



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***DIVERSITY OF ARTHROPOD SOIL DWELLER IN RELATION TO
MONOCULTURE AND POLYCULTURE PRACTICES IN OIL PALM
SMALLHOLDINGS IN SELANGOR, MALAYSIA***

AMAL GHAZALI BIN NASRON

FH 2016 21



**DIVERSITY OF ARTHROPOD SOIL DWELLER IN RELATION TO
MONOCULTURE AND POLYCULTURE PRACTICES IN OIL PALM
SMALLHOLDINGS IN SELANGOR, MALAYSIA**

By

AMAL GHAZALI BIN NASRON

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science**

August 2016

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia
In fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**DIVERSITY OF ARTHROPOD SOIL DWELLER IN RELATION TO
MONOCULTURE AND POLYCULTURE PRACTICES IN OIL PALM
SMALLHOLDINGS IN SELANGOR, MALAYSIA**

By

AMAL GHAZALI BIN NASRON

August 2016

Chairman: Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif, PhD
Faculty : Forestry

Oil palm plantation has become one of the economic mainstays for biodiversity-rich countries in the tropics. It plays a major role in the economy of Malaysia as the second largest producer of palm oil and oil palm related products after Indonesia. The conversion of native forests to oil palm monoculture system has caused biodiversity loss. With proper planning and implementation of farming practice, oil palm agriculture in Malaysia can go further as an economic backbone. However, little is known about the effects of oil palm polyculture system on biodiversity. Studying arthropod diversity in response to different habitat variables will give evidence to support wildlife conservation in the expanding oil palm industry. The smallholdings were chosen as representatives of the oil palm smallholdings in Peninsular Malaysia as they include both oil palm and banana plants. In this study, arthropods are used as ecological indicators while pitfall traps were used in data collection. Systematic sampling of insects with random starting points were used in pitfall trapping in each plot. Arthropod diversity was examined with respect to abundance, number of orders (order richness) and community composition responded to different farming practices and vegetation structure characteristics. Across oil palm smallholdings, the number of arthropod orders were significantly higher in polyculture smallholdings than monoculture smallholdings. However, there were no significant difference in arthropod abundances in both monoculture and polyculture smallholdings. Habitat quality variables explained 15.98% of arthropods richness. The most significant predictor variables in influencing arthropod diversity were polyculture farming practice, height of oil palm crop and number of immature oil palm. This study concluded that polyculture smallholdings can host a wider arthropod order than monoculture smallholdings. Arthropods were shown to favour multiple crops that offer variety of food sources for different trophic levels. The findings of this study suggest that, in order to preserve biodiversity, oil palm stakeholders should maintain as much of the remaining arthropod biodiversity as possible by sustaining local habitat complexity in the smallholdings. This practice is not only

important for the oil palm smallholding sustainability, but it also increases arthropods richness that helps to carry out important ecological niche and functions in the oil palm landscape such as floral pollination, pest predation, decomposing of litter layer and recycling of nutrient cycle.



Abstrak tesis dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**KEPELBAGAIAN ARTROPODA PENGHUNI TANAH BERHUBUNG
DENGAN AMALAN MONOKULTUR DAN POLIKULTUR DALAM KEBUN
KECIL KELAPA SAWIT DI SELANGOR, MALAYSIA**

Oleh

AMAL GHAZALI BIN NASRON

Ogos 2016

Pengerusi : Badrul Azhar Md. Sharif, PhD
Fakulti : Perhutanan

Pertanian sawit telah menjadi salah satu daripada tulang belakang ekonomi bagi negara-negara yang kaya dengan biodiversiti di kawasan tropika. Pertanian sawit memainkan peranan penting dalam ekonomi Malaysia sebagai pengeluar kedua terbesar produk berkaitan kelapa sawit dan minyak kelapa sawit, selepas Indonesia. Penukaran hutan asli untuk sistem monokultur kelapa sawit telah menyebabkan kehilangan biodiversiti. Dengan perancangan dan pelaksanaan amalan pertanian yang betul, pertanian kelapa sawit di Malaysia boleh pergi lebih jauh sebagai tulang belakang ekonomi negara. Walau bagaimanapun, sedikit yang diketahui tentang kesan-kesan sistem polikultur kelapa sawit terhadap biodiversiti. Mengkaji kepelbagaian artropoda sebagai tindak balas kepada pemboleh ubah habitat yang berbeza akan memberikan bukti untuk menyokong pemuliharaan hidupan liar dalam industri kelapa sawit yang semakin berkembang. Kebun kecil sawit telah dipilih untuk mewakili kebun kecil kelapa sawit di Semenanjung Malaysia kerana termasuk kedua-dua kelapa sawit dan pokok pisang. Dalam kajian ini, artropoda digunakan sebagai indikator ekologi manakala perangkap 'pitfall' telah digunakan dalam pengumpulan data. Persampelan sistematik serangga dengan titik permulaan rawak telah digunakan dalam memerangkap perangkap dalam setiap plot. Kajian ini mengkaji kesan tindak balas diversiti artropoda berkenaan dengan kelimpahan, bilangan order dan komposisi komuniti kepada amalan pertanian yang berbeza dan ciri-ciri struktur tumbuh-tumbuhan. Di seluruh kebun kecil kelapa sawit, bilangan order artropoda adalah jauh lebih tinggi dalam kebun kecil polikultur daripada kebun kecil monokultur. Walau bagaimanapun, tidak terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan dalam kelimpahan artropoda dalam kedua-dua kebun kecil monokultur dan polikultur. Pembolehubah kualiti habitat menjelaskan 15.98% daripada bilangan artropoda. Pembolehubah peramal yang paling penting dalam mempengaruhi biodiversiti artropoda ialah amalan pertanian polikultur, ketinggian tanaman kelapa sawit dan bilangan pokok sawit tidak matang. Kajian ini memberi kesimpulan bahawa kebun kecil polikultur

boleh menjadi perumah bagi artropoda yang lebih berkesan daripada kebun kecil monokultur. Artropoda memihak kepada pelbagai tanaman yang menawarkan pelbagai sumber makanan untuk peringkat trofik yang berbeza. Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa, bagi memelihara biodiversiti, pekebun kecil kelapa sawit perlu mengekalkan sebanyak mungkin biodiversiti artropoda dengan mengekalkan kepelbagaian habitat tempatan dalam kebun mereka. Amalan ini bukan sahaja penting untuk kemampanan kebun kecil kelapa sawit, tetapi juga meningkatkan kekayaan artropod yang membantu untuk menjalankan niche dan fungsi ekologi yang penting dalam landskap kelapa sawit seperti pendebungaan bunga, perosak pemangsa, menguraikan lapisan sampah dan kitar semula nutrien.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, with the blessing from Allah S.W.T, I have completed my master thesis entitled “Diversity of Arthropod Soil Dweller in Relation to Monoculture and Polyculture Practices in Oil Palm Smallholdings in Selangor, Malaysia” on time although there were hardships along the way. It is a pleasure to thank the many people who made this thesis possible.

