

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

REMOTE SENSING ANALYSIS OF MANGROVE CHANGES AND EVALUATION OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES VALUE IN SUNGAI MERBOK FOREST RESERVE, MALAYSIA

ZAILANI KHUZAIMAH

ITMA 2015 13



REMOTE SENSING ANALYSIS OF MANGROVE CHANGES AND EVALUATION OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES VALUE IN SUNGAI MERBOK FOREST RESERVE, MALAYSIA

UPM

By

ZAILANI KHUZAIMAH

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

February 2015

COPYRIGHT

All materials contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of University Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for noncommercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior written permission of University Putra Malaysia.

Copyright @ Universiti Putra Malaysia.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

REMOTE SENSING ANALYSIS OF MANGROVE CHANGES AND EVALUATION OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES VALUE IN SUNGAI MERBOK FOREST RESERVE, MALAYSIA

By

ZAILANI KHUZAIMAH

February 2015

Chairman:Professor Shattri Mansor, Ph.DFaculty:Institute of Advanced Technology

In Malaysia and other developing countries, there is often little access to the forest area, resulting in inadequate and limited forest information. Hence, application of remote sensing technology in forestry is very valuable and has become an important element due to its capability to collect data from large areas of land and generate relevant information. In other words, remote sensing technology offers a reliable method of gathering information which is essential for forest inventory and management. Mangrove forests possess important ecosystem and socioeconomic values to human beings. Mangrove trees have the following uses: timber for construction; firewood for burning; raw material for production of charcoal; wood for fishing poles; production of pulp; and tanning for leather tanning. Around the world, mangrove forests 80, 000 and 200,000 km². Meanwhile, the total area of mangrove forests in Malaysia is 0.58 million ha. Despite their positive contributions, mangroves are the one of the highest degraded land of any global habitat. In fact, the socioeconomic values and ecosystem services of mangroves as natural resources are underestimated. There are no studies on the ecosystem valuations, particularly mangroves forest in Malaysia. Most of the decision making only rely on physical assessment without taking into account the loss of the ecosystem value. Therefore the main objective of this study is to develop a model to assess the impact of mangrove bio-disturbance on the literal ecosystem of mangroves using geospatial technology. In addition, variations responses in mangrove ecosystem changes during the occurrences of economic activities were investigated. SPOT 5 imageries of the year 2000 and 2010 has been used for change detection analysis. The supervised classification technique was employed in image processing for land use change detection. In order to obtain the values of socioeconomic impacts resulting from the mangrove changes, the ecosystem service valuation (ESV) model was applied. The average of land use change in every 10 years period is 12%, consist by aquaculture, forest, water body and wet land/barren land with the total area extent of 406 ha. The decrease in the economic value of mangroves was largely influenced by the decrease of 2.9% in land use change from the years 2000 to 2010 with a loss of about RM1.7 million or 3%. The total ecosystem service values in study area were reduced by 2.8% between 2000 and 2020. The massive declined in ecosystem services was largely attributable to the 17.8% loss of forest area and 19.2% loss of water body. The overall number of changes to the whole ecosystem functions at a rate of 38 % with an average of RM200,000 valuation changes dominated by deforestation and land reclamation for settlement and aquaculture. In total the main ecosystem functions of land use in the study area were loss about RM500,000 for a period of 20 years. The losses take place at spatial scales has play a crucial role in maintaining ecological balance to the coastal environment. The results showed that the total value of the existing mangrove forest ecosystem service is RM1,901,859.84. The value per unit area is about RM1,650.92 per ha. The total values of aquaculture and water bodies are RM161,33.2 and RM3,107,500 respectively. Study concluded that Sungai Merbok's Mangrove forest reserve is very important not just for coastal ecology but serves as ecosystem services, where the orientation of mangrove ecosystem is huge enough to provide essential services for the local community. Results from this study can be goes hand in hand with strategies in the context of conservation biology and sustainable forest management at the landscape levels.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

ANALISIS PENDERIAAN JARAK JAUH PERUBAHAN HUTAN PAYA BAKAU DAN PENILAIAN PERKHIDMATAN EKOSISTEM, DI HUTAN SIMPAN SUNGAI MERBOK, MALAYSIA

Oleh

ZAILANI KHUZAIMAH

Mei 2015

Pengerusi : Profesor Shattri Mansor, Ph.D Fakulti Institut Teknologi Maju

Di Malaysia dan negara-negara membangun yang lain, akses yang terhad ke kawasan hutan, menyebabkan maklumat hutan yang terhad dan tidak mencukupi. Oleh itu, penggunaan teknologi remote sensing dalam bidang perhutanan adalah sangat di perlukan dan telah menjadi elemen penting kerana keupayaan untuk mengumpul data dari kawasan yang luas dan keupayaan menjana maklumat yang berkaitan. Dalam erti kata lain, teknologi remote sensing menawarkan kaedah yang berkesan dalam mengumpul maklumat yang penting untuk inventori dan pengurusan hutan. Hutan bakau mempunyai ekosistem penting dan nilai-nilai sosio-ekonomi kepada manusia. Pokok bakau menyumbang kepada penggunaan yang berikut: kayu untuk pembinaan; kayu api untuk pembakaran; bahan mentah untuk pengeluaran arang; kayu untuk tiang menangkap ikan; pengeluaran pulpa; dan tanin untuk kulit penyamakan. Di seluruh dunia, hutan bakau 80, 000 dan 200,000 km persegi. Sementara itu, jumlah kawasan hutan bakau di Malaysia adalah 0.58 juta ha. Walaupun memberikan sumbangan yang besar, hutan bakau merupakan salah satu kawasan terokaan tertinggi mana-mana habitat global. Malah, nilai-nilai sosio-ekonomi dan perkhidmatan ekosistem hutan bakau sebagai sumber asli yang dipandang ringan. Setakat ini belum ada kajian mengenai penilaian ekosistem hutan bakau di Malaysia. Kebanyakan membuat keputusan yang hanya bergantung kepada penilaian fizikal tanpa mengambil kira kerugian daripada nilai ekosistem. Oleh yang demikian, objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengaplikasikan dan mengembangkan model untuk menilai kesan ganguan biologi bakau pada ekosistem literal bakau menggunakan teknologi geospatial. Di samping itu, perubahan kepada ekosistem paya bakau dan guna tanah kawasan kajian telah di analisis. SPOT 5 imej-imej bagi tahun 2000 dan 2010 telah digunakan untuk analisis pengesanan perubahan. Teknik pengelasan diselia telah digunakan dalam pemprosesan imej untuk mengesan perubahan penggunaan tanah. Dalam usaha untuk mendapatkan nilai-nilai impak sosioekonomi yang terhasil daripada perubahan bakau, model penilaian perkhidmatan ekosistem telah digunakan(ESV). Purata perubahan penggunaan tanah dalam setiap tempoh 10 tahun adalah 12%, terdiri oleh aguakultur, hutan, air dan tanah lembap/tanah tandus dengan keluasan lebih kurang 406 ha. Penurunan nilai ekonomi bakau sebahagian besarnya dipengaruhi oleh penurunan sebanyak 2.9% pada perubahan penggunaan tanah dari tahun 2000 hingga 2010 dengan kerugian sebanyak kira-kira RM1.7 juta atau 3%. Jumlah nilai perkhidmatan ekosistem di kawasan kajian telah dikurangkan sebanyak 2.8% antara tahun 2000 dan 2020. Faktor utama kemerosotan dalam perkhidmatan ekosistem adalah sebahagian besarnya disebabkan oleh kerugian 17.8% daripada kawasan hutan dan kerugian 19.2% daripada badan air. Jumlah keseluruhan perubahan kepada fungsi ekosistem keseluruhan pada kadar 38% dengan purata RM200,000 perubahan penilaian dikuasai oleh penebangan hutan dan penambakan tanah untuk penempatan dan akuakultur. Secara keseluruhan fungsi ekosistem utama penggunaan tanah di kawasan kajian ialah kerugian kira-kira RM500,000 untuk tempoh 20 tahun. Kerugian berlaku telah memainkan peranan penting dalam mengekalkan keseimbangan ekologi alam sekitar pantai. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa jumlah nilai perkhidmatan ekosistem hutan bakau yang sedia ada adalah RM1,901,859.84. Nilai per unit kawasan adalah lebih kurang RM1,650.92 per ha. Jumlah nilai kawasan akuakultur dan badan-badan air masing-masing RM161,33.2 dan RM3,107,500. Kajian membuat kesimpulan bahawa hutan simpan bakau Sungai Merbok adalah sangat penting bukan sahaja untuk ekologi pantai tetapi berfungsi sebagai perkhidmatan ekosistem, di mana orientasi ekosistem bakau cukup besar untuk menyediakan perkhidmatan penting bagi masyarakat tempatan. Hasil daripada kajian ini boleh menjadi seiring dengan strategi dalam konteks biologi pemuliharaan dan pengurusan hutan mampan di peringkat landskap.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdullillahhirabil'alami, Foremost, praise the Almighty Allah, S.W.T. for His Blessing. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Shattri Mansor for the continuous support of my Ph.D study and research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my Ph.D study. Besides my advisor, I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committee: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hasmadi Ismail, for their encouragement, insightful comments, and hard questions My sincere thanks also goes to Prof. Dr. Kamaruzaman Jusoff and all staff and course mate of Faculty of Forestry for their support and motivation at early stage of my study. I am also indebted to the Kedah State Forestry Department Staff for their added information and assist in the field during data collection. I would like to thank also to all staff of ITMA, without your support my study will not be as smooth as it is. Thank you very much.

