



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***DEVELOPMENT OF PRINTER NOZZLE FOR EXTRUDING SYNTHETIC
BIOMATERIALS USING FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PROCESS***

NOR AIMAN SUKINDAR

FK 2018 35



**DEVELOPMENT OF PRINTER NOZZLE FOR EXTRUDING SYNTHETIC
BIOMATERIALS USING FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PROCESS**

By

NOR AIMAN BIN SUKINDAR

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

January 2018



© COPYRIGHT UPM

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved wife, Nor Azira Binti Mohd Latif, and my parents, Norisah Binti Taib and Sukindar bin Kadiran.

Thank you for your love, patience and support during my journey to achieve this dream together with me



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF PRINTER NOZZLE FOR EXTRUDING SYNTHETIC BIOMATERIALS USING FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PROCESS

By

NOR AIMAN BIN SUKINDAR

January 2018

Chairman : Mohd Khairol Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

This research focuses on the development of nozzle specifically for open-source 3D printing for extrusion of synthetic biomaterials. The factors that affect the stability, consistency and accuracy of the extrusion process were investigated by using finite element analysis (FEA) including nozzle die angle, nozzle diameter and liquefier design. From the simulations, it is seen that the die angle and nozzle diameter affect the pressure drop along the liquefier. The pressure drop variation has affected the road width of the printed parts, thus affecting the quality of the finished product. Based on the simulations, the convergent angle for extruding polylactic acid (PLA) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) materials was found in this research at 130° which provides stability and consistency of the extrusion process. For efficient printing process, nozzle diameter of 0.3 mm was found to be the optimum with respect to pressure drop and printing time. The liquefier design plays an important role in maintaining the liquefier chamber's temperature as constant as possible. The temperature variation has caused the changes in viscosity of the material, thus affecting the quality of the finished parts. Liquefier in cylindrical shape has been identified as the solution in minimizing the problems as it has been proven from the simulations that portray improved temperature distribution. The newly developed nozzle was compared with the original nozzle with respect to dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties and shows that the newly developed nozzle had a better performance in both criteria. By solving the issues related stability, consistency and accuracy of the extrusion process, the scaffold structure was successfully fabricated with compressive strength between 6 MPa to 7 MPa and porosities between 50% and 70% which is the range for trabecular bone. Furthermore, humerus bones was successfully fabricated with controlled porosity.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBANGUNAN NOZEL PENCETAK 3D DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN
FABRIKASI FILAMEN BAGI EXTRUSI BAHAN BIO SINTETIK**

Oleh

NOR AIMAN BIN SUKINDAR

Januari 2018

Pengerusi : Mohd Khairol Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada pembangunan nozel khusus untuk perisian terbuka pencetak 3D bagi extrusi bahan biosintetik. Faktor yang memberi kesan kepada kesetabilan, ketekalan dan ketepatan proses extrusi telah dikaji dengan menggunakan analisis unsur terhingga (FEA) seperti sudut nozel, diameter nozel dan reka bentuk pencair. Dari simulasi, ia menunjukkan bahawa sudut nozel dan diameter nozel mempengaruhi tekanan sepanjang ruang pencair. Keperluan mengkaji tekanan dengan teliti adalah penting kerana apabila tekanan berubah-ubah, lapisan-lapisan yang dicetak juga berbeza-beza dan dengan itu menjejaskan kualiti produk. Berdasarkan simulasi, sudut penumpuan yang sesuai untuk extrusi bahan polylactic acid (PLA) dan polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) dalam kajian ini adalah 130° darjah. Untuk proses pencetakan yang lebih efisien, 0.3 mm diameter nozel didapati adalah yang paling optimum dari segi tekanan dan masa pencetakan. Reka bentuk pencair memainkan peranan penting dalam menjaga suhu di dalam ruang pencair. Kelikatan bahan akan berubah apabila suhu berubah. Keadaan ini akan memberi kesan kepada kualiti produk yang dihasilkan. Dengan menggunakan bentuk pencair silinder, masalah ini boleh dikurangkan dan hasil dari simulasi, taburan suhu adalah lebih baik. Nozel baru telah dibandingkan dengan nozel asal dan keputusan menunjukkan bahawa nozel baru memberikan ketepatan dimensi dan sifat-sifat mekanikal yang lebih baik kepada produk yang dihasilkan. Dengan menyelesaikan isu-isu yang berkaitan kesetabilan, ketekalan dan ketepatan dalam proses extrusi, struktur perancah berjaya difabrikasikan dengan tekanan mampatan antara 6 MPa hingga 7 MPa dan keliangan antara 50% sehingga 70%. Selain itu juga, tulang humerus telah berjaya difabrikasi dengan keliangan yang terkawal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful.

Alhamdulillah, all praises be to Allah for giving His blessing in completing this thesis. I would like to express my appreciation to my supervisor Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Khairul Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin from the Faculty of Engineering for the passionate participation, input and immense knowledge. His guidance has helped me in finding the right direction for completing this thesis. I would also like to thank the experts and the members of the Supervisory Committee including Associate Professor Ir. Dr. B.T. Hang Tuah Bin Baharudin, Dr. Che Nor Aiza Binti Jaafar and Dr. Mohd Idris Shah Bin Ismail, who have given me brilliant comments and ideas for the improvement of this thesis. Also, I would like to thanks to my beloved wife, Nor Azira Binti Mohd Latif, who has supported by giving encouragement, motivation and strength to complete this thesis. Last, but not the least, I would like to thank to my mother Norisah Binti Taib, my father Sukindar Bin Kadiran and family for giving full support and motivation directly and indirectly for this research.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 23 January 2018 to conduct the final examination of Nor Aiman bin Sukindar on his thesis entitled "Development of Printer Nozzle for Extruding Synthetic Biomaterials using Fused Deposition Modeling Process" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Edi Syams bin Zainudin, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Shamsuddin bin Sulaiman, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Faizal bin Mustapha, PhD

Professor Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

I.S. Jawahir, PhD

Professor
University of Kentucky
United States
(External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 April 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Khairol Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin, PhD

Professor Ir
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

B.T. Hang Tuah Bin Baharudin, PhD

Associate Professor Ir
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Che Nor Aiza Binti Jaafar, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Idris Shah Bin Ismail, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Nor Aiman Bin Sukindar, GS42749

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted, and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman of

Supervisory Committee: Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Khairul Anuar B. Mohd Ariffin

Signature: _____

Name of Member of

Supervisory Committee: Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. B.T. Hang Tuah Bin Baharudin

