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Coagulation and flocculation as a pre-treatment before biological process is one of the options to enhance the treated water quality and drive possible savings in the construction and operation of treatment plants.

The common coagulants such as Al$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ have been used extensively for long time. However, they are known to act as an additional burden to the environment. Furthermore, there is a public health risk from the use of Al$^{3+}$. Because of that, great efforts have been made to provide environmentally friendly alternatives to conventional coagulants and flocculants. One of these alternatives is a tannin-based coagulant and flocculant with the name Tanfloc.

The aim of this study was to improve the performance of a biofilm process by pre-treating the wastewater using Tanfloc and to study the effect of extended use of Tanfloc on the microbial community of the biofilm.

To achieve these objectives, a five-stage experiment was conducted. In the first stage, chemical characteristics of Tanfloc were determined using FTIR and EDX in addition to determination of Tanfloc biodegradability. Moreover, jar test experiments were conducted to compare the performance of Tanfloc to Polyaluminium chloride (PAC). In the second stage, a preliminary study was conducted on Tanfloc performance in a continuous flow experiment using only flocculation and sedimentation units. In the third stage, the biofilm unit in the continuous flow experiment was run and Tanfloc effects were evaluated on the three units. Flocculation process was evaluated by studying floc size and residual turbidity. Primary clarifier was evaluated by
determining the removal efficiencies. Finally, aeration tank was evaluated by studying treatment efficiency and dissolved oxygen level. When third stage has finished, results were analysed and they were not clear to show the effect of Tanfloc. Consequently, fourth stage using a smaller aeration tank has been decided to be conducted. In the fifth stage, the effect of Tanfloc on the biofilm community was investigated in a specific study of biofilm characteristics. Effect of Tanfloc on the percentage of bacterial genera was studied in addition to substrate concentration and dissolved oxygen.

The outcomes of the first stage showed that Tanfloc can compete with PAC as a flocculant. While Tanfloc achieved 85%, 60% and 64% removal efficiencies for TSS, BOD5 and COD, the efficiencies were 64%, 55% and 55% for PAC. The improvement in floc size for Tanfloc compared to PAC improved turbidity removal, Tanfloc removed 70% of the turbidity within only 2 minutes, compared to 42% for PAC. The outcomes of the third and fourth stage showed that even at short flocculation time (7.5 min), Tanfloc showed a high potential to form big flocs with a size distribution of d (10), d (50) and d (90) of 18, 42 and 96 micron. Enhancement of the clarification process due to Tanfloc application was very clear and while the efficiency of TSS removal in the clarifier was only 4% at a flow of 18 L/min (HRT = 55.5 min), with Tanfloc it achieved a 60% efficiency. Even at a high flow of 26 L/min (HRT= 39 min), a removal efficiency of 31% was achieved when Tanfloc was applied. An enhancement in aeration tank performance was noticed due to Tanfloc’s effect on reducing the organic load; the BOD5 for the treated water dropped from the range of 24 – 50 to the range of 7–24 mg/L when Tanfloc was introduced. Moreover, the dissolved oxygen level in the aeration tank jumped almost to double the value when Tanfloc was introduced to the biological process. An interesting point in the results of the fifth stage is the ammonia nitrogen removal. In the experiment without Tanfloc, there was a complete inhibition of ammonia nitrogen removal at retention time of 4 hours, while Tanfloc produced a removal efficiency of around 70% of the ammonia nitrogen at the same retention time (4 hours). Biofilm community analysis showed a significant increment in the percentage of *Nitrosomonas* and *Nitrospira* genera in the biofilm cultured by flocculated water (3.33% and 7.8% respectively) compared to the biofilm cultured by raw wastewater (0.073% and 0.19 % respectively). This increase justified and confirmed the aforementioned improvement in ammonia nitrogen removal in the experiment with Tanfloc.

The aforementioned results suggest Tanfloc as a promising agent to enhance the performance of clarification and biological treatment units and consequently reduce the required volumes of treatment units and saving energy. In light of this enhancement, Tanfloc could be used to upgrade the existing treatment plants or design compact treatment units.
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Pembekuan dan pemberbukuan sebagai pra-rawatan dalam proses biologikal ialah salah satu pilihan untuk menambah baik kualiti air terawat dan penjimatan dalam pembinaan dan operasi loji rawatan.

