

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

QUANTIFICATION OF STANDARDISED UPTAKE VALUE FOR ¹[®]F-FDG POSITRON EMISSION TOMOGRAPHY IN MALAYSIA

MUHAMMAD HAFIZ HANAFI

FPSK(m) 2018 8



QUANTIFICATION OF STANDARDISED UPTAKE VALUE FOR ¹⁸F-FDG POSITRON EMISSION TOMOGRAPHY IN MALAYSIA

By

MUHAMMAD HAFIZ BIN HANAFI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

November 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

QUANTIFICATION OF STANDARDISED UPTAKE VALUE FOR ¹⁸F-FDG POSITRON EMISSION TOMOGRAPHY IN MALAYSIA

By

MUHAMMAD HAFIZ BIN HANAFI

November 2017

Chair : Assoc. Prof. Fathinul Fikri Ahmad Saad, PhD Faculty : Medicine and Health Sciences

The role of Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PET/CT) modalities is becoming more important concomitantly with the increase of oncology cases in Malaysia. Thus, it is the perfect time to perform a study to standardise the PET/CT image quality in Malaysia. This study aimed to compare the quantification of image quality of PET/CT with a standardised uptake value (SUV) parameter. In general, this study was carried out with the purpose of identifying the standardisation of quantification of standardised uptake value, SUV_{controlled} for ¹⁸F-FDG PET between two independent PET modalities at two different institutions.

The analysis was done on the SUV_{controlled} of technical techniques (PET phantom) as a control standard for the validation of the PET-CT images of selected subjects with Fasting Blood Glucose index as the adjusted index to synchronise the two independent data sets. It was found that the SUV_{controlled} was a potential conversion marker to validate the in vivo standardisation techniques for the two independent PET/CT modality systems based on the reference standard of the matched FBS and the in vitro ¹⁸F-FDG phantom. This study confirmed that the two independent PET modalities at paired-centre could potentially be standardised on the independent image quality based on the SUV_{max} quantification as the two independent measured were insignificantly different.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

PENGKUANTITIAN NILAI PENGAMBILAN TERPIAWAI UNTUK TOMOGRAFI PANCARAN POSITRON ¹⁸F-FDG DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

MUHAMMAD HAFIZ BIN HANAFI

November 2017

Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Fathinul Fikri Ahmad Saad, PhD Fakulti : Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan

Peranan modaliti Tomografi Pancaran Positron/Tomografi Berkomputer (PET/CT) adalah semakin penting seiring dengan peningkatan kes-kes onkologi di Malaysia. Rentetan itu, kajian ini menyasarkan untuk membandingkan pengkuantitian kualiti imej berdasarkan parameter nilai pengambilan terpiawai (SUV) Secara amnya, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti pemiawaian pengkuantitian nilai pengambilan terpiawai (SUV) ¹⁸F-FDG di antara dua modaliti PET yang tidak bersandaran di dua institusi berbeza.

Analisis dijalankan terhadap SUV_{controlled} teknik teknikal (fantom PET) sebagai piawaian kawalan bagi tujuan pengesahan imej PET/CT bagi subjek terpilih dengan indeks FBS sebagai indeks penyelarasan untuk menyelaraskan dua data tidak bersandaran. Kami mendapati bahawa SUV_{controlled} in vitro berpotensi sebagai penanda pertukaran untuk pengesahan pemiawaian teknik in vivo bagi dua modaliti PET/CT yang tidak bersandaran berdasarkan pemiawaian rujukan bagi FBG yang sepadan dan fantom in vitro ¹⁸F-FDG. Kajian ini mengesahkan bahawa dua modaliti PET yang tidak bersandaran di pusat-berpasangan adalah perbezaan tidak ketara pengkuantitian terpiawai setelah kolerasi SUV_{max} antara pusat-pusat dilakukan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to offer my profoundest gratitude to my thesis advisor, Associate Professor Dr. Fathinul Fikri Ahmad Saad for his invaluable guidance and constant encouragement. I would also like to express my gratitude to the member of the supervisory committee, namely, Dr. Noramaliza bin Mohd Noor and Dr. Md Sohel Rana for their insightful discussions and encouragement.

I would also like to thank my research coursemates in Molecular Imaging, Ms Nur Hafizah bin Mohad Azmi, Mohd Nazmi Che Nordin, Mr Muhammad Hishar Hassan and Mrs Anne Erynna for their constructive criticisms and suggestions.

I wish to express my gratitude to all members of the Centre for Diagnostic Nuclear Imaging, UPM. I also wish to express my gratitude to Mr. Hairunnajmi bin Abdul Razak and Mrs Qistina binti Mohd Zaki, Head of Department Radiology and Director of Prince Court Medical Centre. Both centres provided the research facilities, technical assistance and not to mention, abundant moral support during this study. I would also like to acknowledge the financial support provided by Universiti Putra Malaysia (Universiti Putra Malaysia Grant-IPS: 94578000) for this study.

Finally, I am also greatly indebted to my parents, Hanafi bin Ahmad and Zaleha binti Mohamad Salleh, my siblings Noradibah Hanafi and Muhammad Hazim Hanafi, who tirelessly made dua' for me and supported me, to my wife Nur Mahirah Ahmad Sandara Lela Putera, relatives, and others who had always encouraged me to give my best. Thank you for all for your love, moral encouragement and support during this inspiring journey. I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 17 November 2017 to conduct the final examination of Muhammad Hafiz bin Hanafi on his thesis entitled "Quantification of Standardised Uptake Value for ¹⁸F-FDG Positron Emission Tomography in Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Cheah Yoke Kqueen, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Rozi Mahmud, PhD Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Sulaiman Md Dom, PhD

Associate Professor Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia (External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 March 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Fathinul Fikri Ahmad Saad, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Noramaliza Mohd. Noor, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Md Sohel Rana, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by the graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from the supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before the thesis is published (in the form of written, printed, or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules, or any other materials asstated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:
Name and Matric No.:	

