



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***DEVELOPMENT OF A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM TO  
PRESERVE *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) IN  
PELUS RIVER, PERAK, MALAYSIA***

**NUR SYAFIQAH BINTI CHE HUSSIN**

**FPAS 2016 10**



**DEVELOPMENT OF A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM TO  
PRESERVE *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) IN PELUS RIVER,  
PERAK, MALAYSIA**

By

**NUR SYAFIQAH BINTI CHE HUSSIN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**December 2016**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

**DEVELOPMENT OF A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM TO PRESERVE  
*Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) IN PELUS RIVER, PERAK,  
MALAYSIA**

By

**NUR SYAFIQAH BINTI CHE HUSSIN**

**December 2016**

**Chairperson: Assoc. Prof. Latifah Abd Manaf, PhD  
Faculty: Environmental Studies**

Over the past decades, water management has become a global issue and the challenges that relate are increasing globally. Malaysia, which is located at the equatorial zone, has abundant of freshwater resources. Despite that, the country faces challenges of water shortage due to anthropogenic activities. In order to maintain river health, river flow is considered as one of the most important factors to be considered. Environmental Flow Assessment (EFA) specifically determines the quantity, quality, and timing flow of water required over time to sustain water body ecosystems and human livelihoods as well as wellbeing that depend on overall ecosystem. However, with the growing complexity and uncertainty of river flows, it is a challenge for stakeholders as they are required to understand its natural diversity in order to preserve and conserve both goods and services. Hence, in this study, a Decision Support System (DSS) by using IF-THEN rule concept is established to assist decision makers to decide the best possible action in order to maintain the optimum flows. The system is developed specifically based on case study area in Pelus River, Perak as it is classified as an altered river due to dam operation that can directly or indirectly affect the environment. The *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) in Pelus River, Perak fulfils all the must-have criteria for a target fish species. The system has three different modules that cover analysis in the aspects of hydrology, hydraulic, and ecology. Module of river classification implements backward chaining process, which aims to identify the problem parameters in the analysis of river classification. The second module applies Multiple Linear Regression analysis to determine the relationship between hydraulic and ecology aspects in river flow analysis. For the third module, the prediction of minimum river flow based on Mean Annual Minimum analysis is conducted. The verification and validation process of the system is conducted in Pelus River, Perak. The system is also verified by the experts in the respective field which involves evaluation on system functioning and user friendliness aspects. In conclusion, this system is absolutely convenient, comprehensive, and could assist better decision making which then become useful tools in solving problems of river management, specifically in Pelus River in order to preserve the target species, *N. hexagonolepis*.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Sarjana Sains

**PEMBANGUNAN SISTEM SOKONGAN KEPUTUSAN BAGI MEMELIHARA  
*Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) DI SUNGAI PELUS, PERAK,  
MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**NUR SYAFIQAH BINTI CHE HUSSIN**

**Disember 2016**

**Pengerusi: Prof. Madya Latifah Abd Manaf, PhD  
Fakulti: Pengajian Alam Sekitar**

Sejak beberapa dekad yang lalu, pengurusan air telah menjadi satu isu yang cabarannya semakin meningkat di peringkat global. Malaysia yang terletak di zon khatulistiwa yang mempunyai banyak sumber air tawar. Walaupun begitu, negara masih menghadapi cabaran kekurangan air akibat dari aktiviti antropogenik. Dalam usaha untuk mengekalkan kesihatan sungai, aliran sungai dianggap sebagai salah satu faktor yang paling penting dan perlu dipertimbangkan. Penilaian Aliran Alam Sekitar (*EFA*) khusus menentukan kuantiti, kualiti, dan aliran masa air yang diperlukan dari masa ke masa untuk mengekalkan ekosistem sungai dan kehidupan manusia serta kesejahteraan yang bergantung kepada ekosistem tersebut. Walau bagaimanapun, dengan kerumitan yang semakin meningkat dan ketidaktentuan aliran sungai, mewujudkan satu cabaran bagi mereka yang bertanggungjawab terhadap perkara ini kerana mereka perlu memahami kepelbagaian semula jadi bagi memelihara dan memulihara perkhidmatan tersebut. Oleh itu, dalam kajian ini, Sistem Sokongan Keputusan (SSK) dengan menggunakan konsep peraturan JIKA- KEMUDIAN diwujudkan bagi membantu pembuat keputusan untuk memutuskan tindakan yang terbaik dalam mengekalkan aliran optimum. Sistem ini dibangunkan khusus berdasarkan kawasan kajian iaitu di Sungai Pelus, Perak kerana sungai ini diklasifikasikan sebagai sungai yang diubah disebabkan operasi empangan yang sebenarnya telah memberi kesan kepada alam sekitar secara langsung atau tidak langsung. Spesis ikan yang dikenali sebagai *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) terdapat di dalam Sungai Pelus dipilih sebagai ikan sasaran, berdasarkan kriteria yang telah digariskan. Sistem ini mempunyai tiga modul yang berbeza yang meliputi analisis dalam aspek hidrologi, hidraulik, dan ekologi. Modul klasifikasi sungai melaksanakan proses rantaian ke belakang, yang bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti parameter bermasalah dalam analisis pengkelasan sungai. Modul kedua mengaplikasikan *Multiple Linear Regression (MLR)* dalam menentukan hubungan antara aspek hidraulik dan ekologi bagi analisis aliran sungai. Bagi modul ketiga adalah berkaitan ramalan aliran sungai minimum berdasarkan analisis Purata Minimum Tahunan dijalankan. Pengesahan dan validasi proses untuk sistem ini dijalankan di Sungai Pelus, Perak. Selain itu, sistem ini juga disahkan oleh pakar-pakar yang terlibat dalam bidang ini yang

merangkumi kelancaran fungsi sistem dan aspek kemesraan pengguna. Kesimpulannya, sistem ini sangat memudahkan pengguna, menyeluruh dan dapat membantu pengguna untuk membuat keputusan yang lebih baik dan seterusnya boleh menjadi alat yang sangat berguna dalam menyelesaikan masalah pengurusan sungai, khususnya di Sungai Pelus, Perak disamping memelihara spesies sasaran iaitu *N. hexagonolepis*



