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Dams have been constructed for many purposes such as water supply, flood control, irrigation, and hydropower generation. They provide numerous benefits to civilization; however, floods resulting from a dam break could lead to tremendous loss of lives and properties. Mosul Dam, the largest dam in Iraq, is located in the north-western part of the country. The problem of Mosul Dam is the continuous corrosion in the dam foundations that contain gypsum and anhydrite formations, which dissolve under the effect of storing water in the reservoir. According to the US Army Corps of Engineers 2006 report “in terms of internal erosion potential of the foundation, Mosul Dam is the most dangerous dam in the world”. The main objectives of this research were to predict the flood occurrence after the probable Mosul Dam break and develop maps of the downstream flooded areas to identify the zones under potential risk in Mosul city. Dam break studies depend on three primary tasks mainly; predicting the breach parameters, estimating the breach flood hydrograph and routing this hydrograph downstream of the dam site. In this study, five breach prediction approaches were implemented to predict the breach geometry and the required time for breach formation. In addition to that, overtopping and piping failure modes were considered. For each approach, eight reservoir water levels, ranging from minimum operation level to maximum storage level with 5 m intervals, were studied. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to evaluate the effect of breach parameters on the resulting flood hydrographs. The topography of the study area was demonstrated using a 30 m × 30 m Digital Elevation Model (DEM). In this study, the downstream flood propagation of the Mosul Dam break was simulated using the two-dimensional BASEMENT version 2.5.3 numerical model. The numerical model was utilized to the Tigris River between Mosul Dam and south of Mosul city along 87.8 km. The breach flood hydrographs for each scenario were analyzed and discussed. The results show that the overtopping failure mode tends to give higher peak discharge values than the piping failure mode by 1.8 to 19.6% in case of 330 and 300 m reservoir water levels,
respectively. In addition, results indicate that the most suitable method for estimating breach parameters for large dams was the Froehlich (2008) approach.

Furthermore, for large dams, such as Mosul dam, the sensitivity analysis shows that the breach side slope does not affect the peak discharge time and has a minor influence on peak outflow values. Meanwhile, the required time for the breach to develop was highly sensitive to both peak discharge and peak discharge time. For instance, increasing breach formation time by 50% led to decreasing peak discharge by 19.19% and shifted the peak discharge time from 6 hours to 9.5 hours. Based on the simulation results, indicative inundation maps for multiple scenarios have been presented in this study. The time lag between the start of the failure of Mosul dam and arrival of the peak flow to Mosul city for all cases were stated. In addition to that, the flood peak discharge, peak water level, and lag time of peak discharge along the Tigris River reach for various values of reservoir water level were specified and analyzed. A new empirical model relates the maximum wave depth along the main stream with the initial condition of the reservoir and the breach dimension has been developed. This new empirical model is highly significant in estimating the maximum flood depth as compared to the simulation results using BASEMENT model.
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bahawa mod kegagalan limpahan cenderung memberi nilai pelepasan puncak yang lebih tinggi daripada mod kegagalan paip sebanyak 1.8 hingga 19.6% dalam kes paras air takungan 330 dan 300 m masing-masing. Di samping itu, dapan menunjukkan bahawa kaedah yang paling sesuai untuk menganggar parameter pecahan untuk empangan besar ialah pendekatan Froehlich (2008).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, all praise is due to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, for giving me the strength and providing me with the opportunity to see this research appear in its current form. I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Aimrun Wayayok for his kind supervision, encouragement, and valuable comments and advice throughout my research. I would like also to thank my supervisory committee members Dr. Badronnisa Binti Yusuf and Dr. Md Rowshon Kamal for their advice, comments and suggestions during this study. Also, I wish to extend my sincere gratitude to Professor Ir. Dr. Lee Teang Shui for his valuable guidance during the first stages of my study before his retirement.

