



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***DYNAMICS OF A FLEXIBLE TETHERED SATELLITE SYSTEM IN THE
PRESENCE OF AERODYNAMIC DRAG AND GRAVITY GRADIENT***

AARON AW TEIK HONG

FK 2017 128



**DYNAMICS OF A FLEXIBLE TETHERED SATELLITE SYSTEM IN THE
PRESENCE OF AERODYNAMIC DRAG AND GRAVITY GRADIENT**

By

AARON AW TEIK HONG

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

June 2017

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DYNAMICS OF A FLEXIBLE TETHERED SATELLITE SYSTEM IN THE PRESENCE OF AERODYNAMIC DRAG AND GRAVITY GRADIENT

By

AARON AW TEIK HONG

June 2017

Chair : Professor Renuganth Varatharajoo, PhD
Faculty: Engineering

Tethered Satellite System (TSS) has emerged as one of the main topics of discussion in the aerospace research field. However, most research works focus more on the rigid tether as compared to a flexible structure. In this thesis, three different material types utilized by a flexible tether are examined and compared with the common rigid tether. In addition, the air drag perturbation will be taken into consideration as the entire TSS is flown around the Low Earth Orbit (LEO) region where air-drag perturbation is a dominant factor. Subsequently, a survival analysis was performed. In addition, the gravitational force dynamic models as developed by Urrutxua, Peláez, and Lara are incorporated into the TSS model to analyze the stability for both rigid and flexible cases.

Based on the numerical analysis, tungsten showed a better dynamic performance in terms of having the lowest hazard ratio reading, but Spectra-2000 showed to be the strongest in terms of tension performance, with a maximum tension force of 66 447 N and 43 298 N before snapping for a flexible tether in a non-coplanar model with and without drag, respectively. Albeit $\sigma > 0.75$, which exceeded the critical value, the TSS can be stabilized by varying the primary mass, M_1 , in an order of 10^{20} kg and the total tether length, L , under a specific condition. Nevertheless, the two-link flexible TSS is stabilized by utilizing the piecewise controllers and a total tether length of 700 m was deployed and maintained after a period of 450 seconds.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**DINAMIK SISTEM TETHER SATELIT YANG FLEKSIBEL DENGAN
KEHADIRAN GANGGUAN DAYA SERET AERODINAMIK DAN GRADIEN
GRAVITI**

Oleh

AARON AW TEIK HONG

Jun 2017

Pengerusi: Profesor Renuganth Varatharajoo, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Sistem satelit menggunakan *tether* merupakan salah satu topik utama yang kerap dibincangkan dalam bidang penyelidikan aeroangkasa. Walaubagaimanapun, kebanyakan kerja penyelidikan memberi tumpuan lebih kepada tali *tether* yang tegar berbanding dengan struktur fleksibel. Oleh itu, dalam tesis ini, satu perbandingan di antara bahan *tether* yang digunakan dalam aplikasi *tether* yang fleksibel dan juga dengan tali *tether* jenis yang tegar akan dikaji. Sistem satelit terikat fleksibel yang diuji terdiri daripada tiga jasad tegar yang dihubungkan dengan dua *tether* fleksibel. Tiga jenis bahan yang dilihat berpotensi digunakan untuk membuat tali tether dicadangkan sebagai bahan rujukan. Di samping itu, faktor geseran udara atau “*air-drag*” akan diambil kira dalam penyelidikan ini disebabkan *tether* ini diterbangkan di persekitaran orbit rendah bumi, di mana gangguan geseran udara adalah dominan.

Selain itu, model dinamik graviti yang dikaji oleh Urrutxua, Peláez dan Lara akan diambil kira dalam model *tether* jenis tegar bagi membuat analisa kestabilan untuk kedua-dua aplikasi tether jenis struktur yang tegar dan fleksibel. Satu sempadan kawasan kestabilan telah dibentuk untuk model *tether* yang tegar dan kawalan yang terdiri daripada kawalan *squared sigma* dan controller menggunakan *backstepping* direka khas untuk menstabilkan dua *tether* jenis fleksibel. Berdasarkan keputusan simulasi yang diperolehi, tungsten menunjukkan prestasi yang terbaik dari segi nilai bacaan nisbah bahaya, tetapi Spectra-2000 merupakan bahan yang paling kuat dari segi ketegangan. Selain itu, didapati bahawa nilai σ bagi sistem satelit menggunakan tether tegar yang melebihi nilai kritikal 0.75 boleh distabilkan dengan mengubah jisim utama, M_1 , dalam lingkungan 10^{20} kg dan juga kepanjangan tether, L , dalam keadaan yang tertentu. Namun, sistem satelit dua-link menggunakan *tether* fleksible dapat dikawal dengan menggunakan kawalan *squared sigma* dan *backstepping*. Kepanjangan tali *tether* sebanyak 700 m berjaya dilepaskan dan dikekalkan untuk tempoh sepanjang 450 saat waktu fasa *station keeping*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to thank God for his Almighty blessings and my supervisor, Professor Renuganth Varatharajoo, for his constant supervision and granting me the opportunity to work as a Research Assistant during the early years of my PhD program. I certainly have learned plenty regarding the aerospace engineering field as I made my transition from remote sensing engineering during my Master's Program. He is a very knowledgeable and patient man and I would recommend anyone that would like to pursue their post-graduate studies in the aerospace engineering field to be under his tutelage. All in all, I give him my utmost and sincerest gratitude and respect for his consistent guidance and wise advice, because without it, I would not have been able to complete my PhD journey.

In addition, I would like to thank some of my friends, Samira Eshghi Khouzani, Chak Yew-Chung, Zuliana Ismail, Rijal Din and Maximilian Böttcher for their kind assistance during my research years. Last but not least, I would like to dedicate this thesis to both my mother and late father for all the hard work and love they had poured into shaping me to be the person that I am today with their unconditional love.

