

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DEVELOPMENT OF PRINTER NOZZLE FOR EXTRUDING SYNTHETIC BIOMATERIALS USING FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PROCESS

NOR AIMAN SUKINDAR

FK 2018 35



DEVELOPMENT OF PRINTER NOZZLE FOR EXTRUDING SYNTHETIC BIOMATERIALS USING FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PROCESS



By

NOR AIMAN BIN SUKINDAR

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

January 2018

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

5



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved wife, Nor Azira Binti Mohd Latif, and my parents, Norisah Binti Taib and Sukindar bin Kadiran.

Thank you for your love, patience and support during my journey to achieve this dream together with me



G

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF PRINTER NOZZLE FOR EXTRUDING SYNTHETIC BIOMATERIALS USING FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PROCESS

By

NOR AIMAN BIN SUKINDAR

January 2018

Chairman Faculty

•

Mohd Khairol Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin, PhD Engineering

This research focuses on the development of nozzle specifically for opensource 3D printing for extrusion of synthetic biomaterials. The factors that affect the stability, consistency and accuracy of the extrusion process were investigated by using finite element analysis (FEA) including nozzle die angle, nozzle diameter and liquefier design. From the simulations, it is seen that the die angle and nozzle diameter affect the pressure drop along the liquefier. The pressure drop variation has affected the road width of the printed parts, thus affecting the guality of the finished product. Based on the simulations, the polylactic convergent angle for extruding acid (PLA) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) materials was found in this research at 130° which provides stability and consistency of the extrusion process. For efficient printing process, nozzle diameter of 0.3 mm was found to be the optimum with respect to pressure drop and printing time. The liquefier design plays an important role in maintaining the liquefier chamber's temperature as constant as possible. The temperature variation has caused the changes in viscosity of the material, thus affecting the quality of the finished parts. Liquefier in cylindrical shape has been identified as the solution in minimizing the problems as it has been proven from the simulations that portray improved temperature distribution. The newly developed nozzle was compared with the original nozzle with respect to dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties and shows that the newly developed nozzle had a better performance in both criteria. By solving the issues related stability, consistency and accuracy of the extrusion process, the scaffold structure was successfully fabricated with compressive strength between 6 MPa to 7 MPa and porosities between 50% and 70% which is the range for trabecular bone. Furthermore, humerus bones was successfully fabricated with controlled porosity.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBANGUNAN NOZEL PENCETAK 3D DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN FABRIKASI FILAMEN BAGI EXTRUSI BAHAN BIO SINTETIK

Oleh

NOR AIMAN BIN SUKINDAR

Januari 2018

Pengerusi Fakulti

•

Mohd Khairol Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin, PhD Kejuruteraan

Kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada pembangunan nozel khusus untuk perisian terbuka pencetak 3D bagi extrusi bahan biosintetik. Faktor yang memberi kesan kepada kesetabilan, ketekalan dan ketepatan proses extrusi telah dikaji dengan menggunakan analisis unsur terhingga (FEA) seperti sudut nozel, diameter nozel dan reka bentuk pencair. Dari simulasi, ia menunjukkan bahawa sudut nozel dan diameter nozel mempengaruhi tekanan sepaniang ruang pencair. Keperluan mengkaji tekanan dengan teliti adalah penting kerana apabila tekanan berubah-rubah, lapisan-lapisan yang dicetak juga berbeza-beza dan dengan itu menjejaskan kualiti produk. Berdasarkan simulasi, sudut penumpuan yang sesuai untuk extrusi bahan polylactic acid (PLA) dan polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) dalam kajian ini adalah 130° darjah. Untuk proses pencetakan yang lebih efisyen, 0.3 mm diameter nozel didapati adalah yang paling optimum dari segi tekanan dan masa pencetakan. Reka bentuk pencair memainkan peranan penting dalam menjaga suhu di dalam ruang pencair. Kelikatan bahan akan berubah apabila suhu berubah. Keadaan ini akan memberi kesan kepada kualiti produk yang dihasilkan. Dengan menggunakan bentuk pencair silinder, masalah ini boleh dikurangkan dan hasil dari simulasi, taburan suhu adalah lebih baik. Nozel baru telah dibandingkan dengan nozel asal dan keputusan menunjukkan bahawa nozel baru memberikan ketepatan dimensi dan sifat-sifat mekanikal yang lebih baik kepada produk yang dihasilkan. Dengan menyelesaikan isu-isu yang berkaitan kesetabilan, ketekalan dan ketepatan dalam proses extrusi, struktur perancah berjaya difabrikasikan dengan tekanan mampatan antara 6 MPa hingga 7 MPa dan keliangan antara 50% sehingga 70%. Selain itu juga, tulang humerus telah berjaya difabrikasi dengan keliangan yang terkawal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful.

Alhamdulillah, all praises be to Allah for giving His blessing in completing this thesis. I would like to express my appreciation to my supervisor Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Khairol Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin from the Faculty of Engineering for the passionate participation, input and immense knowledge. His guidance has helped me in finding the right direction for completing this thesis. I would also like to thank the experts and the members of the Supervisory Committee including Associate Professor Ir. Dr. B.T. Hang Tuah Bin Baharudin, Dr. Che Nor Aiza Binti Jaafar and Dr. Mohd Idris Shah Bin Ismail, who have given me brilliant comments and ideas for the improvement of this thesis. Also, I would like to thanks to my beloved wife, Nor Azira Binti Mohd Latif, who has supported by giving encouragement, motivation and strength to complete this thesis. Last, but not the least, I would like to thank to my mother Norisah Binti Taib, my father Sukindar Bin Kadiran and family for giving full support and motivation directly and indirectly for this research.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Khairol Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin, PhD

Professor Ir Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

B.T. Hang Tuah Bin Baharudin, PhD

Associate Professor Ir Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Che Nor Aiza Binti Jaafar, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mohd Idris Shah Bin Ismail, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

> **ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD** Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:
Name and Matric No:	Nor Aiman Bin Sukindar, GS42749

vi

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted, and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:	
Name of Chairman of	Defenses In Dr. Mahd Khaird Anuas D. Mahd Ariffin
Supervisory Committee:	Professor Ir. Dr. Mond Khairol Anuar B. Mond Ariffin
Signature:	
Name of Member of	
Supervisory Committee:	Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. B.T. Hang Tuah Bin Baharudin
Signature:	
Name of Member of	De Che Nez Aire Diski Jacker
Supervisory Committee:	Dr. Che Nor Alza Binti Jaatar
Signature:	
Name of Member of	
Supervisory Committee:	Dr. Mohd Idris Shah Bin Ismail