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis were under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairperson of the Supervisory Committee:	Assoc. Prof. Dr. Fathinul Fikri Ahmad Saad
Signature:	
Name of Member of	
the Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Noramaliza Mohd Noor
Signature:	
Name of Member of	
the Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Md Sohel Rana

TABLE OF CONTENTS

					Page
ABS ACK APP DEC LIST LIST LIST	ROVAL LARATI OF TAE OF FIG OF EQI	BLES	i		i ii iv vi xi xiv xviii xix
СНА	PTER				
1	INT 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	Limitat Res <mark>ea</mark> r	round m State tions of rch Que ives of Gene	ement and Justification the Study estions the Study eral Objective cific Objectives	1 2 2 3 4 4 4
	1.6			of the Thesis	4
2	1 11	ERATURE		FW	5
Z	2.1	Nuclear Tomogr	⁻ Imagi	ng Scanner of Positron Emission PET) and Its Fundamental Operation	5
		2.1.1 2.1.2 2.1.3 2.1.4	Oper PET	oduction to PET Modality rating Principle Scintillation Crystal Detector cidence Detections	5 6 7 9
	2.2	PET Im 2.2.1		n Diagnostic Nuclear Imaging e of Radioisotopes as Tracer in PET	11 11
		2.2.2		ose Metabolism: ¹⁸ F-FDG as In vivo	12
		2.2.3	Flow	of Technical and Clinical PET ninations	13
	2.3	for PET		Assurance and Performance Control	14
		2.3.1		ty Control for Verification of PET ality Performance	14

G

		2.3.2 PET Phantom as a fundamental standard in evaluating tomographic image quality	15
	2.4	Quantification in PET 2.4.1 The Use of Standardised Uptake Values in ¹⁸ F-FDG PET Imaging	17 17
		2.4.2 Maximum Standardised Uptake Value	18
		(SUV_{max}) Commonly used in Quantification2.4.3 Factors that influence SUV_{max} values	18
	2.5	Comparable-Multicentre Study	19
3	MET	THODOLOGY	21
-	3.1		21
	3.2	Nuclear (Medicine) Imaging Institutions 3.2.1 Centre A – Centre for Diagnostic Nuclear Imaging, UPM (Pusat Pengimejan Diagnostik Nuklear)	21 21
		3.2.2 Centre B – Prince Court Medical Centre	22
	3.3	Equipment and Instruments 3.3.1 Independent PET Modality	23 23
		3.3.2 Centralized Workstation	23
		3.3.3 Standard PET Phantom	24
		3.3.4 Radionuclide dose calibrator	25
		3.3.5 Radiopharmaceutical-tracer ¹⁸ F-FDG	26
	3.4	Research Methodology	27
		3.4.1 Technical Technique	27
		3.4.2 Clinical Assessment Technique	36
		3.4.3 Fused Results of the Technical and Clinical Techniques	38
		reeninques	
4	RES		42
	4.1	Quality Control of Dose Calibrators	42
		4.1.1 Physical and visual inspections	42
		4.1.2 Linearity Test (Accuracy and Precision evaluations)	43
	4.2	Quality Control of PET Modalities	45
		4.2.1 Physical and Mechanical Inspections4.2.2 Daily PET Quality Control	45 45
	4.3	Assessment of SUV _{controlled} of Technical (Phantom)	46
		Technique	
		4.3.1 Quantification of in vitro SUV _{controlled}	46
		4.3.2 Analysis of SUV _{controlled}	48
	4.4	Assessment of SUVmax of patients (In vivo)	51
	4.5	The Implementation of Transferable Calibration Constant (k) into SUVmax	52

 \bigcirc

5	DISC 5.1	CUSSION Quality Control Secured Quantification of In Vivo and In Vitro ¹⁸ F-FDG	58 58			
	5.2		59			
	5.3	Significant Findings of the Pilot Study (Outcomes) towards the Standardisation of PET Modalities	59			
6	RECO	MARY, CONCLUSION, LIMITATION AND OMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	62			
		Conclusion 6 Limitation and Recommendations for Future 6 Research				
APPENI BIODAT	OF T DICES	HE STUDY	64 72 73 87 88			

 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Properties of inorganic scintillator materials used in nuclear medicine which are useful for gamma-ray detection at 511 keV (Cherry & Dahlbom, 2012; Cherry, et al., 2012; Saha, 2010)	9
2	Cyclotron-produced radionuclides used in nuclear medicine such as PET imaging	11
3	Recommended International Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) authorised by IAEA	14
4	Type of standard PET phantoms normally used in quality control (QC) and comparable multi-centre studies of standardisation of PET modality	16
5	Specifications of the two independent PET/CT modalities	23
6	Specifications of the Esser Flangeless Deluxe PET Phantom [™] . The flangeless phantoms for PET meet the requirements set by the ACR. The flangeless PET phantom provides consistent performance information for any PET system. Multiple performance characteristics of PET modality are evaluated from a single scan of the phantom	25
7	Characteristics of 18F-FDG Radiopharmaceutical	27
8	Physical and visual inspections of dose calibrators in Centre A and Centre B	28
9	Accuracy and Precision evaluation of dose calibrators in Centre A and Centre B	29
10	Physical and mechanical inspections of PET modality in Centre A and Centre B	30
11	Daily PET QC procedure of PET modality in Centre A and Centre	31
12	18F-FDG Activation of the PET Phantom Procedures	32
13	Routine processing protocol and data acquisition of PET Phantom and whole-body imaging	34