APPROVAL

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 9 June 2017 to conduct the final examination of Aaron Aw Teik Hong on his thesis entitled “Dynamics of a Flexible Tethered Satellite System in the Presence of Aerodynamic Drag and Gravity Gradient” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Member of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Faizal bin Mustapha, PhD

Associate Professor Ir.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Tang Sai Hong, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mahendran a/l S. Shitan, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mikhail Ovchinnikov, PhD

Professor
Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics
Russian
(External Examiner)

NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Renuganth Varatharajoo, PhD

Professor, Ir
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Azmin Shakrine Mohd Rafie, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Ramly bin Mohd Ajir, M.Sc

Associate Professor, Lt. Col. (R)
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student:

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research)

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and matric No.: _____

Declaration by graduate student:

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ABSTRAK | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| APPROVAL | iv |
| DECLARATION | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | x |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xiv |
| | |
| CHAPTER | |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 General Overview | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 1 |
| 1.3 Research Objective | 2 |
| 1.4 Scope of Study | 3 |
| 1.5 Thesis Outline | 4 |
| | |
| 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 5 |
| 2.2 Background and History | 6 |
| 2.3 Electrodynamic Tethered Satellite System | 9 |
| 2.4 Multi-tethered Satellite System | 10 |
| 2.5 Momentum Exchange System | 10 |
| 2.6 Gravity Gradient Stabilization Tether | 11 |
| 2.7 Electric Sales Tether | 11 |
| 2.8 Tether Space Environment | 12 |
| 2.9 Interplanetary Mission | 12 |
| 2.10 Control and Dynamics of TSS | 14 |
| 2.11 Summary | 17 |
| | |
| 3 METHODOLOGY | 18 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 18 |
| 3.2 Mathematical Modeling for TSS with Air-Drag Perturbation | 20 |
| 3.3 Mathematical Modeling for TSS in a Coplanar Model | 21 |
| 3.4 Total Kinetic Energy for a TSS Coplanar Model | 22 |
| 3.5 Total Potential Energy for a TSS Coplanar Model | 25 |
| 3.6 Lagrange Equations Method | 27 |
| 3.7 Mathematical Model for a TSS Non-Coplanar Model | 29 |
| 3.8 Total Energies for a TSS Non-Coplanar Model | 30 |
| 3.9 Air Drag External Disturbance Model | 31 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 3.10 | Survival Analysis on Tether Materials | 36 |
| 3.11 | Carmel Linearization Method | 37 |
| 3.12 | Stability Analysis for a rigid TSS with Gravity Gradient | 40 |
| 3.13 | Equation of Motion for a TSS with Two Flexible Tethers | 44 |
| 3.14 | Designing a Backstepping Controller, u_b for Station Keeping | 51 |
| 3.15 | Summary | 59 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 60 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 60 |
| 4.2 | Numerical Results for Different Types of Materials used for TSS | 60 |
| 4.3 | Numerical Results for Safety Envelope for a Rigid TSS | 67 |
| 4.4 | Numerical Results for Tether Deployment without Controller for a Flexible TSS | 70 |
| 4.5 | Summary | 74 |
| 5 | NUMERICAL ANALYSIS | 75 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 75 |
| 5.2 | Numerical Evaluation on Survival Analysis for Tether Materials | 75 |
| 5.3 | Numerical Evaluation on the Safety Envelope Region for a rigid TSS | 78 |
| 5.4 | Numerical Evaluation on Designing a Sigma Square Controller, u_σ | 81 |
| 5.5 | Numerical Evaluation on the Tether Deployment for a Two-Link Flexible TSS | 83 |
| 5.6 | Summary | 91 |
| 6 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 92 |
| 6.1 | Conclusion | 92 |
| 6.2 | Recommendation for Future Works | 93 |
| | REFERENCES | 94 |
| | APPENDICES | 102 |
| | BIODATA OF STUDENT | 116 |
| | LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | 117 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1 | History of Tethered Satellite System (TSS) | 9 |
| 2.2 | The Types of Tethered Satellite System (TSS) | 13 |
| 2.3 | Control and Dynamics of Tethered Satellite System (TSS) | 16 |
| 4.1 | Parameters of Tethered Satellite System (TSS) | 60 |
| 4.2 | Maximum Tension, T_{\max} for a Flexible Tether before Snapping | 66 |
| 4.3 | Maximum Tension, T_{\max} for a Rigid Tether before Snapping | 66 |
| 4.4 | Data points for primary mass, M_1 with fixed tether length, L for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 800$ km | 68 |
| 4.5 | Data points for primary mass, M_1 with fixed tether length, L for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 1000$ km | 68 |
| 4.6 | Data points for primary mass, M_1 with fixed tether length, L for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 1200$ km | 68 |
| 4.7 | Data points for primary mass, M_1 with fixed tether length, L for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 1500$ km | 68 |
| 4.8 | Data points for primary mass, M_1 with fixed tether length, L for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 2000$ km | 69 |
| 4.9 | Data points for tether length, L with fixed primary mass, M_1 for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 800$ km | 69 |
| 4.10 | Data points for tether length, L with fixed primary mass, M_1 for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 1000$ km | 69 |
| 4.11 | Data points for tether length, L with fixed primary mass, M_1 for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 1200$ km | 69 |
| 4.12 | Data points for tether length, L with fixed primary mass, M_1 for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 1500$ km | 69 |
| 4.13 | Data points for tether length, L with fixed primary mass, M_1 for $\sigma = 0.9$ at $h = 2000$ km | 69 |