I am heartily thankful to my supervisor, Dr. Badrul Azhar and my co- supervisor, Dr. Puan Chong Leong, who encouragement, guidance, support, freedom for initiative and efforts to provide a friendly work environment from the initial to the final level, enabled me to enjoy my research and develop both an understanding and enthusiasm for the subject. I would also extend my gratitude to my examiner, Prof. Dr. Mohamed Zakaria Hussin for the comments he had given me during the proposal and presentation of data on this thesis. I would also like to give thanks to Dr. Najib Aziz, Dr. Tan Li Peng and Dr. Ahmad Norisham for all the guidance that they gave me upon my project's completion.

My sincere gratitude goes to Muhammad S. Yahya, Muhammad S. Shaffine, Siti Asmah, Nurfatin Adila, Norlaili Ibrahim, Sharifah Nuratikah, Chang Phooi Kuan, Yee Siew Ann, Sohaimi Samsudin, Sasidhran Selvadurai and Muhammad S. Razak from the Forestry Faculty of UPM, Serdang for all the help and suggestions they gave me. I would also like to extend my gratitude for all of the local business owners that gave permission to use their oil palm smallholdings as a study site in this study. I would also like to give thanks for all the homeowners from Banting, Tanjung Karang and Sabak Bernam for providing excellent hospitality during data collection. Last, thanks for those who are involved directly or indirectly in completion of this project.

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisor Committee are as follows:

Badrul Azhar Bin Md Shariff, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Puan Chong Leong, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision ;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of

Chairman of

Supervisory

Committee: Badrul Azhar bin Md Shariff, PhD

Signature: _____

Name of

Member of

Supervisory

Committee: Puan Chong Leong, PhD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xiv
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General Review	1
1.2 Status of Malaysian Palm Oil Industry	1
1.3 Biodiversity Degradation of Forest in Malaysia	2
1.4 Problem Statements	2
1.5 Hypothesis	3
1.6 Justification	3
1.7 Objectives	4
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Arthropod Diversity in Agricultural Areas	5
2.2 Anthropogenic Disturbances on Biodiversity	6
2.3 Effects of Forest Conversion on Arthropod Diversity	7
2.4 Arthropods Ecological Niche in Agricultural Ecosystem	8
2.5 Economical Pest Problem in Oil Palm Agriculture	9
2.6 Oil Palm Agriculture in Malaysia	10
2.7 Monoculture and Polyculture Farming Practice	10
2.8 Pitfall Trap	12
2.9 Arthropod Taxonomic Classification	13
 3 METHODOLOGY	
3.1 The Study Area	15
3.2 Study Procedure	
3.2.1 Study Area	15
3.2.2 Preparation of Pitfall Traps	16
3.2.3 Collecting Arthropod	17
3.2.4 Sorting Process	18
3.2.5 Habitat Quality Measurement	20
3.3 Analysis of Data	
3.3.1 Generalized Linear Models (GLMs)	21
3.3.2 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	21
3.3.3 Correlation Tests	21
3.3.4 Akaike's Information Criteria	21
3.3.5 Analysis of Variance (ANOSIM)	21
3.3.6 Similarity Percentage (SIMPER)	22

3.3.7	Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS)	22
4	RESULTS	
4.1	Summary Statistics for Habitat Quality Variables	23
4.2	Arthropod Richness (Total Order)	24
4.3	Arthropod Abundance (Total Capture)	25
4.4	Multicollinearity in Habitat Quality Variabl (Parameter Estimates and Wald Test)	26
4.5	ANOSIM	27
4.6	Arthropod Order Richness and Habitat Quality Variable (AIC Test)	27
4.7	Arthropod Community Composition (Simpser and NMDS)	29
5	DISCUSSION	
5.1	Responses of Arthropods Population to Different Farming Practice	30
5.2	Effects of In-Situ Habitat Characteristics on the Number of Arthropod Orders	31
5.3	Arthropod Order Composition in Different Farming Practice	31
5.4	Oil Palm Smallholding Management Implications	32
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
6.1	Conclusion	33
6.2	Recommendation	33
	REFERENCES	35
	APPENDICES	49
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	51
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	53

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Summary statistics of habitat variables measured for Monoculture and Polyculture smallholdings.	23
4.2	Total Arthropod Orders and Individuals	24
4.3	Analysis of variance for total order (number of order).	24
4.4	Analysis of variance for total capture (abundance).	25
4.5	Wald test for habitat quality variable.	26
4.6	Global Test of Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM).	27
4.7	AIC models fitted to a dataset and the best models selected by using R^2 and AIC values.	28

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Monoculture farming practice in oil palm smallholdings.	11
2.2 Polyculture farming practice in oil palm smallholdings.	12
2.3 The main class separations in downward order of scope in Kingdom Animalia.	14
3.1 Map of study area in Selangor including monoculture and polyculture plots.	16
3.2 Pitfall traps made up of plastic drinking cups sunk into the ground with the rim on the surface of the ground.	17
3.3 Arthropods filtered using a drinking filter in order to separate the detergent solution with the captured arthropods.	18
3.4 Arthropods observed individually using a specialized L.E.D magnifier lens to thoroughly study the features for identification purposes.	19
3.5 Observation of arthropods feature using L.E.D magnifier lens for identification process.	19
3.6 Observation of arthropods feature using L.E.D magnifier lens for identification process.	20
4.11 Boxplot graph showing polyculture smallholdings maintained a greater number of arthropod order than monoculture smallholdings.	25
4.12 Boxplot showing both farming practices maintained similar arthropod abundance.	26
4.13 Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination showing the difference in order community between polyculture smallholding and monoculture smallholdings.	29

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

%	Percentage
&	And
Δ_i	Delta i
AIC	Akaike's Information Criterion
AICc	AIC correction
ANOSIM	Analysis of Similarity
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AOCS	American Oil Chemists Society
CC	Percentage of canopy cover
Chi Pr	Chi probability
cm	Centimetre
DF	Degrees of freedom
DOPS	Number of dead oil palm (standing)
E	East
PE	Percentage of epiphyte
<i>F</i>	<i>F</i> -value for ratio of mean square
g	Gram
GC	Percentage of grass cover
GLMs	Generalized Linear Models
Global R	Sample statistic for Global Test
GNI	Malaysia's Gross National Income
ha	Hectare
HG	Height of grass cover
HNG	Height of non-grass cover
HOPC	Height of oil palm crop
IT	Information Theory
ITTO	The International Tropic Timber Organisations
L	Litre
L.E.D	Light emitting diode
lrl	R modules
m	Meter

mL	Millilitre
mm	Millimetre
N	North
NGC	Percentage of non-grass cover
NMDS	Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling
OPI	Number of immature oil palm crop
p	P-value of Test
$Pr > F$	Significance probability associated with the F statistic
r	R-value of test
R^2	Goodness of Fit (0.0-1.0)
RM	Ringgit Malaysia
S.E.	Standard error
SIMPER	Similarity Percentage
TYP	Type of farming practice
VSN	Veresen Inc
WWF	World Wildlife Fund

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Review

Arthropods have long been considered as an integral part of biodiversity as it provide immense ecological functions and represent more than 80% of total faunal species diversity (Dial *et al.*, 2006; Basset, 2001; Wilson 1992). Arthropods, microbes, and other small organisms maintain stability of various ecosystems including tropical forests, temperate woodlands, urban parks and agricultural farmlands (Pimentel *et al.*, 1992). As part of their ecological functions, majority of arthropods can act as natural enemies that provide biological control of insect pests (Letourneau *et al.*, 2009). They are also essential to the survival of many plant species as insects serve a major role in pollination (Delaplane *et al.*, 2000; Braack, 1997; Bawa, 1990).