Approval Sheet



Thesis Submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Shattri Mansor, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohd Hasmadi Ismail, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Members)

> **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, Ph.D** Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustration and citation have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the offices of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia Research Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and Universiti Putra Malaysia Research Rules 2012. The thesis undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:
Name and Matric No: Zailani I	Bin Khuzaimah (GS 20913)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:_ Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: Professor Shattri Mansor, Ph.D

Signature:_

Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor Hasmadi Ismail, Ph.D

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xv

CHAPTER

1	INT	RODUCT	ION				1
	1.1	General	l				1
	1.2	Remote	S	ensing	and	Geographic	3
		Informa	tion	System			
	1.3	Problem	ı Sta	tement			4
	1.4	Objectiv	<i>ie</i>				7
	1.5	Scope o	f the	Study			8
	1.6	Thesis (Orgai	nization			8
2	LIT	ERATUR	E RE	VIEW			9
-	2 1	Introdu	ction				9
	2.2	Mangro	ve Fo	orest			9
	2.3	Status	of For	rest Inve	entory ir	Malaysia	16
	2.4	Definiti	on of	Satellite	Remot	e sensing	19
	2.5	Remote	Sens	sing in M	langrov	e Forest	20
		Classifi	catio	n and Cl	hange D	etection	
	2.6	Assign	nent	of Ecosy	stem Se	ervice value	29
				Ũ			
3	MAT	CERIAL A	AND 1	METHO	D		31
	3.1	Introdu	ction				31
	3.2	Descrip	tion	of the St	udy Are	a	31
	3.3	Materia	ls				33
		3.3.1. E	Data S	Sources			33
	3.4	Method	ology				34
		3.4.1. S	tudy	Frame	work		34
		3.4.2. I	mage	process	ing		35
			3.4.	2.1. Pre	- Proces	sing	35
			3.4.	2.2.		Radiometric	36
			Nor	malizati	on .		
			3.4.	2.3. Ge	ometric	rectification	36
			and	registra	tion		0.0
		0.4.0	3.4.	2.4. Spa	itial Filt	ering	36
		3.4.3.	Ima	ge Class	sification		37
			3.4.	3.1.		Supervised	38
			Clas	ssificatic	n		

	3.5	Accuracy Assessment	40
	3.6	Ground Truth and Field Survey	41
4	LAN DET	D USE CLASSIFICATION AND CHANGE ECTION	43
	4.1	Introduction	43
	4.2	Methodology	44
		4.2.1 Data Processing and Management	44
		4.2.2 Subset	44
	4.3	Result and Discussion	47
		4.3.1. Land Use Classification and Changes	47
		4.3.2.1. Land Cover Classification	47
		4.3.2.2. Accuracy Assessment	48
		4.3.2.3. Land Use Classification	51
	4.4	Ground Truthing and Field Validation	54
	4.5	Land Use Change Detection	55
	4.6	Land Use Interpretation accuracy	57
	4.7	Summary	57
5	FCO	SVSTEM SERVICES VALUE	58
5	5 1	Introduction	58
	5.2	Methodology	58
	0.2	5.2.1 Ecosystem Service Value Model	59
		5.2.2. Ecosystem Service Function Model	60
		5.3.3. Combine Ecosystem Service	62
		Function Model	
	5.3	Result and Discussion	63
		5.3.1. Ecosystem Service Value Model	63
		5.3.2. Ecosystem Function Model	63
		5.3.3. Combine Ecosystem Function	73
	F 4	Model Madal Walidation and Diald Common	70
	5.4		70
	5.5	Summary	10
6	CON	ICLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	79
	6.1	Conclusion	79
	6.2	Recommendation for Future Work	82
	6.3	The Contribution of this Study to Sg.	83
		Merbok Forest Reserve	
REFERENC	CES		84
APPENDICES			A.1
BIODATA (OF ST	UDENT	B.1
LIST OF P	UBLIC	CATION	L.1

3

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES		Page
2.1	Mangrove Uses and Function.	11
2.2	Natural Products of Mangrove and	15
	Ecological Services.	
2.3	Examples of Linkages among Mangrove	16
	Components	
2.4	The Conventional Method of Forest	18
	Inventory Design in Malaysia.	
3.1	SPOT 5 Specification	33
4.1	Statistical Results Land Cover Classes in	47
	2000 and 2012	
4.2	Confusion Matrix for 2000 Image	48
4.3	Confusion Matrix for 2000 Image	49
4.4	Land Use Class in 2000	51
4.5	Land Use Class in 2010	52
1.0	Les d'Use Class is 2020 (agriestion)	50
4.0	Land Use Class in 2020 (projection)	52
4.7	Projection of Land Use Change from 2010	56
4.0	to 2020	30
49	Land Use Interpretation Accuracy from	57
1.2	SPOT 5 Images	01
5.1	Ecosystem Service Values	58
5.2	Equivalent weight factor	59
5.3	Ecosystem Services Functions	61
5.4	Value for each Ecosystem service function	62
	for Different Land Use Type.	
5.5	The selection of 3 main weight factors	63
5.6	Ecosystem Service Value Coefficient for	64
	the Different Land Use Categories	
5.7	Ecosystem Service Value for Each Land	64
	Use.	
5.8	Values of Ecosystem Service Function for	66
5.0	Different Land Use Types	
5.9	Ecosystem Service Function Value for	67
F 10	Aquaculture.	60
5.10	Ecosystem Service value Function for	08
511	FULCEL.	70
5.11	Water Bodies	70
5 12	Ecosystem Service Function Values for	71
5.14	Leosystem bervice i unenon values ion	

6

Wet land/Barren Land.

