



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***CLEAR WATER SCOUR AROUND SUBMERGED SKEWED BRIDGE
WITH AND WITHOUT PIER***

AMIR SAEED FARHANGI

FK 2016 37



**CLEAR WATER SCOUR AROUND SUBMERGED SKEWED
BRIDGE WITH AND WITHOUT PIER**

By

AMIR SAEED FARHANGI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Students, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2016

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purpose from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

to

My beloved parents and my loving wife.



© COPYRIGHT UPM

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment
of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**CLEAR WATER SCOUR AROUND SUBMERGED SKEWED BRIDGE WITH
AND WITHOUT PIER**

By

AMIR SAEED FARHANGI

April 2016

Chairperson : Professor Thamer Mohammad Ali, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

As recorded documents show, it is already less than a century that researchers have attempted to evaluate local scour depth around pier as a destructive phenomenon. Unfortunately, the climate changes and deforestation have changed and increased rainfall and runoff respectively in aggravated conditions to create inundated bridges. Therefore, in the last few decades, some other researchers have tried to predict scour depth under submerged bridge condition. Although their results are valuable, there are still different unstudied factors under submerged bridge condition which should be evaluated. One of the mentioned unstudied conditions is the effect of submerged skewed bridge on maximum scour depth and the present study endeavoured to determine this under clear water condition. Therefore, the main purpose of the present study is to experimentally improve existing equations about the prediction of maximum scour depth around the foundation of a submerged bridge with different angles between approaching flow and bridge deck alignments. In order to collect the required data, six different bridge models with and without pier with different angles of 0, 5, 10, 15, 22.5 and 30 degrees were used to evaluate the effect of bridge alignments on maximum scour depth. All models were tested for partially and fully submergence conditions using two different sizes of bed sediments with median sizes of 0.23 mm and 0.80 mm. A total of 48 runs were conducted. Analysis of collected data showed that deflection of approach flow along the skewed bridge thickness (girders and guard rail) is the main difference in comparison with the perpendicular approach flow direction. In actual fact, analysis of the approach flow velocity vector along the skewed bridge thickness showed that an unbalanced distribution of downward flow velocity occurred, which firstly caused unbalanced unit discharge along the upstream edge of the bridge without pier. Then, it made an unbalanced scour level along the downstream bridge edge. According to the mentioned mechanism, an equation based on mass conservation law was proposed to predict maximum scour depth with an acceptable root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) equal to 0.029 m and 0.023 m respectively. Also, a labyrinth flow between two sides of flume walls at the downstream of bridge may occur, in which its first direction is the most destructive direction toward the opposite flume wall, which is predicted by another obtained equation and changes from 34 degrees up to 65 degrees. But under submerged skewed bridge condition with pier, the existence of the pier caused maximum local scour depth around itself, and created vortices around the pier is much more than the deflection of flow along the submerged skewed thickness. Also, it was found that correction factor of pier alignment in submerged bridge is much less than the

same condition in free flow. Then, relationship between dependent and independent variables firstly was determined. Finally, an equation was proposed by using dimensional analysis, collected data and multiple linear regressions with a better prediction amongst previous study with the least RMSE and MAE equal to 0.018 m and 0.014 m respectively. Also, correction factor of pier alignment in submerged bridge is almost 50% less than the same correction factor in free flow condition which was previously assumed the same. Both the proposed equations can acceptably predict maximum scour depth in comparison with the existing equations. Moreover, submergence ratios in both submerged bridge with and without pier show that maximum scour depth occurs before beginning of the bridge cresting. Although scour depth in submerged bridge without pier decreases after cresting in a short limited of submergence ratio from 0 to 0.08, it increases again as flow depth increase. Also, existence of a pier strongly affects the maximum scour depth around the flume wall which receives the deflected flow.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KEROKAN AIR JERNIH PADA JAMBATAN PENCONG TENGGELAM DENGAN DAN TANPA TIANG

Oleh

AMIR SAEED FARHANGI

April 2016

Pengerusi : Profesor Thamer Mohammad Ali, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Seperti yang rekod dokumen menunjukkan, ianya sudah kurang daripada satu abad yang mana penyelidik telah mencuba untuk menilai kedalaman kerokan tempatan di keliling tiang sebagai fenomena kemusnahan. Dukacitanya, perubahan cuaca dan kemusnahan hutan masing-masing telah merubah regim hujan dan aliran air dalam keadaan yang teruk yang membuatkan jambatan tenggelam.

Oleh itu, dalam beberapa dekad yang lalu, beberapa penyelidik lain telah cuba untuk meramalkan kedalaman kerokan di bawah keadaan jambatan tenggelam. Walaupun keputusan mereka adalah berharga, masih terdapat faktor berbeza belum dikaji di bawah keadaan jambatan tenggelam yang masih perlu dinilai. Salah satu keadaan yang disebut belum pernah dikaji adalah kesan jambatan pencong tenggelam kepada kedalaman kerokan maksimum dan kajian ini berusaha menentukannya di bawah keadaan air yang jernih. Oleh itu, tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menambahbaik persamaan sedia ada berkaitan ramalan kedalaman kerokan maksimum sekeliling asas jambatan tenggelam dengan sudut yang berbeza antara aliran tuju dan penjajaran dek jambatan. Dalam usaha untuk mengumpul data yang diperlukan, enam model jambatan yang berbeza dengan dan tanpa tiang dengan sudut yang berbeza 0, 5, 10, 15, 22.5 dan 30 darjah digunakan untuk menilai kesan penjajaran jambatan ke atas kedalaman kerokan maksimum. Semua model telah diuji dalam keadaan separa tenggelam dan tenggelam sepenuhnya menggunakan dua saiz sedimen dasar yang berbeza dengan saiz median 0.23 mm dan 0.80 mm. Sejumlah 48 ujian telah dijalankan. Analisis data yang diperoleh menunjukkan bahawa pesongan aliran tuju sepanjang ketebalan jambatan pencong (galang dan rel adang) adalah perbezaan utama berbanding dengan arah aliran tuju bersudut tepat. Sebenarnya, analisis vektor halaju aliran tuju sepanjang ketebalan jambatan pencong menunjukkan bahawa berlaku pengagihan tidak seimbang halaju aliran ke bawah, yang mana pertamanya ianya menyebabkan unit kadar alir tidak seimbang sepanjang pinggir hulu jambatan tanpa tiang. Kemudian, ia membuatkan tahap kerokan yang tidak seimbang sepanjang pinggir hilir jambatan. Mengikut mekanisme yang dinyatakan, satu persamaan berdasarkan hukum pemuliharaan jisim telah dicadangkan untuk meramalkan kedalaman kerokan maksimum dengan ralat punca min kuasa dua (RMSE) dan ralat min mutlak (MAE) masing-masing bersamaan dengan 0.029 m dan 0.023 m. Selain itu, aliran labirin antara kedua-dua belah dinding flum di

