

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

ASSESSMENT OF VEGETABLE FARMERS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS CERTIFICATION SCHEME FOR MALAYSIAN GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES

ELINA W. GOM

FP 2016 68



ASSESSMENT OF VEGETABLE FARMERS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS CERTIFICATION SCHEME FOR MALAYSIAN GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES

By

ELINA W. GOM

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Masters of Science

February 2016

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATIONS

To the loving memory of my beloved Grandma Kibabaig, I wish you were here to see this.

To my amazing parents, Thank you for your endless love and support.

6

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

ASSESSMENT OF VEGETABLE FARMERS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS CERTIFICATION SCHEME FOR MALAYSIAN GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES

By

ELINA W. GOM

February 2016

Chairman: Golnaz Rezai, PhD

Faculty: Agriculture

Farmers are the main focus in ensuring the vegetables in our country are at its best quality and is safe to be consumed. The nutritional facts of vegetables which entail health benefits to consumers have led to an increase in the demand for fresh quality vegetables. However, foodborne illness outbreaks and environmental abuse due to the use of excessive pesticides have caused not just consumers but also the government to become increasingly concerned about vegetable safety and quality. Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) is the answer to this crisis. GAP emphasizes on environment, economy and social aspects of farming to ensure that the products are safe to consume and the farming operations are sustainable, worker friendly and environmentally safe. In Malaysia, the government introduced GAP standards namely Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP) in order to recognize fruits and vegetable farms that adopt GAP. Along with this, the government has also been providing non-mandatory training and courses, having extensions, giving promotions and exhibitions to attract farmers to the MyGAP certification scheme. However, despite all efforts to encourage the farmers to get the certification, the uptake among Malaysian farmers is still low and slow. Thus this study intends to uncover the underlying factors that could influence vegetable farmers' assigned values and consequently their attitudes towards good agricultural practices under the MyGAP certification scheme.

A survey was conducted in Peninsular Malaysia where 263 respondents were interviewed through a structured questionnaire. The study is made up of two groups of respondents: (1) Vegetable farmers with MyGAP; and (2) vegetable farmers without MyGAP. An Assigned Value Model was employed in the study and descriptive analysis; chi-square analysis; independent samples *t*-test; as well as multiple regression were used to analyze the collected data.

The results suggested that vegetable farmers with MyGAP and without MyGAP are significantly different in terms of their attitude, underlying values, assigned values,

knowledge and personal norms. In addition, it was also found that there is a significant association between the farmers' demographic profiles and MyGAP endorsement. Underlying values, knowledge and personal norms were found to have a significant relationship with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP; which then leads to a significant relationship of assigned values and knowledge in shaping their attitudes. While for the farmers without MyGAP, underlying values, knowledge, personal norms and perceived barriers were found to have a significant relationship with the assigned values of a significant relationship of assigned values and perceived barriers were found to have a significant relationship with the assigned values and perceived barriers in shaping the attitude, whereas knowledge did not show any significant relationship with the attitude of vegetable farmers without MyGAP.

To move forward, policy makers should consider a number of principles to make this scheme worthwhile. Focusing profoundly on the values, self-standards, level of knowledge, and the barriers that prevent the farmers in participating in this scheme in order expand the attitude of the farmers in building a strong and convincing foundation for Malaysia to increase vegetable safety and quality in the future.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Sarjana Sains

PENILAIAN SIKAP PELADANG SAYUR-SAYURAN TERHADAP SKIM AMALAN LADANG BAIK MALAYSIA

Oleh

ELINA W. GOM

February 2016

Pengerusi: Golnaz Rezai, PhD

Fakulti: Pertanian

Fakta mengenai sayur-sayuran yang berkhasiat menjanjikan pengguna kesihatan yang baik telah menyebabkan peningkatan terhadap permintaan sayur-sayuran segar dan berkualiti. Walau bagaimanapun, wabak penyakit bawaan makanan dan pencemaran alam sekitar disebabkan oleh penggunaan racun perosak yang berlebihan telah menyebabkan bukan sahaja pengguna malahan juga kerajaan menjadi semakin bimbang tentang keselamatan, kualiti sayur-sayuran dan kemesraan alam sekitar. Oleh itu, Amalan Pertanian Baik (GAP) yang memberi penekanan kepada alam sekitar, ekonomi dan aspek-aspek sosial pertanian dalam memastikan produk yang selamat dimakan, kemapanan pertanian, mesra pekerja dan mesra alam bagi pekerja, adalah jawapan untuk menyelesaikan krisis ini. Di Malaysia, kerajaan telah memperkenal Skim Pensijilan Amalan Pertanian Baik (MyGAP) untuk mengenali buah-buahan dan sayur-sayuran yang dianugerahkan oleh GAP. Bersamaan dengan ini, kerajaan telah menyediakan latihan bukan mandatori dan kursus yang mempunyai sambungan serta memberikan promosi dan pameran untuk menarik petani berhubung skim pensijilan MyGAP. Walau bagaimanapun, segala usaha untuk menggalakkan petani untuk mendapatkan pensijilan dalam kalangan petani Malaysia masih rendah dan lambat.

Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mendedahkan faktor-faktor yang boleh mempengaruhi nilai-nilai pekebun sayuran dan seterusnya sikap mereka terhadap amalan ladang baik di bawah pensijilan MyGAP. Satu kajian telah dijalankan di Semenanjung Malaysia di mana 263 responden telah ditemubual melalui soal selidik berstruktur untuk mengumpul maklumat mereka. Terdapat dua kumpulan kajian yang telah dibincangkan : (1) petani sayur-sayuran dengan MyGAP ; dan (2) sayur-sayuran tanpa MyGAP. *Assigned Value Model* digunakan dalam kajian ini dan analisis deskriptif; sampel bebas ujian-*t*; analisis *chi-square* serta analisi regrasi telah digunakan untuk menganalisis data yang dikumpul.

Keputusan mencadangkan bahawa petani sayur-sayuran dengan MyGAP dan tanpa MyGAP adalah jauh berbeza dari segi sikap mereka, nilai-nilai asas, nilai-nilai yang ditetapkan, pengetahuan dan norma peribadi. Di samping itu, terdapat hubungan yang signifikan diantara profil demografi petani dan pengesahan MyGAP. Hal ini turut mencadangkan tiga komponen iaitu nilai-nilai asas, pengetahuan dan norma peribadi yang mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan nilai-nilai yang ditetapkan oleh petani sayur-sayuran terhadap MyGAP. Kemudiannya, membawa kepada hubungan yang signifikan antara nilai-nilai yang ditetapkan dan pengetahuan dalam membentuk sikap mereka. Selain itu, bagi petani tanpa MyGAP, adalah dicadangkan bahawa empat komponen iaitu nilai-nilai asas, pengetahuan, norma peribadi dan persepsi halangan mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan nilai-nilai yang ditetapkan kepada petani. Kemudiannya, membawa kepada hubungan yang signifikan dengan nilai-nilai yang ditetapkan kepada petani. Kemudiannya, membawa kepada hubungan yang signifikan dengan nilai-nilai yang ditetapkan kepada petani. Kemudiannya, membawa kepada hubungan yang signifikan antara nilai-nilai yang ditetapkan kepada petani. Kemudiannya, membawa kepada hubungan yang signifikan antara nilai-nilai yang ditetapkan dan persepsi halangan di dalam membentuk sikap, manakala pengetahuan tidak menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan terhadap sikap petani sayur-sayuran tanpa MyGAP.

Pembuat dasar perlu mengambil kira beberapa prinsip untuk membuat skim ini dalam maju kehadapan. Dengan memberi tumpuan kepada nilai-nilai, piawaian dalam diri, tahap pengetahuan, dan halangan petani untuk menyertai skim ini dalam meningkatkan sikap petani untuk membina asas yang kukuh. Hal ini dapat menyakinkan Malaysia dalam meningkatkan keselamatan dan kualiti sayur-sayuran pada masa akan datang.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The process of earning a Master's Degree and writing a dissertation is challenging and arduous. I couldn't have done it alone, not without God's grace and never-ending blessings that He showers me with wonderful people around me. Thank you, Jesus

My deep love and appreciation goes to my awesome supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Golnaz Rezai for her genuine guidance, momentous moral support, special concern and memorable advice academically and personally. Astoundingly, my supervisor has molded me into a better person in life. To my virtuous committee member, Prof. Dr. Zainal Abidin Mohamed, a million thanks I would say, for the supervision, teaching, advice, constructive comments, and support throughout this study. Profoundly, they are not only mentors to me but my parents in this faculty. Not forgetting Prof. Datuk Dr. Mad Nasir Shamsudin, I am really grateful for all his guidance and unlimited support.

I would also like to thank the officers of Department of Agriculture for their suggestion and help in this study as well as my team-mates Ting and especially Yahya, for the boundless help in completing this thesis.

I would also like to acknowledge with much appreciation to all my CSSUPM family, especially, Dr. Kenny Teoh for his love and care, Jenna for her prayers, Alex for his kindness, not forgetting Valerie and Adrianna for their company. The love that they share throughout my journey in UPM is priceless.

To my seniors and friends of JPSM Bilik Siswazah One, you know who you are; I really appreciate their unlimited help with my thesis. I couldn't have done it without you girls!

Mummy, Papa, Johan, Shaan and Eliza, I love you all and you are the reason I've made it this far. From the bottom of my heart, I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to all those who provide me the possibility to complete this thesis, both directly and indirectly, mentally and emotionally. To my late Mama Kibabaig, I dedicate this to you. I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 22 June 2015 to conduct the final examination of ELINA W. GOM on his thesis entitled "ASSESSMENT OF VEGETABLE FARMERS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS MALAYSIAN CERTIFICATION SCHEME OF GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES (MyGAP)" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

...., PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

PhD Senior Lecturer Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

....,PhD Title Name of Department Country (External Examiner)

NORITAH OMAR

Assoc. Professor, PhD Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Golnaz Rezai, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairperson)

Zainal Abidin Mohamed, PhD

Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:
Name and Matric No.:	

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

C

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of	
Committee:	
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi

CHAPTER

1

2

INTR	ODUCTION	
1.1	Global Vegetable Sector Scenario	1.1
1.2	An Overview of Malaysian Vegetable Sector	1.4
1.3	Usage of Fertilizers and Pesticides in	1.8
	Malaysian Vegetable Farming Systems	
1.4	Demand of Safe and Quality Vegetable	1.10
	Produce	
1.5	Food Safety and Quality Assurance Concern	1.12
1.6	Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) in	1.13
	Malaysia – Crop Sector	
1.7	Malaysian GAP in G.L.O.B.A.LGAP and	1.15
	ASEAN GAP	
1.8	Malaysian Certification Scheme for Good	1.16
	Agricultural Practices (MyGAP)	
1.9	MyGAP Application Processes	1.18
1.10	Farmers and MyGAP	1.21
1.11	Problem Statement	1.24
1.12	Objectives of Study	1.25
1.13	Significance of Study	1.26
1.14	Organization of Thesis	1.26
LITE	RATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Food Quality, Safety and Environmental	2.1
	Certification	
2.2	Farmers' adoption and implementation	2.2
	choices	
2.3	Farmers' attitude	2.4
2.4	Underlying Values	2.5
2.5	Assigned Values	2.6
2.6	Farmers' Knowledge on Agriculture	2.6
2.7	Personal Norms	2.7
2.8	Barriers towards Certification Compliance	2.8
2.9	Existing Approaches to Explore Values and	2.8
• • •	Attitudes	– – –
2.10	Chapter Summary	2.15

METHODOLOGY

3.1	Concep	tual Framework	3.1
3.2	Sources	s of Data	3.4
3.3	Data Co	ollection	3.5
	3.3.1	Questionnaire Design	3.5
	3.3.2	Study Location	3.6
	3.3.3	Sampling Frame and Techniques	3.7
3.4	Pilot St	udy	3.8
3.5	Data A	nalysis	3.8
	3.5.1	Reliability Test	3.8
	3.5.2	Descriptive Analysis	3.9
	3.5.3	Chi-Square Analysis	3.9
	3.5.4	Independent Samples T-Test	3.10
	3.5.5	Multiple Regression Analysis	3.12
3.6	Summa	ry of Chapter Three	3.14
RESU	LTS AN	D DISCUSSION	
4.1	Reliabi	lity Test	4.1
4.2	Analysi	is of Socio-demographic Background	4.1
	of Resp	ondents	
	4.2.1	Socio Demographic Background of	4.2
		Vegetable Farmers with MyGAP	
		accreditation	
	4.2.2	Socio Demographic Background of	4.8
		Vegetable Farmers without	
		MyGAP Accreditation	
	4.2.3	Frequency Analysis of Attitude,	4.13
		Underlying Values, Assigned	
		Values, Knowledge and Personal	
		Norms of Farmers with MyGAP	
	4.2.4	Frequency Analysis of Attitude,	4.24
		Underlying Values, Assigned	
		Values, Knowledge, Personal	
		Norms and Barriers of Farmers	
		without MyGAP	
4.3	Associa	ation of Farmers MyGAP endorsement	4.37
	and the	ir Demographic Background	
4.4	Differe	nces between Respondents with	4.38
	MyGA	P and without MyGAP	1.00
	4.4.1	Differences on attitude between	4.38
		farmers with MyGAP and without	
	4.4.0	MyGAP	4 20
	4.4.2	bilierences on underlying values	4.39
		between farmers with MyGAP and	
	4 4 2	WILLOUT MYGAP	1 20
	4.4.3	bilierences on assigned values	4.39
		between farmers with MyGAP and	

xi

	4.4.4	Differences on knowledge between farmers with MyGAP and without	4.40
	4.4.5	Differences on personal norms between farmers with MyGAP and without MyGAP	4.40
4.5	Analysis	of Multiple Regression	4.41
	4.5.1	Assigned Values of Vegetable	4.41
		Farmers with MyGAP	
	4.5.2	Attitude of vegetable farmers with	4.43
		MyGAP	
	4.5.3	Assigned values of vegetable	4.44
		farmers without MyGAP	
	4.5.4	Attitude of vegetable farmers	4.45
		without MyGAP	
4.5	Chapter	Summary	4.46
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND			
DEGO			

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

5

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

	5.1	Summary	5.1
	5.2	Policy Recommendations	5.3
	5.3	Research Limitation	5.5
	5.4	Recommendation for Future Research	5.5
	5.5	Conclusion	5.6
REFERENCES			R.1
APPENDIX A1			A.1
APPENDIX A2			A.2
BIODATA OF S	TUDEN	ТТ	B.1