The author expresses his special thanks to the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Government of Iraq, which provided a scholarship for him. Last but not least, my heartfelt thanks to my beloved family for their patience, support and encouragement during the entire period of the study. Not forgetting either to thank my friends and colleagues for their help and support.
I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 5 March 2018 to conduct the final examination of Talal Ahmed Basheer on his thesis entitled "Simulation of Mosul Dam Breaks using Basement Model" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Hasfalina binti Che Man, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Samsuzana binti Abd Aziz, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Biswaheet Pradhan, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Muthiah Perumal, PhD
Professor
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee
India
(External Examiner)

[Signature]

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 April 2018
This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The Members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Aimrun Wayayok, PhD**
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

**Badronnisa Binti Yusuf, PhD**
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

**Md Rowshon Kamal, PhD**
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

________________________________
ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:
Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice- Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: ____________________________ Date: _____________________

Name and Matric No: Talal Ahmed Basheer, GS37222
Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature:
Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:

Signature:
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:

Signature:
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRAK</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROVAL</td>
<td>vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECLARATION</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>xiv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>xvii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</td>
<td>xxiii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CHAPTER

1 **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 General
1.2 Mosul Dam Condition
1.3 Research Question
1.4 Objectives of the Study
1.5 Scope and Limitation
1.6 Organization of the Thesis

2 **LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1 Introduction
2.2 Causes of Dam Failures
2.2.1 Overtopping Failure Mode
2.2.2 Piping Failure Mode
2.3 Size and Hazard Classification of the Dams
2.4 Dam Breach Prediction
2.4.1 Physically based methods
2.4.2 Parametric regression equations
2.4.2.1 Johnson and Illes approach
2.4.2.2 Singh and Snoranson approach
2.4.2.3 MacDonald and Langridge-Monopolis approach
2.4.2.4 Bureau of Reclamation approach
2.4.2.5 Von Thun and Gillette approach
2.4.2.6 Froehlich approach
2.5 Modelling of Dam Break
2.5.1 Physical models
2.5.2 One-dimensional numerical models
2.5.3 Two dimensional numerical models
2.6 Sensitivity Analysis
2.7 Contemporary Study on the Available Dam Break Models
4.6.3 Study area mesh generation 66
4.6.4 Assignation of Manning’s coefficient 67
4.7 Application of BASEMENT Model 68
4.7.1 Time step 69
4.7.2 Boundary conditions 69
4.7.3 Simulation model development 69
4.8 Modelling of Flood Wave using HEC-RAS 2D 71
4.9 Summary 72

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 74
5.1 General 74
5.2 Modelling Scenarios 74
5.3 Breach Parameters 75
5.4 Flood Hydrographs 76
5.4.1 Maximum discharge and time of its occurrence 78
5.4.2 Effect of failure mode on flood hydrographs 79
5.5 Sensitivity Analysis 80
5.5.1 Sensitivity of breach width 80
5.5.2 Sensitivity of breach side slope 82
5.5.3 Sensitivity of breach formation time 84
5.6 BASEMENT Model Components 86
5.7 BASEMENT Model Validation 88
5.8 Results of BASEMENT Model 89
5.8.1 Peak discharges along Tigris River for the study area 89
5.8.2 Maximum water elevation along Tigris River 96
5.8.3 Flood hydrographs with respect to river stations 99
5.8.4 Flood wave height and time in Mosul city 101
5.9 Inundation Maps 102
5.9.1 Flood wave travel time 102
5.9.2 Flood inundation within Mosul city 103
5.9.3 Initial reservoir elevation influences 108
5.10 Modelling of Flood Wave using HEC-RAS 2D 109
5.11 Comparison Between BASEMENT and HEC-RAS 2D Model 113
5.12 Effect of Using Different Methods on the Simulation Results 118
5.13 Empirical Model for Maximum Flood Wave Depth 123
5.14 Summary 124