hilir jambatan mungkin berlaku, dengan arah pertamanya adalah arah yang paling merosakkan ke arah dinding flum yang bertentangan, yang mana ia dianggarkan oleh persamaan lain yang diperolehi, yang berubah daripada 34 darjah sehingga 65 darjah. Walau bagaimanapun, dalam keadaan jambatan pencong tenggelam dengan tiang, kewujudan tiang menyebabkan kedalaman kerokan tempatan maksimum di tiang, dan menghasilkan pusaran sekeliling tiang lebih daripada pesongan aliran di sepanjang ketebalan jambatan pencong tenggelam. Juga didapati faktor pembetulan penjajaran tiang untuk jambatan tenggelam adalah lebih kecil daripada keadaan yang sama dalam aliran bebas. Kemudian, satu hubungkait pembolehubah bersandar dan tak bersandar telah ditentukan. Akhirnya, satu persamaan dengan menggunakan analisis dimensi, data yang dikumpul dan model regresi linear berganda telah dicadangkan dengan anggaran yang lebih baik dari kajian lepas dengan RMSE dan MAE masing-masing bersamaan dengan 0.018 m dan 0.014 m. Faktor pembetulan penjajaran tiang dalam jambatan tenggelam juga adalah hampir 50% lebih kurang daripada faktor pembetulan yang sama dalam keadaan aliran bebas yang mana sebelum ini dianggap sama. Kedua-dua persamaan yang dicadangkan mampu meramalkan kedalaman kerokan maksimum yang boleh diterima berbanding dengan persamaan yang sedia ada. Selain itu, nisbah tenggelam dalam kedua-dua jambatan tenggelam dengan dan tanpa tiang menunjukkan bahawa kedalaman kerokan maksimum berlaku sebelum permulaan limpahan aliran dijambatan. Walaupun kedalaman kerokan di jambatan tenggelam tanpa tiang berkurangan selepas limpahan dijambatan dalam tempoh pendek terhad kepada nisbah tenggelam daripada 0 hingga 0.08, ia meningkat semula kerana peningkatan kedalaman aliran. Tambahan lagi, kewujudan tiang sangat mempengaruhi kedalaman kerokan maksimum di sekeliling dinding flum yang menerima aliran terpesong.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to give grace to the Almighty Allah for seeing me through my life and completion of this thesis. I wish to also express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor Prof. Thamer M. Ali for his guidance, encouragement and personal concern throughout the course of this research work. I would like to extend my gratitude to the members of my supervisory committee Assoc. Prof. Abdulhalim B. Ghazali and Dr. Badronnisa B. Yusuf for supporting me in this research work.

The author would also like to express special thanks to Mr. Haji Fauzi and all his colleagues in the National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM) for all assistance rendered.

It is also my great pleasure to give due recognition to my wife for her unceasing love, understanding and support in the course of this program and also for her prayers and words of encouragement whenever my enthusiasm waned. This study would not have been possible without the encouragement, patience and overwhelming support of my dear wife, Fariba Navidi.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 5 April 2016 to conduct the final examination of Amirsaeed Farhangi on his thesis entitled "Clear Water Scour Around Submerged Skewed Bridge with and without Pier" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Hussain bin Hamid, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Aimrun Wayayok, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Amimul Ahsan, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Ataur Rahman, PhD

Associate Professor
University of Western Sydney
Australia
(External Examiner)



ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 25 May 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Thamer M. Ali, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abdulhalim B. Ghazali, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Badronnisa B. Yusuf, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies,
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice –chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Amir Saeed Farhangi, GS32807

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Professor Dr. Thamer M. Ali

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Associate Professor Dr. Abdulhalim B. Ghazali

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Badronnisa B. Yusuf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF NOTATIONS	xxi
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Study	4
1.5 Organization of the Thesis	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Scour	6
2.2.1 General Scour	7
2.2.2 Contraction Scour	8
2.2.3 Local Scour	11
2.3 Mechanism of Local Scour at Bridge Pier	11
2.4 Shape of Local Scour Hole	14
2.4.1 Equilibrium of Local Scour Hole Shape	14
2.4.2 Scour Hole Width	15
2.5 Influence of Scour Depth on Structural Bridge	15
2.6 Effective Parameters on Local Scour around Pier	16
2.6.1 Effect of Flow Velocity	17
2.6.2 Effect of Flow Depth	18
2.6.3 Effect of Pier Size	19
2.6.4 Effect of Pier Shape	19
2.6.5 Effect of Pier Alignment against Flow Direction	21
2.6.6 Effect of Sediment Characteristics	22
2.6.7 Effect of Contraction	24
2.6.8 Effect of Time	24
2.6.9 Effect of Superstructure Submergence	26
2.6.10 Effect of Bridge Rail Type	27
2.7 Local Scour Countermeasure	28
2.8 Evolution of Scour Depth Equations	28
2.8.1 Evolution of Scour Depth Equations under Free Surface Flow Condition	29

	2.8.2	Evolution of Scour Depth Equations under Pressure Flow Condition	31
2.9		Bridge Hydraulic in Pressure Flow	37
	2.9.1	Sluice Gate Type Flow	37
	2.9.2	Orifice Type Flow	30
	2.9.3	Weir Type Flow	30
2.10		Summary	40
3		METHODOLOGY	41
	3.1	Introduction	41
	3.2	Physical Hydraulic Models	41
	3.3	Preliminary Experimental Works	42
	3.4	Experimental Facilities	42
	3.4.1	Flume with Rigid Bed	43
	3.4.2	Main Flume	45
	3.4.3	Flow Velocity Meter	46
	3.4.4	Bridge Model	47
	3.4.5	Flow Condition	49
	3.4.6	Bed Materials	50
	3.4.7	Pier Width	51
	3.5	Experimental Procedure	51
	3.6	Deflection of Flow along the Submerged Skewed Bridge Thickness	53
	3.7	Scour Mechanics at Submerged Skewed Bridge without Pier	55
	3.8	Dimensional Analysis	61
	3.9	Statistical Analysis	63
	3.9.1	Multiple Linear Regression	63
	3.9.2	Statistical Tests	63
4		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	65
	4.1	Introduction	65
	4.2	Prediction of Scour Depth in Submerged Skewed Bridge without Pier	65
	4.2.1	Comparison between Obtained Prediction and Some Previous Predictions	69
	4.2.2	Submergence Ratio in Bridge without Pier	71
	4.2.3	Labyrinth Thalweg Route at the Downstream of Bridge without Pier	72
	4.3	Analysis of Scour Depth in Submerged Bridge with Pier	75
	4.3.1	Variation of Local Scour Depth with Time	75
	4.3.2	Process of Forming Scour Hole and Entraining of Sediments	77
	4.3.3	Pier Alignment Factor in Pressure Flow	77
	4.3.4	Maximum Scour Depth around Pier	79
	4.3.5	Comparison of Predictions between Previous Studies and the Present Study	83
	4.3.6	Submergence Ratio in Bridge without Pier	85
	4.4	Influence of the Pier on the Bed Level under Submerged Skewed Bridge	86

5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	89
5.1	Summary	89
5.2	Conclusion	30
5.2.1	Submerged Bridge without Pier	90
5.2.2	Submerged Bridge with Pier	92
5.2.3	Effect of Pier in Submerged Bridge on Pressure Scour around Acute Angle	91
5.3	Recommendations for Future Studies	92
	REFERENCES	93
	APPENDICES	100
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	168
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	169



