



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***RHETORICAL MOVES WITH TENSE AND VOICE ANALYSIS OF THE
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION CHAPTER IN MASTER THESES ACROSS
SCIENCES***

ZAHRA SHIRIAN DASTJERDI

FBMK 2017 63



**RHETORICAL MOVES WITH TENSE AND VOICE ANALYSIS OF
THE RESULTS AND DISCUSSION CHAPTER IN MASTER THESES
ACROSS SCIENCES**

By

ZAHRA SHIRIAN DASTJERDI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2017

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment
of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**RHETORICAL MOVES WITH TENSE AND VOICE ANALYSIS OF
THE RESULTS AND DISCUSSION CHAPTER IN MASTER THESES
ACROSS SCIENCES**

By

ZAHRA SHIRIAN DASTJERDI

April 2017

Chairman : Helen Tan, PhD
Faculty : Modern Languages and Communication

There are well-established conventions within each academic discourse community that determine the structural organization of any related genre of that particular discourse community. As a specific genre in academic writing, writing a thesis is the most challenging task for postgraduate students especially the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter. In order to gain insight into Results and Discussion as integrated chapters of master's theses, the present study investigated the rhetorical units of Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of master students in the hard and soft sciences as an undiscovered genre. Besides, the study also compared and contrasted the rhetorical units as well as the use of tense and voice of the investigated texts. To achieve the objectives of the study, a total of 40 master's theses from a local public university in Malaysia were selected as the samples of the study. Of the 40 master's theses, 20 theses were from the hard sciences (10 theses from Chemistry and 10 theses from Physics) and another 20 from the soft sciences (10 theses from English Language and 10 theses from Economics). Other criteria for selection were that all the theses had an Integrated Results and Discussion chapter and they were written within a period of 10 years from 2002 to 2012. To conduct the study, a mixed-method approach was adopted. The quantitative analysis was conducted to locate the rhetorical movements of the corpus. As such, Kanoksilapatham's (2005) analytical model was adapted to identify and highlight the employed rhetorical moves and steps of the study. The different rhetorical movements used in the hard sciences and soft sciences were compared based on the frequency of occurrence. Additionally, the study also examined the use of tense and voice in the rhetorical moves and steps of the corpora because according to Larsen-Freeman, Kuehn, and Haccius (1999), the choice of English tenses and voices is one of the most difficult grammatical areas for ESL students. To enhance the robustness of the methodology, the shift in the use of tense and voice in the rhetorical steps were investigated qualitatively. The findings of the study indicated that the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters focused

predominantly on presenting the results of the study (*Stating Results*) followed by commenting on the gained results, which constituted Move 5 or *Stating Comments on Result*. These two moves together with their sub-units or steps constituted the most frequently used rhetorical units of the investigated texts. On the other hand, *Stating Limitations* and *Suggesting Further Research* were the least frequently used rhetorical units. A possible reason could be that the ESL student writers would prefer to communicate these two rhetorical units in the last chapter (*Conclusion*) of the theses. Disciplinary variation was also observed between the rhetorical movements used in the hard sciences and soft sciences. For instance, in the hard sciences, M3S2 (*Referring to Previous Research*) and M7S5 (*Making Overt Claims or Generalizations*) were obligatory. But they were found to be optional and in the soft sciences. On the other hand, in the soft sciences, M4S3 (*Invalidating Results*) was obligatory, but in the hard sciences, it was optional. In consequence, these results showed that the hard sciences had more tendencies towards providing subjective presentation than the soft sciences, and the soft sciences had more tendencies towards objective presentation than the hard sciences. Moreover, the analysis of tenses indicated that in both hard and soft sciences, the simple present tense was the preferred tense followed by the simple past tense. However, disciplinary variations were observed in the tense usage in the different rhetorical units.

The most observable variations were found in *Describing Aims and Purposes* (with dominant use of the simple past tense in the hard sciences and the simple present tense in the soft sciences), *Listing Procedures or Methodological Techniques* (with dominant use of the simple past tense in the hard sciences and the simple present tense in the soft sciences), *Invalidating Results* (with dominant use of the simple past tense in the hard sciences and the simple present tense in the soft sciences), and *Presenting Generalizations, Claims, Deductions, or Research Gaps* (with dominant use of the simple future tense in the hard sciences and the simple present tense in the soft sciences). The contextual analysis revealed that the contributing factors in the choice of tense were temporal aspects, rhetorical unit function, type of science, and the structure of the report. Additionally, it was found that the frequency of use of the active voice outnumbered the passive voice in the overall use of the voice aspect in the corpus. The voice disciplinary variations were observed mostly in *Structure of the Section* (dominantly presented in the passive voice in the hard sciences and the active voice in the soft sciences), *Describing Aims and Purposes* (dominantly presented in the passive voice in the hard sciences and the active voice in the soft sciences), *Listing Procedures or Methodological Techniques* (dominantly presented in the passive voice in the hard sciences and the active voice in the soft sciences) and *Referring to Previous Research* (dominantly presented in the passive voice in the hard sciences and the active voice in the soft sciences). The influencing factors which governed the choice of voice in the corpus were the function of the step, the associated verb and tense, and the writers' stance in the text. To conclude, it is hoped that the results of this study explain how Integrated Results and Discussion chapters in different sciences are organized rhetorically, which in turn, may be useful for the successful writing of the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters in master's theses. Also, a thorough understanding of the full range of possible meanings and uses of the tenses and voices and how they can be applied to rhetorical uses in

academic writing will allow the writer the greatest flexibility in the use of tense and voice to express nuances in meanings (Taylor, 2001).



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

LANGKAH- LANGKAH RETORIK DENGAN ANALISIS MASA DAN SUARA DALAM GABUNGAN KEPUTUSAN DAN PERBINCANGAN DALAM BAB TESIS SARJANA-MERENTAS DISIPLIN SAINS

Oleh

ZAHRA SHIRIAN DASTJERDI

April 2017

Pengerusi : Helen Tan, PhD
Fakulti : Bahasa Moden dan Komunikasi

Terdapat konvensyen yang mantap dalam setiap komuniti wacana akademik yang menentukan organisasi struktur sebarang genre berkaitan masyarakat wacana tertentu. Sebagai genre spesifik dalam penulisan akademik, penulisan tesis adalah tugas paling mencabar untuk pelajar pasca siswazah terutama bagi bab Hasil Kajian dan Perbincangan. Dalam usaha untuk mendapatkan pemahaman mendalam bagi bab Hasil Kajian dan Perbincangan dalam tesis sarjana, kajian ini telah melakukan penyelidikan ke atas unit retorik bab Hasil Kajian dan Perbincangan pelajar-pelajar sarjana dalam bidang sains semula jadi dan sains sosial, iaitu sebagai genre yang belum diterokai. Selain itu, kajian ini juga membandingkan dan membezakan unit retorik serta penggunaan kala dan kata kerja teks yang diselidik. Bagi mencapai objektif kajian ini, sebanyak 40 tesis oleh sarjana sebuah universiti awam tempatan di Malaysia telah dipilih untuk dijadikan sampel kajian. Daripada 40 tesis tersebut, 20 daripadanya adalah daripada bidang sains semula jadi (10 tesis dari aliran Kimia dan 10 lagi dari aliran Fizik) dan 20 buah tesis lagi adalah daripada sains sosial (10 tesis dalam bidang Bahasa Inggeris dan 10 lagi dari bidang Ekonomi). Untuk dipilih, kriteria lain yang diperlukan adalah semua tesis mesti mempunyai bab Hasil Kajian dan Perbincangan dan mesti ditulis dalam tempoh 10 tahun iaitu dari 2002 hingga 2012. Untuk menjalankan kajian ini, pendekatan kaedah pergerakan retorik korpus. Oleh itu, model analisis Kanoksilapatham (2005) telah disesuaikan untuk mengenalpasti dan menekankan gerakan dan langkah retorik yang digunakan dalam kajian. Perbezaan pergerakan retorik yang digunakan dalam sains semula jadi dan sains sosial telah dibandingkan berdasarkan kepada kekerapan ianya berlaku. Selain itu, kajian ini juga mengkaji penggunaan kala dan kata kerja dalam gerakan dan langkah retorik korpora kerana menurut Larsen-Freeman, Kuehn dan Haccius (1999), pemilihan kata kerja dan kala Bahasa Inggeris merupakan salah satu bidang tatabahasa yang paling sukar untuk pelajar ESL. Untuk meningkatkan keteguhan metodologi, perubahan dalam penggunaan kala dan kata kerja dalam