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful*

All praise is due to ALLAH, the Lord of the worlds. I thank Him for the opportunities, trials, and strength that are showered over me during my study. His works are truly splendid and wholesome, and His knowledge is truly complete with due perfection.

I would like to express my greatest appreciation and heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Latifah Abd Manaf for her guidance, advice, support, patience, and willingness to spend time to guide me throughout my study. Also, my appreciation and sincere gratitude to my co-supervisor, Dr. Rohaizah Jamil for the motivation, kind-cooperation, and thoughtful suggestions to improve my study. My sincere appreciation also goes to the lecturers of Faculty of Environmental Studies and Faculty of Science of Universiti Putra Malaysia, staff of the Department of Irrigation and Drainage, National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia, Department of Environment, and National Water Service Commission who directly and indirectly contribute to this study.

I also wish to extend my sincerest appreciation and gratitude to my beloved parents Che Hussin bin Che Noh and Che Salmah binti Ismail, who have always been my source of inspiration and motivation. Without their invaluable sacrifice, I would not be able to achieve what I have today. Also, my appreciation to my other family members, Muhammad Noh Hilmi, Muhammad Noh Hamzi, Muhammad Noh Haqim, Suwaibatul Asslamiah, and my dearest nephew, Umar Azziyad and Amna Syuhada for their continuous moral support and love, which give me immeasurable strength throughout my study.

Last but not least, this appreciation also goes to my helpful and supportive friends, Fadilah, Fatma, YingChiee, KarMun, Nurjaliah, Farhana, Zarith, Syakirin, and Hazman, for their wise guardian and support throughout my study. To those who directly and indirectly contributed to this study, your kindness means so much to me. Thank you.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met to conduct the final examination of Nur Syafiqah binti Che Hussin on her thesis entitled Development of Decision Support System to Preserve *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) in Pelus River, Perak in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Degree of Master Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Ahmad Makmom bin Abdullah, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Wan Nor Azmin bin Sulaiman, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Mohd Razman bin Salim, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia  
(External Examiner)

**REPLACE**

---

**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
Date: 6 July 2017



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Latifah Abd Manaf, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Nor Rohaizah Jamil, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- This thesis is my original work;
- Quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- This thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- Intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- Written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- There is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Nur Syafiqah binti Che Hussin, GS39167

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia \*Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature:

Name of Chairman of  
Supervisory Committee:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Latifah Abd Manaf  
\_\_\_\_\_

Signature:

Name of Member of  
Supervisory Committee:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Nor Rohaizah Jamil  
\_\_\_\_\_

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>Page</b>
<i>ABSTRAK</i>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	ii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	iv
<b>DECLARATION</b>	v
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiii
	xv

### CHAPTER

1	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Decision Support System as Solution	3
	1.4 Objective of Study	4
	1.5 Scope of Study	4
	1.6 Thesis Organization	4
2	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 River Management in Malaysia	6
	2.2.1 Crisis in River Management	8
	2.3 National Water Resource Policy	10
	2.3.1 Policy Statement	10
	2.3.2 Policy Rationale	10
	2.3.3 Policy Principle	11
	2.3.4 Policy Objective	11
	2.4 Main Institutions Involved in River Management	12
	2.4.1 Department of Irrigation and Drainage	12
	2.4.2 National Water Service Commission	13

	2.4.3	National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia	13
	2.5	Benchmarking River Quality in Malaysia	13
	2.5.1	Water Quality Index	14
	2.5.2	JPS River Index	15
	2.6	Environmental Flows of River	17
	2.6.1	The Components of EFA	17
	2.7	Integration of Decision Support System in River Management	19
	2.7.1	Types of DSS	21
	2.7.2	Components of DSS	22
	2.7.3	Stages of DSS Development	23
	2.7.4	Application of DSS	25
3		<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
	3.1	Introduction	27
	3.2	Study Area	28
	3.3	Development of DSS Prototype	29
	3.3.1	Textual Sources	29
	3.3.2	Research Publications	31
	3.3.3	Domain Experts	32
	3.4	Development Stages of DSS Model	33
	3.4.1	Problem Assessment	35
	3.4.2	Knowledge Acquisition and Analysis	35
	3.4.3	Prototype Development	36
	3.4.4	Expansion and Refinement	43
	3.4.5	Verification and Validation	43
	3.5	Decision Support System Development Tools	44
	3.5.1	Adobe Dreamweaver	44
	3.5.2	PHP	46
	3.5.3	MySQL	48
4		<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
	4.1	Introduction	49
	4.2	Architecture of Prototype	49
	4.3	User Registration	49
	4.4	Consultation Session for System	52