6

	14	The calibration constant was obtained from the ratio after- before transferable of SUVcontrolled scanned phantom (Hee Park, et al., 2011)	36
	15	The demographic of selected patients for Centre A and Centre B	40
	16	Results of dose calibrator inspections	42
	17	Results of precision percentage	43
	18	Results of accuracy percentage	44
	19	Results of physical and mechanical inspection	45
	20	The modalities of PET A (SIEMENS Biograph 64 Truepoint) and PET B (SIEMENS Biograph 6) passed the daily quality control check	45
	21	The mean and standard deviation of SUVcontrolled in vitro 18F-FDG of standard PET phantom using PET modalities A and B at Centres A and B, respectively	47
	22	The resul <mark>ts of the quantification of in vitro 18F</mark> -FDG Phantom of PET at Centres A and B with a 60-minute delay	47
	23	Test of normality of SUVcontrolled quantification of in vitro 18F-FDG	49
	24	Test of Homogeneity of Variances	49
	25	T-test of equality of SUVcontrolled means	50
	26	The transferable-ratio of SUVcontrolled for Centre A and Centre B was obtained from the comparable ratio of SUVcontrolled mean	51
	27	Means of SUVmax was obtained for from (the left and right brains, the left and right lungs and the liver) patients who underwent PET examination A and B	52
\mathbf{O}	28	The correlation coefficient of linear regression analysis of post-variation SUVmax with SUVmax Lung L	53
	29	The correlation coefficient of linear regression analysis of post-variation SUVmax with SUVmax Lung R	54

- 30 The correlation coefficient of linear regression analysis of 55 post-variation SUVmax with SUVmax Brain L
- 31 The correlation coefficient of linear regression analysis of 55 post-variation SUVmax with SUVmax Brain R
- 32 The correlation coefficient of linear regression analysis of 56 post-variation SUVmax with SUVmax Liver



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1 The chronology the standardisation of PET in world healthcare since 2008

- 2 Types of PET modalities. Built-in or integrated PET/CT modalities manufactured by established diagnostic imaging healthcare device manufacturers which are widely utilised by nuclear imaging institutions in Malaysia
- 3 a) A patient with injected radiotracers known as radiopharmaceuticals usually a positron emitter will undergo PET examination. The scanner will detect path of the gamma-ray pair by coincidence detection to find the position where the positron is emitted; and b) the annihilation process happens when positron and electrons collide and lose their kinetic energy. In this process, a pair of gamma-rays with energy of 511 keV is emitted in 180degree-opposing-directions simultaneously
- The scintillation process in the fundamental component of 4 a scintillation detector which contains a scintillator, a photomultiplier tube, PMT (photocathode, electrode and anode) and electrical connectors
- 5 10 A pair of detectors is utilised simultaneously to determine the coincidence detection of the gamma-ray pair to detect the position where the positron is emitted
- 6 a) True coincidence detection; b) Scattered coincidence 10 detection in which two scattered photons with little loss of energy from the two annihilation processes are detected as a coincidence detection by two detectors; c) Random coincidence detection by two simultaneous detectors connected in a coincidence along the red dotted line; and d) Multiple coincidence detection
 - 18F-FDG is a derivative in which the hydroxyl group at the 12 2-position of glucose is substituted with fluorine-18 which is a positron emitting nuclide
 - a) Cyclotron generates a radioactive isotope (positron 13 emitting radionuclides of 18F, 15O, 13N and 11C) which emits positron necessary for PET measurements; b) the glucose is synthesised into а PET tracer or

Page

1

5

8

8

7

radiopharmaceutical 18F-FDG (analogue sugar); c) 18F-FDG radiopharmaceutical is dispensed at certain amount of dose activity (MBq or mCi) according to body weight; d) 18F-FDG radiopharmaceutical is administered into the patient's body by intravenous (IV) injection; e) PET modality scans the distribution of 18F-FDG in the body which emits the gamma-rays; f) PET image data are reconstructed to depict the location where the gamma-rays are generated (hotspot); and g) quantification of reconstructed PET image by determining the uptake values

22

- 9 a) The Centre for Diagnostic Nuclear Imaging, known as Pusat Pengimejan Diagnostik Nuklear by the locals; and b) PET/CT modality has been installed in Centre A. The modality was provided by SIEMENS Healthcare Malaysia (SIEMENS Biograph Truepoint 64)
- 10 a) Prince Court Medical Centre is known as Pusat Perubatan Prince Court by the locals; and b) SIEMENS Healthcare Malaysia (SIEMENS Biograph 6PET/CT modality in installed in Centre B
- 11 a) Leornardo SYNGO syngoMMWP VE31A (syngo VE32B 24 WinNT 5.2, Service Pack 2, COEM VE10D 64Bit); and b) SYNGO workstation used for the quantification of FDG PET images
- 12 a) VDC-404 Veenstra Instruments at Centre A used; and b) 26 Capintec CRC®-55tW at Centre B
- a) 18F-FDG radiopharmaceutical was supplied by 26 institutions which have cyclotron to produce the Flourine-18. Normally, all nuclear medicine institutions in Malaysia made their order from Beacon International Specialist Centre, National Cancer Institute and IBA Molecular Sdn Bhd; and b) 18F-FDG is ready to be dispensed and measured using a dose calibrator
 - A simple flow chart for the activation of phantom with 18F-FDG; a) 10mL of normal saline is extracted to using a syringe for step of dilution b) to dilute the 18F-FDG since according to the amount of dose activity; c) 40 MBq of 18F-FDG is prepared and d) phantom is activated with the prepared 18F-FDG

14

15 A simple flowchart for 18F-FDG activity dose preparation 33 before a scan procedure

	16	The location of ROI and VOI of 50cm3 of SUVcontrolled of phantom	35
	17	The SUVmax was obtained for the brain (left, right), lungs (left, right) and liver with the volume ROI of 3 cm3	38
	18	The implementation of the transferable calibration constant (k)	39
	19	A Flowchart Showing the Methodology used in the Technical Technique and the Clinical Assessment Technique	41
	20	The percentage of precision of dose calibrators in Centre A and Centre B	43
	21	The percentage of accuracy of dose calibrators in Centre A and Centre B	44
	22	The outcome result of the PET daily quality control for the PET modalities. The results show either PASSED or FAILED at the end of the test. The most important information is the excellent image of sinogram without artifacts	46
	23	The SUVcontrolled quantification of in vitro 18F-FDG of the technical technique using standard PET phantom was analysed using Leonardo SIEMENS workstation with 50cm3 ROI-VOI (middle)	47
	24	The graph of the SUV quantification of the in vitro 18F-FDG of PET modalities against the number of PET examination	48
	25	Normality test outcomes bell-shaped histogram and normal linear line Q-Q plot of SUVcontrolled	49
	26	Standardised uptake values (SUV) were measured by the independent PET/CT modality, and SUV was obtained for the left and right brains (a), the left and right of lungs (b) and the liver (c)	51
\bigcirc	27	The transferable calibration constant (k) for PET Modality A (Centre A) and PET Modality B (Centre B) was obtained from the quantification in vitro 18F-FDG PET Phantom	53
	28	The Implementation Transferable Calibration Constant (k) into SUVmax	53