langkah-langkah retorik telah diselidik secara kualitatif. Penemuan kajian menunjukkan bahawa bab Hasil Kajian dan Perbincangan berfokus terutamanya dalam membentangkan hasil kajian (*Menyatakan Hasil*) diikuti dengan ulasan hasil yang diperolehi, iaitu Langkah 5 atau *Menyatakan Ulasan pada Hasil*. Keduanya bergerak bersama-sama dengan sub-unit atau langkah-langkahnya yang merupakan retorik unit yang paling kerap digunakan daripada teks yang diselidik. Sebaliknya, *Menyatakan Had* dan *Mencadangkan Penyelidikan Lanjut* adalah unit retorik yang jarang digunakan. Satu sebab yang memungkinkan penulis-penulis iaitu pelajar ESL lebih gemar berkomunikasi mengenai kedua-dua unit retorik ini terdapat dalam bab terakhir (*Kesimpulan*) tesis. Variasi tatatertib juga diperhatikan di antara pergerakan retorik yang digunakan dalam sains semula jadi dan sains sosial. Sebagai contoh, dalam bidang sains semula jadi, M3S2 (*Merujuk kepada Penyelidikan Yang Lalu*) dan M7S5 (*Membuat Dakwaan Terbuka atau Anggapan Umum*) adalah wajib. Tetapi didapati, ia menjadi pilihan dalam bidang sains sosial. Bagi bidang sains sosial, M4S3 (*Hasil Tidak Sah*) adalah wajib, namun dalam bidang sains semula jadi pula, ia merupakan pilihan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa sains semula jadi memiliki lebih kecenderungan ke arah penyampaian yang lebih subjektif berbanding sains sosial, dan sains sosial lebih cenderung kepada penyampaian objektif. Selain itu, analisis kala menunjukkan bahawa dalam sains semula jadi dan sains sosial, kala kini selapis merupakan kala pilihan yang diikuti oleh kala lampau selapis. Walau bagaimanapun, perubahan disiplin diperhatikan dalam penggunaan kala pada unit retorik yang berbeza.

Variasi yang paling diperhatikan ditemui pada topik *Menggambarkan Matlamat dan Tujuan* (dengan penggunaan dominan kala lampau selapis dalam bidang sains semula jadi dan kala kini selapis dalam sains sosial), *Menyenaraikan Prosedur atau Teknik Metodologi* (dengan penggunaan dominan kata lampau selapis dalam sains semula jadi dan kala kini selapis dalam sains sosial), *Membatalkan Keputusan* (dengan penggunaan dominan kata lampau selapis dalam sains semula jadi dan kala kini selapis dalam sains sosial), dan *Membentangkan Anggapan Umum, Dakwaan, Kesimpulan, atau Perbezaan Penyelidikan* (dengan penggunaan dominan kata lampau selapis dalam sains semula jadi dan kala kini selapis dalam sains sosial). Analisis konteks mendedahkan bahawa faktor yang menjadi penyumbang kepada pemilihan kala adalah aspek duniawi, fungsi unit retorik, jenis sains dan struktur laporan. Selain itu, didapati bahawa kekerapan penggunaan kata kerja aktif melebihi penggunaan kata kerja pasif bagi keseluruhan aspek kata kerja dalam korpus. Variasi disiplin kata kerja banyak diperhatikan dalam *Struktur Seksyen* (dibentangkan secara dominan dalam kata kerja pasif dalam bidang sains semula jadi dan kata kerja aktif dalam bidang sains sosial), *Menerangkan Matlamat dan Tujuan* (dibentangkan secara dominan dalam kata kerja pasif dalam bidang sains semula jadi manakala kata kerja aktif dalam bidang sains sosial), *Menyenaraikan Prosedur atau Teknik Metodologi* (dibentangkan secara dominan dalam kata kerja pasif dalam bidang sains semula jadi manakala kata kerja aktif dalam bidang sains sosial) dan *Merujuk kepada Penyelidikan Sebelumnya* (dibentangkan secara dominan dalam kata kerja pasif dalam bidang sains semula jadi, manakala kata kerja aktif dalam bidang sains sosial). Faktor yang mempengaruhi dalam penentuan pemilihan kata kerja dalam korpus adalah fungsi langkah, kata kerja dan kala yang berkaitan, dan pendirian penulis dalam teks. Sebagai kesimpulan, hasil kajian ini diharapkan dapat menjelaskan

bagaimana bab Hasil Kajian dan Perbincangan dalam bidang sains yang berbeza disusun secara retorik, dan seterusnya, mungkin berguna bagi kejayaan penulisan mengenai bab Hasil Kajian dan Perbincangan dalam tesis sarjana. Di samping itu, pemahaman mendalam mengenai rangkaian penuh maksud-maksud yang berkemungkinan dan penggunaan kata kerja dan kala, juga bagaimana keduanya boleh diaplikasikan bagi penggunaan retorik dalam penulisan akademik akan membolehkan penulis, dengan fleksibiliti terbesar dalam penggunaan kala dan kata kerja untuk menyatakan nuansa dalam makna (Taylor, 2001).



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude for my thesis supervisory committee Dr. Helen Tan, Professor Dr. Chan Swee Heng, and Associate Professor Dr. Ain Nadzimah Abdullah for their continuous support, invaluable feedback, and patience throughout the study. Without their guidance and support, this thesis would never be completed. I also wish to express my sincere gratitude to my beloved husband and parents for their unending patience and support. My deepest appreciation also goes to my friend, Dr. Mehdi for his academic support throughout my research and thesis completion.

I would wish to extend my warmest gratitude to my family, especially my parents, for their affectionate support, understanding, patience, and encouragement. Their prayers and good wishes constantly helped me to be strong, especially in difficult times. I am forever grateful and indebted to them. My special thanks go to my sisters (Zeinab, Somaye, and Maryam) and sister-in-law (Somaye) for their continual support and encouragement.

My heartfelt thanks to all my friends, Samira, Nasrin, Hengame, and Hale for their prayers and belief in me.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 18 April 2017 to conduct the final examination of Zahra Shirian Dastjerdi on her thesis entitled "Rhetorical Moves with Tense and Voice Analysis of the Results and Discussion Chapter in Master Theses Across Sciences" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Shameem Begum binti Mohd Rafik Khan, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Rosli bin Talif, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Nor Fariza Mohd Nor, PhD
Associate Professor
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
Malaysia
(External Examiner)

Michael Barlow, PhD
Associate Professor
The University of Auckland
New Zealand
(External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 August 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Helen Tan, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ain Nadzimah Abdullah, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Zahra Shirian Dastjerdi , GS32259

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee:

Dr. Helen Tan

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee:

Professor Dr. Ain Nadzimah Abdullah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xxii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Background of the Study	1
1.3 Statement of the Problem	2
1.4 Objectives of the Study	5
1.5 Research Questions	6
1.6 Significance of Study	6
1.7 Scope and Limitations	8
1.8 Definition of Terms	9
1.9 Summary	11
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 Overview	12
2.2 Discourse	12
2.3 Discourse Community	13
2.4 Discourse Analysis	13
2.5 Genre	15
2.6 Genre Analysis	16
2.7 Thesis as a Genre	17
2.8 Patterns of Results and Discussion Chapters as an Integrated Chapter of Theses	19
2.9 Rhetorical Movement	21
2.10 Move Analysis	22
2.11 Overview of Previous Studies on Rhetorical Movements of Results and Discussion Sections/Chapters	23
2.11.1 Previous Studies on Rhetorical Movements: Results Sections in the Soft Sciences	24
2.11.2 Previous Studies on Rhetorical Movements: Results Sections in the Hard Sciences	31
2.11.3 Previous Studies on Rhetorical Movements: Discussion Sections in the Soft Sciences	35
2.11.4 Previous Studies on Rhetorical Movements: Discussion Sections in the Hard Sciences	38
2.12 Tense, Voice, and Genre Analysis	44

	2.12.1	Previous Studies on Tense Use in Rhetorical Movements	46
	2.12.2	Previous Studies on the Voice Use in Rhetorical Movements	50
	2.13	Summary and Conclusion	53
3		METHODOLOGY	54
	3.1	Overview	54
	3.2	Research Design	54
	3.2.1	Data Collection Location	55
	3.2.2	Data Collection Procedure	56
	3.2.3	Ethical Issues	58
	3.3	Data Analysis	58
	3.3.1	Phase 1	58
	3.3.2	Phase 2	71
	3.4	Summary and Conclusion	78
4		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	80
	4.1	Overview	80
	4.2	Overall Use of Rhetorical Moves in the Corpus	80
	4.3	Distribution of Rhetorical Moves across Hard and Soft Sciences	81
	4.4	Embedded Steps of Rhetorical Moves	82
	4.5	Functions and Forms of Rhetorical Moves and Steps	86
	4.5.1	Move 1 (M1): Structure of the Section	86
	4.5.2	Move 2 (M2): Stating Procedures	88
	4.5.3	Move 3 (M3): Justifying Procedures or Methodology	94
	4.5.4	Move 4 (M4): Stating Results	97
	4.5.5	Move 5 (M5): Commenting on the Results	102
	4.5.6	Move 6 (M6): Contextualizing the Study	109
	4.5.7	Move 7 (M7): Consolidating Results	112
	4.5.8	Move 8 (M8): Stating Limitations of the Study	119
	4.5.9	Move 9 (M9): Suggesting Further Research	122
	4.6	Tense Usage Patterns	122
	4.6.1	Distribution of Tense Forms in the Corpus	125
	4.6.2	Cross-Disciplinary Comparison	125
	4.6.3	Tense and Rhetorical Function Associations	127
	4.7	Voice Usage Patterns	168
	4.7.1	Distribution of Voice in the Corpus	168
	4.7.2	Overall Distribution of Voice in the Moves	169
	4.7.3	Cross-Disciplinary Comparison of Corpus' Use of Voice	170
	4.7.4	Voice in Rhetorical Units	172
	4.7.5	Description of Voice Use in the Corpus' Rhetorical Units	174
	4.8	Summary and Conclusion	202