4.5	Data Record	53
4.6	River Class Module	56
4.6.1	Backward Chaining Concept	56
4.7	Eco-Hydraulic Module	64
4.7.1	Environmental Flow Modelling	64
4.7.2	IF THEN Rules Application	67
4.8	Minimum Flow Module	68
4.8.1	IF THEN Rules Application	69
4.9	Summary Report and Recommendation of System	70
4.10	User Feedback towards System	72
4.11	Validation and Verification Process	73
4.11.1	Case Study for Validation and Verification of System: Pelus River, Perak	73
4.12	User Interface	81
4.13	Targeted End User	81
4.14	Overall Effectiveness of the System	82
5	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	
5.1	Conclusions	83
5.2	Recommendation for Further Study	84
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	84
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	94
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	131
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	132

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	DOE Water Quality Index classification	14
2.2	DOE Water Quality classification based on WQI	14
2.3	River Status classification based on JRI and WQI	15
2.4	JPS River Indexes (JRI) classification	16
3.1	List of textual sources	29
3.2	List of research publications	31
3.3	List of human experts and their organizations.	32
3.4	Task for the development of prototype	36
3.5	Equations for Sub-Index Parameters in WQI analysis	38
3.6	Equations for Sub-Index Parameters in JRI analysis	39
3.7	Fish species collected, their number and sites of capture in Pelus River	40
4.1	Example of the rules of backward chaining in the System	58
4.2	Working Memory of the system	59
4.3	R <sup>2</sup> values and p-values for each generated formula	65
4.4	Status Condition Guidelines for <i>N. hexagonolepis</i> based on River Flows	66
4.5	Equation for minimum flow prediction based on return period	69
4.6	Detected problem parameter in WQI analysis	75
4.7	Explanation on main factor contribute to the high level of AN concentration in case study area	77
4.8	Prediction of low flows	79
4.9	The Optimum condition for <i>N. hexagonolepis</i>	80

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figures		Page
2.1	Map of Polluted River Basins in Malaysia	7
2.2	Graph of Selected Polluted River Basin in Malaysia	8
2.3	Decision Support System Components	22
2.4	Decision Support System Stages	24
3.1	Flow Diagram of Prototype Consultation Process	27
3.2	Map of Pelus River Catchment	28
3.3	Process Development of Decision Support System	34
3.4	Flowchart for the Backward Chaining Concept in the Prototype	37
3.5	<i>Neolissochilus hexagonolepis</i> (McClelland, 1839).	42
3.6	Example of Design View of Adobe Dreamweaver	45
3.7	Example of Split View of Adobe Dreamweaver	45
3.8	Example of Code View in Adobe Dreamweaver	46
3.9	Example of PHP code in the Prototype	47
3.10	Interaction between MySQL, PHP, and HTML	48
4.1	Main Interface of the System	50
4.2	Description on <i>Neolissochilus hexagonolepis</i> (McClelland, 1839) Interface	50
4.3	Pop-out Window Requiring Users to Log in Before Data Analysis	51
4.4	Sign Up Interface of the System	51
4.5	Log In Interface of the System	52
4.6	Flow Chart of the System Consultation Stage	53
4.7	Data Input Interface of the System	55
4.8	Pop-out Window Requiring Users to Insert Data in the System	54
4.9	Input Summary Interface of the System	55



4.10	Data Selection Interface of the System	56
4.11	Flowchart for Backward Chaining in the System	57
4.12	Example of WQI Interface Output in the System	59
4.13	Example of JRI Interface Output in the System	60
4.14	Formulas for Normal Season	65
4.15	Formulas for Dry Season	65
4.16	Example of IF-THEN Rule in Eco-Hydraulic Module	67
4.17	Example of Eco-Hydraulic Output Interface in the System	68
4.18	Example of IF-THEN Rule in Minimum Flow Module	69
4.19	Example of Minimum Flow Module Output Interface in the System	70
4.20	Summary Result Interface of the System	71
4.21	Example of Recommendation Interface in the System	71
4.22	Example of Print Function in the System	72
4.23	Example of Contact Interface in the System	72
4.24	Summary of Certain Input Data from Pelus River, Perak	74
4.25	Result of WQI in the System	75
4.26	The system identified AN as one of problem parameter in the analysis	76
4.27	The identification of contribution factor of AN concentration in case study area	76
4.28	The agricultural activities detected as main factor to high concentration of AN in case study area	77
4.29	Result of JRI in the System	78
4.30	Result of <i>Neolissochilus hexagonolepis</i> (McClelland, 1839) Condition in the System	79
4.31	Result of Minimum Flow Module Analysis in the System	80
4.32	Recommendation Based on Result Analysis in the System	81

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI	Artificial Intelligence
AN	Ammoniacal Nitrogen
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
DBMS	Database Management System
DEIA	Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DOE	Department of Environment
DPSIR	driving force-pressure-state-impact-state-response
DSS	Decision support system
EFA	Environmental Flow Assessment
GDSS	Group Decision Support System
GIS	Geographic Information System
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HTML	HyperText Markup Language
IDE	Integrated Development Environment
INWQS	Interim National Water Quality Standards
IRBM	Integrated River Basin Management
JPS	Jabatan Pengairan dan Saliran
JRI	JPS River Index
MAM	Mean Annual Minimum

MAR	Minimum Annual Rainfall
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
MLR	Multiple Linear Regression
MOA	Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-based Industry
NAHRIM	National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia
NGO	Non-Government Organizations
NRE	Ministry of National Resources and Environment
NWRP	National Water Resource Policy
PCs	Personal Communications System
PHP	Personal Home Page
NWSC	National Water Service Commission
SF	Specific Flow
SI <sub>AN</sub>	Sub-Index for Ammoniacal Nitrogen
SI <sub>BO</sub>	Sub-Index for Biochemical Oxygen Demand
SI <sub>COD</sub>	Sub-Index for Chemical Oxygen Demand
SI <sub>DO</sub>	Sub-Index for Dissolved Oxygen
SI <sub>pH</sub>	Sub-Index for pH
SI <sub>SF</sub>	Sub-index for specific flow
SI <sub>TDS</sub>	Sub-index for TDS
SI <sub>TSS</sub>	Sub-index for Total Suspended Solid
SI <sub>Turb</sub>	Sub-index for Turbidity
SS	Suspended Solids
SQL	Structured Query Language
TDS	Total Dissolved Solid