B.2

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Nutritional facts of vegetables	1.2
1.2	Top five countries that consume the most vegetables, 2008	1.4
1.3	Top five countries that import the most vegetables, 2005	1.4
1.4	Numbers of Vegetable Farmers, Malaysia, 2014	1.5
1.5	Vegetable Planted Area and Production, Malaysia, 2009-2013	1.6
1.6	Vegetable Planted Area and Production based on states, 2009-2013	1.6
1.7	Vegetable Trading, Malaysia, 2008-2011	1.7
1.8	Logo of Malaysian farm certification schemes	1.14
1.9	GLOBALG.A.P certified farms in selected countries, 2009-2012	1.15
1.10	Current alignment of national GAP Programs with ASEAN GAP	1.16
1.11	Numbers of Vegetable Farmers with MyGAP, Malaysia, 2014	1.24
3.1	The guidelines proposed by Cohen (1988) for interpreting eta squared value	3.12
4.1	Socio-demographic background of respondents with MyGAP	4.2
4.2	Distribution of respondents with MyGAP based on location	4.4
4.3	Farm profile of respondents with MyGAP	4.5
4.4	Type of vegetables planted in farm	4.6
4.5	Vegetables Selling Methods (with MyGAP)	4.6
4.6	Period of respondents' farm accredited with MyGAP	4.7
4.7	Reason of respondents comply to MyGAP	4.7
4.8	Respondents' opinion on opening and return cost after the accreditation of MyGAP	4.8
4.9	Socio-demographic background of respondents without MyGAP	4.9
4.10	Distribution of respondents without MyGAP based on location	4.10
4.11	Farm profile without MyGAP	4.11
4.12	Type of vegetables planted in farm (without MyGAP)	4.11
4.13	Vegetables Selling Methods (without MyGAP)	4.12
4.14	Farmers and MyGAP Application Status	4.12
4.15	Farmers' Attitude towards MyGAP (Group 1)	4.14
4.16	Farmers' Underlying Values for MyGAP (Group 1)	4.17
4.17	Farmers' Assigned Values for My GAP (Group 1)	4.19
4.18	Farmers' Knowledge of MyGAP (Group 1)	4.21
4.19	Farmers' Personal Norms of MyGAP (Group 1)	4.23
4.20	Attitude of Farmers without MyGAP (Group 2)	4.25
4.21	Farmers' Underlying Values towards MyGAP (Group 2)	4.27
4.22	Farmers' Assigned Values towards MyGAP (Group 2)	4.29
4.23	Farmers' Knowledge towards MyGAP (Group 2)	4.31
4.24	Farmers' Personal Norms of MyGAP (Group 2)	4.33

 4.25 Farmers' Perceived Barriers for MyGAP (Group 2) 4.26 Association between demographic factors and MyGAP endorsement 4.27 Differences on attitude of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.28 Differences on underlying values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.29 Differences on assigned values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.30 Differences on knowledge of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.31 Differences on personal norms of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.32 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 	
 4.26 Association between demographic factors and MyGAP endorsement 4.27 Differences on attitude of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.28 Differences on underlying values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.29 Differences on assigned values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.30 Differences on knowledge of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.31 Differences on personal norms of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.32 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of 	4.36
 4.27 Differences on attitude of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.28 Differences on underlying values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.29 Differences on assigned values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.30 Differences on knowledge of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.31 Differences on personal norms of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.32 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of 	4.38
 4.28 Differences on underlying values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.29 Differences on assigned values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.30 Differences on knowledge of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.31 Differences on personal norms of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.32 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of 	4.39
 4.29 Differences on assigned values of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.30 Differences on knowledge of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.31 Differences on personal norms of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.32 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of 	4.39
 4.30 Differences on knowledge of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.31 Differences on personal norms of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.32 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of 	4.40
 4.31 Differences on personal norms of vegetable farmers with and without MyGAP 4.32 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 	4.40
 4.32 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of 	4.41
 4.33 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of vegetable farmers with MyGAP 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of 	4.42
 4.34 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the assigned values of vegetable farmers without MyGAP 4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of 	4.43
4.35 Multiple regressions analysis of the independent variables with the attitude of	4.45
vegetable farmers without MyGAP	4.46

 \bigcirc

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Status of Vegetable Supply in the World (kg/ capita/ year)	1.3
1.2	Vegetable consumption (kg per capita per year), Malaysia, 2009-2013	1.7
1.3	Fertilizer consumption (kg/hectare of arable land), Malaysia, 2011-2013	1.9
1.4	Incidence rates of food and waterborne diseases, Malaysia, 2010-2013	1.11
1.5	Malaysian Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practices Logo	1.18
1.6	MyGAP Application Procedure	1.20
1.7	MyGAP's Facebook Page	1.22
1.8	Differences in price between normal vegetable and MyGAP vegetable	1.23
2.1	Schwartz Theory of Basic Value	2.10
2.2	Value-Belief-Norm Theory	2.11
2.3	Assigned Value Model	2.13
2.4	Hypothesized relationships between various factors contributing to attitudes (adopted and modified from Seymour et al., 2010)	2.14
2.5	The influence of the Values and Knowledge on Attitude (adopted and modified from Seymour et al., 2010)	2.14
3.1	Conceptual Framework of Assigned Value Model with Application for Vegetable Farmers' Attitude with MyGAP	3.3
3.2	Conceptual Framework of Assigned Value Model with Application for Vegetable Farmers' Attitude without MyGAP	3.4
3.3	Location of study with the most populated vegetable farmers in selected states among Peninsular Malaysia	3.6
4.1	Relationships of Knowledge, Underlying Values and Personal Norms with Assigned Values of Farmers with MyGAP	4.42
4.2	Relationships of Assigned Values and Knowledge with Attitude of Farmers with MyGAP	4.43
4.3	Relationships of Knowledge, Underlying Values, Personal Norms and Perceived Barriers with Assigned Values of Farmers without MyGAP	4.44
4.4	Relationships of Knowledge, Assigned Values, Personal Norms and Perceived Barriers with Attitude of Farmers without MyGAP	4.45

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASEAN GAP	ASEAN Good Agricultural Practices
DEFRA	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DOA	Department of Agriculture Malaysia
DSM	Department of Statistic Malaysia
ETP	Economic Transformation Programme
FAMA	Federal Agricultural and Marketing Authority (Malaysia)
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FAOSTAT	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation
	Statistic
GAP	Good Agricultural Practices
GAP-VF	Good Agricultural Practices for Vegetable Farming
GLOBALG.A.P	Global Good Agricultural Practices
GMP	Good Manufacturing Practices
HACCP	Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
MARDI	Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development
MOA	Ministry of Agriculture Malaysia
MOH	Ministry of Health Malaysia
MyGAP	Malaysian Good Agricultural Practices Certification Scheme
NAP	National Agricultural Policy
NOISH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
PMA	Produce Marketing Association
SOM	Malaysian Organic Scheme
WHO	World Health Organization
WWF	World Wildlife Fund

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter provides a brief introduction on the increasing vegetable demand among consumers and the demand for quality and safe vegetable produce. It also traces the significance of food safety assurances in providing quality and safe agricultural produce and the importance of compliance with good agricultural practices (GAP) at the farm level. The histories of GAP will also be discussed and how Malaysia has been practicing its GAP standards, particularly, Malaysian Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP). The problem statement and the objectives of the study were then presented followed by an outline structure of this thesis.

1.1 Global Vegetable Sector Scenario

Vegetable consumption has shown to be an important part of any diet leaning towards good health. It plays a vital role in providing a diversified and nutritious diet. Like fruits, vegetables are low in calories and fats, rich in vitamins, dietary fiber, minerals and antioxidants which serve an array of important functions in the body(American Public Health Association, 2014). Table 1.1 shows some nutritional facts about vegetables that were reviewed by Dr. Kathleen Romito from Healthwise (2013), WHO (2003a) and MOH (2010).

Nutrient	Function	Sources
Vitamin B2	Energy metabolism; important for normal vision and skin health	Leafy green vegetables
Vitamin B3	Energy metabolism; important for nervous system, digestive system and skin health.	Leafy green vegetables, mushrooms and asparagus
Vitamin B6	Protein metabolism; helps make red blood cells	All types of vegetables
Folic acid	Needed for making DNA and new cells, especially red blood cells	Leafy green vegetables
Vitamin C	Antioxidant; protein metabolism; important for immune system health; aids in iron absorption	Cabbage family, peppers, tomatoes, potatoes, lettuce
Vitamin A	Needed for vision, healthy skin and mucous membranes, bone and tooth growth, immune system health	Leafy, dark green vegetables, carrots, winter squash, sweet potatoes, pumpkins
Vitamin E	Antioxidant; protects cell walls	Leady green vegetables
Vitamin K	Needed for proper blood clotting	Leafy green vegetables, especially vegetables in the cabbage family

Table 1.1: Nutritional facts of vegetables

(Source: FAO, 2003; WHO, 2003a; MOH, 2010; Healthwise, 2013)

Vegetable consumption has shown to be an important part of any diet leading towards good health (National Obesity Observatory, 2011). Up to 2.7 million lives could be saved annually with sufficient fruit and vegetable consumption (WHO, 2003a). Due to these nutritional facts about vegetables (Table 1.1), they are known as a preventer for some of the world's most widespread and debilitating nutritional disorders (FAO, 2003). Convincing scientific evidence has shown that high intake of vegetable has a protective effect against cardiovascular diseases (Lock *et al.*, 2005), stroke (He *et al.*, 2006), cancers (Lock *et al.*, 2005; Gadini *et al.*, 2000; World Cancer Research Fund, 2007) and metabolic syndrome (Ford *et al.*, 2003). On the other hand, low vegetable intake is a major contributing factor to such micronutrient deficiencies. It is estimated that about 19% of gastrointestinal cancer, 31% of ischemic heart disease and 11% of stroke worldwide are caused from the low intake of vegetables and fruits (WHO, 2003a).

Nevertheless, of all dietary factors in diseases prevention, the most abundant evidence is related to the protective effect of fruit and vegetable consumption (WHO, 2003b). The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) informative fruit and vegetable handbook which reviews all studies on fruit and vegetables and cancer, estimates that cancer incidence could decrease by 5 to 12 percent with increased fruit and vegetable consumption (IARC, 2003). The report specified that vegetables have: (1) a protective effect against the risk of cancers of the oesophagus, breast, lung, stomach, and colorectum and (2) no significant protective effect against the risk of cancers of the mouth and pharynx, larynx or bladder. Apart from that, FAO and WHO (2004) strongly suggested a potential reduction in the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus through vegetable

consumption. They also reported that diets rich in vegetables significantly reduce risks of ischemic heart disease and stroke.

Vegetable is an important part of the daily diet due to the bountiful benefits of minerals and vitamins that can be found in it (Better Health Channel, 2011). About 67 percent of people are now aware of the importance of eating at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables per day (Food Standard Agency, 2006). It was also reported that the number of consumers who claim to be eating more vegetables and salads have increased. With this kind of demand, it is essential to ensure the stability of vegetable supply (FAO, 2007b).Global trends in the production and supply of vegetables indicate that the current production and consumption vary widely among regions, as presented in Figure 1.1. In 2007, the global annual average per capita vegetable supply was 119.53 kg, with the highest level in Asia with 143.68 kg, and the lowest levels in Africa with 57.49 kg (FAOSTAT, 2011).



Figure 1.1: Status of Vegetable Supply in the World (kg/ capita/ year) (Source: FAOSTAT, 2011)

The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) stated that the increase in vegetable consumption has become a global priority (FAO, 2003). Emerging developments in China's vegetable trade indicates how foreign producers could see increased competition from world's largest producer. Despite that, China has also been ranked as one of the top five countries consuming the most vegetables worldwide. Table 1.2 shows the per capita average consumption of vegetables for the year 2008. According to Table 1.2, the world's largest vegetable consumption is Greece with 257.0 kg per capita per year in 2008; followed by South Korea (249.8 kg per capita per year); Turkey (237.9 kg per capita per year); Jordan (215.5 kg per capita per year) and China (212.5 kg per capita per year).

	Country	Vegetable Consumption (per capita)
1	Greece	257.0 kg
2	South Korea	249.8 kg
3	Turkey	237.9 kg
4	Jordan	215.5 kg
5	China	212.5 kg

Table 1.2: Top five countries that consume the most vegetables, 2008

(Source: Euro Monitor International, 2013)

Increasing consumption and demand have resulted in higher demand for import in several countries. Referring to Table 1.3, the country with the largest import of vegetable is Germany with 3.8 million US dollar. According to FAO (2013), Germany is a country with the longest tradition in organic farming and marketing of healthy products. Being one of the largest importers, Germany is also one of the biggest importers of safe and quality food products (i.e. organic vegetables) and the most popular vegetable in Germany are potatoes (61 kg per capita) in 2012 (PMA, 2014). The second largest importer of vegetables is France (2.3 million US dollar), followed by Japan (1.7 million US dollar); Canada (1.6 million US dollar) and Italy (1.2 million US dollar). In the United States, fresh vegetables importation has increased from 8 percent in the 1980 to 25 percent in 2010 (Southeast Farm Press, 2015).

Table 1.5. Top five countries that import the most vegetables, 200	Table 1	1.3: T	op five	countries	that im	port the	most	vegetables,	2005
--	---------	--------	---------	-----------	---------	----------	------	-------------	------

	Country	Imported Vegetables (USD '000)
1	Germany	3,838,560
2	France	2,277,942
3	Japan	1,731,342
4	Canada	1,556,223
5	Italy	1,173,240

(Source: Index Mundi, 2015)

High-income countries like the United States, Europe Union, Japan and Canada, are known as a vast majority in the vegetable trade, as well as for its growth. The Europe Union and United States, in particular, are the largest traders in the global market of fruits and vegetables (USDA, 2004).

1.2 An Overview of Malaysian Vegetable Sector

Vegetable farming is an important industry in the Malaysian food production sector. Department of Agriculture (2014) reported a total of 46,040 vegetable farmers in Malaysia and about 59 percent of them were from Peninsular Malaysia. Sarawak seems to have the most populated vegetable farmers with 11,920 farmers and Kelantan has the most populated vegetable farmers around Peninsular Malaysia (11,300 farmers). The second most populated vegetable farmers in Peninsular Malaysia is Johor with 4,920

farmers, followed by Pahang (2,350); Terengganu (1,890 farmers); Kedah (1,820 farmers); Perak (1,150 farmers); Selangor (1,030 farmers) and Negeri Sembilan with 820 farmers (Table 1.4). Economic Transformation Programme (ETA) targets increasing the productivity in temperate vegetables farming by more than 40 percent, through better infrastructure, management techniques and technology. This will enable the participated farmers to double their monthly income(MOA, 2010).

