



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***GASIFICATION OF NAPIER GRASS AND NAPIER GRASS-OIL PALM
FROND BLENDING IN A FLUIDIZED BED GASIFIER***

TAN HONG BOON

FK 2018 54



**GASIFICATION OF NAPIER GRASS AND NAPIER GRASS-OIL PALM
FROND BLENDING IN A FLUIDIZED BED GASIFIER**

By

TAN HONG BOON

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

December 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

GASIFICATION OF NAPIER GRASS AND NAPIER GRASS-OIL PALM FROND BLENDING IN A FLUIDIZED BED GASIFIER

By

TAN HONG BOON

December 2017

Chairman : Associate Professor Wan Azlina Binti Wan Abdul Karim Ghani, PhD
Faculty : Faculty of Engineering

To date, oil palm is still the major biomass in Malaysia, thus this provides a strong reason why palm-based biomasses are one of the most promising renewable energy resources for electricity generation. In recent decade, palm-based biomasses have been greatly converted into various types of value-added products other than energy feedstock for power generation. Therefore, alternative fuel resources should be explored to replace palm-based biomasses to avoid over dependent on a single source.

In this study, napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) (NG) is chosen as the feedstock due to its availability and high growth rates. In a year, NG is able to produce 40 tons/ hectare of dry biomass yield which is significantly higher than other short rotation grasses. In addition, the high volatility characteristic of NG makes it a suitable feedstock for thermo-chemical conversion. On the other hand, oil palm frond (OPF) is another suitable feedstock material for thermo-chemical conversion mainly because of its' availability and high volatility characteristic. Hence, it is blended with NG for performance enhancement. Gasification is chosen as the process to turn NG and NG-OPF blends into syngas due to its simplicity, performance efficiency and less pollutants emission. The physicochemical properties of NG and OPF were determined by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Carbon-Hydrogen-Nitrogen-Sulphur (CHNS) characterization. The experimental tests have been carried out in a heat resistant stainless steel fluidized bed reactor (internal diameter 370 mm, length 54 mm) under temperature (650 – 850 °C) and equivalence ratio (0.2 – 0.4). The results revealed that the temperature and ER have significant effect on syngas quality and gasification performance. The higher heating value (HHV) based on the syngas composition obtained experimentally was 3.37 MJ/Nm³ and the higher Carbon Conversion Efficiency (CCE) was 82.12 % at temperature 850 °C with ER 0.25. For ER, the HHV was 3.37 MJ/Nm³ at ER 0.20 and the higher CCE was 89.08 % at ER 0.40. Moreover, the effect of NG-OPF blending ratio (25: 75, 50: 50, 75: 25) on the syngas composition and gas performance were also investigated under optimum condition (ER 0.20 and temperature 850°C). NG-OPF (50:

50) was found to obtained a higher H₂ (13.04 mol.%) and higher HHV of gas product (3.42 MJ/kg). In order to generate reasonable prediction of the syngas composition and optimize the H₂ production, a gasification model was developed based on the experimental data via LINGO software. In addition, syngas compositions obtained experimentally are validated with gasification modeling. Furthermore, the composition of syngas can be further optimized and determined based on the operating conditions (temperature, ER). The experimental result showed a very good agreement with the predicted result from the model at optimum condition and the RMS was calculated to be 0.0383. Therefore, it can be concluded that NG has the potential to be an alternative sustainable energy fuel for energy generation.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**PENGEGASAN RUMPUT GAJAH DAN CAMPURAN RUMPUT GAJAH-
PELEPAH KELAPA SAWIT MENGGUNAKAN REAKTOR TERBENDALIR
FLUIDIZED**

Oleh

TAN HONG BOON

Disember 2017

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Wan Azlina Binti Wan Abdul Karim Ghani,
PhD**
Fakulti : Fakulti Kejuruteraan

Setakat ini, kelapa sawit merupakan biomas utama di Malaysia. Oleh kerana itu, biomas berasaskan kelapa sawit merupakan salah satu sumber tenaga boleh diperbaharui yang paling menjanjikan untuk penjanaan elektrik.

Malaysia amat bergantung kepada bahan api fosil untuk penjanaan elektrik. Sebaliknya, masih terdapat banyak kawasan luar bandar yang tidak dapat akses kepada bekalan elektrik secara berterusan, terutama Sabah dan Sarawak. Oleh itu, semua pihak termasuk kerajaan, masyarakat akademik dan masyarakat perlu bekerja bersama-sama untuk meneroka sumber bahan api alternatif yang baru. Walau bagaimanapun, dalam usaha untuk mempelbagaikan sumber tenaga boleh diperbaharui, rumput napier (*Pennisetum Purpureum*) (NG) dipilih sebagai sumber kerana kesediaan, kadar pertumbuhan yang tinggi, keneutralan karbon dan kemeruapan yang tinggi. Sebaliknya, dalam kerja-kerja ini, pelepah kelapa sawit (OPF) juga dipilih dan dicampur dengan NG kerana mudah didapati dan biasanya hanya ditinggalkan dalam tapak perladangan. Pengegasan dipilih kerana kesederhanaan, pencemar cecap yang fleksibel dan kurang pelepasan. Sifat-sifat fizik-kimia NG dan OPF ditentukan oleh analisis Termogravimetri (TGA) dan pencirian CHNS. Ujian eksperimen telah dijalankan dalam tahan haba keluli tahan karat fluidized reaktor katil (dalaman diameter 370 mm, panjang 54 mm). The syngas komposisi dan pengegasan prestasi NG telah dinilai di bawah suhu (650-850 ° C) dan nisbah kesetaraan (0,2-0,4). Selain itu, kesan NG-OPF nisbah campuran (25: 75, 50: 50, 75: 25) kepada komposisi syngas dan prestasi gas juga disiasat di bawah keadaan optimum (ER 0.20 dan suhu 850 ° C). Dalam usaha untuk menghasilkan ramalan yang munasabah komposisi syngas dan mengoptimumkan pengeluaran H₂, model pengegasan telah dibangunkan berdasarkan data eksperimen melalui perisian LINGO. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa suhu dan ER mempunyai kesan yang besar ke atas kualiti syngas dan prestasi pengegasan. Nilai pemanasan tinggi (HHV) berdasarkan komposisi gas bahan api yang diperolehi secara eksperimen adalah 3.37 MJ / Nm³ pada suhu 800 ° C, dan kecekapan

penukaran karbon yang lebih tinggi (CCE) adalah 82,12% pada suhu 850 ° C. Selain itu, kesan nisbah campuran antara NG dan OPF menunjukkan bahawa tidak ada tanda-tanda kesan sinergi antara NG dan OPF kecuali NG-OPF dengan 50: nisbah 50 adunan. Fenomena ini terutamanya mungkin disebabkan oleh oksida logam wujud dalam bioarang dan tidak bercampur sempurna antara NG dan OPF. Di samping itu, model pengelasan berdasarkan keputusan eksperimen turut dibangunkan. Dalam usaha untuk memastikan ketepatan model, komposisi gas sintesis ramalan disahkan dengan keputusan eksperimen. Tambahan pula, komposisi gas sintesis boleh dilaksanakan secara optimum dan ditentukan berdasarkan keadaan operasi (suhu, ER). Semua dalam semua, NG mempunyai potensi untuk menjadi alternatif bahan api tenaga mampan untuk generasi tenaga.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express my sincerely thanks and deep appreciation to my supervisor Associate Prof. Dr. Wan Azlina for her patience, support and kindly guidance, and continuous support on my postgraduate study. In addition, I would like to extend my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Associate Prof. Datin Dr Siti Aslina, and Prof Denny Ng Kok Sum, for their guidance, encouragement, continuous support and valuable suggestion and discussion through the course of my study. Not forgotten the entire staff of the department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, UPM for their kindness, advice and assistance in my experimental and analyses work. In addition, I would like to thank to my friends and colleagues for sharing with me their friendships, knowledge and assistances throughout of this project. I am deeply grateful to MyBrain15 scholarship program under the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia and for financial sponsors that help me to pursue my study in UPM. Besides, I want to thank LRGS grant (LRGS/2013/UKM/PT) for financial sponsor in my project and thanks to CFF (Crop for Future) provides feedstock for this work. Lastly, I would like to give my heartfelt and special thanks to my parents for their patience, support and encouragement throughout this master study. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you, everyone!