- 5.13 Total Change for All Ecosystem Service 72 Functions.
- 5.14 Equivalent Weight Factor Ecosystem Services per hectare on Terrestrial Ecosystem in the Study Area according to the Nature of the Ecosystem in Malaysia.
- 5.15 Total Ecosystem Services for Combination of Selected Ecosystem Service Functions (ESV_c) in the Year 2000.
- 5.16 Total Ecosystem Services for Combination of Selected Ecosystem service Functions (ESV_c) in the Year 2010
- 5.17 Total Predicted Figures of Ecosystems Services for Combination of Selected Ecosystem Service Functions (ESV_c) in the Year 2020.
- 5.18 Total Change for the Combination of Ecosystem Service Functions in the Period from 2000 to 2020

75

74

74

75

76

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES		Page
3.1	The location of Sungai Merbok Forest	32
	Reserve, State of Kedah, Peninsular	
	Malaysia	
3.2	The Flow Chart of the Study.	34
3.3	Edge Sharpening Filter.	37
4.1	The schematic diagram of the complete	45
	satellite image processing	
4.2	Image Subset of the Study Area	46
4.3	Land Cover Classification 2000 and 2010	50
4.4	Land Use Classification in 2000 and 2010	53
4.5	Graph of Land Use Changes.	56
5.1	Graph of Ecosystem Service Value	65
	Changes in 2000, 2010 and 2020.	
5.2	Graph of Changes in 2000, 2010 and	67
	2020 for Aquaculture	
5.3	Graph of Changes in 2000, 2010 and	69
	2020 for Forest	
5.4	Graph of Changes in 2000, 2010 and	70
	2020 for Water Bodies.	
5.5	Graph of Changes in 2000, 2010 and	71
	2020 for wet land/Barren Land	
5.6	Graph depicting all Ecosystem Service	72
	Function Changes in the Years 2000,	
	2010 and 2020.	
5.7	Graph of Total Change for the	76
	Combination of Ecosystem Service	
	Functions in the Period from 2000 to	
	2020.	

 \mathbf{G}

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVI	Advance Vegetation Index
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DSS	Decision Support System
ESV	Ecosystem Service Value
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
JUPEM	Malaysia Survey Department)
LandSat	Land Satellite
NDVI	Normalizes Different Vegetation Index
NIR	Near-InfraRed
PFE	Permanent Forest Estate
RS	Remote Sensing
SPOT	Syst'eme Probatoire d'Observation de la Terre

 (\mathbf{G})

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1. General

Forests are crucial in sustaining the existence of human beings on this Earth. For example, they provide timber supply, store water, prevent landslides or debris flows, provide shelter from extreme winds and purify the air. In recent times, people have come to appreciate forests not only as a source of timber, but also as a place where Mother Nature can be enjoyed.

Malaysian tropical rainforest is among the most complicated ecosystems that exist on Earth. Its special natural heritage, which has developed over the past millions of years, is filled with vast numbers of different plant and animal species. The remarkably rich flora found in Malaysia is estimated to include at least 8,000 species of flowering plants, of which 2,500 are tree species. Therefore, there are many different rainforest formations, depending on factors such as edaphic conditions, drainage, and altitude. At an elevation of about 750 m, there are species-rich lowland and hill-dipterocarp-dominated forests in dryland areas while in wetland areas, mangrove as well as peatswamp thrive. The upper montane rainforest can grow in areas with elevations of between 750 m and 1,500 m. The upper-hill dipterocarps are replaced by oak and laurel-dominated forest at heights above about 12,000 m.

The total land area of Peninsular Malaysia is about 13.2 mil ha; based on this figure, 5.97 mil ha or 45.3% is forested. This forested area consists of 5.67 mil ha of diptrocarp forest, and the remaining 0.2 mil ha is mangrove forest (Anon, 1992). Of the forested area, 5.25 mil ha has been reserved as Permanent Forest Estate (PFE); meanwhile, the remaining area is in the State Land Forest. Although forest stand growth models have become increasingly important for updating inventory information and projecting future forest conditions, such updating is limited because of cropland abandonment, harvesting, and urban development. The changes are extremely difficult to model; this is the major reason why satellite remote sensing data need to be fully utilised. Since the mean characteristics of forest strata change are relatively few, the major inventory problem is to estimate the amount and location of such strata.

In general, mangroves are trees and shrubs that live in saline (brackish) coastal habitats located in the tropics and subtropics. The word mangroves has three common interpretations: (1) it is commonly used to indicate the habitat and entire plant assemblage or mangal (Hogarth and Peter J., 1999), where the terms mangrove swamp and

mangrove forest are seen as well; (2) it can mean all trees and large shrubs in the mangal; and (3) it sometimes points to the mangrove family of plants, the Rhizophoraceae, or at a really specific level, it is restricted to mangrove trees of the genus Rhizophora. Mangals are located in depositional coastal surroundings; the conditions are suitable for fine sediments, which usually have high organic content, to accumulate in areas away from high-energy wave action.

Mangrove can be considered a complex and unique ecosystem. It is a forest area located between land and sea. It is affected by tidal water tides twice daily depending on location and elevation. Thus, mangrove tree species are normally found between the highest and lowest tidal range. Mangrove tree species are able to adapt and live in physical environments such as those containing deep muddy alluvial soil, sandy and rocky substrates or even rugged coastal relief. Some mangrove tree species have developed numerous stilt roots to support the entire tree crown and anchorage in deep muddy soil. Meanwhile, other species develop knee-roots or flattened buttresses in order to adapt to a harsh mangrove environment. Due to its fragile and unique ecosystem, it is worthwhile to manage mangrove forests to reap their economic and aesthetic value.

Mangrove forests are estimated to cover a total area between 180,000 and $200,000 \text{ km}^2$ globally (Spalding et al., 1997). The total area of mangrove forest in Malaysia is 0.58 million ha; 0.10 million ha is located in Peninsular Malaysia, 0.34 million ha in Sabah and 0.14 million ha in Sarawak.

Even though conventional ground surveys are more reliable and accurate in estimating forest inventory and for mapping purpose, they are not cost-effective and efficient. This method cannot be used for updating the desired information at any short period of time. It is unable to provide timely information pertaining to the study of growth and depletion of forest resources and damage areas, which require constant monitoring. Hence, it becomes necessary to use a more advanced and sophisticated technique of remote sensing for data collection and monitoring of changes (Tomar, 1976).