State	Vegetable Farmers	
Johor	4,920	
Kedah	1,820	
Kelantan	11,300	
Melaka	620	
Negeri Sembilan	820	
Pahang	2,350	
Perak	1,150	
Perlis	140	
Pulau Pinang	960	
Selangor	1,030	
Terengganu	1,890	
Peninsular Malaysia	27,000	
Sabah	7,080	
Sarawak	11,920	
Wilayah Persekutuan Labuan	40	
Malaysia	46,040	

Table 1.4: Numbers of Vegetable Farmers, Malaysia, 2014

(Source: DOA, 2013)

The DOS (2013b) also reported that about 41,078 hectares of vegetable was grown with a total production of 540,746 metric tons in 2009 which has increase to about 23,589 hectares with total production of 796,016 metric tons of vegetables in 2013 (Table 1.5). Peninsular Malaysia itself has covered 84 percent to 86 percent of the total Malaysian vegetable plantation areas and contributed around 87 percent to 91 percent of the total vegetable production. Value of vegetables production in Malaysia increase by about 54.60 percent from 2009 to 2013 (DOS, 2013b).

	Item	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
1	Plantation Area (Ha) Peninsular Malaysia	34,489	45,378	43,654	45,833	46,062
	Malaysia	41,078	52,793	51,777	53,322	53,589
2	Production (metric tonnes) Peninsular Malaysia	540,746	784,194	833,432	798,056	796,016
	Malaysia	623,457	871,630	928,183	878,975	883,370
3	Production Value (RM '000)	1,594,792	2,139,347	2,581,546	2,444,684	2,456,908

Table 1.5: Vegetable Planted Area and Production, Malaysia, 2009-2013

(Source:Department of Statistic (2013b)

Table 1.6 shows the planting areas and production of vegetables by states in Peninsular Malaysia from 2011 to 2013. Pahang has the largest planted area of vegetables in Malaysia with a total of 15,884 hectare in 2013 and gross production of 423,114 metric tonnes (Table 1.6). Its covers around 30 percent of the national hectares and about 51 percent of the total vegetable production in Malaysia. The second largest planted area of vegetables is Johor with 10,344 hectare and a gross production of 137,661 metric tonnes in the same year.

	2011		20	2012		2013	
State	Plantation Area (Ha)	Production (mt)	Plantation Area (Ha)	Production (mt)	Plantation Area (Ha)	Production (mt)	
Johor	10,114	132,804	10,292	136,976	10,344	137,661	
Kedah	827	9,548	1,001	12,191,	1,006	12,252	
Kelantan	3,123	64,029	2,826	54,191	2,847	54,462	
Melaka	1,288	27,834	1,346	28,309	1,358	28,450	
Negeri Sembilan	2,322	27,438	2,394	21,473	<mark>2,40</mark> 6	21,580	
Pahang	16,582	455,740	15,805	421,009	15,884	423,114	
Perak	3,169	30,972	4,567	31,170	4,590	31,326	
Perlis	237	2,847	289	2,605	291	2,618	
Pulau Pinang	909	16,930	1,539	26,615	1,547	26,748	
Selangor	4,085	55,582	4,725	47,585	4,748	47,823	
Terengganu	999	9,708	1,048	9,932	1,053	9,981	
Peninsular Malaysia	43,654	833,432	45,833	792,056	46,062	796,016	
Malaysia	51,777	928,183	53,322	878,975	53,589	833,370	

Table 1.6: Vegetables Planted Area and Production based on states, 2011-2013

(Source: DOS, 2013b)

Out the 55 types of vegetable grown in Malaysia, Brassica (*Sawi*) was the largest leafy vegetable grown with a total of 10,495 hectares and total production of about 169,326 metric tonnes in 2012 (DOA, 2012a). The second largest was Cucumber (4,606.7 hectare, 93,114 metric tonnes), followed by Spinach (4,023 hectare, 53,037 metric tonnes); Water Spinach (3,832 hectare, 48.096 metric tonnes); Long Beans (3,629 hectare, 45,010 metric tonnes); and Cabbage (3,528 hectare, 94,885 metric tonnes). Other vegetables grown in more than 1,000 hectare annually were Tapioca, Sweet Potato, Chilli, Lady's Finger,

Lettuce, Tomato, Brinjal, Pumpkin, Angled Loofah and Bitter Groud. All vegetable growers' activities were monitored by the Department of Agriculture (DOA) and NGO (Vegetable Association Growers of Malaysia) and FAMA (For tagging program). DOA imposes Pesticide Extension Program to advise vegetable growers not to use excessive chemical for the market (Anem, 2010).

From 2008 to 2011, Malaysia imports more vegetables than it exports (DOS, 2013a). Based on Table 1.7, Malaysia experiences a deficit in the balance of trade for vegetables. The deficit in 2008 was RM 1.124 billion and increased up to RM 2.095 billion in 2010. However, in 2011, the deficit trend was slightly reduced with RM 1.983 billion (DOS, 2013).

Malaysian Vegetable Trading, 2008 -2011						
Year	Export (RM '000)	Import (RM '000)	Balance of Trade (RM '000)			
2008	665,499	1,790,116	-1,124,617			
2009	632,194	2,266,601	-1,634,407			
2010	682,222	2,777,794	-2,095,572			
2011	750,788	2,734,600	-1,983,812			

(Source: DOS, 2013b)

Also, vegetable consumption among Malaysians has also increased from 54.4 kg per capita in 2009 up to 57.3 per capita in 2013 (Figure 1.2). The rising vegetable consumption signals an increase in the demand for vegetable in this country (DOA, 2013).



Figure 1.2: Vegetable consumption (kg per capita per year), Malaysia, 2009-2013 (Source: Department of Agriculture, 2013)

The government (Ministry of Health Malaysia) included the country health plan in the Tenth Malaysian Plan (2011-2015) to encourage citizens to attain optimal health that leads to a better quality of life (MOH, 2010). In this plan, the government also

recommended that Malaysians should take at least three servings of vegetables, which is approximately 240 grams of vegetables per day.

1.3 Usage of Fertilizers and Pesticides in Malaysian Vegetable Farming Systems

The use of pesticides, fertilizers and other agrochemical has increased substantially since 1950s (WWF, 2015). Especially pesticides, the amount sprayed on field has increased 26-fold over the past 50 years. These chemicals do not just stay on the fields they were applied to but also lead to pollution of adjacent land, rivers or wetlands. Fertilizers and pesticides also commonly run-off from fields to adjacent rivers and lakes and contaminate groundwater source due to inappropriate usage (FAO, 1996). Basically, it can upset the balance, pollute ecosystems and can be harmful to flora and fauna.

According to FAO (2004b), there are two types of fertilizers that are commonly used in Malaysia which are mineral fertilizers and organic fertilizers. Mineral fertilizers account for more than 90 percent of fertilizers used by all types of farming systems in Malaysia (FAO, 2004b). The major fertilizers used in this country are urea, ammonium sulphate, ammonium phosphate, calcium ammonium nitrate, super phosphate, phosphate rock, potassium sulphate and complex mineral NPK, NP and PK compound fertilizers. Also, due to rapid expansion in crop production, a corresponding increase in fertilizer used has been shown and potassium fertilizers have revealed the largest increase. Apart from that, the government is promoting the use of organic fertilizers in Malaysia as it was claimed that organic agriculture is essential for the sustainable use and management of natural resources and identified it as a niche market opportunity for fruits and vegetables (DOA, 2007).

According to the World Bank (2015), the amount of fertilizer consumption (kilograms per hectare of arable land) decreased by about 12.44 percent from 2011 to 2012 and increased by about 5.45 percent in 2013 (Figure 1.3). Traditional nutrients such as animal and plant manures are not included in this measurement. Fertilizer consumption measures the quantity of plant nutrients used per unit of arable land and the fertilizer products cover nitrogenous, potash, and phosphate fertilizers (including ground rock phosphate). Thus, it can be concluded that fertilizer consumption in Malaysia is high and continues to increase (The World Bank, 2015). However, their excessive presence in freshwater and marine area alters the nutrient system and in consequence the species composition of specific ecosystems. This effect is eutrophication and "dead zones" due to nutrient run-off from fertilizers from farms that affect rivers, lakes and oceans. Reduction in water quality gives a severe impact on agricultural production, drinking water supplies and fishery production. This is a direct pollution that a farm can negatively contribute to the environment.

1.8





Pesticides, on the other hand, are inherently toxic materials -- they are developed and used to destroy or prevent growth or infestations of unwanted insects, plants, and other pests in agricultural, commercial, industrial, and household settings. Malaysian agriculture depends heavily on pesticides to maintain high productivity. Under tropical conditions, crop losses from weeds, pests and diseases are high. The use of agrochemicals including pesticides have been found to be the immediate and cheaper way to produce unblemished vegetables and increased farm productivity (ATSE, 2002). The pesticides that are used are herbicides, insecticides and fungicides. Herbicides constitute about 80% of the pesticides consumed in Malaysia (FAOSTAT, 2014). The heavy dependence on herbicides, especially in the plantation sector, is due to labor shortage. The use of insecticide ranks second to herbicides and is mainly used in vegetables, rice and tobacco. The use of fungicide is less important than herbicides and insecticides. It is mainly confined to vegetable, fruits and ornamental plant production. Only small quantities of fungicides are used in vegetables and tobacco.

However, this practice has unfortunately created numerous problems associated with pesticide abuse such as directly poisoning of freshwater species as well as people, upsetting natural environmental balance and releasing toxic residues that are hazardous to health in the environment. According to Siwar and Hossain (2001), it was found that pesticides from every chemical class have been detected in groundwater beneath agricultural areas and are widespread in Malaysia's surface waters.

In addition to polluting the environment, farmers and especially those who mix and apply pesticides will face greater risks of getting poisoned by pesticides because they work with pesticides at their greatest concentrations (Farmworker Justice, 2013). The exposure of pesticide causes farmers to suffer more chemical-related injuries and illness than any other workforce in the nation (Farmworker Justice, 2015). If farmers failed to use proper attire while exposing themselves to pesticides, their health might be effected which include rash, eye irritation, dizziness, nausea and vomiting. More serious acute effects are difficulty in breathing, seizures, loss of consciousness and even death, while

chronic effects can result in cancer, neurological disorders, reproductive health problem and even infertility. Even low levels of pesticide exposure over time can lead to these chronic health effects.

The U.S Environmental Protection Agency estimates that 10,000-20,000 farmers are poisoned on the job due to pesticide exposure (NIOSH, 2015). Among agricultural workers in the United States, it was found that an average of 57.6 out of every 100,000 agricultural workers experience acute pesticide poisoning, illness or injury each year.

Malaysia vegetable farmers have also been reported for their excessive use of pesticide. In 1987, New Strait Times reported that Singapore Government banned all vegetable imports from the Cameron Highlands (New Strait Times, 1987). The threat by Singapore came about when some Highlands vegetables tested were found to contain alarming high levels of pesticide residues. Malaysian vegetables have encountered 40 percent rejection by Singapore as the contained pesticides level were up to 237 ppm which is over 100 times Singapore's permitted level of 2 ppm (parts per million as stipulated under the Singapore Food Act.

1.4 Demand of Safe and Quality Vegetable Produce

As indicated earlier, a diet rich in vegetables is important to maintaining good health. However, Rangarajan *et al.* (2000) reported that as fresh produce consumption increased, scientists at the Centers of Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC) noticed another important trend: a significant increase in the number of foodborne disease outbreaks associated with fresh produce. The outbreaks of human disease associated with the consumption of raw vegetables often occur in developing countries and have become more frequent in developed countries over the past decade. Vegetables that were eaten raw have long been known to serve as vehicles for transmission of infectious microorganisms in developing country (FAO and WHO, 2004).

Fresh produce, especially vegetables, can sometimes be the blame for foodborne illness (CNN Times, 2013; European Food Information Council, 2015). Food and waterborne illness is caused by consuming contaminated foods or beverages (MOH, 2013). FAO and WHO (2004) also noted that one of the factors thought to influence the occurrence and epidemiology of the transmission of infectious microorganisms in our body includes the contamination of vegetables with a range of microbial and toxic chemicals from a variety of sources, such as the excessive use of pesticides. Unwashed vegetables that contain high concentration of pesticides are one of the causes of this illness (National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 2014). The largest culprit of this disease is caused by norovirus, which is spread through produce from water contaminated by fecal matter especially among the leafy greens vegetables such as spinach and cabbage. Researchers estimated that there are 17 different food category cases among 4,600 outbreaks of food illness globally and discovered that fresh produce accounted for 46% of the cases (CNN Times, 2013). Hence, there is no doubt that the amount of pesticides in vegetables has always been the one thing on a consumer's mind (Consumer Reports, 2015). In fact, a recent Consumer Reports survey of 1,050 people found that 85 percent of Americans are concerned about pesticides in vegetables (Consumer Reports, 2015).

In Malaysia, five common food and waterborne illness were recorded to occur namely, cholera, dysentery, food poisoning, typhoid and hepatitis A. Food poisoning occurs at a shocking rate of 56.25 per 100,000 people (Figure 1.4). There was a decrease in cases of cholera, typhoid and hepatitis A from 2011 to 2013, however dysentery presents an increment. Moreover, food poisoning cases shows a slight increase in 2013.



Figure 1.4: Incidence rates of food and waterborne diseases, Malaysia, 2010-2013 (Source: MOH, 2014)

One of the reasons for this outbreak is the rapid growth in international trade of imported food (FAO and WHO, 2004). However according to the Nutrition Action Healthletter (2006), the farm is where the contamination starts and efforts of food safety have to be focused on the farm. By the same token, there won't be any foodborne pathogens on the leaves of vegetables or in the immediate area, if farmers and food handlers follow good agricultural and handling practices (Food Safety News, 2011).

1.5 Food Safety and Quality Assurance Concern

Almost one billion cases of diarrheal diseases are reported every year in developing countries (Rezai *et al.*, 2014). Every year, about 10 percent of the population in industrialized countries were diagnosed with foodborne diseases (O'Ryan *et al.*, 2005). Food poisoning outbreaks, food adulterations and many more incidents are causing consumers to give all their attention to consuming good quality food produce (Rezai *et*

al., 2014). That is, consumers are now concerned about the impact of food products on their health and safety to improve their lifestyle (Paul and Rana, 2012).

The cultivation of safe vegetables have gained attention and as the population's purchasing capacity grows, so does their demand for safe vegetables (The World Vegetable Center, 2005). In other words, consumers demand for safe and high quality products that are packaged and distributed to maintain freshness and nutrition with extended shelf-life, while ensuring good eating quality (Cantwell, 2014). At the same time, The Technomic's Healthy Eating Consumer Trend Report (2014) stated that approximately 64 percent of consumers today, which rise from 57 percent in 2010, agreed that it is important to eat healthy and pay attention to nutrition. The report also indicated that half of today's consumers (50 percent) eat healthy food to have a nutritious and balanced diet and more consumers today than polled in 2010 reported to consume local, organic, natural, safe, clean and sustainable food at least once a week.