Studies showed that insect pollinators such as bees, butterflies, and ants act as important pollinators for flowering plants in rainforests as well as farmlands such as oil palm plantations (Ramirez *et al.*, 2010; Klein *et al.*, 2007; Thiele, 2005). Pollinating insects are an important constituent of biodiversity (Kevan, 1999). Recent research in oil palm plantations studied insects such as ants (Fayley *et al.*, 2012; Yusah *et al.*, 2012; Klimes *et al.*, 2012), butterflies (Barlow *et al.*, 2007; Fitzherbert *et al.*, 2006; Hamer *et al.*, 2005), orchid bees (Livingston *et al.*, 2013) and other arthropods (Dial *et al.*, 2006; Wettstein & Schmid, 1999) in order to investigate the detrimental effects of deforestation for agricultural expansion.

1.2 Status of Malaysian Palm Oil

Conversion of natural ecosystems into agricultural lands has become a major threat to global biodiversity due to poor environmentally friendly practice (Ewers *et al.*, 2009). Over the past few decades, oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) has become one of the most rapidly expanding equatorial crops in the world (Clay, 2004; Koh & Wilcove, 2007). Vast areas of forests have been converted to commercial oil palm plantations due to the significant contribution on the economy of the producing countries (Koh, 2008; Koh & Wilcove, 2007). This is particularly true in Malaysia as the country currently accounts 39% of world palm oil production and 44% of world exports (MPOC, 2014). In the state of Sabah in eastern Malaysia, the decrease in forest cover has been chiefly due to the development of agricultural areas. Consequently, this leads to an area of over 800,000 ha being planted with oil palm (McMorrow & Talip, 2001). Thus, the large scale expansion of oil palm plantations raises question on how the management practices in the plantations can contribute in biodiversity conservation.

1.3 Biodiversity Degradation of Forest in Malaysia

Protecting forest biodiversity from deforestation caused by oil palm expansion is a primary concern among conservationists (Ewers *et al.*, 2009; Dhaliwal *et al.*, 2007; Casson, 2003). However, maintaining farmland biodiversity is equally important in existing oil palm-dominated landscapes (Koh & Wilcove, 2007; Fayle *et al.*, 2010). The key value of biodiversity is that their individual attributes and interactions help in maintaining and stabilizing ecosystem functions (Loreau *et al.*, 2001; Hooper *et al.*, 2005). Previous studies have shown that oil palm plantation has the ability to maintain faunal biodiversity (Azhar *et al.*, 2014). This is due to polyculture farming practice in oil palm smallholdings which are planted with multiple crops such as cocoa, coffee, banana and coconut that provide shelter and foraging grounds for some animal species (Kim *et al.*, 2006; Nair, 2007; Foster *et al.*, 2011).

Faunal diversity has been found to be correlated with plant diversity (Weibull *et al.*, 2003). In agroecosystem and forestry management, increasing plant diversity has been linked to the increase in insect diversity (Hamer *et al.*, 2005; Haddad *et al.*, 2001; Dennis *et al.*, 1998). Lower insect herbivory damage was found to be caused by an increase in interspecific competition among pest and non-pest species, and improved natural enemy communities (Cardinal *et al.*, 2006). Recent research has suggested that oil palm plantations serve as a potential host for arthropods from nearby disturbed or destroyed forests of Sabah, Malaysian Borneo (Lucey & Hill, 2012). As such, there is a great need to quantify biodiversity in oil palm landscapes in order to reconcile palm oil production and biodiversity conservation. Although there were studies regarding biodiversity conservation in large-scale oil palm plantations (Khairiyah *et al.*, 2013; Lucey & Hill, 2012; Edwards *et al.*, 2010; Koh, 2008), similar studies (Azhar *et al.*, 2014a, Azhar *et al.*, 2014b; Azhar *et al.*, 2013; Azahr *et al.*, 2011) in oil palm smallholdings are limited in which management practices are different from those of plantations.

1.4 Problem Statements

One question that needs to be asked is whether farmlands can provide a refuge for wildlife such as arthropods? Based on a recent study by Turner and Foster (2009), arthropods experienced different levels of declination with some groups having higher abundance in oil palm plantations compared to primary forests and logged forests in Sabah. Several studies revealed that ant abundance in polyculture oil palm practice was found to be similar to that in natural forest in the Caribbean forest landscape (Donald, 2006; Glor *et al.*, 2001), but was lowest in monoculture oil palm farming practice in Papua New Guinea (Room, 1975).

Although species richness of forest ants decreased with the expansion of agricultural plantation, Bruhl and Eltz (2010) found evidence that showed nine species of ants that had never been observed in forest were found in oil palm plantations. This implies a community shift of ants towards non-forest species of Sabah in agricultural plantation (Bruhl & Eltz, 2010). Polyculture farming practice

provides important ecosystem goods and services including maintaining habitats for pollinators and beneficial insects (Thrupp, 2000) when compared to monoculture farming practice. However, to what extent does habitat complexity effects terrestrial arthropods diversity?

This study intended to answer three research questions with respect to biodiversity pattern of terrestrial arthropods in oil palm smallholdings; (1) how terrestrial arthropods respond to polyculture and monoculture practices? (2) to what extent does the habitat quality attributes influence the number of arthropod orders and their abundance in oil palm smallholdings? and (3) how polyculture and monoculture farming practices influence arthropod composition in oil palm smallholdings?

1.5 Hypothesis

In this study, there are three main hypothesis that are related to the outcome of arthropod diversity with respect to different farming practices. (1) it is predicted that the abundance of arthropod was higher in monoculture smallholdings than polyculture smallholdings because it may only attract some species of arthropods that can dominate the smallholdings. However, arthropod order richness was higher in polyculture smallholdings than monoculture smallholdings because of the variation of habitat quality parameters in both farming practices (Knops *et al.*, 1999; Landis *et al.*, 2005; Kim & Kremen, 2006; Khairiyah *et al.*, 2013). (2) it is predicted that local habitat quality attributes may explain the variation in arthropod abundance and richness between monoculture and polyculture farming practices (Dennis *et al.*, 1998; Haddad *et al.*, 2001; Fayle *et al.*, 2010). (3) it is predicted that arthropod composition was more diverse in polyculture smallholdings than monoculture smallholdings (Dunn & Gotelli, 2004; Lucey & Hill, 2012; Luke *et al.*, 2014).