29 The correlation of linear regression analysis of postvariation SUVmax with the variation SUVmax of the patients' lungs, left, right (a,b), brains (left, right) (c,d) and liver (e)



G

LIST OF EQUATIONS

Equation		Page
1	Einstein's equation	7
2	The relationship between energy and mass	7
3	Semi-quantitative index of standardised uptake value	17



 (\mathbf{G})

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

¹⁸ F-FDG	2-deoxy-2-[18F]-fluoro-D-glucose
ACR	The American College of Radiology
Bq	The becquerel is the SI derived unit of radioactivity
C-11	Carbon-11 (C-11) radiotracers
Ci	The curie (symbol Ci) is a non-SI unit of radioactivity
EANM	European Association of Nuclear Medicine
EARL	European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM) Research Ltd
F-18	Fluorine-18
FBS	Fasting blood sugar
Ge-68	Germanium-68
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
keV	Kiloelectron-volt
kg	Kilogram/s
kVp	Peak kilovoltage
mAs	milliampere-seconds
mL	millilitre
mm	millimetre
MOE	Ministry of Education
МОН	Ministry of Health
N-13	Nitrogen-13
0-15	Oxygen-15
PET	Positron Emission Tomography
PET/CT	Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography

- QAP Quality Assurance Programme
- QC Quality Control
- ROI Region-of-interest
- SUV standardised uptake value
- VOI Volume-of-interest

 \bigcirc



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The function of Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PET/CT) modalities has increasingly becoming more important, concomitantly with the increase of cancer cases in Malaysia. As stated by the National Cancer Council Malaysia (2014), cases of cancer continue to increase; however, studies regarding the standardisation of PET modalities in Malaysia based on comparable quantification of SUVs remain unknown.

Netherlands	2008	•	The Netherlands acted as the founder of standardisation of PET modalities in the world. The Netherlands-Protocol has managed to approach the standardisation and quantification PET protocols in 2008 (Boellaard et al., 2008)
European	2009	•	The Board of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM) took the initiative to implement the standardisation of PET/CT imaging in Europe using the accreditation of (EARL) FDG-PET/CT in 2009 (Boellaard et al., 2010, 2014)
, and	2010	•	Japan started to implement the standardisation of PET/CT imaging as stated in the published paper of "Japanese guideline for the oncology FDG-PET/CT data acquisition protocol" (<u>Fukukita</u> et al., 2010, 2014)
Japan	2011	•	South Korea was moving towards the standardisation of PET/CT as reported by <u>Hee</u> Park, et al. (2011) even though there was no official guideline for the standardisation in South Korea (<u>Hee</u> Park et al., 2011)
Malaysia	2017	•	There is still no official guideline for the standardisation in Malaysia with little or no known cited research with regard to studies on comparable multicentre in Malaysia (Hanafi et al., 2017)

Figure 1: The chronology the standardisation of PET in world healthcare since 2008.

As illustrated in Figure 1, the Netherlands has started the standardisation of PET quantification protocols on all PET/CT modalities in the country since 2008. The standardisation of the quantification protocols is known as the Netherlands-Protocol (Boellaard, et al., 2008). Since the Netherlands is a member of the Board of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM), EANM suggested that the country standardised PET/CT imaging in the Europe using (EARL) FDG-PET/CT accreditation programme in 2009 (Boellaard, et al., 2010,

2014). EARL stands for the European Association of Nuclear Medicine Research Ltd (European Association of Nuclear Medicine Research Ltd, 2017).

In addition, developed Asian countries such as Japan has also started to standardise PET/CT imaging as stated in the published paper of *Japanese guideline for the oncology FDG-PET/CT data acquisition protocol* (Fukukita, et al., 2010; 2014). Although certain parts of the European and Asian countries have become more advanced when it comes to the standardisation and harmonisation of PET/CT SUV_{max} (quantification) since the last decade, it is never too late for Malaysia to start the standardisation of PET modalities in the country based on a comparable-multicentre study.

1.2 Problem Statement and Justification

The Ministry of Health, Malaysia (MOH) is serious in improving the quality of nuclear services in Malaysia. It has implemented a Quality Assurance Programme (QAP) for both the government and private nuclear medicine centres since 2013. Various requirements for quality control (QC) have been performed according to the medical terms stated under the Atomic Energy Licensing Act 1984 (The Commissioner of Law Revision Malaysia, 2006, 2010). The implementation of QC is compulsory as stated in the technical quality control Protocol Handbook of Positron Emission Tomography/ Computed Tomography (PET/CT) Systems by MOH (Medical Radiation Surveillance Division, 2015). The performance of PET/CT systems assures that the PET/CT modality is qualified to interpret the PET/CT image quality of maximum standardised uptake value (SUV_{max}) in each stand-alone PET/CT at each centre (Medical Radiation Surveillance Division, 2015).

However, the implementation of the QAP programme as approached by MOH is only applicable for a stand-alone PET modality at a nuclear medicine institution. Thus, the programme has limited access for a standardisation of comparable quantification (image quality of maximum standardised uptake value, SUV_{max}) of PET modalities in Malaysia. Consequently, the variations of quantification of PET modalities in Malaysia remain unknown. The impact is that it limits the PET study to only one particular centre that has validated a baseline image to ensure the measured parameter i.e. the SUV_{max} is reproducible and construed to the current status of a disease.

This study sought to ascertain the potential of validating two independent in vivo $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ PET/CT modalities based on the variation of SUV_{max} as a potential conversion marker. This is with regard the derived transferable calibration constant of phantom (in vitro $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ uptake) to verify whether the SUV_{max} quantification between PET modalities are comparable.

