

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE PERFORMANCE OF NITI SHAPE MEMORY ALLOY BASED BONE FIXATION DEVICE

YONG THIAN HAW

FK 2015 108



AN INVESTIGATION OF THE PERFORMANCE OF NITI SHAPE MEMORY ALLOY BASED BONE FIXATION DEVICE



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

September 2015

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

G



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE PERFORMANCE OF NITI SHAPE MEMORY ALLOY BASED BONE FIXATION DEVICE

By

YONG THIAN HAW

September 2015

Chair: Mohd Roshdi Bin Hassan, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

This project has involved design of a new bone fixation device made of NiTi shape memory alloy. Conceptual designs were generated by using Product Design Specification (PDS) and brainstorming methods. The concept evaluation of the conceptual designs has been carried out by means of weighted objective method and the conceptual design that scores highest was chosen as the best design concept. The concept of Nitinol Stitch was the most suitable for bone fixation device because of large size of fracture coverage and excellent adaptability to bone geometry when compared to other design concepts.

The new bone fixation device model was fabricated by using NiTi shape memory alloy as its main constituent. Performance of the newly designed bone fixation device model made of NiTi shape memory alloy was evaluated. Meanwhile, commercial finite element analysis (FEA) software, Abaqus was used to analyze the mechanics of model as well as its behavior, so that the installation process during orthopedic surgery can be simulated. A prototype has been fabricated. Heat test was conducted in laboratory to investigate the actual performance of the prototype. Experimental data were compared to the simulation data for result validation.

From simulation, it is found that the gap between two bone fragments has been reduced from 2mm to 0.2mm. However, slipping occurred during experiment, the gap has increased up to 6.54mm. The simulation result was further explored to justify the device's feasibility. The findings of this research will provide one of the possibilities that can be made to solve shortcomings of a few existing SMA based bone fixation devices as reviewed in literature. The research has led to a conclusion that the newly designed device, Nitinol Stitch, has shown its potential advantages in orthopedics by offering a new alternative in fixing bone fracture. The design and experimental processes throughout the project can be used as a reference for future development of other SMA based bone fixation devices.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Sarjana Sains

KAJIAN TERHADAP PRESTASI PERANTI PENETAPAN TULANG BERASASKAN ALOI INGATAN BENTUK NITI

Oleh

YONG THIAN HAW

September 2015

Pengerusi: Mohd Roshdi Bin Hassan, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Projek ini telah melibatkan reka cipta sejenis alat penetapan tulang patah baru yang diperbuat daripada aloi ingatan bentuk NiTi. Konsep reka bentuk telah dijana dengan menggunakan Product Design Specification (PDS) dan kaedah brainstorming. Penilaian konsep reka bentuk telah dijalankan dengan kaedah weighted objective dan konsep reka bentuk yang mencapai markah tertinggi telah dipilih sebagai konsep reka bentuk terbaik. Konsep Jahitan Nitinol adalah paling sesuai untuk alat penetapan tulang kerana saiz liputan retakan yang besar dan penyesuaian pada geometri tulang yang bagus berbanding dengan konsep reka bentuk yang lain.

Alat penetapan tulang yang baru telah dihasilkan dengan menggunakan aloi ingatan bentuk NiTi sebagai bahan utamanya. Prestasi alat penetapan tulang yang baru direka cipta ini telah dinilai. Sementara itu, perisian analisis unsur terhingga (FEA) komersial, Abaqus telah digunakan untuk menganalisa mekanik model serta kelakuannya, supaya proses pemasangan semasa pembedahan ortopedik dapat disimulasikan. Sebuah prototaip telah dihasilkan. Ujian pemanasan telah dijalankan di dalam makmal untuk menyiasat prestasi prototaip yang sebenar. Data experiment telah dibandingkan dengan data simulasi untuk pengesahan hasil keputusan.

Dari simulasi, adalah didapati bahawa jurang antara dua bahagian tulang patah telah dikurangkan dari 2mm hingga 0.2mm. Akan tetapi, disebabkan oleh berlakunya tergelincir dalam eksperimen, jurang telah bertambah sehingga 6.54mm. Keputusan simulasi telah diteroka untuk membincangkan kebolehgunaan alat tersebut. Hasil carian penyelidikan ini akan memberi salah satu kemungkinan yang boleh digunakan untuk menyelesaikan kelemahan-kelemahan dalam beberapa alat penetapan tulang berasaskan SMA yang wujud sekarang sebagaimana yang telah dibincangkan dalam hasil bacaan. Penyelidikan ini telah membawa kepada kesimpulan iaitu alat penetapan tualng yang baru, Nitinol Stitch, telah menunjukkan potensi kelebihannya dalam bidang orthopedik dengan menyedikan satu alternatif baru dalam perihal penetapan rekahan tulang. Proses reka bentuk dan eksperimen sepanjang projek ini boleh digunakan sebagai rujukan untuk perkembangan alatan penetapan tulang yang baru pada masa depan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The student would like to thank and express gratitude to Dr. Mohd Roshdi bin Hassan, the supervisor; for his great enthusiasm, able guidance and incredible patience throughout the period of research. Without his support, it would have been impossible to complete the report.

The help rendered by all technicians in the Manufacturing Laboratory and Strength of Material Laboratory are greatly acknowledged. Author would like to thank the lab technicians, En. Muhammad Wildan Ilyas b. Mohamed Ghazali and En. Ahmad Shaifudin Ismail for their assistance during hours spent in the laboratories. Assistance provided by En. Joha Muhsidi Abbdul Wahab from Chemical and Environmental Engineering Department is also much appreciated. Prof. Dr. Manohar Arumugam from Orthopedics Department, Faculty of Health and Medicine has provided a lot of useful comments in regards to common practices in biomedical field.