Koagulan konvensional seperti Al\textsuperscript{3+} dan Fe\textsuperscript{3+} telah digunakan secara meluas untuk jangka masa yang lama. Akan tetapi, unsur-unsur ini diketahui menyumbang kepada beban tambahan terhadap alam sekitar. Oleh kerana itu, banyak usaha telah dibuat untuk menyediakan alternatif kepada koagulan dan flokulan konvensional yang lebih mesra alam. Salah satu yang menjadi pilihan adalah koagulan dan flokulan berasaskan tannin di bawah nama Tanfloc.

Tujuan kajian ini ialah untuk meningkatkan prestasi proses biofilem melalui pra-rawatan air sisa dengan menggunakan Tanfloc dan juga mengkaji kesan lanjutan penggunaan Tanfloc terhadap komuniti mikrobial biofilem.


Hasil daripada peringkat pertama menunjukkan Tanfloc menyaingi PAC sebagai flokulan. Ketika Tanfloc mencapai 85%, 60% dan 64% kecekapan penyingkiran untuk TSS, BOD₅ dan COD, kecekapannya ialah 64%, 55% dan 55% untuk PAC. Peningkatan saiz flok dengan menggunakan Tanfloc berbanding PAC meningkatkan kecekapan penyingkiran kekeruhan. Tanfloc menyisihkan 70% daripada kekeruhan dalam masa hanya 2 minit, berbanding 33% untuk PAC. Hasil-hasil kajian peringkat ketiga dan keempat menunjukkan bahawa walaupun pada masa memperbukukan yang singkat (7.5 min), Tanfloc berpotensi besar untuk membentuk flok yang besar dengan taburan saiz d (10), d (50) dan d (90) dengan nilai 18, 42 dan 96 mikron. Penambahbaikan proses penjernihan melalui aplikasi Tanfloc adalah sangat jelas. Biarpun kecekapan penyingkiran TSS di dalam penjernih ialah hanya 4% pada kadar aliran 18 L/min (HRT = 55.5 min), 60% berjaya dicapai apabila Tanfloc digunakan. Walaupun pada kadar aliran yang tinggi pada 26 L/min (HRT = 39 min), 31% kecekapan penyingkiran telah dicapai apabila Tanfloc digunakan. Penambahbaikan dalam prestasi tangki pengudaraan telah dikesan hasil daripada kesan Tanfloc terhadap pengurangan beban organik, BOD₅ untuk air terawat jatuh daripada juzat 24 – 50 kepada juzat 7 – 24 mg/L apabila Tanfloc digunakan. Tambahan pula, paras oksigen terlarut di dalam tangki pengudaraan menginjak naik hampir dua kali ganda (ia mencecah had 6 mg/L) apabila Tanfloc digunakan dalam proses biologikal. Apa yang menarik dalam hasil peringkat kelima ialah data dalam penyingkiran ammonia nitrogen. Dalam eksperimen tanpa Tanfloc, terdapat perencatan sepenuhnya terhadap penyingkiran ammonia pada masa tahanan 4 jam, sedangkan kecekapan penyingkiran ammonia nitrogen telah mencapai 70% pada masa tahanan yang sama (4 jam). Analisis komuniti biofilem menunjukkan kenaikan ketara dalam peratusan Nitrosomonas dan Nitrospira generi di dalam biofilem dibiakkan melalui air yang berbuku (masing-masing 3.33% dan 7.8%) berbanding biofilem dibiakkan melalui air sisa mentah (masing-masing 0.073% dan 0.19%). Kenaikan ini mewajarkan dan mengesahkan peningkatan dalam penyingkiran ammonia nitrogen dalam eksperimen dengan Tanfloc seperti dinyatakan di atas.

Hasil-hasil kajian yang dinyatakan di atas mencadangkan Tanfloc sebagai ejen berpotensi untuk meningkatkan prestasi unit penjernih dan rawatan biologikal serta mengurangkan isipadu yang diperlukan untuk unit rawatan dan menjimatkan tenaga. Berdasarkan penambahbaikan ini, Tanfloc boleh digunakan untuk menaik taraf loji rawatan sedia ada atau unit rawatan bereka bentuk kompak.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter introduces the background of wastewater treatment plants and common modifications that respond to changes in both water quality and quantity. The problem statement focuses on current interests and concerns about the treatment process; especially those related to the use of coagulation and flocculation processes, in addition to conventional and new materials utilized for that purpose. The objectives are determined and the scope of the study is elaborated upon in Chapter One.