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Shape factors for uniform piers (Melville and Coleman, 2000)	20
2.2 Equivalent size for non-uniform piers (Melville and Coleman, 2000)	21
2.3 A selection of equations to predict scour pier	30
3.1 Bed grains measurements	51
3.2 Various types of submerged bridge models tests	52
4.1 Laboratory Data of submerged bridge without pier	67
4.2 Comparison of different predictions of maximum scour depth around submerged bridge without pier by different researchers	70
4.3 Collected data to predict angle between first flow deflection and assumptive perpendicular line to the flume wall (α)	73
4.4 Collected laboratory data under submerged bridge with pier	80
4.5 Submerged bridge with pier data analysis using multiple linear regressions (MLR) method	81
4.6 Statistical comparison of different predictions of maximum scour depth around submerged bridge with pier	84
A.1 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8000PP	100
A.2 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8005PP	100
A.3 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8010PP	100
A.4 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8015PP	101
A.5 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8022PP	101
A.6 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8030PP	101
A.7 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8000FP	102
A.8 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8005FP	102
A.9 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8010FP	102
A.10 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8015FP	103
A.11 progress of scour at pier nose in model 8022FP	103

A.12	progress of scour at pier nose in model 8030FP	103
A.13	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2300PP	104
A.14	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2305PP	104
A.15	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2310PP	104
A.16	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2315PP	105
A.17	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2322PP	105
A.18	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2330PP	105
A.19	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2300FP	106
A.20	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2305FP	106
A.21	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2310FP	106
A.22	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2315FP	107
A.23	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2322FP	107
A.24	progress of scour at pier nose in model 2330FP	104
B.1	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2300PP	108
B.2	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2305PP	108
B.3	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2310PP	109
B.4	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2315PP	109
B.5	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2322PP	110
B.6	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2330PP	110
B.7	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2300FP	111
B.8	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2305FP	111
B.9	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2310FP	112
B.10	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2315FP	112
B.11	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2322FP	113
B.12	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 2330FP	113
B.13	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8000PP	114

B.14	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8005PP	114
B.15	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8010PP	115
B.16	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8015PP	115
B.17	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8022PP	116
B.18	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8030PP	116
B.19	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8000FP	117
B.20	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8005FP	117
B.21	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8010FP	118
B.22	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8015FP	118
B.23	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8022FP	119
B.24	Bed level along the flume by intersecting pier nose in model 8030FP	119
C.1	Surveying data in bridge model of 8000PP	120
C.2	Surveying data in bridge model of 8005PP	121
C.3	Surveying data in bridge model of 8010PP	122
C.4	Surveying data in bridge model of 8015PP	123
C.5	Surveying data in bridge model of 8022PP	124
C.6	Surveying data in bridge model of 8030PP	125
C.7	Surveying data in bridge model of 8000FP	126
C.8	Surveying data in bridge model of 8005FP	127
C.9	Surveying data in bridge model of 8010FP	128
C.10	Surveying data in bridge model of 8015FP	129
C.11	Surveying data in bridge model of 8022FP	130
C.12	Surveying data in bridge model of 8030FP	131
C.13	Surveying data in bridge model of 8000P-	132
C.14	Surveying data in bridge model of 8005P-	133
C.15	Surveying data in bridge model of 8010P-	134

C.16	Surveying data in bridge model of 8015P-	135
C.17	Surveying data in bridge model of 8022P-	136
C.18	Surveying data in bridge model of 8030P-	137
C.19	Surveying data in bridge model of 8000F-	138
C.20	Surveying data in bridge model of 8005F-	139
C.21	Surveying data in bridge model of 8010F-	140
C.22	Surveying data in bridge model of 8015F-	141
C.23	Surveying data in bridge model of 8022F-	142
C.24	Surveying data in bridge model of 8030F-	143
C.25	Surveying data in bridge model of 2300PP	144
C.26	Surveying data in bridge model of 2305PP	145
C.27	Surveying data in bridge model of 2310PP	146
C.28	Surveying data in bridge model of 2315PP	147
C.29	Surveying data in bridge model of 2322PP	148
C.30	Surveying data in bridge model of 2330PP	149
C.31	Surveying data in bridge model of 2300FP	150
C.32	Surveying data in bridge model of 2305FP	151
C.33	Surveying data in bridge model of 2310FP	152
C.34	Surveying data in bridge model of 8015FP	153
C.35	Surveying data in bridge model of 2322FP	154
C.36	Surveying data in bridge model of 2330FP	155
C.37	Surveying data in bridge model of 2300P-	156
C.38	Surveying data in bridge model of 2305P-	157
C.39	Surveying data in bridge model of 2310P-	158
C.40	Surveying data in bridge model of 2315P-	159
C.41	Surveying data in bridge model of 2322P-	160

C.42	Surveying data in bridge model of 2330P-	161
C.43	Surveying data in bridge model of 2300F-	162
C.44	Surveying data in bridge model of 2305F-	163
C.45	Surveying data in bridge model of 2310F-	164
C.46	Surveying data in bridge model of 2315F-	165
C.47	Surveying data in bridge model of 2322F-	166
C.48	Surveying data in bridge model of 2330F-	167



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1.	Flood waters submerge bridge and surround freight cars in Iowa in 2008 (Associated Press, 2008).	3
2.1.	Cross section parameters for the competent velocity approach (Melville and Coleman, 2000).	8
2.2.	Uniform flow through a long rectangular contraction (Melville and Coleman, 2000).	9
2.3.	Forming local scour hole around rectangular pier by downward flow and various vortices (Melville and Coleman, 2000).	12
2.4.	Three phases of scour depth creation around pier against time (Melville and Coleman, 2000).	13
2.5.	Extension of local scour area around rectangular pier (Mashahir et al., 2010).	15
2.6.	Function of approach velocity (Melville and Coleman, 2000).	17
2.7.	Variation of local scour depth with h_u/B (Melville and Coleman, 2000).	18
2.8.	Different cross-sectional pier shapes (Melville and Coleman, 2000).	20
2.9.	Equivalent size for non-uniform piers (Melville and Coleman, 2000).	21
2.10.	Local scour depth variation with pier alignment (Ettema et al., 1998).	22
2.11.	Prototype rails: (a) T203; (b) T101; (c) T501; (d) T411 (Klenzendorf, 2009).	27
2.12.	Definition and outline of submerged bridge deck (Umbrell et al., 1998)	34
2.13.	Sketch of definition in submerged bridge (Guo et al., 2010c).	36
2.14.	Different types of pressure flow (Akan, 2006).	38
3.1.	Flume with rigid bed at hydraulic laboratory in UPM.	43
3.2.	Fully submerged skewed bridge model without pier in flume with rigid bed in UPM.	44
3.3.	Main flume with a section of mobile bed at NAHRIM.	45
3.4.	Schematic diagram of a flume at NAHRAIM.	46
3.5.	Velocity meter (Model: 2100 – 1518 current meter made in U.S.A.).	47
3.6.	Schematic diagram of various parts of bridge model.	48