Finally, the student would like to express gratitude to other faculty members of Universiti Putra Malaysia for their intellectual support throughout the course of this project.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 18 September 2015 to conduct the final examination of Yong Thian Haw on his thesis entitled "An Investigation of The Performance of NiTi Shape Memory Alloy Based Bone Fixation Device" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Nawal Aswan b. Abdul Jalil, PhD Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Kamarul Arifin Ahmad, PhD Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Shahrum Abdullah, PhD Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (External Examiner)

ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 24 March 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Roshdi bin Hassan, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Aidy bin Ali, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering National Defence University of Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:
Name and Matric No.:	

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

0

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of	 	
Supervisory		
Committee:		
	and the second	
Signature:		
Name of Member of		
Supervisory		
Committee:		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iiii
APPROVAL	iv
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF NOMENCLATURES	xiv

CHAPTER DI

1	INTF	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Overview	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	1
	1.3	Objectives	2
	1.4	Scope of Study	2
	1.5	Significance of Study	3
2	LITE	CRATURE REVIEW	4
_	2.1	Overview	4
	2.2	Definition of Bone Fracture	4
	2.3	Classification of Bone Fractures	5
	2.4	Orthopedic Implant	5
	2.5	Biocompatibility	8
	2.6	Background of Shape Memory Alloy	9
		2.6.1 Shape Memory Effect	9
		2.6.2 General Applications of Shape	12
		Memory Alloy	
	2.7	Pseudoelasticity	12
	2.8	Biocompatibility of Nitinol	13
	2.9 Applications of Shape Memory Alloy in		14
Biomedical Field		Biomedical Field	
		2.9.1 Cardiovascular Applications	14
		2.9.2 Stent Grafts	15
		2.9.3 Laparoscopy	15
	2.10	Previous Studies of SMA Based Bone	16
		Fixation Device	
		2.10.1 SMA Suturing Devices	16
		2.10.2 ATMFS	18
		2.10.3 OSStaple	19
		2.10.4 SMA Patellar Concentrator	20
		2.10.5 SMA Embracing Fixator	21
	2.11	Critical Review on SMA Bone Fixation	21
		Device Design	
	2.12	Finite Element Analysis	22