New methods of mapping vegetation must be considered to meet the demand for timely and accurate information about ecosystems. These methods must consider the entire landscape, including adjacent land under separate ownership, land designated as wilderness, and other land that is often excluded from inventories and analyses. Remote sensing and GIS provide new tools that promote advanced ecosystem management. Satellite imagery is only one of the many remote sensing tools available to resource managers; nevertheless, it offers the most comprehensive perspectives among all the other tools. It allows users to view and manipulate various functions over large areas of land, such as an entire forest or region. Remote sensing is a very handy tool to be used in forestry applications. It allows detection of forest conditions that are not visible to the human eye; this function is possible through the spectral resolution of the sensors, and the repetitive coverage and digital nature of data. There are several methods that can be used to differentiate and map forest units. When a big study area is involved, data processing can be automated; thematic mapping employs visual interpretation of images, where forestry specialists can apply their extensive experience.

The Eastern Hemisphere has mangrove biodiversity that is nearly five times higher (958 species compared with 12) than the Western Hemisphere (Tomlinson, 1986; Duke, 1992). The indo-Malesia region possesses the largest number of species, with 48 different species (Duke et al., 1998). Unfortunately, over the past half a century, huge areas of mangrove have been lost. In the case of Malaysia, the country experienced a 12% decrease in its mangrove forests from 1980 to 1990 (Chan et al., 1993); this loss was attributed to change in land use for agriculture or coastal industrialisation and development. There are also other reasons such as clearance for shrimp ponds, over-cropping for timber, alteration of water flow pattern and pollution (Ong, 1982; Farnsworth and Ellison, 1997).

1.2. Remote Sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS)

Satellite remote sensing is a widely accepted method to map bioindicators, which among others include:

- **bio-disturbance**, indicating the degree of fragmentation due to shifting cultivation and unauthorised logging.
- **species diversity,** indicating the ecosystem integrity, habitat loss due to land use changes and forest fire occurrences.
- **terrain complexity**, whose diversity is governed by steepness, elevation and accessibility.

Satellite remote sensed data and GIS for land cover, land use and its changes are crucial to applications in several different fields, including Environment, Forestry, Hydrology, Agriculture and Geology. Precise data on the land cover in a region play a crucial role in Natural Resource Management, Planning and Monitoring programmes. Techniques for keeping track of vegetation change include intensive field sampling with plot inventories to highly detailed analysis of remotely sensed data; these methods have been found to be more costeffective for big regions, small site assessment and analysis.

The bulk of satellite remote sensing data at different spatial, spectral, and temporal resolutions come from Satellite Imaging; it employs the suitable combination of bands to highlight the key geographical and manmade characteristics in a project for detecting changes. In fact, it is predicted that Satellite Image data will be a major contributor to a large range of global change-related application areas for vegetation and ecosystem dynamics, hazard monitoring, geology and soil analysis, land surface climatology, hydrology, land cover change, and the production of orthorectified digital elevation models (DEMs).

Satellite Imagery Analysis has the following features:

- Quick and precise overview
- Quantitative green vegetation evaluation
- Underlying soil characteristics

Satellite remote sensing is an emerging technology that can be a significant contributor to research on land cover and change detection in the future; this technology enables globally detailed assessments of several environmental and human actions to be conducted. This information will have implications for the management and policy decision-making. Satellite image data allow direct observation of the land surface at repetitive intervals. As a result, mapping of the extent of impact, monitoring and assessment are possible. In forestry characterisation to determine disturbance, GIS and remote sensing have been found to help in landscape ecology by mapping disturbance zones in ecosystem, quantifying its effect on the biodiversity and identifying land cover changes over a period of time.

1.3. Problem Statement

Mangrove forests have crucial ecosystem and socioeconomic values to human beings (Bennett and Reynolds, 1993). Mangrove trees are a source of timber for construction, firewood, charcoal, fishing poles, pulp and tanning (Hamilton and Snedaker, 1984). The mangrove forests also possess species of trees that have medicinal value (Bandaranayake, 1998). Mangrove leaves, which produce detritus, support the marine food web; it is a vital food source for shrimp and fish (Leh and Sasekumar, 1984), mollusks, crab, birds and several other animals (Macintos, 1984); the survival of these marine species in turn support the coastal fisheries (Chong et al., 1990). Mangroves provide protection and suitable habitats for breeding and serve as nursery areas for many shrimps, crabs and marine fish (Sasekumar et al., 1992; Barbier et al., 1994). In addition, mangroves play a crucial role in regulatory functions. They decrease coastal erosion and flooding, protect against salinity change and intrusion, supply and regenerate nutrients and prevent run-off (Lugo and Snedaker, 1974). The diverse flora and fauna associated with mangrove ecosystems offer opportunities for nature education, tourism and scientific research, which enhance mangrove's social and economic values.



The goods and services provided by natural ecosystems contribute to human well-being, both directly and indirectly. The ability to calculate the economic value of the ecosystem goods and services is increasingly recognized as a necessary condition for integrated environmental decision-making, sustainable business practice, and land-use planning at multiple geographic scales and socio-political levels. the variety of different methods applied for different ecosystem services evaluation of mangrove forests, as well as the methods and techniques employed for data analyses, and further to discuss their potential and limitations (Vo et.al. 2012)

The lack of understanding of, and information on, the values of mangrove ecosystem services has generally led to their omission in public decision making. Without information on the economic value of mangrove ecosystem services that can be compared directly against the economic value of alternative public investments, the importance of mangroves as natural capital tends to be ignored.

Ecosystem services are the profits people derive from ecosystem functions as some intrinsic characteristics of the structure and processes of an ecosystem to satisfy their needs. When mangroves are accounted as part of human welfare their ecosystem services value (ESV) are actually considered as the specific price of ecosystem services. Therefore, owing to the rising concern on ecosystem degradation and the increasing need for decision- making to conserve and restore the ecosystems, comprehensive evaluations on ESV is vital to be carried out in this country.

Until this time, there are no studies or research on the ecosystem valuations, particularly mangroves forest in Malaysia , this is the first study involving the evaluation of any ecosystem in the development and exploration mangrove forests . Most of the decision makers only make physical assessment without taking into account the loss of the ecosystem value is more important in addressing climate change and ecosystem cycles safer in the future.

The value of mangrove forests in the whole world is estimated to be US\$181 billion (Costanza et al., 1997). Although mangroves are of such high value, they are among the global habitats with the highest rate of depletion, with a loss of more than 1% of mangrove areas each year (Valiela et al., 2001). The major contributors to this loss of mangroves include over-exploitation, clear-cutting and pollution (Farnsworth and Allison, 1997;Alongi, 2002). In addition, wrong estimates of the ecological condition of mangroves may be harmful and reduce the functionality of mangrove forests gradually (Dahdouh-Gubas et al., 2005). In view of these issues, it is realistic to say that mangroves may completely disappear from this world if not measures are taken to conserve them (Duke et al., 2007). Therefore, rehabilitation efforts and sustainable utilisation of mangrove resources have become a global

conservation priority. Since 1990, several studies have been done to estimate the values of different ecosystem services. For example, assessment of the economic value of tropical forests, evaluation of techniques for estimating the economic value of different biological resources, provision of economic incentive for biodiversity conservation, economic valuation of protected areas (Cacha, 1994) and estimation of the economic value of endangered species (Hyde and Kanel, 1994).

The land-use changes in mangrove areas have major effects on the biodiversity and ecosystem processes; however, it is a difficult task to assess the land-use impacts on such ecosystem. Coordinated conservation assessments at international, national, regional and local levels are needed for establishing an effective reserve network to prevent further depletion of biodiversity. In addition, these assessments depend very much on advanced mapping technologies and computing systems for spatial data analysis and display.