| 5.1 | Non-coplanar Modeling without Air Drag for a Flexible Tether | 75 |
| 5.2 | Non-coplanar Modeling with Air Drag for a Flexible Tether | 76 |
| 5.3 | Coplanar Modeling without Air Drag for a Flexible Tether | 76 |
| 5.4 | Coplanar Modeling with Air Drag for a Flexible Tether | 76 |
| 5.5 | Non-coplanar Modeling without Air Drag for a Rigid Tether | 76 |
| 5.6 | Non-coplanar Modeling with Air Drag for a Rigid Tether | 77 |
| 5.7 | Coplanar Modeling without Air Drag for a Rigid Tether | 77 |
| 5.8 | Coplanar Modeling with Air Drag for a Rigid Tether | 77 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 5.9 | Numerical analysis on σ_f ranging from [0.00 ~ 0.75] based on Integral Squared Error (ISE) criterion | 82 |
| 5.10 | Numerical analysis on σ_f ranging from [0.015 ~ 0.15] based on Integral Squared Error (ISE) criterion | 82 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Space Tether Literature Review Pie-Chart | 5 |
| 3.1 | Flow Chart of Tether Material Selection Process | 18 |
| 3.2 | Flow Chart of Designing a Piecewise Controller | 19 |
| 3.3 | Tethered Satellite System dumbbell model | 40 |
| 3.4 | Tethered Satellite System Model with Two Flexible Tethers | 44 |
| 4.1 | (a) 3D model for non-coplanar case of a flexible tether (a1) 2D non-coplanar model without drag (a2) 2D non-coplanar model with drag | 62 |
| 4.2 | (b) 3D model for coplanar case of a flexible tether (b1) 2D coplanar model without drag (b2) 2D coplanar model with drag | 63 |
| 4.3 | (c) 3D model for non-coplanar case of a rigid tether (c1) 2D non-coplanar model without drag (c2) 2D non-coplanar model with drag | 64 |
| 4.4 | (d) 3D model for coplanar case of a rigid tether (d1) 2D coplanar model without drag (d2) 2D coplanar model with drag | 65 |
| 4.5 | (a) Phase portrait of in-plane angle, ϑ with $\sigma=0.76$ and $h=800$ km for an unforced case, (b) Phase portrait of in-plane angle, ϑ with $\sigma=0.76$ and $h=800$ km in the presence of gravitational resultant force | 67 |
| 4.6 | (a) Asymptotically stable region for in-plane angle, ϑ with $\sigma=0.9$ at $h=800$ km in the presence of gravitational resultant force with $M_1=6.7 \times 10^{20}$ kg and $l=20$ km, (b) Phase portrait of in-plane angle, ϑ with $\sigma=0.9$ and $h=800$ km in the presence of gravitational resultant force with $M_1=6.6 \times 10^{20}$ kg and $l=20$ km | 68 |
| 4.7 | Simulation results for two in-plane libration angles of the flexible TSS, ψ_1 (deg) and ψ_2 (deg) vs time (sec) without a controller | 70 |
| 4.8 | Simulation results for two out-of-plane libration angles of the flexible TSS, ϕ_1 (deg) and ϕ_2 (deg) vs time (sec) without a controller | 71 |
| 4.9 | Simulation results for $\dot{\psi}_1$ (deg/s) and $\dot{\psi}_2$ (deg/s) vs time (sec) without a controller | 71 |
| 4.10 | Simulation results for $\dot{\phi}_1$ (deg/s) and $\dot{\phi}_2$ (deg/s) vs time (sec) without a controller | 72 |
| 4.11 | Simulation results for the deployment of tether length, r_1 (m) and r_2 (m) vs time (s) without a controller | 72 |
| 4.12 | Simulation results for \dot{r}_1 and \dot{r}_2 vs time (s) without a controller | 73 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.13 | Simulation results for \dot{r}_1 and \dot{r}_2 vs time (s) without a controller | 73 |
| 5.1 | 3D Stability Region Plot for a rigid TSS with Rigid End Bodies | 79 |
| 5.2 | 3D Stability Region Plot for a Rigid TSS with Rigid End Bodies | 80 |
| 5.3 | Simulation results for two in-plane libration angles of the flexible TSS, ψ_1 (deg) and ψ_2 (deg) vs time (s) with u_σ controller | 83 |
| 5.4 | Simulation results for two out-of-plane libration angles of the flexible TSS, ϕ_1 (deg) and ϕ_2 (deg) vs time (sec) with u_σ controller | 84 |
| 5.5 | Simulation results for $\dot{\psi}_1$ (deg/s) and $\dot{\psi}_2$ (deg/s) vs time (s) with u_σ controller | 84 |
| 5.6 | Simulation results for $\dot{\phi}_1$ (deg/s) and $\dot{\phi}_2$ (deg/s) vs time (s) with u_σ controller | 85 |
| 5.7 | Simulation results for the deployment of tether length, r_1 (m) and r_2 (m) vs time (s) with u_σ controller | 85 |
| 5.8 | Simulation results for \dot{r}_1 and \dot{r}_2 vs time with u_σ controller | 86 |
| 5.9 | Simulation results for σ_1 and σ_2 vs time with u_σ controller | 86 |
| 5.10 | Simulation results for two in-plane libration angles of the flexible TSS, ψ_1 and ψ_2 vs time (s) with u_f controller | 88 |
| 5.11 | Simulation results for two out-of-plane libration angles of the flexible TSS, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 vs time (s) with u_f controller | 88 |
| 5.12 | Simulation results for $\dot{\psi}_1$ and $\dot{\psi}_2$ vs time (s) with a u_f controller | 89 |
| 5.13 | Simulation results for $\dot{\phi}_1$ and $\dot{\phi}_2$ vs time (s) with u_f controller | 89 |
| 5.14 | Simulation results for the deployment of tether length, r_1 and r_2 vs time (s) with u_f controller | 90 |
| 5.15 | Simulation results for \dot{r}_1 and \dot{r}_2 vs time (s) with u_f controller | 90 |
| 5.16 | Simulation results for σ_1 and σ_2 vs time(s) with u_f controller | 91 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|--------------|--|
| M_1 | Mass of primary satellite 1 [kg] |
| M_2 | Mass of secondary satellite 2 [kg] |
| M_c | Mass of central satellite [kg] |
| M_{T1} | Mass of the tether 1 [kg] |
| M_{T2} | Mass of the tether 2 [kg] |
| r_{T1} | Radius of tether 1 [m] |
| r_{T2} | Radius of the tether 2 [m] |
| θ | True Anomaly [deg] |
| ϕ | Out-of-plane Angle [deg] |
| ψ | In-plane Angle [deg] |
| $\dot{\phi}$ | Rate of change of out-of-plane Angle [deg/s] |
| $\dot{\psi}$ | Rate of change of in-plane Angle [deg/s] |
| L | Total Length of the tether [m] |
| \dot{L} | Rate of change of tether length [m/s] |
| ω | Orbital Angular Velocity [deg/s] |
| e | Eccentricity |
| μ | Standard Gravitational Parameter [m ³ /s ²] |
| μ_1 | Primary Mass Gravitational Parameter [m ³ /s ²] |
| μ_m | Reduced mass [m ³ /s ²] |
| ν | Latitude Angle of each Tether element, dM [deg] |
| dM | Tether element |