Page

METHODOLOGY2-3.1Overview2-3.2Product Design Specification213.3Justification of DDS Selection213.4Generation of Bone Fixation Device Model21Design213.5Concept 1-V Clip213.5.1Concept 2-S Clip223.5.2Concept 4-Spring Clip223.5.3Concept 4-Spring Clip223.6Weighted Objective Method303.7Justification of Design Accuracy333.8Simulation by Finite Element Analysis32Software33.8.1Create Parts333.8.2Define Material Properties333.8.3Parts Assembly333.8.4Create Analysis Step363.8.5Define Interaction Parts373.8.6Assign Boundary Conditions373.8.7Create and Submit Job333.8.10Create and Submit Job333.8.11Result and Visualization443.10Shape Memory Training423.10.1Shape Memory Training433.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis433.10.4Heat Test443.10.3Error Analysis443.10.4Heat Test and Its Input543.10.5Appertmental Results554.2Experimental Results554.1Simulation Results554.2Ex	2.13 2.14	Finite Elem Chapter Su	nent Analysis Software mmary	22 23
3.1 Overview 24 3.2 Product Design Specification 22 3.3 Justification of PDS Selection 22 3.4 Generation of Bone Fixation Device Model 26 Design 26 27 3.5 Concept 1- V Clip 21 3.5.1 Concept 2- S Clip 22 3.5.3 Concept 3- Nitinol Stitch 23 3.5.4 Concept 4- Spring Clip 22 3.5.5 Concept 3- Nitinol Stitch 23 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 36 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 33 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 Software 38.1 Create Parts 32 3.8.1 Create Analysis Step 33 38.4 3.8.2 Define Interaction Parts 33 33.8.7 Create Load 33 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 33 38.8 64 Assign Boundary Conditions 33 3.8.7 Create and Submit Job 39 38.8 10 34 3.8.9 Mesh	мет	HODOLOG	W	24
3.2 Product Design Specification 22 3.3 Justification for PDS Selection 22 3.4 Generation of Bone Fixation Device Model 26 Design 3.5 Concept Designs 26 3.5.1 Concept 1 - V Clip 26 3.5.2 Concept 2 - S Clip 27 3.5.3 Concept 3 - Nitinol Stitch 26 3.5.4 Concept 4 - Spring Clip 27 3.5.4 Concept 4 - Spring Clip 27 3.5.4 Concept 4 - Spring Clip 27 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 36 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 33 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8.7 Define Material Properties 33 3.8.8 Define Material Properties 33 3.8.1 Create Analysis Step 34 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 33 3.8.7 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 R	31	Overview		24
3.3 Justification for PDS Selection 21 3.4 Generation of Bone Fixation Device Model 26 Design 3.5 Conceptual Designs 26 3.5.1 Concept 1- V Clip 27 3.5.2 Concept 2- S Clip 27 3.5.3 Concept 3- Nitinol Stitch 28 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 36 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 33 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 Software 38.1 Create Parts 32 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 33 38.4 Create Analysis Step 33 3.8.2 Define Interaction Parts 37 33.8 38.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.4 Create Load 33 38.7 Create Load 33 38.8 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.1 Result and Visualization 40 34 3.8.1 44 3.8.9 Meshing 33 34 44 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 34 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44	3.2	Product De	sign Specification	25
3.4 Generation of PD5 Octeor 24 3.5 Generation of Bone Fixation Device Model 26 Design 3.5 Concept 1- V Clip 26 3.5.1 Concept 2- S Clip 27 27 3.5.3 Concept 3- Nitinol Stitch 29 29 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 36 36 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 33 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 Software 33 38.1 Create Parts 33 3.8.1 Create Analysis Step 36 38.3 94 3.8.2 Define Interaction Parts 33 38.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.4 Create Load 38 38.7 Create Ioad 38 38.8 26 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 36 38.8 38.10 38.10 39 38.11 39 38.11 39 38.11 310.1 310 33 310 33 310 33 310 33 310 33 310 310	33	Instification	n for PDS Selection	25
3.4 Order Autom Device Model 24 Design 3.5 Conceptual Designs 26 3.5.1 Concept 1-V Clip 26 3.5.2 Concept 2-S Clip 27 3.5.4 Concept 4- Spring Clip 27 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 36 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 31 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8.1 Create Parts 32 3.8.2 Define Interaction Parts 33 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 33 3.8.4 Create Load 38 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 33 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 33	2.1	Generation	of Pono Eivation Davias Model	25
3.5 Conceptual Designs 26 3.5.1 Concept 1- V Clip 27 3.5.2 Concept 2- S Clip 27 3.5.3 Concept 4- Spring Clip 28 3.5.4 Concept 4- Spring Clip 29 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 30 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 31 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8.1 Create Parts 32 3.8.2 Define Material Properties 33 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 32 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.7 Create Load 38 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 36 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 37 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.10.2 Fabrica	3.4	Design	of Bone Fixation Device Model	20
3.5.1 Concept 1- V Clip 24 3.5.2 Concept 2- S Clip 27 3.5.3 Concept 2- S Clip 27 3.5.4 Concept 4- Spring Clip 29 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 30 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 33 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 Software 33 38.2 Define Material Properties 33 3.8.1 Create Parts 33 38.3 Parts Assembly 33 3.8.1 Create Analysis Step 34 34 34 34 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 35 38.6 38 36 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 35 38.7 Create Load 36 3.8.7 Create and Submit Job 39 38.10 Create and Submit Job 39 38.11 Result and Visualization 44 3.10 Experimental Work 44 44 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 31 31.0.3 Er	3.5	Conceptual	Designs	26
3.5.2 Concept 2- S Clip 22 3.5.3 Concept 3- Nitinol Stitch 28 3.5.4 Concept 4- Spring Clip 29 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 30 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 31 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 Software 32 38.1 Create Parts 32 3.8.1 Create Parts 32 38.3 Parts Assembly 33 3.8.1 Create Analysis Step 36 34.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 33 38.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 38.8 Edit Predefined Field 38 3.8.7 Create and Submit Job 39 38.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.10 Experimental Work 44 31.0.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 31.0.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.2 Fabrication of Heat Test and Its Input 50 51		3.5.1 Co	oncept 1- V Clip	26
3.5.3 Concept 3- Nitiool Stitch 24 3.5.4 Concept 4- Spring Clip 24 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 36 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 37 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 37 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 37 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 37 3.8 Create Parts 37 3.8.1 Create Parts 37 3.8.2 Define Material Properties 37 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 37 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.7 Create Load 38 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 38 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42		3.5.2 Co	oncept 2- S Clip	27
3.5.4 Concept 4- Spring Clip 29 3.6 Weighted Objective Method 30 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 31 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8.1 Create Parts 32 3.8.2 Define Material Properties 32 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 32 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.7 Create Load 38 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 38 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.10 Experimental Work 44 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 <td></td> <td>3.5.3 Co</td> <td>oncept 3- Nitinol Stitch</td> <td>28</td>		3.5.3 Co	oncept 3- Nitinol Stitch	28
3.6 Weighted Objective Method 36 3.7 Justification of Design Accuracy 37 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 37 3.8 Define Material Properties 37 3.8.1 Create Parts 37 3.8.2 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.7 Create Load 38 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 38 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 <t< td=""><td></td><td>354 Co</td><td>oncept 4- Spring Clip</td><td>29</td></t<>		354 Co	oncept 4- Spring Clip	29
3.7Justification of Design Accuracy33.8Simulation by Finite Element Analysis32Software33.8.1Create Parts323.8.2Define Material Properties323.8.3Parts Assembly323.8.4Create Analysis Step363.8.5Define Interaction Parts373.8.6Assign Boundary Conditions373.8.7Create Load363.8.8Edit Predefined Field363.8.9Meshing363.8.10Create and Submit Job393.8.11Result and Visualization403.9Mesh Dependency Check403.10.1Shape Memory Training473.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis473.10.4Heat Test473.12Chapter Summary55RESULTS AND DISCUSSION4.1Simulation Results524.2Experimental Results524.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface60	36	Weighted (Directive Method	30
3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8 Simulation by Finite Element Analysis 32 3.8.1 Create Parts 32 3.8.2 Define Material Properties 32 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 32 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.7 Create Load 36 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 36 3.8.9 Meshing 36 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 36 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Ex	37	Instification	n of Design Accuracy	31
3.8 Software 3.8.1 Create Parts 3.8.2 3.8.1 Create Parts 3.8.3 3.8.2 Define Material Properties 3.3.3 3.8.2 Define Interaction Parts 3.3.3 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 3.6 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 3.7 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 3.7 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 3.7 3.8.7 Create Load 3.6 3.8.7 Create Load 3.6 3.8.7 Create and Submit Job 3.9 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 3.9 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 41 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.10 Heat Test 47 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.4 50 A.1	3.8	Simulation	by Finite Element Analysis	32
3.8.1 Create Parts 32 3.8.2 Define Material Properties 32 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 32 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.7 Create Load 38 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 38 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.10.5 Chapter Summary 51 8 Stimulation Results 52 4.1 Simulations 54 4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and 53	5.0	Software	by I line Element Analysis	52
3.8.1 Define Material Properties 3.3 3.8.2 Define Material Properties 3.3 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 3.3 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 3.6 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 3.7 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 3.7 3.8.7 Create Load 36 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 36 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 Parameters 51 52 3.12 Chapter Summary 52 4.1 Simulations 52 4.2 Experimental Results 50 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and </td <td></td> <td>3 8 1 Cr</td> <td>conto Dorto</td> <td>22</td>		3 8 1 Cr	conto Dorto	22
