UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

SIMULATION OF MOSUL DAM BREAKS USING BASEMENT MODEL

TALAL AHMED BASHEER

FK 2018 28

SIMULATION OF MOSUL DAM BREAKS USING BASEMENT MODEL

By

TALAL AHMED BASHEER

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2018

DEDICATION

To

All beloved members of my family

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

SIMULATION OF MOSUL DAM BREAKS USING BASEMENT MODEL

By

TALAL AHMED BASHEERAL

March 2018

Chairman : Aimrun Wayayok, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

Dams have been constructed for many purposes such as water supply, flood control, irrigation, and hydropower generation. They provide numerous benefits to civilization; however, floods resulting from a dam break could lead to tremendous loss of lives and properties. Mosul Dam, the largest dam in Iraq, is located in the north-western part of the country. The problem of Mosul Dam is the continuous corrosion in the dam foundations that contain gypsum and anhydrite formations, which dissolve under the effect of storing water in the reservoir. According to the US Army Corps of Engineers 2006 report "in terms of internal erosion potential of the foundation, Mosul Dam is the most dangerous dam in the world". The main objectives of this research were to predict the flood occurrence after the probable Mosul Dam break and develop maps of the downstream flooded areas to identify the zones under potential risk in Mosul city. Dam break studies depend on three primary tasks mainly; predicting the breach parameters, estimating the breach flood hydrograph and routing this hydrograph downstream of the dam site. In this study, five breach prediction approaches were implemented to predict the breach geometry and the required time for breach formation. In addition to that, overtopping and piping failure modes were considered. For each approach, eight reservoir water levels, ranging from minimum operation level to maximum storage level with 5 m intervals, were studied. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to evaluate the effect of breach parameters on the resulting flood hydrographs. The topography of the study area was demonstrated using a 30 m × 30 m Digital Elevation Model (DEM). In this study, the downstream flood propagation of the Mosul Dam break was simulated using the two-dimensional BASEMENT version 2.5.3 numerical model. The numerical model was utilized to the Tigris River between Mosul Dam and south of Mosul city along 87.8 km. The breach flood hydrographs for each scenario were analyzed and discussed. The results show that the overtopping failure mode tends to give higher peak discharge values than the piping failure mode by 1.8 to 19.6% in case of 330 and 300 m reservoir water levels,

respectively. In addition, results indicate that the most suitable method for estimating breach parameters for large dams was the Froehlich (2008) approach.

Furthermore, for large dams, such as Mosul dam, the sensitivity analysis shows that the breach side slope does not affect the peak discharge time and has a minor influence on peak outflow values. Meanwhile, the required time for the breach to develop was highly sensitive to both peak discharge and peak discharge time. For instance, increasing breach formation time by 50% led to decreasing peak discharge by 19.19% and shifted the peak discharge time from 6 hours to 9.5 hours. Based on the simulation results, indicative inundation maps for multiple scenarios have been presented in this study. The time lag between the start of the failure of Mosul dam and arrival of the peak flow to Mosul city for all cases were stated. In addition to that, the flood peak discharge, peak water level, and lag time of peak discharge along the Tigris River reach for various values of reservoir water level were specified and analyzed. A new empirical model relates the maximum wave depth along the main stream with the initial condition of the reservoir and the breach dimension has been developed. This new empirical model is highly significant in estimating the maximum flood depth as compared to the simulation results using BASEMENT model.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

SIMULASI PEMECAHAN EMPANGAN MOSUL MENGGUNAKAN MODEL BASEMENT

Oleh

TALAL AHMED BASHEER

Mac 2018

Pengerusi : Aimrun Wayayok, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Empangan telah dibina untuk pelbagai tujuan seperti bekalan air, kawalan banjir, pengairan dan penjanaan kuasa hidro. Ia memberi banyak faedah kepada ketamadunan; walau bagaimanapun, banjir akibat pecahan empangan boleh mengakibatkan kehilangan nyawa dan harta benda yang besar. Empangan Mosul adalah empangan terbesar di Iraq, terletak di bahagian barat laut negara ini. Masalah Empangan Mosul adalah kakisan berterusan di asas empangan yang mengandungi gipsum dan anhidrit, yang melarut di bawah kesan penyimpanan air di dalam takungan tersebut. Menurut laporan 2006 Army Corps of Engineers AS "dari segi potensi hakisan asas dalaman yayasan, Empangan Mosul adalah empangan yang paling merbahaya di dunia". Objektif-objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk meramal kejadian banjir selepas kemungkinan berlaku pecahan Empangan Mosul dan membangunkan peta banjir kawasan hilir untuk mengenal pasti zon di bawah potensi berrisiko di bandar Mosul. Kajian pecahan empangan bergantung kepada tiga tugas utama, iaitu; meramal parameter pecahan, menganggar hidrograf banjir pecahan dan mengesan perjalanan hidrograf ini di hilir tapak empangan. Di dalam kajian ini, lima pendekatan ramalan pecahan telah dilaksanakan untuk meramalkan geometri pecahan dan masa yang diperlukan untuk pembentukan pecahan. Di samping itu, mod kegagalan limpahan dan paip dipertimbangkan. Bagi setiap pendekatan, lapan paras air takungan, dari peringkat operasi minimum ke tahap penyimpanan maksimum dengan selang 5 m, telah dikaji. Analisis kepekaan dijalankan untuk menilai kesan parameter pecahan ke atas hidrograf banjir yang terhasil. Topografi kawasan kajian ditunjukkan dengan menggunakan Model Ketinggian Digital (DEM) 30 m × 30 m. Di dalam kajian ini, penyebaran banjir hiliran pecahan Empangan Mosul telah disimulasikan menggunakan model berangka dua-dimensi dikenali sebagai BASEMENT versi 2.5.3. Model berangka digunakan untuk Sungai Tigris antara Empangan Mosul dan selatan bandaraya Mosul sepanjang 87.8 km. Hidrograf banjir pecahan untuk setiap senario dianalisis dan dibincangkan. Keputusan menunjukkan

