



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***DEVELOPMENT OF EMPIRICAL MODELS FOR GROUND-BORNE
VIBRATION FROM ROAD TRAFFIC***

NORLIANA SULAIMAN

FK 2018 33



**DEVELOPMENT OF EMPIRICAL MODELS FOR GROUND-BORNE
VIBRATION FROM ROAD TRAFFIC**

By

NORLIANA SULAIMAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

October 2017

COPYRIGHT

All Material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icon, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

To my Mum and my late Dad, *Faridah* and *Sulaiman*, my dear Husband, *Muhammad Akram*, and my children, *Amir*, *Amin*, *Alya* and *Aisyah*.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**DEVELOPMENT OF EMPIRICAL MODELS FOR GROUND-BORNE
VIBRATION FROM ROAD TRAFFIC**

By

NORLIANA SULAIMAN

October 2017

Chairman : Professor Bujang Bin Kim Huat, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

Ground-borne vibration produced from road traffic has become an area of interest in recent years due to environmental concern. Most countries have encountered the ground-borne vibration problems when the buildings and structures are near the roadway system. The ground-borne vibration may cause annoyance to the people and also can affect buildings and equipment near the roadway system. Many developed countries have designed their own approach on how to overcome the ground-borne vibration problem. In Malaysia, guidelines have been developed to describe the ground vibration threshold. However, no specific technique has been introduced on how to measure the ground vibration level. Furthermore, important parameter such as the soil dynamics factor were not considered in the current ground-borne vibration model. Therefore, the goal of this study was to develop an empirical model that can predict the ground borne-vibration from road traffic towards the surrounding area that would incorporate soil dynamics, pavement characteristics, and traffic parameters. Additionally, this study was undertaken to complement the established Malaysian guidelines using a model developed from empirical multiple regression analysis.

The research study involved at eight different sites within Selangor and with single carriageway. Several important parameters such as soil shear wave velocity, international roughness index (IRI), distance from vibration source, vehicles flow rate and speed were collected from site study. Current models were tested with field data to evaluate the reliability. The results indicated inaccurate prediction when it used with local field data. Multiple linear regression analysis with a systematic methodological procedures were used to develop the models. Three empirical models that are reliable to estimate ground vibration were developed in the study. These models are meant for estimating hard ground vibration, soft ground vibration and general ground vibration surrounding the highway area. Several significant parameters were found from the multiple regression analysis for each model. All models had been tested using new dataset and the verification results showed that there is a good agreement between the model predictions and the empirical measurement for all models. The sensitivity analysis has shown that the vehicle flow rate is highly sensitive when predicting soft ground vibration. The distance from the source of vibration is a highly sensitive

parameter for predicting hard ground vibration. Finally, the findings of this study can serve as a starting point towards developing national guidelines, for a more sustainable road development and environmental safety.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai mementuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBANGUNAN MODEL EMPIRIKAL GETARAN BAWAAN TANAH DARIPADA LALU LINTAS DI JALAN RAYA

Oleh

NORLIANA SULAIMAN

Oktober 2017

Pengerusi : Profesor Bujang Bin Kim Huat, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Getaran bawaan tanah yang terhasil daripada lalu lintas di jalan raya semakin menarik perhatian dalam beberapa tahun kebelakangan ini disebabkan oleh kebimbangan terhadap alam sekitar. Kebanyakan negara mengalami masalah getaran bawaan tanah apabila bangunan dan struktur terletak berdekatan sistem jalan raya. Getaran bawaan tanah boleh mengakibatkan gangguan kepada manusia dan juga menjejaskan bangunan dan peralatan yang terletak berdekatan dengan sistem jalan raya. Kebanyakan negara maju membangunkan pendekatan tersendiri untuk menyelesaikan masalah getaran bawaan tanah ini. Di Malaysia, garis panduan telah dibangunkan untuk menggambarkan ambang getaran tanah. Namun begitu, teknik khusus untuk mengukur tahap getaran tanah masih belum diperkenalkan. Tambahan pula, parameter penting seperti faktor dinamik tanah tidak dipertimbangkan di dalam model getaran bawaan tanah semasa. Oleh itu, matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan model empirikal yang mampu meramal getaran bawaan tanah daripada lalu lintas di jalan raya, terhadap kawasan sekitarnya yang mengambil kira dinamik tanah, ciri-ciri kaki lima, dan parameter lalu lintas. Tambahan pula, kajian ini dijalankan bagi melengkapkan garis panduan yang telah terbentuk di Malaysia menggunakan model yang dibangunkan daripada analisis regresi berganda empirikal.

Kajian penyelidikan ini dilaksanakan di lapan tapak kajian berbeza sekitar Selangor dan di dalam kategori jalan selorong. Beberapa parameter penting seperti kelajuan gelombang ricih tanah, indeks kekasaran antarabangsa (IRI), jarak daripada sumber getaran, kadar aliran dan laju kenderaan dikumpulkan daripada tapak kajian. Model semasa di uji menggunakan data tapak bagi menilai kebolehpercayaannya. Keputusan mendapati ramalannya tidak tepat apabila menggunakan data tapak tempatan. Analisis regresi berganda dengan tatacara metodologi sistematik digunakan bagi membangun model. Tiga jenis model empirikal yang boleh dipercayai dibangunkan untuk menganggar getaran tanah dalam kajian ini. Model-model ini bertujuan untuk menganggar getaran tanah keras, getaran tanah lembut dan getaran tanah umum di sekitar kawasan lebuhraya. Beberapa parameter penting di jumpai daripada analisis regresi berganda untuk setiap model. Kesemua model ini diuji menggunakan set data