3.8.2 Define Material Properties 3.3 3.8.3 Parts Assembly 3.3 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 3.6 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 3.7 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 3.7 3.8.7 Create Load 3.8 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 3.6 3.8.9 Meshing 3.9 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 3.9 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 9 Parameters 51 3.12 Chapter Summary 51 4.1 Simulations 52 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and 53 3.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Devic		3.8.1 CI	afine Material Properties	22
3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.7 Create Load 38 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 38 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.10.5 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 Parameters 51 51 3.12 Chapter Summary 51 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 56		3.8.2 De	arta Assembly	33
3.8.4 Create Analysis Step 36 3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 37 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 37 3.8.7 Create Load 38 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 38 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 Parameters 51 52 3.12 Chapter Summary 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and 58 Simulations 54 54 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in </td <td></td> <td>3.8.3 Pa</td> <td>ints Assembly</td> <td>33</td>		3.8.3 Pa	ints Assembly	33
3.8.5 Define Interaction Parts 3 3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 3 3.8.7 Create Load 3 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 3 3.8.9 Meshing 3 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 3 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 Parameters 51 52 3.12 Chapter Summary 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 52 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 54 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in 55 Abaqus 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw 60		3.8.4 Cr	ceate Analysis Step	36
3.8.6 Assign Boundary Conditions 3 3.8.7 Create Load 31 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 31 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters 50 3.12 Chapter Summary 51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 52 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 53 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 54 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface 60 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61 <td></td> <td>3.8.5 De</td> <td>etine Interaction Parts</td> <td>3/</td>		3.8.5 De	etine Interaction Parts	3/
3.8.7 Create Load 33 3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 33 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 Parameters 51 3.12 Chapter Summary 51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 52 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and 53 Simulations 54 54 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in 54 Abaqus 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw 60 Interface 4.4.2 Stresses a		3.8.6 As	ssign Boundary Conditions	37
3.8.8 Edit Predefined Field 33 3.8.9 Meshing 39 3.8.10 Create and Submit Job 39 3.8.11 Result and Visualization 40 3.9 Mesh Dependency Check 40 3.10 Experimental Work 41 3.10.1 Shape Memory Training 42 3.10.2 Fabrication of Specimen 44 3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 Parameters 51 51 3.12 Chapter Summary 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 54 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in 59 Abaqus 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw 60 Interface 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61		3.8.7 Cr	reate Load	38
3.8.9Meshing393.8.10Create and Submit Job393.8.11Result and Visualization403.9Mesh Dependency Check403.10Experimental Work413.10.1Shape Memory Training423.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis473.10.4Heat Test473.11Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters503.12Chapter Summary51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4.1Simulation Results524.2Experimental Results564.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations584.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61		3.8.8 Ec	hit Predefined Field	38
3.8.10Create and Submit Job393.8.11Result and Visualization403.9Mesh Dependency Check403.10Experimental Work413.10.1Shape Memory Training423.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis473.10.4Heat Test473.11Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters503.12Chapter Summary51RESULTS AND DISCUSSION4.1Simulation Results524.2Experimental Results564.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations584.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 4.4.1594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61		3.8.9 M	eshing	39
3.8.11Result and Visualization403.9Mesh Dependency Check403.10Experimental Work413.10.1Shape Memory Training423.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis473.10.4Heat Test473.11Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters503.12Chapter Summary51RESULTS AND DISCUSSION4.1Simulation Results524.2Experimental Results564.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations584.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 4.4.1594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61		3.8.10 Cr	reate and Submit Job	39
3.9Mesh Dependency Check403.10Experimental Work413.10.1Shape Memory Training423.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis473.10.4Heat Test473.11Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters503.12Chapter Summary51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4.1Simulation Results524.2Experimental Results564.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations584.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 4.4.1594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61		3.8.11 Re	esult and Visualization	40
3.10Experimental Work43.10.1Shape Memory Training423.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis473.10.4Heat Test473.11Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters503.12Chapter Summary51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4.1Simulation Results524.2Experimental Results564.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations584.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 4.4.1594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61	3.9	Mesh Depe	endency Check	40
3.10.1Shape Memory Training423.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis473.10.4Heat Test473.11Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters503.12Chapter Summary51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4.1Simulation Results4.2Experimental Results504.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations524.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 4.4.1594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61	3.10	Experiment	tal Work	41
3.10.2Fabrication of Specimen443.10.3Error Analysis473.10.4Heat Test473.11Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters503.12Chapter Summary51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4.1Simulation Results4.2Experimental Results504.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations524.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 4.4.1544.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61		3.10.1 Sh	hape Memory Training	42
3.10.3 Error Analysis 47 3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 Parameters 3.12 Chapter Summary 51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 58 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 59 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface 60 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61		3.10.2 Fa	brication of Specimen	44
3.10.4 Heat Test 47 3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input 50 Parameters 3.12 Chapter Summary 51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 58 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 59 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface 60 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61		3.10.3 Er	ror Analysis	47
3.11 Justification for Heat Test and Its Input Parameters 50 3.12 Chapter Summary 51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 58 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 4.4.1 59 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface 60 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61		3.10.4 He	eat Test	47
Parameters 3.12 Chapter Summary 51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 58 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 59 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface 60 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61	3.11	Justification	n for Heat Test and Its Input	50
3.12 Chapter Summary 51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 52 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 53 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 54 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface 60 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61		Parameters	1	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 52 4.1 Simulation Results 52 4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations 58 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 59 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface 60 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61	3.12	Chapter Su	mmary	51
4.1Simulation Results524.2Experimental Results564.3Comparison between Experiments and Simulations584.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61	RESI	LTS AND	DISCUSSION	52
4.2 Experimental Results 56 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and 58 Simulations 58 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in 59 Abaqus 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw 60 Interface 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61	41	Simulation	Results	52
 4.3 Comparison between Experiments and 58 Simulations 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw 60 Interface 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61 	4.2	Experiment	tal Results	56
4.5 Comparison between Experiments and Simulations Simulations 4.4 Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus 59 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw 60 Interface 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61	43	Compariso	n between Experiments and	58
4.4Further Analysis of Bone-Device Assembly in Abaqus594.4.1Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw Interface604.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61	т.5	Simulation	s	58
Abaqus 4.4.1 Stresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw 60 Interface 4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 61	ΔΔ	Further An	alveis of Bone-Device Assembly in	50
AbaquisStresses at Nitinol Wire-Screw60Interface14.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61	4.4	Abagus	arysis of Done-Device Assembly III	59
4.4.1Suesses at Nutrior wire-Screw60Interface4.4.2Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface61		A J A J	ranges at Nitinal Wire Saraw	(0
4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 6		4.4.1 St	terface	00
4.4.2 Stresses at Screw-Bone Interface 6.			Reason of Sorow Down Interface	(1
		4.4.2 St	resses at Screw-Bone Interface	01