1.6 Justification

Based on previous studies, arthropods were used as an ecological indicators as they are highly sensitive to the changes in ecosystem surrounding them including their adapted habitat (Letourneau *et al.*, 2009; Maleque *et al.*, 2009; Oliver *et al.*, 1999). They are beneficial to the environment as they improve the ecosystem by ensuring the continuation of natural processes such as flora pollination, prey-predator process of natural enemies for pest and improve the soil structure, among other vital processes. Studying arthropod diversity in response to different habitat variables will give evidence to support wildlife conservation in the expanding oil palm industry. This study also took into account different farming practices in these smallholdings, namely monoculture and polyculture farming in an attempt to determine farming practices that support a higher level of arthropod diversity.

1.7 Objectives

The main objective of this study was to determine the effects of habitat complexity between polyculture and monoculture farming practice with respect to pattern of terrestrial arthropods in oil palm smallholdings.

The specific objectives of the study were:

- a) To compare terrestrial arthropods diversity between polyculture & monoculture smallholdings; and
- b) To determine the key habitat quality variables that influence arthropod abundance and richness in oil palm smallholdings.



REFERENCES

- Alonso, L.E., 2000. Ants as indicators of diversity. In: Agosti, D., Majer, J.D., Alonso, L.E., Schultz, T.R. (Eds.), *Ants. Standard Methods for Measuring and Monitoring Biodiversity*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC, USA, p. 80–88.
- Altieri, M.A. & Nicholls, C.I. 2004. Effects of agroforestry systems on the ecology and management of insect pest populations. *Ecological engineering for pest management: advances in habitat manipulation for arthropods*. CSIRO, Collingwood, p. 143-155.
- Andersen, A.N., Lonsdale, W.M., 1990. Herbivory by insects in Australian tropical savannas: a review. *Journal of Biogeography*, 17: 433–444.
- AOCS. 2012. Malaysia: economic transformation advances oil palm industry. <http://www.aocs.org/Membership/informArticleDetail.cfm?ItemNumber=18340>. (assessed 12 November 2015).
- Arulandi, K. 1970. Observation on and control of leaf eating caterpillars in oil palm. *Crop Protection In Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur*, 3: 116-123.
- Ashton, D.H., 1979. Seed harvesting by ants in forests *Eucalyptus rengans* F. Muell. in central Victoria. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, 4: 265–277.
- Austin, A. D., Yeates, D. K., Cassis, G., Fletcher, M. J., La Salle, J., Lawrence, J. F., ... & Hales, D. F. 2004. Insects 'down under'—diversity, endemism and evolution of the Australian insect fauna: examples from select orders. *Australian Journal of Entomology*, 43: 216-234.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D. B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., Manning, A., McElhinny, C., & Zakaria, M. 2011. The conservation value of oil palm plantation estates, smallholdings and logged peat swamp forest for birds. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 262: 2306-2315.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D. B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., Manning, A., McElhinny, C., & Zakaria, M. 2013. The influence of agricultural system, stand structural complexity and landscape context on foraging birds in oil palm landscapes. *Ibis*, 155, 297-312.
- Azhar, B., Lindenmayer, D. B., Wood, J., Fischer, J., & Zakaria, M. 2014a. Ecological impacts of oil palm agriculture on forest mammals in plantation estates and smallholdings. *Biodiversity and conservation*, 23, 1175-1191.
- Azhar, B., Puan, C.L., Zakaria, M., Hassan, N. & Arif, M. 2014b. Effects of monoculture and polyculture practices in oil palm smallholdings on tropical farmland birds. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 15: 336-346.
- Barber, H.S. 1931. Traps for cave inhabiting insects. *Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society*, 46: 259-266.

- Barlow, C., Zen, Z., & Gondowarsito, R. 2003. The Indonesian oil palm industry. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 3: 8-15
- Barlow, J., Overal, W.L., Araujo, I.S., Gardner, T.A. & Peres, C.A. 2007. The value of primary, secondary and plantation forests for fruit-feeding butterflies in the Brazilian Amazon. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 44: 1001-1012.
- Basset, Y. 2001. Invertebrates in the canopy of tropical rainforests: How much do we really know? *Plant Ecology*, 153: 87-107.
- Bawa, K. S. 1990. Plant-pollinator interactions in tropical rain forests. *Annual review of Ecology and Systematics*: 399-422.
- Beattie, A.J., 1985. *The Evolutionary Ecology of Ant-Plant Mutualisms*. Cambridge University Press, New York, USA.
- Bisevac, L. & Majer, J.D. 1999. Comparative study of ant communities of rehabilitated mineral sand mines and heathland, Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology*, 7: 117-126.
- Block, W.M., Strickland, M.D., Collier, B.A. & Peterson, & M.J. 2008. *Wildlife study design*. New York, USA: Springer, p. 386.
- Braack, L.E.O. 1997. *Fascinating Insects of Southeast Asia*. Times Editions.
- Brennan, K.E.C., Majer, J.D. & Reygaert, N. 1999. Determination of an optimal pitfall trap size for sampling spiders in a Western Australian Jarrah forest. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 3: 297-307.
- Bruhl, C.A. & Eltz, T. 2010. Fuelling the biodiversity crisis: species loss of ground-dwelling forest ants in oil palm plantations in Sabah, Malaysia (Borneo). *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19: 519-529.
- Burnham, K.P. & Anderson, D.R. 2002. *Model selection and multi model inference: A practical information-theoretic approach* (2nded.). USA: Springer.
- Capinera, J. 2010. *Insects and wildlife: arthropods and their relationships with wild vertebrate animals*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Cardinale, B.J., Harvey, C.T., Gross, K., & Ives, A.R. 2003. Biodiversity and biocontrol: emergent impacts of a multi-enemy assemblage on pest suppression and crop yield in an agro-ecosystem. *Ecology Letters*, 6: 857-865.
- Cardinale, B.J., Weis, J.J., Forbes, A.E., Tilmon, K.J. & Ives, A.R. 2006. Biodiversity as both a cause and consequence of resource availability: a study of reciprocal causality in a predator-prey system. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 75: 497-505.

- Casson, A. 2003. *Oil-palm, soy beans and critical habitat loss, a review*. A review prepared for the WWF forest conversion initiative. Switzerland.
- Chazdon, R. L. 2003. Tropical forest recovery: legacies of human impact and natural disturbances. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, evolution and systematics*, 6: 51-71.
- Chinea, J.D. (2002). Tropical forest succession on abandoned farms in the Humacao Municipality of eastern Puerto Rico. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 167: 195–207.
- Christian, C.E., 2001. Consequences of a biological invasion reveal the importance of mutualism for plant communities. *Nature*, 413: 635–639.
- Chung, A.Y.C., Eggleton, P., Speight, P., Hammond, M. & Chey, V.K. 2000. The diversity of beetle assemblages in different habitat types in Sabah, Malaysia. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 90: 475-496.
- Clarke, K.R. & Warwick, R.M. 2001. *Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation*. Plymouth: PRIMER-E, 2.
- Clay, J. 2004. *World Agriculture and the Environment: A Commodity-by-Commodity Guide to Impacts and Practices*. Island Press, Washington, D.C.
- Corley, R. H. V., & Tinker, P. B. 2003. *The oil palm*. Fourth edition. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.
- Corley, R.H.V. 2006. Is the oil palm industry sustainable? *Planter*, 82: 121–143.
- Coyle, D.R., Nebeker, T.E., Hart, E.R., & Mattson, W.J. 2005. Biology and management of insect pests in North American intensively managed hardwood forest systems*, 1. *Annual Review Entomology*, 50: 1-29.
- Danielsen, F., Beukema, H., Burgess, N. D., Parish, F., Bruehl, C. A., Donald, P. F., ... & Fitzherbert, E. B. 2009. Biofuel plantations on forested lands: double jeopardy for biodiversity and climate. *Conservation Biology*, 23, 348-358.
- Darren, F., Ward, N., Tim, R. & Alan, L.Y. 2001. Effects of pitfall trap spacing on the abundance, richness and composition of invertebrate catches. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 5: 47-53.
- Delaplane, K.S., & Mayer, D.F. 2000. *Crop Pollination by Bees*. New York, CABI. p. 344.
- Dennis, P., Young, M.R. & Gordon, I.J. 1998. Distribution and abundance of small insects and arachnids in relation to structural heterogeneity of grazed, indigenous grasslands. *Ecological Entomology*, 23: 253-264.