baru dan keputusan pengesahan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat persetujuan baik antara model-model ramalan dan pengukuran empirikal oleh kesemua model. Keputusan analisis sensitiviti menunjukkan bahawa kadar aliran kenderaan adalah sangat sensitif ketika membuat ramalan untuk getaran tanah lembut. Jarak daripada sumber getaran adalah parameter yang sangat sensitif untuk meramal getaran tanah keras. Akhir sekali, hasil kajian ini boleh menjadi titik permulaan ke arah membangunkan garis panduan kebangsaan, demi pembangunan jalan raya yang lebih mampan dan keselamatan alam sekitar.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My gratitude goes to Allah SWT for all the blessings and graces that provide me health, wisdom and whole of my thesis work and study a reality and success. Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Prof. Bujang Kim Huat for the continuous support of my Ph.D. study and related research, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my Ph.D. study. Besides my advisor, I would like to thank the rest of my supervisory committee: Prof. Thamer Ahmad Muhammad, Dr. Afshin Asadi and Dr. Haslinda Nahazanan for their insightful comments and encouragement, but also for the hard question which incited me to widen my research from various perspectives. My sincere thanks also goes to Dr. Adnan and Ms. Aini from UTHM, Ms. Fadhilah from UPNM, Ab. Mughni and laboratory technician from UiTM, En. Khairul Annuar Mohd Nayan from UKM, who gave access to the laboratory and research facilities. Without their precious support it would not be possible to conduct this research. I would like to thank the UPM staff and friends who have supported me along the way. I am grateful to my mother, Faridah Idros, my parent in law, Noriah Abdul Majid, Adnan Abdul Rahman and my family, who have provided me through moral and emotional support in my life. Last but not the least, I would like to express my ocean of love and grateful to my beloved husband Muhammad Akram Adnan for supporting me spiritually, emotionally, physically and endless love throughout writing and completing this thesis and my life in general. Also to my sons Amir Syarif, Amin Syukri and my daughters, Alya Syafiah and Aisyah Sofia, I'm sure that, they are going to be great, *Insyah-Allah*.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Bujang Bin Kim Huat, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Haslinda Nahazanan, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Thamer Ahmad Mohammad Ali, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Norliana Sulaiman (GS37080)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered too.

Signature: _____

Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory

Committee: Prof. Dr. Bujang Bin Kim Huat

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory

Committee: Dr. Haslinda Nahazanan

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory

Committee: Prof. Dr. Thamer Ahmed Mohammad Ali

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	Page
	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem of statement	1
1.3 Objectives of thesis	2
1.4 Scope and limitation of study	3
1.5 Significance of study	3
1.6 Gap of research study and novelty value	4
1.7 Research question and hypothesis	4
1.8 Organization of the thesis	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 GBV due to road traffic	6
2.2.1 Source of vibration	7
2.2.2 Propagation Path	9
2.2.3 Type of vibration receiver	12
2.3 Road traffic vibration prediction model	16
2.4 Review of standards and guideline	20
2.5 Field instrumentation study and empirical data collection review	25
2.5.1 Shear wave velocity measurement	25
2.5.2 Vehicle speed measurement	30
2.5.3 Pavement roughness index measurement	31
2.5.4 Ground vibration measurement	32
2.6 Highway and traffic system in Malaysia	35
2.6.1 Road classification and design standard	36
2.6.2 Traffic principles	38
2.6.2.1 Flow rate	38
2.6.2.2 Speed	39
2.6.2.3 Density	39
2.6.2.4 Flow, speed and density relationship	40
2.6.2.5 Gap, headway and occupancy	41
2.7 Summary	41

3	METHODOLOGY	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Overview of the methodology	43
3.3	Data collection	48
3.3.1	Measurement of soil parameter	48
3.3.2	Measurement of traffic parameter	52
3.3.3	Measurement of pavement parameter	56
3.3.4	Measurement of ground vibration	58
3.4	Preliminary data analysis	59
3.5	Data synchronization	59
3.6	Data sorting and reduction	59
3.7	Outliers identification	59
3.8	Descriptive statistics	59
3.9	Justification of existing ground borne vibration model with regards to empirical field data	60
3.10	Potential variable determination in describing response variable	60
3.11	Model development based on selected potential variable	60
3.12	Residual diagnostic	61
3.13	Model validation	62
3.14	Sensitivity analysis	62
3.15	Summary	62
4	DATA ANALYSIS AND MODEL DEVELOPMENT	63
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Geotechnical condition for case study sites	63
4.3	Traffic engineering parameter	67
4.4	Pavement characteristic parameter	68
4.5	Ground vibration parameter of case study site	70
4.6	Descriptive statistic of the data	71
4.7	Empirical data analysis	72
4.8	Justification of existing ground borne vibration model with regards to empirical field data	75
4.9	Determination of potential variable in describing response variable	76
4.10	Development of ground vibration model	79
4.10.1	Descriptive statistic for hard and soft ground vibration data	79
4.10.2	Multiple linear regression for hard and soft ground models	82
4.10.3	Scatter plot of residual for hard and soft ground vibration model	84
4.10.4	Normality test for hard and soft ground vibration residual	86
4.11	Development of general ground vibration model	87
4.12	Summary	91
5	MODELS VALIDATION	92
5.1	Introduction	92
5.2	Methods of checking validity	92
5.3	Descriptive statistics of the validation data set	93
5.4	The goodness of fit for models	94
5.5	Comparing of hard ground vibration VIB_{hard} predicted with	

	VIB _{hard empirical} using paired t-Test	97
5.6	Comparing of soft ground vibration VIB _{soft predicted} with VIB _{soft empirical} using paired t-Test	98
5.7	Comparing of hard ground vibration VIB _{predicted} with VIB _{empirical} using paired t-Test	98
5.8	Summary	99
6	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND APPLICATION OF THE DEVELOPED MODELS	100
6.1	Introduction	100
6.2	Sensitivity analysis approach	100
6.2.1	Analysis for hard ground vibration model	101
6.2.1.1	Condition A	102
6.2.1.2	Condition B	103
6.2.1.3	Condition C	103
6.2.2	Analysis for soft ground vibration model	104
6.2.2.1	Condition A	106
6.2.2.2	Condition B	107
6.2.2.3	Condition C	107
6.2.2.4	Condition D	108
6.2.2.5	Condition E	109
6.3	Application of the developed models	110
6.4	Summary	111
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE STUDY	112
7.1	Conclusion	112
7.2	Recommendation for future study	114
	REFERENCES	115
	APPENDICES	123
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	152
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	153

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Tolerable vibration induced by road traffic in buildings	15
2.2 Vibration criteria	15
2.3 Generic vibration criterion for sensitive equipment	16
2.4 Ground characteristics effect on transmission of vibration	19
2.5 Reaction of human comfort to vibration magnitude	20
2.6 Multiplying factor in building vibration with regard to human response in building	21
2.7 VDV ranges value which may result an adverse comment within residential building	22
2.8 Guideline values for vibration velocity for evaluation of short-term and long term vibration on structures	22
2.9 Threshold limits for human response and annoyance from steady state (left) and short term vibration (right)	23
2.10 Recommended threshold limit for damage risk in building	24
2.11 Correlation between geological material and shear wave velocity (V_s) based on SASW measurement	29
2.12 International Roughness Index threshold	32
2.13 Passenger car equivalents for two-lane highways	39
3.1 Conversion Factor to P.C.E.'s	55
3.2 Pavement surface roughness level	56
4.1 Soil properties of site study	63
4.2 Geology and soil classification from Selangor soil map	65
4.3 Soil shear modulus from SASW and Laboratory	67
4.4 Traffic engineering parameters of sites study	68
4.5 Summary of IRI data, pavement width and thickness	70