5	CONCLUSION	205
5.1	Overview	205
5.2	Summary of the Study	205
5.3	Summary of the Results	206
	5.3.1 Rhetorical Moves and Steps	206
	5.3.2 Disciplinary Variations in Rhetorical Movements	208
	5.3.3 Tense Usage Patterns and Disciplinary Variations	208
	5.3.4 Voice Usage Patterns and Disciplinary Variations	209
5.4	Novel Contribution	209
5.5	Pedagogical Contributions of the Study	209
5.6	Recommendations for Future Studies	210
	REFERENCES	212
	APPENDICES	222
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	229
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	230

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Analytical Model for Results Section Proposed by Brett (1994)	26
2.2 Moves and Steps in Yang and Edwards' (1995) Study	27
2.3 Moves and Steps in the Results Section of Yang and Allison's (2003) Study	29
2.4 Results Section's Moves and Steps in Atai and Falah's (2005) Study	30
2.5 Results Section's Moves in Nwogu's (1997) Study	32
2.6 Rhetorical Movements in Posteguillo's (1999) Study	33
2.7 Moves in the Discussion Sections of Language Teaching Research Articles (Fallahi & Erzi, 2003)	36
2.8 Moves in Discussion Section of Yang and Allison's (2003) Study	37
2.9 Moves in Discussion Sections of Atai and Falah's (2005) Study	38
2.10 Moves in Discussion Sections of Theses and Articles (Hopkins & Dudley- Evans, 1988)	39
2.11 Swales' (1990) Taxonomy of Move Pattern for the Discussion Section	40
2.12 Identified Moves in Discussion Section of Posteguillo's (1999) Study	41
2.13 Rhetorical Moves and Steps in Kanoksilapatham's (2005) Study of Discussion Sections of Biochemistry Articles	43
2.14 Basic Meanings and Use of the Seven Tenses (Adapted from Celce-Murcia and Larsen-Freeman, 1999, pp. 111-122)	45
3.1 Distribution of Theses per Science of Study	57
3.2 Rhetorical Movements in the First Pilot Study's Corpus	60
3.3 Frequency Distribution of Tenses of the Second Pilot Study	72
3.4 Distribution of Voice Use in the Second Pilot Study	76

4.1	Rhetorical Moves of the Investigated Texts	80
4.2	Distribution of Rhetorical Moves across Hard and Soft Sciences	81
4.3	Moves and Steps across the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	82
4.4	Obligatory and Optional Moves and Steps	84
4.5	Frequency of Occurrence M1 (Structure of Section) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	87
4.6	Frequency of Occurrence of M2S1 (Describing Aims and Purposes) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	90
4.7	Frequency of Occurrence of M2S2 (Restating Research Questions) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	91
4.8	Frequency of Occurrence of M2S3 (Making Hypotheses) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	92
4.9	Frequency of Occurrence of M2S4 (Listing Procedures or Methodological Techniques) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	93
4.10	Frequency of Occurrence of M3S1 (Citing Established Knowledge of the Procedure) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	95
4.11	Frequency of Occurrence of M3S2 (Referring to Previous Research) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	96
4.12	Frequency of Occurrence of M4S1 (Pointer) of the Hard Sciences and Soft	98
4.13	Frequency of Occurrence of M4S2 (Substantiating Results) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	99
4.14	Frequency of Occurrence of M4S3 (Invalidating Results) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	102
4.15	Frequency of Occurrence of M5S1 (Explaining the Results) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	105
4.16	Frequency of Occurrence of M5S2 (Making Generalizations or Interpretations of the Results) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	106

4.17	Frequency of Occurrence of M5S3 (Evaluating the Current Findings with Those from Previous Studies or with Regard to Hypotheses) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	107
4.18	Frequency of Occurrence of M5S4 (Stating Limitations) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	108
4.19	Frequency of Occurrence of M5S5 (Summarizing) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	109
4.20	Frequency of Occurrence of M6S1 (Describing Established Knowledge) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	110
4.21	Frequency of Occurrence of M6S2 (Presenting Generalizations, Claims, Deductions, or Research Gaps) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	111
4.22	Frequency of Occurrence of M7S1 (Restating Methodology) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	113
4.23	Frequency of Occurrence of M7S2 (Stating Selected Findings) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	114
4.24	Frequency of Occurrence of M7S3 (Referring to Previous Literature) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	115
4.25	Frequency of Occurrence of M7S4 (Explaining Differences in Findings) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	116
4.26	Frequency of Occurrence of M7S5 (Making Overt Claims or Generalizations) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	117
4.27	Frequency of Occurrence of M7S6 (Exemplifying) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	118
4.28	Frequency of Occurrence of M8S1 (Limitations about the Finding) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	120
4.29	Frequency of Occurrence of M8S2 (Limitations about the Methodology) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	121
4.30	Frequency of Occurrence of M8S3 (Limitations about the Claims Made) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	121
4.31	Frequency of Occurrence of M9 (Suggesting Further Research) of the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	122
4.32	Basic Meanings and Use of the Seven Tenses (Adapted from Celce-Murcia and Larsen-Freeman, 1999, pp. 111-122)	123

4.33	Overall Distribution of Tenses in the Corpus	125
4.34	Overall Distribution of Tenses in the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	126
4.35	Distribution of Tenses across the Study's Rhetorical Moves	128
4.36	Tense Distribution in M1 (Structure of the Section) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	130
4.37	Tense Distribution in M2S1 (Describing Aims and Purposes) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	132
4.38	Tense Distribution in M2S3 (Making Hypothesis) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	134
4.39	Tense Distribution in M2S4 (Listing Procedures or Methodological Techniques) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	135
4.40	Tense distribution in M3S1 (Citing Established Knowledge of the Procedure) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	137
4.41	Tense Distribution in M3S2 (Referring to Previous Research) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	138
4.42	Tense Distribution in M4S1 (Pointer) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	139
4.43	Tense Distribution in M4S2 (Substantiating Results) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	141
4.44	Tense Distribution in M4S3 (Invalidating Results) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	145
4.45	Tense Distribution in M5S1 (Explaining the Results) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	146
4.46	Tense Distribution in M5S2 (Making Generalizations or Interpretations of the Results) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	148
4.47	Tense Distribution in M5S3 (Evaluating the Current Findings with Those from Previous Studies or with Regard to the Hypotheses) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	150
4.48	Tense Distribution in M5S4 (Stating Limitations) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	151

4.49	Tense Distribution in M5S5 (Summarizing) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	152
4.50	Tense Distribution in M6S1 (Describing Established Knowledge) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	153
4.51	Tense Distribution in M6S2 (Presenting Generalizations, Claims, Deductions, or Research Gaps) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	155
4.52	Tense Distribution in M7S1 (Restating Methodology) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	156
4.53	Tense Distribution in M7S2 (Stating Selected Findings) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	158
4.54	Tense Distribution in M7S3 (Referring to Previous Literature) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	159
4.55	Tense Distribution in M7S4 (Explaining Differences in Findings) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	161
4.56	Tense Distribution in M7S5 (Making Overt Claims or Generalizations) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	163
4.57	Tense Distribution in M7S6 (Exemplification) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	164
4.58	Tense Distribution in M8S1 (Limitations about the Finding) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	165
4.59	Tense Distribution in M8S2 (Limitations about the Methodology) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	166
4.60	Tense Distribution in M8S3 (Limitations about the Claim Made) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	166
4.61	Tense Distribution in M9 (Suggesting Future Research) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	167
4.62	Overall Distribution of Voice in the Corpus	168
4.63	Overall Distribution of the Active and Passive Voices in the Study's Moves	170
4.64	Overall Distribution of Voice across Sciences	171
4.65	Distribution of Voice across Corpus' Moves in the Hard and Soft Sciences	172

4.66	Distribution of Voice in M1 (Structure of the Section) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	174
4.67	Distribution of Voice in M2S1 (Describing Aims and Purposes) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	176
4.68	Distribution of Voice in M2S3 (Making Hypotheses) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	178
4.69	Distribution of Voice in M2S4 (Listing Procedures or Methodological Techniques) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	179
4.70	Distribution of Voice in M3S1 (Citing Established Knowledge of the Procedure) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	180
4.71	Distribution of Voice in M3S2 (Referring to Previous Research) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	181
4.72	Distribution of Voice in M4S1 (Pointer) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	182
4.73	Distribution of Voice in M4S2 (Substantiating Results) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	184
4.74	Distribution of Voice in M4S3 (Invalidating Results) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	185
4.75	Distribution of Voice in M5S1 (Explaining the Results) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	186
4.76	Distribution of Voice in M5S2 (Making Generalizations or Interpretations of the Results) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	187
4.77	Distribution of Voice in M5S3 (Evaluating the Current Findings with Those from Previous Studies or with Regard to Hypotheses) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	188
4.78	Distribution of Voice in M5S4 (Stating Limitations) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	189
4.79	Distribution of Voice in M5S5 (Summarizing) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	190
4.80	Distribution of Voice in M6S1 (Describing Established Knowledge) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	191