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	iv
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix

CHAPTER

1	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1	Background of Study	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	2
	1.3	Research Objectives	4
	1.4	Significance of Research	4
	1.5	Scope of the Research	4
	<mark>1.6</mark>	Organisation of the Thesis	5
2	LITER	ATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1	Introduction	6
	2.2	Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM)	7
	2.3	Overview the Design of	9
		Extrusion-based Systems	-
		2.3.1 Liquefier Dynamics	9
		2.3.2 Effect of Nozzle Die Angle and	12
		Diameter	
	2.4	Research on Fused Deposition Modeling	14
		(FDM) Technology	
		2.4.1 Analysis on Mechanical Properties	14
		using Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Technology	
		2.4.2 Analysis on Dimensional Accuracy	17
		using Fused Deposition Modeling	
		(FDM) Technology	
	2.5	FDM Applications in Medical Field	22
	2.6	Synthetic Biomaterials Used in Fused	25
		Deposition Modeling (FDM) Technology	
		2.6.1 Polylactic acid (PLA)	26
		2.6.2 Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA)	27
	2.7	Open-source 3D Printer	28
	2.8	Summary	30

3	METHO	METHODOLOGY		
	3.1	Introduction	31	
	3.2	Analysis of Factors Affecting Extrusion	33	
		Process of 3D Printing		
		3.2.1 Nozzle Die Angle and Nozzle	37	
		Diameter		
		322 Liquefier Design	42	
	33	Nozzle Design Selection	14	
	3.0	Analysis of printing process parameters	46	
	5.4	Analysis of printing process parameters	40	
		3.4.1 Factors Affecting Machanical	47	
		3.4.2 Factors Allecting Mechanical Properties	48	
	35	Performance Comparison of Original Nozzle	50	
	0.0	with Newly Developed Nozzle	00	
		3.5.1 Dimensional Accuracy	51	
		2.5.2 Mechanical Properties	52	
	0.0	3.5.2 Mechanical Properties	52	
	3.0	Scattoid Fabrication	54	
		3.6.1 Sample preparation	54	
		3.6.2 Porosity	55	
		3.6.3 Compressive Test	56	
4	RESUL	TS AND DISCUSSION	57	
	4 1	Summary	57	
	4 2	Analysis of Factors Affecting the Extrusion	57	
		Process	01	
		1.2.1 Nozzle Die Angle	58	
		4.2.2 Nozzle Die Angle	50 65	
	10	4.2.2 Nozzle Diameter	05	
	4.3	Nozzie Design Selection	75	
	4.4	Analysis of Printing Process Parameters	76	
		4.4.1 Effect of Process Parameters on	76	
		Dimensional Accuracy		
		4.4.2 Effect of Process Parameters on	79	
		Mechanical Properties		
	4.5	Comparison on the Performance of Original	83	
		Nozzle and Newly Developed Nozzle		
		4.5.1 Dimensional Accuracy	85	
		4.5.2 Mechanical Properties	89	
	4.6	Scaffold fabrication	99	
		4.6.1 Porosity	99	
		4.6.2 Mechanical Properties	103	
E	CONC	USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	100	
5			108	
			100	
	5.1		108	
	5.2	Recommendations	109	
REFFRF	NCES		110	
			117	
BIODAT		т	107	
		NS	120	
	OBLICATIO		120	

5

C

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Physical properties of PLA material (Sourced from	26
2.2	(MakettFrom.com, 2016)) Physical properties of PMMA material (Sourced	27
2.3	from (Koleva, 2005)) Comparison of version I "Darwin" and version II "Mandel" (Source from (Jones	29
3.1	et al., 2011)) Properties of PLA material	38
3.2	The selection criteria for the nozzle design	46
3.3	Fixed parameters used throughout the experiment	47
3.4	Five parameters varied for measuring surface roughness	48
3.5	Variable used in the experiment	49
3.6	Type of features for dimensional accuracy measurements	52
3.7	Overall dimensions of a test specimen	52
3.8	Fixed process parameters in the study	53
3.9	Samples fabrication	55
4.1	The effect of nozzle die angle on pressure drop	58
4.2	Pressure drop results for varying the die angle	64
4.3	Comparison of properties between PLA and PMMA materials (Sourced from (MakeltFrom.com, 2016))	65
4.4	Effect of the pressure drop on die angle	65
4.5	Comparison between theoretical and experimental on extrusion time	67
4.6	The composition for both material	75
4.7	Pugh method for the nozzle design selection	76

4.8	Results of analysis of variance	77
4.9	S/N ratio values for the experiment by factor level	79
4.10	Experimental results from tensile test	80
4.11	ANOVA analysis	80
4.12	Comparison on the dimensional accuracy for height, width and thickness	87
4.13	Comparison on the roundness accuracy reading for nozzle 1 and nozzle 2	87
4.14	Porosities for samples in Experiment I	99
4.15	Porosities for samples in Experiment II	99

 \bigcirc

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Р	ade
2.1	Schematic of FDM extrusion-based system	- -5 -
2.2	Typical low-cost FDM machine	8
2.3	Extruder elements	9
2.4	Liquefier region (Sourced from (Bellini et al., 2004))	10
2.5	The convergent angle (Sourced from (Liang and Ness, 1997))	12
2.6	Process parameters in FDM machine	15
2.7	Characteristics that influence the surface finish	17
2.8	Die swelling phenomena (Sourced from (Turner and Gold, 2015))	18
2.9	Error of circular nozzle (Sourced from (Brooks et al., 2011))	19
2.10	The resolution of the printed geometry from the .stl format	19
2.11	Warpage phenomena on the printing parts	20
2.12	Proposed parts for accuracy measurements (Sourced from (Mahesh et al., 2004))	21
2.13	Different core configuration (a) Circular shape (b) Square shape (Sourced from Ramakrishna Vasireddi, 2015)	23
2.14	Scaffold structure (a) Plan view 0/90 pattern (b)Plan view 0/60/120 pattern	23
2.15	Layer orientation of scaffold design	24
2.16	Model of femur bone	25
3.1	Flow chart of overall research	32
3.2	Design of the 3D printing machine (a) Front view; (b) Side view; (c) Top view; (d) Isometric view.	34
3.3	Design components of 3D printing	35