3.7.	Installing bridge model without pier on metal strips screwed to the flume wall.	19
3.8.	Fully-submerged bridge model with pier ($\theta = 15^\circ$).	49
3.9.	The bed grain size distributions with $d_{50} = 0.23$ mm and $d_{50} = 0.80$ mm.	50
3.10.	Different selected sections on plan around submerged bridge without pier in rigid flume (Note: bridge length in non-skewed bridge is 30 cm and in skewed one is 34.6 cm).	54
3.11.	Flow velocity contours in rigid flume.	54
3.12.	Maximum scour depth under acute angle related to maximum unit discharge around acute angle in the model of submerged skewed bridge without pier ($\theta = 10^\circ$).	56
3.13.	Schematic diagram of flow velocity vector at the upstream submerged bridge edge without pier.	57
3.14.	Deflection of flow along submerged skewed bridge thickness without pier.	58
3.15.	Location of maximum scour depth under acute angle in submerged skewed bridge without pier.	60
3.16.	Longitudinal profile of flume in the presence of submerged bridge with pier.	62
4.1.	Bed contours around different models of skewed bridge without pier under fully submergence condition ($d_{50} = 0.80$ mm).	66
4.2.	Regression analysis plot to achieve coefficient and power of Eq. (3.11) in submerged bridge without pier ($n = 24$).	68
4.3.	Comparison plot of measured $(y_s)_{max}$ versus predicted $(y_s)_{max}$ in submerged bridge without pier ($n = 24$).	69
4.4.	Comparison of different researcher's prediction of maximum scour depth around submerged bridge foundation without pier for 131 data series.	70
4.5.	Dimensionless graph of scour depth versus submergence ratio in submerged bridge without pier.	72
4.6.	2D and 3D view of surveying map in the model of 8030P- ($d_{50} = 0.80$ mm, $\theta = 30^\circ$, partially submerged bridge without pier).	73
4.7.	Angle of first thalweg route versus the angle of submerged skewed bridge without pier.	74

4.8.	Comparison plot between measured (α) versus Predicted (α) in submerged bridge without pier.	75
4.9.	Progress of maximum scour depth around different pier alignments in submerged bridge with pier ($d_{50} = 0.80$ mm).	76
4.10.	Comparison of maximum equilibrium scour depth between submerged bridge with and without pier in different angles of flow attack.	76
4.11.	Longitudinal profiles of bed crossing by pier nose in different times (0, 2, 4, 8 and 11 hr) in submerged bridge with pier.	77
4.12.	Comparison of aligned factor of rectangular pier ($B/L = 1/10$) between free surface flow and pressure flow.	78
4.13.	Comparison between predicted and measured maximum scour depth in 16 data series in submerged bridge with pier.	82
4.14.	Comparison between predicted and measured maximum scour depth in eight data series in submerged bridge with pier.	83
4.15.	Comparison between predicted and measured maximum scour depth in all 24 data series in submerged bridge with pier.	83
4.16.	Comparison of predicted maximum scour depth (y_s) _{max} between the present and previous studies in submerged bridge with pier.	84
4.17.	Influence of submergence ratio on maximum local scour depth around submerged bridge with pier.	85
4.18.	Cross-sectional profiles of upstream and downstream of both partially submerged bridges with and without pier ($\theta = 30^\circ$, $d_{50} = 0.80$ mm) (note: refer Fig. (3.13 (a)), section 2-2 for upstream bridge pier and section 3-3 for its downstream).	86
4.19.	Deflection of local scour hole around pier toward acute angle toward acute angle, (a) with pier, (b) without pier.	88

LIST OF NOTATIONS

A	Net area of bridge spans in upstream bridge edge [L ²];
a	Effective bridge thickness [L];
B	Pier width; structure width [L];
b	Real bridge deck thickness; bottom width of scour hole [L];
b*	Width of cassion, slab footing or pile cap [L];
b _e	Equivalent width of a pier [L];
C	Empirical coefficient of time dependent in pressure flow [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
C _c	Vertical contraction correction [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
C _d	Discharge coefficient [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
C _f	Froude number reduction [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
C _o	Empirical coefficient of discharge in fully submerged orifice [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
C _q	Empirical coefficient of Discharge reduction [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
C _{vc}	Empirical coefficient of critical flow velocity [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
CSU	Colorado State University;
C _w	Weir empirical coefficient [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
D	Pier diameter [L];
d ₅₀	Median size of the bed grain size [L];
EGL	Energy Gradient Line;
y _{s(con)}	Contraction scour depth [L];
h _b	Bridge opening height before scour [L];
h _d	Tail water depth before scour [L];
h _u	Approach flow depth [L];
h _{we}	Weir crest elevation [L];
K ₁	Flow intensity factor [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
K ₃	Factor for mode of sediment transport [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
K ₄	Factor for armouring by bed material [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
K _d	Sediment size factor [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
K _G	Approach channel geometry factor [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
K _S	Correction factor for pier nose shape [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
K _t	Time factor [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
K _{yB}	Depth-size factor [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];
K _θ	Correction factor for angle of attack of approach flow in free flow [M ⁰ L ⁰ T ⁰];

$(K_{\theta})_s$	Correction factor for angle of attack of approach flow in pressure flow [$M^0L^0T^0$];
k	Empirical coefficient dependent on sediment size [$M^0L^0T^0$];
k_1	Empirical coefficient dependent on the mode of bed material transport [$M^0L^0T^0$];
L	Pier length [L];
L_B	Bridge length [L];
L_C	Channel width [L];
L_w	Effective weir crest length [L];
m	Empirical exponent in Guo's method, the obstruction ratio [$M^0L^0T^0$];
MAE	Mean Absolute Error;
MLR	Multiple Linear Regression;
n	Empirical exponent in Guo's method [$M^0L^0T^0$];
P_1	Upstream flow static pressure [L];
Q	Discharge [L^3T^{-1}];
Q_{1m}	Discharge in the approach main channel transporting sediment [L^3T^{-1}];
Q_2	Total discharge through the bridge [L^3T^{-1}];
$Q_{\text{submersed}}$	Flow discharge under deck [L^3T^{-1}];
Q_{Total}	Total flow discharge [L^3T^{-1}];
Q_{weir}	Flow discharge over top of bridge deck [L^3T^{-1}];
q	Unit discharge [L^2T^{-1}];
q_{br}	Unit discharge passing through the bridge opening [L^2T^{-1}];
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error;
S_g	Specific gravity of bed grain [M^1L^{-3}];
SSE	Sum of squared distance between the actual and predicted values;
SST	Sum of squared distance between the actual and their mean;
T_0	Dimensionless initial time [$M^0L^0T^0$];
T_1	Dimensionless time for $t = t_1$, [$M^0L^0T^0$];
T_{90}	Dimensionless time corresponding to 90% of y_{se} , [$M^0L^0T^0$];
t	Time; time related to scour depth of y_s , [T];
t_e	Time of equilibrium scour depth to develop [T];
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia;
V	Flow velocity [LT^{-1}];
V_a	Initial (prior to scour) velocity through the bridge opening [LT^{-1}];
V_{bs}	Average velocity at equilibrium maximum scour cross section that is close to