1.3 Limitations of the Study

Many PET modalities have been installed in Malaysia since 2006 by various parties such as the Ministry of Health Malaysia (MOH), Ministry of Education (MOE) as well as the private sector. Thus, this study aimed to have the participation of more than two nuclear imaging institutions in the Klang Valley which were equipped with a PET/CT modality and referred patients undergoing the PET/CT examination.

The institutions selected for the study were the Centre for Diagnostic Nuclear Imaging (PPDN) UPM, Beacon International Specialist Centre (BISC) and Prince Court Medical Centre (PCMC) since these institutions are equipped with PET/CT modalities. However, as Beacon International Specialist Centre was reluctant to participate in the research due to internal reasons (the official letter from the management of BISC is attached in the Index Section), only two nuclear imaging institutions were involved in the study.

1.4 Research Questions

The research questions have been divided into two parts. The first part is the technical phantom technique questions, and the second part is the clinical assessment questions.

The first part of the research questions are as follows:

- i. What is the quantitative measurement value of SUV_{controlled} for standard activation of PET phantom for the two independent PET modalities?
- ii. What is the significant difference of the variation of SUV_{controlled} PET phantom for the two independent PET modalities?
- iii. From the above questions, is there a possibility to derive the transferable calibration constant (k) using SUV_{controlled} of PET phantom?

The second part of the research questions are as follows:

- i. What is the quantitative measurement value of SUV_{max} of PET images?
- ii. What is the post-variation of SUV_{max} using the transferable calibration constant (k)?
- iii. What is the correlation of post-variation SUV_{max} with the variation of SUV_{max} of the patients?

1.5 Objectives of the Study

1.5.1 General Objective

The overall aim of this study was to identify the standardisation of quantification of SUV_{max} for ¹⁸F-FDG PET between two independent PET modalities at two different institutions by analysing the SUV_{controlled} of technical techniques (PET phantom) and clinical assessment of SUV_{max} (PET images of patients). It is hoped that the research findings gained from the pilot study can be a source of reference towards the standardisation and harmonisation of ¹⁸F-FDG PET modalities in oncology imaging in Malaysia.

1.5.2 Specific Objectives

- a) To identify the quantitative measurement value of SUV_{controlled} of activation standard PET phantom for two independent PET modalities.
- b) To derive the transferable calibration constant (k) using the SUV_{controlled} of PET phantom.
- c) To analyse the post-variation of SUV_{max} using a transferable calibration constant (k).
- d) To analyse the correlation of post-variation SUV_{max} with the variation of SUV_{max} of the patients.

1.6 Organisation of the Thesis

This thesis is divided into six chapters organised as follows: Chapter 1 provides an introduction to the study by providing the background, problem statement, justification, limitation, research questions and objectives of the pilot study; Chapter 2 presents the literature review on the fundamental operation of PET modality, PET imaging, image quality, quantification and comparable-multicentre study as well as highlights the current and previous studies that have been carried out by other researchers with regard to the factors that affect SUV_{max} measurement; Chapter 3 describes the research methodology applied in the study and provides a brief description on how the research methodology was developed, the materials, the comparison of the paired-centre study regarding the two independent PET modalities and also explains the statistical methods used in analysing the results of the study; Chapter 4 and Chapter 5 discuss the results and assessment outcomes of the findings; and Chapter 6 explains the conclusion, limitation and provides recommendations for future studies.

REFERENCES

- Adams, M. C., Turkington, T. G., Wilson, J. M., & Wong, T. Z. (2010). A systematic review of the factors affecting accuracy of SUV measurements. American Journal of Roentgenology, 195(2), 310–320. http://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.10.4923
- Aide, N., Lasnon, C., Veit-Haibach, P., Sera, T., Sattler, B., & Boellaard, R. (2017). EANM/EARL harmonization strategies in PET quantification: from daily practice to multicentre oncological studies. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 1–15. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-017-3740-2
- American Association of Physicists in Medicine. (2012). The Selection, Use, Calibration, and Quality Assurance of Radionuclide Calibrators Report of AAPM Task Group 181. One Physics Ellipse, (181).
- American College of Radiology. (2010). PET Phantom Instructions for Evaluation of PET Image Quality. Retrieved from http://www.aapm.org/meetings/amos2/pdf/49-14437-10688-860.pdf
- Arstad, E., & Robins, E. (2008). PET Radiotracers. Clinical PET and PET/CT, 167, 45-68. http://doi.org/10.1007/1-84628-100-8 2
- Basu, S., Zaidi, H., Holm, S., & Alavi, A. (2011). Quantitative techniques in PET-CT imaging. Curr Med Imag Rev, 7(3), 216-233.
- Boellaard, R. (2008). Standards for PET Image Acquisition and Quantitative Data Analysis. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 50(Suppl 1), 11S–20S. http://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.108.057182
- Boellaard, R. (2009). Standards for PET Image Acquisition and Quantitative Data Analysis. J Nucl Med, 50(5), 11-20. http://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.108.057182
- Boellaard, R. (2011). Need for Standardization of 18F-FDG PET/CT for Treatment Response Assessments. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 52(Supplement 2), 93S-100S. http://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.110.085662
- Boellaard, R. (2013). Optimisation and harmonisation: Two sides of the same coin? European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 40, 982-984.

http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-013-2440-9

Boellaard, R., O'Doherty, M., Weber, W., Mottaghy, F., Lonsdale, M., Stroobants, S., Oyen, W., Kotzerke, J., Hoekstra, O., Pruim, J., Marsden, P., Tatsch, K., Hoekstra, C., Visser, E., Arends, B., Verzijlbergen, F., Zijlstra, J., Comans, E., Lammertsma, A., Paans, A., Willemsen, A., Beyer, T., Bockisch, A., Schaefer-Prokop, C., Delbeke, D., Baum, R., Chiti, A. and Krause, B. (2010). FDG PET/CT: EANM procedure guidelines for tumour imaging: version 1.0. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 37, 181–200.