 \mathbf{G}

3.4	A new open-source 3D printing (a) Front view; (b) Side view; (c) Isometric view	36
3.5	Liquefier components: (a) Original liquefier; (b) Liquefier using CAD software; design (c) visualization from the inside of the liquefier; (d) Liquefier geometry for the simulation	37
3.6	Selected boundary conditions for the simulation	39
3.7	Tetrahedron shape with ten nodes	40
3.8	Meshing process for FEA simulation	41
3.9	Meshing process using tetrahedral method	41
3.10	A 1000-iterations for the simulation	41
3.11	Front view of the original and proposed designs for simulation (a) Original design (D1); (b) Cylindrical design (D2); (c) Hexagonal design (D3); (d) Rectangular design (triangle shape) (D4)	42
3.12	Meshing process for all the original and proposed designs (a) D1; (b) D2; (c) D3; (d) D4	43
3.13	Boundary conditions used in the simulation	44
3.14	Design specimen used for surface-roughness test	47
3.15	Perthometer S2 PGK surface analyzer	48
3.16	Tensile test design specimen	49
3.17	Variables used in the experiment	49
3.18	Sample ready to be tested	50
3.19	Part model design	51
3.20	Specimens for tensile and compressive test	52
3.21	Process parameters in the study	53
3.22	(a) Tensile test machine; (b) Compressive test machine	54
4.1	Plot of pressure drop versus nozzle angle	58
4.2	Convergent flow through the outlet nozzle (Sourced from Liang and Ness, 1997).	59

4.3	Graph ΔP versus L/d (Sourced from Liang and Ness, 1997)	60
4.4	Convergent angle of PLA material	61
4.5	75º convergent angle (Sourced from (Liang and Ness, 1997))	62
4.6	Convergent region ($2\theta^{\circ}$) (Sourced from (Liang and Ness, 1997))	62
4.7	Convergent angle at 130°	63
4.8	Convergent angle for PMMA material	64
4.9	Effect on the pressure drop with varying diameter	65
4.10	Error of circular nozzle (Sourced from (Brooks et al., 2011))	66
4.11	Printed sample with different volumes for measuring the extrusion time	67
4.12	Comparison of time taken with different nozzle diameters to extrude square parts	68
4.13	Highest and lowest pressure drop region	69
4.14	Simulated Temperature distribution on the liquefier design (a) Original design (D1); (b) cylindrical design (D2); (c) Hexagonal design (D3); (d) Rectangular design (triangle shape) (D4).	70
4.15	Simulated temperature at the nozzle tip	71
4.16	Liquefier region (Sourced from Bellini et al., 2004)	71
4.17	Comparison of heating rates for all designs (a) Original design (D1); (b) cylindrical design (D2); (c) Hexagonal design (D3); (d) Rectangular design (triangle shape) (D4)	73
4.18	Simulated minimum temperature for D2 design	74
4.19	EDX analysis for the original liquefier	74
4.20	EDX analysis for the new liquefier	75
4.21	Samples made with raster angle (from left to right) 45° , 70°, and 90°	77
4.22	Main Effects Plot (A) Mean as a function of layer	78

	thickness; (B) Mean as a function of raster angle; (C) Mean as a function of percentage infill; (D) Mean as a function of extruder temperature; (E) Mean as a function of printing speed	
4.23	Finished parts made at different temperatures (a) Side view; (b) Top view; (c) Overdeposited; (d) Inconsistent layer	78
4.24	All nine printed parts for tensile test	79
4.25	Major effects plot for shell thickness	81
4.26	Scanning electron microscopy image of 1.2 mm shell thickness	81
4.27	Interaction plot of layer thickness	82
4.28	Interaction plot of shell thickness and printing speed	82
4.29	Some defects due to high printing speed (a) Undeposited layer thickness; (b) Inconsistent road width; (c) Overdeposited	83
4.30	Original nozzle (nozzle 1)	84
4.31	The new design of the nozzle	85
4.32	The new nozzle installed in the 3D printer machine	85
4.33	Printed part using both nozzles (a) original nozzle; (b) new nozzle	86
4.34	Comparison on the printed parts using both nozzles (i) & (iii): Nozzle 2; (ii) & (iv): Nozzle 1	89
4.35	Ten printed samples using nozzle 1 (top) and nozzle 2 (bottom)	90
4.36	Tensile test results of specimens printed from nozzle 1 and nozzle 2 (a) Specimen 1; (b) Specimen 2; (c) Specimen 3; (d) Specimen 4; (e) Specimen 5	92
4.37	Comparison samples for average tensile stress for specimens from nozzle 1 and nozzle 2	92
4.38	Different size of road widths printed by nozzle 1	93
4.39	Inconsistency on each layer of printed parts (a) Side view of the specimen; (b) View of every layer	93

4.40	Consistency of layers of printed parts (a) Side view of the specimen; (b) View of every layer	94
4.41	Samples printed for compression test (a) Nozzle 1; (b) Nozzle 2	94
4.42	Compression test results from both Nozzle 1 and Nozzle 2 (a) Specimen 1; (b) Specimen 2; (c) Specimen 3; (d) Specimen 4; (e) Specimen 5	96
4.43	Comparison of compressive stress for each sample specimen and its average	96
4.44	Layer structure after compression printed by nozzle 1	97
4.45	Fine layer structure after compression printed by using nozzle 2	97
4.46	Fine bonding of each layer printed by using nozzle 2	98
4.47	(a) Inconsistent extruder temperature (nozzle 1); (b) Consistent extruder temperature (nozzle 2)	98
4.48	Percentage of porosity in each sample from Experiment I	100
4.49	Percentage of porosity in each sample from Experiment II	100
4.50	Scaffold structure for PLA material in grid type of infill 10% of infill; (b) 20% of infill; (c) 30% of infill; (d) 40% of infill; (e) 50% of infill	101
4.51	Scaffold structure for PMMA material in line type of infill (a) 10% of infill; (b) 20% of infill; (c) 30% of infill; (d) 40% of infill; (e) 50% of infill	102
4.52	The scaffold structure for line type for PLA and PMMA (x 20 magnification) (a) Top view of PLA (b) Side view of PLA (c) Top view of PMMA (d) Side view of PMMA	103
4.53	Compressive stress comparison for Experiment I	104
4.54	Compressive stress comparison for Experiment II	105
4.55	Comparison of scaffold structure (a) Dense structure (b) Less dense structure	105
4.56	Printing process using PMMA material with controlled porosities with ratio 1:5	106
4.57	Fabrication of the porous bone model using PLA material	106