ix

5	CON	NCLUSIONS	62
	5.1	Conclusions	62
	5.2	Limitation of Study	62
	5.3	Recommendation	63
REFERE BIODAT LIST OF	NCES A OF ST PUBLIC	FUDENT CATIONS	64 70 71



 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Weighted objective method to evaluate the bone fixation device model	31
2	Number of elements with respect to displacement, mm	41
3	Displacement of bone with respect to force for 100N case	54
4	Displacement of bone with respect to force for 150N case	54
5	Displacement of bone with respect to force for 200N case	54
6	Von Mises stresses on six screws in contact with Nitinol wire	60
7	Von Mises stresses on each screw-bone interface	61

 \bigcirc

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure

6

1	Safety Locking Plate	7
2	Cranio Maxillofacial Implant	7
3	Cannulated Screw	7
4	Angled Blade Plate	7
5	Hip Prosthesis	8
6	Material crystalline arrangement during Shape	10
	Memory Effect	
7	Schematic of the shape memory effect of an	10
	SMA showing the detwinning of the material	
	with an applied stress	
8	Schematic of the shape memory effect of an	11
	SMA showing the unloading and subsequent	
	heating to austenite under no load condition	
9	Martensite fraction versus temperature	11
10	Simon filter with its side view on the left and	14
	top view on the right	
11	AAA stent graft system	15
12	Laparoscopy tools	16
13	Prototype and working principle of a SMA	17
	suturing device	
14	Constrained closure of the fixator: temperature	17
	vs tip separation	
15	Four series of ATMFS device from top to	18
	bottom	
16	Application of the ATMFS device on a pelvic	19
	bone model	
17	OSStaple	20
18	SMA Patellar Concentrator	20
19	SMA Embracing Fixator	21
20	Flow chart for overall process flow of project	24
21	V Clip at austenitic phase	26
22	V Clip at martensitic phase	27
23	S Clip at austenite phase	27
24	Nitinol Stitch at martensitic phase	28
25	Arrangement of anchors on the fractured bone	29
	pieces	
26	Spring Clip at martensitic phase	29
27	Spring Clip at austenitic phase	30
28	Flow chart showing the steps required to carry	32
	out the simulation in Abaqus software	
29	Import Part in CATIA V5 format into Abaqus	32
30	Elastic behavior of Nitinol	33
31	Expansion behavior of Nitinol	33
32	Plastic behavior of Nitinol	34
33	Elastic behavior of stainless steel	34
34	Elastic behavior of bone	35

35	Each part is introduced into the workspace using	35
	the create instance tool	
36	Assembly of the parts	36
37	Creating Step-1	36
38	Interaction of part surfaces	37
39	Displacement/rotation boundary condition	37
	assigned to the model	
40	Creating load on a part	38
41	Defining magnitude and regions of the predefined	38
	field in initial step	
42	Defining magnitude and regions of the predefined	39
	field-2 in step-2	2,7
43	Tetrahedral type meshing for a part	39
44	Create job for analysis	40
45	Visualization of analysis result	40
46	Graph of number of elements versus	41
10	displacement mm	
47	Equipment used in fabrication	42
48	Schematic of Nitinol wire fixture	43
40	Nitinol wire and its fixture being taken out from	43
-12	furnace after heating	5
50	The arrangement of the M3 holes	44
51	The completed M3 holes	45
52	10 5mm hole drilled perpendicular to M3 holes	45
53	A jig mounted to 10 5mm hole	46
54	The completed assembly	46
55	Fauinment used in heat test	40
56	Schematic of Experimental Setup	49
57	The assembly mounted to Instron tensile testing	49
51	machine	ر ۲
58	Heating of hone fixation device	50
59	Initial state of Nitinol Stitch actuation	52
60	Final state of Nitinol Stitch actuation	53
61	XV data from ODB output	53
62	Graph of force versus displacement for 100N case	55
63	Graph of force versus cumulative displacement	55
05	for all cases (simulation)	55
64	Graph of force versus cumulative displacement	56
Ч	for all cases (experiment)	50
65	Graph of force versus displacement for all cases	57
05	represented as separate experiments	51
66	Stress distribution of hone-device assembly after	59
00	heat activation	57
67	Location of maximum yon Mises stress	60
07	Location of maximum von wises suess	00

LIST OF NOMENCLATURES

AAA	Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms
A_f	Austenite Start
A_s	Austenite Finish
ATMFS	Acetabular Tridimensional Memory Alloy- Fixation System
CAE	Computer Aided Engineering
СТ	Computed Tomography
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HSS	High Speed Steel
M_{f}	Martensite Finish
M _s	Martensite Start
MIS	Minimally Intrusive Surgery
Nitinol	Nickel Titanium Naval Ordnance Laboratory
PDS	Product Design Specification
PE	Pseudoelasticity
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
σ_{f}	Detwinning Finish Stress
σ_s	Detwinning Start Stress
SMA	Shape Memory Alloy
SMAHC	Shape Memory Alloy Hybrid Composite
SME	Shape Memory Effect

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Bone fracture is an injury where the bone forms cracks, fragments and breakage. It occurs when the bone is subjected to excessive stress or impact. Bone fracture is diagnosed via x-ray or computed tomography (CT scan) before any treatment is performed. If required, surgical procedure is performed to treat bone fracture. In some cases, bone fixation devices (orthopedic implants) are used to hold the fractured bones together to assist bone healing. There have been many designs of orthopedic implants, for example, metal rods and screws. Stainless steel and titanium are common materials that are suitable for orthopedic implants.

Nevertheless numerous metal alloys have been explored and investigated for their suitability to make bone implants. Nitinol is a type of titanium alloy which is suitable to be implanted to human body for various benefits. One of the most significant characteristics Nitinol possess is the Shape Memory Effect (SME). An orthopedic implant with SME can be designed in such a way that it is capable to hold the fractured bones together by exerting an amount of compressive force through the shape transformation process. Such compressive force is beneficial to bone healing. A carefully designed orthopedic implant should be able to provide an optimum compressive force to the fracture while avoiding unnecessary buildup of stresses on the fractured bones which may jeopardize bone healing process.

1.2 Problem Statement

Devices for fractured bone fixation with rigid structure such as metal plates and bone screws are able to provide stability to the bone fracture. Their rigidity will fix bone fragments in place and prevent any further movement on the bone fracture after surgery. However, there are some issues that medical personnel must not overlook when rigid bone fixators are used. One of the issues involved is cortical bone loss near the implant region. Cortical bone loss will inhibit the union of bone fragments. This is because rigid fixators typically create stress shielding around the bone fracture, which slows down the regrowth process of bone cells for cortical perfusion. So, there is a risk for the treated bone to fracture again after the rigid fixator is removed. The remedy for this issue is to have the rigid fixator remain inside the patient's body for at least 15 to 18 months in order compensate for slow cortical perfusion before removal (Müller et al, 1965). Despite the precaution taken, there have been reported cases where bone refractures still occurred after implant was removed for an average of 20.1 months (Kessler et al, 1992). In other words, rigid bone fixators are not effective in facilitating the regrowth of bone cells around the bone fixators are not effective in facilitating the regrowth of bone cells around the bone fixators.