	bridge outlet [LT^{-1}];
V_c	Critical velocity due to bed grains [LT^{-1}];
VPG	Vernier Point Gauge;
V_{sc}	Excavated scour hole volume [L^3];
V_u	Mean approach flow velocity [LT^{-1}];
V_{ue}	Effective approach velocity [LT^{-1}];
W	Water surface width, The deck width [L];
W_1	Bottom width of the approach main channel [L];
W_2	Bottom width of the main channel in the contracted section [L];
WS	Water Surface;
w	Flow head of overtopping on the bridge deck [L];
x	Distance from an assumed point [L];
Y	Dimensionless time- dependent scour depth [$M^0L^0T^0$];
Y_0	Dimensionless initial scour depth [$M^0L^0T^0$];
y	Flow depth immediately at bridge upstream [L];
y_0	Initial scour depth [L];
y_1	Average depth in approach main channel, flow depths of wide river [L];
y_2	Flow depths of narrow river [L];
y_{mo}	Flow depth from water surface to the mean bed level of the low flow channel [L];
y_{ms}	Flow depth from the design water level to the mean scoured bed level [L];
y_o	Flow depth from water surface to the low point of the low flow channel [L];
y_s	Maximum scour depth in free flow; sampled scour depth [L];
y_{se}	Equilibrium scour depth [L];
y_{sf}	Flow depth from water surface to lowest scoured bed level [L];
$(y_s)_m$	Maximum scour depth due to submerged non-skewed bridge [L];
$(y_s)_{max}$	Total maximum equilibrium scour depth in skewed submerged bridge [L];
$(y_s)_\theta$	Maximum difference of scour depth between skewed and non-skewed bridge [L];
Z	Low chord height from the initial bed level [L];
Z_1	Height of bed stream from datum at bridge upstream [L];
Z_2	Height of bed stream from datum at bridge downstream [L];
α_1	Empirical coefficient of linear regression in Arneson's method, energy correction factor [$M^0L^0T^0$];
α_2	Empirical coefficient of linear regression in Arneson's method [$M^0L^0T^0$];

α_3	Empirical coefficient of linear regression in Arneson's method, energy correction factor [$M^0L^0T^0$];
α_4	Empirical coefficient of linear regression in Arneson's method [$M^0L^0T^0$];
β	Ratio of the bottom width of the approach main channel to the bottom width of the main channel in the contracted section [$M^0L^0T^0$];
δ_g	Geometric standard deviation of particle size distribution [$M^0L^0T^0$];
θ	Angle between pier alignment and flow direction, angle of skewed bridge axis due to un-skewed bridge [$M^0L^0T^0$];
l	Submergence index [$M^0L^0T^0$];
λ_1	Empirical coefficient in Guo's method [$M^0L^0T^0$];
λ_2	Empirical coefficient in Guo's method [$M^0L^0T^0$];
ν	Kinematic viscosity [L^2T^{-1}];
ρ	Fluid density [$M^1L^{-4}T^2$];
ρ_s	Sediment density [$M^1L^{-4}T^2$];
σ_g	Geometric standard deviation of the bed grain size distribution [$M^0L^0T^0$];
ϕ	Angle of repose of bed material [$M^0L^0T^0$];

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

A bridge is an important and valuable structure which basically connects two separate sides of a river as a passage way above natural obstacle elements throughout the world (Melville and Coleman, 2000). However the existence of a bridge pier inside the flow stream transforms the local environment around pier (Mohamed et al., 2008). In fact, a bridge pier in contact with flow causes scour hole around pier foundations and finally may cause bridge failure (Ghorbani, 2008). Although designing knowledge about the solid structure of a bridge is well known, its stability against scouring and economical depth of buried foundation still needs to be studied more under various conditions. In any case, under prediction or over prediction of scour depth can lead to bridge failure or unnecessary expenses respectively (Debnath and Chaudhuri, 2010).

Local scour around foundation of bridge is a destructive phenomenon to the bed level around pier by dislodging and transporting bed grains. Therefore, the impinging of water flow to the pier nose as an obstacle causes some vortices such as; downward flow, horseshoe vortices, wake vortices which dislodge and entrain bed grains and finally digs a reversed conical hole around pier which may endanger the pier stability. This process that is attributed to the local scour can be created by stream flow which erodes the bed stream around piers and abutments (Lee et al., 2007). That is why many bridge collapses are related to the reduction of bridge safety factor which is results from local scour around the pier (Heydari and Ghiassi, 2011).

However, useful information has been collected in both experimental fields and theoretical study by different researchers, but the lack of knowledge about various unexpected conditions can still pose a danger to bridge stability and result in some unexpected catastrophes from time to time.

Hydraulics deficiencies are also important subjects that must be considered as a potential destructive factor which threaten bridge stability (Yanmaz and Bulut, 2001). For instance, any changes of flow condition (Temporary changes in river) may create critical situations and dig deeper local scour depth around the foundation of a bridge than predicted in the designing stage which severely endangers bridge stability.

The combination of local scour depth around bridge pier with general riverbed degradation or aggradation, changes of flow field around bridge structures, human interference and debris flow add greater complexity to the subject of bridge stability and safety (Lu et al., 2011). For example, land use with high fraction of impervious surface area can cause decrease of the ground water recharge and increase surface

run-off (Falamalzi, 2014). Some inundated bridges are probably the result of some of the above-mentioned conditions. Submergence of bridge may be viewed as a basic reason for the development of deeper local scour depth around the foundation of a bridge in comparison with an ordinary local scour hole under free surface flow condition. This deeper scour depth can reduce the bridge safety factor and finally cause bridge failure by undermining. Therefore, some unstudied conditions under submerged bridge condition should be investigated.

1.2 Problem Statement

There are more than 580,000 bridges in the United States of America, with 84% of them constructed over waterways (Kwak, 2001; Deng and Cai, 2010). This huge number of bridges in just one country goes to show their importance and confirms a very significant need for their care, maintenance and safety. Every year, bridge failures are reported in various countries around the world, causing loss of human lives, enormous financial damage as well as breakdowns in communication (Lee and Sturm, 2009; and Sun and Liu, 2013). Shirhole and Holt (1991) and Briaud et al. (2014) based on the U.S. Federal Highway Administration reported that 60% of all 823 bridge failures in the U.S.A. since 1950, have been related to hydraulic flow. As cited by Macky (1990), in New Zealand alone, the expenditure on bridge repairs due to damages related to the local scour was around NZ\$ 18 million per year (Melville and Coleman 2000).

In some other parts of the world like tropical areas with some high typhoon floods, bridges can be threatened by submergence as evidenced by several busy bridges in Taiwan located in East- Asia. During 1996, some typhoon floods caused collapses of bridges and serious losses (Lu et al., 2008). Another failure attributed to the pier scour occurred in China in 2006 and caused a bridge failure in Liaoning (Hong-Wu et al., 2009). Moreover, human interference in watersheds such as urban extensions, road constructions involving deforestation, mining, animal grazing and land clearing, taking sandy construction material from stream and river beds, lead to loss of permeability. Also, climate changes can basically change the runoff volume and increase the flood regime in any watershed (Huang et al., 2013). The mentioned problems bring surplus flood volume in each return period and can easily cause the inundation of bridges. In Iowa, heavy rains caused high water level in the rivers, crested the bridge and finally surrounded freight cars on 12th June 2008 (Figure 1.1).



Figure 1.1. Flood waters submerge bridge and surround freight cars in Iowa in 2008 (Associated Press, 2008).