However, there are considerable percentages of consumers which are less than convinced that the food health information they received is accurate (Watson, 2015). In other words, they want food health labeling to be clearer. A report claimed by Horovitz (2015) who surveyed more than 30,000 consumers in more than 60 nations revealed that more than 63 percent of consumers worldwide were concern on the health information that they received. Meanwhile, the Food and Standard Agency (2006) also reported that more people today are checking the nutritional information on food labels. While the facts remains that global concerns about the quality of healthy food is real, Americans and Europeans tend to readily believe the information presented to them. However, this is in contrast with the other regions as the study further indicated that:

- i. 68 percent of Asian Pacific consumers were unsure of the health information they received
- ii. 70 percent of African consumers questioned the validity of the health information
- iii. 73 percent of Latin Americans were unconvinced of such health claims

Quality labels make it convincing and easier for consumers to identify the quality of a product that they wish to purchase (Rezai *et al.*, 2014). Quality labels are found on product packaging that indicates the product compliance with the current standards, safety and quality characteristics specified in a certification system (SIRIM QAS International, 2012). In Malaysia, the quality assurance programs that are practiced include Good Agricultural Practices (GAP), Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) and Malaysian Organic Scheme (SOM). Generally, efforts of food safety begin on the farm. One key to reduce risk of foodborne illness and at the same time give farmers the opportunity to be transparent is by practicing GAP. Therefore, farmers were encouraged by a lot of parties to adopt GAP in order to produce a safe and quality produce, which are both economically and environmentally sustainable (Rangarajan *et al.*, 2000; FAO, 2003; Defra, 2009; Schneider *et al.*, 2011).

1.6 Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) in Malaysia – Crop Sector

DOA (2005) defines GAP "an integrated system to manage the hazards associated with the elements of land, input, processes and output of agricultural production, within an agricultural spatial entity, in a good way to achieve productivity, sustainability, quality and safe produce in a socially acceptable, worker friendly and environmentally safe way. Generally, GAP is a standard for good agricultural practices in achieving sustainable agriculture. GAP codes, standards and regulations are guidelines which have been developed by food industry, producers' organizations, governments and NGOs, aiming to codify agricultural practices at farm level for range of commodities (FAO, 2007a).

As a result of the issues regarding food safety and the consumer demand for food safety, especially in terms of pesticide residues, GAP schemes are required due to the challenges faced by the country when the World Organization (WTO) started to implement the concept of free trade worldwide. The requirements of sanitary and phytosanitary standards (SPS) by many countries drive Malaysia to produce agricultural products that comply with these standards.

In 2002, Malaysia Agriculture Research and Development Institute (MARDI) implemented a National GAP program to improve the farm sector under the new farming system (PEMANDU, 2013). The agencies that are involved in this program are Department of Agriculture (DOA), Department of Veterinary Services (DVS), Department of Fisheries (DOF), Department of Environment (DOE) and Federal Agricultural and Marketing Authority (FAMA) and they are all responsible for the National Food Safety Plan to develop food safety systems to meet the world market requirements and to be competitive in Malaysia and the world market.

One efficient way to assure safe, quality and environmental friendly food products is for farmers to comply with GAP. GAP is an agricultural practice which emphasizes on environment, economy and social aspects to ensure the produce is safe and of good quality. It is an integrated system to manage hazards associated with the elements of land, input, process and output of agricultural production, in a good way to achieve productivity, to be sustainable, to attain quality and safe produce in a socially acceptable, worker friendly and environmentally safe way.

Malaysia has developed a number of quality assurance programs for primary producers with a number of voluntary farm certification schemes including the fresh fruit and vegetable sector certification, *Skim Akreditasi Ladang Malaysia* (SALM); livestock certification, *Skim Akreditasi Ladang Ternakan* (SALT); fisheries and aquaculture certification, *Skim Pensijilan Ladang Akuakultur Malaysia* (SPLAM) and organic sector certification, *Skim Organik Malaysia* (SOM). These implementations of GAP standards in Malaysia started with the introduction of the Malaysian Good Agricultural Practices Scheme (SALM) in 2002 by DOA for the crop sector. The table below (Table 1.9) shows the farm certification schemes for Malaysian farm:

No.	Sector	Farm Certification Scheme	Logo
1.	Fresh fruit and vegetable	Skim Akreditasi Ladang Malaysia	LADANG ARE THE REPORT OF THE R
2.	Livestock	Skim Akreditasi Ladang Ternakan	A CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.
3.	Fisheries and aquaculture	Skim Pensijilan Ladang Akuakultur Malaysia	AND A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF
4.	Organic Certification Scheme	Skim Organik Malaysia	

Table 1.8: Logo of Malaysian farm certification schemes

(Source: DOF, 2015; DOA, 2015; MOA, 2015)

SALM was designated in order to recognize fruit and vegetable farms with GAP certification. SALM is a certification scheme that is based on the environmental friendly, workers welfare and safety concern which are safe and suitable for consumption (MOA, 2002). The scheme was developed based on Malaysian Standard MS 1784:2005 Crop Commodities – Good Agriculture Practices (GAP).

The Ministry of Agriculture has outlined the SALM certification elements into:

- 1. Traceability
- 2. Record Keeping and Internal Audit
- 3. Plant Material
- 4. History and Site Management
- 5. Land and Media Management
- 6. Fertilizer Management
- 7. Irrigation and fertigation
- 8. Crop protection

- 9. Harvesting
- 10. Post-harvest treatment
- 11. Revenue Analysis
- 12. Farm Waste Management
- 13. Safety and Health of Workers
- 14. Environmental Management
- 15. Complaint Record
- 16. Legal

The main opportunities for farms that registered SALM are that they get prioritized in the local market because they are qualified as preferred suppliers and offer a degree of differentiation. SALM-registered farms are also eligible to qualify for the "Malaysia Best" logo, a branding exercise administered by FAMA (FAMA, 2015). The term "Malaysia Best" indicates the guarantee of high quality agriculture produce produced by Malaysia.

1.7 Malaysian GAP (MyGAP) in GLOBALG.A.P and ASEAN GAP

There are two types of regional GAP which are GLOBALG.A.P and ASEAN GAP. The first GAP was created in the late 1990s by several British supermarket chains and their major suppliers; it was called EurepGAP (FAO, 2007b).As a private standard, EurepGAP aims to bring conformity to various retailers' supplier standards, which had been creating problems for farmers. Most European customers for agricultural products now demand verification of EurepGAP certification as a prerequisite for doing business. The standards helped producers comply with Europe-wide accepted criteria for food safety, sustainable production methods, worker and animal welfare and responsible use of water, compound feed and plant propagation materials (GLOBALG.A.P, 2015). Over the next ten years, a growing number of producers and retailers around the world joined in, gaining the European organization global significance. Since its GAP standards is well-known in the world for pursuing high quality food safety for the agricultural food producer countries (Amekawa, 2010), on September 7th, 2007, EurepGAP changed its name to GLOBALG.A.P to reflect its increasingly global scope (GLOBALG.A.P. 2015). Today, it is known as the world's leading farm assurance program, translating consumer requirements into GAP in a constantly increasing list of more than 100 countries.

Malaysian GAP or SALM has been certified as GLOBALG.A.P since 2012 with only 9 aquaculture farms (GLOBALG.A.P, 2015). Compare to other countries, the number of farms that are certified as GLOBALG.A.P in Malaysia is rather low (Table 1.9). In 2011, there were only 7 farms in Malaysia certified and these farms were aquacultures. However, since 2012, there were no Malaysian farms reported to be certified under the GLOBALG.A.P (GLOBALG.A.P, 2011).

Country	2009	2010	2011	2012
China	272	254	280	292
Thailand	923	595	263	277
Japan	66	88	20	122
Taiwan	54	65	3	0
Malaysia	18	21	7	9
Indonesia	3	6	4	3
Philippines	1	5	5	5

Table 1.9: GLOBALG.A.I	certified farm	is in selected cou	<mark>intrie</mark> s, 2009-2012
------------------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------------------------

(Source: GLOBALG.A.P, 2011)

The second regional GAP is ASEANGAP. Launched in 2006, ASEANGAP developed by the ASEAN Secretariat is a standard for GAP during production, harvesting and postharvesting handling of fresh fruits and vegetables in the ASEAN region (ASEAN Secretariat, 2006). The development of this standard was based mainly on the criteria and experiences of national GAP implementation in Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore and Thailand (ASEAN Secretariat, 2006). ASEAN GAP guideline was only published in 2008 which covered only fruits and vegetables. The purpose of ASEANGAP is:

- To enhance the harmonization of national GAP programmes within the ASEAN region;
- To improve fruit and vegetable safety for consumers
- To sustain natural resources
- To facilitate the trade of fruit and vegetable regionally and internationally

Malaysia is one of the nine ASEAN countries that have implemented good agricultural practices. Other countries that have implemented GAP among ASEAN are Brunei (BruneiGAP), Indonesia (IndoGAP), Philippines (PhilGAP), Singapore (GAP-VF), Thailand (QGAP and ThaiGAP), Vietnam (VietGAP), Cambodia (Cam-GAP) and Laos (LAO GAP) (Nabeshima *et al.*, 2015). The current alignment of national GAP programs with ASEAN GAP is listed below (Table 1.10):

Table 1.	10: (Current	alignment	of national	GAP	Programs	with ASEA	AN GAP

Country	Food safety	Environmental	Worker's Health	Quality produce
		Management	and safety	
Thailand	Close	Partial alignment	Partial alignment	Close alignment
	alignment			
Malaysia	Close	Partial alignment	Partial alignment	Covered by
	alignment			another national standard
Indonesia	Close	Close alignment	Partial alignment	Partial alignment
muonesia	alignment	Close anglinent	I artiar anglinient	i artiar angimient
Singapore	Close	No alignment	No alignment	Covered by
Singapore	alignment			another national
	C			standard
Philippines	Close	No alignment	Partial alignment	Covered by
	alignment			another national standard
Brunei	Close	No alignment	No alignment	No alignment
Darussalam	alignment			

(Source: Layese, 2011)

In Malaysia, only food safety is in close alignment with ASEAN GAP and both environmental management and worker's welfare are partially aligned. Besides that, Malaysian fruit and vegetable produce is covered by another national standard such as GMP and HACCP.

1.8 Malaysian Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP)

On August 28th, 2013, SALM was rebranded to Malaysian Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP) by the Minister of Agriculture and Agro-based Industry. This is because GAP is recognized worldwide. Unlike SALM, SALT and SPLAM, GAP is more commercialized and will not confused the consumers and entrepreneurs. MyGAP is a comprehensive certification scheme that combined agriculture sector, aquaculture sector and livestock sector. Specifically, MyGAP is the rebranding exercise of SALM, SALT and SPLAM. It is also part of the Economic

Transformation Programme (ETP) initiative under the National Key Economic Area of Agriculture (NKEA-Agriculture).

Therefore, there are four directions of MyGAP emphasized by DOA and the aim is to:

- i. Increase the number of farms with MyGAP to encourage quality and safe to eat produce for domestic and export market;
- ii. Increase consumers' awareness and demand for quality and safe to eat produce with MyGAP logo on it;
- iii. Increase the Malaysian agricultural produce competitiveness at international level;
- iv. Benchmarked with international GAP certification scheme such as ASEAN GAP and Global GAP.

Hence, MyGAP is the core of the Techno Agricultural Extension System (SPTP) of the Department of Agriculture Malaysia and aimed to be practiced by farms operators in carrying out activities in the field in order to produce fresh and quality produces which are safe to eat (MOA, 2013).

Apart from playing an essential role in a sustainable agriculture, DOA (2015) has particularly listed few advantages of this certification in terms of environmental, ecological and economic benefits. MyGAP is said to result in quality agricultural produce which are safe for consumption since the content of pesticides residues in the farm produce are at permissible levels. Other than that, MyGAP also ensures the preservation of the environment by reducing environmental pollution. Agriculture contributes significantly to emissions of the greenhouse gases and other pollutants (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2014). It is also one of the sectors which any potential climate change will affect the most. However, farmers and grower that practice GAP can protect the water, soil and air which can help to reduce pollution (Defra, 2009). Similarly, MyGAP also gives priority to the Intergraded Pest Control approach. The mainstreamed Integrated Pest Control methods for crop production in several countries were aimed to maximize yield and reduces losses and not to seek product differentiation (FAO, 2004a). MyGAP also reduces the use of pesticides and produces better quality harvest. The certification also assures the safety and welfare of the farm workers and employees. The rights of farm hands, normally foreign workers from Bangladesh, Indonesia, Vietnam and Myanmar, are being taken care of (Salleh, 2007). They are required to use safety attire and proper knapsack while spraying pesticide on field. Furthermore, MyGAP also can contribute to an agricultural produce that is easily recognizable and can compete in the domestic and the international market. The certification also helps in supporting the development of a national agricultural industry which is sustainable and environmental-friendly. In terms of MyGAP product, the logo on the product label assures consumers of product safety (Figure 1.5).



Figure 1.5: Malaysian Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practices Logo (Source: DOA, 2015)

1.9 MyGAP Application Processes

Based on the MyGAP Malaysia Guidelines (MOA, 2014b), participation in this scheme is voluntary and services provided for certification are free to the applicants. Farmers who are interested in obtaining MyGAP accreditation can apply using Application Form MyGAP 1 that is available at (1) Crop Quality Control Division, Department of Agriculture Malaysia, Putrajaya; (2) State Department of Agriculture; (3) District Agricultural Offices; and (3) DOA webpage at *http://www.doa.gov.my*.

Basically, the applicants must pass all the three requirements or evaluation criteria below in order to be accredited with MyGAP:

- i. Site inspection
- ii. Water and Harvest Sample for pesticide residue, heavy metal and bacterial analysis
- iii. Farm verification / practices

First of all, the applicant categories for MyGAP can be individual; private company; project participants of Permanent Food Production Parks (TKPM); Agencies/statutory bodies; Contract farming participants; Agricultural centers under the supervision of the Department of Agriculture and participants of Modern Agricultural Project. The applicants also have to ensure that they are operating on legally valid land or cultivated land that is legitimate under the local Land Law. The farmer must be able to provide proof, such as land title or contracted lease, stating that the farming operation is legal. The farm also must be planted and is producing yield. Moreover, the farm produce has to be commercially produced that is suitable for the domestic or export market (MOA, 2014b).

If the farmer meets all criteria mentioned, the completed MyGAP application forms will then be sent to the assistant in the District Agricultural Offices to endorse the farm to the next step which is the site inspection.