bahawa mod kegagalan limpahan cenderung memberi nilai pelepasan puncak yang lebih tinggi daripada mod kegagalan paip sebanyak 1.8 hingga 19.6% dalam kes paras air takungan 330 dan 300 m masing-masing. Di samping itu, dapatan menunjukkan bahawa kaedah yang paling sesuai untuk menganggar parameter pecahan untuk empangan besar ialah pendekatan Froehlich (2008).

Tambahan pula, untuk empangan besar, seperti empangan Mosul, analisis kepekaan menunjukkan bahawa cerun di tepi pecahan tidak menjejaskan masa pelepasan puncak dan mempunyai pengaruh kecil terhadap nilai aliran keluar puncak. Sementara itu, masa yang diperlukan untuk pecahan membangun sangat sensitif terhadap kedua-duanya pelepasan puncak dan masa pelepasan puncak. Sebagai contoh, meningkatkan masa pembentukan pecahan sebanyak 50% membawa kepada pegurangan pelepasan puncak sebanyak 19.19% dan menganjakkan masa pelepasan puncak dari 6 jam kepada 9.5 jam. Berdasarkan keputusan simulasi, peta pembanjiran indikatif untuk pelbagai senario telah dikemukakan di dalam kajian ini. Ekoran masa antara permulaan kegagalan empangan Mosul dan ketibaan aliran puncak ke bandaraya Mosul untuk semua kes dinyatakan. Di samping itu, pelepasan puncak banjir, paras air puncak, dan masa ekoran pelepasan puncak di kawasan jangkauan Sungai Tigris bagi pelbagai nilai paras takungan air ditentukan dan dianalisis. Model empirikal baru berkenaan dengan kedalaman gelombang maksimum di sepanjang aliran utama dengan keadaan awal takungan dan dimensi pemecahan telah dibangunkan. Model empirikal baru ini mempunyai ketepatan yang ketara untuk menganggar kedalaman banjir maksimum berbanding kepntusan simulasi mengguna BASEMENT.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, all praise is due to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, for giving me the strength and providing me with the opportunity to see this research appear in its current form. I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Aimrun Wayayok for his kind supervision, encouragement, and valuable comments and advice throughout my research. I would like also to thank my supervisory committee members Dr. Badronnisa Binti Yusuf and Dr. Md Rowshon Kamal for their advice, comments and suggestions during this study. Also, I wish to extend my sincere gratitude to Professor Ir. Dr. Lee Teang Shui for his valuable guidance during the first stages of my study before his retirement.

The author expresses his special thanks to the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Government of Iraq, which provided a scholarship for him. Last but not least, my heartfelt thanks to my beloved family for their patience, support and encouragement during the entire period of the study. Not forgetting either to thank my friends and colleagues for their help and support.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 5 March 2018 to conduct the final examination of Talal Ahmed Basheer on his thesis entitled "Simulation of Mosul Dam Breaks using Basement Model" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Hasfalina binti Che Man, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Samsuzana binti Abd Aziz, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Biswajeet Pradhan, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Muthiah Perumal, PhD
Professor
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee
India
(External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 April 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The Members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Aimrun Wayayok, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Badronnisa Binti Yusuf, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Md Rowshon Kamal, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

____

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice- Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: ____________________

Name and Matric No: Talal Ahmed Basheer, GS37222

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: ____________________
Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: **Aimrun Wayayok**

DR AIMRUN WAYAYOK
Senior Lecturer
Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
43400 Serdang, Selangor D. E.

Signature: ____________________
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: **Badronnisa Binti Yusuf**

Dr. Badronnisa Yusuf
Lecturer
Department of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
43400 Serdang, Selangor.

Signature: ____________________
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: **Md Rowshon Kamal**

DR. MD ROWSHON KAMAL
Lecturer
Dept. of Biological & Agricultural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor D. E.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations

BASEMENT	Basic-Simulation-Environment
CFL	Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (Courant number)
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
FDM	Finite Difference Method
FDS	Flux Difference Splitting
FEM	Finite Element Method
FVM	Finite Volume Method
HEC-RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Centre-River Analysis System
HLL	Harten-Lax-Van Leer
HLLC	Harten-Lax-Leer-Contact
SVE	Saint Venant Equations
SWE	Shallow Water Equations
USBR	United States Bureau of Reclamation