I certify that a thesis examination committee has met on 27th December 2017 to conduct the final examination of Tan Hong Boon on his thesis entitled “Evaluation of Napier Grass as Potential Renewable Energy Resource in Malaysia” in accordance with the universities and universities Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee are as follows:

Shafreeza Bt. Sobri, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Razif Bin Harun, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Abdul Halim Shamsuddin, PhD

Professor Ir.
Universiti Tenaga Nasional
Malaysia
(External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 April 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Wan Azlina Binti Wan Ab Karim Ghani, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Datin Siti Aslina Binti Hussain, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Denny Ng Kok Sum, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University of Nottingham, Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published in book form
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/ fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity was upheld as according to Rule 59 in Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013).
The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Tan Hong Boon GS41970

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in Rule 41 in Pules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Wan Ab Karim Ghani

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Aslina Binti Hussain

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Prof. Denny Ng Kok Sum

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	Page
<i>ABSTRAK</i>	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	v
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	xvii

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Energy Demand and Poverty in Malaysia	1
1.2	Current Electrification Status of Rural Areas in Malaysia	1
1.3	Biomass as Alternative Energy Source	2
1.4	Problem Statement	4
1.5	Objective	4
1.6	Scope of Study	4
1.7	Significance of Study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Energy Situation in Malaysia	6
2.3	Biomass Feedstock in Malaysia	13
2.3.1	Napier Grass	13
2.4	Biomass Properties	19
2.4.1	Physical Properties	19
	2.4.1.1 Proximate Analysis	19
2.4.2	Chemical Properties	19
	2.4.2.1 Ultimate Analysis	19
	2.4.2.2 Inorganic Characteristics Analyses	21
2.5	Possible Routes for Energy Production from Biomass in Malaysia	21
2.5.1	Thermo-chemical Conversion	22
	2.5.1.1 Pyrolysis Conversion	22
	2.5.1.2 Gasification Conversion	22
2.6	Fundamental of gasification	24
2.6.1	Gasification process	24
2.6.2	Fluidization	25
	2.6.2.1 Fluidization patterns	25
	2.6.2.2 Geldart's Classification of Fluidized Bed Particles	28
	2.6.2.3 Minimum Fluidization Velocity	29
2.6.3	Important Operating Process Parameters in Fluidized Bed Gasification	32
	2.6.3.1 Effect of Temperature on the Syngas Production and gasification performances	36

	2.6.3.2 Effect of Gasifying Agent	40
	2.6.3.3 Effect of ER on Syngas Production and Gasification Performances	42
2.7	Applications of Biomass Gasification Technology	43
2.8	Biomass Gasification Modelling	44
	2.8.1 Thermodynamic Equilibrium Models	44
2.9	Summary	46
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Raw Material and Characterization	47
	3.2.1 Ultimate Analysis	48
	3.2.2 Proximate Analysis	49
	3.2.3 Gross Calorific Value Analysis	50
	3.2.4 Lignocellulosic Content Analysis	50
3.3	Experimental Design	51
	3.3.1 Bed Materials Properties	51
	3.3.2 Equivalence Ratio (ER) Calculation	52
	3.3.3 Minimum Fluidization Velocity	53
	3.3.4 Illustration of Fluidization Position	54
	3.3.4.1 Terminal Velocity	54
3.4	Facilities and Experimental Set-up	55
3.5	Experimental Procedures	56
3.6	Product Sampling and Analysis	57
	3.6.1 Product Recovery	57
	3.6.2 Gas analysis with GC	57
	3.6.3 Condensed Liquid Characterization with GCMS	58
	3.6.4 Inorganic Characterization Analysis with	58
3.7	Governing Equation for Gasification Performances	59
3.8	Model Description	59
	3.8.1 Gasification Stoichiometric Equilibrium Approach	59
	3.8.2 The Gasification Modelling Procedures	63
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of NG and OPF	65
4.3	Thermogravimetric Study of NG Biomass in Inert and Oxidative Atmosphere Conditions	66
	4.3.1 Proximate Analysis of NG under Inert and Oxidative Atmospheres Conditions	66
	4.3.2 Ultimate Analysis of NG under Inert and Oxidative Atmospheres Conditions	69
	4.3.3 Thermal Decomposition Characteristics	69
4.4	NG Gasification Results	73
	4.4.1 Effect of ER on the Product Yields and End-gas Products	73
	4.4.2 Effect of Temperature on the Product Yields and Quality of Producer Gas	75
	4.4.3 Effect of ER on the HHV of Gas and CCE	77
	4.4.4 Effect of Temperature on the HHV of producer	

	gas and CCE	78
4.5	Bio-liquid Properties	78
4.6	Inorganic Composition of NG	80
4.7	Comparison of Biomass Air Gasification Performances	81
4.8	Feasibility Study of NG and OPF Blend Pellets for Syngas Production	82
4.8.1	Effect of Blending Ratio of NG and OPF on the Syngas Quality	82
4.8.2	Effect of Blending Ratio of NG and OPF on the Gasification Performances	83
4.9	Gasification Model Improvement, Validation and Optimization	85
4.9.1	Determination of Correction Factors	85
4.9.2	Model Improvement	86
4.9.3	Model Validation	96
4.9	Case Study	99
5	GENERAL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	101
5.1	General Conclusion	101
5.2	Recommendation for Future Work	101
	REFERENCES	103
	APPENDICES	124
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	157
	LIST OF PUBLICATION	158

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Biomass resources and their estimated energy potential in Malaysia	3
2.1	Renewable energies availability in Malaysia	8
2.2	Renewable energy potential in Malaysia	13
2.3	Summary of previous researches on NG	15
2.4	NG properties in literature review	17
2.5	Inorganic contents of NG in literature review	18
2.6	Ultimate analysis and proximate analysis of different biomasses in literature	20
2.7	Inorganic contents of various types of biomass in literature review	21
2.8	Final and by-product yield (dry wood basis) obtained by different modes of pyrolysis of wood	22
2.9	Summary of fluidization regime	27
2.10	The characteristic of particle group	29
2.11	Typical correlations proposed in literature review to predict minimum fluidization velocity	31
2.12	Experimental past researchers via fluidized bed gasification technology	33
2.13	Advantages and technical challenges of operation for syngas production	39
2.14	Advantages and challenges of different gasifying agents for syngas production	40
2.15	Effect of different types of gasification agent on the producer distribution via biomass gasification in atmospheric and bubbling fluidized	41
2.16	Equilibrium model of various type gasifier in literature	45
3.1	Bed material particles properties	51
3.2	Superficial velocity at different equivalence ratio (ER)	53

3.3	The correlations to predict minimum fluidization velocity	53
3.4	The operating conditions for gasification tests	56
4.1	Properties of NG and OPF	66
4.2	Proximate analysis of NG biomass under air and nitrogen atmospheres and varying heating rates	68
4.3	Comparison of ultimate analysis results with other perennial energy crops in literature	69
4.4	Reaction regions and peak of NG samples at different heating rates	72
4.5	Effect of ER on HHV and CCE at temperature 850 °C	78
4.6	Effect of temperature on HHV and CCE at ER 0.25	78
4.7	Individual compounds detected in NG bio-liquids obtained at 850 °C and ER 0.2	80
4.8	Comparison of the inorganic composition of NG with published in literature	81
4.9	Comparison of the experimental results with published literature	81
4.10	The effect of blending ratio on the producer gas	82
4.11	Experimental results of NG on fluidized bed gasifier at a series of ERs	85
4.12	Experimental results of NG on fluidized bed gasifier at a series of temperatures	85
4.13	Predicted result and RMS error on modified model with a series of ERs	97
4.14	Predicted result and RMS error on modified model with a series of temperatures	98
4.15	Comparison of the model result prediction with experimental result in optimum operating condition	100

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Renewable energy sources in Malaysia.	12
2.2	The reaction mechanism of biomass gasification process.	24
2.3	Flow patterns in gas-solid fluidized beds.	26
2.4	The Geldart classification of particles.	28
2.5	General electric power distribution using syngas from biomass gasification.	43
3.1	Flowchart of overall work.	48
3.2	Retsch SM 100 rotor beater mill.	49
3.3	The original Geldart's classification of powders.	52
3.4	The generalized map of gas and solid contracting.	55
3.5	Schematic diagram of lab scale fluidized bed reactor.	56
3.6	The gasification modelling procedures.	64
4.1	Proximate analysis of NG biomass in Air (a) and N ₂ (b) atmospheres under 10 °C/min at a constant flow rate 25 mL/min by TGA analyzer	68
4.2	TG-DTG profile of NG biomass at different heating rates under air (a, c, e) and nitrogen (b, d, f)	71
4.3	Effect of ER on NG gasification yield.	74
4.4	Effect of ER on end-gas composition for NG (N ₂ free) at temperature 850 °C.	74
4.5	Effect of temperature on NG gasification yield..	76
4.6	Effect of temperature on gas composition for NG (N ₂ free) at ER 0.25.	77
4.7	The effect of blending ratio on dry product gas HHV of NG-OPF blends.	84
4.8	The effect of blending ratio on CCE of NG-OPF blends.	84

4.9	The relationship between correction factors (a) α_1 , (b) α_2 , (c) α_3 with ER of NG.	88
4.10	The relationship between correction factors (a) β_1 , (b) β_2 , (c) β_3 with gasification temperature of NG.	89
4.11	The relationship between hydrocarbon (a) n_8 , (b) x , (c) y , (d) z , (e) p with ER of NG.	92
4.12	The relationship between hydrocarbon (a) n_8 , (b) x , (c) y , (d) z , (e) p with gasification temperature of NG.	94
4.13	The relationship between gas to hydrocarbon residues ratio (SR) with ER.	95
4.14	The relationship between gas to hydrocarbon residues ratio (SR) with gasification temperature.	96