http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-009-1297-4

Boellaard, R., Delgado-Bolton, R., Oyen, W., Giammarile, F., Tatsch, K., Eschner, W., Verzijlbergen, F., Barrington, S., Pike, L., Weber, W., Stroobants, S., Delbeke, D., Donohoe, K., Holbrook, S., Graham, M., Testanera, G., Hoekstra, O., Zijlstra, J., Visser, E., Hoekstra, C., Pruim, J., Willemsen, A., Arends, B., Kotzerke, J., Bockisch, A., Beyer, T., Chiti, A. and Krause, B. (2014). FDG PET/CT: EANM procedure guidelines for tumour imaging: version 2.0. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 42, 328–354.

http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-014-2961-x

- Boellaard, R., Oyen, W., Hoekstra, C., Hoekstra, O., Visser, E., Willemsen, A., Arends, B., Verzijlbergen, F., Zijlstra, J., Paans, A., Comans, E. and Pruim, J. (2008). The Netherlands protocol for standardisation and quantification of FDG whole body PET studies in multi-centre trials. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 35(12), 2320-2333. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-008-0874-2
- Bourguet, P., P., Blanc-Vincent, M., Boneu, A., Bosquet, L., Chauffert, B., Corone, C., Courbon, F., Devillers, A., Foehrenbach, H., Lumbroso, J., Mazselin, P., Montravers, F., Moretti, J. and Talbot, J. (2003). Summary of the Standards, Options and Recommendations for the use of positron emission tomography with 2-[18F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDP-PET scanning) in oncology (2002). *British Journal of Cancer*, 89(S1), S84-S91. http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6601088
- Buckler, A. J., & Boellaard, R. (2011). Standardization of Quantitative Imaging: The Time Is Right, and 18F-FDG PET/CT Is a Good Place to Start. *Journal* of Nuclear Medicine, 52(2), 171-172. http://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.110.081224

Cherry, S., Sorenson, J., & Phelps, M. (2012). PET: Physics, Instrumentation, and Scanners. *Physics in Nuclear Medicine*. http://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-51635-2

- Delbeke, D., Coleman, R.E., Guiberteau, M.J., Brown, M.L., Royal, H.D., Siegel, B.A., Townsend, D.W., Berland, L.L., Parker, J.A., Hubner, K. and Stabin, M.G. (2006). Procedure guideline for tumor imaging with 18F-FDG PET/CT 1.0. Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine, 47(5), 885–895.
- Duan, X.-Y., Wang, W., Li, M., Li, Y., & Guo, Y.-M. (2015). Predictive significance of standardized uptake value parameters of FDG-PET in patients with nonsmall cell lung carcinoma. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, 48, 267–272. http://doi.org/10.1590/1414-431X20144137
- European Association of Nuclear Medicine Research Ltd. (2017). FDG-PET/CT Accreditation. Retrieved June 30, 2017, from http://earl.eanm.org/cms/website.php?id=/en/projects/fdg_PET_ct_accre ditation.htm
- Fathinul, F., Nordin, A. J., & Lau, W. F. E. (2013). 18[F]FDG-PET/CT is a Useful Molecular Marker in Evaluating Tumour Aggressiveness: A Revised Understanding of an In-Vivo FDG-PET Imaging that Alludes the Alteration of Cancer Biology. *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 66(1), 37–43. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12013-012-9395-5
- Fletcher, J. W., & Kinahan, P. E. (2010). Positron emission tomographycomputed tomography standardized uptake values in clinical practice and assessing response to therapy. In *Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI*, 31(6), 496–505. http://doi.org/10.1053/j.sult.2010.10.001.PET/CT
- Fukukita, H., Senda, M., Terauchi, T., Suzuki, K., Daisaki, H., Matsumoto, K., Ikari, Y. and Hayashi, M. (2010). Japanese guideline for the oncology FDG-PET/CT data acquisition protocol: synopsis of Version 1.0. *Annals of Nuclear Medicine*, 24(4), 325-334. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12149-010-0377-7
- Fukukita, H., Suzuki, K., Matsumoto, K., Terauchi, T., Daisaki, H., Ikari, Y., Shimada, N. and Senda, M. (2014). Japanese guideline for the oncology FDG-PET/CT data acquisition protocol: synopsis of Version 2.0. *Annals of Nuclear Medicine*, 28(7), 693-705. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12149-014-0849-2
- Giraud, P., Grahek, D., Montravers, F., Carette, M., Deniaud-Alexandre, E., Julia, F., Rosenwald, J., Cosset, J., Talbot, J., Housset, M. and Touboul, E. (2001). CT and 18F-deoxyglucose (FDG) image fusion for optimization of conformal radiotherapy of lung cancers. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biology*Physics*, 49(5), 1249-1257. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-3016(00)01579-0

- Hafiz, M. H., Noor, N. M., Rana, M. S., & Saad, F. F. A. (2017). Standardisation Techniques of Independent PET/CT Modalities Utilising PET SUV_{max} as a Potential Conversion Marker. *Transylvanian Review*, 1(7), 5328–5337
- Hee Park, H., Sung Park, D., Cheol Kweon, D., Bock Lee, S., Baek Oh, K., Doo Lee, J., & Hwan Jin, G. (2011). Inter-comparison of 18F-FDG PET/CT standardized uptake values in Korea. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 69(1), 241–246. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2010.09.011
- Hess, S., Blomberg, B. A., Rakheja, R., Friedman, K., Kwee, T. C., Høilund-Carlsen, P. F., & Alavi, A. (2014). A brief overview of novel approaches to FDG PET imaging and quantification. *Clinical and Translational Imaging*, 2(3), 187–198. http://doi.org/10.1007/s40336-014-0062-2
- International Atomic Energy Agency. (2014). PET/CT Atlas on Quality Control and Image Artefacts. *IAEA Human Health Series*, (27), 1–108.
- John W. Winston, J., Mawlawi, O., Wooten, W. W., Harkness, B. A., Madsen, M. T., & Martin, M. C. (2013). ACR – AAPM Technical Standard for Medical Physics Performance Monitoring of PET/CT Imaging Equipment. *American* Association of Physicists in Medicine, 1076(Revised 2008), 1–7. Retrieved from https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/PET-CT-Equip.pdf
- Karlberg, A. M., Sæther, O., Eikenes, L., & Goa, P. E. (2016). Quantitative comparison of PET performance-Siemens Biograph mCT and mMR. *EJNMMI Physics*, 3(1), 5. http://doi.org/10.1186/s40658-016-0142-7
- Koppenol, W. H., Bounds, P. L., & Dang, C. V. (2011). Otto Warburg's contributions to current concepts of cancer metabolism. *Nature Reviews. Cancer*, 11(5), 325–37. http://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3038
- Makris, N. E., Huisman, M. C., Kinahan, P. E., Lammertsma, A. A., & Boellaard, R. (2013). Evaluation of strategies towards harmonization of FDG PET/CT studies in multicentre trials: Comparison of scanner validation phantoms and data analysis procedures. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 40(10), 1507–1515. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-013-2465-0