| | |
|----------------|---|
| P_w | Legendre Polynomial |
| C_n | Ultraspherical Polynomial |
| J_w | Zonal Harmonics |
| γ_C | Latitude Angle of Tether's Center of Mass [deg] |
| E | Young Modulus [GPa] |
| ρ | Tether Linear Density [kg/m ³] |
| A | Area of Tether [m ²] |
| d_T | Diameter of Tether [m] |
| I_n | Moments of Inertia for Tether |
| q_1 | Axial Vibration Modal Coordinates |
| q_2 | Transverse Vibration Modal Coordinates |
| q_3 | Lateral Vibration Modal Coordinates |
| T_n | Kinetic Energy with respect to n-body [J] |
| U_n | Potential Energy with respect to n-body [J] |
| T_n | Tension in Tether [N] |
| Q_θ | Air Drag Torque on In-Plane Angle |
| Q_ϕ | Air Drag Torque on Out-of-Plane Angle |
| τ | Torque from motor [N.m] |
| C_d | Air-Drag Coefficient |
| ρ_o | Atmospheric Density [kg/m ³] |
| h | Altitude [km] |
| h^* | Height Characteristics [km] |
| \mathbf{v}_a | Air Molecules Velocity [m/s] |
| Υ | Flight Path Angle [deg] |

| | |
|-----------|--|
| M_T | Moment of Tether |
| \hat{p} | Position vectors of mass bodies |
| φ | Angle of attack [deg] |
| Z_+^k | Nonnegative integers |
| R | Real numbers |
| R^k | k -dimensional real linear space |
| \otimes | Kronecker product |
| I | Identity Matrix |
| C | Complex numbers |
| V | Lyapunov function candidate |
| x, y, z | Relative position of tethered subsatellite |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Overview

There are two main areas of focus in this research. The first was to investigate which tether material is durable enough for survival under the perturbation of aerodynamic drag forces when the tethered satellite system (TSS) is flying at Low-Earth Orbit below 500 km. Next, we conducted a thorough stability analysis on the TSS when it was flown above 500 km but well within the LEO region which housed the effects of gravity gradient. Our task was to control the deployed tether length by utilizing a specially designed controller. Prior to this, many research works were done on the flexible tether that provided a reasonable understanding and accuracy on the tether dynamics of the system (Misra & Modi, 1982). The types of materials used for a space tether must be in accordance to the types of applications it is based on. It would be most certainly useful for tether designing to fully understand the material types best suited for a certain mission while being subjected to environmental effects (Silverman, 1995). Various tests were also carried out to determine the hypervelocity impact of space debris on the types of materials prior to flight, while excluding the effects of air-drag (Sabath & Paul, 1997).

1.2 Problem Statement

Based on most literature works, air-drag or air-resistance is usually not considered in order to simplify the complexities of the system dynamics. Therefore, if we were to accurately model an actual tethered satellite system (TSS) operating around the LEO region, the effects of air-drag should be considered as a variable because air drag is highly dominant especially when flying around 300 km (Johnson, Gilchrist, Estes, & Lorenzini, 1999). In addition, the majority of the tethered satellite system (TSS) consists of a two – body system and both were usually assumed to be point-mass or modelled as particles (Mankala and Agrawal, 2005; Woo and Misra, 2013; Zhong and Zhu, 2013).

Moreover, the study on the stability of a TSS is crucial for missions such as microgravity level evaluation in a TSS. There are many research works focusing on the tether deployment stability alone, but most of them consider the satellites to be in point mass and not a rigid body. It was found that the critical value, σ must not exceed 0.75 to ensure the stability of the TSS (Jesus, 1995). The study on the gravitational actions on the TSS was investigated when the TSS flew more than 500 km within the LEO, but no one conducted a stability analysis (Urrutxua et. al., 2015). It was noted that in order to analyze the stability of the TSS, many sources

cited commonly adopted methods such as the Hamiltonian approach in obtaining the Lyapunov function, but these methods failed to explain how the exact Lyapunov function was being formulated as the data was attained on a trial and error manner. Some attached thrusters to stabilize the TSS during deployment.