TSS	Total Suspended Solid
Turb	Turbidity
UM	University of Malaya
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
Web	World Wide Web
WFD	Water Framework Directive
WQI	Water Quality Index



© COPYRIGHT UPM

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of Study

Over the past decades, water management has become a global issue and its challenges are increasing globally. Water is the most delicate part of the environment, which is essential for human and industrial development. Water resources development is a catalyst for socioeconomic development in a country. Increasing population leads to an increase in the demand of safe water supply. This valuable resource needs to be managed in a sustainable manner in order to ascertain a sustainable development of human population in an environment with finite amount of resources. In most parts of the world, the water situation has changed from one relative abundance to one of scarcity (Abidin, 2004; Clark et al., 2007; Afroz et al., 2014).

The main crisis of water issue is not about having too little water to satisfy public needs but it is a crisis of managing water that involves billions of people and the whole environment suffers from it (Abidin, 2004). The consequences from human activities such as degrading water storage, decline in water quality, and decreasing conveyance capacity affect the river corridors and consequently, fluctuates the quantity and quality of the habitat. Besides that, any forecasted changes to flow regimes also contribute to the increasing pressure of freshwater biodiversity. In order to maintain the river health, the river flow must be considered as an important factor, as the river flow has to be in balance with the dependent ecological services such as fish abundance (Clark et al., 2007; Summers et al., 2015).

The term 'environmental flow' is usually used to emphasize the flow (high, medium, and low flow) needed to be maintained, which highlights the freshwater allocation in rivers to bear with the necessary human well-being, ecological, and geomorphological conditions. Specifically, the process to identify this flow is known as 'environmental flow assessment' (Davis & Hijri, 2003; Dunbar et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2014). In Malaysia, environmental flow is not prescribed in the national legislation but under general term, only as framework laws. It is considered as minimum in-stream flow required by the water diversion for downstream. Eventually, all developers have to present this approach as a part of the Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment (DEIA) for their Water Protection Plans. Legislation developments in Malaysia tend to consider environmental flows in the perspective of environmental sustainability. In the context of sustainable development, it is considered as one of the basic principles in the search for ways to multiple and challenging the uses of water with environmental protection (Toriman, 2010).

## 1.2 Problem Statement

In Malaysia, river health is one of the most common highlighted issues. Today, supplying freshwater to consumers is considered a challenge with the increasing demand of 4 percent annually and it is expected to reach about 20 billion m<sup>3</sup> by 2020. Studies indicated that in future, extensive freshwater treatment is needed and the cost will be absorbed to the public since 97 percent of freshwater that we depend on are from rivers (Afroz et al., 2014). This fact alone is enough to make the government, stakeholders, and the public conscious about the future conditions of rivers (Ujang et al., 2008).

Although Malaysia is known for its abundance of freshwater sources, however, this availability is not guaranteed to be adequate for all users (Afroz et al., 2014). Human impoundments such as dams, diversion, weirs and extraction for urban and agriculture supply, and natural disturbance modify the river flows. This condition impairs the distribution and abundance of aquatic life forms, ecological integrity of the river ecosystem, and water quality of receiving waters. As a result, this situation increases the vulnerability especially to the poor who depend such services for their livelihoods (Davis & Hijri, 2003; Martin et al., 2014). The uncertainties in the river flows variability turns out to be more serious with additional pressure from external climate change drivers and the availability of non-consumptive uses such as environmental conservation and recreation (Arthington et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2014).

Environmental flow assessment (EFA) is a method to assist in and maintaining the river health. The assessment determines the amount of river flow needed for sustainable uses. Normally, EFA is implemented for rivers where there are development plans, or for a river that requires health improvement. EFA is important in maintaining river health as it specifically determines the quantity, quality, and timing of the river flows required over time to sustain water body ecosystems and human livelihoods that depend on this ecosystem. However, in order to achieve optimum level of environmental flow, the water managers require time to analyze all the causative factors. These challenges are added with the other difficulties of EFA that lies in the lack of understanding in the relationship between river flow and multiple components of river ecology and scarcity of data (Mazvimavi et al., 2007).

The stakeholders have become more interested in knowledge model generation to integrate in the decision making process, which could address wider aspects of environmental management (Jackson et al., 2015). Advanced technology tools facilitate systematic river management in order to ensure the sustainability of Malaysian water resources. The tools must have criteria that can improve consistency, transparency, and process clarity in the water management. With that, the validity of this technology could be depended on and accepted with identification of the limitations of tools involved (Andreu et al., 1996).

### 1.3 Decision Support System as a Solution

Decision support system (DSS) is defined as a computer information system that typically focuses on how information technology supports users to make decision effectively from a provided application domain through analytical decision process with the access to the databases for complex and ill-structured tasks (Labadie, 2006). The emergence of DSS marks the initiation of information system, specifically on the decision support purpose of top management (Tian et al., 2007).