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

Al	Aluminum
Al ₂ O ₃	Aluminum oxide
AC _{stq}	Stoichiometric air/fuel ratio
A/B	Absorbent to biomass ratio
BIPV	Building Integrated Photovoltaic
BFB	Bubbling fluidized bed
Br	Bromine
c	Number of component
C	Solid carbon
Ca	Calcium
CaO	Calcium oxide
Cl	Chlorine
CuO	Copper (II) oxide
CO	Carbon monoxide
C _n H _m	Hydrocarbon
CFB	Continental flood basalt
CCE	Carbon conversion efficiency
CFB	Circulating fluidized bed
CHNS	Carbon-hydrogen-nitrogen-sulphur analyser
CFF	Crops for Future
CHP	Heat and power system
CH ₄	Methane
daf	Dry ash free basis
dp	Mean bed particle size

dp*	Dimensionless measure of particle size
ER	Equivalence ratio
EFB	Empty fruit bunch
EJ	Exajoule
F	Degree of freedom
Fe	Iron
Fe ₂ O ₃	Iron (III) oxide
FB	Feeding rate
FR	Biomass feeding rate
GDP	Gross domestic product
GC	Gas Chromatography
GCMS	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
GW	Gigawatt
He	Helium
H ₂	Hydrogen
HHV	Higher heating value
H ₂ O	Steam
i.d	Internal diameter
IC	Internal combustion
K	Potassium
K ₂ O	Potassium oxide
Kj	Kilojoule
KMD	Equilibrium constant for methane decomposition reaction
KWGS	Equilibrium constant for water-gas shift reaction
KHWGS	Equilibrium constant for heterogeneous water-gas shift reaction

LHV	Lower heating value
LFG	Landfill gas
MOSTI	Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation
MSW	Municipal solid waste
Mg	Magnesium
MnO	Manganese (II) oxide
MgO	Magnesium oxide
Mc	Molecule weight for carbon
M _{H₂}	Molecule weight for hydrogen
M _{O₂}	Molecule weight for oxygen
M _{N₂}	Molecule weight for nitrogen
Ms	Molecule weight for sulphur
MC	Molecule weight of carbon
M _{H₂}	Molecule weight of hydrogen
M _{O₂}	Molecule weight of oxygen
Mton	Million tonnes
MW	Megawatt
NG	Napier grass
NGS	Napier grass stem
NGL	Napier grass leaf
NGT	Napier grass leaf and stem
Na	Sodium
Na ₂ O	Sodium oxide
NiO	Nickel (II) oxide
O ₂	Oxygen
OPF	Oil palm frond

OPA	Oil palm ash
OPS	Oil palm shell
P	Phosphorus
P ₂ O ₅	Phosphorus pentoxide
PKS	Palm kernel shell
p	Phrase rule
PV	Photovoltaic
POME	Palm oil mill effluent
R&D	Research and development
Rb ₂ O	Rubidium oxide
Re _{mf}	Reynolds number at minimum fluidization state
rpm	Revolutions per minutes
S	Sulfur
Si	Silica
SiO ₂	Silicon dioxide
SO ₃	Sulphur trioxide
SrO	Strontium oxide
S/B	Steam to biomass ratio
scf/h	Standard cubic feet per hour
SR	Gas to hydrocarbon residues ratio
Ti	Titanium
TiO ₂	Titanium dioxide
TGA	Thermogravimetric analyser
TCD	Thermal conductive detector
TWh	Terawatt hour
T	Temperature

T_i	Initial temperature
U_{tr}	Terminal velocity
U_{mb}	Minimum bubbling
U_{mf}	Minimum fluidization velocity
U_{ms}	Minimum slugging
U_c	Transition velocity to turbulent fluidization
U_k	Transition velocity to turbulent fluidization
U	Fluidization velocity
U^*	Dimensionless velocity
U_{t^*}	Dimensionless measure of terminal velocity for spherical particles
wt%	Weight percent
WGS	Water gas shift reaction
XRF	X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometer
ZnO	Zinc oxide
a	Number of carbon atoms
A	Surface area of reactor
Ar	Archimedes number
A_i	Peak area (Standard)
A_s	Peak area (Sample)
b	Number of hydrogen atoms
c	Number of oxygen atoms
d	Number of nitrogen atom
E	Equivalence ratio for modelling
f_i	Molar flow rate of the feedstock
g	Acceleration due to gravity

H_{mf}	Height of the fluidized bed at minimum fluidization
h	Stoichiometric coefficient of oxygen
K_i	Response/ Calibration factor
k_{H_2O}	Thermodynamic equilibrium constant of H ₂ O formation
k_{CH_4}	Thermodynamic equilibrium constant of CH ₄ formation
k_{CO}	Thermodynamic equilibrium constant of CO formation
k_{CO_2}	Thermodynamic equilibrium constant of CO ₂ formation
M_a	Total mass of biomass after experiment
M_b	Total mass of biomass before the experiment
μ_2	Viscosity of fluid media
η_c	Carbon conversion efficiency
n_1	Number of moles of hydrogen
n_2	Number of moles of carbon monoxide
n_3	Number of moles of carbon dioxide
n_4	Number of moles of water
n_5	Number of moles of methane
n_6	Number of moles of nitrogen
p	Correction factor for methane decomposition reaction with ER
P	Pressure
V	Volumetric flow rate
w	Stoichiometric coefficient of biomass moisture
x_i	Molar composition of calibration gas
x_s	Mole percent of gases

x	Number of atom of carbon in hydrocarbon
y	Number of atom of hydrogen in hydrocarbon
z	Number of atom of oxygen in hydrocarbon
α_1	Correction factor for methane decomposition reaction with ER
α_2	Correction factor for water gas shift reaction with ER
α_3	Correction factor for heterogeneous water gas shift reaction with ER
β_1	Correction factor for methane decomposition reaction with temperature
β_2	Correction factor for water gas shift reaction with temperature
β_3	Correction factor for heterogeneous water gas shift reaction with temperature
ρ_p	Bed particle density
ρ_g	Density of fluidizing gas
ρ_{air}	Density of air
Φ	Sphericity
ε_{mf}	Bed voidage



© COPYRIGHT UPM

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Energy Demand and Poverty in Malaysia

To date, Malaysia is still relying heavily on fossil fuels for electricity generation. Energy would be one of the themes that challenge the Malaysia in the near future due to high demand of electricity. Therefore, in order to reduce dependency on the fossil fuels, more renewable sources should be introduced and utilized to generate electricity.

Most of the cities are equipped with electricity supply, but, there are still many rural areas especially in East Malaysia (Sabah and Sarawak) where they are not able to access to electricity continuously. As stated by Sreeraj et al. [1], energy poverty in rural areas could mitigate by the sustainable technology development such as transmission and distribution of energy generated from fossil fuel. However, it may not be possible in the remote areas as they are surrounded by difficult terrains and thick jungles. Besides, most of the schools that located in Sabah and Sarawak are not supplied electricity continuously [2].

Thus, it is inevitable that all parties such as government, academic society and communities have to explore new alternative fuel resource urgently to promote sustainable, affordable and accessible energy to the entire nation of Malaysia.

1.2 Current Electrification Status of Rural Areas in Malaysia

The local remote communities usually use small diesel-powered generators and solar panels as temporary measures to sustain their daily electricity usage. However, the diesel generator is found to be expensive to operate and maintain especially for those who are from low-income remote communities [3]. Furthermore, the fuel must be transported long distances by rivers or terrain roads from the urban town which are often washed out.

On the other hand, remote communities who live in rural areas which situated in close proximity to rivers fully relied on rivers as source of water supply, food and transport paths. It also could provide electricity by using water turbine. Water turbine harness kinetic energy from the natural flow of rivers, and generate electricity but the floating debris (tree branches, leaves, rubbish) and weeds [4, 5] are the main cause of damage the turbine. In addition, the flash floods and drastic changes in water level after rains are also seen to be major threats.

Moreover, solar panels and their electronic components also found to be easily broken and prone to corrosion in hot and wet countries. It is found out not efficient due to contaminants (dirt, dust, tree debris, bird dropping, etc) and the changeable weather in Malaysia. In spite of effort in remote electrification by the government, progress and success rates remain low due to the difficult conditions such as poor planning, limited resources and lack of research in problems and technology used [3].

1.3 Biomass as Alternative Energy Source

Due to strategic location and climate condition of Malaysia, plenty of the natural resources (agricultural and tropical forest) are available all year around. The biomass resources availability is covered nearly 76 % of land [6], thus, biomass has huge potential as a promising alternative renewable energy source for energy production via the different type of conversion technologies (e.g., biological and thermo-chemical conversion).

Table 1.1 shows that the biomass resources and their energy potential in Malaysia. It can be seen that oil palm industry has generated numerous raw material production and byproduct such as empty fruit bunches, frond, trunk, fibers, shell and palm kernel as compared to other industry. In this context, biomass residues from various industries can be one of the best alternative renewable resources for electricity generation. In addition, all these residues have no economic value and underutilized, they would bring benefit to the industry as well as mitigate the environmental problem if fully utilized and convert them to more valuable and usable forms of energy. Ali et al. [7] mentioned that biomass fuel contributes to 16 % of the energy consumption in the country where 51 % comes from palm oil biomass waste, 27 % from wood waste and 2 % from paddy residues. In addition, the production fodder crop (NG) generated approximately 43.7-65.9 t/ha/year. Although the production quantity is not comparable to other biomass but because of its fast growth rate and high volatile matter characteristics, it can be one of the promising energy feedstock in Malaysia.