Besides that, such designs lack the ability to exert a continuous compressive force, which is important for faster bone healing. The device that only provides rigid

mechanical fixation may loosen up after usage for a long period. The screws that are being used to tighten the metal plate on to bone fracture may gradually become loose due to micro motion of the screw thread relative to bone (Taljanovic et al, 2003). Consequently the metal plate will be able to shift away from its intended location. So, the whole implant will lose its ability to stabilize the fracture site. This will cause poor bone contact across the fracture line, which will increase the failure rate of the implant. If the device is found to be displaced after surgery, revision surgery will be required. Such procedures are time consuming and complicated. Many efforts have been put into solving this problem with modified rigid bone fixation design and one of them have almost succeeded. Uhthoff has conducted a canine pilot experiment with axially compressible plates or ACP (Uhthoff et al, 2006). ACP, modified based on his previous design called axially flexible plates (AFP), was expected to enable faster healing than conventional plates by introducing dynamic compression to the bone fracture (Foux et al, 1997). Although it has been found that ACP can reduce stress shielding effect, unfortunately the experiment has ended in failure due to early degradation in one of its component (Uhthoff et al, 2006).

There are many implants based on shape memory alloy exist in the market today. Nitinol is commonly used in manufacturing of these implants. So, they are generally more biocompatible compared to steel implants and are able to utilize shape memory effect to compress the bone fragments against each other. They come in various shapes and sizes, depending on the area of application. Some implants are designed to treat general bone (rod shaped) fractures such as fractures to the femur bones and forearm bones, while others are designed for fractures on specific bones (irregular shaped) such as pelvic bones and skull. Some of them provide discontinuous clamping to the bone fracture (Song et al, 1999; Naohiro et al, 2007), while others provide a regional clamping to bone fracture (Song et al, 2002; Dai et al, 2002). However, there are still no devices designed to treat long-spanned and irregular-shaped bone fractures. Currently, this type of bone fracture is still most effective being treated with steel bridge plating or external fixation device, which doesn't exert continuous compression to the fracture.

1.3 Objectives

There are two objectives in this project:

- 1. To design and fabricate a new bone fixation device model using NiTi shape memory alloy.
- 2. To assess the performance of a newly designed, NiTi shape memory alloy based bone fixation device.

1.4 Scope of Study

This study is limited to the design, fabrication and evaluation of a new bone fixation device model. Material used to fabricate the model is NiTi shape memory alloy. Commercial finite element analysis (FEA) software, Abaqus is used for analysis of the mechanics of model as well as forces exerted by the model, simulating the installation process during orthopedic surgery. Nevertheless, its performance on the fractured

bones is also predicted using the FEA software. If forces exerted by the model are found to be inadequate for bone healing, the model will be redesigned.

1.5 Significance of Study

This study would contribute to the biomedical researchers who want to seek for more ideas or methods to apply fixation devices to bone fracture. A good method to apply fixation device to a patient's fractured bone would benefit the patient and medical institution in terms of reduced pain after surgery, shorter bone healing time required and reduced number of revision surgery.

Also, developers would be benefited from various design methods, design evaluation as well as design concepts presented in this study. Decision making in choosing the best design and finite element method can assist the developer to evaluate their design before the fabricating a prototype, hence reduces the time consumption, labor cost and material cost when developing a new product (in this case, the bone fixation device). In addition, this study may inspire several ideas and concepts to future researchers in designing other types of bone fixation devices in their work.

REFERENCES

- Abaqus FEA. N.d. Retrieved 8 October 2010 from http://www.simulia.com/products/abaqus_fea.html
- Airoldi, G., Riva, G. (1995). Pseudoelasticity of NiTi orthodontic wires modified by current methodologies: a critical comparison, J. Phys. IV France, Volume 05, Number C2, Février 1995, IIIrd European Symposium on Martensitic Transformations, ESOMAT'94, C2-397 – C2-402.
- Anderson, J.M., Langone, J.J. (1999). Issues and perspectives on the biocompatibility and immunotoxicity evaluation of implanted controlled release systems. J Control Release; 57 (2): 107-13.
- Arumugam, M., Head of Department of Orthopedics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, pers. comm. 6 October 2015.
- Assad, M., Chernyshov, A., Leroux, M.A. and Rivard, C.H. (2002). A new porous titanium-nickel alloy: Part 1. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity evaluation, Biomed Mater Eng 12, 225–237.
- Bansiddhi, A., Sargeant, T.D., Stupp, S.I. and Dunand, D.C. (2008). Porous NiTi for bone implants: A review, Acta Biomaterialia 4, 773–782.
- Barrett, R.D. et al. (1993). Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop. 103 8.
- Bertheville, B. (2006). Porous single-phase NiTi processed under Ca reducing vapor for use as a bone graft substitute, Biomaterials 27, 1246–1250.
- Bhavikatti, S.S. (2005). Finite element analysis, New Age International Limited, New Delhi.
- Bogdanski, D., Koller, M., Muller, D., Muhr, G., Bram, M., Buchkremer, H.P., Stover, D., Choi, J. and Epple, M. (2002). Easy assessment of the biocompatibility of Ni-Ti alloys by *in vitro* cell culture experiments on a functionally graded Ni-NiTi-Ti material. Biomaterials 23, 4549–4555.
- Branemark, P.I., Adell, R., Breine, U., Hansson, B.O., Lindström, J. and Ohlsson, A. (1969). Intra-osseous anchorage of dental prostheses. I. Experimental studies. Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg 3: 81-100.
- Brojan, M., Bombač, D., Kosel, F. and Videnič, T. (2008). Shape memory alloys in medicine, RMZ Materials and Geoenvironment, Vol. 55, No. 2, pp. 173-189.
- Buehler, W.J. and Wiley, R.C. (1962). "TiNi-Ductile Intermetallic Compound," Transactions of the American Society of Metals, 55, pp. 269–276.
- Conti, M. (2007). Finite Element Analysis of self-expanding braided wirestent, Master's Thesis, Ghent University.