There are two different areas of DSS before it evolved significantly since their development in the 1970s. The first one is at the Carnegie Institute of Technology in the late 1950s and early 1960s, where the organizational decision making focused on theoretical studies. The second is during 1960s at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), which focused on technical work (Shim et al., 2002). On the other hand, the components of classic DSS tool designs are (i) the capabilities of sophisticated database management with access on both internal and external data, knowledge, and information, (ii) model management system access by powerful modelling functions, and (iii) interactive queries, reporting, and graphing functions that enable simpler yet powerful user interface designs. In each of this domain, much research and practical design effort are to be conducted (Shim et al., 2002).

Additionally, there are broad range capabilities presented by the modern DSS to their users. Decision tasks facilitate from wide variety of current DSS, which include sensitivity analysis, collaboration, information gathering and analysis, alternative evaluation, model building, and decision implementation (Bhargava et al., 2007). The World Wide Web (Web) provides opportunities for DSS particularly on information dissemination to decision-makers. This process enables DSS to be more efficient and widely used (Shim et al., 2002).

Generally, in the scope of river basin management, DSS acts as a tool to aid the stakeholders in gaining better understanding in the corresponding complex river basin systems, which involve multiple jurisdictional entities. All criteria that have impacts to the river health need to be considered in system assessment. The evaluation of environmental, ecology, economic, and institution/legal impacts, which are related to river management and development scenarios, are needed (Acreman & Dunbar, 2004; Labadie, 2006).

In fact, DSS for environmental flow assessment has the potential to be modified in the most interactive way to become a useful software, which targets most water resource managers and researchers particularly in this country. In the aspect of economic contributions, this project is cost-efficient in terms of revenue from consultancies and sales of manufactures products, as well as time-efficient.



## **1.4 Objectives of Study**

The general objective of this study is to develop a prototype of Decision Support System (DSS) for environmental flow assessment. The specific objectives of this study are as follows:

- i. To structure the knowledge based for the domain of environmental flow assessment
- ii. To evaluate the effectiveness of prototype DSS by conducting a case study

## **1.5 Scope of Study**

The study specifically focuses on developing a prototype in order to facilitate the river management based on environmental flow assessment particularly in Malaysia. This approach is actually well known in other countries, but for Malaysia, it is only recently introduced. Thus, this study becomes an important benchmark for Malaysia in the advancement of river management system. Further studies for enhancing the prototype should be conducted for more complex management of river, considering multiple aspects of management. In the future, this system will become one of important approaches for the stakeholders to manage river systems.

Generally, this study considers three different components in the environmental flow assessment, which are hydrology, hydraulic, and ecology aspects of the river system. Each modular in the system implements IF-THEN rule because this rule is easier for users to understand. Besides that, backward-chaining concept is also considered in this study, which is emphasized in the first modular of the system. The application of programming language such as HTML, PHP, and MySQL ensures the system to be developed in the systematic manner, and enables the users to simply access the system through the internet. The system has three different modules, where users are presented with suggestions and recommendations as guideline in handling the related river flow issues at the final stage of system analysis. Last but not least, the system is verified and validated through the selected case study area in Malaysia.

## **1.6 Thesis Organization**

This study aims to develop a prototype of decision support system for environmental flow assessment for river management in Malaysia. The thesis consists of five chapters, which are organised as follows:

Chapter 2 further explains on the background of study, specifically the scope that must be considered for environmental flow assessment and decision support system. The



discussion also involves the river management in Malaysia with the present river conditions. Besides that, discussion on integration of DSS with the river management system is also highlighted in this chapter.

Chapter 3 further explains about methodology involved in this study. The discussion focuses on the development of prototype by the application of IF-THEN rule with the analysis of EFA. The discussion also involves the knowledge acquisition process as well as the selection of DSS prototype tools.

Chapter 4 generally discusses about the results of system presented in this study. This chapter specifically describes the architecture of prototype with the developed modules. Detailed discussion on the validation and verification process to the targeted users in the selected study area, Pelus River, Perak is presented. The overall effectiveness of the system is highlighted in this chapter.

Chapter 5 presents the conclusion of this study. In this chapter, recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of the system for more complex river management system of Malaysia are presented.

## REFERENCES

- Abidin, R. Z. R. Z. (2004). Water resources management in Malaysia - the way forward. *Asia Water 2004*.
- Acreman, M. C., & Dunbar, M. J. (2004). Defining environmental river flow requirements – a review. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 8(5), 861–876. doi:10.5194/hess-8-861-2004
- Afroz, R., Masud, M. M., Akhtar, R., & Duasa, J. B. (2014). Water pollution: Challenges and future direction for water resource management policies in Malaysia. *Environment and Urbanization Asia*, 5(1), 63–81. doi:10.1177/0975425314521544
- Amal, M.N.A. (2015). Fish Species Collected - *The Number and Sites of Capture in Pelus River*.
- Ambak, M. A., & Jalal, K. C. A. (2006) Sustainability issues of reservoir fisheries in Malaysia. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health and Management*. 9: 165–174.
- Andreu, J., Capilla, J., & Sanchís, E. (1996). AQUATOOL, a generalized decision-support system for water-resources planning and operational management. *Journal of Hydrology*, 177(3-4), 269–291. doi:10.1016/0022-1694(95)02963-X
- Arthington, A. H., Bunn, S. E., Poff, N. L., & Naiman, R. J. (2006). The challenge of providing environmental flow routes to sustain river ecosystems. *Ecological Applications*, 16(4), 1311–1318.
- Barnes, K., Meyer, J., & Freeman, B. J. (1998). Description of commonly considered water quality constituents. *Watershed Protection Plan Development Guidebook*, 1–11. Retrieved from [www.gaepd.org/Files\\_PDF/techguide/wpb/devwtrplan\\_b.pdf](http://www.gaepd.org/Files_PDF/techguide/wpb/devwtrplan_b.pdf)
- BASIN. (2007). *General information on solids*. Retrieved from <http://bcn.boulder.co.us/basin/data/NEW/info/TDS.html> on 5th November 2015.
- Bhargava, H. K., Power, D. J., & Sun, D. (2007). Progress in web-based decision support technologies. *Decision Support Systems*, 43, 1083–1095. doi:10.1016/j.dss.2005.07.002
- Botha, N., & Atkins, K. (2006). The design, utility and adoption of decision support systems in the New Zealand pastoral industry. *Australasia Pacific Extension*

Network in Beechworth, Victoria, Australia.

