



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT LIGHT INTENSITIES, FERTILIZER LEVELS
AND SHADING PERIODS ON THREE SHADE-TOLERANT TREE
SPECIES UNDER CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT***

SHERZAD OMAR HAMAD

FH 2016 34



**EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT LIGHT INTENSITIES, FERTILIZER LEVELS
AND SHADING PERIODS ON THREE SHADE-TOLERANT TREE
SPECIES UNDER CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT**

By

SHERZAD OMAR HAMAD

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

September 2016

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

*To our Prophet Muhammad who encourages us to learn and educate.
To the spirit of my father, Omar Hamad Omar, and my kind and beloved mother,
Zulaikha Othman Ismail, her prayers have been a great role to my success today.
To my brothers and sisters, loved them heartily.
To my loved wife, Chnar Ahmed Kareem, who always supports me.
To my children, Chra, Mohammed and Zhyar who are bright my eyes.
Also, to all my friends and anyone who supports my even if with a word.*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT LIGHT INTENSITIES, FERTILIZER LEVELS
AND SHADING PERIODS ON THREE SHADE-TOLERANT TREE
SPECIES UNDER CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT**

By

SHERZAD OMAR HAMAD

September 2016

Chairman : Associate Professor Mohd Zaki Hamzah, PhD
Faculty : Forestry

With the increasing demand of heavy hardwood timbers in the world market annually, many of the shade-tolerant tree species such as *Neobalanocarpus heimii*, *Shorea materialis* and *Intsia palembanica* in Malaysia have faced serious extinction. The overall objective of this study was to improve growth and physiological properties of the aforementioned species through better understanding of their ecophysiology and growth requirements.

For this purpose, two experiments were conducted in the shade house and open area, where the first research was done to investigate the effect of different light intensities, and fertilizer levels on the survival rate, growth performance and physiological traits of the three species; the second research was performed to identify the effect of four shading periods on the survival rate, growth, biomass, leaf morphology and leaf physiology of the selected species.

The first experiment results after six months of the study indicated that the survival percentage of *S. materialis* and *I. palembanica* was 100% under all treatments. However, the survival percentage of *N. heimii* was significantly affected only by the light intensities, where its highest survival rate was 100% under 30% and 50% RLI, while the lowest survival rate was 74.07% at 100% RLI. All growth parameters and most physiological traits of these three species were significantly higher at both shade conditions (30% and 50% RLI) than full sunlight. Application of various fertilizer levels had a different effect on the growth and physiological properties of the species. Nevertheless, most parameters were enhanced by the application 1 and/or 2g NPK monthly.

The results of the second experiment after twelve months showed that the survival rate of *S. materialis* and *I. palembanica* was 100% under all shade periods.

Conversely, the survival rate of *N. heimii* was significantly reduced to 66.66% in zero shade periods while it was 100% in other shade periods. Seedlings of the three species grown under the shade for six, nine, and twelve months were significantly recorded higher height, diameter, and leaf numbers compared to those that have not been under shade. Stem mass, leaves mass, root mass and total plant mass were also affected by different shade periods. The highest value of these parameters were found in nine months under shade for *N. heimii*, and *S. materialis*, and six months under shade for *I. palembanica* while the lowest value of their biomass allocation were observed in zero month under shade. These three species displayed typical responses to direct sunlight after the canopy openings such as increases in RMR, R:SR and stomatal density and reduction in leaf area, SLA, LAR, and chlorophyll content except SLA, and LAR of *I. palembanica* at the first canopy opening. Photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance of the three species indicated that their photosynthesis apparatus can acclimate to direct sunlight, especially after the second and third canopy opening.

In conclusion, growth and physiological properties of the three species were improved by application of 1g and 2g fertilizer under 30% and 50% RLI. In addition, the species could acclimatise to direct sunlight after they had been grown under shade (30% - 50% RLI) for six to nine months additionally (to their shade periods prior the study) due to their ability to adjust their morphological and physiological behaviors in accordance with changing light condition.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KESAN PELBAGAI KEAMATAN CAHAYA, ARAS BAJA DAN TEMPOH
TEDUH PADA TIGA SPESIES POKOK YANG TAHAN-TEDUHAN DI
DALAM KEADAAN TERKAWAL**

Oleh

SHERZAD OMAR HAMAD

September, 2016

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Mohd Zaki Hamzah, PhD
Fakulti : Perhutanan

Dengan permintaan kayu balak keras yang tinggi dalam pasaran dunia setiap tahun, banyak spesies pokok berdaya-toleransi teduh ini *Neobalanocarpus heimii*, *Shorea materialis* dan *Intsia palembanica* menghadapi masalah ancaman kepupusan yang serius. Objektif keseluruhan kajian ini adalah untuk meningkatkan pertumbuhan dan ciri-ciri fisiologi spesies yang dinyatakan di atas melalui lebih memahami ekofisiologi dan keperluan tumbesaran mereka.