- Dhaliwal, G.S., Dhawan, A.K. and Singh, R. 2007. Biodiversity and ecological agriculture: Issues and perspectives. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 34: 100-109.
- Dial, R.J., Ellwood, M.D.F., Turner, E.C. & Foster, W.A. 2006. Arthropod abundance, canopy structure, and microclimate in a Bornean lowland tropical rain forest. *Biotropica*, 38: 643-652.
- Dietsch, T.V., Perfecto, I. & Greenberg, R. 2007. Avian foraging behavior in two different types of coffee agroecosystem in Chiapas, Mexico. *Biotropica*, 3: 232-240.
- Donald, P.F. 2006. Biodiversity impacts of some agriculture commodity production systems; Issues in International Conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 18: 30-31.
- Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carre, G. et al. 2013. Collinearity: A review of method to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. *Ecography*, 36: 27-46.
- Duan, B., Zhou, M., Li, J. Z., & Li, G. H. 2005. Identification and Control of a Scale Insect in Xishuangbanna Rubber Plantation of Yunnan Province [J]. *Tropical Agricultural Science & Technology*, 2: 001.
- Duffy, J.E. 2002. Biodiversity and ecosystem function: the consumer connection. *Oikos*, 99: 201-219.
- Dunn, R.R. 2004. Recovery of faunal communities during tropical forest regeneration. *Conservation Biology*, 18: 302-309.
- Ellison, G.N. & Gotelli, N.J. 2004. *A primer of ecological statistics*. Sinauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- Ewers, R.M., Scharlemann, J.P.W., Balmford, A. & Green, R.E. 2009. Do increases in agricultural yield spare land for nature? *Global Change Biology*, 15: 1716-1726.
- FAO. 2016. Major Pests and Diseases In Agricultural and Production Plantation. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/005/ac681e/ac681e09.htm>. (assessed 21 January 2016).
- Fayle, T.M., Turner, E.C., Snaddon, J.L., Chey, V.K., Chung, A.Y., Eggleton, P. & Foster, W.A. 2010. Oil palm expansion into rain forest greatly reduces ant biodiversity in canopy, epiphytes and leaf-litter. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 11: 337-345.
- Fayley, T.M., Turner, E.C. & Foster, W.A. 2012. Ant mosaics occur in SE Asian oil palm plantation but not rain forest and are influenced by the presence of nest-sites and non-native species. *Ecography*, 36: 1051-1057.

- Fichter, E. 1941. Apparatus for the comparison of soil surface arthropod populations. *Ecology*, 22: 338–339.
- Fitzherbert, E., Gardner, T., Davenport, T.R.B. & Caro, T. 2006. Butterfly species richness and abundance in the Katavi ecosystem of western Tanzania. *African Journal of Ecology*, 44: 353-362.
- Foster, W.A., Snaddon, J.L., Turner, E.C., Fayle, T.M., Cockerill, T.D., Ellwood, M.F., Broad, G.R., Chung, A.Y.C., Paul, E., Khen, C.V. & Yusah, K.M. 2011. Establishing the evidence base for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem function in the oil palm landscapes of South East Asia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 366: 3277-3291.
- Frye, F. L. 1992. Captive invertebrates: a guide to their biology and husbandry. Krieger Publishing Company.
- Gibbs, H. K., Ruesch, A. S., Achard, F., Clayton, M. K., Holmgren, P., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. A. 2010. Tropical forests were the primary sources of new agricultural land in the 1980s and 1990s. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107: 16732-16737.
- Gilmour, A.R., Cullis, B.R., Thompson, R., Street, W. & Hempstead, H. 2009. *ASReml Update. What's new in Release 3.00*. VSN International Ltd., Hemel Hempstead, UK.
- Glor, R. E., Flecker, A. S., Benard, M. F., & Power, A. G. 2001. Lizard diversity and agricultural disturbance in a Caribbean forest landscape. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 10: 711-723.
- Gold, R. E., Howell, H. N., & Glenn, G. J. 1999. Subterranean Termites. *Texas Agricultural Extension Service*, The Texas A&M University System.
- Grafen, A., & Hails, R. 2002. *Modern statistics for the life sciences*. Oxford, UK.: Oxford University Press, p. 11-12.
- Haddad, N.M., Tilman, D., Haarstad, J., Ritchie, M.J. & Knops, M.H. 2001. Contrasting effects of plant richness and composition on insect communities: a field experiment. *The American Naturalist*, 158: 17–35.
- Hall, R. (1995). Challenges and prospects of integrated pest management. In: R. Reuveni (ed.) *Novel Approaches to Integrated Pest Management*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, p.1-19.
- Hamer, K.C., Hill, J.K., Mustaffa, N., Benedick, S., Sherratt, T.N., Chey, V.K. & Maryati, M. 2005. Temporal variation in abundance and diversity of butterflies in Bornean rainforests: opposite impacts of logging recorded in different seasons. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 21: 417-421.
- Hardter, R., Chow, W. Y., & Hock, O. S. 1997. Intensive plantation cropping, a source of sustainable food and energy production in the tropical rain