6 CONCLUSION 126
6.1 Introduction 126
6.2 Conclusions 127
6.3 Recommendations for Future Studies 128
REFERENCES 129
APPENDICES 137
BIODATA OF STUDENT 171
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS 172
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Causes of Dam Failure (1975~2011)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Classification of Dams According to the Size</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Classification of Dams According to the Hazard Potential</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>$C_b$ Coefficient Values with Respect to Reservoir Size</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Some of the well-known models for simulating dam break</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Mosul Dam Features</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Reservoir Features</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Specifications of the Study Digital Elevation Model</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Specifications of the Study Mesh</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Manning's Roughness Coefficients for the Study Area</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Simulation Model Parameters</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Overall Modeling Scenarios</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Breach Width for All Scenarios</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Breach Formation Time for All Scenarios</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Maximum discharge for all scenarios</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Time of maximum discharge for all scenarios</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Effects of Breach Width on Maximum Discharge and Time of Maximum Discharge</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Effects of Breach Side Slope on Maximum Discharge and Time of Maximum Discharge</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Effects of Breach Formation Time on Maximum Discharge and Time of Maximum Discharge</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

xiv
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>Relative Attenuation in Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>Overall Attenuation in Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>Maximum Wave Height Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>Time of Maximum Wave Height Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>Flood Wave Arrival Time, Maximum Water Depths and Maximum Water Depth Time for Selected Locations in Mosul City (Scenario B1-330)</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>Flooded Area Within Mosul City for Different Scenarios</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>Maximum Wave Height Along Tigris River for Scenarios B1-300 to B1-335 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>Error Rates Between BASEMENT and HEC-RAS 2D Maximum Water Depth Along Tigris River</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>Flooded Area Within Mosul City for Various Reservoir Water Levels</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>Flood Wave Extent Within Mosul City for BASEMENT and HEC-RAS 2D Models</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Reservoir Elevation at Maximum Operation Level (330 m a.s.l) Using All Approaches</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Reservoir Elevation at Minimum Operation Level (300 m a.s.l) Using All Approaches</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.1</td>
<td>Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Froehlich (2008) Approach for Piping Failure Mode (Scenario BP1).</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.4 Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Von Thun and Gillette (1990) Approach for $t_f=f(h_w)$, (Scenario B4).

D.5 Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Von Thun and Gillette (1990) Approach for $t_f=f(h_w, B_{avg})$, (Scenario B4b).

## LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Aerial View of Mosul Dam, Mosul, Iraq</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Sinkhole About 500 m Downstream from the Dam</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Sinkhole Below Concrete Paved Area</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 The Breach Process for Overtopping and Piping Failure Modes</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 The Breach Geometry</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Experimental Result Summary of Dam Break Flood Hydraulics and Sediment Transport</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Comparison between HEC-HMS and HEC-RAS Outflow Hydrograph</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Comparison between HEC-HMS and HEC-RAS Flood Wave Attenuation</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Aerial view of Big Bay dam after faultier</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 Final Breach of Baldwin Hills Embankment</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8 The Computational Grid</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9 The Computational Unstructured Quadtree Mesh</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10 Dual-mesh approach</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11 Güney Distorted Physical Model</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Sketch for derivation of the Saint Venant Equations</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Sketch Show the Smearing and the Oscillations Close to the Edges of Discontinuities</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Control Volumes of Two-Dimensional FVM Mesh: (a) Cell Centred (b) Cell Vertex</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Delaunay Triangulation - Empty Circle Criterion</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 The Riemann Problem</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Riemann Problem Initial Data</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Piecewise Constant Data of Godunov Upwind Method</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>The HLL Riemann Solver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Closed and Open Boundary of a Computational Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Location Map of the Study Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Mosul Dam Satellite View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Schematic Diagram of Mosul Dam Cross Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Surface Area Curve for Mosul Dam Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Storage Capacity Curve for Mosul Dam Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Hydrologic Engineering Centre’s River Analysis System HEC-RAS 1D Cross Sections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Digital Elevation Model for the Study Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Relief View of the Digital Elevation Model for the Study Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Digitized Land Cover of Mosul City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>Illustration of the Study Area Computational Mesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>Simulation of Mosul Dam Break Flowchart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using Macdonald and Langridge-Monopolis Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Flood hydrographs when reservoir elevation is at 330 a.s.l. for all approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Piping Failure Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Percentage Change in $Q_p$ and $T_p$ with $B_{avg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Flood Hydrographs for Different Bavg Values at Dam Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Percentage Change in $Q_p$ with Breach Side Slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Flood Hydrographs for Different $z$ Values at the Dam Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Percentage Change in $Q_p$ and $T_p$ with $t_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>Flood Hydrographs for Different $t_f$ Values at the Dam Site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.11 The daily inflows and outflows of Mosul dam reservoir for April 1988