- Breu, F., Guggenbichler, S., & Wollmann, J. (2008). Total suspended solids. *Vasa*. Retrieved from <http://medcontent.metapress.com/index/A65RM03P4874243N.pdf>
- Brian, Z. (2014). 21. *The Benefits of Using PHP in Web Development*. Retrieved from <https://yashi.com/blog/benefits-using-php-web-development> on 5<sup>th</sup> January 2016.
- Bunn, S. E., & Arthington, A. H. (2002). Basic principles and ecological consequences of altered flow regimes for aquatic biodiversity. *Environmental Management*. doi:10.1007/s00267-002-2737-0
- Chuan, A. S., Weng, C. N., Mansor, M., Samat, N., Hin, L. S., Chan, P., & Water, M. G. (2013). Cabaran dalam kepimpinan pengurusan sungai di Malaysia. *Prosiding Seminar Serantau Ke-2 Pengurusan Persekitaran Di Alam Melayu*.
- Clark, J. S., Rizzo, D. M., Watzin, C., & Hession, W. C. (2007). Spatial distribution and geomorphic condition of fish habitat in streams: An analysis using hydraulic modelling and geostatistics. *River Research and Applications*, 7, 189. doi:10.1002/rra
- Davis, R., & Hijri, R. (2003). *Water resources and environment technical note C.2 environmental flows : Case studies*. Washington, D. C.: The World Bank.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage - DID. (1985). Magnitude and frequency of low flows in Peninsular Malaysia (Revised and Updated). *Hydrological Procedure No. 12*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Jabatan Percetakan Negara.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage - DID. (2009). Study on the river water quality trends and indexes in Peninsular Malaysia. *Water resources publication No.21*. Water Resources Management and Hydrology Division Department of Irrigation and Drainage Ministry Of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage - DID. (2012). *Pengukuran Kualiti Air Sungai Berdasarkan JPS River Index (JRI) di Lembangan Sungai Klang*. Malaysia: Water Resource Management and Hydrology.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage - DID. (2015). *Our background*. Retrieved from <http://www.water.gov.my/about-us-mainmenu-243/profile/our-background-mainmenu-508?lang=en> on 5<sup>th</sup> June 2015.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage - DID. (2015). *River basin management*.

Retrieved from <http://www.water.gov.my/about-us-mainmenu-243/divisions/river-mainmenu-543?lang=en> on 5<sup>th</sup> June 2015.

Decision Support System - DSS. (2010a). *Advantages of Decision Support System*. Retrieved from <http://dssystem.blogspot.my/2010/01/advantages-of-decision-support-system.html> on 14<sup>th</sup> January 2016.

Decision Support System - DSS. (2010b). *Components of Decision Support Systems*. Retrieved from <http://dssystem.blogspot.my/2010/01/components-of-decision-support-systems.html> 14th January 2016.

Decision Support System - DSS. (2010c). *Disadvantages of Decision Support System*. Retrieved from <http://dssystem.blogspot.my/2010/01/disadvantages-of-decision-support.html> 14th January 2016.

Dunbar, M. J., Acreman, M., & Kirk, S. (2004). Environmental flow setting in England and Wales: Strategies for managing abstraction in catchments. *Water and Environment Journal*, 18(1), 5–10. doi:10.1111/j.1747-6593.2004.tb00484.x

Environment Agency. (2010). Fluvial Design Guide-Chapter 2. Hydrology. Low Flow Analysis – Water Level and Flow Control. Retrieved from <http://evidence.environment-agency.gov.uk/FCERM/en/FluvialDesignGuide/Chapter2.aspx?pagenum=6> on 12th November 2015.

EnviroSci Inquiry. (2011). Total dissolved solids. Retrieved from <http://www.ei.lehigh.edu/envirosci/watershed/wq/wqbackground/tdsbg.html> on 8th November 2015.

Froese, R. & Pauly, D. (2015). FishBase. World Wide Web Electronic Publication. Retrieved from <http://www.fishbase.org/> on 26<sup>th</sup> March 2015.

Giarratano, J. C., and Riley, G. D. (2005). *Expert Systems, Principles and Programming*. Boston: Thomson – Course Technology.