Moreover, some limitations around the river do not allow for constructing high level bridges to avoid submergence during high floods (Verma et al., 2004). Shen et al. (2012) stated that a large number of bridges in the U.S.A. have the potential to be inundated. In addition, as a common rule in designing the foundation of a bridge, designers utilize the return period of 50 years or some recorded flood, whichever is larger (Johnson and Ayyub, 1992). Therefore, the probability of some larger flood than the designed flood that would submerge a bridge is high and should be expected. Although some researchers in the last few decades were interested to investigate the local scour depth around the foundation of a bridge under submerged bridge condition, they all used a perpendicular flow direction to the bridge deck but the probability of changes of flow direction during flood periods due to unstable river banks or bed river at its upstream is high. In other words, any changes of banks or bed river can change flow direction toward the bridge. On the other hand, no one can depict that approaching flow direction toward a bridge will always perpendicularly impinge the bridge deck. Therefore, additional scour depth in pressure flow can be aggravated by increasing angle of flow attack toward un-circular pier where the width of the projected pier increases. Although influence of different pier alignment under free flow condition has been studied well, effect of mentioned alignment in different angle under submergence condition is still unknown.

However, Abed (1991) assumed that correction factor of pier alignment under pressure flow is the same as its value under free flow. But mentioned correction factor under submerged bridge condition should be precisely evaluated wherein this coefficient strongly effects on prediction of maximum scour depth around pier. Moreover, flow behavior along submerged skewed bridge thickness is still unknown and its behavior may bring new problem or may deflect flow toward river bank. Therefore, this behavior must be also evaluated wherein it may change the maximum scour depth location from middle of river which was found by Guo et al. (2010) toward river bank or bridge abutment. Although above mentioned maximum scour depth location is important to be predicted, an equation must be able to predict maximum scour depth in different flow directions and must apply the angle which all previous researches are able to only predict it in perpendicular flow direction. In addition, the shape of scour hole and its extension toward bridge abutment because of its new position of pier alignment against flow attack can be counted as an

important subject which should be also evaluated. Therefore, present study attempts to investigate various effects of different flow alignments toward submerged bridge with and without pier.

1.3 Research Objectives

The main objective of the present study is to investigate and predict the maximum local scour depth at the site of a submerged skewed bridge under clear water conditions with the following specific objectives:

1. To investigate flow behavior around a submerged skewed bridge without pier under clear water conditions.
2. To develop an equation for pressure flow to predict the maximum scour depth due to different angles between approaching flow direction and submerged skewed bridge alignment without pier under clear water conditions.
3. To determine the correction factor for the pier alignment in pressure flow $(K_{\theta})_s$ under clear water conditions and to predict the maximum local scour depth around aligned pier under submerged bridge with pier.
4. To evaluate the effect of pier on pressure scour at the submerged bridge with pier in comparison to the pressure scour at submerged bridge without pier.

1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Study

As mentioned earlier, a limited number of studies have been carried out on local scour depth under pressure flow, but most of the experiments have been done under perpendicular angle between approach flow and bridge directions and the effect of different angles on local scour depth is still unknown.

The present study is also based on experimental laboratory tests including partially and fully submerged bridge under clear water conditions to evaluate the flow behavior and maximum scour depth under different angles between flow and bridge direction which leads to the ultimate aim. Overall, the process of the present study is divided into three phases: designing and constructing some models based on a proper scale of real bridge in different alignments. Then, all different models were experimentally tested in the laboratory flume with a few variable factors (to avoid difficulty in analyzing) including flow depth, sediment sizes and various bridge directions in order to collect useful and necessary data. Finally, an analytical conclusion could be derived based on the collected data.

Although the present study can be useful to evaluate and predict the local scour depth under pressure flow with some new results, it still suffers from some limitation as follows:

1. Shortage of previous available studies in this area to be compared with the present study for achievement of appropriate agreements.

2. All test have been carried out with two uniform bed grains with median size of 0.23 mm and 0.80 mm, a constant ratio of pier width to the pier length ($B/L = 1/10$), steady flow and under clear water condition.
3. Rectangular pier shape with a constant ratio of pier width to the pier length ($B/L = 1/10$) is utilized.
4. According to flume wall, results can be only used for rigid vertical abutment of bridge.
5. The range of fully flow depth was restricted by a carriage above water surface flow.

1.5 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis comprises five chapters. The first chapter introduces the problems of scour around the foundation of a submerged bridge under different flow alignments. The objectives, scope and limitations of the present study are also presented in this chapter. The next four chapters are divided as follow: Chapter Two (Literature Review) is a review of relevant literature, but the most important discussion is the scour depth around a submerged bridge pier and vertical contraction in submerged bridge. In this chapter, the evolution of scour depth around pier in both free surface flow and pressure flow conditions due to effective parameters can resolve the problem. In addition, the existing analysis of scour depth around the foundation of a bridge under pressure flow conditions is also introduced.

Chapter Three includes the experimental setup, data collection and the methodology which are explained. Proposed models and their set up are firstly described. Then, descriptions of experimental tests and the method of data collection are presented. In Chapter Four, analyzing the collected data with graphs, figures and tables is the main purpose to provide results. Then, discussion of the results including prediction of maximum scour depth around submerged skewed foundation of bridge and some comparisons between previous studies and the present study will determine the validity and accuracy of the present study. Besides all the main aims, some other important behaviors of flow related to the different mentioned angles are discussed. Finally, Chapter Five provides a summary and highlights the main results obtained from Chapter Four. At the end, some recommendations for future study are also made.

REFERENCES

- Abed, L. M. (1991). *Local scour around bridge piers in pressure flow*. PhD Thesis, Colorado State University.
- Akan, A. O. (2011). *Open channel hydraulics*. Burlington: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Arneson, L. A., Zevenbergen, L. W., Lagasse, P. F., and Clopper, P. E. (2012). Colorado: Federal Highway Administration.
- Ataie-Ashtiani, B., and Aslani-Kordkandi, A. (2013). Flow field around single and tandem piers. *Flow, turbulence and combustion*, 90(3), 471-490.
- Bennett, C. R., Lin, C., Parsons, R., and Han, J. (2009). Evaluation of behavior of a laterally loaded bridge pile group under scour conditions. In *Structures Congress* (pp. 290-299).
- Bradley, J. N. (1978). *Hydraulics of bridge waterways*. US Federal Highway Administration.
- Briaud, J. L., Gardoni, P., and Yao, C. (2013). Statistical, risk, and reliability analyses of bridge scour. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 140(2), 04013011.
- Cheng, N. S. (2008). Comparison of settling-velocity-based formulas for threshold of sediment motion. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 134(8), 1136-1141.
- Clopper, P. E., Lagasse, P. F., and Zevenbergen, L. W. (2007). Bridge pier scour countermeasures. In *World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2007@ sRestoring Our Natural Habitat* (pp. 1-13). ASCE.
- Coleman, S. E., and Melville, B. W. (2001). Case study: New Zealand bridge scour experiences. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 127(7), 535-546.
- Debnath, K., and Chaudhuri, S. (2010). Bridge pier scour in clay-sand mixed sediments at near-threshold velocity for sand. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 136(9), 597-609.
- Debnath, K., Manik, M. K., and Mazumder, B. S. (2012). Turbulence statistics of flow over scoured cohesive sediment bed around circular cylinder. *Advances in Water Resources*, 41, 18-28.
- Deng, L., and Cai, C. S. (2009). Bridge scour: prediction, modeling, monitoring, and countermeasures—review. *Practice periodical on structural design and construction*, 15(2), 125-134.
- Dey, S. (2012). *Fluvial hydrodynamics: sediment transport and scour phenomena*. Kharagpur: Springer.