Notations

a	acceleration, m/sec^2
A	wetted cross section area, m^2
A_f	flooded area, km^2
B	breach width, m
B_{avg}	average breach width, m
C_b	reservoir volume coefficient
CFL	Courant number
D_{max}	maximum water depth, m
F_n	force, N
F_x	vector of the flux function in x direction
F_y	vector of the flux function in y direction
g	standard gravitational acceleration, m/sec^2
h	water depth, m
H	reservoir level above embankment bed, m
h_b	breach height, m
h_{b*}	dimensionless breach hydraulic head
h_d	dam height, m
h_w	depth of water above the bottom of the breach, m
k_o	mode of failure coefficient
m	mass, kg
M	momentum, kg m/sec
Q	discharge, m^3/sec
Q_b	breach discharge, m^3/sec
Q_{b*}	dimensionless breach discharge

q_l	lateral discharge per meter of length, m^2/sec
Q_p	peak discharge, m^3/sec
RL	initial reservoir level, m
S	vector of the source terms
S_f	friction slope
S_{fx}	energy grade line slope in x direction
S_{fy}	energy grade line slope in y direction
S_o	bed slope
S_{ox}	bed slope in x direction
S_{oy}	bed slope in y direction
t	time, sec
t_f	breach formation time, hr
T_p	time of peak discharge, hr
U	vector of the conserved variables
u	depth averaged velocity in x direction, m/sec
u_s	velocity in x direction at water surface, m/sec
u_w	wave propagation speed, m/sec
v	depth averaged velocity in y direction, m/sec
V_{er}	volume of material eroded from the dam embankment, m^3
v_s	velocity in y direction at water surface, m/sec
V_{out}	volume of water that passes through the breach, m^3
V_w	reservoir volume at the time of failure, m^3
w_s	velocity in z direction at water surface, m/sec
x	distance along channel, m
z	breach side slope
Δt	time step, sec
Δx	grid spacing, m

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Dams are hydraulic structures built to store waters flowing in rivers, and provide many benefits including daily water use, irrigation, hydropower generation, and many other purposes. In early times, dams were constructed for water supply or irrigation. With time development, multipurpose dams were built for flood control, energy, sediment control, navigation, industrial uses, water supply, and irrigation as well. Dams provide numerous benefits to civilization; however, floods resulting from a dam break could lead to tremendous loss of lives and properties.

In spite of the efforts that are taken to ensure dam safety, dam failure may occur. Depending on the dam type, dam failure can take the form of collapse of the structure, or breach in the structure. Dam failures can occur as a result of one or a combination of the following reasons:

i. Runoff resulting from intense rainfall storms,
ii. Insufficient spillway capacity, which result an embankment overtopping,
iii. Seepage or piping through the embankment or foundation (Internal erosion),
iv. Inadequate dam maintenance,
v. Poor design or use of unsuitable construction materials,
vi. Failure of upstream dams, which may cause a sequent dam failure,
vii. Foundation structural defects,
viii. Landslides into dam's reservoirs, which may cause surges in the stored water that lead to overtopping,
ix. Significant wave action due to high winds, which can result in considerable erosion in the upstream face of the dam, and
x. Earthquakes, which may cause a liquefaction of earthen dams, or form cracks in the dam body.

Dam breaks result in an uncontrolled release of a mixture of water and sediment from the reservoir that lead to an unexpected and destructive flood wave spreading downstream dam site. The catastrophic event of dam break may cause tremendous loss of life, environmental and property damages. A damaging effect on power generation and water supply would be anticipated as well. Regardless of the reason, nearly all dam's failure initiate with formation of a breach (Xiong, 2011).

According to the failure consequences, dams can be classified into low, significant, and high hazard (FEMA, 2013; Singh, 1996; USBR, 1988). The hazard potential classification depends on the probable loss of human lives and the economic losses in the potential inundation area as consequences of a dam break. The economic losses would comprise damage to inhabit residences, agricultural lands, livestock, factories, commercial buildings, roads, highways, and state utilities.

The devastating consequences of dam failure necessitate the study of dam break flood propagation in urban areas, in order to provide the data for risk assessment and to develop a realistic emergency plan.

Essentially, the flow resulting from the dam break can be studied analytically, experimentally, and numerically. The analytical studies emphases on resolving the governing equations using the principle of mathematics. Solving the nonlinear flow equations require a number of assumptions in order to simplify the equations which narrow the applicability to a limited dam break cases (Singh et al., 2011; Zhang & Wu, 2011). Dam break experimental studies use physical models that built in laboratories and tested using advanced tools for measuring and recording the complicated dam break flow. The experimental studies investigate the dam break problems and provide reliable data for numerical model validation as well (Carrivick et al., 2011; Oertel & Bung, (2012). The dam breaks numerical studies overcomes the analytical and experimental methods limitation. With advanced computers and high processing capacity, simulations of dam break become more efficient and effective (Zhang et al., 2014).

1.2 Mosul Dam Condition

Mosul Dam (Figure 1.1) is the largest dam in Iraq and the fourth largest dam in the Middle East with reservoir capacity of 11110 Mm^3 at the maximum operating level (El. 330 m). The dam located on the Tigris River in the governorate of Ninawah about 60 km to the northwest of Mosul city. The dam is a multi-purpose earth-fill dam constructed for water supply, irrigation, flood control and hydropower generation, and was put into operation in 1986.



Figure 1.1 : Aerial View of Mosul Dam, Mosul, Iraq

The main problem of Mosul dam is the corrosion in the foundation due to the dissolve of its materials under seepage effect. The dam had been built on a weak foundation, which comprises a sequenced rock layers of marls, anhydrite, gypsum, and fractured limestone. These layers are subjected to dissolution forming fractures and leading to karst development under the dam body which appears as sinkholes at the surface (Al-Taiee & Rasheed, 2009; Kelley et al., 2007; SIGIR, 2007).

To overcome this problem and in order to reinforce the dam foundations, a continuous treatment must be provided by grouting and cement injections at the foundations. For this purpose, the designer includes a grouting gallery through the dam body to continue the grouting process of the foundation after completing the dam and during its operation (SIGIR, 2007). Although the grouting process is never stopped, some evidences of seepage near the left abutment, developing sinkholes (Figure 1.2 and Figure 1.3) downstream dam site have been recorded for the period from filling the dam reservoir until 2007 (SIGIR, 2007; Sissakian et al., 2014).