5.12 Peak Discharge Values at Different Sections Along Tigris River Path for Various Reservoir Water Levels Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode

5.13 Relative Reduction in Peak Discharge Values Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Levels Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode

5.14 Overall Reduction in Peak Discharge Values Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Levels Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode

5.15 Arial View for the Study Region Between Section St-2 and Section St-3

5.16 Maximum Water Elevation Values Along Tigris River for Various Reservoir Water Levels Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode

5.17 Flood Hydrographs at Different Locations Along Tigris River for Scenario B1-300

5.18 Flood Hydrographs at Different Locations Along Tigris River for Scenario B1-335

5.19 Water Depths with Respect to Time at Selected Locations in Mosul City for Scenario B1-330

5.20 Flood Wave Advance for Scenario B1-330 at Time 1, 2, 3, and 4 Hours

5.21 Flood Wave Advance for Scenario B1-330 at Time 5, 6, 7, and 8 Hours

5.22 Flood Wave Advance for Scenario B1-330 at Time 9, 10, 11, and 12 Hours

5.23 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 11.5 hr

5.24 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 36 hr

5.25 Water Surface Elevation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 11.5 hr

5.26 Water Velocity Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 11.5 hr
5.27  Maximum Flood Extent for Scenarios B1-335 and B1-300

5.28  Maximum Water Elevation Values Along Tigris River for Scenarios B1-300 to B1-335 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model

5.29  Maximum Water Depth and Flood Extent for Scenario B1-330 using HEC-RAS 2D Model

5.30  Comparison of BASEMENT and HEC-RAS 2D Maximum Water Depths Along Tigris River

5.31  Comparison of BASEMENT and HEC-RAS 2D Maximum Water Elevations Under B1-300 Scenario

5.32  Flooded Area Within Mosul City for Scenarios (a) B1-300, (b) B1-330, and (c) B1-335

5.33  Flooded Area Within Mosul City for Various Reservoir Water Levels

5.34  Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Reservoir Elevation at Maximum Operation Level (330 m a.s.l)

5.35  Peak Discharge at Different Stations Along Tigris River for Reservoir Elevation at Minimum Operation Level (300 m a.s.l)

5.36  Comparison of Estimated and Simulated $D_{\text{max}}$

C.1  Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Overtopping Failure Mode.

C.2  Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using Froehlich Approach for Piping Failure Mode.

C.3  Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using USBR Approach.

C.4  Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using Singh and Snorrason Approach.

C.5  Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using Von Thun and Gillette Approach for $t_f = f(h_w)$.

C.6  Flood Hydrographs for Different Reservoir Elevations Using Von Thun and Gillette Approach for $t_f = f(h_w, B_{avg})$.

D.1  Peak Discharge Values at Different Sections Along Tigris River Path for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Froehlich (2008) Approach for Piping Failure Mode (Scenario BP1).


D.4 Peak Discharge Values at Different Sections Along Tigris River Path for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Von Thun and Gillette (1990) Approach for $t_f=f(h_w)$, (Scenario B4).

D.5 Peak Discharge Values at Different Sections Along Tigris River Path for Various Reservoir Water Elevations Using Von Thun and Gillette (1990) Approach for $t_f=f(h_w, B_{avg})$, (Scenario B4b).


E.1 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 6.5 hr.

E.2 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 7 hr.

E.3 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 8 hr.

E.4 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 9 hr.

E.5 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 12 hr.

E.6 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 15 hr.

E.7 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 18 hr.

E.8 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 21 hr.

E.9 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 24 hr.