Table 1.1: Biomass resources and their estimated energy potential in Malaysia [8, 9]

Type of industry	Production (Mton)	Type of biomass	Residue generated (Mton)	Calorific value of biomass (kJ/kg)	Potential energy generated (Mton)
Oil palm	59.8	Empty fruit bunches (EFB)	12.30	18,838	5.53
		Fronds and trunk	21.10	-	-
		Fiber	8.75	19,068	3.99
		Shell	3.94	20,108	1.89
		Palm kernel	2.11	18,900	95
Paddy	2.14	Rice husk	0.47	15,324	0.17
		Rice straw	0.86	13,620	0.28
Sugar	1.11	Bagasse	0.36	8021	0.069
Wood	1.67	Sawdust	0.96	19,008-19,188	0.44
	0.3	Plywood residues	0.069	10,000-19,000	0.024
Municipal solid waste (MSW)	11,940 t/day	MSW	-	9500	-
Fodder crop	43.7-65.9t/ha/year	Napier grass	-	-	-

*Potential energy generated (ton) = residue generated (ton) * 1000 kg * calorific value (kJ/kg)/41,86,8000 k

1.4 Problem Statement

Due to the difficult terrain and thick jungle, transmission and distribution of energy generated from fossil fuel are nearly not able to reach out to remote communities for their daily activities. Thus, diesel generator and solar panel have become the temporary alternative, however, diesel for the generator is found to be expensive and solar panel is less efficiency due to unpredictable weather. Furthermore, remote communities are also heavily reliance on fuel wood and charcoal for cooking and heating purpose but this creates indoor smoke pollution and leading to serious health damage, such as respiratory diseases and blindness.

Oil palm is the major biomass in Malaysia, thus this provides a strong reason palm oil residues as an alternative renewable energy resource for electricity generation. However, there is a growing interest to turn palm biomass into various types of value-added products other than energy feedstock for power generation. Therefore, some alternative non-valuable crops should be utilized to replace oil palm to have more effective biomass sources and to avoid the over dependent on a single source.

Napier grass (NG) was selected as the energy feedstock and blending with oil palm frond for energy generation via gasification conversion due to its availability and higher growth rate than most of the other crops. Besides, NG and OPF have higher energy value and high volatility characteristics which is suitable for combustion purpose.

1.5 Objectives

The objectives of the project are summarized as followed:

- a. To determine the physicochemical properties of selected biomass (napier grass (NG) and oil palm frond (OPF)).
- b. To perform and evaluate the NG air gasification performance at varying operating conditions of temperature, equivalence ratio and blending ratio with OPF.
- c. To improve gasification model which is able to generate a reasonable prediction of the producer gases based on experimental data and further optimize the H₂ production via LINGO 14.0 software.

1.6 Scope of Study

- This study was conducted to determine the chemical composition of NG and OPF feedstock via TGA, CHNS, oxygen bomb calorimeter and lignocellulosic analysis. It is important to know the composition of biomass as they have greatly influence the gasification performance.

- This study was performed to evaluate the NG gasification performance at temperature (650 – 850 °C), ER (0.2 – 0.4) and blending ratio (25: 75; 50: 50; 75: 25) with OPF to find out the optimum condition that could maximize the syngas production.
- This gasification modeling study was conducted using the experimental data via LINGO 14.0 optimization tool software. The experiment was duplicated based on the optimum conditions (Temperature at 1123 K and ER 0.2092) provided by modelling to verify with the prediction data from modelling.

1.7 Significance of Study

This study is important to improve the research gap on NG and NG-OPF gasification. In present, there is limited or nil research on the abovementioned gasification and hence more research attention should be attracted in order to determine the suitability of NG and NG-OPF as energy feedstock. In addition, this study explores the opportunity of syngas production from NG and NG-OPF blends via gasification for sustainable electricity generation. Gasification of this high growth rate energy crop has the potential to assist local communities, especially those in rural areas by providing sufficient feedstock. Hence, cultivation of NG is believed to be highly beneficial to serve this purpose.

REFERENCES

1. Sreeraj, E., K. Chatterjee, and S. Bandyopadhyay, *Design of isolated renewable hybrid power systems*. Solar Energy, 2010. **84**(7): p. 1124-1136.
2. Mahmud, A.M. *Evaluation of the solar hybrid system for rural schools in Sabah, Malaysia*. in *Power and Energy (PECon), 2010 IEEE International Conference on*. 2010. IEEE.
3. Anyi, M., B. Kirke, and S. Ali, *Remote community electrification in Sarawak, Malaysia*. Renewable Energy, 2010. **35**(7): p. 1609-1613.
4. Swenson, J., *The specification, design and development of a kinetic energy tidal power generator*. 1996.
5. Swenson, W., *Evaluation of an axial flow lift type turbine for harnessing the kinetic energy in a tidal flow*. International Journal of Renewable Energy Engineering, 2000. **2**(1): p. 129-134.
6. Goh, C.S., K.T. Tan, K.T. Lee, and S. Bhatia, *Bio-ethanol from lignocellulose: status, perspectives and challenges in Malaysia*. Bioresource Technology, 2010. **101**(13): p. 4834-4841.
7. Ali, R., I. Daut, and S. Taib, *A review on existing and future energy sources for electrical power generation in Malaysia*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2012. **16**(6): p. 4047-4055.
8. Tye, Y.Y., K.T. Lee, W.N.W. Abdullah, and C.P. Leh, *Second-generation bioethanol as a sustainable energy source in Malaysia transportation sector: Status, potential and future prospects*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2011. **15**(9): p. 4521-4536.
9. Negawo, A.T., A. Teshome, A. Kumar, J. Hanson, and C. Jones, *Opportunities for Napier Grass (Pennisetum purpureum) improvement Using Molecular Genetics*, 2017. **7**.

10. Hlavsová, A., A. Corsaro, H. Raclavská, D. Juchelková, H. Škrobánková, and J. Frydrych, *Syngas production from pyrolysis of nine composts obtained from nonhybrid and hybrid perennial grasses*. The Scientific World Journal, 2014.
11. De Conto, D., W. Silvestre, C. Baldasso, and M. Godinho, *Performance of rotary kiln reactor for the elephant grass pyrolysis*. Bioresource Technology, 2016. **218**: p. 153-160.
12. Khor, C.S. and G. Lalchand, *A review on sustainable power generation in Malaysia to 2030: Historical perspective, current assessment, and future strategies*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014. **29**: p. 952-960.
13. Tang, C.F. and E.C. Tan, *Exploring the nexus of electricity consumption, economic growth, energy prices and technology innovation in Malaysia*. Applied Energy, 2013. **104**: p. 297-305.
14. Ahmad, S. and R.M. Tahar, *Selection of renewable energy sources for sustainable development of electricity generation system using analytic hierarchy process: A case of Malaysia*. Renewable energy, 2014. **63**: p.458-466.
15. Gan, P.Y. and Z. Li, *An econometric study on long-term energy outlook and the implications of renewable energy utilization in Malaysia*. Energy Policy, 2008. **36**(2): p. 890-899.
16. Mekhilef, S., M. Barimani, A. Safari, and Z. Salam, *Malaysia's renewable energy policies and programs with green aspects*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014. **40**: p. 497-504.
17. Bujang, A., C. Bern, and T. Brumm, *Summary of energy demand and renewable energy policies in Malaysia*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2016. **53**: p. 1459-1467.
18. Lim, S. and L.K. Teong, *Recent trends, opportunities and challenges of biodiesel in Malaysia: an overview*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2010. **14**(3): p. 938-954.

19. Bong, C.P.C., W.S. Ho, H. Hashim, J.S. Lim, C.S. Ho, W.S.P. Tan, and C.T. Lee, *Review on the renewable energy and solid waste management policies towards biogas development in Malaysia*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2017. **70**: p. 988-998.
20. Petinrin, J. and M. Shaaban, *Renewable energy for continuous energy sustainability in Malaysia*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2015. **50**: p. 967-981.
21. Ahmad, S., M.Z.A. Ab Kadir, and S. Shafie, *Current perspective of the renewable energy development in Malaysia*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2011. **15**(2): p. 897-904.
22. Yeoh, B., *A technical and economic analysis of heat and power generation from biomethanation of palm oil mill effluent*. Electricity supply industry in transition: Issues and Prospect for Asia, 2004. **20**(14-16): p.63-78.
23. Ashnani, M.H.M., A. Johari, H. Hashim, and E. Hasani, *A source of renewable energy in Malaysia, why biodiesel?* Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014. **35**: p. 244-257.
24. Johari, A., S.I. Ahmed, H. Hashim, H. Alkali, and M. Ramli, *Economic and environmental benefits of landfill gas from municipal solid waste in Malaysia*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2012. **16**(5): p.2907-2912.
25. Mekhilef, S., R. Saidur, A. Safari, and W. Mustaffa, *Biomass energy in Malaysia: current state and prospects*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2011. **15**(7): p. 3360-3370.
26. Hussein, I. and N. Raman. *Reconnaissance studies of micro hydro potential in Malaysia*. in *Energy and Sustainable Development: Issues and Strategies (ESD), 2010 Proceedings of the International Conference on*. 2010. IEEE
27. Mohammed, M., A. Salmiaton, W.W. Azlina, M.M. Amran, and A. Fakhru'l-Razi, *Air gasification of empty fruit bunch for hydrogen-rich gas production in a fluidized-bed reactor*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2011. **52**(2): p. 1555-1561.