The present hardware and software for such mapping and spatial analysis are a huge setback for progress in conservation assessment and planning. The key problems are as follows: 1) Insufficient computing resources are available for biogeographers and conservation biologists to analyse the large amounts of data involved in conservation assessments; 2) bad design of data management systems for general use in manipulation of heterogeneous biogeographic data; and 3) absence of coupling among database management systems and analytical software employed in biodiversity analyses.

The losses occur at several levels (landscape, ecosystem, species, and genes), spatial scales (local to regional), and dimensions (biophysical drivers, proximal causes and social/human drives). Remote sensing and GIS serve as tools for the following purposes: to assess biodiversity, land cover change and classification index of the mangrove-landscape; to identify the relationship between the degree of disturbance and the nature of fragmentation processes in the study area; and to develop the methodology that allows integration of land cover change processes and environmental changes into decision-making. All these are done alongside the strategies specifically for conservation biology and sustainable forest management at the landscape/within community level.

In Malaysia and other developing countries, there is often limited access to the forest area, resulting in inadequate forest information. As far as forestry is concerned, remote sensing in forestry is a highly prized tool; this is because it is able to collect data for wide areas and convert it into meaningful information quickly and at a reasonable cost (Kamaruzaman, 1992). Basically, remote sensing technology provides accurate data that are vital for forest management. During the last decade, several research studies were done to identify the possible contributions of Landsat imagery to forest mapping, inventory and other uses employing both visual and computer-aided techniques. However, much remains to be learned about the spectral characteristics of many different types of vegetation and the factors that influence the spectral response patterns measured by remote sensing systems.

In nations where forest management is not so common, the inventories are usually based on surveys of randomly sampled areas; this results in precise statistics of tree species distribution, timber volume and quality. However, this method requires a lot of time and is expensive; moreover, it does not support the production of suitable forest maps. On the other hand, satellite remote sensing can provide a synoptic view of a big region by exhibiting forest patterns. Forest mapping through remote sensing techniques can be carried out together with detailed ground surveys; this produces both precise statistics and thematic maps.

The use of remote sensing methods enables successful analysis of timber volume and forest stand structure data when supplemented with ground data. The final outputs of remote sensing supported inventories are image maps and statistics, and accurate up-to-date documentation of forest conditions.

1.4. Objectives

The general objective is to identify the socioeconomic impacts on communities due to land use change in the study area. The specific objectives that will be covered in this study are as follows:

- 1. To apply an ecosystem model and evaluating the impact of mangrove bio-disturbance on the ecosystem of mangroves using geospatial technology.
- 2. To investigate variations in the mangrove ecosystem in response to land use change during the economic activities between year 2000 and 2010 and projection in year 2020.
- 3. To provide useful information and solution to policy makers concerning sustainable development of mangrove resources in Sg. Merbok Forest Reserve.

1.5. Scope of the Study

This study involves the application of remote sensing data, field survey, other ancillary data and data analysis techniques in presenting the effects of land use change of the surrounding study area and extraction of the suitable parameter to estimate the ecosystem service value for each land use. The study area covers the Sg. Merbok forest reserve mainly dominated by mangrove forest, which is seriously affected by illegal logging and encroachment. Beginning January 2009, the study was conducted to investigate and estimate the impacts of those activities on the ecosystem services value and livelihood of the community. Image processing, data analysis and projection are used to describe the study outcomes.

1.6. Thesis Organisation

There are six chapters in this thesis. Chapter 1 presents the introduction with background information about forest management and economics. It also discusses the use of remote sensing technology for forest inventory and monitoring. The objectives and significance of the study are also presented. Chapter 2 comprises the literature review, which presents discussions of the concept and findings of other studies related to the application of RS technology in forest management; the economic aspect in forest management is also discussed. Chapter 3 describes the study area and the methods and materials employed in this study. The forest change detection, analysis and modelling results are shown in Chapter 4. The Ecosystem valuation models will analysis in chapter 5 with support data from chapter 4. Finally, Chapter 6 concludes the study with a summary of the major findings and directions for future research.

REFERENCES

- Abdul Haye Semail, 1993. Assessment of SPOT versus Landsat imagery for detecting forest disturbances and cover type. *B. Sc. (Forestry) Thesis. Faculty of Forestry.* Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang. 75p.
- Bennett, E.L., Reynolds, C.J., 1993. The value of a mangrove area in Sarawak. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 2, 359 375.
- Barbier, E.B., Burgess, J.C., Folke, C., 1994. Paradise Lost? The Ecological Economics of Biodiversity. Earthscan, London 267 pp.
- Bazi, L. Bruzzone, F. Melgani, An unsupervised approach based on the generalized Gaussian model to automatic change detection in multitemporal SAR images, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 43 (4) (2005) 874–887.
- Bazi, L. Bruzzone, F. Melgani, An unsupervised approach based on the generalized Gaussian model to automatic change detection in multitemporal SAR images, *IEEE Transactions of Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 43 (4) (2005) 874–886.
- Bazi, L. Bruzzone, F. Melgani, Image thresholding based on the EM algorithm and the generalized Gaussian distribution, Pattern Recognition 40 (2) (2007) 619–634.
- Beveridge, M.C.M., Phillips, M.J., Macintosh, D.J., 1997. Aquaculture and the environment: the supply of and demand for environmental goods and services by *Asian aquaculture and the implications for sustainability. Aquaculture Res.* 28, 797–807.
- Bodmer H.C.,1993. An Efficient Sampling Design for Forest Inventory: The Northeastern Forest Survey. J.For. 61: 826-833.
- Borak J.S., E.F. Lambin, A.H. Strahler, The use of temporal metrics for land cover change detection at coarse spatial scales, *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 21 (6) (2000) 1414–1432.
- Brander L.M, Florax RJGM, Vermaat JE. The empirics of wetland valuation: a comprehensive summary and a meat-analysis of the literature. *Environ Res Econ* 2006;33:223–50.
- Brockhaus G.H., Malmer A., D.R. Thompsom, and Henderson, H.E., 1988. Evaluation of Thematic Mapper for Detecting Soil Properties Under Grassland Vegetation. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 50: 319-322.
- Brouwer R, Langford IJ, Bateman TC, Crowards TC. A meta-analysis of wetland contingent valuation studies. *Reg Environ Change* 1999;1:45–57.
- Bruzzone, L., Prieto, D.F., 2000. Automatic analysis of the difference image for unsupervised change detection. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing* 38, 1171–1182