According to United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 2006 report; “in terms of internal erosion potential of the foundation, Mosul Dam is the most dangerous dam in the world”. Moreover, USACE stated that the probability of Mosul dam failure is considered to be very high (SIGIR, 2007).



Figure 1.2 : Sinkhole About 500 m Downstream from the Dam
(SIGIR, 2007)



Figure 1.3 : Sinkhole Below Concrete Paved Area
(SIGIR, 2007)

1.3 Research Question

High capacity dams are constructed to achieve the balance between the growth in population and the demands for water supply, flood control and the hydropower as well. The higher capacity creates a higher hazard if the dam fails. Dams breaks are relatively rare but can cause enormous lives and economic losses when they occur.

Due to the defect in the Mosul dam foundation as described in Section 1.2, the dam is subject to probable failure. Therefore, there is a need to investigate the flood resulting from Mosul dam break and its consequences on downstream areas.

Based on the literature, very little works have been done in Mosul dam break simulation and its limited to one-dimensional models. The one-dimensional numerical models have a deficiency in simulating the flood wave in lateral diffusion compared to the two-dimensional models. Therefore, the current study attempts to analyze Mosul dam break in details using a two-dimensional model.

Different two-dimensional hydrodynamic models use different techniques to solve the Shallow Water Equation (SWE) numerically. This numerical solution is based on the Finite Difference Method (FDM), Finite Element Method (FEM), or Finite Volume Method (FVM). Furthermore, the computational mesh can be formed as structured or unstructured elements.

In the current study, the hydrodynamic model BASEMENT is employed to simulate Mosul dam break. This model solves the shallow water equations using a finite volume method on an unstructured mesh. In addition to that, BASEMENT model can handle one-dimensional, two-dimensional hydrodynamic models, slope collapse, sediment transport, model coupling, and many other features.

The advantages of using BASEMENT model are: Firstly, it uses unstructured mesh which has the ability to represent complex geometries accurately. Secondly, it provides a wide range of alternatives to control the simulation environment and the select the solver schemes. Moreover, it provides the ability to use the parallel calculation technique, which use the available processors on the multi-core computer. In addition to, the model has a unique ability to visualize and view the results during the simulation process.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to investigate the Mosul dam break and the possible effects to the areas located downstream dam site, which include Mosul city. The specific objectives of this study can be listed as follows:

1. To employ different methods to predict the breach parameters and evaluate the resulting flood hydrograph
2. To simulate the flood wave propagation numerically using the two-dimensional BASEMENT and HEC-RAS models for different dam break scenarios.
3. To investigate the effect of Mosul dam break on Mosul city in order to identify the zones under potential risk by developing inundation maps and providing the features of the flood wave.

1.5 Scope and Limitation

The scope of this research is to investigate numerically the Mosul dam break using a two-dimensional model. In order to achieve the study objectives, the present investigation has been concerned with:

i. Employing five common approaches to predict the breach geometry and time of breach development, considering the overtopping and piping failure modes. In addition to evaluating the breach parameters (B_{avg}, z, and t_f) by conducting a sensitivity analysis to check their effect on the resulting flood hydrographs,
ii. Estimating the flood hydrographs that resulting from the breached dam using the HEC-RAS model for different initial reservoir water elevations. The considered initial water elevation are: the maximum storage level (EL 335 m), the maximum operation level (EL 330 m), and the minimum operation level (EL 300 m), in addition to extra water levels (EL 325, 320, 315, 310, 305 m),
iii. Routing the flood hydrograph downstream dam site towards Mosul city, in Iraq using the two-dimensional hydrodynamic model BASEMENT. The numerical simulation considering the above breach methods with the initial reservoir water elevations. A total of fifty-six different cases simulated in the study,
iv. Applying the HEC-RAS 2D model to simulate the flood wave propagation and comparing the result with BASEMENT model, and
v. Employing the geographic information system software (QGIS) for preparing the required data for the simulation and for presenting the simulation results and the inundation maps.

Due to the wide variety that can be considered in dam break studies, the current investigation is limited to the above-mentioned scopes. The considered river length of the study is up to 87.8 km, i.e. to the south of Mosul city, as the afterward areas are mostly agricultural areas with scattered small villages. In addition to that, the study is limited to the following points:

i. Since the study area is located far away from Tigris River estuary, there is no effect of the sea tide on the study, hence sea tide was not included in the simulation,
ii. The hypothesis Mosul dam break is considered to occur due to the foundation failure, i.e., a sunny day failure,
iii. Failure due to overtopping was set to occur when the water level in the reservoir exceeds the dam crest by 0.5 m,
iv. For piping failure mode, the elevation of piping initiation was set at ½ h_w,
v. The breach location was assumed to be at the dam centreline, and
vi. The final breach bottom elevation was set at the riverbed (reservoir bed).

1.6 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis is composed of six chapters. Chapter One, as shown above, presents a general background about dam break problems, the problem of Mosul dam, and the objectives of the study, together with the scope and limitations of the current research.

Chapter Two contains a review of the literature that related to the dam break, which cover the techniques and the components that used in dam break studies. This Chapter extensively reviews the causes and the modes of dam failures, the method that used in prediction of dam breach, dam break modelling types, such as physical and numerical which divided into one-dimensional and two-dimensional models. The Chapter also reviews the literature on sensitivity analysis of different dam break parameters. Finally, there is a summary of the literature review and the research gaps related to dam break studies.