- forest areas in Southeast Asia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 91: 93-102.
- Harris, W. V. 1961. Termites: their recognition and control. Termites: their recognition and control. *Journal of Natural History*, 5: 311-318.
- Hartley, M.J. 2002. Rationale and methods for conserving biodiversity in plantation forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 155: 81- 95.
- Harvey, C.A., Gonzalez, J. & Somarriba, E. 2006. Dung beetle and terrestrial mammal diversity in forests, indigenous agroforestry systems and plantain monocultures in Talamanca, Costa Rica. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 15: 555-585.
- Hawsworth, D.L. & Bull, A.T. 2007. Arthropod Diversity and Conservation. *Volume 1 of Topics in Biodiversity and Conservation*. Princeton University Press, Springer Science & Business Media.
- Hertz, M. 1927. Huomioita petokuoriaisten olinpaikoista. *Luonnon Ystävä*, 31: 218–222.
- Hoffmann, B.D., Griffiths, A.D., Andersen, A.N., 2000. Responses of ant communities to dry sulfur deposition from mining emissions in semi-arid tropical Australia, with implications for the use of functional groups. *Austral Ecology*, 25, 653–663.
- Hooper, D.U., Chapin III, F.S., Ewel, J.J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., Lawton, J.H., Lodge, D.M., Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Schmid, B., Setälä, H., Symstad, A.J., Vandermeer, J. & Wardle, D.A. 2005. Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: A consensus of current knowledge. *Ecological Monographs*, 75: 3–35.
- Husson, I., Mesples, B., Bac, P., Vamecq, J., Evrard, P., & Gressens, P. 2002. Melatoninergetic neuroprotection of the murine periventricular white matter against neonatal excitotoxic challenge. *Annals of Neurology*, 51: 82-92.
- Ickes, K. 2001. Hyper-abundance of Native Wild Pigs (*Sus scrofa*) in a Lowland Dipterocarp Rain Forest of Peninsular Malaysia1. *Biotropica*, 3: 682-690.
- Ishaaya, I. 1980. Nutritional and allelochemical insect-plant interactions relating to digestion and food intake: some examples. IN: Miller, J.R., & Miller, T.A. (Eds). *Insect-Plant interactions*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. p. 191-223.
- ITTO. 2002. *ITTO Guidelines for the restoration, management and rehabilitation of degraded and secondary tropical forests*. ITTO Policy Development Series No. 13.

- Jalani, B.S., Basiron, Y., Darus, A., Chan, K.W., & Rajanaidu, N. 2002. Prospects of elevating national oil palm productivity: a Malaysian perspective. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 2: 1-9
- Jamian, S., Muhamad.,R., Norman, K. & Idris, A.B. 2011. Pemeliharaan *Sycanus dichotomus* Stal. (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) Serangga pemangsa ulat bungkus tanaman sawit, *Metisa plana* (Lepidoptera: Psychidae) Walker di makmal. *Sains Malaysiana*, 40: 1129-1137.
- Jones, D.T., Susilo, F.X., Bignell, D.E., Hardiwinoto, S., Gillison, A.N. & Eggleton, P. 2003. Termite assemblage collapse along a land-use intensification gradient in lowland central Sumatra, Indonesia. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 40: 380–391.
- Kang, L. C. 1980. Honey bees in Malaysia. *Nature Malaysiana*, 5: 26-33.
- Kang, H., & Bawa, K. S. 2003. Effects of successional status, habit, sexual systems, and pollinators on flowering patterns in tropical rain forest trees. *American journal of botany*, 90: 865-876.
- Kevan, P.G. 1999. Pollinators as bioindicators of the state of the environment: species, activity and diversity. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 74: 373-393.
- Khairiyah, M.H., Elfira, S.E., Hanysyam, N.M., Nurdiana, S., Norashirene, M.J. & Faezah, P. 2013. Entomofaunal Diversity of Diptera at FELDA Besout 6 Oil Palm Plantation. *IERI Procedia*, 5: 45-50.
- Khoo, K.C. & Chan, Y.C. 2000. The Honolulu creeper, *Antigonon leptopus*, as a supplementary food resource for the predatory bug *Andrallus spinidens* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae, Asopine). In Zakaria, S. et al. (editor). *Plant health 2000 conference on crop protection and biotechnology toward improved plant health*. Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Plant Protection Society, p 45-47.
- Kim, J., Williams, N. & Kremen, C. 2006. Effects of cultivation and proximity to natural habitat on ground-nesting native bees in California sunflower fields. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 79: 309-320.
- Klein, A.M., Vaissiere, B.E., Cane, J.H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S.A., Kremen, C. & Tscharntke, T. 2007. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Royal Society of London B Biological Science*, 274: 303–313.
- Klimes, P., Idigel, C., Rimandai, M., Fayle, T.M., Janda, M., Weiblen, G.D. & Novotny, V. 2012. Why are there more arboreal ant species in primary than in secondary tropical forests? *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 81: 1103-1112.
- Koh, L. P. 2008a. Birds defend oil palms from herbivorous insects. *Ecological Applications*, 18: 821-825.

- Koh, L. P. 2008b. Can oil palm plantations be made more hospitable for forest butterflies and birds? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45: 1002-1009.
- Koh, L.P. & Wilcove, D.S. 2007. Cashing in palm oil for conservation. *Nature*, 448: 993-994.
- Knops, J.M., Tilman, D., Haddad, D.N., Naeem, S., Mitchell, C.E., Haarstad, J., Ritchie, M.E., Howe, K.M., Reich, P.B., Siemann, E. & Groth, J. 1999. Effects of plant species richness on invasion dynamics, disease outbreaks, insect abundances and diversity. *Ecology Letters*, 2: 286-293.
- Kremen, C., Colwell, R.K., Erwin, T. L., Murphy, D. D., Noss, R. F. & Sanjayan, M. A. 1993. Terrestrial arthropod assemblages: Their use in conservation planning. *Conservation Biology*, 7: 796-808.
- Krooss, S. & Schaefer, M. 1998. The effect of different farming systems on epigeic arthropods: a five-year study on the rove beetle fauna (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) of winter wheat. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 69: 121-133.
- Lal, R., 1988. Effects of macrofauna on soil properties in tropical ecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Environment*, 24: 101-116.
- Landis, D. A., Menalled, F. D., Costamagna, A. C., & Wilkinson, T. K. 2005. Manipulating plant resources to enhance beneficial arthropods in agricultural landscapes. *Weed Science*, 53: 902-908.
- Landscape Research. 2015. Biggest, heaviest, smallest insects? <http://www.landcareresearch.co.nz/resources/teaching/Insects-and-spiders/insects/biggest,-heaviest,-smallest>. (assessed 17 November 2015).
- Larsen, K.J. & Williams, J.B. 1999. Influence of fire and trapping effort on ground beetles in are constructed tall grass prairie. *Prairie Naturalist*, 31: 75-86.
- Laurance, W.F., Koh, L.P., Butler, R., Sodhi, N.S., Bradshaw, C. J. , Neidel, J.D. & Mateo Vega, J. 2010. Improving the performance of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil for nature conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 24: 377-381.
- Lee, C. Y. 2002. Subterranean termite pest and their control in the urban environment in Malaysia. *Sociobiology*, 40: 3-9.
- Lemieux, J.P. & Lindgren, B.S. 1999. A pitfall trap for large-scale trapping of Carabidae: Comparison against conventional design using two different preservatives. *Pedobiologia*, 43: 245-253.
- Letourneau, D., Jedlicka, J., Bothwell, S. & Moreno. C. 2009. Effects of natural enemy biodiversity on the suppression of arthropod herbivores in