4.58	Fabrication of the porous bone model using PMMA material	
4.59	Fabrication of humerus bone using PMMA material	107



 \bigcirc

LIST OF APPENDICES

Append	lix	Page
А	Expensive FDM technology	117
В	Low-cost 3D printer	118
С	Benchmark from the previous study (Source from (Mahesh et al., 2004))	119
D	Patents Form Page 1	120
Е	Patents Form Page 2	121
F	Patents Claims	122
G	Patents Drawing Page 1	123
Н	Patents Drawing Page 2	124
I	Patents Drawing Page 3	125
J	Patents Drawing Page 4	126

 \bigcirc

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABS	Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene
ADPS	Adaptable Filament Deposition System
AM	Additive Manufacturing
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CAD	Computer Aided Design
СТ	Computed Tomography
DOE	Design of Experiment
ECMs	Natural Extracellular Matrices
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FDM	Fused Deposition Modeling
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
HIPS	High Impact Polystyrene
ISO	International Standards
LOM	Laminated Object Manufacturing
MRI	Magnetid Resonance Imaging
PCL	Poly(f-caprolactone)
PLA	Polylactic Acid
PMMA	Polymethylmethacrylate
PEEK	Polvether-Ether-Ketone
RP	Rapid Prototyping
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SFF	Solid Freeform Fabrication
SLA	Stereolithography
STL	Standard Triangular Language

 \mathbf{G}

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Technology advancement has brought great implications to human beings in various ways. Rapid prototyping (RP) is one of the technologies that brings all the possibilities in fabricating 3D models especially in the biomedical field. It is synonym with solid freeform fabrication (SFF) which can create solid structure in layer by layer fashion upon creating design prototype by employing computer aided design (CAD) model data, computer tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans data, which then can be converted into standard triangular language (STL) format (Wohlers, 1998). Different forms of solid modeling can be fabricated from various materials making RP as one of the superior technologies in fabricating models within a short period of time.

The development of RP technology in the medical field brings major contribution in terms of product performance and cycle time reduction (Varatharaj et al., 2014). Nowadays the production of bone graft using RP technology has been widely applied. The production of scaffolds structure which requires high accuracy can simply be made by importing the required data from the computer (Zein et al., 2002).

The most commonly used RP technology in the field of manufacturing bone structure is fused deposition modeling (FDM) (Zein et al., 2002, Espalin et al., 2010). The flexibility of this technology in manufacturing scaffold design in which its parameters such as layer thickness and air gap can be manipulated, has been the reason for employing FDM technology in producing porous bone structure. Although the RP technology can easily create 3D model, it is very expensive with costs ranging from \$100,000 to \$500,000 (Turner et al., 2014). Since the expiration of FDM patent a few years ago (Crump, 1992), the technology of open-source 3D Printer has emerged with an affordable price (Jones et al., 2011). However, the accuracy of modeling parts requires further improvement. So far, limited research has been done pertaining to the opensource 3D Printer. Melenka et al. (2015) conducted a research using MakerBot Replicator 2 Desktop 3D Printer and the results demonstrates that printed parts show significant deviation from the nominal value (Melenka et al., 2015). The research suggested that careful selection of printing parameters can minimize these problems.

In order for the open-source 3D printer to have wide variety of applications in fabricating 3D model, particularly in medical field, the technology requires further analysis and development to fulfill the requirement in terms of accuracy, consistency and stability of the extruded parts. Recent researches only focus

on the process parameters to improve the finish parts (Melenka et al., 2015, Galantucci et al., 2015). However, the hardware system is also an important element that contributes to the better extrusion process, hence providing good products. Thus, this brings in an opportunity to further improve on this technology. One of the most important parts is the 3D printer head, which comprises of nozzle, liquefier and heating element. It is the heart of the machine as the quality and compatibility of the material being extruded depends on the 3D printer head. In addition, the properties of material need to be investigated to allow smooth extrusion, consistent, accurate and not to clog the printer head during the extrusion process.

Hence, this study, intends to analyze and develop open-source 3D printer nozzle components. The reason for selecting the open-source 3D printer in this study is because of the cost of the technology which is affordable as well as the flexibility and accessibility of this technology. In this study, focuses is placed on several aspects such as nozzle die angle, nozzle diameter, and liquefier design which have significant impact on the extrusion process. The new open-source 3D printer nozzle will be used to fabricate porous bone structure using synthetic biomaterials.

1.2 Problem Statement

The demand in fabricating 3D model has increased over the years thus making the RP technology as one of the most chosen technologies. The industry has grown since 2010 with estimated around \$1.325 billion for products and services and this number will increase by the year 2020 with estimated around \$5 billion (Turner et al., 2014). Despite being the chosen technology in fabricating 3D models especially FDM, the price is still so much expensive and can hardly penetrate all levels of industry. It is started with Stratasys which was developed in the early of 90's and introduced a starting price ranging from with the price starts from above 15,000 euros and above (Minetola et al., 2016). They has developed a brand named Fortus of their FDM line which cost from \$100,000-500,000 per unit (Turner et al., 2014). However, since the expiration of FDM pattern a few years ago, a low-cost 3D printing technology has been developed and introduced by Jones et al. in 2011 at an affordable price. A lot of manufacturers produced a low-cost 3D printer ranging from \$1,500-5,000 (Turner et al., 2014). The main issue in term of cost can be seen as the major factor in choosing the technology. The comparison for both expensive and lowcost technology can be referred in the Appendices of A and B. If both technology has been compared in terms of overall manufacturing cost, the difference is very significant.

The comparison between the RepRap technology (open-source) which introduced by Jones (Jones et al., 2011) and Fortus 400mc from Stratasys shows the difference in term of price for more than \$100,000. Basically, the main components for a low-cost 3D printer consists of controller, stepper motors, extruder, heated bead, and other parts that are available at any online

stores with a low price. In addition, the particular software is available online and can be downloaded for free. The current product which will be used in this research cost approximately \$625 (RM2500) (Appendix B) which is far cheaper compare to the expensive one available in the market.