4.6	Descriptive statistic for hard ground vibration model (VIB_{hard})	72
4.7	Descriptive statistic for soft ground vibration model (VIB_{soft})	72
4.8	Discrepancy of the Watts and Long models with empirical data	76
4.9	Predictor variables in Watts and Long model and this study	79
4.10	Descriptive statistic for measured ground vibration	80
4.11	The correlation matrix among variables for VIB_{hard} model	81
4.12	The correlation matrix among variables for VIB_{soft} model	81
4.13	Regression analysis for VIB_{hard} hard ground vibration model	82
4.14	Analysis of variance for model VIB_{hard}	82
4.15	Regression analysis for VIB_{soft} soft ground vibration model	83
4.16	Analysis of variance for model VIB_{soft}	84
4.17	Skewness and kurtosis value for residual VIB_{hard} and VIB_{soft}	87
4.18	Descriptive statistic for general ground vibration model (VIB)	88
4.19	The correlation matrix among variables for VIB model	88
4.20	Regression analysis for VIB general ground vibration model	89
4.21	Analysis of variance for model VIB	89
4.22	Skewness and kurtosis value for VIB general vibration residual	91
5.1	Descriptive statistic for the validation dataset (VIB_{hard})	93
5.2	Descriptive statistic for the validation dataset (VIB_{soft})	94
5.3	Descriptive statistic for the validation dataset (VIB)	94
5.4	Validation analysis results for VIB_{hard} , VIB_{soft} and VIB	97
5.5	Statistical evaluation of VIB_{hard} predicted model	97
5.6	Statistical evaluation of VIB_{soft} predicted model	98
5.7	Statistical evaluation of $VIB_{predicted}$ model	98
6.1	Configuration value for sensitivity analysis in condition A, hard ground vibration model	101

6.2	Configuration value for sensitivity analysis in condition B, hard ground vibration model	101
6.3	Configuration value for sensitivity analysis in condition C, hard ground vibration model	102
6.4	Configuration value for sensitivity analysis in condition A, soft ground vibration model	105
6.5	Configuration value for sensitivity analysis in condition B, soft ground vibration model	105
6.6	Configuration value for sensitivity analysis in condition C, soft ground vibration model	105
6.7	Configuration value for sensitivity analysis in condition D, soft ground vibration model	105
6.8	Configuration value for sensitivity analysis in condition E, soft ground vibration model	106

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 Old and historical building located nearby the roadway in Negeri Sembilan and Penang, Malaysia	1
1.2 Peninsular Malaysia map (left) and Selangor map (right)	3
2.1 Three main factors of ground borne vibration the source, propagation path and receiver	7
2.2 Source of vibration cause by vehicles	8
2.3 Flexible pavement layer	9
2.4 Propagation of ground vibration in different soil type	10
2.5 P-wave, S-wave and R-wave propagation through material	12
2.6 The percentage of people with different degrees of annoyance by calculated statistical maximum values for weighted velocity, $V_{w, 95}$	13
2.7 Examples of Building located nearby the roadway in Negeri Sembilan	14
2.8 Base curve for vibration in building	21
2.9 Building vibration z-axis curves (a) and x-axis, y-axis curves (b) for peak velocity	24
2.10 Schematic diagram of the resonant column apparatus	25
2.11 Schematic diagram of the bended element test	26
2.12 Seismic refraction test at site	27
2.13 Seismic reflection test at site	27
2.14 Cross section of the ground along the survey line from seismic refraction with associated velocities represent in color	28
2.15 Instrumentation of SASW method at site	28
2.16 Schematic diagram of SASW method	29
2.17 Radar gun (a) and laser gun (b)	30
2.18 The IRI roughness scale	32

2.19	Vibration measurement components, transducer (c), amplifier (b) and recorder (a)	33
2.20	Example of devices arrangement for 148th street and North Highway, Colombia	34
2.21	Types of accelerometer used to measure vibration from high speed train	34
2.22	Geophone arrangement mounted on road pavement surface	34
2.23	Time histories of vertical particle velocity of two vehicles	35
2.24	Single carriageway at Persiaran Mokhtar Dahari, Selangor with R5/U5 design standard	37
2.25	Typical cross section of single carriageway	38
2.26	General relationships among speed, flow rate and density	40
2.27	Definition of gap and headway	41
3.1	Study Methodology Flowchart	44
3.2	Eight sites study area map (1. Sabak Bernam. 2. Sepang. 3. Jalan Meru. 4. Jalan Sungai Puloh. 5. Persiaran Mokhtar Dahari. 6. Semenyih. 7. Bukit Beruntung. 8. Bukit Sentosa)	46
3.3	Google map view of the eight selected regions in Selangor	46
3.4	Dynamic analyzer (a), geophone (b) and sledge hammer for impact source (c)	48
3.5	Schematic diagram of SASW method performed at site	49
3.6	Masking procedure used to remove the near-field effects (green area); unwrapped phase difference of the transfer function	49
3.7	The wrapped phase of individual field dispersion curve	50
3.8	The experimental dispersion curve generated from wrapped phase data of all receiver spacings	50
3.9	The theoretical dispersion curve with the experimental dispersion curve	51
3.10	Preparation of 100mm diameter undisturbed sample for dynamic triaxial test (a) and preservation of undisturbed soil sample sealed with wax on both end (b)	52
3.11	Road tube (a) and trax applyon (b)	53
3.12	Standard deviation of flow rate with length of time interval	54