4.81	Distribution of Voice in M6S2 (Presenting Generalizations, Claims, Deductions, or Research Gaps) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	192
4.82	Distribution of Voice in M7S1 (Restating Methodology) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	193
4.83	Distribution of Voice in M7S2 (Stating Selected Findings) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	194
4.84	Distribution of Voice in M7S3 (Referring to Previous Literature) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	195
4.85	Distribution of Voice in M7S4 (Explaining Differences in Findings) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	196
4.86	Distribution of Voice in M7S5 (Making Overt Claims or Generalizations) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	197
4.87	Distribution of Voice in M7S6 (Exemplifying) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	198
4.88	Distribution of Voice in M8S1 (Limitations about the Finding) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	199
4.89	Distribution of Voice in M8S2 (Limitations about the Methodology) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	200
4.90	Distribution of Voice in M8S3 (Limitations about the Claims Made) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	201
4.91	Distribution of Voice in M9 (Suggesting Further Research) of the Hard Sciences and the Soft Sciences	201
5.1	Rhetorical Moves and Steps in this Study	207

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Structure of a Thesis	18
2.2	Blocked Results and Discussion Pattern	20
2.3	Iterative Results and Discussion Pattern	20
3.1	Data Collection Procedure	56
3.2	Summary of the Research Design	78
4.1	Overall Distribution of Tenses in the Hard Sciences and Soft Sciences	126
4.2	Overall Distribution of Voice in the Corpus	169
4.3	Overall Distribution of Voice across Sciences	171
4.4	Distribution of Voice in the Moves of the Hard Sciences' Texts	173
4.5	Distribution of Voice in the Moves of the Soft Sciences' Texts	173

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EFL	English as a Foreign Language
ENL	English as a Native Language
ESL	English as a Second Language
ESP	English for Specific Purpose



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

This chapter begins with the background of the study, discussing the issues of genre analysis in academic writing in general and writing conventions in theses as an example of an academic genre in particular. A statement of problem follows, which explains the need for conducting a study in relation to analyzing theses' Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of ESL (English as a Second Language) student writers. The objectives of the study are also presented and are concluded with the study's research questions. Besides, a discussion in relation to the significance of the study gives further details about the study. This is followed by scope and limitations of the study. The last sections comprised definitions of terms and summary of the study.

1.2 Background of the Study

In Van Dijk's (1997, pp. 1-2) view, 'discourse' refers to a form of language use, which raises questions such as 'who' uses it, and 'how' and 'why' it is used. Additionally, discourse refers to the kind of language associated with a particular social organization or community and expresses the valuable ideas of that specific community (Danaher, Schirato & Webb, 2000, p. x). Hence, a discourse community is definable in terms of a group of individuals with high levels of special knowledge and some other novices at the periphery (Swales, 1990). Accordingly, members of a particular discourse community employ a particular use of language in order to communicate efficiently. In differentiating between discourse communities, Ebrahimi and Chan (2012, p. 29) discussed that discourse communities are different from each other based on purpose, ways of communication as well as the level of related communicative knowledge. As a result, a number of particular genres in accordance with particular discourse communities' goals come into existence. Holmes (1997, p. 321) argued that a genre "can be briefly defined as a class of texts characterized by a specific communicative function that tends to produce distinctive structural patterns".

It is clear that academic discourse communities require their own particular genre respectively. There are different types of genres in academic spheres, which are definable in relation to a variety of academic discourse communities. As an example of such academic genres are the master's theses. Basturkmen (2012, p. 134) underlined that academic genres such as theses provide significant resources at researchers' disposal in order to examine "the writing conventions, social practices, and values of a discipline and research community". Hence, genre analysis, as a method of investigation in applied linguistics, can be used in order to identify the specific characteristics of a specific academic genre (Biber, Connor, & Upton, 2007;

Swales 1990; Hopkins & Dudley-Evans 1988) and in turn that of a specific discourse community.

Accordingly, genre analysis has motivated research in analyzing communicative functions of texts (Nodoushan, 2011; Brett, 1994), which may result in the evolvement of different methods of analyzing various genres in terms of the used rhetorical moves and steps. As an area of research in genre analysis studies, investigating rhetorical moves and steps was initially introduced by Swales (1981). In harmony with his previous views, Swales (2004, pp. 228-9) argued that a move is a “rhetorical unit that performs a coherent communicative function” in a text and thus is employed for a specific communicative purpose. Accordingly, a text may be analyzed in order to clarify its communicative purpose. On the other hand, according to Dudley-Evans and St Johns (1998, p. 89), a step refers to “a lower level text unit than the move that provides a detailed perspective on the options open to the writer in setting out the moves”. In tandem with this view, Arulandu (2006, p. 59) further discussed that steps reflect the moves made by the writer in a text in order to achieve the communicative purpose in a particular genre. Biber et al. (2007, p. 24) also argued that by doing rhetorical moves and steps analysis, rhetorical organizational patterns of a text can be highlighted and described. Thus, studies on rhetorical moves and steps attempt to classify various discourse units which are embedded in a text in order to explain a text’s communicative purpose(s). Different moves and steps of a genre may also be scrutinized from a grammatical point of view (Salager-Meyer, 1992; Shaw, 1992; Malcolm, 1987). This means that grammatical features of the rhetorical moves and steps of a specific genre can be examined in order to achieve a clear comprehension of the information flow of that specific genre and highlight the embedded message in it.

As mentioned earlier, theses is a type of academic genre that is substantially produced in academic contexts. A thesis may comprise different chapters. One significant chapter of a thesis is its Integrated Results and Discussion chapter. According to Ebrahimi and Chan (2012), Results and Discussion have a considerable potential for conducting research in applied linguistics. Genre analysis, as a method of text analysis, has been already employed in examining the rhetorical functions of Results and Discussion section of articles across different sciences (Fallahi & Erzi, 2003). Similarly, by examining patterns of rhetorical moves and steps of Integrated Results and Discussion chapter of theses in a variety of disciplines, it is possible to achieve a better understanding of the varied communicative purposes of the theses’ Integrated Results and Discussion chapter. Hinkel (2004) discussed that the outcome of such rhetorical moves studies may shed a better light on the organization of this significant chapter of theses.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

Considering the mentioned background of genre analysis study, the present study tries to investigate patterns of rhetorical moves and steps as well as patterns of tense

and voice in the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter of theses in hard and soft sciences.

Postgraduate students in different sciences are required to conduct a research project or a thesis in order to complete their studies and graduate from universities. Genre studies have shown that writing is a troublesome process for student writers at both the master levels (Ren & Li, 2011; Dudley-Evans, 1991) and PhD levels. The challenge of writing is compounded if postgraduate student writers are confronted with writing their theses in a language other than their mother tongues (Thompson, 2012; Paltridge, 2002). Thompson elaborated that the ESL students' challenge in writing is compounded by an unfamiliar linguistic environment in which they have to discuss their disciplinary expertise in their theses.

Consequently, writing a thesis is a challenging task for ESL students because of the linguistic complexities, the size of the text, and the high standards of language use that must be met in writing a thesis (Thompson, 2012; Dong, 1998). In other words, ESL student writers are required not only to have the knowledge to write in the English language, but they should also be equipped with the necessary skills to "argue logically and coherently the meaning of the research results" (Dong, 1998, p. 369). The challenge of thesis writing also lies in having to synthesize as well as sustaining a coherent argument (Thompson, 2012).

In addition, Bitchener and Basturkmen (2006) noted that ESL postgraduate students are not explicitly taught the function, content, and organization of a dissertation/thesis. Moreover, the majority of academic supervisors do not have sufficient time to help the students to improve their writing. Paltridge and Starfield (2007) as well as Allison et al. (1998) also added that this problematic situation may be even more serious if the supervisors are not native speakers or near-native speakers of the English language.

In view of the need to write with a good academic style, Bitchener and Basturkmen (2006) maintained that although prior to writing their theses, ESL student writers could be made to pass a course on research methods as a necessary requirement for furthering their higher education. They believe this will initiate students into the requirements of thesis writing. This, however, does not guarantee their abilities in successfully writing their theses. Without a doubt, many student writers still suffer from inadequacies in their writing ability and in particular the writing of the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter. This challenge of writing Results and Discussion chapter is also attested by Dudley-Evans (1986) as well as Shaw (1991).