- Bruzzone, L., Prieto, D.F., 2002a. An adaptive semiparametric and context-based approach to unsupervised change detection in multitemporal remote-sensing images. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 11 (4), 452–466.
- Cacha, M.D.M., 1994. Starting resource accounting in protected areas. In: Munasinghe, M., McNeely, J. (Eds.), *Protected Area Economics and Policy. IUCN*, Cambridge.
- Camacho, A.S., Bagarinao, T., 1987. The impact of fish pond development on the mangrove ecosystem in the Philippines. In: Mangroves of Asia and the Pacific: Status and Management, pp. 395–405. *Technical Report of the UNDP:UNESCO* Research and Training Pilot Programme on Mangrove Ecosystems in Asia and the Pacific.
- Chamingo L.G., and N.J. Maniere, 1990. Landuse mapping in West Messinia, Greese satellite imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 11(9): 1645-1661.
- Chan, H.T., Ong, J.E., Gong, W.K., Sasekumar, A., 1993. The socioeconomic, ecological and environmental values of mangrove ecosystems in Malaysia and their present state of conservation. In: Clough, B.F. (Ed.), The Economic and Environmental Values of Mangrove Forests and Their Present State of Conservation in the South-East Asia: Pacific Region, vol. 1. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, International Tropical Timber Organization and Japan International Association for Mangroves, Okinawa, pp. 41–81.
- Chavez, P.S., 1989. Radiometric calibration of landsat thematic mapper multi-spectral images. *Photogram. Eng. Remote Sensing* 55 (9), 1285–1294.
- Chen, G.Q. Chen, *, B. Chen, J.B. Zhou, Z.F. Yang, Y. Zhou, 2009: Net ecosystem services value of wetland: Environmental economic account, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* 14 pp: 2837–2843
- Cintron, G., Lugo, A.E., Martinez, R., 1985. Structural and functional properties of mangrove forests. In: Botany and Natural History of Panama, *IV Series. Monogr. Syst. Bot.* 10, 53–66.
- Congalton, R., Green, K., 1999. Assessing the Accuracy of Remotely Sensed Data: Principles and Practices. *Lewis Publishers*, New York.
- Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., van den Belt, M., 1997. *The value of the world's* ecosystem services and natural capital. Nature 387, 253–260.

- Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R.V., Paruelo, J.,Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., van den Belt .M, 1998. Auditing the earth: the value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital, Costanza and his co-authors reply. Environment 40, 26–27.
- Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Koedam, N., 2008. Long-term retrospection on mangrove development using transdisciplinary approaches: a review. Aquat Bot. 89, 80 – 92.
- Dahadouh-Guebas, F., Jayatissa, L.P., Di Nitto, D., Bosire, J.O., Lo Seen, D., Koedam, N., 2005. Hoe effective were mangroves as a defence again the recent tsunami. *Curr. Boil.* 15, R443 – R447.
- Dai, X.L., Khorram, S., 1998. The effects of image misregistration on the accuracy of remotely sensed change detection. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 36 (5), 1566– 1577.
- De Groot, R.S., 1987. Environmental function as a unifying concept for ecology and economics. *Environmentalist*, 7(2):105-109
- DeGloria G.H., 1984. Forest resources assessment: Manual of Remote Sensing Chapter 34, American Society of Photogrametry, Virginia, 2440p.
- Dempster, A.P., Laird, N.M., Rubin, D.B., 1977. Maximum likelihood for incomplete data via the EM algorithm. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. 39 (1),
- Duke, N.C., 1992. Mangrove floristic and biogeography. In: Robertson, A.I., Alongi, D.M. (Eds), Tropical mangrove Ecosystems. American Geiphysical Union, Washington DC, pp. 63 – 100.
- Duke, N.C., Ball, M.C., Ellison, J.C., 1998. Factor influencing biodiversity and distributional gradients in mangroves. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. Lett. 7, 27 – 47.
- Dyksterhuis, E.J., 1949. Condition and management of rangeland based on quantitative ecology. J. Range Manage. 2, 104–115.
- Faridah-Hanum, A. Latiff, Khalid Rehman Hakeem, Munir Ozturk, Mangrove Ecosystems of Asia, Status, Challenges and Management Strategies, Springer Science & Business Media, Nov 1, 2013 - Science - 489 pages
- Farnsworth, E.J., Ellison, A.M., 1997. The global conservation status of mangroves. *Ambio* 26, 328–334.
- Foody G.M., and R.A. Hill, 1996. Classification of tropical forest classes from Landsat TM data. *Int. J. Remote Sensing* 17(12): 2353-2367.
- Foody, G..M., 2002. Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 80 (1), 185–201.

- Foley, J., Defries, R., Asner, G.P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S.R., Chapin, F.S., Coe, M.T., Daily, G.C., Gibbs, H.K., Helkowski, J.H., Holloway, T., Howard, E.A., Kucharik, C.J., Monfreda, C.,Patz,J.A., Prentice,I.C., Ramankutty, N.,Snyder,P.K., 2005. Global consequences of land use. Science 309 (5734), 570–574.
- Fung, T., 1990. An assessment of TM imagery for land-cover change detection. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing 28 (4), 681–684.
- Fung, T. E. LeDrew, The determination of optimal threshold levels for change detection using various accuracy indices, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 54 (10) (1988) 1449–1454.
- Hame, T. I. Heiler, J. San Miguel-Ayanz, An unsupervised change detection and recognition system for forestry, *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 19 (6) (1998) 1079–1099.
- Ghermandi A, van den Bergh JCJM, Brander LM, de Groot HLF, Nunes PALD. Exploring diversity: a meta-analysis of wetland conservation and creation. *In: Paper presented at the 9th annual BIOECON conference on economics and institutions for biodiversity conservation.* Kings College Cambridge, 19–21 September 2007.
- Gujja, B., Finger-Stich, A., 1996. What price prawn? Shrimp aquaculture's impact in Asia. *Environment* 38, 12–39.
- Guo, Z., Xiao, X., Gan, Y., Zheng, Y., 2001. Ecosystem functions, services and their values—a case study in Xingshan County of China. *Ecological Economics* 38, 141–154.
- Hame F.H, R.K. Raney, R.V. Dams and D.Werle, 1988. A Review of Remote Sensing for Forest Management to Define Possible RADARSAT Contribution. Proc. of the International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing Symposium, June 1990. Manaus, Brazil. p. 141-157.
- Hamilton, L., Dixon, J., Miller, G., 1989. Mangroves: an undervalued resource of the land and the sea. *Ocean Yearbook* 8, 254–288.
- Hamilton, L.S., Snedaker, S.C. (Eds.), 1984. Handbook for Mangrove Area Management. UNEP and East West Center, Environment and Policy Institute, Honolulu 126 pp.
- Heo, J., Fitzhugh, T.W., 2000. A standardized radiometric normalization method for change detection using remotely sensed imagery. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 66 (2), 173–181.
- Hermann K., Rock B.N., Ammer U., and Paley K., 1988. Preliminary Assessment of Airborne Imaging Spectrometer and Airborne TM Data Acquire for Forest Decline Areas in the Federal Republic of Germany. *Remote Sensing of Environment.* (24): 129-149.