Signature: _____

Name of Member of

Supervisory Committee: Dr. Che Nor Aiza Binti Jaafar

Signature: _____

Name of Member of

Supervisory Committee: Dr. Mohd Idris Shah Bin Ismail

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|----------------|--|----------|
| | ABSTRACT | i |
| | ABSTRAK | ii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iii |
| | APPROVAL | iv |
| | DECLARATION | vi |
| | LIST OF TABLES | x |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xviii |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xix |
| | | |
| CHAPTER | | |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background of Study | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| | 1.3 Research Objectives | 4 |
| | 1.4 Significance of Research | 4 |
| | 1.5 Scope of the Research | 4 |
| | 1.6 Organisation of the Thesis | 5 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 6 |
| | 2.2 Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Technology | 7 |
| | 2.3 Overview the Design of Extrusion-based Systems | 9 |
| | 2.3.1 Liquefier Dynamics | 9 |
| | 2.3.2 Effect of Nozzle Die Angle and Diameter | 12 |
| | 2.4 Research on Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Technology | 14 |
| | 2.4.1 Analysis on Mechanical Properties using Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Technology | 14 |
| | 2.4.2 Analysis on Dimensional Accuracy using Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Technology | 17 |
| | 2.5 FDM Applications in Medical Field | 22 |
| | 2.6 Synthetic Biomaterials Used in Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Technology | 25 |
| | 2.6.1 Polylactic acid (PLA) | 26 |
| | 2.6.2 Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) | 27 |
| | 2.7 Open-source 3D Printer | 28 |
| | 2.8 Summary | 30 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 31 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 31 |
| 3.2 | Analysis of Factors Affecting Extrusion Process of 3D Printing | 33 |
| 3.2.1 | Nozzle Die Angle and Nozzle Diameter | 37 |
| 3.2.2 | Liquefier Design | 42 |
| 3.3 | Nozzle Design Selection | 44 |
| 3.4 | Analysis of printing process parameters | 46 |
| 3.4.1 | Factors Affecting Surface Finish | 47 |
| 3.4.2 | Factors Affecting Mechanical Properties | 48 |
| 3.5 | Performance Comparison of Original Nozzle with Newly Developed Nozzle | 50 |
| 3.5.1 | Dimensional Accuracy | 51 |
| 3.5.2 | Mechanical Properties | 52 |
| 3.6 | Scaffold Fabrication | 54 |
| 3.6.1 | Sample preparation | 54 |
| 3.6.2 | Porosity | 55 |
| 3.6.3 | Compressive Test | 56 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 57 |
| 4.1 | Summary | 57 |
| 4.2 | Analysis of Factors Affecting the Extrusion Process | 57 |
| 4.2.1 | Nozzle Die Angle | 58 |
| 4.2.2 | Nozzle Diameter | 65 |
| 4.3 | Nozzle Design Selection | 75 |
| 4.4 | Analysis of Printing Process Parameters | 76 |
| 4.4.1 | Effect of Process Parameters on Dimensional Accuracy | 76 |
| 4.4.2 | Effect of Process Parameters on Mechanical Properties | 79 |
| 4.5 | Comparison on the Performance of Original Nozzle and Newly Developed Nozzle | 83 |
| 4.5.1 | Dimensional Accuracy | 85 |
| 4.5.2 | Mechanical Properties | 89 |
| 4.6 | Scaffold fabrication | 99 |
| 4.6.1 | Porosity | 99 |
| 4.6.2 | Mechanical Properties | 103 |
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH | 108 |
| 5.1 | Conclusions | 108 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 109 |
| | REFERENCES | 110 |
| | APPENDICES | 117 |
| | BIODATA OF STUDENT | 127 |
| | LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | 128 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Physical properties of PLA material (Sourced from (MakeltFrom.com, 2016)) | 26 |
| 2.2 | Physical properties of PMMA material (Sourced from (Koleva, 2005)) | 27 |
| 2.3 | Comparison of version I “Darwin” and version II “Mandel” (Source from (Jones et al., 2011)) | 29 |
| 3.1 | Properties of PLA material | 38 |
| 3.2 | The selection criteria for the nozzle design | 46 |
| 3.3 | Fixed parameters used throughout the experiment | 47 |
| 3.4 | Five parameters varied for measuring surface roughness | 48 |
| 3.5 | Variable used in the experiment | 49 |
| 3.6 | Type of features for dimensional accuracy measurements | 52 |
| 3.7 | Overall dimensions of a test specimen | 52 |
| 3.8 | Fixed process parameters in the study | 53 |
| 3.9 | Samples fabrication | 55 |
| 4.1 | The effect of nozzle die angle on pressure drop | 58 |
| 4.2 | Pressure drop results for varying the die angle | 64 |
| 4.3 | Comparison of properties between PLA and PMMA materials (Sourced from (MakeltFrom.com, 2016)) | 65 |
| 4.4 | Effect of the pressure drop on die angle | 65 |
| 4.5 | Comparison between theoretical and experimental on extrusion time | 67 |
| 4.6 | The composition for both material | 75 |
| 4.7 | Pugh method for the nozzle design selection | 76 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.8 | Results of analysis of variance | 77 |
| 4.9 | S/N ratio values for the experiment by factor level | 79 |
| 4.10 | Experimental results from tensile test | 80 |
| 4.11 | ANOVA analysis | 80 |
| 4.12 | Comparison on the dimensional accuracy for height, width and thickness | 87 |
| 4.13 | Comparison on the roundness accuracy reading for nozzle 1 and nozzle 2 | 87 |
| 4.14 | Porosities for samples in Experiment I | 99 |
| 4.15 | Porosities for samples in Experiment II | 99 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Schematic of FDM extrusion-based system | 7 |
| 2.2 | Typical low-cost FDM machine | 8 |
| 2.3 | Extruder elements | 9 |
| 2.4 | Liquefier region (Sourced from (Bellini et al., 2004)) | 10 |
| 2.5 | The convergent angle (Sourced from (Liang and Ness, 1997)) | 12 |
| 2.6 | Process parameters in FDM machine | 15 |
| 2.7 | Characteristics that influence the surface finish | 17 |
| 2.8 | Die swelling phenomena (Sourced from (Turner and Gold, 2015)) | 18 |
| 2.9 | Error of circular nozzle (Sourced from (Brooks et al., 2011)) | 19 |
| 2.10 | The resolution of the printed geometry from the .stl format | 19 |
| 2.11 | Warping phenomena on the printing parts | 20 |
| 2.12 | Proposed parts for accuracy measurements (Sourced from (Mahesh et al., 2004)) | 21 |
| 2.13 | Different core configuration (a) Circular shape (b) Square shape (Sourced from Ramakrishna Vasireddi, 2015) | 23 |
| 2.14 | Scaffold structure (a) Plan view 0/90 pattern (b) Plan view 0/60/120 pattern | 23 |
| 2.15 | Layer orientation of scaffold design | 24 |
| 2.16 | Model of femur bone | 25 |
| 3.1 | Flow chart of overall research | 32 |
| 3.2 | Design of the 3D printing machine (a) Front view; (b) Side view; (c) Top view; (d) Isometric view. | 34 |
| 3.3 | Design components of 3D printing | 35 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 3.4 | A new open-source 3D printing (a) Front view; (b) Side view; (c) Isometric view | 36 |
| 3.5 | Liquefier components: (a) Original liquefier; (b) Liquefier using CAD software; design (c) visualization from the inside of the liquefier; (d) Liquefier geometry for the simulation | 37 |
| 3.6 | Selected boundary conditions for the simulation | 39 |
| 3.7 | Tetrahedron shape with ten nodes | 40 |
| 3.8 | Meshing process for FEA simulation | 41 |
| 3.9 | Meshing process using tetrahedral method | 41 |
| 3.10 | A 1000-iterations for the simulation | 41 |
| 3.11 | Front view of the original and proposed designs for simulation (a) Original design (D1); (b) Cylindrical design (D2); (c) Hexagonal design (D3); (d) Rectangular design (triangle shape) (D4) | 42 |
| 3.12 | Meshing process for all the original and proposed designs (a) D1; (b) D2; (c) D3; (d) D4 | 43 |
| 3.13 | Boundary conditions used in the simulation | 44 |
| 3.14 | Design specimen used for surface-roughness test | 47 |
| 3.15 | Perthometer S2 PGK surface analyzer | 48 |
| 3.16 | Tensile test design specimen | 49 |
| 3.17 | Variables used in the experiment | 49 |
| 3.18 | Sample ready to be tested | 50 |
| 3.19 | Part model design | 51 |
| 3.20 | Specimens for tensile and compressive test | 52 |
| 3.21 | Process parameters in the study | 53 |
| 3.22 | (a) Tensile test machine; (b) Compressive test machine | 54 |
| 4.1 | Plot of pressure drop versus nozzle angle | 58 |
| 4.2 | Convergent flow through the outlet nozzle (Sourced from Liang and Ness, 1997). | 59 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.3 | Graph ΔP versus L/d (Sourced from Liang and Ness, 1997) | 60 |
| 4.4 | Convergent angle of PLA material | 61 |
| 4.5 | 75° convergent angle (Sourced from (Liang and Ness, 1997)) | 62 |
| 4.6 | Convergent region (20°) (Sourced from (Liang and Ness, 1997)) | 62 |
| 4.7 | Convergent angle at 130° | 63 |
| 4.8 | Convergent angle for PMMA material | 64 |
| 4.9 | Effect on the pressure drop with varying diameter | 65 |
| 4.10 | Error of circular nozzle (Sourced from (Brooks et al., 2011)) | 66 |
| 4.11 | Printed sample with different volumes for measuring the extrusion time | 67 |
| 4.12 | Comparison of time taken with different nozzle diameters to extrude square parts | 68 |
| 4.13 | Highest and lowest pressure drop region | 69 |
| 4.14 | Simulated Temperature distribution on the liquefier design (a) Original design (D1); (b) cylindrical design (D2); (c) Hexagonal design (D3); (d) Rectangular design (triangle shape) (D4). | 70 |
| 4.15 | Simulated temperature at the nozzle tip | 71 |
| 4.16 | Liquefier region (Sourced from Bellini et al., 2004) | 71 |
| 4.17 | Comparison of heating rates for all designs (a) Original design (D1); (b) cylindrical design (D2); (c) Hexagonal design (D3); (d) Rectangular design (triangle shape) (D4) | 73 |
| 4.18 | Simulated minimum temperature for D2 design | 74 |
| 4.19 | EDX analysis for the original liquefier | 74 |
| 4.20 | EDX analysis for the new liquefier | 75 |
| 4.21 | Samples made with raster angle (from left to right) 45°, 70°, and 90° | 77 |
| 4.22 | Main Effects Plot (A) Mean as a function of layer | 78 |