Chapter Three is devoted to the theoretical fundamental of the numerical simulation which include the basis of the one-dimensional and two-dimensional unsteady flow equations, with their assumptions. The factors that accompany the numerical modelling of dam break flows have been discussed. In addition, the methods that numerically solve the Shallow Water Equations have been presented in this chapter.

The methodology to achieve the objective of the current study is described in Chapter Four. The information about the study area, Mosul dam, and Mosul reservoir are presented. For the development of the simulation model, the pre-processing preparation and the elements required for model building in BASEMENT have been described in details in this chapter.

Chapter Five introduces the results and discussion of the Mosul dam break for different failure scenarios. The results presented and discussed as graphs, tables and maps for different cases. The flood hydrographs resulting from the breached dam for different method and scenarios have been compared and discussed. Moreover, validation of BASEMENT model for the case study had been included in this chapter. The effect of the flood hydrograph on Mosul city had been analysed. Multi types of inundation maps for different dam break scenarios have been presented.

Finally, Chapter Six presents a summary and conclusions of the study, as well as suggestions for some work in the future.

REFERENCES

Al-Taiee, T. M., & Rasheed, A. M. M. (2009). Simulation Tigris River Flood Wave in Mosul City Due to a Hypothetical Mosul Dam Break. Paper presented at the Thirteenth International Water Technology Conference, IWTC 13, Hurghada, Egypt.

Álvarez, M., Puertas, J., Peña, E., & Bermúdez, M. (2017). Two-Dimensional Dam-Break Flood Analysis in Data-Scarce Regions: The Case Study of Chipembe Dam, Mozambique. Water, 9(6), 432. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/w9060432

Begnudelli, L., & Sanders, B. F. (2007). Simulation of the St. Francis dam-break flood. Journal of Engineering Mechanics, 133(11), 1200-1212.

Bozkuş, Z., & Bağ , F. (2011). Virtual Failure Analysis of the Çınarcık Dam. Teknik Dergi, 22(110).

Brown, R. J., & Rogers, D. C. (1981). Users manual for program BRDAM, 76 p, US Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado, USA.

Brunner, G. W. (2010). HEC-RAS River Analysis System: Hydraulic Reference Manual: US Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, USA.

Brunner, G. W. (2014). Using HEC-RAS for Dam Break Studies: US Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, USA.

Brunner, G. W. (2016). HEC-RAS River Analysis System: User's Manual: US Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, USA.

Carrivick, J. L., Jones, R., & Keevil, G. (2011). Experimental insights on geomorphological processes within dam break outburst floods. Journal of Hydrology, 408(1), 153-163. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.07.037

CDSB. (2010). Guidelines for dam breach analysis: Colorado Dam Safety Branch, Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Resources, Colorado, USA.

Changzhi, L., Hong, W., Zhixue, C., Yongfeng, Y., Zhengfu, R., & Mike, C. (2014). Dam break flood risk assessment for Laiyang City. Journal of Geological Resource and Engineering, 4, 189-199.

Chaudhry, M. H. (2008). Open-Channel Flow: Springer USA.

Chow, V. T. (1959). Open channel hydraulics: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc; New York.

Coleman, S. E., Andrews, D. P., & Webby, M. G. (2002). Overtopping breaching of noncohesive homogeneous embankments. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 128(9), 829-838. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2002)128:9(829)

Courant, R., Friedrichs, K., & Lewy, H. (1967). On the partial difference equations of mathematical physics. IBM journal, 11(2), 215-234.

Dai, Z., & Liu, J. T. (2013). Impacts of large dams on downstream fluvial sedimentation: an example of the Three Gorges Dam (TGD) on the Changjiang (Yangtze River). Journal of Hydrology, 480, 10-18.

Davies, T. R., Manville, V., Kunz, M., & Donadini, L. (2007). Modeling landslide dambreak flood magnitudes: Case study. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 133(7), 713-720.

Dewals, B., Erpicum, S., Pirotton, M., & Archambeau, P. (2014). Dam Break Flow Modelling with Uncertainty Analysis. In P. Gourbesville, J. Cunge, & G. Caignaert (Eds.), Advances in Hydroinformatics: SIMHYDRO 2012 – New Frontiers of Simulation (pp. 107-116). Singapore: Springer Singapore.

Dewals, B. J., Archambeau, P., Erpicum, S., Detrembleur, S., & Pirotton, M. (2007). Sensitivity analysis of the peak outflow induced by the breaching of embankment dams. Paper presented at the 14th German Dam Symposium, Freising, Germany

Dong, J.-J., Li, Y.-S., Kuo, C.-Y., Sung, R.-T., Li, M.-H., Lee, C.-T., Chen, C.-T., Lee, W.-R. (2011). The formation and breach of a short-lived landslide dam at Hsiaolin village, Taiwan—part I: post-event reconstruction of dam geometry. Engineering Geology, 123(1), 40-59. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo. 2011.04.001

Fan, X., Tang, C. X., Van Westen, C. J., & Alkema, D. (2012). Simulating dam-breach flood scenarios of the Tangjiashan landslide dam induced by the Wenchuan Earthquake. Natural hazards and earth system sciences, 12(10), 3031.

FEMA. (2013). Federal Guidelines for Inundation Mapping of Flood Risks Associated with Dam Incidents and Failures: United States Federal Emergency Management Agency, P-946, Washington, DC, USA.

Franca, M. J., & Almeida, A. B. (2004). A computational model of rockfill dam breaching caused by overtopping (RoDaB). Journal of Hydraulic Research, 42(2), 197-206.