Gilvear, D. J., Heal, K. V., & Stephen, A. (2002). Hydrology and the ecological quality of Scottish River ecosystems. *Science of the Total Environment*, 294(1-3), 131–159. doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00060-8

Giupponi, C. (2007). Decision Support Systems for implementing the European water framework directive: The MULINO approach. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 22(2), 248–258. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2005.07.024

- Govindam Business School - GBS. (2012). Steps in constructing Decision Support System. Retrieved from <http://dsssteps.blogspot.my/> 16<sup>th</sup> January 2016.
- Haque, M. Z., Rahim, S. A., Mokhtar, M., Elfithri, R., Embi, A. F., Lihan, T., ... Reza, M. I. H. (2014). Incorporating social learning process into the Integrated Water Resource Management in Malayan Peninsula. *American-Eurasian Journal Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, 14(9), 882–893. doi:10.5829/idosi.aejaes.2014.14.09.12406
- Hotra, L., Kolasky, E., & Voss, J. (2003). *Abiotic factors influencing the abundance and distribution of Marsh Marigolds along carp creek*. United State: University of Michigan Biological Station.
- Huntington, D. (2011). Back to Basic-Backward Chaining: Expert System Fundamentals. *PCAI 16*.
- Jackson, S., Pollino, C., Maclean, K., Bark, R., & Moggridge, B. (2015). Meeting indigenous peoples' objectives in environmental flow assessments: Case studies from an Australian multi-jurisdictional water sharing initiative. *Journal of Hydrology*, 522, 141–151. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.12.047
- Jamil, N.R. (2015). Status Condition Guidelines for *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) based on River Flows.
- Jarupathirun, S., & Zahedi, F. M. (2007). Dialectic Decision Support Systems: System design and empirical evaluation. *Decision Support Systems*, 43, 1553–1570. doi:10.1016/j.dss.2006.03.002
- Jayesh. (2011). *The benefits of Adobe Dreamweaver and how to use it successfully*. Retrieved from <http://www.swayaminfotech.com/blog/2011/05/the-benefits-of-adobe-dreamweaver-and-how-to-use-it-successfully/> on 3rd January 2016.
- Jonas. (2011). *Why is PHP so frequently used on web servers?*. Retrieved from <http://softwareengineering.stackexchange.com/questions/110797/why-is-php-so-frequently-used-on-web-servers> on 3rd January 2016.
- Kailasam, K. (2011). Community water quality monitoring programme in Malaysia. *Water Environment Partnership in Asia (WEPA)*, 6pp.
- King, J., Tharme, R., & Villiers, M. De. (2008). Environmental flow assessments for rivers: manual for the Building Block Methodology (Updated edition). South Africa: Republic of South Africa. Retrieved from

[http://swrcb2.swrcb.ca.gov/waterrights/water\\_issues/programs/bay\\_delta/deltaflow/docs/exhibits/swrcb/swrcb\\_king2008.pdf](http://swrcb2.swrcb.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/deltaflow/docs/exhibits/swrcb/swrcb_king2008.pdf)

- Kok, J. L., Kofalk, S., Berlekamp, J., Hahn, B., & Wind, H. (2009). From design to application of a Decision-support System for Integrated River-basin Management. *Water Resources Management*, 23, 1781–1811. doi:10.1007/s11269-008-9352-7
- Labadie, J. W. (2006). MODSIM : Decision Support System for Integrated River Basin Management. *Environmental Modelling and Software the International Environmental Modelling and Software Society*, 1518–1524. Retrieved from <http://www.iemss.org/iemss2006/papers/w5/MODSIMpaper.pdf>
- Labadie, J. W. (2006). *MODSIM: Decision support system for integrated river basin management* (Doctoral dissertation, International Environmental Modelling and Software Society).
- Louw, R. E., & Sauter, V. (2002). Information systems analysis 488 - Topic: Decision Support Systems. *University of Missouri St. Louis*. Retrieved from [http://www.umsl.edu/~sauterv/analysis/488\\_f02\\_papers/dss.html](http://www.umsl.edu/~sauterv/analysis/488_f02_papers/dss.html) on 23<sup>th</sup> January 2016.
- Mack, J. (2014). *Five Advantages & Disadvantages of MySQL*. Retrieved from <https://www.datarealm.com/blog/five-advantages-disadvantages-of-mysql/> on 14<sup>th</sup> January 2016.
- Mackble. (2016). *The Advantages and Disadvantages of MySQL*. Retrieved from <http://makble.com/the-advantages-and-disadvantages-of-mysql> on 11<sup>th</sup> January 2016.
- Mahajan, M. (2015). *Dreamweaver – Uses, Advantages and Disadvantages*. Retrieved from <http://tectrick.org/dreamweaver-definition-advantages/> on 10<sup>th</sup> Disember 2015.
- Martin, D. M., Harrison-atlas, D., Sutfin, N. A., & Poff, N. L. (2014). A social-ecological framework to integrate multiple objectives for environmental flows management. *Journal of Contemporary Water Research & Education*, (153), 49–58.
- Mazvimavi, D., Madamombe, E., & Makurira, H. (2007). Assessment of environmental flow requirements for river basin planning in Zimbabwe. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 32(15-18), 995–1006. doi:10.1016/j.pce.2007.07.001
- Milhous, R.T., Updike, M.A., & Schneider, D.M. (1989). Physical Habitat Simulation



System Reference Manual – Version II. *Instream Flow Information Paper 26*.  
USDI Fish and Wildlife Services, Biology Report 89 (16).

Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia - NRE. (2012). Dasar sumber air negara, 1–60. Retrieved from <http://www.nre.gov.my/Malay/Air/Documents/Dasar Sumber Air Negara1.pdf>

Mysiak, J., Giupponi, C., & Rosato, P. (2005). Towards the development of a Decision Support System for water resource management. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 20, 203–214. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2003.12.019

National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia - NAHRIM. (2013). *Main Function*. Retrieved from <http://www.nahrim.gov.my/en/about/introduction/main-function.html> on 23<sup>th</sup> January 2016.

National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia - NAHRIM. (2016). *History*. Retrieved from <http://www.nahrim.gov.my/en/about/introduction/history.html> 23<sup>th</sup> January 2016.