Additionally, Thompson (2012) postulated that the inadequacy of ESL students to write the Results and Discussion chapter of their theses is to some extent due to students' unfamiliarity with the rhetorical conventions of the target language. Rhetoric function knowledge is of importance in writing convention. This importance

is due to the role that rhetoric function plays in contributing to the overall communicative purpose of the text (Thompson, 2012). Therefore, the present study tries to understand the rhetoric function of Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of ESL students' theses in terms of investigating the required moves and steps of the chapter. This clarification may hopefully assist ESL students in efficiently meeting the writing needs that are required in presenting the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of their theses.

Furthermore, another source of difficulty for ESL students in their writing tasks is related to the use of correct grammatical structures of the English language (Heslot, 1982; Lackstrom, Selinker, & Trimble, 1973). Similarly, Larsen-Freeman, Kuehn, and Haccius (1999) stated that the choice of English tenses and voices (active and passive) is one of the most difficult grammatical areas for ESL students. To address this concern, the study investigates patterns of tenses and voices that are used in the rhetorical moves and steps in Integrated Results and Discussion chapter of theses in hard and soft sciences.

In the last decades of the 20th century as well as in the beginning decade of the 21st century, a great number of research studies have been done on academic texts (Samraj, 2008, p. 56). However, the focus of research has mostly been on the research articles (for example, Swales, 2004; Hyland, 2000; Swales, 1990). The studies on the research articles have investigated the rhetorical structures of different sections of the articles (Swales, 2004; Yang & Allison, 2003; Hopkins & Dudley-Evans, 1988). Furthermore, there are other studies that delved into the patterns of the used linguistic features of different sections of research articles (for example, Hinkel, 2004; Burrough-Boenisch, 2003; Salager-Meyer, 1992). Additionally, Nguyen and Pramoolsook (2015) stated that most of the academic genre studies are on the texts produced by native speakers of English. Besides, in paying attention to post-graduate students' writing, plenty of research has been conducted on PhD theses (for example, Bunton, 2005, 2002; Ridley, 2000; Prior, 1998; Belcher, 1994; Berkenkotter, Huckin, & Ackerman, 1991). However, very few studies focused on the writing of the ESL master students' theses. In order to fill these gap in the literature, the present study focused on the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of ESL student writers' theses in the hard and soft sciences.

As such, the results of such a study on Integrated Results and Discussion chapter focusing on moves and steps together with a description of the use of tense and voice patterns inherent in these moves and steps may facilitate master student writers' efforts in writing and organizing this important chapter of their theses. Furthermore, Brett (1994) opined that to achieve a better understanding of the rhetorical moves in the Results and Discussion chapter, it is necessary to integrate Results and Discussion of a research into a unified section. Therefore, the present study analyses Results and Discussion as an independently merged chapter (referred to as Integrated Results and Discussion by Stoller & Robinson, 2013).

The skill of presenting results followed by discussing results requires an awareness of the various rhetorical moves and steps so that the content of the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter can flow coherently. As such, an awareness of the significance of rhetorical moves and steps together with appropriate choices of tenses and voices may assist ESL students in achieving a better performance in their writing tasks. Consequently, this awareness may help ESL master students to efficiently produce their Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of their thesis.

Essentially, the study seeks to explicate text organization in the level of moves and steps which help to provide functional purposes in writing the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter. Writing thus becomes more meaningful with this communicative approach. The Results and Discussion could be more effectively conveyed with the elaborations given as the linguistic manifestations of the moves and steps involved. In addition, the analysis of tense and voice will help learners in managing the important aspects of grammar in the thesis.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

In order to gain insights into the rhetorical patterns of the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters, using a genre analysis approach, the present study investigates the moves and steps patterns of the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of the master's theses of ESL student writers in the hard sciences and soft sciences. In the hard sciences, master's theses in the fields of Chemistry and Physics are examined. In the soft sciences, master's theses in the fields of English Language and Economics are examined. As such, the study attempts to determine and compare the patterns of moves and steps between hard and soft sciences in order to clarify disciplinary differences.

Further, Halliday (1985) asserted that in performing a genre analysis study, it is required to include a grammatical analysis of the investigated texts. Accordingly, the present study not only investigates the moves and steps of the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of theses, but also endeavours to determine the patterns of tense and voice within the moves and steps of the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of the master's theses of ESL student writers in hard sciences and soft sciences. This goal is also in line with Biber et al.'s (2007, p. 38) claim that such an investigation may demonstrate how these features "interact with each other in a move to perform a particular communicative purpose".

The evaluation of a functional grammatical point of view which focuses on the "appropriateness of a form for a particular communicative context" (Lock, 1995, p. 1) is deemed to be beneficial for both learners and instructors of writing. Specifically, the study attempts to answer the research questions as put forth in the following section.

1.5 Research Questions

The following research questions were constructed to guide the study.

1. What are the patterns of the rhetorical moves and steps in the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter of master's theses in hard and soft sciences?
2. How are the patterns of rhetorical moves and steps in the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter different between the hard and soft sciences?
3. What are the patterns of tense selection in the rhetorical moves and steps in the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter in hard and soft sciences?
4. What are the patterns of voice selection in the rhetorical moves and steps in the Integrated Results and Discussion chapter in hard and soft sciences?

1.6 Significance of Study

It was already discussed that writing the Results and Discussion chapter is a challenging task for most postgraduate students (Nguyen & Pramoolsook, 2015; Brett, 1994). Nevertheless, this difficult process becomes twice as difficult for ESL students (Nguyen & Pramoolsook, 2015). However, genre analysis of the said chapter may help to solve the issue, because genre analysis inevitably leads to the development of insights and awareness of how writing is managed for a specific purpose. Findings of this study will hopefully explain how theses' Integrated Results and Discussion chapters in different sciences are organized rhetorically.

The present study is also a timely research because most ESL students may not only suffer from lack of required proficiency (Thompson, 2012), but they may also not have the necessary textual knowledge required to write Results and Discussion chapters (Nguyen & Pramoolsook, 2015; Bhatia, 1999). The results obtained from the present study will provide relevant feedback for postgraduate students who need to acquire the required knowledge for organizing and structuring this important chapter in a thesis.

As discussed in the Background of the Study, genre studies are supposed to highlight a series of communicative conventions which are reciprocally comprehensible by members of academic discourse community (Swales, 1990, p. 55). Thus, ESL master students need to gain the skills and experiences that are necessary to master the conventions of the required genre in order to gain admission into the discourse community. Therefore, the significance of the present study lies in providing an in-depth understanding of this particular discourse community's conventions.

The current study also presents a comparison between occurrences of the rhetorical moves and steps in the theses written in the hard sciences (Chemistry and Physics) and soft sciences (English Language and Economics) together with the ways of using tense and voice in the two sciences. Consequently, the structural organizations of the communicative purposes particular to each science will shed light on the role of the

subject area in rhetorical organization and choice of specific grammatical features including tense and voice.

If novice postgraduate ESL students gain a deeper perception of conventions practiced by the related discourse communities, they will be able to produce their Integrated Results and Discussion chapters more efficiently. This view is also indicated by Bhatia (1993, p. 182) who stated that if conventions of writing are learnt by students, they will be encouraged to use the knowledge efficiently in producing a related discussion in line with the requirements of a related genre.

Besides, the findings of the study may have practical pedagogical values since they can be useful to supervisors and those involved in teaching academic writing. When novice ESL researchers present their drafts to their supervisors, their supervisors could provide practical sound criticism to make sense on how their writing is constructed and organized. When supervisors and writing instructors are well informed about concepts such as moves and steps and the use of tense and voice, they can then assist the novice writers in being more successful in writing Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of their theses.

Furthermore, the results of this study can be used for evaluative purposes. ESL teachers and instructors in the field of ESP will be able to grade the ESL students' writings efficiently if they are equipped with a good knowledge of writing processes. If instructors gain an awareness of communicative purposes and strategies of a particular communicative event, then evaluating students' related writings will be facilitated.

Finally, the findings of this study will help writers to understand how content and context affect the choice of grammatical forms which in turn make meaning for the audience. Also, a thorough understanding of the full range of possible meanings and uses of the tenses and voices and how they can be applied to rhetorical uses in academic writing will allow the writer the greatest flexibility in the use of tense and voice to express nuances in meanings (Taylor, 2001).

To summarize, the study presents a way of understanding as well as making sense of communicative events that occur in the writing of the Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of master students' theses. The study aims at presenting a practical account of how Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of ESL master students are organized as a genre. The results of the study also show how tenses and voices can be embedded in the moves and steps of Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of ESL master students who may need to differentiate the skills of writing according to sciences.

1.7 Scope and Limitations

In any research endeavour, there are limitations (Tan, 2011, p. 24). This study also has a number of limitations. One, the genre under investigation in this study was limited to master's theses. It must be acknowledged that according to Lewkowicz (2009) and Samraj (2008), most of the studies have focused on the PhD theses rather than the master's theses.