Fread, D. L. (1988). BREACH, an erosion model for earthen dam failures, 30 p, National Weather Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Silver Springs, Maryland, USA

Froehlich, D. C. (1987). Embankment-dam breach parameters. Paper presented at the Hydraulic Engineering, Proceedings of the 1987 National Conference.

Froehlich, D. C. (1995a). Embankment dam breach parameters revisited. Paper presented at the Water Resources Engineering:.

Froehlich, D. C. (1995b). Peak Outflow from Breached Embankment Dam. Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 121(1), 90-97. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9496(1995)121:1(90)

Froehlich, D. C. (2008). Embankment dam breach parameters and their uncertainties. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 134(12), 1708-1721. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2008)134:12(1708)

Gallegos, H. A., Schubert, J. E., & Sanders, B. F. (2009). Two-dimensional, high-resolution modeling of urban dam-break flooding: A case study of Baldwin Hills, California. Advances in Water Resources, 32(8), 1323-1335. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2009.05.008

Gee, D. M., & Brunner, G. W. (2005). Dam break flood routing using HEC-RAS and NWS-FLDWAV, World Water and Environmental Resources Congress, Alaska, USA.

George, A. C., & Nair, B. T. (2015). Dam Break Analysis Using BOSS DAMBRK. Aquatic Procedia, 4, 853-860.

Gesch, D., Oimoen, M., Greenlee, S., Nelson, C., Steuck, M., & Tyler, D. (2002). The national elevation dataset. Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing, 68(1), 5-32.

Goodell, C. R. (2005). Dam break modeling for tandem reservoirs—a case study using HEC-RAS and HEC-HMS, World Water and Environmental Resources Congress, Alaska, USA.

Gourbesville, P. (2008). Challenges for integrated water resources management. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 33(5), 284-289.

Güney, M. S., Tayfur, G., Bombar, G., & Elci, S. (2014). Distorted physical model to study sudden partial dam break flows in an urban area. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 140(11), 05014006.

Hall, J. W., Boyce, S. A., Wang, Y., Dawson, R. J., Tarantola, S., & Saltelli, A. (2009). Sensitivity analysis for hydraulic models. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 135(11), 959-969.

Haltas, I., Elçi, S., & Tayfur, G. (2016b). Numerical Simulation of Flood Wave Propagation in Two-Dimensions in Densely Populated Urban Areas due to Dam Break. Water Resources Management, 30(15), 5699-5721. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-016-1344-4

Haltas, I., Tayfur, G., & Elci, S. (2016a). Two-dimensional numerical modeling of flood wave propagation in an urban area due to Ürkmez dam-break, İzmir, Turkey. Natural Hazards, 81(3), 2103. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-016-2175-6

Harris, G. W., & Wagner, D. A. (1967). Outflow from Breached Earth Dams, University of Utah, Salt Lake City. Utah. BSc.

Harten, A., Lax, P. D., & Van Leer, B. (1997). On upstream differencing and Godunov-type schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws Upwind and High-Resolution Schemes (pp. 53-79): Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Hasbaia, M., Adoui, H., & Paquier, A. (2015). Simulation of semiarid stream flow using the 1D model (Rubarbe), case of Ksob wadi in Algeria. Procedia Environmental Sciences, 25, 120-126. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2015.04.017

Hunter, N. M., Bates, P. D., Horritt, M. S., & Wilson, M. D. (2007). Simple spatially-distributed models for predicting flood inundation: a review. Geomorphology, 90(3), 208-225. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.10.021

Jaffe, D. A., & Sanders, B. F. (2001). Engineered levee breaches for flood mitigation. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 127(6), 471-479.

Kelley, J. R., Wakeley, L. D., Broadfoot, S. W., Pearson, M. L., McGrath, C. A., McGill, T. E., Jorgeson, J. D., Talbot, C. A. (2007). Geologic setting of Mosul Dam and its engineering implications. ERDC TR-07-10, Manual: US Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, USA.

Khassaf, S. I., Abeed, K. R., & Saleh, L. A. M. (2011). Predicting the breach hydrograph resulting due to hypothetical failure of haditha dam. Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering, 5(3).

Komi, K., Neal, J., Trigg, M. A., & Diekkrüger, B. (2017). Modelling of flood hazard extent in data sparse areas: a case study of the Oti River basin, West Africa. Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 10, 122-132. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2017.03.001

Krzyk, M., Klasinc, R., & Četina, M. (2012). Two-dimensional mathematical modelling of a dam-break wave in a narrow steep stream. Strojniški vestnik-Journal of Mechanical Engineering, 58(4), 255-262.

Kuiry, S. N., Pramanik, K., & Sen, D. (2008). Finite volume model for shallow water equations with improved treatment of source terms. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 134(2), 231-242.

LeVeque, R. J. (2002). Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Problems: Cambridge University Press.

Li, M.-H., Sung, R.-T., Dong, J.-J., Lee, C.-T., & Chen, C.-C. (2011). The formation and breaching of a short-lived landslide dam at Hsiaolin Village, Taiwan—Part II: Simulation of debris flow with landslide dam breach. Engineering Geology, 123(1), 60-71. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2011.05.002

Liang, Q., & Marche, F. (2009). Numerical resolution of well-balanced shallow water equations with complex source terms. Advances in Water Resources, 32(6), 873-884.

Lou, W. C. (1981). Mathematical modeling of earth dam breaches. (Doctoral dissertation), Colorado State University, USA.