thickness; (B) Mean as a function of raster angle; (C) Mean as a function of percentage infill; (D) Mean as a function of extruder temperature; (E) Mean as a function of printing speed

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 4.23 | Finished parts made at different temperatures (a) Side view; (b) Top view; (c) Overdeposited; (d) Inconsistent layer | 78 |
| 4.24 | All nine printed parts for tensile test | 79 |
| 4.25 | Major effects plot for shell thickness | 81 |
| 4.26 | Scanning electron microscopy image of 1.2 mm shell thickness | 81 |
| 4.27 | Interaction plot of layer thickness | 82 |
| 4.28 | Interaction plot of shell thickness and printing speed | 82 |
| 4.29 | Some defects due to high printing speed (a) Undeposited layer thickness; (b) Inconsistent road width; (c) Overdeposited | 83 |
| 4.30 | Original nozzle (nozzle 1) | 84 |
| 4.31 | The new design of the nozzle | 85 |
| 4.32 | The new nozzle installed in the 3D printer machine | 85 |
| 4.33 | Printed part using both nozzles (a) original nozzle; (b) new nozzle | 86 |
| 4.34 | Comparison on the printed parts using both nozzles (i) & (iii): Nozzle 2; (ii) & (iv): Nozzle 1 | 89 |
| 4.35 | Ten printed samples using nozzle 1 (top) and nozzle 2 (bottom) | 90 |
| 4.36 | Tensile test results of specimens printed from nozzle 1 and nozzle 2 (a) Specimen 1; (b) Specimen 2; (c) Specimen 3; (d) Specimen 4; (e) Specimen 5 | 92 |
| 4.37 | Comparison samples for average tensile stress for specimens from nozzle 1 and nozzle 2 | 92 |
| 4.38 | Different size of road widths printed by nozzle 1 | 93 |
| 4.39 | Inconsistency on each layer of printed parts (a) Side view of the specimen; (b) View of every layer | 93 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.40 | Consistency of layers of printed parts (a) Side view of the specimen; (b) View of every layer | 94 |
| 4.41 | Samples printed for compression test (a) Nozzle 1; (b) Nozzle 2 | 94 |
| 4.42 | Compression test results from both Nozzle 1 and Nozzle 2 (a) Specimen 1; (b) Specimen 2; (c) Specimen 3; (d) Specimen 4; (e) Specimen 5 | 96 |
| 4.43 | Comparison of compressive stress for each sample specimen and its average | 96 |
| 4.44 | Layer structure after compression printed by nozzle 1 | 97 |
| 4.45 | Fine layer structure after compression printed by using nozzle 2 | 97 |
| 4.46 | Fine bonding of each layer printed by using nozzle 2 | 98 |
| 4.47 | (a) Inconsistent extruder temperature (nozzle 1); (b) Consistent extruder temperature (nozzle 2) | 98 |
| 4.48 | Percentage of porosity in each sample from Experiment I | 100 |
| 4.49 | Percentage of porosity in each sample from Experiment II | 100 |
| 4.50 | Scaffold structure for PLA material in grid type of infill 10% of infill; (b) 20% of infill; (c) 30% of infill; (d) 40% of infill; (e) 50% of infill | 101 |
| 4.51 | Scaffold structure for PMMA material in line type of infill (a) 10% of infill; (b) 20% of infill; (c) 30% of infill; (d) 40% of infill; (e) 50% of infill | 102 |
| 4.52 | The scaffold structure for line type for PLA and PMMA (x 20 magnification) (a) Top view of PLA (b) Side view of PLA (c) Top view of PMMA (d) Side view of PMMA | 103 |
| 4.53 | Compressive stress comparison for Experiment I | 104 |
| 4.54 | Compressive stress comparison for Experiment II | 105 |
| 4.55 | Comparison of scaffold structure (a) Dense structure (b) Less dense structure | 105 |
| 4.56 | Printing process using PMMA material with controlled porosities with ratio 1:5 | 106 |
| 4.57 | Fabrication of the porous bone model using PLA material | 106 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.58 | Fabrication of the porous bone model using PMMA material | 107 |
| 4.59 | Fabrication of humerus bone using PMMA material | 107 |



LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| A | Expensive FDM technology | 117 |
| B | Low-cost 3D printer | 118 |
| C | Benchmark from the previous study (Source from (Mahesh et al., 2004)) | 119 |
| D | Patents Form Page 1 | 120 |
| E | Patents Form Page 2 | 121 |
| F | Patents Claims | 122 |
| G | Patents Drawing Page 1 | 123 |
| H | Patents Drawing Page 2 | 124 |
| I | Patents Drawing Page 3 | 125 |
| J | Patents Drawing Page 4 | 126 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|--|
| ABS | Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene |
| ADPS | Adaptable Filament Deposition System |
| AM | Additive Manufacturing |
| ANOVA | Analysis of Variance |
| ASTM | American Society for Testing and Materials |
| CAD | Computer Aided Design |
| CT | Computed Tomography |
| DOE | Design of Experiment |
| ECMs | Natural Extracellular Matrices |
| FDA | Food and Drug Administration |
| FDM | Fused Deposition Modeling |
| FEA | Finite Element Analysis |
| HIPS | High Impact Polystyrene |
| ISO | International Standards |
| LOM | Laminated Object Manufacturing |
| MRI | Magnetic Resonance Imaging |
| PCL | Poly(ϵ -caprolactone) |
| PLA | Polylactic Acid |
| PMMA | Polymethylmethacrylate |
| PEEK | Polyether-Ether-Ketone |
| RP | Rapid Prototyping |
| SEM | Scanning Electron Microscope |
| SFF | Solid Freeform Fabrication |
| SLA | Stereolithography |
| STL | Standard Triangular Language |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Technology advancement has brought great implications to human beings in various ways. Rapid prototyping (RP) is one of the technologies that brings all the possibilities in fabricating 3D models especially in the biomedical field. It is synonym with solid freeform fabrication (SFF) which can create solid structure in layer by layer fashion upon creating design prototype by employing computer aided design (CAD) model data, computer tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans data, which then can be converted into standard triangular language (STL) format (Wohlers, 1998). Different forms of solid modeling can be fabricated from various materials making RP as one of the superior technologies in fabricating models within a short period of time.

The development of RP technology in the medical field brings major contribution in terms of product performance and cycle time reduction (Varatharaj et al., 2014). Nowadays the production of bone graft using RP technology has been widely applied. The production of scaffolds structure which requires high accuracy can simply be made by importing the required data from the computer (Zein et al., 2002).

The most commonly used RP technology in the field of manufacturing bone structure is fused deposition modeling (FDM) (Zein et al., 2002, Espalin et al., 2010). The flexibility of this technology in manufacturing scaffold design in which its parameters such as layer thickness and air gap can be manipulated, has been the reason for employing FDM technology in producing porous bone structure. Although the RP technology can easily create 3D model, it is very expensive with costs ranging from \$100,000 to \$500,000 (Turner et al., 2014). Since the expiration of FDM patent a few years ago (Crump, 1992), the technology of open-source 3D Printer has emerged with an affordable price (Jones et al., 2011). However, the accuracy of modeling parts requires further improvement. So far, limited research has been done pertaining to the open-source 3D Printer. Melenka et al. (2015) conducted a research using MakerBot Replicator 2 Desktop 3D Printer and the results demonstrates that printed parts show significant deviation from the nominal value (Melenka et al., 2015). The research suggested that careful selection of printing parameters can minimize these problems.

In order for the open-source 3D printer to have wide variety of applications in fabricating 3D model, particularly in medical field, the technology requires further analysis and development to fulfill the requirement in terms of accuracy, consistency and stability of the extruded parts. Recent researches only focus

on the process parameters to improve the finish parts (Melenka et al., 2015, Galantucci et al., 2015). However, the hardware system is also an important element that contributes to the better extrusion process, hence providing good products. Thus, this brings in an opportunity to further improve on this technology. One of the most important parts is the 3D printer head, which comprises of nozzle, liquefier and heating element. It is the heart of the machine as the quality and compatibility of the material being extruded depends on the 3D printer head. In addition, the properties of material need to be investigated to allow smooth extrusion, consistent, accurate and not to clog the printer head during the extrusion process.

Hence, this study, intends to analyze and develop open-source 3D printer nozzle components. The reason for selecting the open-source 3D printer in this study is because of the cost of the technology which is affordable as well as the flexibility and accessibility of this technology. In this study, focuses is placed on several aspects such as nozzle die angle, nozzle diameter, and liquefier design which have significant impact on the extrusion process. The new open-source 3D printer nozzle will be used to fabricate porous bone structure using synthetic biomaterials.

1.2 Problem Statement

The demand in fabricating 3D model has increased over the years thus making the RP technology as one of the most chosen technologies. The industry has grown since 2010 with estimated around \$1.325 billion for products and services and this number will increase by the year 2020 with estimated around \$5 billion (Turner et al., 2014). Despite being the chosen technology in fabricating 3D models especially FDM, the price is still so much expensive and can hardly penetrate all levels of industry. It is started with Stratasys which was developed in the early of 90's and introduced a starting price ranging from with the price starts from above 15,000 euros and above (Minetola et al., 2016). They has developed a brand named Fortus of their FDM line which cost from \$100,000-500,000 per unit (Turner et al., 2014). However, since the expiration of FDM pattern a few years ago, a low-cost 3D printing technology has been developed and introduced by Jones et al. in 2011 at an affordable price. A lot of manufacturers produced a low-cost 3D printer ranging from \$1,500-5,000 (Turner et al., 2014). The main issue in term of cost can be seen as the major factor in choosing the technology. The comparison for both expensive and low-cost technology can be referred in the Appendices of A and B. If both technology has been compared in terms of overall manufacturing cost, the difference is very significant.