3.13	FHWA vehicle classification scheme	55
3.14	Steps involve in the installation of the roughnometer	57
3.15	Pavement coring at site study	57
3.16	Mini-Seis seismograph component (a) and seismograph installed at site (b and c)	58
4.1	Shear wave velocity profile for all sites study	66
4.2	Sample of traffic data at Batu Arang	67
4.3	Sample calculation from Microsoft excel and manual to obtained vehicle flow rate at Persiaran Mokhtar Dahari	68
4.4	(a). Graph of IRI (m/km) vs distance (km) at Sepang. (b). Map of IRI index at Sepang	69
4.5	Measurement of pavement width and thickness	70
4.6	Three components of particle velocity on the ground at Persiaran Mokhtar Dahari.	71
4.7	Sample of vibration data at Persiaran Mokhtar Dahari	71
4.8	Graph of vibration against soil shear wave velocity	73
4.9	Graph of ground vibration against pavement thickness	73
4.10	Graph of hard ground vibration against distance	74
4.11	Graph of soft ground vibration against distance	74
4.12	Predicted VIB_{WATTS} versus empirical vibration, VIB	75
4.13	Predicted VIB_{LONG} versus empirical vibration, VIB	76
4.14	Potential/predictor variables determined from the literature review and previous research study	78
4.15	Histogram (with normal curve) for measured vibration, VIB_{hard}	80
4.16	Histogram (with normal curve) for measured vibration, VIB_{soft}	80
4.17	Graph of residual versus fitted value for VIB_{hard} hard ground vibration Model	85

4.18	Graph of residual versus fitted value for VIB_{soft} soft ground vibration Model	85
4.19	Histogram of residual with normal curve for VIB_{hard} hard ground Vibration	86
4.20	Histogram of residual with normal curve for VIB_{soft} soft ground vibration	87
4.21	Graph of residual versus fitted value for VIB general ground vibration	90
4.22	Histogram of residual with normal curve for VIB general ground Vibration	91
5.1	Predicted hard ground vibration, VIB_{hard} versus measured hard ground vibration, VIB_{hard}	95
5.2	Predicted soft ground vibration, VIB_{soft} versus measured soft ground vibration VIB_{soft} from validation database	96
5.3	Predicted simplified ground vibration $VIB_{predicted}$ versus empirical general ground vibration $VIB_{empirical}$	96
6.1	Sensitivity output for condition A, VIB_{hard}	102
6.2	Sensitivity output for condition B, VIB_{hard}	103
6.3	Sensitivity output for condition C, VIB_{hard}	104
6.4	Sensitivity output for condition A, VIB_{soft}	106
6.5	Sensitivity output for condition B, VIB_{soft}	107
6.6	Sensitivity output for condition C, VIB_{soft}	108
6.7	Sensitivity output for condition D, VIB_{soft}	109
6.8	Sensitivity output for condition E, VIB_{soft}	110

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BS	British Standard
DIN	Deutsches Institut für Normung
ISO	International Standard Organization
PWD	Public Work Department
GBV	Ground-borne vibration
Hz	Hertz
IRI	International roughness index
ADT	Average daily traffic
CBR	California Bearing Ratio
ATJ	Arahan Teknik Jalan
CDOT	California Department of Transportation
PPV	Peak particle velocity
V_w	Weighted velocity
DOE	Department of Environmental
RMS	root mean square
VC	Vibration curve
VDV	Vibration dose value
W_b	Vertical motion
W_d	Horizontal motion
SASW	Spectral analysis surface wave
ATC	Automatic traffic counter
TMS	Time mean speed

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Ground-borne vibration (GBV) produced from road traffic has become an area of interest in recent years due to environmental concern. Many countries have encountered ground borne vibration problems when the buildings and structures are near the roadway system. Normally in the dense populated area, traffic volume and vehicle speed increase. This has created the issue of ground vibration. These issues are generally responsible for the increasing disturbance to human and surrounding structures.

The GBV from road traffic is very unlikely to cause major damage to the buildings and structures. But the environmental and economic aspects of the ground vibration issue required a careful assessment of the problem especially in a congested developed area. The study attempts to develop a prediction model for GBV that can be a tool to be used in different stages of roadway design process and in planning mitigation measures assessment. Using this model, local authorities and practitioners can study this problem during the planning stage and propose the mitigation methods, if necessary.

1.2 Problem of statement

The GBV may cause annoyance to the people living near the roadway system and also can aggravate some sensitive equipment. These include disturbing the operation of equipment for research, microelectronics manufacturing and medical diagnostics. This GBV also may affect the structure or building elements such as wall and ceiling, the high technology buildings, old and historical building as shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1: Old and historical building located nearby the roadway in Negeri Sembilan and Penang, Malaysia

Most developed countries such as in United Kingdom and Germany have their own approach on how to assess and evaluate the GBV problem. The example standards and guidelines for measurement and evaluation of ground vibration on human and structures are BS 6472-1(2008), DIN 4150-3(1999), ISO 2631- 1(1997) and ISO 2631- 2(2003). In Malaysia, the planning guideline for “Vibration Limits and Control in the Environment” has been developed in 2007 to guide ground vibration acceptance criteria for quantitative assessment of vibration. In this guideline, only the vibration threshold has been stated and no tool or method to measure or predict the ground vibration level has been specified. The only way to measure the ground vibration level is by seismograph or geophone which is very costly. In Malaysia, not all local authorities can afford these expensive equipment.

A lot of research on GBV coming from train traffic. Examples are Chua et al. (1995), Madshus et al. (1996), Turunen-Rise (2001), Turunen-Rise et al. (2003), Paolucci et al. (2003), Bahrekazemi (2004), With et al., (2006), Gupta et al. (2008), Lombaert and Degrande (2009), Salvador (2011), Kouroussis et al. (2013) and Paneiro et al. (2015). Long (1993), Watts (1996), Watts and Krylov (2000), Watts and Stait (2008), Lak et al. (2011) and Tuan Chik et al. (2013) studied GBV from road traffic. However, very few researchers have conducted on empirical studies based on soil dynamics, pavement characteristics and traffic parameters from road traffic. Additionally, important parameter such as the soil dynamics factor were not considered in the current ground-borne vibration model.

Therefore this study was done to complement the established Malaysian guidelines on ‘Vibration Limits & Control in Environment’ with the focus on an empirical study that reflects Malaysian road, climatic, and soil conditions. It is therefore, necessary to develop an empirical model that can predict the GBV from road traffic affecting the surrounding area based on soil dynamics, pavement characteristics and traffic parameters. The empirical model that will be developed is expected to be able to predict GBV due to road traffic especially in the preliminary stage of the projects and in the planning of mitigation measures.

The GBV problem is seen as complex and it normally involves a number of different disciplines such as mechanical, structural, highway engineering and geotechnics (Crispino & D’apuzzo, 2001). Therefore, this research study involves multidiscipline fields such as soil dynamics, highway and traffic engineering for developing the empirical model.