- Criado, F.J., Fairman, .R.M. and Becker, G.J. (2003). Talent LPS AAA stent graft: Results of a pivotal clinical trial. Journal of Vascular Surgery. Volume 37, Number 4, Page 709-715.
- Cui, Z.D., Chen, M.F., Zhang, L.Y., Hu, R.X., Zhu, S.L. and Yang, X.J. (2008). Improving the biocompatibility of NiTi alloy by chemical treatments: An in vitro evaluation in 3T3 human fibroblast cell, Materials Science and Engineering C 28 1117–1122.
- Cuschieri, A. and Szabo, Z. (1995). Tissue approximation in endoscopic surgery. Oxford, UK: Isis Medical Media.
- Dai, K., Wu, X., Zu, X. (2002). An Investigation of the Selective Stress-Shielding Effect of Shape-Memory Sawtooth-Arm Embracing Fixator. *Materials Science Forum*. Vol. 394-395, pp. 17-24.
- De Laurentis, K.J. and Mavroidis, C. (2002). Mechanical design of a shape memory alloy actuated prosthetic hand, Technology and Health Care 10, 91–106.
- Delobelle, P. and Lexcellent, C. (1996). A phenomenological three dimensional model for pseudoelastic behavior of shape memory alloys, J. Phys. IV France Volume 06, Number C1, Janvier 1996, MECAMAT'95 International Seminar on Mechanics and Mechanisms of Solid-Solid Phase Transformations, C1-293 – C2-300.
- Duerig, T., Pelton, A., Stockel, D. (1999). An overview of nitinol medical applications, Materials Science and Engineering A273–275, 149–160.
- Duerig, T.W. (1990). Applications of shape memory. Materials Science Forum ols. 56-58 pp. 679-692.
- Endo, K., Sachdeva, R., Araki, Y. and Ohno, H. (1994). Effects of titanium nitride coatings on surface and corrosion characteristics of Ni-Ti alloy. Dent Mater J 13: 228-239.
- Esenwein, S.A., Bogdanski, D., Habijan, T., Pohl, M., Epple, M., Muhra, G. and K"oller, M. (2008). Influence of nickel ion release on leukocyte activation: a study with coated and non-coated NiTi shape memory alloys, Materials Science and Engineering A 481–482, 612–615.
- Ethier, C.R. and Simmons, C.A. (2007). Introductory biomechanics: from cells to organisms, 1st Edition, Cambridge University Press.
- Gandhi, M.V., Thompson, B.S. (1992). Chapman & Hall Smart Materials and Structures, 2-6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN, UK, 1st Edition.
- Geetha, M., Singh, A. K., Asokamani, R. and Gogia, A. K. (2008). Ti based biomaterials, the ultimate choice for orthopaedic implants–A review: Progress in Materials Science.
- Griffith, H.W., Moore, S. and Yoder, K. (2006). Complete Guide to Symptoms, Illness and Surgery, 5th Edition, Perigee.

- Foux, A., Yeadon, A.J. and Uhthoff, H.K. (1997). Improved fracture healing with less rigid plates. A biomechanical study in dogs. Clin Orthop Relat Res.;(339):232-45.
- Habijan, T., Glogowski, T., Kühn, S., Pohl, M., Wittsiepe, J. and Greulich, C. (2011). Can human mesenchymal stem cells survive on a NiTi implant material subjected to cyclic loading?, Acta Biomaterialia 7, 2733–2739.

Hammerlock. N.d. Retrieved 15 October 2010 from

http://www.bme-tx.com/products/details/hammerlock/

- Hang, R., Ma, S., Ji, V. and Chu, P.K. (2010). Corrosion behavior of NiTi alloy in fetal bovine serum, Electrochimica Acta 55, 5551–5560.
- How Shape Memory Alloys Work. N.d. Retrieved 20 October 2010 from http://depts.washington.edu/matseed/mse_resources/Webpage/Memory%20m etals/how shape memory alloys work.htm
- Instructions for use: Simon Nitinol Filter SNF/SL Filter Sets for use in the Vena Cava [Brochure]. (2012). Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. Arizona, USA.
- Kessler, S.B., Deiler, S., Schiffl-Deiler, M., Uhthoff, H.K. and Schweiberer, L. (1992). Refractures: a consequence of impaired local bone viability. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg;111:96–101.
- Khalil-Allafi, J., Behnam Amin-Ahmadi, B. and Zare, M. (2010). Biocompatibility and corrosion behavior of the shape memory NiTi alloy in the physiological environments simulated with body fluids for medical applications, Materials Science and Engineering C 30, 1112–1117.
- Kruger J. (1983). Fundamental aspects of the corrosion of metallic implants. In: Rubin LR (ed) Biomaterials in reconstructive surgery. Mosby, St Luis, 145-157.
- Lagoudas, D.C. (2008). Shape memory alloys modelling and engineering applications, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC.
- Lim, I.A.L. (2004). Biocompatibility of stent materials, MIT Undergraduate Research Journal, 11, 33-37.
- Liu, X., Wu, S., Yeung, K.W.K. et al. (2011). Relationship between osseointegration and superelastic biomechanics in porous NiTi scaffolds, Biomaterials 32 (2011) 330-338.
- Liu Xin-wei, Xu Shuo-gui, Zhang Chun-cai, Fu Qing-ge and Wang Pan-feng. (2010). Biomechanical study of posterior wall acetabular fracture fixation using acetabular tridimensional memory alloy-fixation system, Clinical Biomechanics 25, 312–317.

- Liu Xinwei, Xu Shuogui, Zhang Chuncai, Su Jiacan and Yu Baoqing. (2010). Application of a shape-memory alloy internal fixator for treatment of acetabular fractures with a follow-up of two to nine years in China, International Orthopaedics (SICOT) 34:1033–1040 DOI 10.1007/s00264-009-0867-1.
- Ma, X.G., Komvopoulos, K. (2003). Nanoscale pseudoelastic behavior of indented titanium-nickel films, Applied Physics Letter, volume 83, page 3773.
- Machado, L.G. and Savi, M.A. (2003). Medical applications of shape memory alloys, Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research 36: 683-691.
- Malal, J.J.G., Hegde, G. and Ferdinand, R.D. (2006). Tarsal Joint Fusion Using Memory Compression Staples—A Study of 10 Cases, The Journal of Foot & Ankle Surgery 45(2):113–117.
- Mantovani, D. (2000) Shape Memory Alloys: Properties and Biomedical Applications, JOM, page 36-44.
- Miyazaki, S., Kim, H.Y., Hosoda, H. (2006). Development and characterization of Nifree Ti-base shape memory and superelastic alloys. Materials Science and Engineering A, 438-440, 18-24.
- Morgan, N.B. (2004). Medical shape memory alloy applications-the market and its products, Materials Science and Engineering A 378 16–23.
- Müller, M.E., Allgöwer, M. and Willenegger, H. (1965). Compression fixation with plates. In: Technique of internal fixation of fractures. Berlin: Springer. p. 47–51.
- Orthopedic Implants Surgery. N.d. Retrieved 10 October 2010 from http://www.implants.com/orthopedic_implants_surgery.html