The site inspection of MyGAP is the verification of farm practice which is the main component of MyGAP certification. There are two levels of auditory that are carried out throughout the site inspection process which are pre-audit and follow-up audit. Applicant will only undergo follow-up audit if only they pass the pre-audit. Pre-audit (or

internal audit) are undertaken by officers from the DOA at the district level to make sure that the operator of the farm is practicing GAP while waiting for MyGAP to be accredited. Harvest samples are also collected for the purpose of pesticides residue and heavy metal analysis. In farm verification, recordkeeping is very important. Every farm activity should be recorded for the sake of traceability (Salleh *et al.*, 2007). On the other hand, follow-up audit (or external audit) is conducted by competent officers or technical officers from DOA to ensure that the farm operator is practicing and complies with GAP based on the specified standards and if so, they can then be recommended for certificate.

Again, the results of the site inspection of farm practices will be recommended to the MyGAP certification committee for endorsement to go through the last step which is the award of MyGAP certification. Figure 1.6 presents the MyGAP certification process.

MyGAP certification is valid for two years. The restricted monitoring will be carried out at least once a year by the Department of Agriculture. For the purpose of renewal, applicant is required to completely fill and submit MyGAP application form again as mentioned above. The certification renewal, on the other hand, should be made every two years and the application should be sent six months before the expiry date. However, certificate renewal will only be issued if the farm is still in compliance with the specified conditions. If the farmer fail to renew the certificate after the expiry date; and/or the farm is found to be in non-compliance with the specified conditions while still in the validity period; and/or the misuse of the MyGAP and logo in anyway, MyGAP certification may be withdrawn.





1.10 Farmers and MyGAP

MyGAP is a voluntary scheme thus it is not mandatory for the farmers to accredit their farm with MyGAP. That is, the service charge in order to process the certification of MyGAP is free as it is subsidized by the government. Malaysian farmers are lucky unlike GAP standards in other country such as Singapore GAP (GAP-VF) and GLOBALG.A.P., farmers who want to get certified have to take certain costs into account. Basically, they have to pay for registration, inspection and certification. In Singapore, S\$ 500 will be charged for new GAP-VF application (non-refundable); S\$ 250 for renewal of certification (non-refundable); and S\$ 101 per hour will be charged for re-auditing based on duration of farm visit (Agri-Food and Veterinary Authority of Singapore, 2015).

Another advantage for Malaysian farmers is that they will be given free training such as on the use of pesticides prudently by professional officers of DOA (DOA, 2014). In some cases, DOA officers even visit the farmers' farm to guide them effectively. In the meantime, MyGAP will also benefit the farmers in receiving information, guidelines and exercises on a regular farm basis as well as continuous association with the safety of all labors, visitors, contractors and individuals that are involved directly or indirectly with the activities on the farm (DOA, 2014). In other words, officers of DOA will guide the farmers in practicing GAP in their farm, for instance, by educating the farmers regarding the ideal amount of pesticides that should be used in their farms to ensure maximum productivity.

According to the Senate Thirteenth Parliament (2013), MARDI has given incentives to the farmers who practiced GAP in order to increase the agriculture produce in the country. Some of the state Department of Agriculture, especially Department of Agriculture Sarawak, is prepared to provide guidance and some form of incentive to the participants since they are aware of the terms and strict procedures in the application of MyGAP (Borneo Post Online, 2012). Such incentives are: agricultural inputs, agricultural equipment and anti-insect nets.

Also, DOA has also been providing free courses and training for farmers who are interested in participating in MyGAP certification (DOA Kedah, 2015). Participants in this course will be determined by the Secretariat in collaboration with the MyGAP certification State Agricultural Department. They will be exposed to the aspects of good agricultural practices and will be trained to meet the criteria in order to be certified with MyGAP.

In order to promote and attract the farmers as well as consumers regarding MyGAP, officers of DOA hit the social media network by creating a *Facebook* Page on MyGAP (Figure 1.7). At the same time, this page aimed to connect farmers and updating the farmers regarding the certification scheme.

1.21

	MALAYSIA		
mGAP Inter	Consumer's Intelligent Choice	1	
255 people like Tris	About Photos Likes Videos		
$\beta_{\rm e}$. Invite therds to tike this Page	Write something		
ABOUT	5 (i) Post	E	
 Выялизост Мусяля теритение пов дои тупиеворыестнура 	Gitti MYGAP Malaysia Yetuary 22 - e MyGAP: Producing More, Improving Lives		
иното в	s de Like III Comment 📣 Share		
	2009 In a trs.		

Figure 1.7: MyGAP's Facebook Page (Source: https://www.facebook.com/MYGAP-Malaysia-565546160198205/)

Moreover, promotions and exhibitions have been conducted in supermarkets and hypermarkets such as TESCO. In May 20th, 2014, MyGAP launched its tagline, "Producing More, Improving Lives" with a seminar on awareness between co-operators and suppliers of MyGAP. The launching of MyGAP tagline with TESCO Malaysia was also conducted at the Ministry of Agriculture and Ago-based Industry (Utusan Online, 2014; Bernama, 2014a; MOA, 2014a).

Apart from TESCO, Malaysian farmers are also provided with the opportunity of selling their MyGAP products at Giant, Carrefour, Mydin, JUSCO and Pick 'n Pay (DOA, 2012b). Malaysian JUSCO in particular, implements "Farm to Floor" process and supports MyGAP to ensure safe and freshness of vegetables on a daily basis. They get vegetables from farms that use pesticides based on MyGAP guidelines and at the same time they are also in the midst of applying for GLOBALG.A.P. (The Star Online, 2015). Also, farmers also realize additional profits in selling their MyGAP products in these supermarkets as they are selling at a higher price. For example, normal French beans taken in Giant Hypermarket Kajang are selling at RM2.55 for 336 grams while French beans with MyGAP logo is RM5.29 for only 300 grams (Figure 1.8).



Figure 1.8: Differences in price between normal vegetable and MyGAP vegetable (Source: Gom, 2015)

Along with that, the government also targets the adoption of internationally recognized standard by MyGAP which will help in bringing agriculture produce into more lucrative markets and thus, help to increase the income of the rural population (Jala, 2013). That is, good agriculture does not only provide food security, it also helps to increase rural incomes through agricultural entrepreneurship and thereby closing the income gaps within the country.

Furthermore, MyGAP has been included in the Eleventh Malaysia Plan (2016-2020) as a concern in improving productivity and income of farmers. One of the government strategies is to increase farm compliance to MyGAP. According to the Eleventh Malaysia Plan (Economic Planning Unit, 2015), farms will be incentivized to increase compliance to MyGAP certification so as to ensure sustainable production and safe fresh produce. Grants or soft loans priority will be given to farms with MyGAP certification. Moreover, all farms in the permanent food production parks (TKPM) will be prerequisite with MyGAP certification. In addition, the certification scheme will be aligned to the Global GAP requirements criteria to increase market access, particularly to the European Union. This will make it easier for the farmers to export their product internationally.

However, it is reported that the compliance of farmers with MyGAP is still low (Economic Planning Unit, 2015). In 2014, only 3,585 farms (4.6 percent) of the total 77,191 farms (vegetable, fruits, livestock and fisheries) complied to MyGAP and in the crop sector, only 38 percent of all farms were certified (Economic Planning Unit, 2015). To be exact, out of 46,040 vegetable farmers in Malaysia (Table 1.11), only 235 of them are certified with MyGAP (MOA, 2014a). This accounts to approximately 5 percent of the total vegetable farmers. Table 1.11 presents the amount of vegetable farmers according to each state.



State	Vegetable Farmers
Johor	25
Kedah	5
Kelantan	27
Negeri Sembilan	11
Pahang	50
Perak	26
Perlis	2
Pulau Pinang	29
Selangor	29
Terengganu	7
Peninsular Malaysia	211
Sabah	2
Sarawak	18
Wilayah Persekutuan Labuan	4
Malaysia	235
1010010	

Table 1.11: Numbers of Vegetable Farmers with MyGAP, Malaysia, 2014

(Source: MOA, 2014)

The government and NGOs have tried their best in getting the farmers involved in this program but so far they are not responsive. One of the main reasons for the low compliance is the high initial capital requirements. To be accredited with MyGAP, farmers need to have proper storage of their vegetables, a special place in keeping and labeling their pesticides and attain safety attire and knapsack for their farm workers. These changes that the farmers need to adopt in their farm could be costly for the smallholder farmers. Besides that, the practice might also be new or difficult for the farmers, especially in terms of the paperwork (The Star Online, 2013). Farmers need to keep record of what pesticides they are using and how much it costs and how many times in a day they are using it, in order to be compliance with the standards.

The farmers' mindset and attitude is uncertain as to why the farmers are not interested in applying for the certification. Moreover, policy-makers at present still have limited experience of farmers' responses to environmental schemes (Falconer, 2000). There is a very diverse population of potential participants, with different attitudes and agricultural opportunity costs, and a very diverse range of schemes that they may enter.

1.11 Problem Statement



The government has provided non-mandatory training and courses, extension services, giving promotions to attract farmers as well as consumers. DOA has also been providing guidelines to encourage farmers to apply for MyGAP. Along with that, the farmers are aware that if their products are certified with MyGAP, they have the opportunity of selling their product at higher price.

Despite all attempts to encourage farmers to get the certification, the uptake among Malaysian farmers is still low and slow. Therefore, an argument arises on what actually shapes the mindset of the farmers and what is preventing them from being compliance with MyGAP. It could be because of their attitude as different farmer possessed different attitude. It could also be that the farmers are not aware of the underlying values of MyGAP or they do not assigned any values to themselves with respect to MyGAP. Probably the knowledge of MyGAP that the farmer received is not enough or maybe they have very strong personal norms which act as a personal standard in their own farming life or it could be some barriers preventing them to comply with MyGAP.

On the other hand, for the farmers who already certified their farm with MyGAP, it is important to find out what are the factors that mold them in being endorsed with MyGAP. And from there, these important factors most likely can be used to encourage the farmers without MyGAP and gain their interest in applying for this certification.

Therefore, considering all of these circumstances, there is a need to explore what shapes the attitude of vegetable farmers towards MyGAP in terms of their assigned values, underlying values, knowledge, personal norms as well as perceived barriers for those farmers who are not accredited with MyGAP.

1.12 Objectives of Study

The main objective of this study is to assess the attitude of vegetable farmers towards farming practices under Malaysian Certification Scheme of Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP).

The specific objectives are:

- i. To determine the significant differences between vegetable farmers with MyGAP and farmers without MyGAP, in terms of their attitude, underlying values, assigned values, personal norms and knowledge.
- ii. To identify the association between the vegetable farmers' demographic profiles and MyGAP endorsement.
- iii. To explore the relationship between underlying values, assigned values, knowledge and personal norms with the attitude of vegetable farmers with MyGAP.
- iv. To discover the relationship between underlying values, assigned values, knowledge, personal norms and perceived barriers with the attitude of vegetable farmers without MyGAP

1.13 Significance of Study

Understanding the attitude of vegetable farmers towards MyGAP is essential to gain insight in to the farmers' intention towards the certification scheme. A discussion has been conducted with some of the officer in Department of Agriculture and they emphasized that recognizing the favorable or unfavorable attitude of farmers towards MyGAP could be helpful in a way for them to approach the farmers. In other words, this study will be useful for the government to find an effective and efficient way in accessing and promoting MyGAP to the vegetable farmers. Other than that, this study will also be valuable for the agricultural development policy makers as a significant guidance in improving MyGAP for the implementation of future programs and also to encourage more farmers in applying for this certification. Moreover, this will benefit the farmers as they gain more valuable information with regards to particular aspects of MyGAP (economically, socially and environmentally).

1.14 Organization of Thesis

The thesis is divided into five chapters. Chapter one discusses the background of the study and the formulation of problem. The guidelines of MyGAP are listed in this chapter. Chapter two displays a review of previous studies and findings related to farmer's attitude towards GAP and related certification scheme and all the definitions and terms used in this study are listed in the chapter. The theories and models which have been used in environmental studies and attitude studies were also discussed. Chapter three explains the methodology and tools of analysis to achieve the objective of this study. Each variable and determinant as well as data collection procedure was discussed. The conceptual framework of this study is also justified in the chapter. Chapter four presents the findings of this study and offers some recommendations for future research. Limitations and implications in conducting this study are also stated in the chapter.

REFERENCES

- Agri-Food and Veterinary Authority of Singapore. (2015). Good Agricultural Practice for Vegetable Farming (GAP-VF). Retrieved from http://www.ava.gov.sg/exploreby-sections/farms/farming-initiatives/good-agricultural-practices
- Ahnström, J., Hökert, J., Bergea, H.L., Francis, C. and Skelton, P. (2009). Farmers and nature conservation: What is known about attitudes, context factors and actions affecting conservation? *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*. 24 (1): 38-47
- Ajayi, O.C. (2007). User acceptability of sustainable soil fertility technologies: Lessons from farmers' knowledge, attitude and practice in South Africa. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. 30(3): 21-40
- Alam, M.M., Siwar, C., Murad, M.W., Molla, R.I., Toriman, M.E. (2010). Socioeconomic profile of farmer in Malaysia: study on integrated agricultural development area in North-West Selangor. Agricultural Economics and Rural Development.4 (2): 249-265
- Algra, J. and Rijninks, M. (2000). *Small farmers and organic certification: a problem inventory*. The Netherlands: Novo Trade
- Alróe and Kristensen (2000). *Research, values and ethics in organic agricultureexamples from sustainability, precaution, nature quality and animal welfare.* Paper presented at 2nd Congress of the European Society for Agricultural and Food Ethics, pp. 61-65: Centre for Bioethics and Risk Assessment, Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Copenhagen.
- Ali, A., Rahut, D.B., Behera, B., and Imtiaz, M. (2015).Farmers' access to certified wheat seed and its effect on poverty reduction Pakistan. *Journal of Crop Improvement*.29 (2): 247-265
- Amekawa, Y. (2010). Rethinking sustainable agriculture in Thailand: a governance perspective. *Journal of sustainable agriculture*.34 (4): 389-416
- American Public Health Association.(2014). Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Retrieved from https://www.apha.org/~/media/files/pdf/advocacy/testimonyandcomments/140717 apha dgacomments.ashx
- Anders, S., Caswell, J.A., 2009. Standards-as-barriers versus standards-as-catalysts: assessing the impact of HACCP implementation on US seafood imports. Am. J. Agric. Econ. 91, 310–321.
- Anem, M. (2010). Vegetable growing in Malaysia. DOA Muar
- ASEAN Secretariat. (2006). ASEAN GAP: Good agricultural practices for production of fresh fruit and vegetables in the ASEAN region. Australian Government
- Åsebǿ, K. Jervell, A. M., Lieblein, G., Svennerud, M. and Francis, C. (2007). Farmer and consumer attitudes at farmers markets in Norway. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*.30(4): 67-93
- Asfaw, S., Mithöfer, D. and Waibel, H. (2010a). Agrifood supply chain, private-sector standards and farmers' health: evidence from Kenya. *Agricultural Economics*.41 (3/4): 251-263