The comparison between the RepRap technology (open-source) which introduced by Jones (Jones et al., 2011) and Fortus 400mc from Stratasys shows the difference in term of price for more than \$100,000. Basically, the main components for a low-cost 3D printer consists of controller, stepper motors, extruder, heated bead, and other parts that are available at any online

stores with a low price. In addition, the particular software is available online and can be downloaded for free. The current product which will be used in this research cost approximately \$625 (RM2500) (Appendix B) which is far cheaper compare to the expensive one available in the market.

The ability of FDM system in producing complex structure has been widely used in fabricating 3D model in medical field. Finding the best method in fabricating the numerous products such as tissue would be very crucial. It has been an alternative material for orthopedic surgeries such biodegradable material which matching with the new tissue (Park et al., 2007). Biodegradable material synonyms with synthetic biomaterial and possess some unique characteristics such as degrade over the period of time and possess adequate mechanical properties to sustain load during healing process (Park et al., 2007). Polylactic acid (PLA) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) are the popular material used in biomedical fields. The challenge in extruding these materials using 3D printer is to understand the properties and the flow behavior along the liquefier. Since PLA and PMMA material possess quite low melting temperature, the temperature inside the liquefier must be kept as low as possible because if the material exposes to high temperature it will burn and contaminate the remaining material (Gibson et al., 2015). Furthermore, to maintain the properties of synthetic biomaterials being extrude, the temperature need to be carefully monitored and provide stable temperature within the system could be the key to have successful fabrication process. However, there are some issues related to the low-cost 3D printer. Research has been done to evaluate the dimensional accuracy using MarketBot 3D Printer where results demonstrated significant deviation from the nominal dimension (Melenka et al., 2015, Roberson et al., 2013). Other study has also measured the accuracy of 3D printer where differences of approximately 20% were observed between final product and CAD drawings (Roberson et al., 2013). Another research also shows that the low-cost 3D printer of 3D Touch™ shows significant different in dimensional accuracy compare to the expensive of Dimension Elite™ (Minetola et al., 2016).

The current issue with the open-source 3D printer is its performance to satisfy the industry's requirement. These problems form a great opportunity to improvise the technology in various aspects particularly with low-cost 3D printer that can to penetrate the industry in high demand such as in the biomedical field for fabrication of human bone (Gibson et al., 2015). It is advantageous to have a low-cost machine that features similar quality as obtained from the high-end technology, which can fabricate complex parts such as porous human bone structure using synthetic biomaterials which is compatible to the human body. By improving the open-source 3D printer nozzle which comprises of nozzle parts, liquefier and insulator, the finish parts of the extruded materials can be improved and fabrication of complex structure such as porous structure can be achieved.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are as follows:

- i. To analyze the factors affecting the accuracy, consistency and stability of extrusion process of synthetic biomaterials including, nozzle die angle, nozzle diameter and liquefier design.
- ii. To develop the new nozzle based on the nozzle die angle, nozzle diameter and liquefier design.
- iii. To compare the dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties of finish parts using the newly developed 3D printer nozzle to the original 3D printer nozzle.
- iv. To fabricate the porous bone structure using the newly 3D printer nozzle of open-source 3D printer and synthetic biomaterials as the filament material.

1.4 Significance of Research

The significance of this study is the development of a new nozzle of open-source 3D printer for fabrication of porous bone structure. The rapid prototyping (RP) technology becomes rapidly developed over the years because of the ability to create a 3D model within short period of time. It saves millions of dollars on the production making this technology widely used in fabrication technology especially in the medical area. One of major concern here is the high cost of RP technology.

In order to make this technology economically feasible, the introduction of new technology of open-source 3D printer in the year of 2011 after the expiration of FDM patent brings a new era of fabricating 3D model in such affordable price. However, this open-source 3D printer has several drawbacks where the performance is still not proven. This research will focus on analyzing and developing the nozzle which concerns several aspects to improve the performance of open-source 3D printer in fabricating more complex models especially porous bone structure. Moreover, this research will bring a new idea and dimension on fabricating complex shape in medical area at an affordable price.

1.5 Scope of the Research

The scope of this research covers an analysis and development of open-source 3D printer nozzle which consists of liquefier, nozzle die angle and diameter, and insulator. The area of improvements is made on the 3D printer nozzle to achieve accuracy, stability and consistency in fabricating complex parts such as porous bone structure using synthetic biomaterials (PLA). The

new nozzle was developed based on the analyzed factor. This research presents simulation and experimental studies to investigate the factor affecting accuracy, consistency and stability of the extrusion process. Secondly, the study compares the newly developed printer nozzle with the original ones, in terms of dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties. The mechanical properties include tensile test which follows Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics ASTM D638-10 standard and compressive test which is done according to the Standard Test Method for Compressive Properties of Rigid Plastics ASTM D695 standard. Lastly, the newly developed 3D printer nozzle is used to fabricate scaffold design and porous bone structure by using synthetic biomaterials that is polylactic acid (PLA) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) by following Standard Guide for Assessing Microstructure of Polymeric Scaffolds for Use in Tissue Engineered Medical Products ASTM F2450-04.

1.6 Organisation of the Thesis

The research consists of five chapters that covered all the project and the details of the thesis structure are presented as follows:

Chapter 1 – The problems of this research that contribute to the objectives as well as significance of this research. The scope is also presented in this chapter.

Chapter 2 – Comprehensive literature review related to this research and previous study that provides basic understanding throughout the research is presented in this chapter.

Chapter 3 – The methodology of the study is represented in this chapter. The analysis and development of the nozzle design are highlighted. The parameters setting also were investigated to find the best setting for the printing process. Later, the simulation until the design selection and the standard method to measure the dimensional accuracy, as well as mechanical properties are further elaborated. The scaffold design also is discussed in this chapter.

Chapter 4 – Chapter 4 concerns with the discussion on the results obtained from the simulation process and the final design. The fabrication of the new nozzle and together with the comparison results between the newly developed nozzle and the original nozzle in terms of dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties also are discussed. The fabrication of the scaffold structure is also presented.

Chapter 5 – The conclusions and the suggestion for the future research were presented in this section.