The ability of FDM system in producing complex structure has been widely used in fabricating 3D model in medical field. Finding the best method in fabricating the numerous products such as tissue would be very crucial. It has been an alternative material for orthopedic surgeries such biodegradable material which matching with the new tissue (Park et al., 2007). Biodegradable material synonyms with synthetic biomaterial and possess some unique characteristics such as degrade over the period of time and possess adequate mechanical properties to sustain load during healing process (Park et al., 2007). Polylactic acid (PLA) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) are the popular material used in biomedical fields. The challenge in extruding these materials using 3D printer is to understand the properties and the flow behavior along the liquefier. Since PLA and PMMA material possess quite low melting temperature, the temperature inside the liquefier must be kept as low as possible because if the material exposes to high temperature it will burn and contaminate the remaining material (Gibson et al., 2015). Furthermore, to maintain the properties of synthetic biomaterials being extrude, the temperature need to be carefully monitored and provide stable temperature within the system could be the key to have successful fabrication process. However, there are some issues related to the low-cost 3D printer. Research has been done to evaluate the dimensional accuracy using MarketBot 3D Printer where results demonstrated significant deviation from the nominal dimension (Melenka et al., 2015, Roberson et al., 2013). Other study has also measured the accuracy of 3D printer where differences of approximately 20% were observed between final product and CAD drawings (Roberson et al., 2013). Another research also shows that the low-cost 3D printer of 3D Touch[™] shows significant different in dimensional accuracy compare to the expensive of Dimension Elite[™] (Minetola et al., 2016).

The current issue with the open-source 3D printer is its performance to satisfy the industry's requirement. These problems form a great opportunity to improvise the technology in various aspects particularly with low-cost 3D printer that can to penetrate the industry in high demand such as in the biomedical field for fabrication of human bone (Gibson et al., 2015). It is advantageous to have a low-cost machine that features similar quality as obtained from the highend technology, which can fabricate complex parts such as porous human bone structure using synthetic biomaterials which is compatible to the human body. By improving the open-source 3D printer nozzle which comprises of nozzle parts, liquefier and insulator, the finish parts of the extruded materials can be improved and fabrication of complex structure such as porous structure can be achieved.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are as follows:

- i. To analyze the factors affecting the accuracy, consistency and stability of extrusion process of synthetic biomaterials including, nozzle die angle, nozzle diameter and liquefier design.
- ii. To develop the new nozzle based on the nozzle die angle, nozzle diameter and liquefier design.
- iii. To compare the dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties of finish parts using the newly developed 3D printer nozzle to the original 3D printer nozzle.
- iv. To fabricate the porous bone structure using the newly 3D printer nozzle of open-source 3D printer and synthetic biomaterials as the filament material.

1.4 Significance of Research

The significance of this study is the development of a new nozzle of opensource 3D printer for fabrication of porous bone structure. The rapid prototyping (RP) technology becomes rapidly developed over the years because of the ability to create a 3D model within short period of time. It saves millions of dollars on the production making this technology widely used in fabrication technology especially in the medical area. One of major concern here is the high cost of RP technology.

In order to make this technology economically feasible, the introduction of new technology of open-source 3D printer in the year of 2011 after the expiration of FDM patent brings a new era of fabricating 3D model in such affordable price. However, this open-source 3D printer has several drawbacks where the performance is still not proven. This research will focus on analyzing and developing the nozzle which concerns several aspects to improve the performance of open-source 3D printer in fabricating more complex models especially porous bone structure. Moreover, this research will bring a new idea and dimension on fabricating complex shape in medical area at an affordable price.

1.5 Scope of the Research

The scope of this research covers an analysis and development of opensource 3D printer nozzle which consists of liquefier, nozzle die angle and diameter, and insulator. The area of improvements is made on the 3D printer nozzle to achieve accuracy, stability and consistency in fabricating complex parts such as porous bone structure using synthetic biomaterials (PLA). The new nozzle was developed based on the analyzed factor. This research presents simulation and experimental studies to investigate the factor affecting accuracy, consistency and stability of the extrusion process. Secondly, the study compares the newly developed printer nozzle with the original ones, in terms of dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties. The mechanical properties include tensile test which follows Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics ASTM D638-10 standard and compressive test which is done according to the Standard Test Method for Compressive Properties of Rigid Plastics ASTM D695 standard. Lastly, the newly developed 3D printer nozzle is used to fabricate scaffold design and porous bone structure by using synthetic biomaterials that is polylactic acid (PLA) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) by following Standard Guide for Assessing Microstructure of Polymeric Scaffolds for Use in Tissue Engineered Medical Products ASTM F2450-04.

1.6 Organisation of the Thesis

The research consists of five chapters that covered all the project and the details of the thesis structure are presented as follows:

Chapter 1 – The problems of this research that contribute to the objectives as well as significance of this research. The scope is also presented in this chapter.

Chapter 2 – Comprehensive literature review related to this research and previous study that provides basic understanding throughout the research is presented in this chapter.

Chapter 3 – The methodology of the study is represented in this chapter. The analysis and development of the nozzle design are highlighted. The parameters setting also were investigated to find the best setting for the printing process. Later, the simulation until the design selection and the standard method to measure the dimensional accuracy, as well as mechanical properties are further elaborated. The scaffold design also is discussed in this chapter.

Chapter 4 – Chapter 4 concerns with the discussion on the results obtained from the simulation process and the final design. The fabrication of the new nozzle and together with the comparison results between the newly developed nozzle and the original nozzle in terms of dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties also are discussed. The fabrication of the scaffold structure is also presented.

Chapter 5 – The conclusions and the suggestion for the future research were presented in this section.

REFERENCES

- AGRAWAL, C. M., NIEDERAUER, G. G. & ATHANASIOU, K. A. 1995. Fabrication and characterization of PLA-PGA orthopedic implants. *Tissue Engineering*, 1, 241-252.
- AHMED, J., VARSHNEY, S. K., AURAS, R. & HWANG, S. W. 2010. Thermal and Rheological Properties of L-Polylactide/Polyethylene Glycol/Silicate Nanocomposites Films. *Journal of Food Science*, 75.
- AHN, S.-H., MONTERO, M., ODELL, D., ROUNDY, S. & WRIGHT, P. K. 2002. Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 8, 248-257.
- AKANDE, S. O. 2015. Dimensional Accuracy and Surface Finish Optimization of Fused Deposition Modeling Parts using Desirability Function Analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*, 4, 196-202.
- ATIF, Y. M., HATTORI, T., GUCERI, S. I. & DANFORTH, S. C. Thermal analysis of fused deposition. Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium Proceedings, Austin, Texas, USA, 1997.
- BAKAR, N. S. A., ALKAHARI, M. R. & BOEJANG, H. 2010. Analysis on fused deposition modeling performance. *Journal of Zhejiang University-Science A*, 11, 972-977.
- BELLINI, A., GÜÇERI, S. & BERTOLDI, M. 2004. Liquefier dynamics in fused deposition. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 126, 237-246.
- BROOKS, H., RENNIE, A., ABRAM, T., MCGOVERN, J. & CARON, F. Variable fused deposition modeling: analysis of benefits, concept design and tool path generation. 5th International Conference on Advanced Research in Virtual and Rapid Prototyping, Leiria, Portugal, 28/09/2011 2011 Leiria, Portugal. CRC Press, 511-517.