- Asfaw, S., Mithöfer, D. and Waibel, H. (2010b). What impact are EU supermarket standards having on developing countries' export of high-value horticultural products? Evidence from Kenya. *Journal of International Food and Agribusiness Marketing*.22 (3/4): 252-276
- ATSE. (2002). *Pesticide use in Australia*. Australian Academy of Technological Science and Engineering: Victoria
- Axelord, L.J. (1994). Balancing personal needs with environmental preservation: Identifying the values that guide decisions in ecological dilemmas. *Journal of Social Issues*. 50(3): 85-104
- Azlee, A. (2015, January 5). Worst floods in Kelantan confirms NSC. *Malaysian Online*. Retrieved from http://www.themalaymailonline.com/malaysia/article/worst-floodsin-kelantan-confirms-nsc
- Azman, A., D'Silva, J.L., Samah, B.A., Man, N. and Mohamed, H.A. (2013). Relationship between attitude, knowledge and support towards the acceptance of sustainable agriculture among contract farmers in Malaysia. *Asian Social Science*. 9 (2): 99-105
- Bardi, A. (2000). *Relations of values to behavior in everyday situations*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. The Hebrew University.
- Barrett, H. R., Browne, A. W., Harris, P. J. C., and Cadoret, K. (2001). Smallholder farmers and organic certification: Accessing the EU market from the developing world. *Biological agriculture and horticulture*, 19(2), 183-199.
- Beedell, J and Rehman, T. (2000). Using social –psychology models to understand farmers' conservation behavior. *Journal of Rural Studies*. 16 (1): 117-127
- Bengston, D.N. and Fan, D.P. (1994). Changing forest values and ecosystem management. *Society and Natural Resources*. 7: 515-533
- Bernama. (2014a, May 19th). Media coverage and the launching of MyGAP tagline and MyGAP awareness seminar with the producers and suppliers of TESCO Malaysia. Retrieved from http://mrembm.bernama.com/viewnt.php?idm=3132
- Bernama. (2014b, December 11). Good wages lure illegal immigrants to Camerons. *The Star Online.* Retrieved from http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2014/12/11/Good-wages-lure-illegalimmigrants-to-Camerons/
- Bernama. (2015a, April 7). 1,704 farmers in Kelantan suffered RM 26.49 million losses in floods. *The Malaysian Insider*. Retrieved from http://www.themalaysianinsider.com/malaysia/article/1704-farmers-in-kelantansuffered-rm26.49-million-losses-in-floods-bernama
- Bernama. (2015b, January 20). Kelantan floods result in RM105m agri losses. *Free Malaysia Today*. Retrieved form http://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/nation/2015/01/20/kelantan-floodsresult-in-rm105m-agri-losses/
- Bernués, A., Olaizola, A.And Coecoran, K. (2003). Labelling information demanded by European consumers and relationships with purchasing motives, quality and safety meat. *Meat science*.33: 139-145

- Better Health Channel. (2011). Fruit and vegetables. The Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne. https://www.betterhealth.vic.gov.au/health/healthyliving/fruit-andvegetables
- Bihn, E.A. and Reiners, S. (2011). Good agricultural practices and good manufacturing practices for vegetable production. *Wiley Online Library*.DOI: 10.1002/9780470958346.ch23
- Bilsky, W., Janik, M., and Schwartz, S. H. (2011). The structural organization of human values – Evidence from three rounds of the European Social Survey (ESS). Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 42, 759-776.
- Blowfield, M. and Jones, K. (1999). Ethical trade and agricultural standards getting people to talk. Paper presented at Workshop, Market, rights and equity: rethinking food and agricultural standards in the shrinking world. Michigan State University: U.S.A.
- Bock, B. B., and van Huik, M. M. (2007). Animal welfare: the attitudes and behaviour of European pig farmers. *British Food Journal*. *109*(11): 931-944
- Borneo Post Online. (2012, September 5th). JPS sedia bantu petani mendapatkan SALM. Retrieved from http://www.theborneopost.com/2012/09/05/jps-sedia-bantu-petanimendapatkan-salm/
- Bravo, C.P., Spiller, A. and Villabos, P. (2012). Are organic growers satisfied with the certification system? A causal analysis of farmers' perceptions in Chile. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review.* 15 (4): 115-136
- Brown, M. (1996). Environmental policy in the hotel sector: "Green" strategy or stratagem. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*.8 (3): 18-23
- Brown, T.C. (1984). The concept of value in resource allocation. *Land Economics*. 60: 231-245
- Browne, A.W., Harris, P.J.C., Hofny-Collins, A.H., Pasieczik, N.<. and Wallace, R.R. (2000). Organic production and ethical trade: Definition, practice and links. *Food Policy*. 25: 69-89
- Burton, M., Rigby, D., Young, T., 1999. Analysis of the determinants of adoption of organic horticultural techniques in the UK. *Journal Agriculture Economic*. 50 (1), 47–63. H
- Burton, R., Kuczera, C., and Schwarz, G. (2008). Exploring farmers' cultural resistance to voluntary agri-environmental schemes. *Sociologiaruralis*. 48(1): 16-37.
- Byerlee, D., Collinson, Perrin, R., Winkelmann, D., Biggs, S., Moscardi, E., Martines,
 J., Harrington, L., and Benjamin, A. (1980).Planning Technologies Appropriate to Farmers: Concepts and Procedures. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre: Londres, Maxico
- Cantwell, M. (2014). *Fresh-Cut Products Workshops: Maintaining Quality and Safety*. UC Davis: California
- Caswell, J.A, Bredahl, M.E. and Hooker, N.H. (1998). How quality management metasystems are affecting the food industry. *Review of Agricultural Economics*. 20 (2): 547-557

- Choi, J. and Mogyoro, M. (2011). Influence of Internal and External Factors on Expansion Strategy: Swedish Cleanntech Subsidiaries in the US. A Master Thesis Dissertation, Linnaeus University
- Chou, C.J. (2014). Hotels' environmental policies and employee personal environmental beliefs: interactions and outcomes. *Tourism Management*.40: 436-446
- CNN Times.(2013). Veggies to blame for foodborne illness. Time.com. Retrieved from http://edition.cnn.com/2013/02/01/health/vegetables-foodborne-illness-time/
- Consumer Reports. (2015). Eat the peach, not the pesticide. Retrieved from http://www.consumerreports.org/cro/health/natural-health/pesticides/index.htm
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral science. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum
- Davidov, E., Schmidt, P., and Schwartz, S. H. (2008). Bringing values back in: The adequacy of the European Social Survey to measure values in 20 countries. Public Opinion Quarterly, 72, 420-445
- Defra. (2009). *Protecting our Water, Soil and Air:* A code of good agricultural practices for farmers, growers and land managers. The Stationary Office: Ireland
- Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia. (2013). Direct selling channels for small producers. Retrieved from https://www.agric.wa.gov.au/marketdevelopment-access/direct-selling-channels-small-producers?page=0%2C0
- DeVellis, R.F. (2003). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (2ndedn). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage
- Dietz, T., Fitzgerald, A. and Shwom, R. (2005).Environmental values. *Annual Review of Environmental Resources*, 30: 335-372
- DOA.(2005). Malaysian Farm Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practice (SALM) Standard. Federation of Malaysia
- DOA.(2007). Standard Skim Organik Malaysia (SOM) Malaysian Organic Scheme. Department of Agriculture: Putrajaya
- DOA. (2012a). Vegetables and Cash Crops Statistic Putrajaya, Malaysia: Department of Agribusiness
- DOA. (2012b, December 6th). Malaysian Good Agriculture Practice Scheme (SALM) and Organic Scheme (SOM) [Press Release]. Retrieved from http://www.moa.gov.my/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=64e6cc39-e018-4b35a3b3-cf220972a476andgroupId=41803
- DOA. (2013). *Statistik Tanaman (Sub Sektor Tanaman Makanan) 2013*. Putrajaya: Unit Perangkaan, Bahagian Perancangan, Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi.
- DOA.(2015a). Skim Amalan Ladang Baik Malaysia. Retrieved from http://pertanian.kedah.gov.my/index.php/en/2013-12-08-07-45-09/2013-12-08-08-03-59/malaysia-good-agriculture-scheme-mygap
- DOA. (2015b). A permanent food park programme (TKPM). Retrieved from http://www.doa.gov.my/projek-berimpak-tinggi
- DOA Kedah. (2015). Skim Amalan Ladang Baik Malaysia. Retrieved from http://mufti.kedah.gov.my/index.php/ms/

- DOF. (2015). Skim Pensijilan Ladang Akuakultur Malaysia. Retrieved from www.dof.gov.my
- Door, A.C. and Grote, U. (2009) .The role of certification in the Brazilian fruit sector. *Revista de Economia Contemporânea*. 13(3): 539-571
- DOS. (2013a, June 18). ACB-Good Manufacturing Practices for Food (GMP): Issue 1. Department of Standards Malaysia.
- DOS. (2013b). Agricultural Statistic: Sub Sector Food Plantation. DOA
- Dunn, J., Harper, J. and Kime, L. (2009). Fruit and vegetable marketing for small-scale and part-time growers. *Penn Extension*. Retrieved from http://extension.psu.edu/business/ag-alternatives/marketing/
- Economic Planning Unit. (2015). *Eleventh Malaysian Plan 2016-2020*. Kuala Lumpur: Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Berhad
- Economic Transformation Programme (2010). Chapter 15: Transitioning from Agriculture to Agribusiness. Retrieved from http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/
- European Food Information Council. (2015). The Safety Fresh Fruits and Vegetables. http://www.eufic.org/article/en/artid/fresh-fruit-vegetables/
- Euro Monitor International. (2013). Countries that consume the most vegetables [Data file]. Retrieved from http://www.euromonitor.com/
- Falconer, K. (2000). Farm-level constraints on agri-environmental scheme participation: a transactional perspective. *Journal of Rural Studies*. 16: 379-394
- FAMA. (2015). Malaysia's Best: Advantages of the Logo. Retrieved from http://www.fama.gov.my/en/kelebihan-logo
- FAO and WHO. (2004). *Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Workshop: Fruit and Vegetable for Health.* WHO Library Cataloguing: Kobe, Japan
- FAO.(1996). Control of water pollution from agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation: Rome
- FAO. (2003). Impact of international agricultural trade and gender equity: Selected country case study. *FAO Corporate Document Repository*.
- FAO. (2004a). Good Agricultural Practices: a working concept. Background paper for the FAO Internal Workshop on Good Agricultural Practices (October 27th to 29th). Rome, Italy.
- FAO.(2004b). *Fertilizer use by crop in Malaysia*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome.
- FAO. (2007a). Regulations, standards and certification for agricultural exports. RAP Publication: Rome, Italy
- FAO.(2007b). Vegetables and food security. In The vegetable sector in Thailand: A review. Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific.
- FAO. (2008). Introduction: What are Good Agricultural Practices? Retrieved From http://www.fao.org/prods/gap/
- FAO. (2010). Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) on horticultural production for extension staff in Tanzania. FAO: Rome, Italy

- FAOSTAT. (2014). Pesticide Use. Retrieved from http://faostat.fao.org/site/424/default.aspx#ancor
- FAOSTAT. (2015). Vegetables Primary: Production Crops. Retrieved from http://faostat3.fao.org/search/vegetable%20production/E
- Farmworker Justice. (2013). *Exposed and Ignored: How pesticides are endangering our nation's farmworkers*. Farmworker Justice: Washington
- Farmworker Justice. (2015). Pesticide safety. Retrieved from https://www.farmworkerjustice.org/content/pesticide-safety
- Fels-Klerx, H.J., Edwards, S.G., Kennedy, M.C., O'Hagan, S., O'Mahony, C., Scholz, G., Steinberg, P. and Chiodini, A. (2014). A framework to determine the effectiveness of dietary exposure mitigation to chemical contaminants. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*.74: 360-371
- Food Safety and Quality Division.(2001). *Gudelines for HACCP Certification*. Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- Food Safety News. (2011). Germany outbreak puts spotlight on raw produce. Retrieved from http://www.foodsafetynews.com/2011/06/germany-outbreak-puts-spotlighton-raw-produce/#.VI9DYHYrLIU
- Food Standard Agency. (March 1st, 2006). We're getting more health-conscious, survey shows. Retrieved from http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20120206100416/http://food.gov.uk/ne ws/newsarchive/2006/mar/consumer2005
- Ford, E.S., Mokdad, A.H., Goles, W.H. and Brown, D.W. (2003). The metabolic syndrome and antioxidant concentrations. Findings from the third national health and nutrition examination survey. *Diabetes*. 52: 2346-2352
- Fulton, D.C., Manfredo, M.J. and Lipscomb, J. (1996). Wildlife value orientations: a conceptual and measurement approach. Human Dimensions of Wildlife. 1(2): 24-47
- Gadini, S., Merzenich, H., Robertson, C. and Boyle, P. (2000). Meta-analysis of studies on breast cancer risk and diet: the role of fruit and vegetable consumption and the intake of associated micronutrients. *European Journal of Cancer*. 36: 636-646
- Garforth, C., McKemey, K., Rehman, T., Tranter, R., Cookie, R., Park, J., Dorward, P. and Yates, C. (2006).Farmers' attitudes towards techniques for improving oestrus detection in dairy herds in South West England. Livestock Science. 103(1-2): 158-168
- Gärling, T. Fujii, S., Gärling, A. and Jakobsson, C. (2003). Moderating effects of social value orientation on determinants of proemvironmental behaviour intention. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*.23: 1-9
- Gendlin, E.T. (1967). Values and the process of experiencing. In A. Mehrer (Eds.). The goals of psychotherapy (pp. 191-205). Appleton-Century: New York
- GLOBALG.A.P. (2011). *A year of initiatives and advances: GLOBALG.A.P Annual Report 2011.* GLOBALG.A.P: Cologne, Germany
- GLOBALG.A.P. (2015).History of GLOBALG.A.P. Retrieved from http://www.globalgap.org/uk_en/who-we-are/about-us/history/