E.10 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 27 hr.
E.11 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 30 hr. 162
E.12 Flood Inundation Map Within Mosul City for Scenario B1-330 at Time 33 hr. 162
F.1 Maximum Flood Extent for Scenarios B1-335 and B1-300 Within Mosul City. 163
F.2 Maximum Flood Extent for Scenarios B1-335 and B1-305 Within Mosul City. 164
F.3 Maximum Flood Extent for Scenarios B1-335 and B1-310 Within Mosul City. 164
F.4 Maximum Flood Extent for Scenarios B1-335 and B1-315 Within Mosul City. 165
F.5 Maximum Flood Extent for Scenarios B1-335 and B1-320 Within Mosul City. 165
F.6 Maximum Flood Extent for Scenarios B1-335 and B1-325 Within Mosul City. 166
F.7 Maximum Flood Extent for Scenarios B1-335 and B1-330 Within Mosul City. 166
G.1 Maximum Water Depth for Scenario B1-300 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model. 167
G.2 Maximum Water Depth for Scenario B1-305 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model. 167
G.3 Maximum Water Depth for Scenario B1-310 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model. 168
G.4 Maximum Water Depth for Scenario B1-315 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model. 168
G.5 Maximum Water Depth for Scenario B1-320 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model. 169
G.6 Maximum Water Depth for Scenario B1-325 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model. 169
G.7 Maximum Water Depth for Scenario B1-330 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model. 170
G.8 Maximum Water Depth for Scenario B1-335 Using HEC-RAS 2D Model. 170

xxii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations

BASEMENT  Basic-Simulation-Environment
CFL        Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (Courant number)
DEM        Digital Elevation Model
FDM        Finite Difference Method
FDS        Flux Difference Splitting
FEM        Finite Element Method
FVM        Finite Volume Method
HEC-RAS    Hydrologic Engineering Centre-River Analysis System
HLL        Harten-Lax-Van Leer
HLLC       Harten-Lax-Leer-Contact
SVE        Saint Venant Equations
SWE        Shallow Water Equations
USBR       United States Bureau of Reclamation

Notations

\(a\)  acceleration, \(\text{m/sec}^2\)
\(A\)  wetted cross section area, \(\text{m}^2\)
\(A_f\)  flooded area, \(\text{km}^2\)
\(B\)  breach width, \(\text{m}\)
\(B_{avg}\)  average breach width, \(\text{m}\)
\(C_b\)  reservoir volume coefficient
\(CFL\)  Courant number
\(D_{max}\)  maximum water depth, \(\text{m}\)
\(F_n\)  force, \(\text{N}\)
\(F_x\)  vector of the flux function in \(x\) direction
\(F_y\)  vector of the flux function in \(y\) direction
\(g\)  standard gravitational acceleration, \(\text{m/sec}^2\)
\(h\)  water depth, \(\text{m}\)
\(H\)  reservoir level above embankment bed, \(\text{m}\)
\(h_b\)  breach height, \(\text{m}\)
\(h_{b^*}\)  dimensionless breach hydraulic head
\(h_d\)  dam height, \(\text{m}\)
\(h_w\)  depth of water above the bottom of the breach, \(\text{m}\)
\(k_o\)  mode of failure coefficient
\(m\)  mass, \(\text{kg}\)
\(M\)  momentum, \(\text{kg m/sec}\)
\(Q\)  discharge, \(\text{m}^3/\text{sec}\)
\(Q_b\)  breach discharge, \(\text{m}^3/\text{sec}\)
\(Q_{b^*}\)  dimensionless breach discharge
\( q_l \) lateral discharge per meter of length, \( m^3/sec \)
\( Q_p \) peak discharge, \( m^3/sec \)
\( RL \) initial reservoir level, \( m \)
\( S \) vector of the source terms
\( S_f \) friction slope
\( S_{fx} \) energy grade line slope in \( x \) direction
\( S_{fy} \) energy grade line slope in \( y \) direction
\( S_o \) bed slope
\( S_{ox} \) bed slope in \( x \) direction
\( S_{oy} \) bed slope in \( y \) direction
\( t \) time, \( sec \)
\( t_f \) breach formation time, \( hr \)
\( T_p \) time of peak discharge, \( hr \)
\( U \) vector of the conserved variables
\( u \) depth averaged velocity in \( x \) direction, \( m/sec \)
\( u_s \) velocity in \( x \) direction at water surface, \( m/sec \)
\( u_w \) wave propagation speed, \( m/sec \)
\( v \) depth averaged velocity in \( y \) direction, \( m/sec \)
\( V_{er} \) volume of material eroded from the dam embankment, \( m^3 \)
\( V_s \) velocity in \( y \) direction at water surface, \( m/sec \)
\( V_{out} \) volume of water that passes through the breach, \( m^3 \)
\( V_w \) reservoir volume at the time of failure, \( m^3 \)
\( w_s \) velocity in \( z \) direction at water surface, \( m/sec \)
\( x \) distance along channel, \( m \)
\( z \) breach side slope
\( \Delta t \) time step, \( sec \)
\( \Delta x \) grid spacing, \( m \)
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Dams are hydraulic structures built to store waters flowing in rivers, and provide many benefits including daily water use, irrigation, hydropower generation, and many other purposes. In early times, dams were constructed for water supply or irrigation. With time development, multipurpose dams were built for flood control, energy, sediment control, navigation, industrial uses, water supply, and irrigation as well. Dams provide numerous benefits to civilization; however, floods resulting from a dam break could lead to tremendous loss of lives and properties.