- terrestrial ecosystems. *Annual Review of Ecological Evolution*, 40: 573–592.
- Lever, R. J. 1969. *Pests of the coconut palm*. No. 18, Food & Agriculture Org., p 61.
- Levieux, J., 1983. *The soil fauna of tropical savannas*. IV. The ants. In: Bourliere, F. (Ed.), *Tropical Savannas*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Holland, p. 525–540.
- Livingston, G., Jha, S., Vega, A. & Gilbert, L. 2013. Conservation value and permeability of neotropical oil palm landscapes for orchid bees. *PloS one*, 8: e78523.
- Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J.P., Hector, A., Hooper, D.U., Huston, M.A., Raffaelli, D., Schmid, B., Tilman, D. & Wardle, D.A. 2001. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Current knowledge and future challenges. *Science*, 294: 804–808.
- Lowman, M.D. 1985. Temporal and spatial variability in insect grazing of the canopies of five Australian rainforest tree species. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, 10: 7–24.
- Lucey, J.M. & Hill, J.K. 2012. Spillover of insects from rain forest into adjacent oil palm plantations. *Biotropica*, 44: 368–377.
- Luff, M. L. 1975. Some features influencing the efficiency of pitfall traps. *Oecologia*, 19: 345–357.
- Luke, S.H., Fayle, T.M., Eggleton, P., Turner, E.C. & Davies, R.G. 2014. Functional structure of ant and termite assemblages in old growth forest, logged forest and oil palm plantation in Malaysian Borneo. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 23: 2817–2832.
- Majer, J.D., 1983. Ants: bio-indicators of minesite rehabilitation, land-use, and land conservation. *Environmental Management*, 7, 375–385.
- Maleque, M. A., Maeto, K., & Ishii, H. T. 2009. Arthropods as bioindicators of sustainable forest management, with a focus on plantation forests. *Applied entomology and zoology*, 44: 1–11.
- McGeoch, M. A. 1998. The selection, testing and application of terrestrial insects as bioindicators. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 73: 181–201.
- McMorrow, J. & Talip, M.A. 2001. Decline of forest area in Sabah, Malaysia: relationship to state policies, land code and land capability. *Global Environmental Change*, 11: 217–230.
- Menalled, F.D., Landis, D.A., and Dyer, L. 2004. Research and extension supporting ecologically based IPM systems. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 11: 153–174.

- Miller, L.J. & New, T.R. 1997. Mount Piper grasslands: pitfall trapping of ants and interpretation of habitat variability. *Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria*, 56: 377–381.
- MPOC. 2014. Malaysia Palm Oil Industry, Profitability and Outcome. http://www.mpoc.org.my/Malaysian_Palm_Oil_Industry.aspx#sthash.axbEwtUO.dpuf. (assessed 7 May 2014).
- My Cyberwall. 2015. Classification of Living Organisms in Kingdom Animalia. <https://mycyberwall.co.za/get-smart/science/grade-7/classification-living-organisms>. (assesse 17 November 2015).
- Nair, P.K.R. 2007. The coming of age of agroforestry. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 87, 1613-1619.
- Nantha, H. S., & Tisdell, C. 2009. The orangutan–oil palm conflict: economic constraints and opportunities for conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 18: 487-502.
- Nellemann, C. (Ed.). 2007. *The last stand of the orangutan: state of emergency: Illegal logging, fire and palm oil in Indonesia's National Parks*. UNEP, Earthprint.
- New, T.R. 1998. *Invertebrate Surveys for Conservation*. Oxford,UK: Oxford University Press.
- Norman, K. & Basri M.W. 2010. Interactions of the bagworm, *Pteroma pendula* (Lepidoptera: Phycidae), and its natural enemies in an oil palm plantation in Perak. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 22: 758-764.
- Nunes, L. and Nobre, T. 2001. Strategies of Subterranean Termite control in Buildings. In: Lourenco P.B and Roca.P. (Eds). *Historical Constructions*, 8: 867-874.
- Oldroyd, B. P., & Nanork, P. 2009. Conservation of Asian honey bees. *Apidologie*, 40: 296-312.
- Oliver, I., Dangerfield, J.M. & York, A. 1999. When and how to conduct a biodiversity assessment of terrestrial invertebrates. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology*, 6: 8-18.
- Olson, D.M. 1991. A comparison of the efficacy of the littersifting and pitfall traps for sampling leaf litter ants (Hymenoptera:Formicidae) in a tropical wet forest, CostaRica. *Biotropica*, 23: 166-172.
- Paul, A. V. 2007. Insect Pests and their Management. *Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi*.
- Peh, K. S. H., Sodhi, N. S., De Jong, J., Sekercioglu, C. H., Yap, C. A. M., & Lim, S. L. H. 2006. Conservation value of degraded habitats for forest birds

- in southern Peninsular Malaysia. *Diversity and Distributions*, 12: 572-581.
- Peralta, R. C. G., Menezes, E. B., Carvalho, A. G., & Menezes, E. L. A. 2004. Wood Consumption rates of Forest Species by Subterranean Termites (isoptera) under Field Conditions. *Revista Arvore*, 28: 283-289.
- Perfecto, I., Rice, R.A., Greenberg, R. & Vander-Voort, M.E. 1996. Shade coffee: A disappearing refuge for biodiversity. *Bioscience*, 46: 598-608.
- Pierre, E.M. & Idris, A. 2013. Studies on the predatory activities of *Oecophylla smaragdina* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) on *Pteromopoda* (Lepidoptera: Psychidae) in oil palm plantations in Teluk Intan, Perak (Malaysia). *Asian Myrmecology*, 5: 163-176.
- Pimentel, D., Stachow, U., Takacs, D., Brubaker, W., Dumas, A., Meaney, J., O'Neil, J., Onsi, E. & Corzilius, D. 1992. Conserving biological diversity in agricultural/forestry systems. *Science*, 42: 354-362.
- Ramirez, S.R., Roubik, D.W., Skov, C. & Pierce, N.E. 2010. Phylogeny, diversification patterns and historical biogeography of euglossine orchid bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 100: 552-572.
- Rhainds, M., Gries, G., & Ho, C.T. 2002. Dispersal by bagworm larvae, *Metisaplane*: effects of population density, larval sex, and host plant attributes. *Ecological Entomology*, 27: 204-212.
- Rice, R.A. & Greenberg, R. 2000. Cacao cultivation and the conservation of biological diversity. *Ambio*, 29: 167-173.
- Richards A.J. 2001. Does low biodiversity resulting from modern agricultural practice affect crop pollination and yield? *Annals of Botany*, 88: 165-172.
- Room, P. M. 1975. Diversity and organization of the ground foraging ant faunas of forest, grassland and tree crops in Papua New Guinea. *Australian Journal of Zoology*, 23: 71-89.
- Sajap, A.S., and Wahab Y.A. 1997. Termite from selected building premises in Selangor, Peninsular Malaysia. *Malaysia Forester*, 60: 203-215.
- Schall, R. 1991. Estimation in generalized linear-models with random effects. *Biometrika*, 78: 719-727.
- Shafie, N. J., Sah, S. A. M., Latip, N. S. A., Azman, N. M., & Khairuddin, N. L. 2011. Diversity pattern of bats at two contrasting habitat types along Kerian River, Perak, Malaysia. *Tropical life sciences research*, 22: 7-13.