- Dey, S., and Raikar, R. V. (2005). Scour in long contractions. *Journal of hydraulic engineering*, 131(12), 1036-1049.
- Dey, S., and Raikar, R. V. (2007). Characteristics of horseshoe vortex in developing scour holes at piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 133(4), 399-413.
- Dey, S., Raikar, R. V., and Roy, A. (2008). Scour at submerged cylindrical obstacles under steady flow. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 134(1), 105-109.
- Elsaid, A., and Seracino, R. (2012). Bridge scour assessment using curvature of horizontally displaced mode shapes. In *topics in modal analysis II, Volume 6* (pp. 305-311). Springer New York.
- Ettema, R., Mostafa, E. A., Melville, B. W., and Yassin, A. A. (1998). Local scour at skewed piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 124(7), 756-759.
- Falamarzi, Y. (2014). *An improved streamflow model with climate and land factors for hulu langat basin*. PhD Thesis, University Putra Malaysia.
- Firat, M., and Gungor, M. (2009). Generalized regression neural networks and feed forward neural networks for prediction of scour depth around bridge piers. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 40(8), 731-737.
- Ghorbani, B. (2008). A field study of scour at bridge piers in flood plain rivers. *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences*, 32(4), 189-199.
- Grimaldi, C., Gaudio, R., Calomino, F., and Cardoso, A. H. (2009). Control of scour at bridge piers by a downstream bed sill. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 135(1), 13-21.
- Guo, J. (2011). Time-dependent clear-water scour for submerged bridge flows. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 49(6), 744-749.
- Guo, J., Kerényi, K., Pagan-Ortiz, J. E., Flora, K., and Afzal, B. (2010c). Submerged-flow bridge scour under maximum clear-water conditions (II): theory. In *Scour and Erosion* (pp. 815-823). ASCE.
- Guo, J., Kerényi, K., Pagan-Ortiz, J. E., Flora, K., and Afzal, B. (2010a). Submerged-flow bridge scour under maximum clear-water conditions (I): experiment. In *Scour and Erosion* (pp. 807-814). ASCE.
- Guo, J., Kerényi, K., Shan, H., Xie, Z., Zhai, Y., and Zhao, L. (2010). Time-dependent scour depth under bridge-submerged flow. In *Scour and Erosion* (pp. 105-114). ASCE.
- Hager, W. H., and Unger, J. (2010). Bridge pier scour under flood waves. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 136(10), 842-847.
- Hahn, E. M., and Lyn, D. A. (2010). Anomalous contraction scour? Vertical-contraction case. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 136(2), 137-141.

- Hanson, H., and Camenen, B. (2007, May). Closed form solution for threshold velocity for initiation of sediment motion under waves. In *Proc. Coastal Sediments* (Vol. 7, pp. 15-28).
- Heidarpour, M., Afzalimehr, H., and Izadinia, E. (2010). Reduction of local scour around bridge pier groups using collars. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 25(4), 411-422.
- Heydari, S., and Ghiassi, R. (2011). Investigation of bridge scour reduction due to adding vertical walls below bed level. In *Reston, VA: ASCE copyright Proceedings of the 2011 World Environmental and Water Resources Congress; May 22. 26, 2011, Palm Springs, California/ d 20110000*. American Society of Civil Engineers.
- Hong-Wu, T. A. N. G., Bing, D., Chiew, Y. M., and Shi-Long, F. A. N. G. (2009). Protection of bridge piers against scouring with tetrahedral frames. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 24(4), 385-399.
- Huang, J., Zhang, Z., Feng, Y., and Hong, H. (2013). Hydrologic response to climate change and human activities in a subtropical coastal watershed of southeast China. *Regional Environmental Change*, 13(6), 1195-1210.
- Izadinia, E., Heidarpour, M., and Schleiss, A. J. (2013). Investigation of turbulence flow and sediment entrainment around a bridge pier. *Stochastic environmental research and risk assessment*, 27(6), 1303-1314.
- Jahangirzadeh, A., Shamshirband, S., Petković, D., Bassar, H., Sedaghat, A., Akib, S., and Karami, H. (2014). Adaptive neuro-fuzzy estimation of the influence of slot on local scour at bridge pier groups. *Journal of Coastal Conservation*, 1-9.
- Johnson, P. A., and Ayyub, B. M. (1992). Assessing time-variant bridge reliability due to pier scour. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 118(6), 887-903.
- Johnson, P. A. (1991). Advancing bridge-pier scour engineering. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*, 117(1), 48-55.
- Kang, K. J., Kim, T., and Song, S. J. (2009). Strengths of horseshoe vortices around a circular cylinder with an upstream cavity. *Journal of mechanical science and technology*, 23(7), 1773-1778.
- Kirkil, G., Constantinescu, G., and Ettema, R. (2005, May). The horseshoe vortex system around a circular bridge pier on equilibrium scoured bed. In *World water and environmental resources congress, Alaska*.
- Klenzendorf, J. B., and Charbeneau, R. J. (2009). Bridge rail rating curves and submergence characteristics. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 135(2), 88-95.

- Kothyari, U. C., Garde, R. C. J., and Ranga Raju, K. G. (1992). Temporal variation of scour around circular bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 118(8), 1091-1106.
- Kwak, K. (2001). Prediction of scour depth versus time for bridge piers in the case of multi-flood and multi-layer soil system. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 5(1), 67-74.
- Laursen, E. M. (1999). Clear-water contraction scour under bridges in pressure flow. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 125(7), 785-785.
- Laursen, E. M., and Toch, A. (1956). *Scour around bridge piers and abutments* (Vol. 4). Ames, IA, USA: Iowa Highway Research Board.
- Lee, S. O., and Sturm, T. W. (2009). Effect of sediment size scaling on physical modeling of bridge pier scour. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 135(10), 793-802.
- Lee, T. L., Jeng, D. S., Zhang, G. H., and Hong, J. H. (2007). Neural network modeling for estimation of scour depth around bridge piers. *Journal of Hydrodynamics*, Ser. B, 19(3), 378-386.
- Li, J., Tao, J., and Yu, X. B. (2015, March). Streamlining of bridge pier as a scour countermeasure: a feasibility study. In *IFCEE 2015*.
- Lim, S. Y., and Cheng, N. S. (1998). Scouring in long contractions. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering*, 124(5), 258-261.
- Lu, J. Y., Hong, J. H., Su, C. C., Wang, C. Y., and Lai, J. S. (2008). Field measurements and simulation of bridge scour depth variations during floods. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 134(6), 810-821.
- Lu, J. Y., Shi, Z. Z., Hong, J. H., Lee, J. J., and Raikar, R. V. (2010). Temporal variation of scour depth at nonuniform cylindrical piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 137(1), 45-56.
- Lyn, D. A. (2008). Pressure-flow scour: A reexamination of the HEC-18 equation. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 134(7), 1015-1020.
- Maag, C. H. R. I. S. T. O. P. H. E. R., & RAPIDS, C. (2008). In Eastern Iowa, the City That 'Would Never Flood' Goes 12 Feet Under. *New York Times*. Available at <http://www.nytimes.com/2008/06/13/us/13flood.html>, Accessed on June, 13.
- Martin-Vide, J. P., and Prio, J. M. (2005). Backwater of arch bridges under free and submerged conditions. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 43(5), 515-521.
- Mashahir, M. B., Zarrati, A. R., and Mokallaf, E. (2009). Application of riprap and collar to prevent scouring around rectangular bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 136(3), 183-187.