28. Najid, S.K., A. Zaharim, A.M. Razali, M.S. Zainol, K. Ibrahim, and K. Sopian, *Analyzing the east coast Malaysia wind speed data*. International Journal of Energy and Environment, 2009. **3**(2): p. 53-60.
29. Yu, X. and H. Qu, *Wind power in China—Opportunity goes with challenge*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2010. **14**(8): p. 2232-2237.
30. Kong, S.H., Loh S.K., R.T. Bachmann, S.A. Rahim, and J. Salimon, *Biochar from oil palm biomass: A review of its potential and challenges*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014. **39**: p. 729-739.
31. Yusoff, S., *Renewable energy from palm oil—innovation on effective utilization of waste*. Journal of cleaner production, 2006. **14**(1): p. 87-93.
32. Prasertsan, S. and P. Prasertsan, *Biomass residues from palm oil mills in Thailand: an overview on quantity and potential usage*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 1996. **11**(5): p. 387-395.
33. Mohamed, A., N. Zainudin, K. Lee, and A. Kamaruddin, *Reactivity of adsorbent prepared from oil palm ash for flue gas desulfurization: Effect of SO₂ concentration and reaction temperature*. Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, 2006: p. 449-452.
34. Zainudin, N.F., K.T. Lee, A.H. Kamaruddin, S. Bhatia, and A.R. Mohamed, *Study of adsorbent prepared from oil palm ash (OPA) for flue gas desulfurization*. Separation and Purification Technology, 2005. **45**(1): p. 50-60.
35. Saifuddin, M. and P. Kumaran, *Removal of heavy metal from industrial wastewater using chitosan coated oil palm shell charcoal*. Electronic journal of Biotechnology, 2005. **8**(1): p. 43-53.
36. Woodard, K. and G. Prine, *Dry matter accumulation of elephantgrass, energycane, and elephantmillet in a subtropical climate*. Crop science, 1993. **33**(4): p. 818-824.

37. Samson, R., S. Mani, R. Boddey, S. Sokhansanj, D. Quesada, S. Urquiaga, V. Reis, and C. Ho Lem. *The potential of C4 perennial grasses for developing a global BIOHEAT industry*. BPTS, 2005. **24**(5-6): p. 461-495.
38. Mohammed, I.Y., Y.A. Abakr, F.K. Kazi, S. Yusup, I. Alshareef, and S.A. Chin, *Comprehensive characterization of napier grass as a feedstock for thermochemical conversion*. *Energies*, 2015. **8**(5): p. 3403- 3417.
39. Perez-Boada, M., A. Prieto, P. Prinsen, M.-P. Forquin-Gomez, J.C. Del Rio, A. Gutierrez, A.T. Martinez, and C. B. Faulds, *Enzymatic degradation of Elephant grass (Pennisetum purpureum) stems: influence of the pith and bark in the total hydrolysis*. *Bioresource technology*, 2014. **167**: p. 3403-3417.
40. Yasuda, M., Y. Ishii, and K. Ohta, *Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum Schumach) as raw material for bioethanol production: Pretreatment, saccharification, and fermentation*. *Biotechnology and bioprocess engineering*, 2014. **19**(6): p. 943-950.
41. Jansen, R.A., *Second generation biofuels and biomass: essential guide for investors, scientists and decision makers*. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
42. Strezov, V., T.J. Evans, and C. Hayman, *Thermal conversion of elephant grass (Pennisetum Purpureum Schum) to bio-gas, bio-oil and charcoal*. *Bioresource technology*, 2008. **99**(17): p. 8394-8399.
43. Mohammed, I.Y., Y.A. Abakr, F.K. Kazi, S. Yusuf, I. Alshareef, and S.A. Chin, *Pyrolysis of Napier grass in a fixed bed reactor: effect of operating conditions on product yields and characteristics*. *BioResources*, 2015. **10**(4): p. 6457-6478.
44. M.K. Lee, W.T. Tsai, Y.L. Tsai, and S.H. Lin, *Pyrolysis of napier grass in an induction-heating reactor*. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 2010. **88**(2): p. 110-116.
45. W.T. Tsai and Y.L. Tsai, *Thermochemical characterization of napier grass as an energy source and its environmental and economic benefit analysis*. *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy*, 2016. **11**(2): p. 130-136.

46. Monti, A., N. Di Virgilio, and G. Venturi, *Mineral composition and ash content of six major energy crops*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2008. **32**(3): p. 216-223.
47. Llorente, M.F. and J.C. García, *Comparing methods for predicting the sintering of biomass ash in combustion*. Fuel, 2005. **84**(14): p. 1893-1900.
48. Ptasiński, K.J., *Thermodynamic efficiency of biomass gasification and biofuels conversion*. Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining, 2008. **2**(3): p. 239-253.
49. Demirbas, A., *Combustion characteristics of different biomass fuels*. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 2004. **30**(2): p. 219-230.
50. Basu, P., *Combustion and gasification in fluidized beds*. 2006: CRC press.
51. Feroso, J., B. Arias, M. Plaza, C. Pevida, F. Rubiera, J. Pis, F. García-Peña, and P. Casero, *High-pressure co-gasification of coal with biomass and petroleum coke*. Fuel Processing Technology, 2009. **90**(7): p. 926-932.
52. Sheth, P.N. and B. Babu, *Experimental studies on producer gas generation from wood waste in a downdraft biomass gasifier*. Bioresource Technology, 2009. **100**(12): p. 3127-3133.
53. Hosseini, M., I. Dincer, and M.A. Rosen, *Steam and air fed biomass gasification: comparisons based on energy and exergy*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2012. **37**(21): p. 16446-16452.
54. Demirbas, A. and G. Arin, *An overview of biomass pyrolysis*. Energy Sources, 2002. **24**(5): p. 471-482.
55. McKendry, P., *Energy production from biomass (part 2): conversion technologies*. Bioresource Technology, 2002. **83**(1): p. 47-54.
56. Karampinis, E., D. Vamvuka, S. Sfakiotakis, P. Grammelis, G. Itskos, and E. Kakaras, *Comparative study of combustion properties of five energy crops and Greek lignite*. Energy & Fuels, 2012. **26**(2): p. 869-878.

57. Ghani, W.A.W.A.K., A. Mohd, G. da Silva, R.T. Bachmann, Y.H. Taufiq-Yap, U. Rashid, and H. Ala'a, *Biochar production from waste rubber-wood-sawdust and its potential use in C sequestration: chemical and physical characterization*. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 2013. **44**: p. 18-24.
58. Wan Ab Karim Ghani, W., R.A. Moghadam, M. Salleh, and A. Alias, *Air gasification of agricultural waste in a fluidized bed gasifier: hydrogen production performance*. *Energies*, 2009. **2**(2): p. 258-268.
59. Khan, A., W. De Jong, P. Jansens, and H. Spliethoff, *Biomass combustion in fluidized bed boilers: potential problems and remedies*. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2009. **90**(1): p. 21-50.
60. Cuenca, J., J. Rodríguez, M. Martín-Morales, Z. Sánchez-Roldán, and M. Zamorano, *Effects of olive residue biomass fly ash as filler in self-compacting concrete*. *Construction and Building Materials*, 2013. **40**: p. 702-709.
61. Lahijani, P. and Z.A. Zainal, *Gasification of palm empty fruit bunch in a bubbling fluidized bed: a performance and agglomeration study*. *Bioresource Technology*, 2011. **102**(2): p. 2068-2076.
62. Ghani, W., A. Alias, R. Savory, and K. Cliffe, *Co-combustion of agricultural residues with coal in a fluidised bed combustor*. *Waste Management*, 2009. **29**(2): p. 767-773.
63. Das, B.K. and S. Hoque, *Assessment of the potential of biomass gasification for electricity generation in Bangladesh*. *Journal of Renewable Energy*, 2014. **2014**.
64. Demirbas, A., *Producing bio-oil from olive cake by fast pyrolysis*. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 2007. **30**(1): p. 38-44.
65. Bridgwater, A.V., *Renewable fuels and chemicals by thermal processing of biomass*. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2003. **91**(2): p. 87-102.
66. Arena, U., *Process and technological aspects of municipal solid waste gasification. A review*. *Waste Management*, 2012. **32**(4): p. 625-639.