MacDonald, T. C., & Langridge-Monopolis, J. (1984). Breaching charateristics of dam failures. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 110(5), 567-586. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1984)110:5(567)

Moukalled, F., Mangani, L., & Darwish, M. (2016). The finite volume method in computational fluid dynamics: Springer.

NRCS. (2005). Earth dams and reservoirs: TR-60: Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., USA.

Oertel, M., & Bung, D. B. (2012). Initial stage of two-dimensional dam-break waves: Laboratory versus VOF. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 50(1), 89-97. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00221686.2011.639981

Palumbo, A., Soares-Frazao, S., Goutiere, L., Pianese, D., & Zech, Y. (2008). Dam-break flow on mobile bed in a channel with a sudden enlargement. Proceedings of River Flow, Taylor & Francis, New York, 645–654.

Pektas, A. O., & Erdik, T. (2014). Peak discharge prediction due to embankment dam break by using sensitivity analysis based ANN. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, 18(6), 1868-1876.

Peng, M., & Zhang, L. M. (2012). Analysis of human risks due to dam-break floods—part 1: a new model based on Bayesian networks. Natural Hazards, 64(1), 903-933.

Pilotti, M., Maranzoni, A., Tomirotti, M., & Valerio, G. (2010). 1923 Gleno Dam break: Case study and numerical modeling. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 137(4), 480-492.

Ponce, V. M., & Tsivoglou, A. J. (1981). Modeling gradual dam breaches. Journal of the Hydraulics Division, 107(7), 829-838.

Roe, P. L. (1997). Approximate Riemann Solvers, Parameter Vectors, and Difference Schemes. Journal of computational physics, 135(2), 250-258. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1006/jcph.1997.5705

Saltelli, A., Ratto, M., Andres, T., Campolongo, F., Cariboni, J., Gatelli, D., Saisana, M., Tarantola, S. (2008). Global sensitivity analysis: the primer: John Wiley & Sons.

Saltelli, A., Tarantola, S., Campolongo, F., & Ratto, M. (2004). Sensitivity analysis in practice: a guide to assessing scientific models: John Wiley & Sons.

Schubert, J. E., Sanders, B. F., Smith, M. J., & Wright, N. G. (2008). Unstructured mesh generation and landcover-based resistance for hydrodynamic modeling of urban flooding. Advances in Water Resources, 31(12), 1603-1621.

Sidek, L., Ros, F., Ibrahim, N., Razad, A., & Ahmad, M. (2013). Hydrodynamic Dam Breach Modelling of Earthfill Saddle Dam. Journal of Energy and Environment, 3(1).

SIGIR. (2007). Relief and Reconstruction Funded Work at Mosul Dam, Mosul, Iraq (PA-07-105). Retrieved from www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a525294.pdf

Singh, J., Altinakar, M. S., & Ding, Y. (2011). Two-dimensional numerical modeling of dam-break flows over natural terrain using a central explicit scheme. Advances in Water Resources, 34(10), 1366-1375. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.07.007

Singh, K. P., & Snorrason, A. (1982). Sensitivity of Outflow Peaks and Flood Stages to the Selection of Dam Breach Parameters and Simulation Models: SWS Contract Report 288, Illinois Department of Energy and Natural Resources, State Water Survey Division, Surface Water Section at the University of Illinois.

Singh, K. P., & Snorrason, A. (1984). Sensitivity of outflow peaks and flood stages to the selection of dam breach parameters and simulation models. Journal of Hydrology, 68(1-4), 295-310. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(84)90217-8

Singh, V. P. (1996). Dam breach modeling technology: Springer Science & Business Media.

Singh, V. P., & Scarlatos, P. D. (1988). Analysis of gradual earth-dam failure. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 114(1), 21-42.

Singh, V. P., Scarlatos, P. D., Collins, J. G., & Jourdan, M. R. (1988). Breach erosion of earthfill dams (BEED) model. Natural Hazards, 1(2), 161-180.

Sissakian, V., Al-Ansari, N., & Knutsson, S. (2014). Karstification effect on the stability of Mosul Dam and its assessment, North Iraq. Engineering, 6(2), 84-92.

Soares-Frazao, S., Canelas, R., Cao, Z., Cea, L., Chaudhry, H. M., Die Moran, A., Gonzalez-Ramirez, N. (2012). Dam-break flows over mobile beds: experiments and benchmark tests for numerical models. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 50(4), 364-375.

Spinewine, B., & Zech, Y. (2007). Small-scale laboratory dam-break waves on movable beds. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 45(sup1), 73-86. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00221686.2007.9521834

Teng, J., Jakeman, A. J., Vaze, J., Croke, B. F. W., Dutta, D., & Kim, S. (2017). Flood inundation modelling: A review of methods, recent advances and uncertainty analysis. Environmental Modelling & Software, 90, 201-216. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.01.006

Tingsanchali, T., & Chinnarasri, C. (2001). Numerical modelling of dam failure due to flow overtopping. Hydrological sciences journal, 46(1), 113-130. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02626660109492804

Toro, E. F. (2001). Shock-capturing methods for free-surface shallow flows: John Wiley.

Toro, E. F., Spruce, M., & Speares, W. (1994). Restoration of the contact surface in the HLL-Riemann solver. Shock waves, 4(1), 25-34. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01414629

USBR. (1988). Downstream hazard classification guidelines: ACER Technical Memorandum No. 11, Assistant Commissioner-Engineering and Research, Denver, Colorado, USA.