Furthermore, as Thompson (2001) underlined, the number of theses had to be increased to be more representative, while still remaining manageable and practicable. PhD theses were not chosen, because they are long texts, running up to 100,000 words in length, and they are also difficult to obtain as there are usually not many theses written in any one department, at a single university. In addition, for most master students, writing a thesis is the first writing experience in academic life. Also, based on the claim made by Soleimani and Soleimani (2015) and Hyland (2003), students look at each other's dissertations to get an idea of the structure, content, and expression. There may be errors in students' writings (Al-Buainain, 2011), however, error analysis is not in the scope of the present study and is offered for future research.

Another justification for choosing master's theses as the corpus of this study is that in the study by Arulandu (2006), it was revealed that the use of similar rhetorical and linguistic strategies was similar between the master and PhD theses. As another limitation of this study, it can be pointed to the choice of Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of theses as the corpus of the study. The rationalization for this is the gap in the literature. The organizations of certain sections of theses such as Introduction and Discussion sections (Dudley-Evans, 1986) and Conclusions (Hewings, 1993) have been already explored (Samraj, 2008). However, investigations of Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of theses is neglected in the previous studies. In addition, according to Samraj (2008), these studies have tended to focus on texts from single disciplines and have not taken into consideration the disciplinary variation in this student-produced genre. In order to fill this gap, in the present study, the data was collected from theses written in the hard sciences and soft sciences.

In addition, this study specifically focused on the theses from one institution. Unlike the studies on research articles which collect data from different journals, there are numerous studies on theses which collected data from one institution only. For example, Thompson (2001), Paltridge (2002), Strauss et al. (2003), Skillen and Purser (2003), Tuner (2003), Cheng (2014), and Aitchison (2003) collected their data from one institution only.

In the present study, the reason for collecting data from one institution was that the researcher, as a student at the same university, was familiar with the site and staff, and therefore, this familiarity could facilitate the data collection procedure. This

justification was also echoed by Kennedy (1998) who underlined the importance of accessibility and availability of the corpus in conducting discourse analysis.

Additionally, Paltridge (2002, p. 17) argued that since the beginning decades of the 21st century, public university students have become diverse in terms of “race, gender, nationality and economic background”. In line with this argument, Duderstadt (2000, p. 22) emphasized that in the 21st century, since students of public universities “come from different backgrounds”, they have the capabilities of performing research with “different intellectual objectives”. Duderstadt (2000, p. 22) concluded that a single public university may provide a diverse population for data gathering purpose.

As a third reason for selecting a single public university for data collection, it may be referred to Bhatia (2000) who underlined that a university is an academic setting that provides an opportunity for students to get involved as well as participate in novel and recurring genres. Bhatia (2000) furthered that in an academic setting such as a public university, a wide range of genres including theses are available, and thus a researcher may consider a single public university as an adequately suitable source of data collection. Furthermore, Bhatia (2000) introduced the notion of “linguistic competence”. According to Bhatia (2000), linguistic competence is the ability of students in an academic setting to utilize, interpret, and create suitable texts that are worthy of investigation.

Finally, this adequacy of selecting a single academic institution for data collection is indicated by other researchers (for example, Cheng, 2014; Strauss et al. 2003; Aitchison, 2003; Thompson, 2001; Kennedy, 1998). As such a single academic institution may be evaluated as a research location that bears resemblance to other academic settings. In line with this view, Tunnel (1977) maintained that resemblance between the research locations and the real world can intensify the representativeness of a research. This view of representativeness of an academic setting is underlined by Calder, Philips, and Tybout (1982, p. 201) who emphasized that a “real world situation” is the requirement of a study setting. Thus, a local public university with a high reputation for academic research, especially ESL studies, was selected as a location that the data of the study could be gathered.

1.8 Definition of Terms

Discourse

Nunan (1993, p. 5) described ‘discourse’ as a segment of language comprising of a number of sentences, which are related to each other in some ways. To provide a better insight of the term discourse, Fairclough (2001, p. 14) added that discourse is language as a type of social practice. This definition is significantly meaningful for the present study since the study evaluates theses as a type of written discourse.

Genre

Swales (1990, p. 58) provides a classic definition for genre by referring to discourse community as well as the communicative purpose of a discourse community. Swales (1990) opined that a genre contains a class of communicative events within a discourse community. Accordingly, in a discourse community, there are some particular communicative purposes in relation to some particular communicative events. As such, a genre is a way of communication that is employed by community members of a specific discourse community. Any genre requires using a specific schematic structure in terms of lexis, language use, and rhetoric. In tandem with this view, Holmes (1997, p. 321) argued that a genre “can be briefly defined as a class of texts characterized by a specific communicative function that tends to produce distinctive structural patterns”. In the present study, thesis as a text produced by student writers is the genre under investigations.

Move

According to Ding (2007, p. 369), move is a “functional unit in a text used for some identifiable purpose, and is often used to identify the textual regularities in certain genres of writing”.

Step

According to Dudley-Evans and St Johns (1998, as cited in Nodoushan & Montazeran, 2012, p. 3), step is “a lower level text unit than the move that provides a detailed perspective on the options open to the writer in setting out the moves”.

Tense

According to Fontaine (2012, pp. 116-117), “Tense refers to grammatical meaning which can be evidenced through inflectional morphology; it concerns the structural form of a verb.”

Voice

The active voice and the passive voice are verb forms in the English language. In the active voice, the person or thing represented by the grammatical subject performs the action represented by the verb. However, in the passive voice, the grammatical subject of a verb is subjected to or affected by the action represented by that verb (*Merriam-Webster's collegiate dictionary*, 2004)

1.9 Summary

The present study employs methods of genre analysis, as a way of pinpointing communicative functions of Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of master's theses as integrated chapters. The investigated theses are in the hard sciences (fields of Chemistry and Physics) and the soft sciences (fields of English Language and Economics). The results of the study are anticipated to shed light on the rhetorical pattern of Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of master's theses. Investigating the grammatical properties of tense and voice is also another aim of the study. The comparison between the occurrences of moves and steps together with tenses and voices in the investigated chapters of hard and soft sciences can help educators to gain a better knowledge of the mentioned sciences. Furthermore, the results of the study can assist ESL teachers and supervisor to better evaluate and grade master students' writings. In the next chapter, the methodology and research design of this study are elaborated. Both quantitative and qualitative approaches are discussed to examine the rhetorical moves and steps and the used tenses and voices in Integrated Results and Discussion chapters of master's theses.

REFERENCES

- Acheson, C., & Bond, C. (2011). Writing a Literature Review. *Student Learning Centre, University of Otago*.
- Ahmad, J. (2012). Stylistic Features of Scientific English: A Study of Scientific Research Articles. *English Language and Literature Studies*, 2(1), 47–55.
- Aitchison, C. (2003). Thesis writing circles. *Hong Kong Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(2), 97–115.
- Al-Zubaidi, N. A. G. (2013). Analyzing the Rhetorical Structure of Linguistics Dissertation Abstracts Written by Iraqi EFL Graduates. *AL-USTATH*, (2)204, 1–32.
- Allison, D., Cooley, L., Lewkowicz, J., & Nunan, D. (1998). Dissertation writing in action: The development of a dissertation writing support program for ESL graduate research students. *English for Specific Purposes*, 17, 199–217.
- Alvin, L. P. (2014). The passive voice in scientific writing. The current norm in science journals. *Journal of Science Communication*, 13(1), A03.
- Arulandu, M. (2006). *A Genre Analysis of Masters and Doctoral Dissertation Introductions in the Sciences and Social Sciences*. Unpublished dissertation, University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Atai, M. R., & Falah, S. (2005). A contrastive genre analysis of result and discussion sections of applied linguistic research articles written by native and non-native English speakers with respect to evaluated entities and ascribed values. Retrieved August, 19, 2011.
- Baratta, A. M. (2009). Revealing stance through passive voice. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 41(7), 1406–1421.
- Basturkmen, H. (2012). A genre-based investigation of discussion sections of research articles in Dentistry and disciplinary variation. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 11(2), 134–144.
- Bazerman, C. (1994). Systems of genres and the enactment of social intentions. In A. Freedman & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the new rhetoric* (pp. 79–101). London: Taylor & Francis.
- Becher, T., & Trowler, P. R. (1989). *Academic Tribes and Territories Tony Becher and* (second edi.). The Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

- Belcher, D. (1994). The apprenticeship approach to advanced academic literacy: graduate students and their mentors. *English for Specific Purposes*, 13(1), 23–34.
- Berkenkotter, C., & Huckin, T. (1995). *Genre knowledge in disciplinary communication*. (L. Erlbaum., Ed.). Hillsdale, N.J.
- Berkenkotter, C., Huckin, T.N., & Ackerman, J. (1991). Social context and socially constructed texts. In C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (eds.), *Textual Dynamics of the Professions*, pp. 191-215. University of Wisconsin Press, Wisconsin.
- Bhatia, V. (1993). *Analysing Genre*. London and New York: Longman.
- Bhatia, V. (1999). Analyzing genre: An applied linguistic perspective. In *Keynote presentation at the Twelfth World Congress of Applied Linguistics, Tokyo, August*.
- Bhatia, V. (2000). Genres in Conflict. In Trosborg, Anna (ed.), *Analysing Professional Genres*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 147-162.
- Biber, D., Connor, U., & Upton, T. (2007). *Discourse on the move: Using corpus analysis to describe discourse structure*. John Benjamins Publishing.
- Bitchener, J., & Basturkmen, H. (2006). Perceptions of the difficulties of postgraduate L2 thesis students writing the discussion section. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 5, 4–8.
- Borg, E. (2003). discourse community. *Key Concepts in ELT*, 57(4), 398–400.
- Brett, P. (1994). A genre analysis of the results section of sociology articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 13(1), 47–59.
- Bruce, I. (2009). Results sections in sociology and organic chemistry articles: A genre analysis. *English for Specific Purposes*, 28(2), 105–124.
- Bunton, D. (1998). *Linguistic and textual problems in Ph.D and M.Phil theses*. University of Hong Kong.
- Bunton, D. (2002). Generic moves in Ph.D. thesis introductions. In J. Flowerdew (Ed.), *Academic discourse* (pp. 57-75). London: Pearson Education Limited.
- Bunton, D. (2005). The structure of PhD conclusion chapters. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4(3), 207–224.
- Burrough-Boenisch, J. (2003). Examining present tense conventions in scientific writing in the light of reader reactions to three Dutch-authored discussions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 22, 5–24.