In spite of the efforts that are taken to ensure dam safety, dam failure may occur. Depending on the dam type, dam failure can take the form of collapse of the structure, or breach in the structure. Dam failures can occur as a result of one or a combination of the following reasons:

i. Runoff resulting from intense rainfall storms,

ii. Insufficient spillway capacity, which result an embankment overtopping,

iii. Seepage or piping through the embankment or foundation (Internal erosion),

iv. Inadequate dam maintenance,

v. Poor design or use of unsuitable construction materials,

vi. Failure of upstream dams, which may cause a sequent dam failure,

vii. Foundation structural defects,

viii. Landslides into dam’s reservoirs, which may cause surges in the stored water that lead to overtopping,

ix. Significant wave action due to high winds, which can result in considerable erosion in the upstream face of the dam, and

x. Earthquakes, which may cause a liquefaction of earthen dams, or form cracks in the dam body.

Dam breaks result in an uncontrolled release of a mixture of water and sediment from the reservoir that lead to an unexpected and destructive flood wave spreading downstream dam site. The catastrophic event of dam break may cause tremendous loss of life, environmental and property damages. A damaging effect on power generation and water supply would be anticipated as well. Regardless of the reason, nearly all dam’s failure initiate with formation of a breach (Xiong, 2011).

According to the failure consequences, dams can be classified into low, significant, and high hazard (FEMA, 2013; Singh, 1996; USBR, 1988). The hazard potential classification depends on the probable loss of human lives and the economic losses in
the potential inundation area as consequences of a dam break. The economic losses would comprise damage to inhabit residences, agricultural lands, livestock, factories, commercial buildings, roads, highways, and state utilities.

The devastating consequences of dam failure necessitate the study of dam break flood propagation in urban areas, in order to provide the data for risk assessment and to develop a realistic emergency plan.

Essentially, the flow resulting from the dam break can be studied analytically, experimentally, and numerically. The analytical studies emphasize on resolving the governing equations using the principle of mathematics. Solving the nonlinear flow equations require a number of assumptions in order to simplify the equations which narrow the applicability to a limited dam break cases (Singh et al., 2011; Zhang & Wu, 2011). Dam break experimental studies use physical models that built in laboratories and tested using advanced tools for measuring and recording the complicated dam break flow. The experimental studies investigate the dam break problems and provide reliable data for numerical model validation as well (Carrivick et al., 2011; Oertel & Bung, 2012). The dam breaks numerical studies overcome the analytical and experimental methods limitation. With advanced computers and high processing capacity, simulations of dam break become more efficient and effective (Zhang et al., 2014).