- Medical Radiation Surveillance Division. (2015). *T*echnical Quality Control Protocol Handbook: Positron Emission Tomography/ Computed Tomography (PET/CT) Systems. *Bahagian Kawalselia Radiasi Perubatan*. Retrieved from https://radia.moh.gov.my/project/new/radia/FileTransfer/downloads/files/ 65QC Protokol PET SPECT Non Imaging.pdf
- Mikasa, S., Akamatsu, G., Taniguchi, T., Kidera, D., Kihara, K., Matsuoka, K., Amakusa, S., Yoshida, T. and Sasaki, M. (2015). Standardization of dual time point [18F] 2-Deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose-positron emission tomography performed with different positron emission tomography scanners using partial volume correction. *Research and Reports in Nuclear Medicine*, *5*, 1–7. http://doi.org/10.2147/RRNM.S73413
- Morgan, T. (2012). Quality Assurance for PET and PET/CT Systems. *Health Physics*, 103(6), 810-811. http://doi.org/10.1097/HP.0b013e3182690427
- Moses, W. (2012). Fundamental limits of spatial resolution in PET. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 648, S236-S240. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.11.092
- Nakahara, T., Daisaki, H., Yamamoto, Y., Iimori, T., Miyagawa, K., Okamoto, T., Owaki, Y., Yada, N., Sawada, K., Tokorodani, R. and Jinzaki, M. (2017). Use of a digital phantom developed by QIBA for harmonizing SUVs obtained from the state-of-the-art SPECT/CT systems: a multicenter study. *EJNMMI Research*, 7(1). http://doi.org/10.1186/s13550-017-0300-5
- National Cancer Council Malaysia. (2014). Oksigen dan Kanser. Retrieved July 5, 2017, from https://makna.org.my/2014/12/oksigen-dan-kanser/
- National Electrical Manufacturers Association. (2013). NEMA NU 2: Performance Measurements of Positron Emission Tomographs. *National Electrical Manufacturers Association*, 11. Retrieved from www.nema.org%5Cnwww.medicalimaging.org/
- National Physical Laboratory. (2006). NPL Good Practise Guide 93-Protocol for Establishing and Maintaining the Calibration of Medical Radionuclide Calibrators and their Quality Control. *National Physical Laboratory*, (93), 43.

- Omami, G., Tamimi, D., & Branstetter, B. F. (2014). Basic principles and applications of 18 F-FDG-PET / CT in oral and maxillofacial imaging: A pictorial essay ABSTRACT, 325–332. http://doi.org/10.5624/isd.2014.44.4.325
- Otto, A. M. (2016). Warburg effect(s)-a biographical sketch of Otto Warburg and his impacts on tumor metabolism. *Cancer & Metabolism, 4*, 5. http://doi.org/10.1186/s40170-016-0145-9
- Pérez-López, B., Vega-González, I. F., Estrada-Lobato, E., Pérez-Molina, J. J., Torres-Mendoza, B. M., & Medina, L. A. (2011). Volume-of-interest assessment of oncologic response using 18F-FDG PET/CT: a phantom study. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine Technology*, 39(1), 14–18. http://doi.org/10.2967/jnmt.110.078410
- R. Edward Colemen, M., Dominique Delbeke, M., & Lincoln L. Berland, M. (2007). ACR Practice Guideline for performing FDG-PET/CT in Oncology. *American College of Radiology*, *1076*, 767–776. Retrieved from http://www.snm.org/docs/PET_COE/ACR PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR PERFORMING FDGPETCT IN.pdf
- Saha, G. B. (2005). *Basics of PET Imaging. Imaging* (Vol. 202).
- Saha, G. B. (2010). Basics of PET Imaging. *Springer*, 97–117. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0805-6
- Schöder, H., Erdi, Y. E., Chao, K., Gonen, M., Larson, S. M., & Yeung, H. W. D. (2004). Clinical implications of different image reconstruction parameters for interpretation of whole-body PET studies in cancer patients. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine: Official Publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine, 45*, 559–566.
- Shankar, L.K., Hoffman, J.M., Bacharach, S., Graham, M.M., Karp, J., Lammertsma, A.A., Larson, S., Mankoff, D.A., Siegel, B.A., Van den Abbeele, A. and Yap, J., 2006. Consensus recommendations for the use of 18F-FDG PET as an indicator of therapeutic response in patients in National Cancer Institute Trials. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 47(6), 1059-1066.
- The Commissioner of Law Revision Malaysia. (2006). Act 304 Atomic Energy Licensing Act 1984. *The Commissioner of Law Revision, Malaysia*, (January), 1–44. Retrieved from http://www.aelb.gov.my/aelb/malay/dokumen/perundangan/act304.pdf