67. Kivisaari, T., P. Björnbom, C. Sylwan, B. Jacquinot, D. Jansen, and A. de Groot, *The feasibility of a coal gasifier combined with a high-temperature fuel cell*. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2004. **100**(1): p. 167-180.
68. Baruah, D. and D. Baruah, *Modeling of biomass gasification: a review*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014. **39**: p. 806-815.
69. Duman, G., M.A. Uddin, and J. Yanik, *The effect of char properties on gasification reactivity*. Fuel Processing Technology, 2014. **118**: p. 75-81.
70. Ptasincki, K.J., M.J. Prins, and A. Pierik, *Exergetic evaluation of biomass gasification*. Energy, 2007. **32**(4): p. 568-574.
71. Cui, H. and J.R. Grace, *Fluidization of biomass particles: A review of experimental multiphase flow aspects*. Chemical Engineering Science, 2007. **62**(1): p. 45-55.
72. Gupta, C.K. and D. Sathiyamoorthy, *Fluid bed technology in materials processing*. 1998: CRC Press.
73. Kunii, D. and O. Levenspiel, *Fluidization engineering*. 2013: Elsevier.
74. Lim, K., J. Zhu, and J. Grace, *Hydrodynamics of gas-solid fluidization*. International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 1995. **21**: p. 141-193.
75. Crowe, C.T., *Multiphase flow handbook*. Vol. 59. 2005: CRC press.
76. Bi, H. and J.R. Grace, *Flow patterns in high-velocity fluidized beds and pneumatic conveying*. The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 1999. **77**(2): p. 223-230.
77. Bi, H. and J. Grace, *Flow regime diagrams for gas-solid fluidization and upward transport*. International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 1995. **21**(6): p. 1229-1236.
78. Arnaldos, J. and J. Casal, *Prediction of transition velocities and hydrodynamical regimes in fluidized beds*. Powder Technology, 1996. **86**(3): p. 285-298.

79. Geldart, D., *Types of gas fluidization*. Powder Technology, 1973. **7**(5): p. 285-292.
80. Kunii, D. and O. Levenspiel, *Circulating fluidized-bed reactors*. Chemical Engineering Science, 1997. **52**(15): p. 2471-2482.
81. Zhaolin, W. and L. Hongzhong, *A new criterion for prejudging the fluidization behavior of powders*. International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 1996. **22**(1001): p. 143-143.
82. Hilal, N., M. Ghannam, and M. Anabtawi, *Effect of bed diameter, distributor and inserts on minimum fluidization velocity*. Chemical Engineering and Technology, 2001. **24**(2): p. 161-166.
83. Saxena, S., A. Chatterjee, and R. Patel, *Effect of distributors on gas—solid fluidization*. Powder Technology, 1979. **22**(2): p. 191-198.
84. Aznar, M.P., F. Gracia-Gorria, and J. Corella, *Minimum and maximum velocities for fluidization for mixtures of agricultural and forest residues with a second fluidized solid. I. Preliminary data and results with sand- sawdust mixtures*. International Chemical Engineering, 1992. **32**(1): p. 95-102.
85. Wen, C. and Y. Yu, *A generalized method for predicting the minimum fluidization velocity*. AIChE Journal, 1966. **12**(3): p. 610-612.
86. Jiliang, M., C. Xiaoping, and L. Daoyin, *Minimum fluidization velocity of particles with wide size distribution at high temperatures*. Powder Technology, 2013. **235**: p. 271-278.
87. Bourgeois, P. and P. Grenier, *The ratio of terminal velocity to minimum fluidising velocity for spherical particles*. The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 1968. **46**(5): p. 325-328.
88. Saxena, S. and G. Vogel, *The measurement of incipient fluidization velocities in a bed of coarse dolomite at temperature and pressure*. Trans. Inst. Chem. Eng, 1977. **55**(3): p. 184-189.

89. Nakamura, M., Y. Hamada, S. Toyama, A. Fouda, and C. Capes, *An experimental investigation of minimum fluidization velocity at elevated temperatures and pressures*. The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 1985. **63**(1): p. 8-13.
90. Zheng, Z., R. Yamazaki, and G. Jimbo, *Minimum fluidization velocity of large particles at elevated-temperatures*. p. 115-117.
91. Doichev, K. and N. Akhmakov, *Fluidisation of polydisperse systems*. Chemical Engineering Science, 1979. **34**(11): p. 1357-1359.
92. Ryu, H.J., N.Y. Lim, D.H. Bae, and G.T. Jin, *Minimum fluidization velocity and transition velocity to fast fluidization of oxygen carrier particle for chemical-looping combustor*. Korean Institute of Chemical Engineers, 2003. **41**(5): p. 624-631.
93. Cen, K., M. Ni, and Z. Luo, *Circulating fluidized bed boilers design and operations*. 1998, China Electric Power Press, Beijing.
94. Liu, H., Z. Lin, and C. Chu, *Investigations on the cold state aerodynamic characteristics in a fluidized bed*. Journal of Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1983. **11**: p. 103-110.
95. Chen, Z., X. Chen, Y. Wu, and R.C. CHEN, *Study on minimum fluidization velocity at elevated temperature*. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2010. **30**: p. 21-25.
96. Wu, S. and J. Baeyens, *Effect of operating temperature on minimum fluidization velocity*. Powder Technology, 1991. **67**(2): p. 217-220.
97. Subramani, H.J., M.M. Balaiyya, and L.R. Miranda, *Minimum fluidization velocity at elevated temperatures for Geldart's group-B powders*. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 2007. **32**(1): p. 166-173.
98. Moilanen, A., M. Nasrullah, and E. Kurkela, *The effect of biomass feedstock type and process parameters on achieving the total carbon conversion in the large scale fluidized bed gasification of biomass*. Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy, 2009. **28**(3): p. 355-359.

99. Nemtsov, D. and A. Zabaniotou, *Mathematical modelling and simulation approaches of agricultural residues air gasification in a bubbling fluidized bed reactor*. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2008. **143**(1): p. 10-31.
100. Yassin, L., P. Lettieri, S.J. Simons, and A. Germanà, *Techno-economic performance of energy-from-waste fluidized bed combustion and gasification processes in the UK context*. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2009. **146**(3): p. 315-327.
101. Xiao, R., B. Jin, H. Zhou, Z. Zhong, and M. Zhang, *Air gasification of polypropylene plastic waste in fluidized bed gasifier*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2007. **48**(3): p. 778-786.
102. Kaewluan, S. and S. Pipatmanomai, *Potential of synthesis gas production from rubber wood chip gasification in a bubbling fluidised bed gasifier*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2011. **52**(1): p. 75-84.
103. Kim, Y.D., C.W. Yang, B.J. Kim, K.S. Kim, J.W. Lee, J.H. Moon, W. Yang, U.Y. Tae, and U. Do Lee, *Air-blown gasification of woody biomass in a bubbling fluidized bed gasifier*. Applied Energy, 2013. **112**: p. 414-420.
104. Guo, F., Y. Dong, T. Zhang, L. Dong, C. Guo, and Z. Rao, *Experimental study on herb residue gasification in an air-blown circulating fluidized bed gasifier*. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2014. **53**(34): p. 13264-13273.
105. Khan, Z., S. Yusup, M.M. Ahmad, and B.L.F. Chin, *Hydrogen production from palm kernel shell via integrated catalytic adsorption (ICA) steam gasification*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2014. **87**: p. 1224-1230.
106. Ruiz, J., M. Juárez, M. Morales, P. Muñoz, and M. Mendivil, *Biomass gasification for electricity generation: review of current technology barriers*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2013. **18**: p. 174-183.
107. Moghadam, R.A., S. Yusup, W. Azlina, S. Nehzati, and A. Tavasoli, *Investigation on syngas production via biomass conversion through the integration of pyrolysis and air-steam gasification processes*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2014. **87**: p. 670-675.

108. Xue, G., M. Kwapinska, A. Horvat, W. Kwapinski, L. Rabou, S. Dooley, K. Czajka, and J. Leahy, *Gasification of torrefied Miscanthus × giganteus in an air-blown bubbling fluidized bed gasifier*. *Bioresource Technology*, 2014. **159**: p. 397-403.
109. Aljbour, S.H. and K. Kawamoto, *Bench-scale gasification of cedar wood—Part I: Effect of operational conditions on product gas characteristics*. *Chemosphere*, 2013. **90**(4): p. 1495-1500.
110. Raheem, A., S. Sivasangar, W.W. Azlina, Y.T. Yap, M.K. Danquah, and R. Harun, *Thermogravimetric study of Chlorella vulgaris for syngas production*. *Algal Research*, 2015. **12**: p. 52-59.
111. Karmakar, M., J. Mandal, S. Haldar, and P. Chatterjee, *Investigation of fuel gas generation in a pilot scale fluidized bed autothermal gasifier using rice husk*. *Fuel*, 2013. **111**: p. 584-591.
112. Cho, M.H., T.Y. Mun, and J.S. Kim, *Air gasification of mixed plastic wastes using calcined dolomite and activated carbon in a two-stage gasifier to reduce tar*. *Energy*, 2013. **53**: p. 299-305.
113. Lucas, C., D. Szewczyk, W. Blasiak, and S. Mochida, *High-temperature air and steam gasification of densified biofuels*. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 2004. **27**(6): p. 563-575.
114. Narvaez, I., A. Orio, M.P. Aznar, and J. Corella, *Biomass gasification with air in an atmospheric bubbling fluidized bed. Effect of six operational variables on the quality of the produced raw gas*. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 1996. **35**(7): p. 2110-2120.
115. Kinoshita, C., Y. Wang, and J. Zhou, *Tar formation under different biomass gasification conditions*. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 1994. **29**(2): p. 169-181.
116. Hasler, P. and T. Nussbaumer, *Gas cleaning for IC engine applications from fixed bed biomass gasification*. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 1999. **16**(6): p. 385-395.
117. Wang, L., C.L. Weller, D.D. Jones, and M.A. Hanna, *Contemporary issues in thermal gasification of biomass and its application to electricity and fuel production*. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 2008. **32**(7): p. 573-581.