- Calder, B., Phillips, L. & Tybout, A. (1982). The Concept of External Validity. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 9 (December), 240-244.
- Carter, R., & McCarthy, M. (2006). *Cambridge grammar of English: a comprehensive guide; spoken and written English grammar and usage*. Ernst Klett Sprachen.
- Celce-Murcia, M., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (1999). *The grammar book: An ESL/EFL teacher's course*. Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
- Cheng, Y. H. (2014). Dissertation grant proposals as "writing games": An exploratory study of two L2 graduate students' experiences. *English for Specific Purposes*, 36, 74-84.
- Crookes, G. (1986). Towards a validated analysis of scientific text structure. *Applied Linguistics*, 7(1), 57-70.
- Danaher, G., Schirato, T. & Webb, J. (2000). *Understanding Foucault*. London: Sage.
- Ding, H. (2007). Genre analysis of personal statements: Analysis of moves in application essays to medical and dental schools. *English for Specific Purposes*, 26(3), 368-392.
- Dong, Y. R. (1998). Non-native Graduate Students' Thesis/Dissertation Writing in Science: Self-reports by Students and Their Advisors from Two U.S. Institutions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 17(4), 369-390.
- Duderstadt, J. J. (2000). A choice of transformations for the 21st-century university. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 46, B6-B7.
- Dudley-Evans, T. (1986). Genre analysis: An investigation of the introduction and discussion sections of MSc dissertations. In M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Talking about text* (pp. 128-145). Birmingham: English Language Research, University of Birmingham.
- Dudley-Evans, T. (1991). Socialisation into the academic community: linguistic and stylistic expectations of Ph.D thesis as revealed by supervisor comments. In P. Adams, B. Heaton, & P. Howarth (Eds.), *Socio-cultural Issues in English for Academic Purposes* (pp. 41-51). London: Macmillan.
- Dudley-Evans, T. (1994). Genre analysis: An approach to text analysis for ESP. *Advances in written text analysis*, 219, 228.
- Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J. (1998). *Developments in English for specific purposes: A multi-disciplinary approach*. Cambridge university press.

- Ebrahimi, F., & Chan, C. S. H. (2012). Manifestation of Theme as a Point of Departure in the Result and Discussion Section of Academic Research Articles. In *Proceedings of the 7th Malaysia International Conference on Languages, Literatures, and Cultures* (pp. 1–6).
- Fairclough, N. (1989). *Language and Power*. Harlow: Longman.
- Fairclough, N. (2001). *Language and Power* (2nd ed.). Harlow: Pearson Education.
- Fallahi, M., & Erzi, M. (2003). Genre analysis in language teaching: An investigation of the structure of the discussion section of language-teaching-journal articles. *Iranian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 6(1), 69–81.
- Fontaine, L. (2012). *Analysing English grammar: A systemic functional introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures: selected essays*. New York: Basic Books.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1985). *An introduction to functional grammar*. Edward Arnold.
- Harris, Z. S. (1952). Discourse analysis: A sample text. *Language*, 28(4), 474-494.
- Hanania, E. A. S., & Akhtar, K. (1985). Verb form and rhetorical function in science: A study of MS theses in biology, chemistry and physics. *English for Specific Purposes*, 4(1985), 49–58.
- Hedges, L. V. (1987). How hard is hard science, how soft is soft science? *The empirical cumulativeness of research*. *American Psychologist*, 42(5), 443.
- Heslot, J. (1982). Tense and other indexical markers in the typology of scientific texts in English. In J. Høedt (Ed.), *Pragmatics and LSP: proceedings of the 3rd European symposium on LSP*, (pp. 83–104). Copenhagen, August 17-19, 1981. Copenhagen: Copenhagen School for Economics.
- Hinkel, E. (2004). Tense , aspect and the passive voice in L1 and L2 academic texts, 1, 5–29.
- Hirvela, A., & Du, Q. (2013). “Why am I paraphrasing?”: Undergraduate ESL writers' engagement with source-based academic writing and reading. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 12(2), 87-98.
- Hofstein, A. (2004). The laboratory in chemistry education: Thirty years of experience with developments, implementation, and research. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 5(3), 247-264.
- Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (2004). The laboratory in science education: Foundations for the twenty-first century. *Science Education*, 88(1), 28–54.

- Holmes, R. (1997). Genre analysis, and the social sciences: An investigation of the structure of research article discussion sections in three disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(4), 321–337.
- Hopkins, A., & Dudley-Evans, T. (1988). A genre-based investigation of the discussion sections in articles and dissertations. *English for Specific Purposes*, 7(2), 113–121.
- Hunston, S. (2002). *Corpora in applied linguistics*. Ernst Klett Sprachen.
- Hyland K. (2000). *Disciplinary Discourses. Social Interactions in Academic Writing*. Harlow: Longman.
- Hyland, K. (2002) Authority and invisibility: Authorial identity in academic writing. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 34, 1091-1112.
- Hyland, K. (2003). "Genre-based pedagogies: a social response to process". *Journal of Second Language Writing* 12: 17-29.
- Hyland, K. (2005). *Metadiscourse: Exploring Interaction in Writing*. London: Continuum.
- Ingthorsson, R. D. (2013). The natural vs. The human sciences: myth, methodology and ontology. *Discusiones Filosóficas*, 14(22), 25-41.
- Kanoksilapatham, B. (2003). *A Corpus-based investigation of scientific research articles: linking move analysis with multidimensional analysis*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Georgetown University, Washington, DC.
- Kanoksilapatham, B. (2005). Rhetorical structure of biochemistry research articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24(3), 269–292.
- Kanoksilapatham, B. (2007). Writing scientific research articles in Thai and English: Similarities and differences. *Silpakorn University International Journal*, 7, 172-203.
- Kennedy, G. (1998). *An introduction to corpus linguistics*. New York: Longman.
- Lackstrom, J., Selinker, L., & Trimble, L. (1973). Technical Rhetorical and Principles Grammatical Choice. *TESOL Quarterly*, 7(2), 127–136.
- Larsen-freeman, D., Kuehn, T., & Haccius, M. (1999). Helping Students Make Appropriate English Verb Tense-Aspect Choices. *TESOL Journal*, 11(4), 3–9.
- Lewkowicz, J. (2009). Concluding your master's level thesis. *Revista Canaria de Estudios Ingleses*, 59, 63-72.

- Li, Y. (2011). *A genre analysis of English and Chinese research article abstracts in linguistics and chemistry*. Retrieved from <http://sdsu-dspace.calstate.edu/handle/10211.10/1128>
- Lock, G. (1995). *Functional English Grammar: an Introduction for Second Language Teachers*. Cambridge Language Education.
- Malcolm, L. (1987). What rules govern tense usage in scientific articles? *English for Specific Purposes*, 6(1), 31–43.
- Maroko, G. M. (2009). Reflections on the genre-based approach to the teaching of thesis writing. In: Reinelt, R. (Ed.) (2009) *Into the Next Decade with (2nd) FL Teaching Rudolf Reinelt Research Laboratory EU Matsuyama, Japan*, p. 337-351.
- McCarthy, M. (1991). *Discourse analysis for language teachers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Merriam-Webster. (2004). *Merriam-Webster's collegiate dictionary*. Merriam-Webster.
- Nguyen, L. T. T., & Pramoolsook, I. (2015). Move analysis of results-discussion chapters in TESOL Master's theses written by Vietnamese students. *3L: Language, Linguistics and Literature, The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*. 21(2), 1-15.
- Nodoushan, S. MA. (2011). A Structural Move Analysis of Discussion Sub-genre in Applied Linguistics. In *International Conference on Languages, E-Learning and Romanian Studies* (pp. 1–12).
- Nodoushan, S. MA., & Montazeran, H.(2012). The book review genre: A structural move analysis. *International Journal of Language Studies*, 6(1), 1-30.
- Nunan, D. (1993). *Introducing Discourse Analysis*. Penguin English, Middlesex.
- Nwogu, K. N. (1991). Structure of Science Popularizations : A Genre Analysis Approach to the Schema of Popularized Medical Texts. *English for Specific Purposes*, 10, 111–123.
- Nwogu, K. (1997). The medical research paper: Structure and functions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(2), 119–138.
- Olson, D., & Filby, N. (1972). On the comprehension of active and passive sentences. *Cognitive Psychology* 3(3), 361–381.
- Oster, S. (1981). The use of tenses in reporting past literature. *English for academic and technical purposes*, 76-90.