1.2 Research Background

Water supply is one of the most important requirements of life. In human societies, most of the water supplied will eventually be converted into domestic wastewater. If this wastewater is not treated, it will accumulate and become anaerobic (due to a lack of dissolved oxygen), and consequently, it will be considered a terrible source of nuisance for the community.

For this reason, wastewater treatment is a main feature of urban areas. Treatment plants are comprised of sequencing steps of physical, chemical and biological processes that interact together to decrease wastewater pollution to a required level. Concerns about the treatment of wastewater started at the beginning of the last century. At that time, the objectives of treatment were limited to removing solids and biodegradable organics, and the elimination of pathogenic organisms. As the concerns about pollution and its effect on public health and the environment increased, the standards of treated water quality became more stringent and previous treatment processes were deemed to be insufficient to respond to these standards (Wang et al., 2015). Consequently, new processes and methods were introduced into this field (Fulazzaky et al., 2015; Leyva-Díaz et al., 2015a; Martín-Pascual et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014).

One of the optional chemical processes, which are used in wastewater treatment plants to improve treatment efficiency, is the coagulation and flocculation process. Wastewater contains solids in a variety of size distributions. A certain proportion are colloids, which are, due to their small size, infeasible to be settled by gravity. As a practical solution, these colloids can be forced to agglomerate by coagulation and flocculation, in order to grow big enough to be removed physically (Suopajärvi et al., 2013). A variety of materials (inorganics and organics), show a superior potential to function as coagulants and flocculants (Aljuboori et al., 2013; Aljuboori et al., 2014; Choudhary et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016).
However, the treatment of wastewater should not act as an additional source of pollution. In other words, sludge generated from the wastewater treatment process should be environmentally friendly as much as possible. A high percentage of the sludge produced from conventional treatment is biodegradable, and the rest is mainly dust, sand and other particles (Qasim, 1998). Regardless of the high requirement for funds and the necessary skills to manage this type of sludge, it is still feasible (Qasim, 1998); compared to the sludge produced from chemical treatments, like precipitation and coagulation, using metal ions (Choy et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2014).

1.3 Problem Statement

Construction of conventional sewage treatment plants is restricted by the availability of large required area, which is considered a major contributor to the high capital cost of the treatment plants. The operation of these plants is extremely energy intensive; for example, water and wastewater utilities consume about 2% according to Dotro et al. (2011) and 3% according to Spellman (2013) of the total amount of electricity produced in the United States. Upgrading the existing treatment plants to cater for the increasing population or to respond to new and more stringent standards is another challenge faced by the authorities due to the difficulties to provide the required land for the extension.

In order to accommodate these issues, several attempts have been conducted to develop and modify conventional treatment units or provide alternative methods with fewer requirements for construction, operation or land. Reducing the influent organic load to the biological unit is a possible approach to reduce the requirements for volume and oxygen supply for this unit. USEPA (2010) stated that oxygen requirement is a reflection of organic load. Practically, enhancing the sedimentation process is one of the alternatives to reduce the influent organic load to the biological unit.

Efficiently designed and operated primary sedimentation tanks should remove from 50 to 70% of the suspended solids and 25 – 40 % of the BOD. This anticipated efficiency is negatively affected by eddy currents formed by the inertia of the incoming fluid, wind induced circulation cells formed in uncovered tanks, thermal convection currents and thermal stratification in hot arid climate. Inclined plates and tube settlers are common modifications to enhance sedimentation process especially in the compact units with limited available space.

Enhancement of sedimentation process could be achieved by preceding the coagulation and flocculation process. Traditional chemical coagulants and flocculants are aluminium and ferrous salts. Several environmental and public health problems arise due to extended use of these conventional chemicals. From a medical point of view, aluminium residuals in alum treated water have been the centre of attention, as they are linked to serious health issues, such as Alzheimer (Lee et al., 2014). From an environmental point of view, a serious drawback of hydrolysing metal coagulants is
the production of large amounts of toxic sludge, which is non-biodegradable due to the nature of the coagulant. Moreover, 99% of alum sludge is made up of water and alum sludge is rather hard to dewater (Lee et al., 2014; Renault et al., 2009) Other drawbacks include large amounts are required for efficient flocculation, it is highly sensitive to pH, inefficient towards very fine particles, inefficient in cold water (especially Polyaluminium chloride), and finally, the presence of aluminium in water negatively affects the disinfection process (Choy et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2014).