REFERENCES

- AGRAWAL, C. M., NIEDERAUER, G. G. & ATHANASIOU, K. A. 1995. Fabrication and characterization of PLA-PGA orthopedic implants. *Tissue Engineering*, 1, 241-252.
- AHMED, J., VARSHNEY, S. K., AURAS, R. & HWANG, S. W. 2010. Thermal and Rheological Properties of L-Polylactide/Polyethylene Glycol/Silicate Nanocomposites Films. *Journal of Food Science*, 75.
- AHN, S.-H., MONTERO, M., ODELL, D., ROUNDY, S. & WRIGHT, P. K. 2002. Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 8, 248-257.
- AKANDE, S. O. 2015. Dimensional Accuracy and Surface Finish Optimization of Fused Deposition Modeling Parts using Desirability Function Analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*, 4, 196-202.
- ATIF, Y. M., HATTORI, T., GUCERI, S. I. & DANFORTH, S. C. Thermal analysis of fused deposition. Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium Proceedings, Austin, Texas, USA, 1997.
- BAKAR, N. S. A., ALKAHARI, M. R. & BOEJANG, H. 2010. Analysis on fused deposition modeling performance. *Journal of Zhejiang University-Science A*, 11, 972-977.
- BELLINI, A., GÜÇERİ, S. & BERTOLDI, M. 2004. Liquefier dynamics in fused deposition. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 126, 237-246.
- BROOKS, H., RENNIE, A., ABRAM, T., MCGOVERN, J. & CARON, F. Variable fused deposition modeling: analysis of benefits, concept design and tool path generation. 5th International Conference on Advanced Research in Virtual and Rapid Prototyping, Leiria, Portugal, 28/09/2011 2011 Leiria, Portugal. CRC Press, 511-517.
- BURGE, S. 2011. The systems engineering tool box.
- CHUA, C. K., LEONG, K. F. & LIM, C. S. 2003. *Rapid Prototyping: Principles and Applications 2nd Edition (with Companion CD-ROM)*, World Scientific Publishing Co Inc.
- COGSWELL, F. 1972. Converging flow of polymer melts in extrusion dies. *Polymer Engineering & Science*, 12, 64-73.
- CRUMP, S. S. 1992. Apparatus and method for creating three-dimensional objects (US Patent No. US5121329 A). Google Patents.

- DOMANSKI, J., SKALSKI, K., GRYGORUK, R. & MRÓZ, A. 2015. Rapid prototyping in the intervertebral implant design process. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 735-746.
- DORGAN, J. R., LEHERMEIER, H. & MANG, M. 2000. Thermal and rheological properties of commercial-grade poly (lactic acid) s. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, 8, 1-9.
- DRUMMER, D., CIFUENTES-CUÉLLAR, S. & RIETZEL, D. 2012. Suitability of PLA/TCP for fused deposition modeling. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 18, 500-507.
- ESPALIN, D., ARCAUTE, K., RODRIGUEZ, D., MEDINA, F., POSNER, M. & WICKER, R. 2010. Fused deposition modeling of patient-specific polymethylmethacrylate implants. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 16, 164-173.
- FEYGIN, M., SHKOLNIK, A., DIAMOND, M. N. & DVORSKIY, E. 1998. Laminated object manufacturing system (USPatent No. US5730817 A). Google Patents.
- GALANTUCCI, L., BODI, I., KACANI, J. & LAVECCHIA, F. 2015. Analysis of dimensional performance for a 3D open-source printer based on fused deposition modeling technique. *Procedia CIRP*, 28, 82-87.
- GIBSON, I., CHEUNG, L., CHOW, S., CHEUNG, W., BEH, S., SAVALANI, M. & LEE, S. 2006. The use of rapid prototyping to assist medical applications. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 12, 53-58.
- GIBSON, I., ROSEN, D. & STUCKER, B. 2015. *Additive Manufacturing Technologies*, New York, Springer.
- GOMES, M. E., AZEVEDO, H. S., MOREIRA, A., ELLÄ, V., KELLOMÄKI, M. & REIS, R. 2008. Starch–poly (ϵ -caprolactone) and starch–poly (lactic acid) fibre-mesh scaffolds for bone tissue engineering applications: structure, mechanical properties and degradation behaviour. *Journal of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine*, 2, 243-252.
- GRIDA, I. & EVANS, J. R. 2003. Extrusion freeforming of ceramics through fine nozzles. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 23, 629-635.
- HAMAD, K., KASEEM, M. & DERI, F. 2011. Melt rheology of poly (lactic acid)/low density polyethylene polymer blends. *Advances in Chemical Engineering and Science*, 1, 208-214.
- HAN, W., JAFARI, M. A. & SEYED, K. 2003. Process speeding up via deposition planning in fused deposition-based layered manufacturing processes. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 9, 212-218.

- HOQUE, M. E., CHUAN, Y. L. & PASHBY, I. 2012. Extrusion based rapid prototyping technique: an advanced platform for tissue engineering scaffold fabrication. *Biopolymers*, 97, 83-93.
- HULL, C. W. 1986. Apparatus for production of three-dimensional objects by stereolithography (US Patent No. US4575330 A). Google Patents.
- HULL, C. W. & LUNT, J. 1998. Large-scale production, properties and commercial applications of polylactic acid polymers. *Polymer degradation and stability*, 59, 145-152.
- HUTMACHER, D. W. 2000. Scaffolds in tissue engineering bone and cartilage. *Biomaterials*, 21, 2529-2543.
- IWAMI, K. & UMEDA, N. 2011. Rapid prototyping in biomedical engineering. *Advanced Applications of Rapid Prototyping Technology in Modern Engineering*. Rijeka, Croatia: InTech.
- JONES, R., HAUFE, P., SELLS, E., IRAVANI, P., OLLIVER, V., PALMER, C. & BOWYER, A. 2011. RepRap—the replicating rapid prototyper. *Robotica*, 29, 177-191.
- JOVANOVIĆ, M. L., MILIĆ, P., JANOŠEVIĆ, D. & PETROVIĆ, G. 2010. Accuracy of FEM analyses in function of finite element type selection. *Facta universitatis-series: Mechanical Engineering*, 8, 1-8.
- KAJI, F. & BARARI, A. 2015. Evaluation of the surface roughness of additive manufacturing parts based on the modeling of cusp geometry. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 48, 658-663.
- KOLEVA, M. 2005. Poly (methyl methacrylate)(PMMA). *Injection moulding materials*.
- KONTAKIS, G. M., PAGKALOS, J. E., TOSOUNIDIS, T. I., MELISSAS, J. & KATONIS, P. 2007. Bioabsorbable materials in orthopaedics. *Acta Orthopaedica Belgica*, 73, 159.
- LANZOTTI, A., GRASSO, M., STAIANO, G. & MARTORELLI, M. 2015. The impact of process parameters on mechanical properties of parts fabricated in PLA with an open-source 3-D printer. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 604-617.
- LEE, A., LING, R., GHEDUZZI, S., SIMON, J.-P. & RENFRO, R. 2002. Factors affecting the mechanical and viscoelastic properties of acrylic bone cement. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 13, 723-733.
- LEE, A., LING, R. & VANGALA, S. 1978. Some clinically relevant variables affecting the mechanical behaviour of bone cement. *Archives of orthopaedic and traumatic surgery*, 92, 1-18.