- Paltridge, B. (2001). Linguistic research and EAP pedagogy. In J. Flowerdew, & M. Peacock (Eds.), *Research perspectives on English for academic purposes*. 55–70). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Paltridge, B. (2002). Thesis and dissertation writing: an examination of published advice and actual practice. *English for Specific Purposes*, 21(2), 125–143.
- Paltridge, B. (2006). *Discourse analysis: An introduction*. London: Continuum.
- Paltridge, B., & Starfield, S. (2007). *Thesis and dissertation writing in a second language*. Anthony Rowe Limited: Great Britain.
- Pho, P. D. (2008). Research article abstracts in applied linguistics and educational technology: a study of linguistic realizations of rhetorical structure and authorial stance. *Discourse Studies*, 10(2), 231–250.
- Posteguillo, S. (1999). The Schematic Structure of Computer Science Research Articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 18(2), 139–160.
- Prior, P. (1998) *Writing/Disciplinarity: A Sociohistoric Account of Literate Activity in the Academy*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (4th ed). (1996). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Ren, H., & Li, Y. (2011). A comparison study on the rhetorical moves of abstracts in published research articles and Master's foreign-language theses. *English Language Teaching*, 4(1), 162–166.
- Rhodes, S. (1997). *Active and passive voice are equally comprehensible in scientific writing*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Washington.
- Ridley, D. (2000). The different guises of a PhD thesis and the role of a literature review. In P. Thompson (Ed.), *Patterns and perspectives: Insights into EAP writing practice* (pp. 61–76). Reading, UK: University of Reading.
- Rose, S., & Morrison, G. R. (2004). *Experimental Research Methods*.
- Rudestam, K., & Newton, R. (2001) *Surviving your Dissertation: A Comprehensive Guide to Content and Process*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Saboori, F., & Hashemi, M. R. (2013). A cross-disciplinary move analysis of research Article abstracts. *International Journal of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics World*, 4(4), 2289-3245.
- Salager-Meyer, F. (1992). A Text-Type Tense and and Move Analysis Study of Verb Tense and Modality Distribution in Medical English Abstracts. *English for Specific Purposes*, 11, 93–113.

- Samah, B. A. (2010). *Exploring statistics using SPSS*. University Putra Malaysia.
- Samraj, B. (2008). A discourse analysis of master's theses across disciplines with a focus on introductions. *Journal of English for academic purposes*, 7(1), 55-67.
- Sargent, P. (1994). Design science or nonscience. *Design Studies*, 15(4), 389-402.
- Schiffrin, D., Tannen, D., & Hamilton, H. E. (2003). *The Handbook of Discourse Analysis*. (D. Schiffrin, D. Tannen, & H. E. Hamilton, Eds.). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Shaw, P. (1991). Science research students' composing processes. *English for Specific Purposes*, 1, 189-206.
- Shaw, P. (1992). Reasons for the Correlation of Voice, Tense, and Sentence Function in Reporting Verbs. *Applied Linguistics*, 13(3), 302-319.
- Skillen, J., & Purser, E. (2003). Teaching thesis writing: Policy and practice at an Australian university. *Special Issue of Hong Kong Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8, 17-33.
- Sime, R. J. (1990). Writing the report. In *Physical chemistry: Methods, techniques, and experiments*. 158-176. Philadelphia: Saunders College Publishing.
- Soleimani, K., & Soleimani, H. (2015). A Contrastive Study of Generic Organization of thesis Discussion Section Written by Iranian MA Students in the Field of TEFL and chemistry. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(3 S1), 206.
- Stoller, F., & Robinson, M. (2013). Chemistry journal articles: An interdisciplinary approach to move analysis with pedagogical aims. *English for Specific Purposes*, 32(1), 45-57.
- Strauss, P., Walton, J. A., & Madsen, S. (2003). "I don't have time to be an English teacher." Supervising the EAL thesis. *Hong Kong Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(2), 1-16.
- Swales, J. (1981). *Aspects of Article Introductions*. Birmingham, UK: The university of Aston, Language Studies Unit.
- Swales, J. (1990). *Genre Analysis*. Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. (2004). *Research genres: Exploration and applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J., & Feak, C. (1994). *Academic writing for graduate students: essential tasks and skills : a course for nonnative speakers of English*. University of Michigan Press.

- Swales, J., & Feak, C. (2004). Academic Writing for Graduate Students: Essential tasks and skills. *TESL-EJ*, 8(4).
- Tan, H. (2011). *Metadiscourse Features in the Persuasive Essays of Undergraduate Writers* (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia).
- Tannenbaum, P. H., & Williams. F. (1968). Generation of active and passive sentences as a function of subject or object focus. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 7, 246-50.
- Tarone, E., Dwyer, S., Gillette, S., & Icke, V. (1981). On the use of the passive in two astrophysics journal papers. *The ESP Journal*, 1(2), 123-140.
- Tarone, E., Dwyer, S., Gillette, S., & Icke, V. (1998). On the use of the passive and active voice in astrophysics journal papers: With extensions to other languages and other fields. *English for Specific Purposes*, 17(1), 113–132.
- Tasić, S., & Feruh, M. B. (2012). Errors and Issues in Secondary Data Used in Marketing Research. *Socioeconomica*, 1(2), 326–335.
- Taylor, V. (2001). *Tense Usage in Academic Writing: A Cross-Disciplinary Study*. Unpublished dissertations. University of Victoria.
- Thompson, D. K. (1993). Arguing for experimental ‘fact’ in science: A study of research article results sections in Biochemistry. *Written Communication*, 10(1), 106-128.
- Thompson, P. (1999). Issues in EAP writing research and instruction. *Reading: CALS, The University of Reading*.
- Thompson, P. (2001). *A pedagogically-motivated corpus-based examination of PhD theses: Macrostructure, citation practices and uses of modal verbs*. Doctoral dissertation. University of Reading.
- Thompson, P. (2005). Points of focus and position: Intertextual reference in PhD theses. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4(4), 307–323.
- Thompson, P. (2012). Thesis and Dissertation Writing. In B. Paltridge & S. Starfield (Eds.), *Handbook of English for Specific Purposes*. Boston: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Tseng, F. P. (2011). Analyses of move structure and verb tense of research article abstracts in applied linguistics. *International journal of English linguistics*, 1(2), 27.
- Tunnel, G. (1977). Three Dimensions of Naturalness: An Expanded Definition of Field Research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 84, 426-77.

- Turner, J. (2003). Writing a PhD in the contemporary humanities. *Hong Kong Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(2), 34-53.
- Van Dijk, T. A. (1977). *Text and Context: Explorations in the Semantics and Pragmatics of Discourse*. London: Longman.
- Watson, R. A. (1992). *Writing philosophy. A guide to professional writing and publishing*. Carbondale and Edwardsville: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Weissberg, R., & Buker, S. (1990). *Writing up research: Experimental research report writing for students of English*. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Retrieved from <http://www.getcited.org/pub/102920611>
- Yang, R., & Allison, D. (2003). Research articles in applied linguistics: moving from results to conclusions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 22(4), 365–385.
- Yang, R., & Edwards, C. (1995). Problems and solutions for trainee teachers reading academic articles in English. *Reading and writing: theory into practice (Anthology series 35)*, 366-382.
- Yannuar, N., Shitadev, I. A., Basthomi, Y., & Widiati, U. (2014). Active and Passive Voice Constructions by Indonesian Student Writers. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 4(7), 1400–1408.
- Zhang, L. (2012). Summary of Four Areas in Genre Studies. *US-China Foreign Language*, 10(5), 1154–1158.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Shirian Dastjerdi, Z., Tan, H., & Abdullah, A.N. (2017). Tense analysis in rhetorical movement of results and discussion chapters of master's theses in hard sciences. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, 4(5), 1-18.
- Shirian Dastjerdi, Z., Tan, H., & Abdullah, A.N. (2017). Rhetorical structure of integrated results and discussion chapter in master's dissertations across disciplines. *Discourse & Interaction*, 10(2).





UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : _____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

RHETORICAL MOVES WITH TENSE AND VOICE ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS AND
DISCUSSION CHAPTER IN MASTER THESES ACROSS SCIENCES

NAME OF STUDENT: ZAHRA SHIRIAN DASTJERDI

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (✓)

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]