- Masjedi, A., Bejestan, M. S., and Esfandi, A. (2010). Reduction of local scour at a bridge pier fitted with a collar in a 180 degree flume bend (Case study: oblong pier). *Journal of Hydrodynamics*, Ser. B, 22(5), 669-673.
- Melville, B. W. (2008, November). The physics of local scour at bridge piers. In *Proceeding of the 4th International Conference on Scour and Erosion* (Vol. 57).
- Melville, B. W., and Chiew, Y. M. (1999). Time scale for local scour at bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 125(1), 59-65.
- Melville, B. W., and Coleman, S. E. (2000). *Bridge scour*. Auckland: Water Resources Publication.
- Melville, B. W., and Dongol, D. M. (1992). Bridge pier scour with debris accumulation. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 118(9), 1306-1310.
- Melville, B. W., and Hadfield, A. C. (1999). Use of sacrificial piles as pier scour countermeasures. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 125(11), 1221-1224.
- Melville, B. W., and Sutherland, A. J. (1988). Design method for local scour at bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 114(10), 1210-1226.
- Melville, B. W. (1997). Pier and abutment scour: integrated approach. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 123(2), 125-136.
- Melville, B. W. (1984). Live-bed scour at bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 110(9), 1234-1247.
- Mohamed, T. A., Noor, M. J. M. M., Ghazali, A. H., and Huat, B. B. (2005). Validation of some bridge pier scour formulae using field and laboratory data. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 1(2), 119-125.
- Mohamed, Y. A., Abdel-Aal, G. M., Negm, A. M., and Fathy, A. A. (2008, December). Effect of triangular collar width on local scour at bridge pier under very low froude numbers. In *Ninth International Congress of Fluid Dynamics and Propulsion* (pp. 18-21).
- Pal, M., Singh, N. K., and Tiwari, N. K. (2012). M5 model tree for pier scour prediction using field dataset. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 16(6), 1079-1084.
- Papanicolaou, A., Kjos, L. J., and Fox, J. F. (2004). *Investigation of flow and local scour characteristics around a partially submerged permeable WSDOT barb* (No. WA-RD 581.1.). Washington State Department of Transportation.
- Parola, A. C., Mahavadi, S. K., Brown, B. M., and El Khoury, A. (1996). Effects of rectangular foundation geometry on local pier scour. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 122(1), 35-40.

- Pfleger, F., Rapp, C., and Manhart, M. (2010). Analysis of the temporal evolution of the sediment movement in the vicinity of a cylindrical bridge pier. In *Scour and Erosion* (pp. 658-667). ASCE.
- Rahman, M. M., Haque, M. A., and Islam, M. S. (2002, August). Flow and scouring around piers and abutments. In *13th IAHR-APD Congress*.
- Raudkivi, A. J. (1986). Functional trends of scour at bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 112(1), 1-13.
- Raudkivi, A. J., and Ettema, R. (1983). Clear-water scour at cylindrical piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 109(3), 338-350.
- Richardson, E. V., Harrison, L. J., Richardson, J. R., and Davis, S. R. (1993). *Evaluating scour at bridges* (No. HEC 18 (2nd edition)).
- Richardson, J. R., Price, G. R., Richardson, E. V., and Lagasse, P. F. (1996). *U.S. Patent No. 5,532,687*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Richardson, E. V., and Davies, S. R. (1995). *Evaluating scour at bridges*. Colorado: Federal Highway Administration.
- Robeson, M. D. (2007). *Pressure flow effects on scour at bridges*. M. SC. Thesis, Colorado State University
- Sarkar, K., Chakraborty, C., and Mazumder, B. S. (2015). Spacetime dynamics of bed forms due to turbulence around submerged bridge piers. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 29(3), 995-1017.
- Sarker, M. A. (1998). Flow measurement around scoured bridge piers using Acoustic-Doppler Velocimeter (ADV). *Flow measurement and instrumentation*, 9(4), 217-227.
- Shan, H., Xie, Z., Bojanowski, C., Suaznabar, O., Lottes, S., Shen, J., and Kerenyi, K. (2012). Submerged flow bridge scour under clear water conditions. *Report of US Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC*.
- Sheppard, D. M., Melville, B., and Demir, H. (2013). Evaluation of existing equations for local scour at bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 140(1), 14-23.
- Simarro, G., Teixeira, L., and Cardoso, A. H. (2007). Flow intensity parameter in pier scour experiments. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 133(11), 1261-1264.
- Stevens, M. A., Gasser, M. M., and Saad, M. B. (1991). Wake vortex scour at bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 117(7), 891-904.
- Sun, G. S., and Liu, C. G. (2013, December). Research on hydrodynamic pressure of deep water bridge structure. In *Sixth China-Japan-US Trilateral Symposium on Lifeline Earthquake Engineering*.

- Tabarestani, M. K., and Zarrati, A. R. (2012). Design of stable riprap around aligned and skewed rectangular bridge piers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 139(8), 911-916.
- Umbrell, E. R., Young, G. K., Stein, S. M., and Jones, J. S. (1998). Clear-water contraction scour under bridges in pressure flow. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 124(2), 236-240.
- Umeda, S., Yamazaki, T., and Yuhi, M. (2010, October). An experimental study of scour process and sediment transport around a bridge pier with foundation. In *Scour and Erosion* (pp. 66-75). ASCE.
- Unger, J., and Hager, W. H. (2007). Down-flow and horseshoe vortex characteristics of sediment embedded bridge piers. *Experiments in Fluids*, 42(1), 1-19.
- Verma, D. V. S., Setia, B., and Bhatia, U. (2004). Constriction scour in pressurized flow condition (research note). *International Journal of Engineering-Transactions B: Applications*, 17(3), 237.
- Yang, C. T. (1996). *Sediment transport: theory and practice* (pp. 19-48). New York: McGraw-hill.
- Yanmaz, A. M., and Bulut, F. (2001). Computer aided analysis of flow through river bridges. In *CD-ROM Proceedings of World Water and Environmental Sciences Congress, ASCE, ISBN* (pp. 1-9).
- Zevenbergen, L. W. (2010). Comparison of the HEC-18, Melville, and Sheppard pier scour equations. In *Scour and Erosion* (pp. 1074-1081). ASCE.
- Zhao, M., Cheng, L., and Zang, Z. (2010). Experimental and numerical investigation of local scour around a submerged vertical circular cylinder in steady currents. *Coastal Engineering*, 57(8), 709-721.