Consequently, great efforts have been made to provide natural coagulants as a substitution for conventional inorganic coagulants (Abidin et al., 2013; Aljuboori et al., 2013; Aljuboori et al., 2014; Aljuboori et al., 2015; Amagloh and Benang, 2009; Beltrán-Heredia et al., 2010b; Beltrán-Heredia et al., 2012; Gong et al., 2008; Graham et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009; Lian et al., 2008; Xia et al., 2008a). Meanwhile, several natural coagulants are produced in commercial quantities; others are at the limits of lab scale production.

Introducing these natural coagulants to conventional treatment processes, in the hope of improving performance to accommodate higher flow (upgrade treatment plants for increased population), achieve better treatment efficiency (upgrade treatment plants for new stringent standards) and energy saving, have not been well investigated. For this reason, a tannin based agent (a natural coagulant) was used in this study to enhance the performance of sedimentation process, in the hope of improving the overall treatment efficiency.

1.4 Research Objectives:

This study aims to investigate the improvement of treatment process by introducing Tanfloc (a tannin based coagulant) as a pre-treatment for sewage treatment plants. The specific objectives of this study are as follows:

(i) To assess the potential and effectiveness of applying Tanfloc in domestic wastewater treatment.
(ii) To determine Tanfloc efficiency in a continuous flow experiment using flocculation and sedimentation units only.
(iii) To investigate the effects of Tanfloc to reduce influent organic load to a biofilm treatment unit.
(iv) To characterize the type of microbial community within a biofilm cultured in flocculated wastewater using Tanfloc.
1.5 Scope of the Study

The scope of this study extended to give more details about the characteristics and behaviour of Tanfloc. The investigation includes the chemical characterization of Tanfloc in addition to determination of the best dose and mixing conditions for both Tanfloc and polyaluminium chloride (PAC). The effect of Tanfloc on removal efficiency of pollutants from domestic wastewater was investigated. Furthermore, investigation of floc size and settling velocity of Tanfloc compared to PAC was conducted. The investigation extended to include the study of sludge produced for Tanfloc and PAC. The effect of cations on flocculation performance was evaluated. Moreover, zeta potential measurement was studied.

Preliminary study of Tanfloc performance in the flocculation and sedimentation units in continuous flow experiment was conducted to give more details about Tanfloc behaviour in continuous flow experiment. The experiment proceeded to study the effects of Tanfloc on the treatment process at different flow rates. To evaluate the flocculation process, floc size distribution, sludge volume index and residual turbidity were determined. However, clarifier performance was evaluated by the determination of influent and effluent concentration of COD, BOD, TSS, ammonia nitrogen, turbidity and total phosphate for five different flow rates with and without Tanfloc. Aeration tank performance was evaluated by the determination of COD, BOD, TSS, turbidity, and total phosphate for the influent and effluent for five different flow rates with and without Tanfloc. Moreover, the evaluation of aeration tank included dissolved oxygen study and estimation of secondary sludge production.

The effect of Tanfloc on the biofilm community was evaluated, biofilm samples were taken after the process had stabilized from the two identical reactors (with and without Tanfloc), and tested for illumina Next Generation Sequencing technology (NGS), which is used to identify the species of bacteria and their percentage in a biofilm community. Wastewater characteristics were determined in addition to dissolved oxygen level to have a detailed description of the entire scenario in which the biofilm was cultured.

1.6 Thesis layout

This thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter One explains the background of the study and the problem statement, and ends with stating the objectives and scope of the research. Literature review was covered in Chapter Two including coagulation, flocculation and biofilm treatment process as main topics in the review. In Chapter Three, the materials used in the experiments were listed and explained in details including chemicals and treatment process units. Moreover, details about preparation of samples for the analysis were explained, finally the methods and procedures of conducting the experiments and taking and analyzing the samples were covered also in Chapter Three. Chapter Four presents the results and discussion about Tanfloc and its effect on the sedimentation process and biofilm units, in addition to the effect of
Tanfloc on the biofilm bacterial community. Chapter Five wraps up the thesis with conclusions and recommendations for the future work.
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