- The Commissioner of Law Revision Malaysia. (2010). P. U. (A) 46. Atomic Energy Licensing Act 1984 Atomic Energy Licensing (Basic Safety Radiation Protection) Regulations 2010. *The Commissioner of Law Revision Malaysia*, 245. Retrieved from https://radia.moh.gov.my/project/new/radia/FileTransfer/downloads/files/ 10BSS-2010 BI.pdf
- Tong, S., Alessio, A.M., Kinahan, P. E. (2011). Image reconstruction for PET/CT scanners: past acheivements and Future Challenges. *Imaging in Medicine*, 2(5), 529–545. http://doi.org/10.2217/iim.10.49
- Townsend, D. W. (2004). Physical principles and technology of clinical PET imaging. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore*, 33(2), 133–145.
- Tylski, P., Stute, S., Grotus, N., Doyeux, K., Hapdey, S., Gardin, I., Vanderlinden, B. and Buvat, I. (2010). Comparative Assessment of Methods for Estimating Tumor Volume and Standardized Uptake Value in 18F-FDG PET. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, *51*(2), 268–276. http://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.109.066241
- Vriens, D., Visser, E. P., De Geus-Oei, L. F., & Oyen, W. J. G. (2010). Methodological considerations in quantification of oncological FDG PET studies. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 37(7), 1408–1425. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-009-1306-7
- Weber, W. A., Ziegler, S. I., Thödtmann, R., Hanauske, A. R., & Schwaiger, M. (1999). Reproducibility of metabolic measurements in malignant tumors using FDG PET. *J Nucl Med*, 40(11), 1771–7. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10565769
- Westerterp, M., Pruim, J., Oyen, W., Hoekstra, O., Paans, A., Visser, E., van Lanschot, J., Sloof, G. and Boellaard, R.. (2007). Original article Quantification of FDG PET studies using standardised uptake values in multi-centre trials: effects of image reconstruction, resolution and ROI definition parameters. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 34(3), 392–404. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-006-0224-1
- Willegaignon, J., Sapienza, M. T., Coura-Filho, G. B., Garcez, A. T., Alves, C. E. G. R., Cardona, M. A. R., Gutterres, R. F. And Buchpiguel, C. A. (2015). Dose calibrator linearity test: 99m-Tc versus 18F radioisotope. *Radiologia Brasileira*, 48(1), 26–32.

- Wilson, J. M., Mukherjee, S., Brunner, T. B., Partridge, M., & Hawkins, M. A. (2017). Correlation of 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose Positron Emission Tomography Parameters with Patterns of Disease Progression in Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer after Definitive Chemoradiotherapy. *Clinical Oncology*, 29(6), 370–377. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2017.01.038
- Young, H., Baum, R., Cremerius, U., Herholz, K., Hoekstra, O., Lammertsma, A., Pruim, J. and Price, P. (1999). Measurement of clinical and subclinical tumour response using [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose and positron emission tomography: review and 1999 EORTC recommendations. *European Journal* of Cancer, 35(13), 1773-1782. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(99)00229-4
- Ziai, P., Hayeri, M. R., Salei, A., Salavati, A., Houshmand, S., Alavi, A., & Teytelboym, O. M. (2016). Role of Optimal Quantification of FDG PET Imaging in the Clinical Practice of Radiology. *RadioGraphics*, 36(2), 481–496.

http://doi.org/10.1148/rg.2016150102

Ziegler, S. I. (2005). Positron emission tomography: Principles, technology, and recent developments. *Nuclear Physics A*, 752(1–4 SPEC. ISS.), 679–687. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.067

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Refereed Journals

- Hanafi, M. H., Noor, N. M., Rana, M. S., & Saad, F. F. A. (2017). Standardisation Techniques of Independent PET/CT Modalities Utilising PET SUV_{max} as a Potential Conversion Marker. *Transylvanian Review*, 1(7), 5328–5337. (Published)
- Azmi, N., Suppiah, S., Liong, C., Noor, N., Said, S., Hanafi, M., Kaewput, C., Saad, F. and Vinjamuri, S. (2018). Reliability of standardized uptake value normalized to lean body mass using the liver as a reference organ, in contrast-enhanced ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 147, 35-39. (Published)
- Hishar, H., **Hanafi, M. H.**, & S, F. F. A. (2018). Short Communication Impact Of Prolonged Reduced-Pressure Condition Prior To Precursor Labeling On The Labeling Efficiency Of F-18 Fluorocholine Synthesis, *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 10(4), 10–12. (Published)

Proceedings of Conference

- Hafiz, M. H., Noramaliza, M. N., Sohel Rana., Hishar, H., & Fathinul Fikri, A.S. (2016). The Evaluation of Standard Calibration of Dose Calibrator Using Certified Long Lived Sealed Sources of ⁵⁷Co and ¹³⁷Cs: The Precision and Accuracy Point of View. Proceedings of Meeting Abstract International Conference of Translational Molecular Imaging and Aero-Space Medicine & Physiology Showcase 2016, (pp. 29-35). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. (Oral and Poster)
- Hanafi, M. H., Noramaliza, M. N., Sohel Rana. & Fathinul Fikri, A.S. (2017). The Variation of ¹⁸F-FDG Standardised Uptake Values (SUV) of Paired PET/CT Centre in Malaysia: ACR Phantom Study. RadiologyAsia 2017 (26th Annual Scientific Meeting of Singapore Radiological Society and College of Radiologists Singapore. Singapore (Poster)
- Hanafi, M. H., Noramaliza, M. N.,Sohel Rana. & Fathinul Fikri, A.S. (2017). Comparison of ¹⁸F-FDG Standardized Uptake Values (SUV) of Paired PET/CT Centre. 18th Congress of ASEAN Association of Radiology 2017 (Poster)



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : ____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

QUANTIFICATION OF STANDARDISED UPTAKE VALUE FOR 18F-FDG POSITRON EMISSION

TOMOGRAPHY IN MALAYSIA.

NAME OF STUDENT : MUHAMMAD HAFIZ BIN HANAFI

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (√)



RESTRICTED

CONFIDENTIAL



(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo f	rom
-----------	-----

(date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport No.: 900929055611

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee) Name: ASSOC. PROF. DR FATHINUL FIKRI AHMAD SAAD

_ until ____

Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]