1.2 Mosul Dam Condition

Mosul Dam (Figure 1.1) is the largest dam in Iraq and the fourth largest dam in the Middle East with reservoir capacity of 11110 Mm³ at the maximum operating level (El. 330 m). The dam located on the Tigris River in the governorate of Ninawah about 60 km to the northwest of Mosul city. The dam is a multi-purpose earth-fill dam constructed for water supply, irrigation, flood control and hydropower generation, and was put into operation in 1986.
The main problem of Mosul dam is the corrosion in the foundation due to the dissolve of its materials under seepage effect. The dam had been built on a weak foundation, which comprises a sequenced rock layers of marls, anhydrite, gypsum, and fractured limestone. These layers are subjected to dissolution forming fractures and leading to karst development under the dam body which appears as sinkholes at the surface (Al-Taiee & Rasheed, 2009; Kelley et al., 2007; SIGIR, 2007).

To overcome this problem and in order to reinforce the dam foundations, a continuous treatment must be provided by grouting and cement injections at the foundations. For this purpose, the designer includes a grouting gallery through the dam body to continue the grouting process of the foundation after completing the dam and during its operation (SIGIR, 2007). Although the grouting process is never stopped, some evidences of seepage near the left abutment, developing sinkholes (Figure 1.2 and Figure 1.3) downstream dam site have been recorded for the period from filling the dam reservoir until 2007 (SIGIR, 2007; Sissakian et al., 2014).

According to United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 2006 report; “in terms of internal erosion potential of the foundation, Mosul Dam is the most dangerous dam in the world”. Moreover, USACE stated that the probability of Mosul dam failure is considered to be very high (SIGIR, 2007).
Figure 1.2: Sinkhole About 500 m Downstream from the Dam
(SIGIR, 2007)

Figure 1.3: Sinkhole Below Concrete Paved Area
(SIGIR, 2007)
1.3 Research Question

High capacity dams are constructed to achieve the balance between the growth in population and the demands for water supply, flood control and the hydropower as well. The higher capacity creates a higher hazard if the dam fails. Dams breaks are relatively rare but can cause enormous lives and economic losses when they occur.

Due to the defect in the Mosul dam foundation as described in Section 1.2, the dam is subject to probable failure. Therefore, there is a need to investigate the flood resulting from Mosul dam break and its consequences on downstream areas.

Based on the literature, very little works have been done in Mosul dam break simulation and its limited to one-dimensional models. The one-dimensional numerical models have a deficiency in simulating the flood wave in lateral diffusion compared to the two-dimensional models. Therefore, the current study attempts to analyze Mosul dam break in details using a two-dimensional model.

Different two-dimensional hydrodynamic models use different techniques to solve the Shallow Water Equation (SWE) numerically. This numerical solution is based on the Finite Difference Method (FDM), Finite Element Method (FEM), or Finite Volume Method (FVM). Furthermore, the computational mesh can be formed as structured or unstructured elements.

In the current study, the hydrodynamic model BASEMENT is employed to simulate Mosul dam break. This model solves the shallow water equations using a finite volume method on an unstructured mesh. In addition to that, BASEMENT model can handle one-dimensional, two-dimensional hydrodynamic models, slope collapse, sediment transport, model coupling, and many other features.

The advantages of using BASEMENT model are: Firstly, it uses unstructured mesh which has the ability to represent complex geometries accurately. Secondly, it provides a wide range of alternatives to control the simulation environment and the select the solver schemes. Moreover, it provides the ability to use the parallel calculation technique, which use the available processors on the multi-core computer. In addition to, the model has a unique ability to visualize and view the results during the simulation process.
1.4 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to investigate the Mosul dam break and the possible effects to the areas located downstream dam site, which include Mosul city. The specific objectives of this study can be listed as follows:

1. To employ different methods to predict the breach parameters and evaluate the resulting flood hydrograph.
2. To simulate the flood wave propagation numerically using the two-dimensional BASEMENT and HEC-RAS models for different dam break scenarios.
3. To investigate the effect of Mosul dam break on Mosul city in order to identify the zones under potential risk by developing inundation maps and providing the features of the flood wave.