- Hogarth, Peter J. (1999). *The Biology of Mangroves* Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Hyde, W.F., Kanel, K.R., 1994. The marginal cost of endangered species management. In: Munasinghe, M., McNeely, J. (Eds.), Protected Area *Economics and Policy. IUCN*, Cambridge.
- Itten K.I., P. Meyer, T. Kellenberger, R. Leu, S. Sandmeier, P. Bitter, and K. Seidel, 1992. Correction of the Impact of Topography and Atmosphere on Landsat TM Forest Mapping of Alpine Regions. *Remote Sensing Series, No. 18,* Geographisces Institut der Universitat Zurich. 48p.
- ITTO. 1997. Utilization of Remote Sensing in Site Assessment and Planning for Rehalibitation of Logged-Over Forests. Project Report on Rehabilitation of logged-Over Forests in Asian/Pacific Region, Sub-Project III. 30p.
- Richards J.A., X. Jia, *Remote Sensing Digital Image Analysis, 4th ed.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006
- Johnson, R.D., Kasischke, E.S., 1998. Change vector analysis: a technique for the multispectral monitoring of land cover and condition. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 19 (3), 411–426.
- Kamaruzaman Jusoff, 1992. Management of site disturbance from logging in a hill forest of P. Malaysia. Ph. D Thesis, Cranfield Institute of Technology, Silsoe, Bedford, England, 289p.
- Merril K.R., L. Jiajun, A comparison of four algorithms for change detection in an urban environment, *Remote Sensing of Environ*ment 63 (2) (1998) 95–100.
- Kramer, R., Munasinghe, M., 1994. Valuing a protected tropical forest: a case study in Madagascar. In: Munasinghe, M., McNeely, J. (Eds.), Protected Area Economics and Policy. IUCN, Cambridge.
- Kreuter, U.P., Harris, H.G., Matlock, M.D., Lacey, R.E., 2001. Change in ecosystem service values in the San Antonio area, Texas. Ecological *Economics* 39, 333–346.
- Krutilla JV. Conservation reconsidered. Am Econ Rev 1967;57(4):777– 86.
- Bruzzone L., D.F. Prieto, An adaptive semiparametric and contextbased approach to unsupervised change detection in multitemporal remote-sensing images, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 11 (4) (2002) 452–466.
- Bruzzone L., P.D. Fernandez, Automatic analysis of the difference image for unsupervised change detection, *IEEE Transactions of Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 38 (3) (2000) 1171–1182.

- Castellana.L., A. D'Addabbo, G. Pasquariello. A composed supervised/unsupervised approach to improve change detection from remote sensing. *Science Direst, Patter Recognition latters* 28 (2006) 405 – 413.
- Leu L.G., H.W. Chang, Remotely sensing in detecting the water depths and bed load of shallow waters and their changes, *Ocean Engineering* 32 (10) (2005) 1174–1198.
- Lacy, T., Lockwood, M., 1994. Estimating the nonmarket conservation values of protected landscapes. In: Munasinghe, M., McNeely, J. (Eds.), *Protected Area Economics and Policy*. IUCN, Cambridge.
- Lal, P.N., 1990. Conservation or Conversion of Mangroves in Fiji. East-West Environment and Policy Institute, Honolulu Occasional Paper No. 11, 108 pp.
- Larsson, J., Folke, C., Kautsky, N., 1994. Ecological limitations and appropriation of ecosystem support by shrimp farming in Colombia. *Environ. Manage.* 18, 663–676.
- Leh, C.M.U., Sasekumar, A., 1984. Feeding ecology of prawn in shallow water adjoining mangrove shore. Proc. As. Symp. Mangr. Env Res. Manag. Univ. Of Malaya and UNESCO, pp. 331 – 353.
- Lugo, A.E., Snedaker, S.C., 1974. *The ecology of mangroves*. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 5, 39–64.
- Torma .M, P. Harma, E. Jarvenpaa, Change detection using spatial data problems and challenges, in: Proceedings of the *IEEE Interna-* tional Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS'07), 2007, pp. 1947–1950.
- Macintosh, D.J., 1982. Fisheries and aquaculture significance of mangrove swamps, with special reference to the Indo-West Pacific region. In: Muir, Roberts J.F, R.J. (Eds.), Recent Advances in Aquaculture. Croom Helm, England, pp. 4–85.
- Macintosh, D.J., 1984. Ecology and productivity of Malaysian mangrove crab populations. Proc. As. Symp. Mangr. Env Res. Manag. Univ. Of Malaya and UNESCO, pp. 354 – 377.
- Mahmoud, A., Elbialy, S., Pradhan, B., Buchroithner, M., Field-based landcover classification using TerraSAR-X texture analysis, *Advances in Space Research*, 2011
- Mansor, S., Shariah, M.A., Billa, L., Setiawan, I., Jabar, F. ,Spatial information technology in flood early warning systems: An overview of theory, application and latest developments in Malaysia, *Disaster Prevention and Management*, *3* (5), pp. 356-363, 2004
- Matthes, H., Kapetsky, J.M., 1988. Worldwide Compendium of Mangrove-Associated Aquatic Species of Economic Importance. FAO, Rome FAO Fishery Circular No. 814, 238 pp.

- McNeely, J.A., 1993. Economic incentives for conserving: lessons for Africa. Ambio 22, 144–150.
- Meaden G.J., and Kapetsky, J.M., 1991. GIS and Remote Sensing in Inland Fisheries and Agriculture. FAO of United Nations, Rome, Italy. Technical Paper. p 318-362.
- Mikael H., Markku R., Brita V., Seppo V., and Ari W., 1995. Remote Sensing Aided Forest Inventory and Land Use Planning in Indonesia. *Enso Forest Development*, VTT. 30p.
- Mitsch WJ, Gosselink JG. Wetlands. 3rd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.; 2000.
- Mitsch, W.J., Gosselink, J.G., 1993. Wetlands. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 721 pp
- Monica Grasso, 1998, Ecological-economic model for optimal mangrove trade-off between forestry and fishery production: comparing a dynamic optimization and a simulation model, *Ecological Modelling Journal vol.* 112 p 131–150
- Munasinghe, M., 1994. Economic and policy issues in natural habitats and protected areas. In: Munasinghe, M., Mc-Neely, J. (Eds.), *Protected Area Economics and Policy*. IUCN, Cambridge.
- Nfotabong-Atheull, A., Din, N., Dahdouh-Guebas, F.,2013. Qualitative and quantitative characterization of mangrove vegetation structure and dynamics in a peri-urban setting of Douala (Cameroon): an approach using air-borne imagery. *Estuaries Coasts 36 (6)*, 1181 - 1192.
- Ong. J.E., 1982. Mangrove and aquaculture in Malaysia. Ambio 11, 252 257.
- Ong, J.E., 1995. The ecology of mangrove conservation and management. Hydrobiologia 295, 343–351.
- Pauly, D., Chua, T.E., 1988. The overfishing of marine resources: socioeconomic background in Southeast Asia. Ambio 17, 200– 206.
- Pauly, D., Ingles, J., 1986. The relationship between shrimp yields and intertidal (mangrove) areas: a reassessment. In: IOC:FAO Workshop on Recruitment in Tropical Coastal Demersal Communities. IOC, UNESCO, Paris, pp. 227–284.
- Pearce, D., Moran, D. (Eds.), 1994. The Economic Value of Biodiversity. IUCN, Cambridge.
- Peters, C.M., Gentry, A.H., Mendelsohn, R.O., 1989. Valuation of an Amazonian rainforest. *Nature* 339, 655–656.