BURGE, S. 2011. The systems engineering tool box.

- CHUA, C. K., LEONG, K. F. & LIM, C. S. 2003. Rapid Prototyping: Principles and Applications2nd Edition (with Companion CD-ROM), World Scientific Publishing Co Inc.
- COGSWELL, F. 1972. Converging flow of polymer melts in extrusion dies. *Polymer Engineering & Science,* 12, 64-73.
- CRUMP, S. S. 1992. Apparatus and method for creating three-dimensional objects (US Patent No. US5121329 A). Google Patents.

- DOMANSKI, J., SKALSKI, K., GRYGORUK, R. & MRÓZ, A. 2015. Rapid prototyping in the intervertebral implant design process. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 735-746.
- DORGAN, J. R., LEHERMEIER, H. & MANG, M. 2000. Thermal and rheological properties of commercial-grade poly (lactic acid) s. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, 8, 1-9.
- DRUMMER, D., CIFUENTES-CUÉLLAR, S. & RIETZEL, D. 2012. Suitability of PLA/TCP for fused deposition modeling. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 18, 500-507.
- ESPALIN, D., ARCAUTE, K., RODRIGUEZ, D., MEDINA, F., POSNER, M. & WICKER, R. 2010. Fused deposition modeling of patient-specific polymethylmethacrylate implants. *Rapid Prototyping Journal,* 16, 164-173.
- FEYGIN, M., SHKOLNIK, A., DIAMOND, M. N. & DVORSKIY, E. 1998. Laminated object manufacturing system (USPatent No. US5730817 A). Google Patents.
- GALANTUCCI, L., BODI, I., KACANI, J. & LAVECCHIA, F. 2015. Analysis of dimensional performance for a 3D open-source printer based on fused deposition modeling technique. *Procedia CIRP*, 28, 82-87.
- GIBSON, I., CHEUNG, L., CHOW, S., CHEUNG, W., BEH, S., SAVALANI, M. & LEE, S. 2006. The use of rapid prototyping to assist medical applications. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 12, 53-58.
- GIBSON, I., ROSEN, D. & STUCKER, B. 2015. Additive Manufacturing Technologies, New York, Springer.
- GOMES, M. E., AZEVEDO, H. S., MOREIRA, A., ELLÄ, V., KELLOMÄKI, M. & REIS, R. 2008. Starch–poly (ε-caprolactone) and starch–poly (lactic acid) fibre-mesh scaffolds for bone tissue engineering applications: structure, mechanical properties and degradation behaviour. *Journal of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine*, 2, 243-252.
- GRIDA, I. & EVANS, J. R. 2003. Extrusion freeforming of ceramics through fine nozzles. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 23, 629-635.
- HAMAD, K., KASEEM, M. & DERI, F. 2011. Melt rheology of poly (lactic acid)/low density polyethylene polymer blends. *Advances in Chemical Engineering and Science*, 1, 208-214.
- HAN, W., JAFARI, M. A. & SEYED, K. 2003. Process speeding up via deposition planning in fused deposition-based layered manufacturing processes. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 9, 212-218.

- HOQUE, M. E., CHUAN, Y. L. & PASHBY, I. 2012. Extrusion based rapid prototyping technique: an advanced platform for tissue engineering scaffold fabrication. *Biopolymers*, 97, 83-93.
- HULL, C. W. 1986. Apparatus for production of three-dimensional objects by stereolithography (US Patent No. US4575330 A). Google Patents.
- HULL, C. W. & LUNT, J. 1998. Large-scale production, properties and commercial applications of polylactic acid polymers. *Polymer degradation and stability*, 59, 145-152.
- HUTMACHER, D. W. 2000. Scaffolds in tissue engineering bone and cartilage. *Biomaterials*, 21, 2529-2543.
- IWAMI, K. & UMEDA, N. 2011. Rapid prototyping in biomedical engineering. Advanced Applications of Rapid Prototyping Technology in Modern Engineering. Rijeka, Croatia: InTech.
- JONES, R., HAUFE, P., SELLS, E., IRAVANI, P., OLLIVER, V., PALMER, C. & BOWYER, A. 2011. RepRap-the replicating rapid prototyper. *Robotica*, 29, 177-191.
- JOVANOVIĆ, M. L., MILIĆ, P., JANOŠEVIĆ, D. & PETROVIĆ, G. 2010. Accuracy of FEM analyses in function of finite element type selection. *Facta universitatis-series: Mechanical Engineering*, 8, 1-8.
- KAJI, F. & BARARI, A. 2015. Evaluation of the surface roughness of additive manufacturing parts based on the modeling of cusp geometry. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 48, 658-663.
- KOLEVA, M. 2005. Poly (methyl methacrylate)(PMMA). Injection moulding materials.
- KONTAKIS, G. M., PAGKALOS, J. E., TOSOUNIDIS, T. I., MELISSAS, J. & KATONIS, P. 2007. Bioabsorbable materials in orthopaedics. *Acta Orthopaedica Belgica*, 73, 159.
- LANZOTTI, A., GRASSO, M., STAIANO, G. & MARTORELLI, M. 2015. The impact of process parameters on mechanical properties of parts fabricated in PLA with an open-source 3-D printer. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 604-617.
- LEE, A., LING, R., GHEDUZZI, S., SIMON, J.-P. & RENFRO, R. 2002. Factors affecting the mechanical and viscoelastic properties of acrylic bone cement. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 13, 723-733.
- LEE, A., LING, R. & VANGALA, S. 1978. Some clinically relevant variables affecting the mechanical behaviour of bone cement. *Archives of orthopaedic and traumatic surgery*, 92, 1-18.