- LEE, C. 2005. Properties of bone cement: The mechanical properties of PMMA bone cement. *Breusch SJ, Malchau H, Edrs. The Well-Cemented Total Hip Arthroplasty. Heidelberg: Springer*, 60-66.
- LIANG, J.-Z. 1995. Effect of the die angle on the extrusion swell of rubber compound. *Journal of materials processing technology*, 52, 207-212.
- LIANG, J. & NESS, J. 1997. Effect of die angle on flow behaviour for high impact polystyrene melt. *Polymer testing*, 16, 403-412.
- LUTOLF, M. & HUBBELL, J. 2005. Synthetic biomaterials as instructive extracellular microenvironments for morphogenesis in tissue engineering. *Nature biotechnology*, 23, 47.
- LUŽANIN, O., MOVRIN, D. & PLANČAK, M. 2014. Effect of layer thickness, deposition angle, and infill on maximum flexural force in FDM-built specimens. *Journal for Technology of Plasticity*, 39, 49-58.
- MAHESH, M., WONG, Y., FUH, J. & LOH, H. 2004. Benchmarking for comparative evaluation of RP systems and processes. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 10, 123-135.
- MAKEITFROM.COM. 2016. *Polylactic Acid PLA Polylactide* [Online]. MakeltFrom.com. Available: <http://www.makeitfrom.com/material-properties/Polylactic-Acid-PLA-Polylactide#Properties> [Accessed December 1, 2016 2016].
- MELENKA, G. W., SCHOFIELD, J. S., DAWSON, M. R. & CAREY, J. P. 2015. Evaluation of dimensional accuracy and material properties of the MakerBot 3D desktop printer. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 618-627.
- MIDDLETON, J. C. & TIPTON, A. J. 2000. Synthetic biodegradable polymers as orthopedic devices. *Biomaterials*, 21, 2335-2346.
- MINETOLA, P., IULIANO, L. & MARCHIANDI, G. 2016. Benchmarking of FDM machines through part quality using IT grades. *Procedia CIRP*, 41, 1027-1032.
- MIRELES, J., ESPALIN, D., ROBERSON, D., ZINNIEL, B., MEDINA, F. & WICKER, R. Fused deposition modeling of metals. The Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, 2012 Austin, Texas, USA. 836–845.
- MONTERO, M., ROUNDY, S., ODELL, D., AHN, S.-H. & WRIGHT, P. K. Material characterization of fused deposition modeling (FDM) ABS by designed experiments. Proceedings of Rapid Prototyping and Manufacturing Conference, 2001. 1–21.
- MOSTAFA, N., SYED, H. M., IGOR, S. & ANDREW, G. 2009. A study of melt flow analysis of an ABS-Iron composite in fused deposition modeling process. *Tsinghua Science & Technology*, 14, 29-37.

- NAIR, L. & LAURENCIN, C. 2006. Polymers as biomaterials for tissue engineering and controlled drug delivery. *Tissue Engineering I. Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*, 102, 47-90.
- NOVAKOVA-MARCINCINOVA, L. & NOVAK-MARCINCIN, J. 2013. Verification of mechanical properties of abs materials used in FDM rapid prototyping technology. *Proceedings in manufacturing systems*, 8, 87-92.
- ONAGORUWA, S., BOSE, S. & BANDYOPADHYAY, A. Fused deposition of ceramics (FDC) and composites. *Pro SFF*, 2001. 224–231.
- ONWUBOLU, G. C. & RAYEGANI, F. 2014. Characterization and Optimization of Mechanical Properties of ABS Parts Manufactured by the Fused Deposition Modeling Process. *International Journal of Manufacturing Engineering*, 2014, 1-13.
- PARK, H., TEMENOFF, J. S. & MIKOS, A. G. 2007. Biodegradable orthopedic implants. *Engineering of functional skeletal tissues*. Springer.
- PATEL, P., PATEL, J. & MANIYA, K. 2015. Evaluation of FDM Process Parameter for PLA Material by Using MOORA-TOPSIS Method. *vol*, 3, 84-93.
- RAMANATH, H., CHUA, C., LEONG, K. & SHAH, K. 2008. Melt flow behaviour of poly- ϵ -caprolactone in fused deposition modeling. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 19, 2541-2550.
- RICHARDSON, M. & HAYLOCK, B. 2012. Designer/maker: the rise of additive manufacturing, domestic-scale production and the possible implications for the automotive industry. *Computer-Aided Design & Applications PACE*, 2, 33-48.
- ROBERSON, D., ESPALIN, D. & WICKER, R. 2013. 3D printer selection: A decision-making evaluation and ranking model. *Virtual and Physical Prototyping*, 8, 201-212.
- ROCK, R., JOSEPH, J., AVE, T. & DARRAH, J. F. 1992. Method for selective laser sintering with layerwise cross-scanning (US Patent No. US5155324). Google Patents.
- ROXAS, M. & JU, S. 2008. Fluid dynamics analysis of desktop-based fused deposition modeling rapid prototyping. *Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Toronto*.
- SAMEER, C. R., ABHAYKUMAR, M. K., TUSHAR, R. D. & SANDEEP, W. D. 2014. Rapid prototyping-assisted fabrication of the customized metatarsophalangeal joint implant (SamKu) A case report. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 20, 270-279.

- SOOD, A. K., OHDAR, R. K. & MAHAPATRA, S. S. 2010. Parametric appraisal of mechanical property of fused deposition modeling processed parts. *Materials & Design*, 31, 287-295.
- SUNGSANIT, K., KAO, N., BHATTACHARYA, S. & PIVSAART, S. 2010. Physical and rheological properties of plasticized linear and branched PLA. *Korea-Australia Rheology Journal*, 22, 187-195.
- TSENG, A. A. 2000. Adaptable filament deposition system and method for freeform fabrication of three-dimensional objects (United States Patent No. US 6 251 340 B1). Google Patents.
- TURNER, B. N. & GOLD, S. A. 2015. A review of melt extrusion additive manufacturing processes: II. Materials, dimensional accuracy, and surface roughness. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 250-261.
- TURNER, B. N., STRONG, R. & A. GOLD, S. 2014. A review of melt extrusion additive manufacturing processes: I. Process design and modeling. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 20, 192-204.
- VARATHARAJ, K. S., YOGASUNDAR. ST, SURAJ SINGH. R & TAMILARASU. S, B. 2014. Rapid Prototyping of Human Implants with Case Study. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 3, 319-324.
- VASIREDDI, R. & BASU, B. 2015. Conceptual design of three-dimensional scaffolds of powder-based materials for bone tissue engineering applications. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 716-724.
- VASUDEVARAO, B., NATARAJAN, D. P., HENDERSON, M. & RAZDAN, A. Sensitivity of RP surface finish to process parameter variation. Solid freeform fabrication proceedings, 2000. The University of Texas Austin, 251-258.
- VAZQUEZ, O. R., AVILA, I. O., DÍAZ, J. C. S. & HERNANDEZ, E. 2016. An overview of mechanical tests for polymeric biomaterial scaffolds used in tissue engineering. *Journal of Research Updates in Polymer Science*, 4, 168-178.
- WAY, W. Y., AICHOUNI, M., ZULKIFLEE, M., DERIFAE, A. & SALLEHUDDIN, M. Fabrication and design of customized maxillofacial biomodel for implant using computer aided design and rapid prototyping technique. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 2013. Trans Tech Publ, 406-409.
- WAY, W. Y., ZAWANAH, Z. N., NURSHAFIKA, A., ANI, M. & MUSTAFAH, Y. Fabrication of Hip and Pelvis Part for Designing Acetabular Implant by Using Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) Machine. *Advanced Materials Research*, 2014. Trans Tech Publ, 1235-1238.