- Pradhan, B., Youssef, A.M., A 100-year maximum flood susceptibility mapping using integrated hydrological and hydrodynamic models: Kelantan River Corridor, Malaysia , *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 4 (3), pp. 189-202, 2011
- Price J.C., 1984. Estimating Vegetation Amount from Visible and Near Infrared Reflectance. J. Remote Sensing Environment 41: 29 - 34.
- Primavera, J.H., 1998. Tropical shrimp farming and its sustainability. In: de Silva, S. (Ed.), Tropical Mariculture. Academic Press, London, pp. 257–289.
- Radke, R.J., Andra, S., Al-Kofahi, O., Roysam, B., 2005. Image change detection algorithms: a systematic survey. *IEEE Trans. Image Processing* 14 (3), 294–307.
- Roger E.J., 1961. Application of Aerial Photograph and Regression Technique for Surveying Caspian Forest of Iran. *Photogramm. Engnn and Remote Sensing* 13(8): 16-30.
- Roy P.S., B.K. Ranganath, P.G. Diwakar, T.P.S. Vohra, S.K. Bhan, I.J. Singh and V.C. Pandian, 1991. Tropical Forest Mapping and Monitoring using Remote Sensing. *Int. J. Remote Sensing* 12(11): 2205-2225.
- Sader S.A., R.B. Waide, W.T. Lawrence, and A.T., Joyce, 1989. Tropical Forest Biomass and Successional Age Class Relationship to a Vegetation Index Derived from Landsat TM dta. *Journal Remote Sensing of Environment* 28(2): 143-159.
- Saenger, P., Hegerl, E.J., Davie, J.D.S. (Eds.), 1983. Global status of mangrove ecosystems. *Environ.* 3 (Suppl. 3) 88.
- Sasekumar, A., Chong, V.C., Leh, M.U., D'Cruz, R., 1992. Mangrove as a habitat for fish and prawns. *Hydrobiologia* 247, 195 – 207.
- Schuster, W.H., 1952. Fish culture in the brackish water ponds of Java. IPFC Spec. Pub. 1, 1–143.
- Seidl, A.F., Moraes, A.S., 2000. Global valuation of ecosystem services: application to the Pantanal da Nhecolandia, Brazil. *Ecological Economics* 33, 1–6.
- Senoo T., F. Kobayashi, S. Tanaka and T. Tugimura, 1990. Improvements of forest type classification by SPOT HRV with 20m mesh DTM. *Int. J. Remote Sensing* 11(6): 1011-1022.
- Singh, A., 1989. Digital change detection techniques using remotely sensed data. *Int. J. Remote Sensing* 10 (6), 989–1003.
- Singh, H.R., Chong, V.C., Sasekumar, A., Lim, K.H., 1994. Value of mangroves as nursery and feeding grounds. In: Wilkinson, C.R., Suraphol, S., Chou, L.M. (Eds.), Status Reviews. Proceedings of the Third ASEAN-Australia Symposium on Living Coastal Resources, vol. 1. Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, pp. 105–122.

- Spalding, M., Blasco, F., Field, C., 1997. World Mangrove Atlas. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystem, Okinawa, Japan, 178 pp.
- Staples, D.J., Vance, D.J., Heales, D.S., 1985. Habitat requirements of juvenile penaeid prawns and their relationship to offshore fisheries. In: Rothlisberg, P.C., Hill, B.J., Staples, D.J. (Eds.), *Second Australian National Prawn Seminar*. CSIRO, Cleveland, pp. 47–54.
- Stevenson, N.J., 1997. Disused shrimp ponds: options for redevelopment of mangroves. *Coastal Manage*. 25, 425–435.
- Fung, T. An assessment of TM imagery for land-cover change detection, IEEE Transactions of Geoscience and Remote Sensing 28 (12) (1990) 681–684.
- Thang H.C., Tay Y.C and Cheong, E.C., 1987. Remote Sensing In forestry In Malaysia. Paper Presented at the Meeting of the Technical Working Group on Remote Sensing and information System. 13 - 16 August, 1987, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Tehrany, M.S., Pradhan, B., Mansor, S. and Ahmad, N., Flood susceptibility assessment using GIS-based support vector machine model with different kernel types, *Catena, Vol.* 125, 91-101,2014
- Tobias, D., Mendelsohn, R., 1991. Valuing ecotourism in a tropical rain-forest reserve. *Ambio* 20, 91–93.
- Tomar L.M., 1976. Classification of Vegetation and Analysis of its Recent Trends at Camp William, Utah Using Remote Sensing and Geographic Information System Technique, U.S.A. 30p.
- Tomlinson, P.B., 1986. *The Botany of mangroves*. Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge UK.
- Toutin, T., 2004. Geometric processing of remote sensing images: models, algorithms and methods. *Int. J. of Remote Sensing* 25 (10), 1893–1924.
- Townshend, J.R., Masek, J.G., Huang, C., Vermote, E.F., Gao, F., Channan, S., Sexton, J.O., Feng, M., Narasimhan, R., Kim, D., Song, K., Song, D., Song, X.-P., Noojipady, P., Tan, B., Hansen, M.C., Li, M., Wolfe, R.E., 2012. Global characterization and monitoring of forest cover using Landsat data: opportunities and challenges. Int. J. Digit. Earth 5, 373–397.
- Townshend, J.R.G., Justice, C.O., 1995. Spatial variability of Images and the monitoring of changes in the normalized difference vegetation index. *Int. J. Remote Sensing* 16 (12), 2187–2195.

- Turner H., L.G. Toulios, N.J. Yassoglo and M. Moutsoulas, 1988. Comparison between two vegetation indices for measuring different type of forest damage in North Eastern United States. *Int. J. Remote Sensing* 11(12): 2281-2297.
- Turner, R.E., 1977. Intertidal vegetatio n and commercial yields of penaeid shrimp. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 106, 411–416.
- Untawale, A.G., 1986. Asia country reports: India. In: Mangroves of Asia and the Pacific: Status and Management, pp. 51–87. Technical Report of the UNDP:UNESCO Research and Training Pilot Programme on Mangrove Ecosystems in Asia and the Pacific.
- Valiela, I., Bowen, J.L., York, J.K., 2001. Mangrove forests: one of the world's threatened major tropical environments. *Bioscience* 51, 807 – 815.
- Verstraete, M.M., Pinty, B., Myneni, R.B., 1996. Potential and limitations of information extraction on the terrestrial biosphere from satellite remote sensing. *Remote Sensing Environ.* 58, 201– 214
- Verstraete, M.M., Pinty, B., Myneni, R.B., 1996. Potential and limitations of information extraction on the terrestrial biosphere from satellite remote sensing. *Remote Sensing Environment* 58, 201–214
- Voa Q.T, C. Kuenzerb, Voc Q.M, Moderd .F, Oppelt .N., 2012. Review of valuation methods for mangrove ecosystem services. *Ecological Indicators* 23 (2012) 431–446
- Wan Yusoff Wan Ahmad, 1988. Application of Landsat/SPOT Digital and Visual Analysis as a Tool for Forest Classification and Mapping in Lesong Forest Reserve Peninsular Malaysia. Asian Institute of Forest Management Fellowship Report, 15 March - 31 December, 1988.43p.
- White, P.C.L., Gregory, K.W., Lindsey, P.J., Richards, G., 1997. Economic values of threatened mammals in Britain: a case study of the Otter and the water vole Arvicola terrestris. *Biological Conservation* 82, 345–354.
- Woodward RT, Wui YS. The economic value of wetland services: a metaanalysis. Ecolog Econ 2001;37:257–70.
- World Resources Institute, 1996. World Resources 1996–97. Oxford University Press, Oxford The World Resources Institute, UNEP, UNDP, World Bank, 365 pp.
- Xie GD, Lu CX, Leng YF, Zheng D, Li SC. Ecological assets valuation of the Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Natural Resources* 2003; 18: 189– 196 (in Chinese).