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Urea is the main nitrogen (N) fertilizer sources applied in the rice production. However, the efficiency of urea in rice system is generally low. A part of applied N will be loss due to ammonia (NH$_3$) volatilization, nitrification and denitrification. In order to minimize N losses, urea was coated with urease inhibitor, nitrification inhibitor, inorganic materials or supplemented with biochar. This study was carried out with the following objectives: to evaluate the effect of different coated urea on N transformation, NH$_3$ volatilization, nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) gas emissions in selected rice soils and rice production; to determine N utilization and the pattern of fertilizer N uptake by hybrid rice in comparison of inbred varieties. Copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) acted as urease inhibitors and dimethylpyrazol-phosphate (DMPP) was selected as nitrification inhibitor. The N fertilizers compared were urea, Cu coated urea (CuU), Zn coated urea (ZnU), Cu + Zn coated urea (CuZn), DMPP coated urea (DMPPU), DMPP + Cu + Zn coated urea (DMPPCuZn), sulfur coated urea (SU), dolomite coated urea (DU) and OneBaja (urea impregnated biochar). Copper, Zn and DMPP coated urea were prepared by coating them with palm stearin. Laboratory evaluation of coated urea was conducted to measure N transformation, NH$_3$ volatilization and N$_2$O emission in Selangor and Chempaka soil. Results indicated that treatments consisting of urease inhibitor slowed urea hydrolysis. Copper coated urea, ZnU, CuZn, DMPPCuZn, SU, OneBaja were effective in reducing NH$_3$ loss as compared to urea by 12.12 - 37.48%. Furthermore, SU, CuU, ZnU, CuZn, DMPPU and DMPPCuZn reduced N$_2$O emission over urea by 14.86 - 48.65%. Glasshouse study was carried out to measure fertilizer N utilization and pattern of fertilizer N uptake by hybrid rice named Siraj in comparison to MR219 by using $^{15}$N isotopic label technique. Rice plants were harvested at two weeks interval starting from 2nd week (DAT-day after transplant) until 14th week. Fertilizer N uptake and utilization reached a peak between 10th and 12th week. Relatively, Siraj recorded better fertilizer N utilization and N uptake as compared to MR219 variety. A second glasshouse study was carried out to determine the effect of coated urea on rice yield. Siraj and MR220 variety were grown in one growing season in Selangor and Chempaka soil. Pots treated with OneBaja, CuU, ZnU, CuZn, DMPPU and DMPPCuZn showed an improvement of grain yield by 32.96 - 39.05% over urea in Chempaka soil. Higher
grain yield was recorded in pots applied with CuU, CuZn, DMPPCuZn and SU as compared to urea in Selangor soil. Field study was conducted at Sungai Besar Selangor. The rice were directly seeded by manual broadcasting practice. Results demonstrated that, coated urea (CuU, CuZn, DMPPU, DMPPCuZn) and OneBaja treated plots produced better rice yield and N uptake with an increment of 17.43 - 28.44% and 20.72 - 42.28% respectively. Siraj outperformed MR220 in increasing grain yield and N uptake. This suggests that there is a prospect of using urease and nitrification inhibitor coated urea and OneBaja to improve N efficiency of urea and rice yield.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The world is currently facing a new set of multiple challenges. Achieving food security on existing agricultural land without causing undue damage to the environment is a major challenge. World population is continuing to grow, the current world population of 7.3 billion is projected to reach 8.5 billion by 2030 and 9.7 billion in 2050 and with that, food demand is estimated to escalate substantially (United Nations, 2015). Rice production has to be increased, as it is the main staple food for nearly half of the world’s population (Muthayya et al., 2014). Hence, rice yield should increase without further increase in rice cultivated area. In order to address the matter, more grain per area must be produced and this requires more fertilizer input (Zhang et al., 2012).

Among nutrients required by plants, nitrogen (N) is applied at the highest quantities and has the greatest potential for losses (Linquist et al., 2013). Urea is extensively being used as a source of N in rice cropping and it is the cheapest source of N in addition to its ease of handling. Unfortunately, recovery of applied urea is low in rice system. The recovery efficiency of applied N was reported to be at 26 - 50% in Malaysian rice soils implying that a large portion of the applied N is not being used for productive purposes (Hashim et al., 2015; Khanif, 1988; Sariam and Khanif, 2006).

The inefficient use of N in rice cultivation can be attributed to synchronization release of N from fertilizers with the plant demand due to the N losses via various pathways such as volatilization, nitrification and denitrification. Nitrogen losses result in significant yield loss and environmental consequences with respect to the emissions of gases such as nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O), ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3}) and aquatic pollution through nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}) leaching (Chen et al., 2014; Saggar et al., 2013). Recent report, revealed that N export to the environment from rice fields accounted for 13.1 - 31.7% of the N input (Yang et al., 2015). Yield loss also pararrels with economic implication to the farmers and increase expense for the rice production.

For the above reasons, it is desirable to reduce N losses so as to improve N use efficiency, improve rice yields for food demand, reduce cost of production and maintain environmental quality. The minimal modifications and improvement of the fertilizer itself is one of the approaches to reduce losses (Junejo et al., 2011a; Zaman et al., 2009). The use of specially formulated form of fertilizer by coating and supplemented with inhibitors or inorganic material might have a great prospect. Application of nitrification inhibitor has proven to be efficient in mitigating N\textsubscript{2}O emission and improving N use efficiency (Qiao et al., 2105). Addition of urease inhibitor to urea increase the efficiency of fertilizer by reducing NH\textsubscript{3} volatilization in flooded soil (Xue et al., 2013).
The use of micronutrients such as copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) as urease inhibitor was recorded to be effective in reducing NH₃ volatilization loss and improved crop N uptake (Junejo et al., 2011b; Junejo et al., 2012). Application of these elements as urease inhibitors can give double benefits; in addition to inhibit urease activity, these elements can serve as micronutrients for plant growth particularly in micronutrients deficient soil. To the date, there have been limited studies of the inhibitory effect of micronutrient as urease inhibitor.

In addition, the use of nitrification inhibitor should be part of fertilizer N. Among the nitrification inhibitors, dimethylpyrazol-phosphate (DMPP) has been reported by many researchers as the most efficient in improving efficiency of N fertilizer and effective at low rate (Liu et al., 2013; Weiske et al., 2001). Many compounds are capable of inhibiting urease activity and nitrification process. However their efficacy under tropical condition especially in flooded soil have not been documented and the study to evaluate the efficacy of Cu, Zn as urease inhibitor, DMPP as nitrification inhibitor and combination of these in rice cultivation system is limited.

Instead of fertilizer technologies, hybrid rice technology is one of the most important and practically feasible technologies to boost rice productivity. Hybrid rice was first developed in China in the 1960s and has a yield advantage over the inbred rice varieties, facilitating a 44.1% increment in rice production (Cheng et al., 2007). With concern about the sustainable food production and environmental issue, there is a need to conduct comprehensive studies on urease and nitrification inhibitor on rice in order to improve N use efficiency and enhancing rice production. With this in view, the current study was carried out with the following objectives:

1. To evaluate the effect of different coated urea on N transformation, N₂O emission and NH₃ volatilization in selected Malaysian rice soil under laboratory and glasshouse conditions,
2. to determine the pattern of N fertilizer uptake and utilization by selected hybrid rice variety in comparison to non-hybrid rice variety and
3. to determine the efficacy of different coated urea on yield and nutrient uptake of hybrid and non-hybrid rice under glasshouse and field conditions.
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