However, as the most convenient option, thrusters brought about unnecessary high costs (Mantellato, Valmorbida, & Lorenzini, 2015). The problem statements of this research are:

- a) The aerodynamic drag is dominant within the Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) region at an altitude below 500 km and this perturbation would affect the survivability of the space tether.
- b) In analyzing the stability of the rigid TSS for a sigma value that exceeds the critical value of 0.75, it is extremely difficult in determining a suitable Lyapunov candidate utilizing the standard energylike function approach due to the highly non-linear system of the TSS dynamics.
- c) The two-link flexible TSS is very unstable during the deployment phase in the presence of gravity-gradient and numerous known control methods were adopted in order to stabilize the system, but fail in controlling the exact amount of tether length being deployed for station-keeping purposes.

1.3 Objective

This thesis aims to address and solve issues pertaining to a flexible (TSS) while taking into consideration external disturbances. The specific objectives of this research are:

- a) To determine which material is suitable for a space tether that is flying in Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) below 500 km with the effects of air-drag perturbation and to analyze the critical value, σ for a rigid TSS.
- b) To design a sigma square controller to stabilize both the in-plane and out-of-plane angles of the flexible TSS during the deployment phase when flying in LEO above 500 km with regards to the effects of gravity gradient.
- c) To design a backstepping controller to control the deployed tether length as part of the station keeping phase when flying in

LEO above 500 km while keeping in consideration the effects of gravity gradient.

Therefore, for this research, we utilized the Carleman Linearization Theorem; a method that converts a finite nonlinear system to an infinite linear system that will give us a systematic approach in obtaining the Lyapunov function which has not been use before in this area of expertise. Since the TSS is being examined by utilizing a flexible tether, we designed a suitable piecewise controller that utilizes the square sigma and backstepping controller method in stabilizing both the in-plane and out-of plane angles of the TSS during its deployment phase in LEO at an altitude above 500 km where gravity gradient acts as an external force. As this experiment has never been conducted before, the following section will contain further discussions and elaborations (Modi, Pradhan, & Misra, 1997; Decou, 1989; Banks & Hernandez, 2005; Mantri, Mazzoleni, & Padgett, 2007; Zhao, Cai, & Qi, 2010).

1.4 Scope and Limitations of Study

A comparison was made between a coplanar and a non-coplanar model for both the rigid and flexible tethers regarding the tether tension for three material types that are being tested. Subsequently, a survival probability analysis was conducted for the tether's three types of materials while under the effects of air-drag perturbation and comparisons were made when the TSS flew at two different altitudes.

The primary focus of this research is to design a specialized piecewise controller which could switch from a tether deployment phase to a station keeping phase while controlling the length of the flexible TSS. New techniques will be introduced in designing the controllers to stabilize the system. The Carleman Linearization and Lyapunov methods will be utilized in assessing the stability of the TSS while Matlab® and Mathematica software programs will be used to conduct the numerical analysis.

There are a few limitations from the research work presented in this thesis. The main objective which is to decide the types of material best utilized for a space tether subjected to the Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) environment is only limited to three. Also, in analyzing the critical value, σ for a rigid TSS, the simulation for this analysis is simulated in a non-asteroid condition. As such, the primary mass could be varied without restriction. This may not be applicable when simulated for a colossal body with a fixed mass such as an asteroid. Lastly, when designing the piecewise controller for a two-link flexible TSS, this research focused only on controlling the deployment and station-keeping phase without considering the retrieval phase.

1.5 Thesis Outline

This thesis consists of six chapters. The outlines are as follows:

Chapter 1 provides an overview about the past works that have been conducted regarding the tethered satellite system in general. The problem statement, research objectives and scope of study are also included in this chapter.

Chapter 2 reviews the literature on the history of TSS and also covers the many types of TSS applications in space. A description on the tether space environment, specifically on the Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) region is also described in this chapter.

Chapter 3 provides a detailed description on the mathematical modeling of the TSS dynamics for both coplanar and non-coplanar model. The process in designing the backstepping controller is also elaborated fully here.

Chapter 4 provides the results obtained from the simulation of the TSS dynamics regarding tether materials selection, flexible tether deployment and the station-keeping phase. Attained results will be discussed generally.

Chapter 5 focuses on the numerical analysis of the data obtained from the TSS dynamics simulation. A full discussion will be provided as well

Chapter 6 concludes the research work and includes some suggestions for future research.

REFERENCES

- Andres, Y. N., Zimmerman, F., & Schottle, U. M. (2000). Optimization and the control of early deployment phase during a tether assisted deorbit maneuver. Proceedings from: 22nd International Symposium on Space Technology and Science, Japan Society for Aeronautical and Space Sciences and 22nd ISTS Organizing Committee.
- Arnold, D. A., (1987). The Behavior of Long Tethers in Space. *The Journal of Astronautical Sciences*, 35(1): 2-16.
- Ashenberg, J., & Lorenzini E. C. (1997). Dynamical Characteristics of Tethered Stabilized Satellite. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 20(6): 1266-1270.
- Aslanov, V. S., and Yudintsev, V. V. (2015). Dynamics, Analytical Solutions and Choice of Parameters for Towed Space Debris with Flexible Appendages. *Advances in Space Research*, 55: 661- 664.
- Bainum, P. M., & Evans, K. S. (1976). Gravity-gradient Effects on the Motion of Two Rotating Cable-connected Bodies. *AIAA Journal*, 14(1): 27-31.
- Bainum, P., and Kumar, V. K. Optimal Control of the Shuttle-Tethered-Subsatellite System. *Acta Astronautica*, 7:1333-1348.
- Banerjee, A. K. and Kane, T. R. (1984). Tethered Satellite Retrieval with Thruster Augmented Control. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 7: 45-50.
- Banks., S. P., & Hernandez, C. N. (2005). A New Proof of McCann's Theorem and the Generalization of Lyapunov's Equation to Nonlinear Systems. *International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control*, 1(1): 1-14.
- Barkow, B., Steindl, A., Troger, H., and Wiedermann, G. (2003) Various Methods of Controlling the Deployment of a Tethered Satellite. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 9: 188-208.
- Beer, F. P., Johnston, E. R.Jr, Mazurek, D. F., Cornwel, P. J., & Eisenberg, E. R. (1984). *Vector Mechanics for Engineers*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Beletsky, V. V., & Levin, E. M. (1993). *Dynamics of Space Tether Systems*. San Diego: American Astronautical Society Publications.
- Bergamaschi, S. and Lazzarin, W. (1986). *Dynamical Effects of Tether Structural Damping: A Preliminary Model*. Proceedings of the NASA/AIAA/PSN International Conference on Tethers in Space.