1.3 Objectives of thesis

The aim of this study is to develop an empirical model of GBV that affects livability and sustainable roadway development. The specific objectives of the study are:

1. To evaluate the reliability of existing GBV model with empirical field data.
2. To determine the significant and critical parameters of GBV model.

3. To develop models of GBV which are able to relate soil dynamic parameters, pavement characteristics, and traffic parameters.
4. To validate and perform sensitivity analysis in order to evaluate sensitivity of each parameter of the developed models.

1.4 Scope and limitation of study

In this study, data collections for the empirical model were based on the road traffic in Selangor as shown in Figure 1.2. All site approved by the Public Work Department (PWD). Eight regions of study area covers northern, southern, western, and central region of Selangor in Peninsular Malaysia. The sites were selected based on various ranges of soil underneath, pavement characteristic and composition of traffic on the roadway.




Figure 1.2: Peninsular Malaysia map (left) and Selangor map (right)

All sites are based on the PWD design standard with the specifications of U5 urban road design standards and R5 rural road design standards with single carriageway. All sites were under the jurisdiction of the PWD and proper approval was taken.

1.5 Significance of study

This research will develop an empirical model that can relate GBV to soil, pavement characteristic and traffic engineering parameters in the setting of Malaysian road condition. The GBV empirical models that are developed in this study will become a basis in a prediction tool for the future use of the developer and planner for Malaysian standard estimation in the GBV from roadway system.

1.6 Gap of research study and novelty value

This research study will successfully bridge the gap of knowledge towards understanding of GBV that involve soil dynamic parameters, pavement characteristics and traffic engineering parameters. Several parameters involved in the prediction of GBV due to vehicle movements. A crucial parameter involves in soil dynamics is shear wave velocity. The pavement parameters involved are pavement thickness, pavement width and pavement roughness index. The traffic engineering parameters are vehicle speed and flow rate.

The research study outcome will be seen as a successful reliable empirical model that uses systematic methodological procedures. The empirical models developed will become an effective tool for the practitioners and local authorities to estimate and predict the GBV generated by surrounding road traffic.

1.7 Research question and hypothesis

This study involves GBV induced by road traffic. Among research questions that need to be answered are:

- Is there any relationship between GBV and traffic parameter such as speed and flow rate of vehicles?
- Is there any relationship between GBV and pavement characteristics such as, pavement thickness and pavement roughness index?
- Is there any relationship between GBV and soil parameter such as shear wave velocity?

The hypotheses of this research based on the research question stated are:

- It is hypothesized that the higher the vehicles speed and flow rate, the more the ground vibration.
- It can be hypothesized that in thinner and rougher pavement, more GBV will be experienced.
- If the shear wave velocity of soil increases, the GBV will decrease.
- If the field data were tested with existing GBV models (Watts and Long Models), the prediction will be inaccurate.
- It can be hypothesized that combination of all parameters would be able to calculate the magnitude of GBV.

1.8 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis consists of 7 chapters. Chapter 1 discusses introduction to the subject, problem statement, scope and limitation of the study, research objectives, principle contribution, and outline of the thesis. A background review of related research in this field is summarized in Chapter 2. This chapter starts with a review of the GBV

and is followed by discussion of the relevant research findings in GBV. Specifically, the reviews included some necessary background of ground vibration due to traffic, vibration effect, prediction models, guidelines and standards.

Chapter 3 describes the research methodology of this study. This chapter explains the methodology including location of the sites, flow chart of the study general plan, data collection and methodology involved in modelling the GBV. Chapter 4 discusses data analysis and outcome of the model developed. All developed models were validated through some processes in order to test its accuracy and this is discussed in Chapter 5. Sensitivity analysis and application of the models are presented in Chapter 6. The last chapter, Chapter 7 summarizes the major findings of this research, conclusions together with future research recommendations.



REFERENCES

- Ab Mughni, A. G., Adnan, M. A., Zamalik, S. Z., Jamali, F., Mohammad, M., Karim, Z. A., dan Sulaiman, N. (2016). Evaluation of Operating Speed at Multilane Highway along Jalan Meru: Case Study of Reliability of Posted Speed Limit. In *InCIEC 2015* (pp. 995-1006). Springer Singapore.
- Adnan, M. A., Adnan, N., and Sulaiman, N. (2012). Visual Field Monitoring of Road Defect and Modeling of Road Pavement Vibration From Moving Truck Traffic. IEEE Colloquium on Humanities, Science and Engineering Research, CHUSER2012. Sabah, Malaysia.
- Adnan, M. A., Sulaiman, N., Zainuddin, N. I. and Besar, T. B. H. T. (2013). Vehicle speed measurement technique using various speed detection instrumentation. In Business Engineering and Industrial Applications Colloquium (BEIAC), IEEE (pp. 668-672). IEEE.
- Agostinacchio, M., Ciampa, G. & Olita, S. (2014). The Vibration Induced by Surface Irregularities in Road Pavements- a Mathlab® approach. European Transportation Research Review, 6 , 267-275.
- Amick, H., Gendreau, M., Busch, T. and Gordon, C. (2005) Evolving criteria for research facilities: I – Vibration. Proceedings of SPIE Conference 5933: Buildings for Nano scale Research and Beyond San Diego, CA, 31 Jul. to 1 Aug.
- Arahan Teknik Jalan (2013). Manual for the structural Design of the Flexible Pavement. Cawangan Kejuruteraan Jalan dan Geoteknik. Public Work Department of Malaysia.
- ATJ (Arahan Teknik Jalan) (2014). (A Guide on Geometric Design of Roads) (Pindaan 2014). Cawangan Kejuruteraan Jalan dan Geoteknik. Public Work Department of Malaysia.
- ARRB (Australian Road Research Board) Group (2009). User Manual Roughnometer III. Vermont South, Australia. . Part No: UM-RM3-USR. Issue Date 2/7/2009.
- ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineers) (2006). Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings. ASCE/SEI 41-06, Reston, VA.
- ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineers) (2010). Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures. ASCE/SEI 7-10, Reston, VA.
- Bahrekazemi M. (2004). Train-induced ground vibration and its prediction. PhD Thesis, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden.
- Bay, J.A. (2000). Site characterization using the spectral analysis of surface waves method. Seminar at the University of Alabama at Birmingham.