Vetsch, D., Siviglia, A., Ehrbar, D., Facchini, M., Gerber, M., Kammerer, S., Peter, S., Vonwiler, L., Volz, C., Farshi, D., Mueller, R., Rousselot, P., Veprek, R., and Faeh, R. (2015). BASEMENT Basic Simulation Environment for computation of environmental flow and natural hazard simulation: Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology (VAW), ETH Zurich, Switzerland.

Volz, C., Rousselot, P., Vetsch, D., & Faeh, R. (2012). Numerical modelling of non-cohesive embankment breach with the dual-mesh approach. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 50(6), 587-598. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/00221686.2012.732970

Von Thun, J. L., & Gillette, D. R. (1990). Guidance on breach parameters: US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado, USA.

Wahl, T. L. (1998). Prediction of embankment dam breach parameters: a literature review and needs assessment: Dam Safety Report DSO-98-004, US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado, USA.

Wahl, T. L. (2004). Uncertainty of predictions of embankment dam breach parameters. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 130(5), 389-397.

Wahl, T. L. (2010). Dam breach modeling–an overview of analysis methods. Paper presented at the Joint Federal Interagency Conference on Sedimentation and Hydrologic Modeling.

Walder, J. S., & O'Connor, J. E. (1997). Methods for predicting peak discharge of floods caused by failure of natural and constructed earthen dams. Water Resources Research, 33(10), 2337-2348.

Wang, J.-W., & Liu, R.-X. (2005). Combined finite volume–finite element method for shallow water equations. Computers & fluids, 34(10), 1199-1222. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2004.09.008

Worni, R., Stoffel, M., Huggel, C., Volz, C., Casteller, A., & Luckman, B. (2012). Analysis and dynamic modeling of a moraine failure and glacier lake outburst flood at Ventisquero Negro, Patagonian Andes (Argentina). Journal of Hydrology, 444, 134-145. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.013

Wurbs, R. A. (1987). Dam-breach flood wave models. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 113(1), 29-46. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1987)113:1(29)

Xiong, Y. (2011). A Dam Break Analysis Using HEC-RAS. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 3(6), 370-379. doi:10.4236/jwarp.2011.36047

Yochum, S. E., Goertz, L. A., & Jones, P. H. (2008). Case study of the big bay dam failure: accuracy and comparison of breach predictions. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 134(9), 1285-1293. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2008)134:9(1285)

Zagonjolli, M., & Mynett, A. E. (2006). Dam breaching uncertainty and its effect in downstream areas. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Hydroscience and Engineering, Philadelphia, PA.

Zech, Y., Soares-Frazão, S., Spinewine, B., & Le Grelle, N. (2008). Dam-break induced sediment movement: Experimental approaches and numerical modelling. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 46(2), 176-190.

Zhang, M., & Wu, W. M. (2011). A two dimensional hydrodynamic and sediment transport model for dam break based on finite volume method with quadtree grid. Applied Ocean Research, 33(4), 297-308. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apor.2011.07.004

Zhang, S., Xia, Z., Yuan, R., & Jiang, X. (2014). Parallel computation of a dam-break flow model using OpenMP on a multi-core computer. Journal of Hydrology, 512, 126-133. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.02.035

Zhu, Y. H., Visser, P. J., & Vrijling, J. K. (2004). Review on embankment dam breach modeling. New Developments in Dam Engineering, Taylor & Francis Group, London, UK, 1189-1196.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Alomari, N. K., Yusuf, B., Ghazali, A. H., Mohammed, T. A., & Basheer, T. A. (2016). A Numerical Model of Flow in a Branching Channel with Different Branching Angles and Bed Slopes. Paper presented at the Global Conference on Engineering and Technology, (2016, June) Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Basheer, T. A., Wayayok, A., Yusuf, B., & Kamal, M. R. (2017). Dam Breach Parameters and their Influence on Flood Hydrographs for Mosul Dam. Journal of Engineering Science & Technology, 12(11), 2896-2908.

Basheer, T. A., Wayayok, A., Yusuf, B., & Kamal, M. R. (2018). Simulation of Mosul dam breaks using BASEMENT model. (Submitted to the Advances in Water Resources Journal).

Seminars/ Workshops attended

"Constructing a Literature Review". April 21, 2104, organized by Putra Sarjana, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

“Urban Storm Water Management in Malaysia: Issues and Challenges”. Professor Dr. Nor Azazi Bin Zakaria (USM). September 14, 2015. organized by Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia

“Strategies to Successful Publishing in High - Impact Journals”. November 12, 2015. Wiley - UPM workshop, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

"Writing a Great Paper and Getting It Published in a Research Journal". November 24, 2015. Elsevier - UPM workshop, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

"Introduction to Thesis Writing". November 17, 2016, organized by Putra Sarjana, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

"How to Successfully Write & Publish Your Research Article" November 30, 2016. A Wiley- UPM workshop, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

“How to Write a Great Research Paper and Get Published”. February 23, 2017. Elsevier- UPM workshop, Universiti Putra Malaysia

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : Second Semester 2017 / 2018

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

____

____

____

NAME OF STUDENT : TALAL AHMED BASHEER

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (√)

[ ]	CONFIDENTIAL	(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).
[ ]	RESTRICTED	(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).
[√]	OPEN ACCESS	I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

[ ]	PATENT	Embargo from ________ (date) until ________ (date)

Approved by:

DR AIMRUN WAYAYOK
Senior Lecturer
Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
43400 Serdang, Selangor D. E.

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.: GS 37222
A 10356945

Date : / / 2018

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name: Dr. Aimrun Wayayok

Date : / / 2018

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]