- Bonometti, J. A., Sorensen, K. F., Dankanich, J. W., & Frame, K. L. (2006). *Status of the Momentum Exchange Electrodynamic Re-boost (mxer) Tether Development*. In 42nd AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit.
- Breakwell, J. W., & Janssens, F. L. (1992). On the Transverse Vibrations of a Revolving Tether. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 54(4): 322-338.
- Brown, K. G., Melfi, L. T. Jr., Upchurch, B. T., & Wood, G. M. (1992). Downward-Deployment Tethered Satellite Systems, Measurement Techniques, and Instrumentation: A Review. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 29(5): 673-676.
- Carleman., T., (1932). Application De La Theories Des Equations Integrales Lineaire Aux Systemes D'equations Differentielles Nonlineaires. *Acta Mathematica*, 59: 65-83.
- Cartmell, M. P., & McKenzie, D. J. (2008). A Review of Space Tether Research. *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 44: 1-19.
- Chen, Y. (2010). Dynamical Modelling of a Flexible Motorised Momentum Exchange Tether and Hybrid Fuzzy Sliding Mode Control for Spin-up. PhD. Thesis, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.
- Celletti, A., & Sidorenko, V. V. (2008). Some Properties of the Dumbbell Satellite Attitude Dynamics. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 101(1): 107-123.
- Chobotov, V. (1967). Gravitational Excitation of an Extensible Dumbbell Satellite. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 4(10): 1297-1299.
- Colombo, G., Gaposchkin, E. M., Grossi, M. D., & Weiffenbach, G. C. (1975). The skyhook: A Shuttle-Borne Tool for Low-Orbital-Altitude Research. *Meccanica*, 10(1): 4-17.
- Corsi, J., & Iess, L. (2001). Stability and Control of Electrodynamic Tethers for De-Orbiting Applications. *Acta Astronautica*, 48(5): 493-497.
- Cosmo M. L. and Lorenzini E. C. (1997). *Tethers in Space Handbook*. Cambridge: Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory.
- Cox, D. R., & Oakes, D. (1984), *Analysis of Survival Data*. Washington: Chapman and Hall Publications.
- Crist, S. A., & Easley, J. G. (1969). Motion and Stability of a Spinning Spring-Mass System in Orbit. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 6(7): 820-822.

- Decou, A. B. (1989). Gravity Gradient Disturbances on Rotating Tethered Systems in Circular Orbit. Proceedings from: *3rd International Conference on Tethers in Space: Toward Flight*.
- Djebli, A., & Bakkali, L. El. (1999). Law of Deployment/Retrieval in Tether Connected Satellites. *Acta Astronautica*, 45(2): 63-68.
- Ellis, J. R. (2010). *Modelling, Dynamics, and Control of Tethered Satellite Systems*, PhD Thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Ellis, J. R., & Hall, C. D. (2009). Model Development and Code Verification for Simulation of Electrodynamic Tether System. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 32(6): 1715-1718.
- Ellis, J. R., & Hall, C.D. (2010). Out-of-Plane Librations of Spinning Tethered Satellite Systems. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 106(1): 41-46.
- Fujii, H. A., Watanabe, T., Kusagaya, T., Sato, D., and Ohta, M. (2008). Dynamics of a Flexible Space Tether Equipped with a Crawler Mass. *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, 31 (2): 436-439.
- Gates, S. S., Koss, S. M., & Zedd, M. F. (2001). Advanced Tether Experiment Deployment Failure. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 38(1): 62-66.
- Gelfand, I. M., & Fomin, S. V., (1963), *Calculus of Variations*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Glickman, R. E., and Rybak, S. C. (1987). Gravity Gradient Enhancement During Tethered Payload Retrieval. *The Journal of Astronautical Sciences*, 35(1): 57-74.
- Hoyt, R.P. (2002). *Stabilization of Electrodynamic Tethers*. In 38th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit.
- He, Y., Liang, B., & Xu, W. (2011). Study on The Stability of Tethered Satellite System. *Acta Astronautica*, 68(11-12): 1966-1970.
- He, X., and David Powell, J. (1990). Tether Damping in Space. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 13(1): 104-112.
- Hughes, P. (1986). *Spacecraft Attitude Dynamics*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Ismail, N. A. (2012). *The Dynamics of a Flexible Motorized Momentum Exchange Tether (MMET)*, PhD Thesis, University of Glasgow.
- Jesus., P. (1995). On The Dynamics of Deployment of A Tether From An Orbiter – Part II. Exponential Deployment. *Acta Astronautica*, 36(6): 311-316.