- WOHLERS, T. 1998. Rapid Prototyping & Tooling State of the Industry: 1998 Worldwide Progress Report. *Materials Technology*, 13, 174-176.
- WOHLERS, T. 2013. Additive manufacturing and 3D printing state of the industry annual worldwide progress report. *Engineering Management Research*, 2, 209-222.
- WOHLERS, T. & GORNET, T. 2014. History of additive manufacturing. *Wohlers report*, 24, 118.
- WU, G., LANGRANA, N. A., SADANJI, R. & DANFORTH, S. 2002. Solid freeform fabrication of metal components using fused deposition of metals. *Materials & Design*, 23, 97-105.
- WU, W., GENG, P., LI, G., ZHAO, D., ZHANG, H. & ZHAO, J. 2015. Influence of layer thickness and raster angle on the mechanical properties of 3D-printed PEEK and a comparative mechanical study between PEEK and ABS. *Materials*, 8, 5834-5846.
- YAN, L., LI, D., LU, B., GAO, D. & ZHOU, J. 2015. Current status of additive manufacturing for tissue engineering scaffold. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 21, 747-762.
- YORUC, A. B. H. & SENER, B. C. 2012. Biomaterials, A Roadmap of Biomedical Engineers and Milestones. Intech Publications.
- ZEIN, I., HUTMACHER, D. W., TAN, K. C. & TEOH, S. H. 2002. Fused deposition modeling of novel scaffold architectures for tissue engineering applications. *Biomaterials*, 23, 1169-1185.
- ZIEMIAN, C., SHARMA, M. & ZIEMIAN, S. 2012. Anisotropic mechanical properties of ABS parts fabricated by fused deposition modeling. *Mechanical engineering*. InTech.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal articles

Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., & Mohd, A. (2016). An Analysis on Finding the Optimum Die Angle of Polylactic Acid in Fused Deposition Modeling, *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 835, 254–259. <http://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.835.254>

Sukindar, N. A., Ariffin, M. K. A., Hang Tuah Baharudin, B. T., Jaafar, C. N. A., & Ismail, M. I. S. (2016). ANALYZING THE EFFECT OF NOZZLE DIAMETER IN FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING FOR EXTRUDING POLYLACTIC ACID USING OPEN SOURCE 3D PRINTING. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(10). <http://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v78.6265>

Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S. (2017). Comparison on Dimensional Accuracy Using a Newly Developed Nozzle for Open-Source 3D Printer, *Applied Mechanics and Materials* 859, 15–19. <http://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.859.15>

Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, ANALYSIS ON TEMPERATURE SETTING FOR EXTRUDING POLYLACTIC ACID USING OPEN-SOURCE 3D PRINTER,” *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1348–1353, 2017.

Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, “ANALYSIS ON THE IMPACT PROCESS PARAMETERS ON TENSILE STRENGTH USING 3D PRINTER REPETIER-HOST SOFTWARE,” *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 3341–3346, 2017.

Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S., “Optimization of the Parameters for Surface Quality of the Open-source 3D Printing,” Accepted in *Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. SI 3, no. 1, pp. 33–43, 2017.

Sukindar, N. A., Mohd Ariffin, M. K. A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Jaafar, C. N. A., & Ismail, M. I. S. Effect Nozzle Die Angle on Extruding Polymethylmethacrylate in Open-source 3D Printing Effects of Nozzle Die Angle on Extruding Polymethylmethacrylate in Open-Source 3D Printing, *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, Vol. 15, 663–665, 2018. **Impact Factor 1.666**. <http://doi:10.1166/jctn.2018.7141>

Sukindar, N. A., Khairol, M., Mohd, A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S., Analysis of Mechanical Properties of Polylactic Acid

Using a New 3D Printer Nozzle, *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, Vol. 15, 666–675, 2018. **Impact Factor 1.666**.
<http://doi:10.1166/jctn.2018.7142>

Conferences

Sukindar, N. A., Mohd Ariffin, M. K. A., Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Jaafar, C. N. A., & Ismail, M. I. S. (2017). Evaluation on the Process Parameters Influencing the Surface Texture using Open Source 3D Printing. *Advanced Processes and Systems in Manufacturing an International Conference 2016*, 127–128. (ISBN: 978-967-13625-1-8 (online)). Indexed Web of Science.

Khairol, M., Mohd, A., **Sukindar, N. A.**, Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S. Slicer Method Comparison Using Open-source 3D Printer (**Accepted** in 2017 6th International Conference on Material Science and Engineering Technology (ICMSET 2017)

Khairol, M., Mohd A., **Sukindar, N. A.**, Baharudin, B. T. H. T., Nor, C., Jaafar, A., Ismail, S., Slicer Method Comparison Using Open-source 3D Printer, *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 114 (2018) 012018*.
[http://doi :10.1088/1755-1315/114/1/012018](http://doi:10.1088/1755-1315/114/1/012018)

Patent

1. Filament Nozzle Extruder For Polylactic Acid (PLA) Material, Application No. **PI 2016703433**. Filing Date: 21/09/2016. Applicant's file reference: *UPM/100-45/2 (A) KA1*

Award

1. Bronze Medal, Filament Nozzle Extruder for Synthetic Biomaterial, Exhibition of Inventions, Research and Innovation UPM (PRPi 2016), 15th – 16th November 2016.



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : _____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

DEVELOPMENT OF PRINTER NOZZLE FOR EXTRUDING SYNTHETIC BIOMATERIALS
USING FUSED DEPOSITION MODELING PROCESS

NAME OF STUDENT: NOR AIMAN BIN SUKINDAR

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (✓)

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]