118. Ruoppoloa, G., F. Miccioa, P. Brachib, A. Picarellia, and R. Chironea, *Fluidized bed gasification of biomass and biomass/coal pellets in oxygen and steam atmosphere*. Chemical Engineering, 2013. **32**.
119. Umeki, K., K. Yamamoto, T. Namioka, and K. Yoshikawa, *High temperature steam-only gasification of woody biomass*. Applied Energy, 2010. **87**(3): p. 791-798.
120. Gabra, M., E. Pettersson, R. Backman, and B. Kjellström, *Evaluation of cyclone gasifier performance for gasification of sugar cane residue—Part I: gasification of bagasse*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2001. **21**(5): p. 351-369.
121. Zainal, Z., A. Rifau, G. Quadir, and K. Seetharamu, *Experimental investigation of a downdraft biomass gasifier*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2002. **23**(4): p. 283-289.
122. Rapagna, S., N. Jand, A. Kiennemann, and P. Foscolo, *Steam-gasification of biomass in a fluidised-bed of olivine particles*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2000. **19**(3): p. 187-197.
123. Gil, J., J. Corella, M.a.P. Aznar, and M.A. Caballero, *Biomass gasification in atmospheric and bubbling fluidized bed: effect of the type of gasifying agent on the product distribution*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 1999. **17**(5): p. 389-403.
124. Pletka, R., R. Brown, and J. Smeenk, *Indirectly heated biomass gasification using a latent heat ballast—1: experimental evaluations*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2001. **20**(4): p. 297-305.
125. Pletka, R., R. Brown, and J. Smeenk, *Indirectly heated biomass gasification using a latent heat ballast. Part 2: modeling*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2001. **20**(4): p. 307-315.
126. Cummer, K. and R.C. Brown, *Indirectly heated biomass gasification using a latent-heat ballast—Part 3: refinement of the heat transfer model*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2005. **28**(3): p. 321-330.
127. Devi, L., K.J. Ptasiniski, and F.J. Janssen, *A review of the primary measures for tar elimination in biomass gasification processes*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2003. **24**(2): p. 125-140.

128. Garcia, L., M. Salvador, J. Arauzo, and R. Bilbao, *CO₂ as a gasifying agent for gas production from pine sawdust at low temperatures using a Ni/Al coprecipitated catalyst*. Fuel Processing Technology, 2001. **69**(2): p. 157-174.
129. Ollero, P., A. Serrera, R. Arjona, and S. Alcantarilla, *The CO₂ gasification kinetics of olive residue*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2003. **24**(2): p. 151-161.
130. Ghassemi, H. and R. Shahsavan-Markadeh, *Effects of various operational parameters on biomass gasification process; a modified equilibrium model*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2014. **79**: p. 18-24.
131. Guo, F., Y. Dong, L. Dong, and C. Guo, *Effect of design and operating parameters on the gasification process of biomass in a downdraft fixed bed: An experimental study*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2014. **39**(11): p. 5625-5633.
132. Makwana, J., A.K. Joshi, G. Athawale, D. Singh, and P. Mohanty, *Air gasification of rice husk in bubbling fluidized bed reactor with bed heating by conventional charcoal*. Bioresource Technology, 2015. **178**: p. 45-52.
133. Asadullah, M., *Barriers of commercial power generation using biomass gasification gas: a review*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014. **29**: p. 201-215.
134. Dong, L., M. Asadullah, S. Zhang, X.S. Wang, H. Wu, and C.Z. Li, *An advanced biomass gasification technology with integrated catalytic hot gas cleaning: Part I. Technology and initial experimental results in a lab-scale facility*. Fuel, 2013. **108**: p. 409-416.
135. Altafini, C.R., P.R. Wander, and R.M. Barreto, *Prediction of the working parameters of a wood waste gasifier through an equilibrium model*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2003. **44**(17): p. 2763-2777.
136. Ng, R.T., D.H. Tay, W.A.W.A.K. Ghani, and D.K. Ng, *Modelling and optimisation of biomass fluidised bed gasifier*. Applied Thermal Engineering, 2013. **61**(1): p. 98-105.

137. Barman, N.S., S. Ghosh, and S. De, *Gasification of biomass in a fixed bed downdraft gasifier—A realistic model including tar*. *Bioresource Technology*, 2012. **107**: p. 505-511.
138. Huang, H.J. and S. Ramaswamy, *Modeling biomass gasification using thermodynamic equilibrium approach*. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 2009. **154**(1-3): p. 14-25.
139. Jarungthammachote, S. and A. Dutta, *Thermodynamic equilibrium model and second law analysis of a downdraft waste gasifier*. *Energy*, 2007. **32**(9): p. 1660-1669.
140. Li, X., J. Grace, A. Watkinson, C. Lim, and A. Ergüdenler, *Equilibrium modeling of gasification: a free energy minimization approach and its application to a circulating fluidized bed coal gasifier*. *Fuel*, 2001. **80**(2): p. 195-207.
141. Ng, R., D. Ng, D. Tay, and W. Ghani, *Modelling and optimisation of gasification for palm kernel shell (PKS) in a fluidized bed gasifier*. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 2012. **29**: p. 1123-1128.
142. Ghani, W.A.W.A.K., R.A. Moghadam, and M.A.M. Salleh, *Air gasification of Malaysia agricultural waste in a fluidized bed gasifier: hydrogen production performance*, in *Sustainable Growth and Applications in Renewable Energy Sources*. 2011, InTech.
143. Kitzler, H., C. Pfeifer, and H. Hofbauer, *Pressurized gasification of woody biomass—variation of parameter*. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2011. **92**(5): p. 908-914.
144. Htut, Y.M., M.M. Khine, and M.M. Win, *Using a simple modeling and simulation scheme for complicated gasification system*. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 2015.
145. Ng, R., D. Ng, D. Tay, and W. Ghani, *Modelling and optimization of gasification for palm kernel shell (PKS) in a fluidised bed gasifier*. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 2012. **29**: p. 1123-1128.
146. Mendiburu, A.Z., J.A. Carvalho, and C.J. Coronado, *Thermochemical equilibrium modeling of biomass downdraft gasifier: Stoichiometric models*. *Energy*, 2014. **66**: p. 189-201.

147. Omar, R., A. Idris, R. Yunus, K. Khalid, and M. A. Isma, *Characterization of empty fruit bunch for microwave-assisted pyrolysis*. *Fuel*, **2011**. 90(4): p. 1536-1544.
148. Mohammed, M., A. Salmiaton, W.W. Azlina, and M.M. Amran, *Gasification of oil palm empty fruit bunches: A characterization and kinetic study*. *Bioresource Technology*, 2012. **110**: p. 628-636.
149. Cao, Y., Y. Wang, J.T. Riley, and W.-P. Pan, *A novel biomass air gasification process for producing tar-free higher heating value fuel gas*. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2006. **87**(4): p. 343-353.
150. Fotovat, F., R. Ansart, M. Hemati, O. Simonin, and J. Chaouki, *Sand-assisted fluidization of large cylindrical and spherical biomass particles: Experiments and simulation*. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2015. **126**: p. 543-559.
151. Sharma, A.M., A. Kumar, K.N. Patil, and R.L. Huhnke, *Fluidization characteristics of a mixture of gasifier solid residues, switchgrass and inert material*. *Powder Technology*, 2013. **235**: p. 661-668.
152. Grace, J.R., *Contacting modes and behaviour classification of gas—solid and other two-phase suspensions*. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 1986. **64**(3): p. 353-363.
153. Haider, A. and O. Levenspiel, *Drag coefficient and terminal velocity of spherical and nonspherical particles*. *Powder Technology*, 1989. **58**(1): p. 63-70.
154. Abba, I., J. Grace, H. Bi, and M. Thompson, *Spanning the flow regimes: Generic fluidized-bed reactor model*. *AIChE Journal*, 2003. **49**(7): p. 1838-1848.
155. Xiao, R., M. Zhang, B. Jin, Y. Huang, and H. Zhou, *High-temperature air/steam-blown gasification of coal in a pressurized spout-fluid bed*. *Energy & Fuels*, 2006. **20**(2): p. 715-720.
156. Hernández, J.J., G. Aranda-Almansa, and A. Bula, *Gasification of biomass wastes in an entrained flow gasifier: effect of the particle size and the residence time*. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2010. **91**(6): p. 681-692.