- LEE, C. 2005. Properties of bone cement: The mechanical properties of PMMA bone cement. *Breusch SJ, Malchau H, Edrs. The Well-Cemented Total Hip Arthroplasty. Heidelburg: Springer*, 60-66.
- LIANG, J.-Z. 1995. Effect of the die angle on the extrusion swell of rubber compound. *Journal of materials processing technology*, 52, 207-212.
- LIANG, J. & NESS, J. 1997. Effect of die angle on flow behaviour for high impact polystyrene melt. *Polymer testing*, 16, 403-412.
- LUTOLF, M. & HUBBELL, J. 2005. Synthetic biomaterials as instructive extracellular microenvironments for morphogenesis in tissue engineering. *Nature biotechnology*, 23, 47.
- LUŽANIN, O., MOVRIN, D. & PLANČAK, M. 2014. Effect of layer thickness, deposition angle, and infill on maximum flexural force in FDM-built specimens. *Journal for Technology of Plasticity*, 39, 49-58.
- MAHESH, M., WONG, Y., FUH, J. & LOH, H. 2004. Benchmarking for comparative evaluation of RP systems and *Prototyping Journal*, 10, 123-135.
- MAKEITFROM.COM. 2016. Polylactic Acid PLA Polylactide [Online]. MakeltFrom.com. Available: <u>http://www.makeitfrom.com/material-properties/Polylactic-Acid-PLA-Polylactide#Properties</u> [Accessed December 1, 2016 2016].
- MELENKA, G. W., SCHOFIELD, J. S., DAWSON, M. R. & CAREY, J. P. 2015. Evaluation of dimensional accuracy and material properties of the MakerBot 3D desktop printer. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 618-627.
- MIDDLETON, J. C. & TIPTON, A. J. 2000. Synthetic biodegradable polymers as orthopedic devices. *Biomaterials*, 21, 2335-2346.
- MINETOLA, P., IULIANO, L. & MARCHIANDI, G. 2016. Benchmarking of FDM machines through part quality using IT grades. *Procedia CIRP*, 41, 1027-1032.
- MIRELES, J., ESPALIN, D., ROBERSON, D., ZINNIEL, B., MEDINA, F. & WICKER, R. Fused deposition modeling of metals. The Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, 2012 Austin, Texas, USA. 836–845.
- MONTERO, M., ROUNDY, S., ODELL, D., AHN, S.-H. & WRIGHT, P. K. Material characterization of fused deposition modeling (FDM) ABS by designed experiments. Proceedings of Rapid Prototyping and Manufacturing Conference, 2001. 1–21.
- MOSTAFA, N., SYED, H. M., IGOR, S. & ANDREW, G. 2009. A study of melt flow analysis of an ABS-Iron composite in fused deposition modeling process. *Tsinghua Science & Technology*, 14, 29-37.

- NAIR, L. & LAURENCIN, C. 2006. Polymers as biomaterials for tissue engineering and controlled drug delivery. *Tissue Engineering I. Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*, 102, 47-90.
- NOVAKOVA-MARCINCINOVA, L. & NOVAK-MARCINCIN, J. 2013. Verification of mechanical properties of abs materials used in FDM rapid prototyping technology. *Proceedings in manufacturing systems*, 8, 87-92.
- ONAGORUWA, S., BOSE, S. & BANDYOPADHYAY, A. Fused deposition of ceramics (FDC) and composites. Pro SFF, 2001. 224–231.
- ONWUBOLU, G. C. & RAYEGANI, F. 2014. Characterization and Optimization of Mechanical Properties of ABS Parts Manufactured by the Fused Deposition Modeling Process. *International Journal of Manufacturing Engineering*, 2014, 1-13.
- PARK, H., TEMENOFF, J. S. & MIKOS, A. G. 2007. Biodegradable orthopedic implants. *Engineering of functional skeletal tissues*. Springer.
- PATEL, P., PATEL, J. & MANIYA, K. 2015. Evaluation of FDM Process Parameter for PLA Material by Using MOORA-TOPSIS Method. *vol*, 3, 84-93.
- RAMANATH, H., CHUA, C., LEONG, K. & SHAH, K. 2008. Melt flow behaviour of poly-ε-caprolactone in fused deposition modeling. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 19, 2541-2550.
- RICHARDSON, M. & HAYLOCK, B. 2012. Designer/maker: the rise of additive manufacturing, domestic-scale production and the possible implications for the automotive industry. *Computer-Aided Design & Applications PACE*, 2, 33-48.
- ROBERSON, D., ESPALIN, D. & WICKER, R. 2013. 3D printer selection: A decision-making evaluation and ranking model. *Virtual and Physical Prototyping*, 8, 201-212.
- ROCK, R., JOSEPH, J., AVE, T. & DARRAH, J. F. 1992. Method for selective laser sintering with layerwise cross-scanning (US Patent No. US5155324). Google Patents.
- ROXAS, M. & JU, S. 2008. Fluid dynamics analysis of desktop-based fused deposition modeling rapid prototyping. *Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Toronto.*
- SAMEER, C. R., ABHAYKUMAR, M. K., TUSHAR, R. D. & SANDEEP, W. D. 2014. Rapid prototyping-assisted fabrication of the customized metatarsophalangeal joint implant (SamKu) A case report. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 20, 270-279.

- SOOD, A. K., OHDAR, R. K. & MAHAPATRA, S. S. 2010. Parametric appraisal of mechanical property of fused deposition modeling processed parts. *Materials & Design*, 31, 287-295.
- SUNGSANIT, K., KAO, N., BHATTACHARYA, S. & PIVSAART, S. 2010. Physical and rheological properties of plasticized linear and branched PLA. *Korea-Australia Rheology Journal*, 22, 187-195.
- TSENG, A. A. 2000. Adaptable filament deposition system and method for freeform fabrication of three-dimensional objects (United States Patent No. US 6 251 340 B1). Google Patents.
- TURNER, B. N. & GOLD, S. A. 2015. A review of melt extrusion additive manufacturing processes: II. Materials, dimensional accuracy, and surface roughness. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 250-261.
- TURNER, B. N., STRONG, R. & A. GOLD, S. 2014. A review of melt extrusion additive manufacturing processes: I. Process design and modeling. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 20, 192-204.
- VARATHARAJ, K. S., YOGASUNDAR. ST, SURAJ SINGH. R & TAMILARASU. S, B. 2014. Rapid Prototyping of Human Implants with Case Study. International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 3, 319-324.
- VASIREDDI, R. & BASU, B. 2015. Conceptual design of three-dimensional scaffolds of powder-based materials for bone tissue engineering applications. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 716-724.
- VASUDEVARAO, B., NATARAJAN, D. P., HENDERSON, M. & RAZDAN, A. Sensitivity of RP surface finish to process parameter variation. Solid freeform fabrication proceedings, 2000. The University of Texas Austin, 251-258.
- VAZQUEZ, O. R., AVILA, I. O., DÍAZ, J. C. S. & HERNANDEZ, E. 2016. An overview of mechanical tests for polymeric biomaterial scaffolds used in tissue engineering. *Journal of Research Updates in Polymer Science*, *4*, 168-178.
- WAY, W. Y., AICHOUNI, M., ZULKIFLEE, M., DERIFAEE, A. & SALLEHUDDIN, M. Fabrication and design of customized maxillofacial biomodel for implant using computer aided design and rapid prototyping technique. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2013. Trans Tech Publ, 406-409.
- WAY, W. Y., ZAWANAH, Z. N., NURSHAFIKA, A., ANI, M. & MUSTAFAH, Y. Fabrication of Hip and Pelvis Part for Designing Acetabular Implant by Using Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Machine. Advanced Materials Research, 2014. Trans Tech Publ, 1235-1238.

