasus Studies (6).

Shahbazi, N. (2010). Intellectuals and Political parties. Tehran: Zavar.

Shamim, A. (2005). Iran during Qajar Era. Tehran: Elmi.

Shayesteh, B. (2009). the Era of Sepahsalar. Tehran: Elmi Farhangi.

- Sheikh Nouri, A. (2008). *Amir Kabir's Reforms*: Pazhooheshkadeh Farhang va Andisheh Eslami.
- Shils, E. (1960). *Political Development in The New States*. Cambridge University Press.
- Smelser, N. (1992). Social Change and Modenity. University of California Press.
- So, A. Y. (1990). Social Change and Development: Modernization, Dependency and world System Theories. California: California University Press.
- Spengler, O. (1932). The Decline of the West. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sykes, P. (2008). A History of Persia (M. Fakhr Daee Gilani, Trans.). Tehran: Nehgah.
- Tabatabaee, S. (2006). *Maktabe Tabriz Va Mabaniye Tajaddod Khahi (School of Tabriz And The Basics of Modernity)*. Tehran: Sotoodeh.
- Taghavi, M. (2005). *Farazo Foroode Mashroote*. Tehran: Moasseseye Tarikhe Moasere Iran.
- Taghavi, M. (2010). Obstacles to Political Reform in Contemporary Iran. Tehran: Farzan.
- Tavakkoli, R. (2010). the Makers of Constitution. Tehran: Cheshmeh.
- Tolooi, M. (2007). Father and Son. Tehran: Adineh.
- Tolooi, M. (2009). Palyers of Pahlavi Era. Tehran: Nashre Elm.
- Vahdati, J. (2012). Perspective of Reform in Khatami Era, 9(4), 23-25.
- Verba, S. (1965). *Political Culture and Political Development*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Wengraf, T. (2001). Qualitative Research Interviewing: Biographic Narrative and Semi Structured Methods: Thousand Oaks, Sage.
- Wolff, S. (2004). Analysis of Documents and Records. In I. Steinke, E. v. Kardoff & U. Flick (Eds.), *A Companion to Qualitative Research*. London: Sage.
- Zahed, S. (2011). Foundations of Iranian National Identity in Iran. Rahbord, 1(4).
- Zargari Nezad, G. (1992). Political Thoughts and Governmental Patterns In Naser- al-Din Shah Era. PhD, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran.

Zelol Sultan, M. (2000). Sargozashte Masoudi (5 ed.). Tehran: Bita.

Zibakalam, S. (2009). Sonnat va Moderniteh Tehran: Rozaneh.