- Besar, T. B. H. T., and Adnan, M. A. (2015). Modelling Malaysian operating speed prediction model at two-lanes rural highway while exiting curve. *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, 2(12), 67-72.
- Berezan, J.J. (2006). Human Vibration Monitoring System. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Alberta, Canada.
- Bencat, J. (1993). Investigation of traffic induced ground vibration by random process theory. *International conference on Case History in Geotechnical Engineering*. June 1-4. No 4.11.
- BS 1377 (1990). Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes. General requirements and sample preparation. Part 1.
- BS 6472-1(2008). Guide to evaluation of human exposure to vibration in buildings Part 1: Vibration sources other than blasting.
- British Standard. (1990). (BS 7385-1).Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings. *Part 1. Guide for Measurement of Vibrations and Evaluation of Their Effects on Buildings*. Retrieved from <http://vibration.shef.ac.uk/doc/0441.pdf>
- Building Seismic Safety Council (2003). NEHRP Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures and Accompanying Commentary and Maps, FEMA 450, Chapter 3, pp. 17–49.
- Braile, L. (2010). Seismic Wave Demonstrations and Animations. Purdue University. (<http://web.ics.purdue.edu/~braile/edumod/waves/WaveDemo.htm>)
- California Building Standards Commission (2010). California Building Code, California Code of Regulations Title 24, Part 2, Volume 2 of 2.
- Cao, Y. M., Xia, H., & Lombaert, G. (2010). Solution of moving-load-induced soil vibrations based on the Betti-Rayleigh Dynamic Reciprocal Theorem. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 30(6), 470–480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2010.01.003>
- Cenek, P.D., Sutherland, A.J. and McIver, I.R. (2012) Ground vibration from road construction. NZ Transport Agency research report 485. 80pp.
- CDOT (2013). Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual. September 2009 California: California Department of Transportation.
- CDOT (2006). Seismic Design Criteria. (California Department of Transportation) Version 1.4, June.
- Chan, C. M. (2010). Bender Element Test in Soil Specimens: Identifying the Shear Wave Arrival Time. *EJGE* 15, 1263–1276.
- Chua, K. H. Lo, K. W., and Balendra. T, (1995). Building Response Due To Subway Train Traffic. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 121, No. 11.

- COTO, Committee of Transport Officials (2007). Guidelines for Network Level Measurement of Road Roughness. Version 1.0. April. South Africa.
- Crispino, M. and D'Apuzzo, M. (2001). Measurement and Prediction of Traffic-Induced Vibrations in a Heritage Building. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 246(2), 319-335.
- Das, B.M. and Luo, Z. (2015). *Principles of Soil Dynamics*. 3rd Edition. Cengage Learning, USA.
- Das, B.M. and Ramana, G.V. (2011). *Principles of Soil Dynamics*. 2nd Edition. Cengage Learning, USA.
- DOE (2007). *The Planning Guidelines for Vibration Limits and Control in the Environment*. Department of Environment, Ministry of Natural Resources & Environment, Malaysia.
- DIN-3. (1999). Deutsches Institut für Normung. *Structural vibrations – Part 3: Effects of vibration on structures*.
- Du, Y., Liu, C., Wu, D. and Jiang, S.C. (2014) Measurement of International Roughness Index by Using Axis Accelerometers and GPS. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* Volume. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/928980>)
- Gámez, H. V., Rodríguez, A. R. and Valencia. D. R. (2011). Measurement and interpretation of vibrations produced by the traffic in Bogota D.C. *Rev. ing. constr.* vol.26 no.1 Santiago. *Revista Ingeniería de Construcción* Vol. 26 No 1, 61-80.
- Geophysical Survey Fact File. Retrieved on 13 March 2017. <http://www.geophysical.biz/seisrf1.htm>
- George and Mallery (2010). *SPSS for windows step by step: A simple Guide and reference* (17.0 ed.) Boston: Pearson.
- Gillespie, T.R., Sayers, M.W., and Segel, L. (1980). *Calibration and Correlation of Response-Type Road Roughness Measuring Systems*. Final Report. Highway Safety Research Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Guan Tan, C., Majid, T.A., Ariffin, K.S. and Bunnori, N.M. (2012). Site-Specific Empirical Correlation between Shear Wave Velocity and Standard Penetration Resistance using MASW Method. *IEEE Colloquium on Humanities, Science & Engineering Research (CHUSER 2012)*, December 3-4, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia
- Gupta, S., Liu, W.F., Degrande, G., Lombaert, G., and Liu. W.N. (2008). Prediction of vibrations induced by underground railway traffic in Beijing. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 310, 608-630
- Gutowski T. G. and Dym C. L. (1976). *Propagation of Ground Vibration: A Review*. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 49(2), 179-193.
- Hajek, J.J., Blaney C. T. and Hein, D. K. (2006). *Mitigation of Highway Traffic-Induced Vibration*. Session on Quiet Pavements: Reducing Noise and Vibration

Annual Conference of the Transportation Association of Canada
Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island.

Hao, H. and Hang, T. C. (1998) Measurement and analysis of impact pile driving induced surface ground motions”, Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering, the Institution of Engineers, Singapore, Vol. 38(2), pp19-26.

Henwood, J.T. and Haramy, K.Y. (2002). Vibrations Induced by Construction Traffic: A Historic Case Study. (Retrieved on 29 July 2015- (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/esc/geotech/geo_support/geophysics_geology/pdf/geophysics_2002/043henwood_construction_vibrations.pdf)

Hons, M., Stewart, R., Lawton, D., Bertram, M. and Hauer. G. (2008). Accelerometer vs. Geophone Response: A Field Case History. CSPG/CSEG/CWLS GeoConvention 2008, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, May 12-15.

<http://www.environmental-geophysics.co.uk/documentation/info/seismics.pdf>
(retrieved on 13 March 2017)

Hunaidi, O. (2000). Traffic Vibration in Buildings. Construction Technology Update No 39. Institute for Research in Construction, Canada.

Hunaidi O, Guan W, Nicks J. (2000). Building vibrations and dynamic pavement loads induced by transit buses. Journal of Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering; 19(6):435–53.

Hunaidi, O. and Tremblay, M. (1997). Traffic-induced Building Vibration in Montreal. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 24(5), 736-753.

Hunaidi, O., Rainer, J, H. and Pernica, G. (1994). Measurement and Analysis of Traffic-Induced Vibration. Second International Symposium- Transport Noise and Vibration, St Petersburg, Russia. 103-108.