Orthopedic Implants Definition. N.d. Retrieved 3 October 2010 from

http://www.spine-health.com/glossary/o/orthopedic-implants

- Otsuka, K. and Shimizu, K. (1986). "Pseudoelasticity and Shape Memory Effects in Alloys," International Metals Reviews, 31(3), pp. 93–114.
- Paleos, G.A. (2012). What is Biocompatibility? Pittsburgh Plastics Manufacturing Inc., Butler, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Pfeiffer, K.M., Brennwald, J., Buchler, U., Hanel, D., Jupiter, J., Lowka, K., Mark, J. and Staehlin, P. (1994). Implants of pure titanium for internal fixation of the peripheral skeleton injury. 25: 87-89.

Products. N.d. Retrieved 12 October 2010 from http://www.ortho.in

Rogers, C. A. and Robertshaw, H. H. (1988). "Shape Memory Alloy Reinforced Composites," Engineering Science Preprints 25, Society of Engineering Science, Inc., ESP25.8027.

- Rüedi, T.P.and Murphy, W.M. (2000). AO principles of fracture management, 1st Edition, Thieme.
- Santoro, M., Nicolay, O.F. and Cangialosi, T.J. (2001). Pseudoelasticity and thermoelasticity of nickel titanium alloys: A clinically oriented review. Part I: Temperature transitional ranges, American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, volume 119, number 6, page 587-593.
- Seshu, P. (2006). Textbook of finite element analysis, Eastern Economy Edition, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Shabalovskaya S., Anderegg, J. and Van Humbeeck, J. (2008). Critical overview of Nitinol surfaces and their modifications for medical applications, Acta Biomaterialia 4, 447–467.
- Shabalovskaya, S.A. (2002). Surface, corrosion and biocompatibility aspects of Nitinol as an implant material. Biomed Mater Eng 12, 69-109.
- Shabalovskaya, S.A., Anderegg, J., Laab, F., Thiel, P.A. and Rondelli, G. (2003). Surface conditions of Nitinol wires, tubing, and as-cast alloys. The effect of chemical etching, aging in boiling water, and heat treatment. J Biomed Mater Res 65: 193-203.
- Shape Memory Effect. N.d. Retrieved 2 October 2010 from http://aem.umn.edu/people/faculty/shield/hane/shape.memory.html
- Sheikh, A. (2010). The Structure and Magnetic Properties of Ferromagnetic Shape Memory Alloys Containing Iron, PhD Thesis, Loughborough University, UK.
- Shibuya et al. (2007). A compression force comparison study among three staple fixation systems, The Journal Of Foot & Ankle Surgery, Volume 46, Number 1.
- Shibuya, N., Manning, S.N., Meszaros, A., Budny, A.M., Malay, D.S. and Yu, G.V. (2007). A Compression Force Comparison Study Among Three Staple Fixation Systems, The Journal Of Foot & Ankle Surgery, Volume 46, Number 1.
- Song, C., Campbell, P., Frank, T. and Cuschieri, A. (2002). Thermal modelling of shape memory alloy fixator for medical application. Smart Mater Struct; 11: 312-6.
- Song, C., Frank, T.G., Campbell, P.A. and Cuschieri, A. (2002). Thermal Modelling of Shape–Memory Alloy Fixator for Minimal-Access Surgery. *Materials Science Forum.*; Vol. 394-395, pp. 53-56.
- Taljanovic, M.S., Jones, M.D., Ruth, J.T., Benjamin, J.B., Sheppard, J.E. and Hunter, T.B. (2003). Fracture fixation. RadioGraphics Vol. 23, No. 6: 1569-1590.
- Terriault, P., Viens, F. and Brailovski, V. (2006). Non-isothermal finite element modeling of a shape memory alloy actuator using ANSYS, Computational Materials Science 36, 397–410.

- Turner, T.L., Cabell, R.H., Cano, R.J. and Silcox, R.J. (2007). Development of SMA hybrid composite jet engine chevron concept.
- Uhthoff, H.K., Poitras, P. and Backman, D.S. (2006). Internal plate fixation of fractures: short history and recent developments. J Orthop Sci 11:118–126.
- Wayman, C. M. and Shimizu, K. (1972). The Shape Memory ("Marmem") Effect in Alloys, Metal Science Journal, 6, pp. 175–183.
- Wayman, C. M. (1980). Some Applications of Shape-Memory Alloys. Journal of Metals, June, pp. 129–137.
- What are Orthopedic Implants? N.d. Retrieved 3 October 2010 from http://www.wisegeek.com/what-are-orthopedic-implants.htm
- Wilderness Manuals. (2003). Retrieved 1 October 2010 from http://www.wildernessmanuals.com/manual_4/chpt_4/2
- Williams, D.F. (2008). On the mechanisms of biocompatibility. Biomaterials 29 29441-2953.
- Wu, S.K. and Lin, H.C. (2000). Recent development of TiNi-based shape memory alloys in Taiwan, Materials Chemistry and Physics 64, 81–92.
- Wyatt, J.P., Illingworth, R., Graham, C., Clancy, M. and Robertson, C. (2006). Oxford Handbook of Emergency Medicine, 3rd Edition, Oxford University Press.
- Xu, W., Frank, T., Stockham, G. and Cuschieri, A. (1999). Shape memory alloy fixator for suturing tissue in minimal access surgery. Ann Biomed Eng; 27: 663-9.
- Xu, S.G., Zhang, C.C., Su, J.C., Liu, X.H. and Wu, Y. (2001). Three dimensional finite element analysis of nitinol patellar concentrator in treatment of patellar fracture. Acad J Sec Mil Med Univ; 22(9) pp816-818.
- Zider, R.B. and Krumme, J.F. (1990). Eyeglass frame including shape-memory elements. U.S. Patent No. 4896955. California: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Zitter, H. and Plenk, H.Jr. (1987). The electrochemical behavior of metallic implant materials as an indicator of their biocompatibility. J Biomed Mater Res 21: 881-896.