157. Goyal, H., D. Seal, and R. Saxena, *Bio-fuels from thermochemical conversion of renewable resources: a review*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2008. **12**(2): p. 504-517.
158. Li, X., J. Grace, C. Lim, A. Watkinson, H. Chen, and J. Kim, *Biomass gasification in a circulating fluidized bed*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2004. **26**(2): p. 171-193.
159. Baron, R.E., J.H. Porter, and O.H. Hammond, *Chemical equilibria in carbon-hydrogen-oxygen systems*. 1976: Mit Press.
160. Fermoso, J., B. Arias, M.V. Gil, M.G. Plaza, C. Pevida, J.J. Pis, and F. Rubiera, *Co-gasification of different rank coals with biomass and petroleum coke in a high-pressure reactor for H₂-rich gas production*. Bioresource Technology, 2010. **101**(9): p. 3230-3235.
161. Shen, D., S. Gu, K. Luo, A.V. Bridgwater, and M. Fang, *Kinetic study on thermal decomposition of woods in oxidative environment*. Fuel, 2009. **88**(6): p. 1024-1030.
162. Quan, C., A. Li, and N. Gao, *Thermogravimetric analysis and kinetic study on large particles of printed circuit board wastes*. Waste Management, 2009. **29**(8): p. 2353-2360.
163. McKendry, P., *Energy production from biomass (part 1): Overview of biomass*. Bioresource Technology, 2002. **83**(1): p. 37-46.
164. Mohammed, M., A. Salmiaton, W. W. Azlina, M. M. Amran, A. Fakhru-Razi, and Y. Taufiq-Yap, *Hydrogen rich gas from oil palm biomass as a potential source of renewable energy in Malaysia*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviewa, 2011. **15**(2): p. 1258-1270.
165. Vamvuka, D., S. Sfakiotakis, E. Pazara, and K. Panopoulos, *Kinetic modeling of five sustainable energy crops as potential sources of bioenergy*. Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects, 2016. **38**(12): p. 1812-1818.
166. Phitsuwan, P., K. Sakka, and K. Ratanakhanokchai, *Structural changes and enzymatic response of Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum) stem induced by alkaline pretreatment*. Bioresource technology, 2016. **218**: p. 247-256.

167. Lapuerta, M.n., J.J. Hernández, and J.n. Rodríguez, *Kinetics of devolatilisation of forestry wastes from thermogravimetric analysis*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2004. **27**(4): p. 385-391.
168. Gao, W., K. Chen, Z. Xiang, F. Yang, J. Zeng, J. Li, R. Yang, G. Rao, and H. Tao, *Kinetic study on pyrolysis of tobacco residues from the cigarette industry*. Industrial Crops and Products, 2013. **44**: p. 152-157.
169. Sharma, R.K., J.B. Wooten, V.L. Baliga, X. Lin, W.G. Chan, and M.R. Hajaligol, *Characterization of chars from pyrolysis of lignin*. Fuel, 2004. **83**(11): p. 1469-1482.
170. Li, Z., W. Zhao, B. Meng, C. Liu, Q. Zhu, and G. Zhao, *Kinetic study of corn straw pyrolysis: comparison of two different three-pseudocomponent models*. Bioresource Technology, 2008. **99**(16): p. 7616-7622.
171. Sanchez, C.G. and E.S. Lora, *Biomass fluidized bed gasification research in the State University of Campinas*. Energy for Sustainable Development, 1994. **1**(4): p. 31-34.
172. Skoulou, V., G. Koufodimos, Z. Samaras, and A. Zabaniotou, *Low temperature gasification of olive kernels in a 5-kW fluidized bed reactor for H₂-rich producer gas*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2008. **33**(22): p. 6515-6524.
173. Kuo, J.H., C.L. Lin, and M.Y. Wey, *Effect of agglomeration/defluidization on hydrogen generation during fluidized bed air gasification of modified biomass*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2012. **37**(2): p. 1409-1417.
174. Karatas, H., H. Olgun, B. Engin, and F. Akgun, *Experimental results of gasification of waste tire with air in a bubbling fluidized bed gasifier*. Fuel, 2013. **105**: p. 566-571.
175. Gai, C. and Y. Dong, *Experimental study on non-woody biomass gasification in a downdraft gasifier*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2012. **37**(6): p. 4935-4944.
176. Salleh, M., N.H. Kisi, H. Yusuf, and W. Ab Karim Ghani, *Gasification of biochar from empty fruit bunch in a fluidized bed reactor*. Energies, 2010. **3**(7): p. 1344-1352.

177. Franco, C., F. Pinto, I. Gulyurtlu, and I. Cabrita, *The study of reactions influencing the biomass steam gasification process*. Fuel, 2003. **82**(7): p. 835-842.
178. Alauddin, Z.A.B.Z., P. Lahijani, M. Mohammadi, and A.R. Mohamed, *Gasification of lignocellulosic biomass in fluidized beds for renewable energy development: A review*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2010. **14**(9): p. 2852-2862.
179. Chen, W., K. Annamalai, R.J. Ansley, and M. Mirik, *Updraft fixed bed gasification of mesquite and juniper wood samples*. Energy, 2012. **41**(1): p. 454-461.
180. Pinto, F., C. Franco, R.N. Andre, C. Tavares, M. Dias, I. Gulyurtlu, and I. Cabrita, *Effect of experimental conditions on co-gasification of coal, biomass and plastics wastes with air/steam mixtures in a fluidized bed system*. Fuel, 2003. **82**(15): p. 1967-1976.
181. Karatas, H., H. Olgun, and F. Akgun, *Experimental results of gasification of cotton stalk and hazelnut shell in a bubbling fluidized bed gasifier under air and steam atmospheres*. Fuel, 2013. **112**: p. 494-501.
182. Wu, C.-z., X.-l. Yin, L.-l. Ma, Z.-q. Zhou, and H.-p. Chen, *Operational characteristics of a 1.2-MW biomass gasification and power generation plant*. Biotechnology Advances, 2009. **27**(5): p. 588-592.
183. Lv, P., Z. Xiong, J. Chang, C. Wu, Y. Chen, and J. Zhu, *An experimental study on biomass air-steam gasification in a fluidized bed*. Bioresource Technology, 2004. **95**(1): p. 95-101.
184. Obernberger, I. and G. Thek, *Physical characterisation and chemical composition of densified biomass fuels with regard to their combustion behaviour*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2004. **27**(6): p. 653-669.
185. Mahishi, M.R. and D. Goswami, *An experimental study of hydrogen production by gasification of biomass in the presence of a CO₂ sorbent*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2007. **32**(14): p. 2803-2808.
186. Sun, L. and K. Gong, *Silicon-based materials from rice husks and their applications*. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2001. **40**(25): p. 5861-5877.

187. Arvelakis, S., H. Gehrmann, M. Beckmann, and E.G. Koukios, *Preliminary results on the ash behavior of peach stones during fluidized bed gasification: evaluation of fractionation and leaching as pre-treatments*. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2005. **28**(3): p. 331-338.
188. Mohammad, I., Y. Abakr, F. Kabir, S. Yusuf, I. Alshareef, and S. Chin, *Pyrolysis of Napier grass in a fixed bed reactor: effect of operating conditions on product yields and characteristics*. Bioresources, 2015. **10**(4): p. 6457-6478.
189. Behainne, J.J. R. and J. D. Martinez, *Performance analysis of an air-blown pilot fluidized bed gasifier for rice husk*. Energy for Sustainable Development, 2014. **18**: p. 75-82.
190. Howaniec, N. and A. Smoliński, *Effect of fuel blend composition on the efficiency of hydrogen-rich gas production in co-gasification of coal and biomass*. Fuel, 2014. **128**: p. 442-450.
191. Kerkkaiwan, S., C. Fushimi, A. Tsutsumi, and P. Kuchonthara, *Synergetic effect during co-pyrolysis/gasification of biomass and sub-bituminous coal*. Fuel Processing Technology, 2013. **115**: p. 11-18.
192. Lapuerta, M., J.J. Hernández, A. Pazo, and J. López, *Gasification and co-gasification of biomass wastes: effect of the biomass origin and the gasifier operating conditions*. Fuel Processing Technology, 2008. **89**(9): p. 828-837.
193. Lim, C. H., I. Y. Mohammed, Y. A. Abakr, F. K. Krazi, S. Yusup, and H. L. Lam, *Element characteristic tolerance for semi-batch fixed bed biomass pyrolysis*. Chemical Engineering, 2015 (**45**).
194. Quaak, P., H. Knoef, and H.E. Stassen, *Energy from biomass: A review of combustion and gasification technologies*. Vol. 23. 1999: World Bank Publications.
195. Bassam, N.E. and P. Maegaard, *Integrated Renewable Energy for Rural Communities: Planning Guidelines, Technologies, and Applications*. 2004: Elsevier.
196. Pan, Y., E. Velo, X. Roca, J. Manyà, and L. Puigjaner, *Fluidized-bed co-gasification of residual biomass/poor coal blends for fuel gas production*. Fuel, 2000. **79**(11): p. 1317-1326.

197. Gau, C.-Y. and L.E. Schrage, *Implementation and testing of a branch-and-bound based method for deterministic global optimization: operations research applications*, in *Frontiers in global optimization*. 2004, Springer. p. 145-164.



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Tan, H. B., Ghani, W. A. W. A. K., Hussain, S. A., Ng, D. K. S, *Feasibility study of napier grass and oil palm frond blend pellets for syngas production*, Chemical Engineering Transaction, 2017. **56**, 1669-1674

Tan, H. B., Ghani, W. A. W. A. K., Hussain, S. A., Ng, D. K. S, *Thermogravimetric study of napier grass in inert and oxidative atmospheres conditions*. Journal of Physical Science, **28** (1), 155-169.