- Godin, G., Conner, M. and Sheeran, P. (2005).Bridging the intention behaviour "gap": the role of moral norm. British Journal of Social Psychology. 44: 497-512
- Rezai, G., Mohamed, Z. A., Shamsudin, M. N., and FC, E. C. (2009). Concerns for halalness of halal-labelled food products among Muslim consumers in Malaysia: Evaluation of selected demographic factors. *Economic and Technology Management Review*, 4, 65-73.
- Ghosh, M.K. and Hasan, S.S. (2013). Farmers' attitude towards sustainable agricultural practices. *Bangladesh Research Publications Journal* 8 (4): 227-234
- Gurrieri, A. R., Lorizio, M., and Stramaglia, A. (2014). *Entrepreneurship Networks in Italy: The Role of Agriculture and Services*. Foggia, Italy: Springer.
- Hansla, A., Gamble, A., Juliusson, A., and Gärling, T. (2008). The relationships between awareness of consequences, environmental concern, and value orientations. *Journal* of environmental psychology, 28(1), 1-9.
- Hansson, H. and Lagerkvist, C.J. (2015).Identifying use and non-use values of animal welfare: evidence from Swedish dairy agriculture. 10: 35-42
- Harland, P., Staats, H., andWilke, H. A. (2007). Situational and personality factors as direct or personal norm mediated predictors of pro-environmental behavior: Questions derived from norm-activation theory. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 29(4), 323-334.
- Harris, P.J.C., Browne, A.W., Barrett, H.R. and Cadoret, K. (2001). Facilitating the inclusion of the resource-poor in organic production and trade: opportunities and a constraints posed by certification. Henry Doubleday Research Association: Coventry, UK
- Hatcher, L. (2003). Basic Statistic: Step by step using SAS Student Guide. SAS Institute, Inc.: North Carolina, USA
- He, F.J., Newson, C.A. and MacGregor, G.A. (2006). Fruit and vegetable consumption and stroke: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Lancet*. 367: 320-326
- Health and Safety Authority. (2015). Health and Safety Authority. Retrieved from http://www.oireachtas.ie/parliament/media/committees/agriculturefoodandthemari ne/Opening-Statement---Health-Safety-Authority-290115.pdf
- Healthwise. (2013). Vitamins: their functions and sources. Retrieved from http://www.emedicinehealth.com/
- Heid, P. (1999). The weakest go to the wall. Ecology and Farming. 22:8-10
- Herzfeld, T. Drescher, L.S and Grebitus, C. (2011).Cross-national adoption of private food quality standards. *Food Policy*. 36 (3): 401-411
- Higgins, J. (2004). The Radical Statistician. Brooks Cole Publishing: California, USA
- Hobbs, J. (2007) Incentives for the adoption of good agricultural practices. FAO: Rome
- Horovitz, B. (2015, Jan 19th). Younger folks want healthier food-and will pay for it. USA *Today*. Retrieved from http://www.usatoday.com/story/money/2015/01/19/healthy-food-nielsen-global-health--wellness-study/22000167/

- Hulley, S.B., Cummings, S.R., Browner, W.S., Grady, D.G., and Newman, T.B. (2013).Designing Clinical Research: Forth Edition. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins: Philadelphia
- IARC. (2003). *IARC Handbooks of cancer prevention: fruit and vegetables*. IARC Press: Lyon, France
- Index Mundi.(2015). Vegetables Imports by Country in US Dollars (Database). Retrieved from http://www.indexmundi.com/trade/imports/?division=05
- Islam, G. M. N., Arshad, F. M., Radam, A. and Alias, E. F. (2010). The effectiveness of good agricultural practices (GAP) in the production and marketing of tomatoes in Malaysia: Some evidences from Cameron Highlands, presented at International Conference on Marketing (ic-MAR 2010): Global Issues and Challenges. International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur
- Ives, C.D. and Kendal, D. (2013). Values and attitudes of the urban public towards periurban agriculture land. Land Use Policy. 34: 80-90
- Jacqueline A. Ashby (2001). Evaluating Technology with farmers: A Handbook. Centro International de Agricultura Tropical. Cali: Colombia.
- Jaffee, D. and Howard, P.H. (2010). Corporate competition of organic and fair trade standards. *Agriculture and Human Values*.27 (4): 387-99
- Jala, I. (2013, September 30). Agriculture is a sector that is still important to Malaysia's economy. The sector Star Online. Retrieved from http://www.thestar.com.my/Business/Business-News/2013/09/30/Agriculture-is-a-sector-that-is-still-important-to-Malaysias-economy/?style=biz
- Jansen, J., Borne, B.H.P.V.D, Renes, R.J., Schaik, G.V., Lam, T.J.G.M and Leeuwis, C. (2009). Explaining mastitis incidence in Dutch dairy farming: The influence of farmers' attitude and behavior. Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 92 (3): 210-223
- Johansson, M., Rahm, J. and Gyllin, M. (2013). Landowners' participation in biodiversity conservation examined through the value-belief-norm theory. 38(3): 295-311
- Kaltenborn, B.P. and Bjerke, T. (2002). Associations between environmental value orientations and landscape preferences. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. (59)1: 1-11
- Karipidis, P. and Tselempis, D. (2014). Farmers' intention to maintain quality certification. *EuroMed Journal of Busines*: 9(1), 93-110
- Kerr, N.L., Garst, J., Lewandowski, D.A. and Harris, S.E. (1997). That still, small, voice: commitment to cooperate as an internalized versus a social norm. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. 23:1300-1311
- Kersting, S. and Wollni, M. (2012). New institutional arrangements and standard adoption: evidence from small-scale fruit and vegetable farmers in Thailand. *Food Policy*.37(4): 452-462
- Khoram, M. R., Shariat, M., Azar, A., Moharamnejad, N., and Mahjub, H. (2006). Survey on knowledge, attitude and practice on sustainable agriculture among rural farmers in Hamadan Province, Iran. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*: 22(4), 701.

Kohn, M. L. (1969). Class and conformity. Homewood, Il.: Dorsey Press.

- Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a practical primer for *t*-tests and ANOVAs. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 4: 863
- Layaoen, M. G. and Manalo, J. A. (2008). Practices of farmers. In *Outsmarting rice pests and diseases*. Philippine Rice Research Institute: Philippines
- Layese, G.F. (2011). ASEAN Good Agricultural Practices: Food Safety Module. Department of Agriculture Philippines.
- Lind, H.B., Nordfjaern, T., Jorgensen, S.H. and Rundmo, T. (2015). The value-beliefnorm theory, personal norms and sustainable travel mode choice in urban areas. In Press, *Journal of Environmental Psychology*.
- Linder, P., Olsson, E.L., Johnsson, A., Dahlin, M., Andersson, G. and Carlbring, P. (2014). The impact of telephone versus e-mail therapist guidance on treatment outcomes, therapeutic alliance and treatment engagement in Internet-delivered CBY for depression: A randomised pilot trial. Internet Interventions. 1(4): 182-187
- Lockwood, M. (1998). Integrated value assessment using paired comparisons. *Ecological Economics*, 25(1), 73-87.
- Lock, K., Pomerleau, J., Causer, L., Altman, D.R. and McKee, M. (2005). The global burden of disease attributable to low consumption of fruit and vegetables: implications for the global strategy on diet. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 83 (2): 100-108
- Lockwood, M. (1999). Human valuing nature: synthesising insights from philosophy, psychology and economics. Environmental Values. 8: 381-401
- Lowe, J.P. and Taylor, J.Z. (2013). Barriers to HACCP amongst UK farmers and growers: an in-depth qualitative study. *British Food Journal*.115(2): 262-278
- Luning, P.A., Devlieghere, F., and Verhé, R. (2006). Safety in the agri-food chain. Wageningen Academic: Wageningen, The Netherlands
- Lomax, R. G. and Hahs-Vaughn, D.L. (2013). *Statistical concepts: A second course*. Routledge.
- Mad Nasir, S., Rezai, G., and Kit Teng, P. (2014). Public Attitude Toward Urban Agriculture in Malaysia: Study on Values and Knowledge in KlangValley. *Journal of Food Products Marketing*, 20(sup1), 35-48.
- Magnusson, M.K., Arvola, A., Hursti, U.K., Aberg, L. and Sloden, P. Choice of organic foods is related to perceived consequences for human health and to environmentally friendly behaviour. Appetite. 40(2):109-117
- McIntyre, N. Moore, J. and Yean, M. (2008). A place-based, values-centred approach to managing recreation on Canadian crown lands. *Society and Natural Resources*.(21): 657-670
- Meeting global standards. (2013, November 17). *The Star Online*. Retrieved from http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2013/11/17/Meeting-global-standards/
- Meuwissen, M.P.M, Huirne, R.B.M, and Hardaker, J.B. (2001). Risk and risk management: an empirical analysis of Dutch livestock farmers. Livestock Production Science. 69(1): 43-53

- Mills, J., Gaskell, P., Reed, M., Short, C., Ingram, J., Boatman, N., Jones, N., Conyers, S., Carey, P., Winter, M., and Lobley, M. (2013). Farmer attitudes and evaluation of outcomes to on-farm environmental management. Report to Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra). CCRI: Gloucester
- Mills, J., Ingram, J., Reed, M., Short, C., Gibbon, D., and Dwyer, J. (2008). Evaluation of key factors that lead to successful agri-environmental co-operative schemes. *Countryside and Community Research Institute*. Retrieved from http://www.ccri.ac.uk/agri-environmentco-operativeschemes/
- MOA.(2002). Good Farm Practice Scheme Malaysia (SALM). Retrieved from http://www.moa.gov.my/web/guest/salm
- MOA. (2010). *Economic Transformation Programme: A Roadmap for Malaysia*. Malaysia: Performance Management and Delivery Unit (PEMANDU).
- MOA. (2014a). 2014 May Activities. Retrieved from http://www.moa.gov.my/aktivititerkini1
- MOA.(2014b). MyGAP Guidelines. Putrajaya, Malaysia: Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-Based Industry Malaysia
- MOA. (2015). Malaysian good farm practice scheme. Retrieved from www.moa.gov.my
- MOH. (2010). *Malaysian Dietary Guidelines*. National Coordinating Committee on Food and Nutrition Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- MOH. (2013). Malaysian Dietary Guidelines for Children and Adolescents: Summary. National Coordinating Committee on Food and Nutrition Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- MOH (2014). Annual Reports 2004-2013. Planning Division, Health Informatics Centre, Ministry of Health, Malaysia. Downloaded form *www.moh.gov.my* on 6/11/2015
- MyMetro. (2013, September 17). MyGAP Galak Tingkat Daya Saing. *myMetro*. Retrieved from http://www2.hmetro.com.my/myMetro/articles/MyGAPgalaktingkatdayasaing/Arti cle/index_html
- Nabeshima, K., Michida, E., Vu, H.N. and Suzuki, A. (2015). Emergence of Asian GAPs and its relationship to Global G.A.P. An IDE Discussion Paper No. 507. Institute of Developing Economics
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. (2014, June 25). Foodborne Illness. The Clearinghouse.
- National Obesity Observatory. (2011). *Knowledge and attitudes towards healthy eating and physical activity: what the data tell us.* Oxford
- National Sustainable Agriculture Coalition. (2014). Good agricultural practices and good handling practices audit verification program. Retrieved from http://sustainableagriculture.net/publications/grassrootsguide/food-safety/good-agricultural-practices-and-good-handling-practices-audit-verification-program/
- New Strait Times. (1987, August 2nd). Threat over Highland greens. New Strait Times.
- NIOSH. (2015, August 14th). Pesticide illness and injury surveillance. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/pesticides/

- Nordlund, A.M. and Garvill, J. (2002). Value structures behind pro-environmental behaviour. Environment and Behavior. 34 (6): 740-756
- Norman, D.W., Worman, F.D., Siebert, J.D., and Modiakgotla, E. (1995) The Farming Systems Approach to Development and Appropriate Technology. Food and Agriculture of the United Nation: Rome, Italy
- Nunnally, J.O. (1978). Psychometric theory. McGraw-Hill: New York
- Nutrition Action Health letter. (2006). Fear of Fresh: How to avoid foodborne illness form fruits and vegetables. Retrieved from https://www.cspinet.org/nah/12_06/fearoffresh.pdf
- O'Ryan, M., Prado, V. and Pickering, J. K. (2005). Amillennium update onpediatric diarrheal illness in the developing world. *Seminars in Pediatric Infectious Diseases*. 16 (2): 125-136
- Pallant, J. (2011). SPSS Survival Manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS (4th ed.). Allen andUnwin: Crows Nest, Australia
- Pannell, D. J., Marshall, G. R., Barr, N., Curtis, A., Vanclay, F., and Wilkinson, R. (2006). Understanding and promoting adoption of conservation practices by rural landholders. *Animal Production Science*, 46(11), 1407-1424.
- Paul, J. and Rana, J. (2012). Consumer behavior and purchase intention for organic food. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*. 29: 412-422
- Pegas, F.D.V., Coghlan, A., Stronza, A. and Rocha, V. (2013). For love or for money> Investigating the impact of an ecotourism programme on local residents' assigned values towards sea turtles. *Journal of Ecotourism*. 12 (2): 90-106
- PEMANDU. (2013). EPP 7: Premium Fruits and Vegetables. http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/Agriculture-@-Agriculture__EPP_7-_Premium_Fruits_and_Vegetables.aspx
- PMA. (2014). Overview of the fresh fruit, vegetable and floral industries: Germany. Euromonitor International Ltd: Germany
- Price, J.C. and Leviston, Z. (2014).Predicting pro-environmental agricultural practices: The social, psychological and contextual influences on land management. *Journal* of *Rural Studies*. 34: 65-78
- Ramnauth, M., Driver, F. and Vial, P.B. (2008). Food safety management in the fish industry in Mauritius: knowledge, attitude and perception. *Food Safety Management*. 110(10): 989-1005
- Rangarajan, A., Bihn, E.A., Gravani, R.B., Scott, D.L. and Pritts, M.P. (2000) *Food Safety Begins on the Farm: A Grower's Guide*. The Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service: United States

Rescher, N. (1969). Introduction to Value Theory. New York: Columbia University

- Rezai, G., Mad Nasir, S., Zainalabidin, M. and Chong, S. A. (2014). Quality-labeled vegetable consumption in Malaysia: Factors affecting attitude and purchase intent. Journal of Food Products Marketing. 20: 1-12
- Robinson, G.M. and Carson, D.A. (2015) *Handbook on the Globalisation of Agriculture*. Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Gloucestershire, UK

Rokeach, M. (1973). The nature of human values (Vol. 438). New York: Free press.