Novell. (2015). 13. *Benefits of MySQL*. Retrieved from [http://www.novell.com/documentation/nw65/web\\_mysql\\_nw/data/aj5bj52.html](http://www.novell.com/documentation/nw65/web_mysql_nw/data/aj5bj52.html) on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2016.

O’Keeffe, J., Kaushal, N., Bharati, L., & Smakhtin, V. (2012). *Assessment of environmental flows for the upper Ganga basin*. India: World Wide Fund.

Othman, F., M E, A. E., & Mohamed, I. (2012). Trend analysis of a tropical urban river water quality in Malaysia. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 14(12), 3164–73. doi:10.1039/c2em30676j

Ozbay, B., Keskin, G. A., Dogruparmak, S. C., & Ayberk, S. (2011). Multivariate methods for ground-level ozone modeling. *Atmospheric Research*, 102(1-2), 57–65. doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.06.005

Pathfinder Science. (2011). *Water quality index protocol*. Retrieved from <http://www.pathfinderscience.net/stream/cproto4.cfm> on 5th November 2015.

Poff, N. L. R., & Zimmerman, J. K. H. (2010). Ecological responses to altered flow regimes: A literature review to inform the science and management of environmental flows. *Freshwater Biology*. Retrieved from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02272.x/full>

Power, D. (2012). *Types of decision support systems*. Retrieved from

<http://www.gdrc.org/decision/dss-types.html> on 23<sup>th</sup> January 2016.

- RealTech. (2015). *Chemical oxygen demand*. Retrieved from <http://realtechwater.com/chemical-oxygen-demand/> on 3rd March 2015
- Rogers, K. H. (2006). The real river management challenge : Integrating scientist, stakeholders and service agencies. *River Research and Applications*, 280(October 2004), 269–280. doi:10.1002/rra.910
- Rus, R. V. (2007). Decision support systems development, (4), 882–885. Retrieved from <http://steconomiceuradea.ro/anale/volume/2007/v2-statistics-and-economic-informatics/36.pdf>
- Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (1995). Artificial intelligence: A modern approach. *Neurocomputing*, (9). doi:10.1016/0925-2312(95)90020-9
- Shim, J. P., Warkentin, M., Courtney, J. F., Power, D. J., Sharda, R., & Carlsson, C. (2002). Past, present, and future of decision support technology. *Decision Support Systems*. doi:10.1016/S0167-9236(01)00139-7
- Suruhanjaya Perkhidmatan Air Negara - SPAN. (2015). *Background*. Retrieved from <http://www.span.gov.my/index.php/en/about-us/background> 1<sup>st</sup> January 2015.
- Sprague, R.A.H. & Carlson, E. D. (1982). Building effective decision support systems. Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, N.J., United States.
- Sponseller, R. a, Heffernan, J. B., & Fisher, S. G. (2013). On the multiple ecological roles of water in river networks. *Ecosphere*, 4(2), 17. doi:10.1890/es12-00225.1
- Summers, M. F., Holman, I. P., & Grabowski, R. C. (2015). Adaptive management of river flows in Europe: A transferable framework for implementation. *Journal of Hydrology*. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.10.057
- Suratman, S., Sailan, M. I. ., Hee, Y. Y., Bedurus, E. A., & Latif, M. T. (2015). A preliminary study of water quality index in Terengganu river basin, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*, 44(1), 67–73. doi:10.5923/j.microbiology.20150502.01
- Tan, K. C., San Lim, H., & Jafri, M. Z. M. (2016). Prediction of column ozone concentrations using multiple regression analysis and principal component analysis techniques: A case study in peninsular Malaysia. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.apr.2016.01.002
- Tian, J., Wang, Y., Li, H., Li, L., & Wang, K. (2007). DSS development and applications



- in China. *Decision Support System*, 42, 2060–2077. doi:10.1016/j.dss.2004.11.009
- Toriman, M. E. (2010). Assessing environmental flow modeling for water resources management : A case of Sg. (River) Pelus, Malaysia. *Nature and Science*, 8(4), 69–76.
- Ujang Z. & Henze M. (2006). *Municipal Wastewater Management in Developing Countries: Principles and Engineering*. London, UK: IWA Publishing.
- Ujang, Z., Rahman, R. A., & Anuar, A. N. (2008). Current trends in water quality and resource management. *Proceedings of the 1st Technical Meeting of Muslim Water Researchers Cooperation (MUWAREC)*, 62–80.
- USGS. (2015). *The USGS water science school: Turbidity*. Retrieved from <http://water.usgs.gov/edu/turbidity.html> on 14th November 2015.
- Weng, C. N. (2005). Sustainable management of rivers in Malaysia: Involving all stakeholders. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 3, 147-162.
- Water Environment Partnership in Asia, WEPA. (2016). State of Water Environmental Issues, Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://www.wepa-db.net/policies/state/malaysia/river.htm> on 14th January 2016.
- Zainudin, Z. (2010). Benchmarking river water quality in Malaysia. *Jurutera*, 12–15.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Hussin. N.S.C., Manaf, L.A., & Jamil, N.R. (2016). The Conceptual Design of Decision Support System to Preserve *Neolissochilus Hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) in Pelus River, Perak. In Proceeding of the Malaysia International Biology Symposium 2016, Putrajaya International Convention Centre (PICC), Putrajaya, Malaysia, 26-27<sup>th</sup> October 2016.

Hussin. N.S.C., Manaf, L.A., & Jamil, N.R. (2017). The Conceptual Design of Decision Support System to Preserve *Neolissochilus Hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) in Pelus River, Perak. *Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology & Environmental Sciences (AJMBES)*, 19(4).