Hunt H. E. M. and Hussein M. F. M. (2007). Ground-Borne Vibration Transmission from Road and Rail Systems: Prediction and Control. Handbook of Noise and Vibration Control. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Hunt, H.E.M. (1991) 'Stochastic modelling of traffic-induced ground vibrations", Journal of Sound and Vibration 144, 53-70.

Ismail N.N. (2012). Refinement of Beam Forming Technique for Practical and Reliable Stiffness Profiling. Master Thesis. Chung-Ang University.

ISO 2631-1. International Organization for Standardization. (1997). Mechanical vibration and shock – Evaluation of human exposure to whole-body vibration – Part 1: General requirements.

ISO 2631-2. International Organization for Standardization. (2003). Mechanical vibration and shock – Evaluation of human exposure to whole-body vibration – Part 2: Vibration in buildings (1 Hz to 80 Hz)

Javier Fernando Camacho-Tauta, Juan David Jiménez Álvarez and Oscar Javier Reyes-Ortiz.(2012) A Procedure to Calibrate and Perform the Bender Element

- Ju, S.H. (2009). Finite Element Investigation of Traffic Induced Vibrations. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 321, 837-853
- Kliukas, R., Kačianauskas, R. and Jaras, A. (2008). Investigation of Traffic-Induced Vibration in Vilnius Arch-Cathedral Belfry, *Transport* 23(4): 323–329. doi:10.3846/1648-4142.2008.23.323-329
- Klæboed, R., Turunen-Rise, I.H., Harvik, L., Madshus, C. (2003). Vibration in Dwellings from Road and Rail Traffic-Part II: A New Norwegian Measurement Standard and Classification System. *Applied Acoustics*, 64, 89–109.
- Kouroussisa, G., Conti, C. and Verlinden, O. (2013). A numerical analysis of the influence of tram characteristics and rail profile on railway traffic ground-borne noise and vibration in the Brussels Region. STOTEN-14840; No of Pages 9.
- Kouroussisa, G., Conti, C. and Verlinden, O. (2014). Building vibrations induced by human activities: a benchmark of existing standards. *Mechanics & Industry* 15, 345–353.
- Kutz, M. (2011). *Handbook of Transportation Engineering*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Kuznetsov, S.V. and Nafasov, A.E. (2011). Horizontal Acoustic Barriers for Protection from Seismic Waves. *Advances in Acoustics and Vibration*. Volume 2011, Article ID 150310.
- Kyong-Soo, Y. (1987). An empirical analysis of the behavior of weaving traffic in a freeway weaving section. PhD, Dissertation. Ohio State University.
- Lak, M.A., Degrande, G. and Lombaert, G. (2011). The Effect of Road Unevenness on The Dynamic Vehicle Response and Ground-Borne Vibration due to Road Traffic. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 31, 1357-1377.
- Leslie, D. and Sarah, S. (2000). University of the West of England, Bristol in association with Swiss Federal Technical Institute, Zurich. May. Based on part of the GeotechniCAL reference package by Prof. John Atkinson, City University, London. (Retrieved on 13 November 2017 - <http://environment.uwe.ac.uk/geocal/SoilMech/basic/soilbasi.htm>)
- Liu, X., Yang, J., Wang, G. and Chen, L. (2016). Small-strain shear modulus of volcanic granular soil: An experimental investigation. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 86, 15–24.
- Lombaert G, and Degrande G. (2001). Experimental validation of a numerical prediction model for free field traffic induced vibrations by in situ experiments. *Soil Dynamic Earthquake Engineering*; 21:485–97.
- Lombaert G, and Degrande G. (2003). The experimental validation of a numerical model for the prediction of the vibrations in the free field produced by road traffic. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 262, 309–331

- Lombaert, G. and Degrande, G. (2009). Ground-borne vibration due to static and dynamic axle loads of InterCity and high-speed trains. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 319, 1036–1066.
- Lai, C. G. (2000). *Spectral Analysis of Surface Waves Active Methods Technical Recommendations*.
- Long, L.T. (1993). Measurements of Seismic Road Vibrations. In *Proceeding of the Third International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering*, Paper # 4. 10 p. 677-680.
- Madshus, C., Bessason, C., and Harvik, L. (1996) “Prediction model for low frequency vibration from high speed railways on soft ground,” *J. Sound Vib.*, vol. 193, no. 1, pp. 195–203.
- Marcotte P, Beaugrand S, Boutin J, Larue C. (2010). Design and evaluation of a suspension seat to reduce vibration exposure of subway operators: a case study. *Ind Health*; 48 (5):715-24.
- Mathew Tom V. (2010). Traffic stream models. *Lecture notes in Transportation Systems Engineering*. Retrieved on 20 February 2017. (https://www.civil.iitb.ac.in/tvm/1100_LnTse/503_InTse/plain/plain.html)
- MOA (Ministry of Agricultural), (1966). *Selangor Schematic Reconnaissance Soil Map*. Director of Agricultural Malaysia.
- MDOT (Minnesota Department of transportation) (2007). *Bituminous Smoothness Training Workshop*. (<http://www.dot.state.mn.us/materials/smoothnessdocs/IRIIntroduction.pdf>)
- Meyer, K. (2011). *Handbook of Transportation Engineering. Volume II: Application and Technology*. McGraw Hill Companies, Inc.
- Mhanna, M., Sadek, M., & Shahrour, I. (2012). Numerical modelling of traffic-induced ground vibration. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 39, 116–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2011.07.005>
- MHCM (Malaysian Highway Capacity Manual) (2011). *Highway Planning Unit*. Ministry of Works Malaysia.
- Mohamed, N., Sulaiman, N., Adnan, M. A., & Diah, J. M. (2016). Validation of Operating Speed Prediction Model for Horizontal Curve with Established Models. In *CIEC 2015* (pp. 921-934). Springer Singapore.
- Paneiro, G., Durao, F.O., Costa e Silva, M., Falcao Neves, P. (2015). Prediction of Ground Vibration Amplitudes Due to Urban Railway Traffic Using Quantitative and Qualitative Field Data. *Transportation Research Part D* 40, 1-13.
- Paolucci, R., Maffei, A., Scandella, L., Stupazzini, M., and Vanini, M. (2003). Numerical prediction of low-frequency ground vibrations induced by high-speed trains at Ledsgaard, Sweden. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 23, 425–433.