- Johnson, L., Gilchrist, B., Estes, R. D., & Lorenzini, E. (1999). Overview of Future NASA Tether Applications. *Advances in Space Research*, 24(8): 1057-1062.
- Kane, T. R. (1984). A New Method for the Retrieval of the Shuttle Based Tethered Satellite. *The Journal of Astronautical Sciences*, 32(3): 351-354.
- Kim, E., and Vadali, S. R. (1992). Nonlinear Feedback Deployment and Retrieval of Tethered Satellite Systems. *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, 15(1): 28-34.
- Kim, E., and Vadali, S. R. (1995). Modelling Issues Related to Retrieval of Flexible Tethered Satellite Systems. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 18(5): 1169-1176.
- Kim, I., Hirayama, H., & Hanada, T. (2010). Practical Guidelines for Electrodynamic Tethers to Survive from Orbital Debris Impacts. *Advances in Space Research*, 45 (10): 1294-1299.
- Keshmiri, M., and Misra, A. K. (1996). General Formulation for N-Body Tethered Satellite System Dynamics. *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, 19(1):75-83.
- Kojima, H., Iwasaki, M., Fujii, H. A., Blanksby, C., and Trivailo, P. (2004). Nonlinear Control of Librational Motion of Tethered Satellites in Elliptic Orbits. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 27(2): 229-236.
- Kowalski, K. & Steeb, W. H. (1991). *Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Carleman Linearization*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing.
- Krstić, M., Protz, J. M., Paduano, J. D., & Kokotović, P. V. (1995). *Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Design*. New York: John Wileys and Sons.
- Krupa, M., Kuhn, A., Poth, W., Schagerl, M., Steindl, A., Steiner, W., Troger, H., & Wiedermann, G. (2000). Tethered Satellite Systems: A New Concept of Space Flight. *European Journal of Mechanics A Solids*, 19: 146-161.
- Kuhn, A., Steiner, W., Zemann, J., Dinevski, D., & Troger, H. (1995). A comparison of various mathematical formulations and numerical solution methods for the large amplitude oscillations of a string pendulum. *Applied Mathematics and Computations*, 67(1): 233–255.
- Kumar, K. D. & Yasaka, Y. (2004). Rotating Formation Flying of Three Satellites Using Tethers. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 41(6): 973-978.
- Kulla, P. (1976). Dynamics of tethered satellites. Proceedings Symposium: *Tethered systems: first mission and future on Dynamics and Control of Non-rigid Spacecraft*.

- Lee, B., and Grantham, W. J. (1989). Aeroassisted Orbital Maneuvering Using Lyapunov Optimal Feedback Control. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 12(2): 237-242.
- Lemke, G., Powell, J. D., and He, X. (1987). Attitude Control of Tethered Spacecraft. *The Journal of Astronautical Science*, 35(1): 41-56.
- Leok, M., Lee, T., & McClamrock, N. H. (2001). Dynamics of a 3D Elastic String Pendulum. Proceeding from: *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*.
- Lorenzini, E. C., Mowery, D. K., and Rupp, C. C. (1995). *SEDS-II Control Law and Mission Design*. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Tethers in Space.
- Mankala, K. K., & Agrawal, S. K. (2005). Dynamic Modeling and Simulation of Satellite Tethered Systems. *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, 127, 144-148.
- Mantellato, R., Valmorbidia, A., & Lorenzini, E. C. (2015). Thrust-Aided Librating Deployment of Tape Tethers. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 52 (5): 1398-1404.
- Mantri, P., Mazzoleni, A. P., & Padgett, D. A. (2007). Parametric Study of Deployment of Tethered Satellite Systems. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 44(2): 414-422.
- Marsden, J. E., & West, M. (2001). Discrete Mechanics and Variational Integrators. *Acta Numerica*, 10: 372-405.
- Meirovitch, L., 1997, *Principles and Techniques of Vibrations*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Min, B. Y. (1999). *Nonlinear Free Vibration of a Spinning Tether*, PhD Thesis, McGill University.
- Miroslav, K., Ioannis, K., & Petar, K. (1995). *Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Design*. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- Misra, A. K., & Modi, V. J. (1982). *Dynamics and Control of Tether Connected Two-Body Systems- A Brief Review*. 33rd Congress of the International Astronautical Federation.
- Misra, A. K., & Modi, V. J., (1992). Three Dimensional Dynamics and Control of Tether-Connected N-body Systems. *Acta Astronautica*, 26; 77-81.
- Misra, A. K., Nixon, M. S., & Modi, V. J. (2001). Nonlinear dynamics of two body tethered satellite systems: constant length case. *Journal of Astronautical Sciences*, 49(2): 221-234.

- Modi, V. J. (1974). Attitude Dynamics of Satellites with Flexible Appendages—A Brief Review. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 11(11): 743-751.
- Modi, V. J., Pradhan, S., & Misra, A. K. (1997). Controlled dynamics of flexible orbiting tethered systems: analysis and experiments. *Journal of Vibrational Control*, 3: 463-495.
- Natarajan, A. and Schaub, H., "Hybrid Control of Orbit Normal and Along-Track Two-Craft Coulomb Tethers," *Aerospace Science and Technology*, Vol. 13, 2009, pp. 183-191.
- Nolet, S., Kong, E., & Miller, D. W. (2004). Autonomous Docking Algorithm Development and Experimentation Using the SPHERES Testbed. Proceedings from: *SPIE Defend and Security Symposium*, Orlando, FL. April 2004.
- Ohkami, Y., Yoshimura, S., and Okamoto, O. (1995). Evaluation of Microgravity Level Fluctuation due to Attitude/Orbital Motion in a Tethered Satellite System. *Acta Astronautica*, 35(2/3): 187-191.
- Peláez, J. (1995). On The Dynamics of the Deployment of a Tether From an Orbiter-Part II. Exponential Deployment. *Acta Astronautica*, 36(6): 315-325.
- Peláez, J., & Lorenzini, E.C. (2005). Libration Control of Electrodynamic Tethers in Inclined Orbit. *Journal of Guidance Control and Dynamics*, 28(2): 271-275.
- Puig-Suari J., Longuski J. M., and Trageser S. G. (1995). A Tether Slings for Lunar and Interplanetary Exploration. *Acta Astronautica*, 36(6): 77-84.
- Sabath, D., & Paul, K. G. (1997). Hypervelocity Impact Experiments on Tether Materials. *Advances in Space Research*, 20(8), 1433-1436.
- Sabatini, M., & Palmerini, G. B. (2007). Dynamics of a 3D Rotating Tethered Formation Flying Facing the Earth. In: 2007 IEEE Aerospace Conference.
- Samanta Roy, R. I., Hastings, D. E., and Ahedo, E. (1992). Systems Analysis of Electrodynamic Tethers. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 29(3): 415-424.
- Schaub, H., & Junkins, J. (2003). Analytical Mechanics of Space Systems. AIAA Education Series, Reston, VA.
- Silverman, E. M. (1995). *Space Environmental Effects on Spacecraft: LEO Materials Selection Guide*. NASA Contractor Report 4661 Part 1:United States.