- WOHLERS, T. 1998. Rapid Prototyping & Tooling State of the Industry: 1998 Worldwide Progress Report. *Materials Technology*, 13, 174-176.
- WOHLERS, T. 2013. Additive manufacturing and 3D printing state of the industry annual worldwide progress report. *Engineering Management Research*, 2, 209-222.
- WOHLERS, T. & GORNET, T. 2014. History of additive manufacturing. *Wohlers report*, 24, 118.
- WU, G., LANGRANA, N. A., SADANJI, R. & DANFORTH, S. 2002. Solid freeform fabrication of metal components using fused deposition of metals. *Materials & Design*, 23, 97-105.
- WU, W., GENG, P., LI, G., ZHAO, D., ZHANG, H. & ZHAO, J. 2015. Influence of layer thickness and raster angle on the mechanical properties of 3Dprinted PEEK and a comparative mechanical study between PEEK and ABS. *Materials*, 8, 5834-5846.
- YAN, L., LI, D., LU, B., GAO, D. & ZHOU, J. 2015. Current status of additive manufacturing for tissue engineering scaffold. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 747-762.
- YORUC, A. B. H. & SENER, B. C. 2012. Biomaterials, A Roadmap of Biomedical Engineers and Milestones. Intech Publications.
- ZEIN, I., HUTMACHER, D. W., TAN, K. C. & TEOH, S. H. 2002. Fused deposition modeling of novel scaffold architectures for tissue engineering applications. *Biomaterials*, 23, 1169-1185.
- ZIEMIAN, C., SHARMA, M. & ZIEMIAN, S. 2012. Anisotropic mechanical properties of ABS parts fabricated by fused deposition modeling. *Mechanical engineering.* InTech.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal articles

- Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., & Mohd, A. (2016). An Analysis on Finding the Optimum Die Angle of Polylactic Acid in Fused Deposition Modeling, *Applied Mechanics and Materials, 835*, 254–259. http://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.835.254
- Sukindar, N. A., Ariffin, M. K. A., Hang Tuah Baharudin, B. T., Jaafar, C. N. A., & Ismail, M. I. S. (2016). ANALYZING THE EFFECT OF NOZZLE DIAMETER IN FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING FOR EXTRUDING POLYLACTIC ACID USING OPEN SOURCE 3D PRINTING. Jurnal Teknologi, 78(10). http://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v78.6265
- Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S. (2017). Comparison on Dimensional Accuracy Using a Newly Developed Nozzle for Open-Source 3D Printer, *Applied Mechanics and Materials* 859, 15–19. http://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.859.15
- Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, ANALYSIS ON TEMPERATURE SETTING FOR EXTRUDING POLYLACTIC ACID USING OPEN-SOURCE 3D PRINTER," ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1348–1353, 2017.
- Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, "ANALYSIS ON THE IMPACT PROCESS PARAMETERS ON TENSILE STRENGTH USING 3D PRINTER REPETIER-HOST SOFTWARE," ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 3341–3346, 2017.
- Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S., "Optimization of the Parameters for Surface Quality of the Open-source 3D Printing," Accepted in *Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. SI 3, no. 1, pp. 33–43, 2017.
- Sukindar, N. A., Mohd Ariffin, M. K. A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Jaafar, C. N. A., & Ismail, M. I. S. Effect Nozzle Die Angle on Extruding Polymethylmethacrylate in Open-source 3D Printing Effects of Nozzle Die Angle on Extruding Polymethylmethacrylate in Open-Source 3D Printing, *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, Vol. 15, 663–665, 2018. Impact Factor 1.666. http://doi:10.1166/jctn.2018.7141
- Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S., Analysis of Mechanical Properties of Polylactic Acid

Using a New 3D Printer Nozzle, Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, Vol. 15, 666–675, 2018. Impact Factor 1.666.

http://doi:10.1166/jctn.2018.7142

Conferences

- Sukindar, N. A., Mohd Ariffin, M. K. A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Jaafar, C. N. A., & Ismail, M. I. S. (2017). Evaluation on the Process Parameters Influencing the Surface Texture using Open Source 3D Printing. Advanced Processes and Systems in Manufacturing an International Conference 2016, 127–128. (ISBN: 978-967-13625-1-8 (online)). Indexed Web of Science.
- Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Sukindar, N. A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S. Slicer Method Comparison Using Open-source 3D Printer (Accepted in 2017 6th International Conference on Material Science and Engineering Technology (ICMSET 2017)
- Khairol, M., Mohd A., **Sukindar, N. A.**, Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S., Slicer Method Comparison Using Open-source 3D Printer, *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 114 (2018)* 012018. http://doi :10.1088/1755-1315/114/1/012018

Patent

1. Filament Nozzle Extruder For Ploylactic Acid (PLA) Material, Application No. **Pl 2016703433.** Filing Date:21/09/2016. Applicant's file reference: *UPM/100-45/2 (A) KA1*

Award

1. Bronze Medal, Filament Nozzle Extruder for Synthetic Biomaterial, Exhibition of Inventions, Research and Innovation UPM (PRPi 2016), 15th – 16th November 2016.



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION :

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

DEVELOPMENT OF PRINTER NOZZLE FOR EXTRUDING SYNTHETIC BIOMATERIALS USING FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PROCESS

NAME OF STUDENT: NOR AIMAN BIN SUKINDAR

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (V)



CONFIDENTIAL

RESTRICTED



(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :



_ until ___ Embargo from (date)

(date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student) New IC No/ Passport No .:

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee) Name:

Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]