- Park H. M., (2002). Univariate Analysis and Normality Test Using SAS, STATA, and SPSS-Univariate Analysis and Normality Test. The Trustees of Indiana University. <http://www.indiana.edu/~statmath>.
- Picouxa, B. Rotinat, R. Regoin, J.P. Houedec, D. L. (2003). Prediction and measurements of vibrations from a railway track lying on a peaty ground. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 267,575-589.
- Quaternary Geological Map of Peninsular Malaysia (1989). First Edition. Published by The Director – General of Geological Survey Malaysia.
- Rosenblad, B., Rathje, E.M. and Stokoe, K.H. (2006). Shear Wave Velocity Profiling by the SASW Method at Selected Strong-Motion Stations in Turkey. (Retrieved on 13 March 2017) (http://peer.berkeley.edu/lifelines/lifelines_pre_2006/final_reports/2A02a-FR.pdf)
- Salvador, P., Real, J., Zamorano, C. and Villanueva. A. (2011). A procedure for the evaluation of vibrations induced by the passing of a train and its application to real railway traffic. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 53, 42–54.
- Sayers, M. W., Gillespie, T.R., Paterson, P.O. (1986). Guideline for Conducting and Calibrating Road Roughness Measurements. World Bank Technical Paper Number 46. The World Bank Washington D.C. USA.
- Shun, L. J., Dong Z. X. and Wei, Z.H. (2014). Experimental Study on the Dynamic Characteristic of Soft Soil under Cyclic Loads. *Journal of Highway and Transportation Research and Development*. Vol 8, No. 4, 018.
- Stein, S. and Wysession, M., 2003. An Introduction to Seismology, Earthquakes, and Earth Structure, Blackwell Publishing, Malden, Massachusetts, USA.
- Stokoe, K.H., II and Santamarina, J.C. (2000), Seismic-wave-based testing in geotechnical engineering, GeoEng 2000, Proceeding International Conference on Geotechnical and Geological Engineering., Melbourne, Australia, 1490-1530.
- Tuan Chik, T. N., Asiew, R.A., Ibrahim, M.H.W. and Yusoff, N.A. (2013). Dynamic Performance on Multi Storey Due to Ground Borne Vibrations Input From Passing Vehicles. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 5(2), 51-58.
- Tuan, B.T.B.H., Adnan, M. A., Sulaiman, N., Zainuddin, N. I. and (2013). Vehicle speed measurement technique using various speed detection instrumentation. In *Business Engineering and Industrial Applications Colloquium (BEIAC)*, IEEE (pp. 668-672). IEEE.
- Turunen-Rise IH (2001).Assessment of Vibrations from Landbased Transport- A Norwegian Standards. *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control*. Vol. 2 No 3. Page 21-28
- Turunen-Rise IH, Brekke A, Hårvik L, Madshus C, Klaeboe R, (2003). Vibration in dwellings from road and rail traffic - Part I: A new Norwegian measurement

- standard and classification system. *Applied Acoustics*, 64(1), 71–87. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0003#-682X\(02\)00052-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0003#-682X(02)00052-X).
- Wair, B.R., De Jong, J.T. and Shantz, T. (2012). Guidelines for Estimation of Shear Wave Velocity Profile. PEER Report 2012/08. Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center, Headquarters at the University of California, California.
- Wan Yaacob, W.Z, Samsudin, A.R., Ramziemran, M. & Loon, C.Y. (2004). Natural absorption capability of heavy metals: Granitic residual soil from Broga and marine clay from Sg. Besar Selangor. *Geological Society of Malaysia, Bulletin* 48. June, p. 13 -16
- Watts, G.R. (1990). Traffic Induced Vibrations in Buildings. Research report 246. Transport and Road Research Laboratory, Crowthorne, Berkshire.
- Watts, G.R. and Krylov, V.V. (2000). Ground-borne vibration generated by vehicles crossing road humps and speed control cushions. *Applied Acoustic*, 59, 221-236
- Watts, G. R., & Stait, R. E. (2008.). Characteristics of vehicles producing excessive noise and ground- borne vibration – Phase 1.
- White Industrial Seismology Inc. (2009). Mini-Seis Digital Seismograph. Operating Manual.
- WinSASW version 3.2.6 software. Data Interpretation and Analysis for SASW Measurements. University of Texas.
- With, C., Bahrekazemi, M. and Bodare, A. (2006). Validation of an empirical model for prediction of train-induced ground vibrations. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 26, 983-990.
- Wong, I.F.T. (1970). Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Selangor. Ministry of Agriculture and Lands, Malaysia. Buletin 122.
- Xu, Y.L., & Hong, X.J. (2008). Stochastic Modelling of Traffic-Induced Building Vibration. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*. 313 (1–2), 149-170.
- Yin, C.L. (2007). Characterizing Shear Wave Velocity Profiles by the SASW Method and Comparison with other Seismic Methods. PhD Thesis. The University of Texas at Austin, US.
- Zainuddin, N. I., Adnan, M. A. & Md Diah, J. (2013). Optimization of Speed Hump Geometric Design: Case Study on Residential Streets in Malaysia. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 140(3), 05013002
- Zomorodian S.M.A., Hunaidi, O., (2006). Inversion analysis of SASW dispersion curve based on maximum flexibility coefficients in the wave number domain. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 26, 735–752.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Sulaiman, N., Azureen, F., Adnan, M.A. & Huat, B.K.,** (2013). Investigation of Ground-borne Vibration Due to road Traffic Parameter: A Case Study at Lenggong, Perak. IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering and Industrial Application. Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Sulaiman, N., Idayu, M., Adnan, M.A. & Huat, B.K.** (2013). Relationship of Ground Borne Vibration with Moving Vehicles Traffic Parameter: A Case Study in Marang, Terengganu. IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering and Industrial Applications. Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Sulaiman, N** (2016). Critical Review of Ground-Borne Vibration and Impact Assessment: Principle, Measurement and Modelling. *Pertanika Journal of Scholarly Research Reviews*. PJSRR (2016) 2(1): 22-23. Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.
- Sulaiman, N, Asadi, A. & Huat, B.K.** (2017) Empirical Statistical Model for Prediction of Ground Borne Vibration Incorporating Shear Wave Velocity. *Journal of Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*. (Under review)
- Sulaiman, N, Adnan, M.A., Huat, B.K. & Nahazanan, H.** (2017) Empirical Modelling Of Ground Borne Vibration Imposed By Road Traffic on Soft Ground. *Journal of Transportation Part D: Transport and Environment*. (Under Review)