- Slotine., J. J. E. S., & Li, W. (1991). *Applied Nonlinear Control*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Steiner, W., Zemann, J., Steindl, A., & Troger, H., 1995, "Numerical Study of a Large Amplitude Oscillation of a Two-Satellite Continuous Tether System with Varying Length," *Acta Astronautica*, 35: 609–615.
- Steindl, A. (2014). Optimal Control of the Deployment (and Retrieval) of a Tethered Satellite Under Small Initial Disturbances. *Meccanica*, 49(8):1879-1885.
- Stevens, R. E. (2008). *Optimal Control of Electrodynamic Tether Satellites*, PhD Thesis, Air Force Institute of Technology.
- Sudarsan, R., & Keerthi, S. S. (1998). An efficient approach for the numerical simulation of multibody systems. *Applied Mathematics and Computations*, 92: 196–217.
- Sun, G., and Zhu, Z. H. (2014). Fractional-Order Tension Control Law for Deployment of Space Tether System. *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, 37(6): 2057-2061.
- Tewari, A. (2007). *Atmospheric and Space Flight Dynamics*. New York: Birkhäuser Boston.
- Tsiotras, P. (1996). Stabilization and Optimality Results for the Attitude Control System. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 19(4): 772-779.
- Urrutxua, H., Jesus, P., & Lara, M. (2015). Gravitational Actions upon Tethers in Nonuniform Gravity Fields with Revolution Symmetry. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 38(8): 1382–1394.
- Urrutxua, H., Jesus, P., & Lara, M. (2014). Gravitational Actions upon a Tether in a Nonuniform Gravity Field with Arbitrary Number of Zonal Harmonics. *Advances in the Astronautical Sciences*, 152: 3343–3362.
- Vadali, S. R., and Kim, E. S. (1991). Feedback Control of Tethered Satellites Using Lyapunov Stability Theory. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 14(4): 729-735.
- Vannaroni, G., Dobrowolny, M., Lebreton, J. P., Melchioni, E., De Venuto, F., Harvey, C. C., Iess, L., Guidoni, U., Bonifazi, C., and Mariani, F. (1998). Current-Voltage Characteristic of the TSS-1R Satellite: Comparison with Isotropic and Anisotropic Models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(5): 749-752.
- Woo, P., & Misra, A. K. (2013). Mechanics of Very Long Tethered Systems. *Acta Astronautica*, 87, 153-157.

- Wen, H., Zhu, Z. H., Jin, D. P., & Hu, H. Y. (2016a). Space Tether Deployment Control with Explicit Tension Constraint and Saturation Function. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 39(4): 917-920.
- Williams., P., Blanksby, C, Trivailo, P., and Fujii, H.A. (2005). In-plane Payload Capture Using Tethered. *Acta Astronautica*, 57(10): 772–787.
- Williams, P. (2006). In-plane Payload Capture with an Elastic Tether. *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, 29: 810-821.
- Williams, P. (2008). Optimal Deployment/Retrieval of Tethered Satellites. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 25(2): 324-343.
- Williams, P. (2008b). Optimal Deployment/Retrieval of Tethered Satellites. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 45(2): 325-340.
- Zabolotnov, Y. M. (2015). Control of the Deployment of a Tethered Orbital System with a Smallload into a Vertical Position. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 79(1): 28-33.
- Zhai, G., Qiu, Y., Liang, B., and Li, Cheng. (2009). On-Orbit Capture with Flexible Tether-net System. *Acta Astronautica*, 65: 613–623.
- Ziegler, S. W., & Cartmell, M. P. (2001). Using Motorized Tethers for Payload Orbital Transfer. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 38: 904-908.
- Ziegler, S.W. (2003). The Rigid Body Dynamic of Tethers in Space, PhD Thesis, University of Glasgow.
- Zhao, J., Cai, Z., & Qi, Z. (2010). Dynamics of variable-length tethered formations near libration points. *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 33:1174-1180.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Hong, A. A. T., & Varatharajoo, R. (2012). Investigation on a Flexible Tether Slackness Based on In- and Out-of Plane Libration Angles. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 225: 411-416.
- Hong, A. A. T., Varatharajoo, R., & Romli, F. I. (2015). Analytic Solutions for Tethered Satellite System (TSS) subject to Internal and External Torques undergoing a Spin-up and Spin-down Maneuvers. *Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology*, 87(6): 514-520.
- Hong, A. A. T., & Varatharajoo, R. (2015). Dynamics of a Flexible Tethered Satellite System Utilizing Various Materials for Coplanar and Non-coplanar Models. *Advances in Space Research*, 56(4): 648-663.