- Siebert, S.F. 2002. From shade to sun-grown perennial crops in Sulawesi, Indonesia: implications for biodiversity conservation and soil fertility. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 11: 1889-1902.
- Sodhi, N. S., Pin Koh, L., & Brook, B. W. 2006. Southeast Asian birds in peril. *The Auk*, 123: 275-277.
- Sodhi, N. S., Brooks, T. M., Koh, L. P., Acciaoli, G., Erb, M., TAN, A. K. J., & Gumal, M. 2006. Biodiversity and human livelihood crises in the Malay Archipelago. *Conservation Biology*, 2: 1811-1813.
- Soroker, V., Blumberg, D., Haberman, A., Hamburger-Rishard, M., Reneh, S., Talebaev, S., Anshelevich, L., & Harari, A. R. 2005. Current status of red palm weevil infestation in date palm plantations in Israel. *Phytoparasitica*, 33: 97-106.
- Southwood, T. R., Brown, V. K., & Reader, P. M. 1979. The relationships of plant and insect diversities in succession. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 12: 327-348.
- Southwood, T.R.E. 1994. *Ecological methods: with particular reference to the study of insect populations*. Chapman & Hall, London.
- Steffan-Dewenter, I., Kessler, M., Barkmann, J., Bos, M., Buchori, D., Erasmi, S., Faust, H., Gerold, G., Glenk, K., Gradstein, S.R., Guhardja, E., Hartveld, M., Hertel, D., Höhn, P., Kappas, M., Köhler, S., Leuschner, C., Maertens, M., Marggraf, R., Migge-Kleian, S., Moge, J., Pitopang, R., Schaefer, M., Schwarze, S., Sporn, S.G., Steingrebe, A., Tjitrosoedirdjo, S.S., Tjitrosoemito, S., Twele, A., Weber, R., Woltmann, L., Zeller, M. & Tscharntke, T. 2007. Tradeoffs between income, biodiversity, and ecosystem functioning during tropical rainforest conversion and agroforestry intensification. *Proceedings of the National Academy for Sciences*, 104: 4973-4978.
- Strong, D.R., Lawton, J.H., & Southwood, T.R.E. 1984. *Insects on Plants: Community Patterns and Mechanisms*. Cambridge (MA), Harvard University Press.
- Stuebing, R. B., & Gasis, J. 1989. A survey of small mammals within a Sabah tree plantation in Malaysia. *Journal of tropical ecology*, 5: 203-214.
- Sumathi, S., Chai, S. P., & Mohamed, A. R. 2008. Utilization of oil palm as a source of renewable energy in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12: 2404-2421.
- The Oil Palm. 2015. Economic Contribution. Retrieved November 12th 2015 from, <http://theoilpalm.org/economic-contribution/>.
- Thiele, R. 2005. Phenology and nest site preferences of wood-nesting bees in a Neotropical lowland rain forest. *Entomological Society of America*, 40: 39-48.

- Troupin, D., & Carmel, Y. 2014. Can agro-ecosystems efficiently complement protected area networks? *Biological Conservation*, 169: 158-166.
- Thrupp, L. 2000. Linking agricultural biodiversity and food security: The valuable role of agrobiodiversity for sustainable agriculture. *International Affairs*, 76: 265-281.
- Turner, E.C. & Foster, W.A. 2009. The impact of forest conversion to oil palm on arthropod abundance and biomass in Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 25: 23-30.
- Tylianakis, J.M., Tscharntke, T. & Lewis, O.T. 2007. Habitat modification alters the structure of tropical host-parasitoid food webs. *Nature*, 445: 202-205.
- Wackers, F.L., van Rijn, P.C.J., & Bruin, J. 2005. *Plant Provided Food and Plant-Carnivore Mutualism*. Cambridge, U.K., Cambridge University Press.
- Wahid, M.B., Abdullah, S.N.A. & Henson, I.E. 2005. Oil palm achievements and potential. *Plant Production Science*, 8: 288–297.
- Walter, M. 2011. *The Illustrated World Encyclopedia of Insects*. Anness Publishing Ltd., Leicestershire.
- Walter, W. & Bernhard, S. 1999. Conservation in arthropods diversity in montane wetlands: Effect of altitude, habitat quality and habitat fragmentation on butterflies and grasshoppers. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 36: 363-373.
- Weibull, A.C., Ostman, O. & Granqvist, A. 2003. Species richness in agroecosystems: the effect of landscape, habitat and farm management. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 12: 1335-1355.
- Wettstein, W. & Schmid, B. 1999. Conservation of arthropod diversity in montane wetlands: effect of altitude, habitat quality and habitat fragmentation on butterflies and grasshoppers. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 36: 363-373.
- Wickramasinghe, L.P., Harris, S., Jones, G. & Vaughan, J.N. 2004. Abundance and species richness of nocturnal insects on organic and conventional farms: effects of agricultural intensification on bat foraging. *Conservation Biology*, 18: 1283-1292.
- Willett, T.R. 2001. Spiders and other arthropods as indicators in old-growth versus logged redwood stands. *Restoration Ecology*, 9: 410-420.
- Wilson, E.O. 1987. The little things that run the world (the importance and conservation of invertebrates). *Conservation Biology*, 1: 344–346.
- Wilson, E.O. 1992. *The Diversity of Life*. W.W. Norton, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, p 429.
- WWF. 2015. Food Initiative. <http://www.worldwildlife.org/initiatives/food>. (assessed 12 November 2015).

Wzfkai. 2015. Wenzhou Fukai Optical Technology Co. Ltd. <http://wzfukai.com/>. (assessed 16 November 2015).

Yet Another Unitarian Universalist. 2015. Invertebrate pitfall trap. <https://www.danielharper.org/yauu/2015/02/invertebrate-pitfall-trap/>. (16 November 2015).

Yusah, K.M., Fayle, T.M., Harris, G. & Foster, W.A. 2012. Optimizing diversity assessment protocols for high canopy ants in tropical rainforest. *Biotropica*, 44: 73-81.

Zhu, C., & Yu, J. 2009. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling corrects for population structure in association mapping with different sample types. *Genetics*, 182: 875-888.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Paperwork and Project Submitted:

Ghazali, A. 2013. *Repellence Effect of Extractive from Selected Wood Species on Coptotermes curvignathus (Holmgren) (Subterranean Termites) (ISOPTERA: RHINOTERMITIDAE)*. Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Ghazali, A., Asmah, S., Syafiq, M., Yahya, M. S., Aziz, N., Tan, L. P., ... & Azhar, B. 2016. Effects of monoculture and polyculture farming in oil palm smallholdings on terrestrial arthropod diversity. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 19: 415-421.

Jamian, S., Norhisham, A., Ghazali, A., Zakaria, A., & Azhar, B. 2016. Impacts of 2 species of predatory Reduviidae on bagworms in oil palm plantations. *Insect science*, 24: 285–294.



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : FIRST SEMESTER 2016/2017

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

DIVERSITY OF ARTHROPOD SOIL DWELLER IN RELATION TO MONOCULTURE AND
POLYCULTURE PRACTICES IN OIL PALM SMALLHOLDINGS IN SELANGOR, MALAYSIA

NAME OF STUDENT: AMAL GHAZALI BIN NASRON

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (✓)

☐

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

☐

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

☐

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

☐

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]