- Rubia, J.M.D.L and O, A.V.D.L. (2014). Measurement of attitudes towards lesbians and gay men in students of health sciences from northeast Mexico. Journal of Behavior, Health and Social Issues. 6(1): 51-65
- Sadati, S. A., Shaabanali Fami, H., Asadi, A., and Sadati, S. A. (2010). Farmer's attitude on sustainable agriculture and its determinants: A case study in Behbahan County of Iran. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 2(5), 422-427.
- Salleh, M.M., Yunus, H. and Osman. (2007). Status and perspectives on good agricultural practices in Malaysia. Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI).
- Santos, N.F. and Costa, R.A. (2015). Parental tobacco consumption and child development. Journal de Pediatria. In Press: Socieda de Brasileira de Pediatria
- Schank, R. C. (1995). What we learn we learn by doing. In Technical Report No. 60. Northwestern University
- Schneeberger, W., Darnhofer, I., Eder, M., 2002. Barriers to the adoption of organic farming by cash-crop producers in Austria. American Journal Alternative Agriculture. 17 (1), 24–31
- Schneider, R.G., Schnerder, K.R. and Archer, D.L. (2011). Food Safety on the Farm: An Overview of Good Agricultural Practices. *The Institute of Food and Agricultural Science*.FSHN06-01
- Schultz, P.W. (2001). The structure of environmental concern: concern for self, other people, and the biosphere. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 21(4): 327-339
- Schwartz, S.H. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theory and empirical tests in 20 countries. In M. Zanna (Ed.). Advances in experimental social psychology (Vol. 25) (pp. 1-65). New York: Academic Press
- Schwartz, S. H. (1994). Are There Universal Aspects in the Structure and. Journal of social issues, 50(4), 19-45.
- Schwartz, S.H. (1996). Value priorities and behavior: Applying a theory of integrated value systems. In C. Seligman, J.M. Olson and M.P Zanna (Eds.), *The psychological* values: The Ontario Symposium, Vol. 8 (pp. 1-24). Hillsdale, New Jersey: Erlbaum
- Schwartz, S. H. (1977). Normative Influences on Altruism. Advances in experimental social psychology, 10: 221-279.
- Schwarz, N. and Bohner, G. (2001). *The construction of attitudes*. In A. Tesser and N. Schwarz (Eds.), Intrapersonal Processes. Blackwell Handbook of Social Psychology: Oxford, UK, pp. 435-457
- Schwartz, S.H. (2006a). Basic Human Values: An Overview: Basic Human Values: Theory, Methods and Applications. *Jerusalem Hebrew University*. 48 (1):49-71
- Schwartz, S. H. (2006b). Value orientations: Measurement, antecedents and consequences across nations. In Jowell, R., Roberts, C., Fitzgerald, R. and Eva, G. (Eds.) Measuring attitudes cross-nationally - lessons from the European Social Survey (pp.169-203). London, UK: Sage.

- Senate Thirteenth Parliament. (2013, November 17). *First Term Third Meeting of The Senate Thirteenth Parliament*. Retrieved from http://www.cljlaw.com/files/hansard/DN/pdf/DN-17122013.pdf
- Seymour, E., Curtis, A., Pannell, D., Roberts, A., Allan, C. (2008). Exploring community values assigned to natural assets on the Moolort Plains, Victoria. ISBN 978-1-86467-206-0
- Seymour, E., Curtis, A., Pannell, D., Allan, C. and Roberts, A. (2010) Understanding the role of assigned values in natural resource management. Australasian Journal of Environmental Management. 17(3): 142-153
- Shaner, W.W., Philipp, P.F., and Schmehl, W.R. (1982) Farming System Research and Development: Guidelines for Developing Countries. Westview Press: Boulder, USA
- Shaw, A., Strohbehn, C., Naeve, L., Domoto P. And Wilson, L. (2015). Knowledge gained from good agricultural practices courses for Iowa growers. *Journal of Extension*. 53 (5)
- Shepherd, A. W. (2004). The implications of supermarket development for horticultural farmers and traditional marketing systems in Asia. Paper presented to the FAO/AFMA/FAMA Regional Workshop on the Growth of Supermarkets as Retailers of Fresh Produce, Kuala Lumpur, October 4-7
- Sheskin, D.J. (2003). Handbook of: Parametric and Non Parametric Statistical Procedure (3rd Edn). Chapman and Hall: Florida, USA
- Siemon, George. (2006). "Options and Opportunities for Producers in Organic Agriculture." Paper presented at the "Organic Agriculture: Innovations in Organic Marketing, Technology, and Research" Symposium, Washington, DC, October 6-7. Available at:

http://www.plantmanagementnetwork.org/pub/cm/symposium/organics.

- Sierra, L., Klonsky, K., Strochlic, R., Brodt, S., 2008. Factors Associated with Deregistration Among Organic Producers in California. California Institute for Rural Studies, Davis, CA.
- SIRIM QAS International. (2012). Retrieved from www.sirim-qas.com.my
- Sistem Televisyen Malaysia Berhad TV3. (2013). Introduction to Jom Heboh Carnival. Retrieved from http://apps.tv3.com.my/jomheboh/pengenalan.aspx
- Siwar, C. and Hossian, M.A. (2001). Sustainable Agriculture in Malaysia: An Assessment. In Situation of Agriculture in Malaysia A Cause for Concern. Petaling Jaya, Selangor: Education and Research Association for Consumers Malaysia
- Soon, I. and Indramalar, S. (2015, March 28). Kelantan flood victims in makeshift shelters at the mercy of the elements. *The Star Online*. Retrieved from http://www.thestar.com.my/Lifestyle/People/2015/03/27/Flood-victims-in-Kelantan-continue-to-be-at-the-mercy-of-the-elements-in-their-makeshift-shelters/
- Southeast Farm Press. (2015, Jan 2nd). Several factors could join to boost fruit and vegetable demand. Retrieved from http://southeastfarmpress.com/vegetables
- Sperber, W. H., Stevenson K.E., Bernard, D.T., Deibel, K.E., Moberg, L.J., Hontz, L.R. and Scott, V. N. (1998). The role of prerequisite programs in managing a HACCP systems. *Dairy, Food and Environmental Sanitation*. 18: 418-423

R.13

- Sperber, W.H. (2005). HACCP does not work from farm to table. *Food Control*.16:515-514
- Stanley, R., Knight, C. and Bodnar, F. (2011). Experiences and challenges in the development of an organic HACCP system. Wageningen Journal of Life Science. 58: 117-121
- Steg, L., Dreijerink, L. and Abrahamse, W. (2005). Factors influencing the acceptability of energy policies: a test of VBN theory. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*.25 (4): 415-425
- Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., and Kalof, L. (1993). Value orientations, gender, and environmental concern. *Environment and behavior*, 25(5), 322-348.
- Stern, P.C., Kalof, L., Dietz, T., Guagnano, G.A. (1995). Values, beliefs and proenvironmental action: attitude formation towards emergent attitude objects. Journal of Applied Social Psychology. 25(18): 1611-1636
- Stern, P.C., Dietz, T., Abel, T., Guagnano, G.A. and Kalof, L. (1999). A value-beliefnorm theory of support for social movements: the case of environmentalism. *Research in Human Ecology*. 6(2): 81-97
- Stern, P. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social issues*, 56(3): 407-424.
- Strochlic, R., Sierra, L., 2007. Conventional, Mixed, and "Deregistered" Organic Producers: Entry Barriers and Reasons for Exiting Organic Production in California. California Institute for Rural Studies, Davis, CA
- Surnarayana, S. (2014). Majlis pelancaran tagline dan seminar kesedaran MyGAP bersama pengusaha dan pembekal TESCO Malaysia. *Department of Agriculture*.
- Tabachnick, B.G. and Fidell, L.S. (2007). Using multivariate statistics (5th edn). Pearson Education: Boston
- Technomic Inc. Healthy Eating Consumer Trend Report. (2014). Spotlight on Healthy Eating. *Technomic*.
- Tedrus, G.M.A.S., Fonseca, L.G. and Hoer, G.C. (2014). Spirituality aspects in patients with epilepsy. Seizure. 23(1): 25-28
- The New Straits Times. (2014, May 20). Farmers should embrace MyGAP. Retrieved from http://article.wn.com/view/2014/05/20/Farmers_should_embrace_MyGAP/
- The Star Online. (2013, November 17, 2013). Meeting Global Standards. *The Star Online*. Retrieved from http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2013/11/17/Meeting-global-standards/
- The Star Online. (2015, March 7th). The secret to keeping greens fresh in supermarket aisles. Retrieved from http://www.thestar.com.my/Lifestyle/Food/Features/2015/03/07/Keeping-greens-fresh-in-supermarket-aisles/
- The World Bank. (2015). Fertilizer consumption (kilograms per hectare of arable land). Retrieved from http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/AG.CON.FERT.ZS
- The World Vegetable Centre. (2005). Proceedings from *Increasing Market-oriented Vegetable Production*. Tashkent, Uzbekistan: AVRDC

- Theocharopoulos, A., Melfou, K. and Papanagiotou, E. (2012). Analysis of decision making process for the adoption of sustainable farming systems: the case of peach farmers in Greece. *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. 6 (1): 24-32
- Tibugari, H., Mandumbu, R., Jowah, P. And Karavina, C. (2012). Farmer knowledge, attitude and practice on cotton (*GossypiumhirsutumL.*) pest resistance management strategies in Zimbabwe. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*.45 (20): 2395-2405
- Tin, H. Q., Struik, P. C., Price, L. L., Tuyen, N. P., Hoan, N. P., and Bos, H. (2010). Increase of Farmers' Knowledge through Farmer Seed Production Schools in Vietnam as Assessed on the Basis of Ex-ante and Ex-post Tests. *Journal of* agricultural education and extension, 16(3), 229-247.
- Trumbo, C.W. and O'Keefe, G.J. (2001). Intention to conserve water: environmental values, planned behaviour, and information effects- a comparison of three communities sharing a watershed. Society and Natural Resources. 14: 889-899
- United Stated Department of Agriculture. (2007). Sustainable Agriculture: Definitions and Terms, Related Terms. Retrieved from http://afsic.nal.usda.gov/sustainable-agriculture-definitions-and-terms-related-terms
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2014). Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Retrieved from http://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/sources/agriculture.html
- Unnevehr, L.J., Miller, G.Y. and Gómez, M.I. (1999). Ensuring food safety and quality in farm-level production: emerging lessons from the pork industry. *American Agricultural Economics Association*. 18(5): 1096-1101
- USDA. (2004). Global Trade Patterns in Fruits and Vegetables. Economic Research Service USA
- Utusan Online. (2014, May 21st). Three farm subsectors received 2,400 MyGAP certificate. Retrieved from http://ww1.utusan.com.my/utusan/Dalam_Negeri/20140521/dn_20/Tiga-ladang-subsektor-terima-2400-sijil-MyGAP
- Vaughan, G., and Hogg, M. A. (2005). *Introduction to social psychology*. Pearson Education Australia.
- Veldstra, M.D., Alexander, C.E. and Marshall, M.I. (2014).To certify or not to certify? Separating the organic production and certification decisions. *Food Policy*. 49:429-436
- Verplanken, B. and Holland, R.W. (2002). Motivated decision making: Effects of activation and self-centrality of values on choices and behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.82:434-447
- Wathes, C., Anderson, R., Bennett, R., Baker, I., Berry, R., Don, J., English, P., Godbold, G., Harper, E., Henderson, D., Hogarth, G., Lawrence, A., Layton, R., Lister, S., Maunder, R., Morton, D., Andrew, N. Miriam, P., Potter, M., Ieiss, M., Smith, B., baughan, M., and Ward, M. (2005). *Report of the welfare implications of farm assurance schemes*. Farm animal Welfare Council: London, Page Street

- Watson, E. D. (2015, Sept 2nd). Younger consumers are trending toward more healthconscious food eating. *Huffpost Healthy Living*. Retrieved from http://www.huffingtonpost.com/elwood-d-watson/younger-consumers-aretre_b_6632166.html
- Weber, D. and Bergmann, H. (2011). Fundamental value positions and guānxirelationships as determinants for Chinese farmers' decision-making. Jahrbuch der Österreichischen Gesellschaftfür Agrarökonomie. 20(1): 127-136
- WFP.(2013). Smallholder farmers ready to sell beans to supermarket. Retrieved from https://www.wfp.org/purchase-progress/news/blog/smallholder-farmers-ready-sellbeans-supermarkets
- WHO. (2003a). World Health Report, Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Lives. WHO publications: Geneva, Switzerland
- WHO. (2003b). Fruit and vegetable promotion initiative: a meeting report. (2003, Aug 25-27). WHO publications: Geneva, Switzerland
- WHO. (2013). Global and regional food consumption patterns and trends. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/3_foodconsumption/en/
- Widegren, O. (1998). The new environmental paradigm and personal norms. *Environment and Behavior*.30:75-100
- World Cancer Research Fund. (2007). American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective (Chapter 4). AIR: Washington DC
- WWF. (2015). Agriculture is the leading source of pollution in many countries. Retrieved from http://wwf.panda.org/what_we_do/footprint/agriculture/impacts/pollution/
- Xiong, Y., Chen Lv, Z., and Ding, Y. (2013). Prevention of farmers' moral hazard in safe farming in China: by incentives or constraints? *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, 3(2), 131-150.
- Yamane, T. (1973). *Statistics: an introductory analysis*. New York, NY: Harper and Row.
- Zanoli, R., Gambelli, D. and Solfanelli, F. (2013). Assessing the risk of non-compliance in UK organic agriculture: An econometric analysis. *Non-compliance in UK organic* agriculture. 116(8): 1369-1382

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Publications

Elina W. G., Golnaz R., Zainalabidin M. and Juwaidah S. (2015). Vegetable Farmers and Malaysian Certification Scheme of Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP): Opinions and Barriers. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*. 9(32):35-39

Proceedings and Conferences

- Elina W. G., Golnaz R., Zainalabidin M. (2016). Possibility of Malaysian Good Agricultural Practices Certification Scheme (MyGAP) Endorsement: Vegetable Farmers' Socio-Demographical Factors. International Conference on Science and Technology (ICOSAT). Jakarta, Indonesia. November 17th, 2016.
- Elina W. G., Golnaz R., Zainalabidin M. and Mad Nasir S. (2015). Attitude and Knowledge of Malaysian Vegetable Farmers on Malaysian Certification Scheme of Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP). International Conference on Economics and Business Management (ICEBM). Bayview, Singapore. Novermber 6th, 2015.
- Elina W. G., Golnaz R., Zainalabidin M. and Juwaidah S. (2015). Vegetable farmers and Malaysian Certification Scheme of Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP): Opinions and Barriers. International Conference on Production and Quality Management (ICQPM 2015). Kuching, Sarawak. October 2nd – 3rd, 2015.
- Elina W. G., Golnaz R., and Zainalabidin M. (2015). Factors Influencing Vegetable Farmers' Attitude in Adopting Malaysian Certification Scheme for Good Agricultural Practices (MyGAP). International Seminar "Sustainable Agriculture and Agribusiness" in Study Program of Magister Agribusiness. Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia. July 11th, 2015.

 Elina W. G., Golnaz, R., Rika T. and Munirah, J. (2015). Effects of Socio-Demographic Profile on Student's Intention in becoming Agri-Entrepreneur. International Conference on Agri-Entrepreneurship Development: Issues and Trends (CAgE 2015). Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang. January 26th- 27th, 2015.