1.5 Scope and Limitation

The scope of this research is to investigate numerically the Mosul dam break using a two-dimensional model. In order to achieve the study objectives, the present investigation has been concerned with:

i. Employing five common approaches to predict the breach geometry and time of breach development, considering the overtopping and piping failure modes. In addition to evaluating the breach parameters ($B_{avg}$, $z$, and $t_f$) by conducting a sensitivity analysis to check their effect on the resulting flood hydrographs,

ii. Estimating the flood hydrographs that resulting from the breached dam using the HEC-RAS model for different initial reservoir water elevations. The considered initial water elevation are: the maximum storage level (EL 335 m), the maximum operation level (EL 330 m), and the minimum operation level (EL 300 m), in addition to extra water levels (EL 325, 320, 315, 310, 305 m),

iii. Routing the flood hydrograph downstream dam site towards Mosul city, in Iraq using the two-dimensional hydrodynamic model BASEMENT. The numerical simulation considering the above breach methods with the initial reservoir water elevations. A total of fifty-six different cases simulated in the study,

iv. Applying the HEC-RAS 2D model to simulate the flood wave propagation and comparing the result with BASEMENT model, and

v. Employing the geographic information system software (QGIS) for preparing the required data for the simulation and for presenting the simulation results and the inundation maps.
Due to the wide variety that can be considered in dam break studies, the current investigation is limited to the above-mentioned scopes. The considered river length of the study is up to 87.8 km, i.e. to the south of Mosul city, as the afterward areas are mostly agricultural areas with scattered small villages. In addition to that, the study is limited to the following points:

i. Since the study area is located far away from Tigris River estuary, there is no effect of the sea tide on the study, hence sea tide was not included in the simulation,
ii. The hypothesis Mosul dam break is considered to occur due to the foundation failure, i.e., a sunny day failure,
iii. Failure due to overtopping was set to occur when the water level in the reservoir exceeds the dam crest by 0.5 m,
iv. For piping failure mode, the elevation of piping initiation was set at \( \frac{1}{2} h_w \),
v. The breach location was assumed to be at the dam centreline, and
vi. The final breach bottom elevation was set at the riverbed (reservoir bed).

1.6 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis is composed of six chapters. Chapter One, as shown above, presents a general background about dam break problems, the problem of Mosul dam, and the objectives of the study, together with the scope and limitations of the current research.

Chapter Two contains a review of the literature that related to the dam break, which cover the techniques and the components that used in dam break studies. This Chapter extensively reviews the causes and the modes of dam failures, the method that used in prediction of dam breach, dam break modelling types, such as physical and numerical which divided into one-dimensional and two-dimensional models. The Chapter also reviews the literature on sensitivity analysis of different dam break parameters. Finally, there is a summary of the literature review and the research gaps related to dam break studies.

Chapter Three is devoted to the theoretical fundamental of the numerical simulation which include the basis of the one-dimensional and two-dimensional unsteady flow equations, with their assumptions. The factors that accompany the numerical modelling of dam break flows have been discussed. In addition, the methods that numerically solve the Shallow Water Equations have been presented in this chapter.

The methodology to achieve the objective of the current study is described in Chapter Four. The information about the study area, Mosul dam, and Mosul reservoir are presented. For the development of the simulation model, the pre-processing preparation and the elements required for model building in BASEMENT have been described in details in this chapter.
Chapter Five introduces the results and discussion of the Mosul dam break for different failure scenarios. The results presented and discussed as graphs, tables and maps for different cases. The flood hydrographs resulting from the breached dam for different method and scenarios have been compared and discussed. Moreover, validation of BASEMENT model for the case study had been included in this chapter. The effect of the flood hydrograph on Mosul city had been analysed. Multi types of inundation maps for different dam break scenarios have been presented.

Finally, Chapter Six presents a summary and conclusions of the study, as well as suggestions for some work in the future.
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