Untuk tujuan ini, dua eksperimen telah dijalankan di dalam *rumah* yang teduh dan kawasan terbuka. Eksperimen pertama telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan pelbagai keamatan cahaya, dan pelbagai aras baja NPK ke atas kadar kemandirian, prestasi pertumbuhan dan ciri-ciri fisiologi ketiga-tiga spesies pokok ini. Eksperimen kedua dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan empat tempoh teduhan ke atas kadar kemandirian, pertumbuhan, bio-jisim, morfologi daun dan fisiologi daun spesies yang sama. Untuk mengesahkan kajian ini, parameter di atas disukat selama enam bulan dalam kajian pertama dan dua belas bulan dalam kajian kedua.

Keputusan eksperimen pertama selepas enam bulan mendapati bahawa peratusan kemandirian *S. materialis* dan *I. palembanica* adalah 100% dalam semua rawatan. Namun demikian, peratusan kemandirian benih *N. heimii* terjejas secara ketara hanya dengan keamatan cahaya. Kadar kemandirian tertinggi *N. heimii* ialah 100% dalam kedua-dua keadaan teduh, 30% dan 50% RLI, sementara kadar kemandirian terendah ialah 74.07% pada 100% RLI. Semua parameter pertumbuhan dan ciri fisiologi ketiga-tiga spesies adalah lebih tinggi dalam kedua-dua keadaan teduh (30% and 50%RLI) dari cahaya penuh. Aplikasi pelbagai aras baja mempunyai kesan yang berbeza ke atas pertumbuhan dan ciri-ciri fisiologi ketiga-tiga spesies berkenaan. Namun demikian, kebanyakan parameter ditingkatkan lagi dengan aplikasi 1g hingga 2g NPK setiap bulan.

Keputusan eksperimen kedua selepas dua belas bulan menunjukkan bahawa kadar kemandirian *S. materialis* dan *I. palembanica* ialah 100% di bawah semua tempoh teduh. Sebaliknya, kadar kemandirian *N. heimii* berkurang secara signifikan kepada 66.66% dalam tempoh teduh sifar sementara 100% dalam tempoh teduh lain. Benih ketiga-tiga spesies yang ditanam di bawah cahaya teduh untuk enam, sembilan dan duabelas bulan melaporkan ketinggian, diameter dan bilangan daun yang meningkat dengan ketara berbanding dengan spesies yang tidak ditanam di bawah teduh. Jisim pucuk, jisim daun, jisim akar, dan jisim pokok secara keseluruhannya juga dijejaskan oleh tempoh teduhan yang berlainan. Nilai paling tinggi untuk kesemua parameter ini ditemui pada sembilan bulan di bawah teduhan untuk pokok *N. heimii*, dan *S. materialis*, dan enam bulan di bawah cahaya teduh untuk *I. palembanica* sementara nilai terendah pembahagian biojisim diperhatikan pada bulan sifar di bawah teduhan untuk kesemua spesies. Ketiga-tiga spesies ini mempamerkan respon lazim kepada cahaya matahari terus selepas pembukaan kanopi seperti peningkatan dalam RMR, R:SR dan ketumpatan stoma dan pengurangan keluasan daun, SLA, LAR, dan isi kandungan klorofil melainkan SLA dan LAR untuk *I. palembanica* pada pembukaan kanopi pertama. Kadar fotosintetik dan konduktan stomata ketiga-tiga spesies menunjukkan bahawa alat fotosintesis boleh sesuai dengan cahaya matahari terus, terutama selepas pembukaan kanopi kedua dan ketiga.

Kesimpulannya, pertumbuhan dan ciri-ciri fisiologi daripada tiga spesies telah bertambah baik dengan penggunaan 1g dan 2g baja di bawah 30% dan 50% RLI. Di samping itu, spesies boleh menyesuaikan diri kepada cahaya matahari langsung selepas mereka telah ditanam di bawah naungan (30% - 50% RLI) selama enam ke sembilan bulan sebagai tambahan kepada tempoh pokok di bawah teduh sebelum kajian bermula, kerana kemampuan mereka untuk menyesuaikan mereka morfologi dan fisiologi tingkah laku mengikut perubahan keadaan cahaya.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deepest gratitude goes to Most Merciful Allah S. W. T., Who granted me the opportunity to pursue my PhD degree study in Malaysia. In addition, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Zaki Hamzah for his helpful and valuable advice, comments, guidance and encouragement during the process of my research study at UPM. I am also grateful and sincerely thankful to my advisory committee members, Associate Professor Dr. Mohamad Azani Alias and Associate Professor Dr. Hazandy Abdul Hamid for their valuable advice, suggestions and constructive comments that substantially improved my works. I cannot forget the helpful comments from Dr. Mohamad Roslan Mohamad Kasim about statistical analysis. Additionally, I would like to express my great thanks and gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Noordin Wan Daud, who allowed me to do my experiments in his shade houses. I am also thankful to all the laboratory and the field assistants especially Mr. Mohd Kamil Ismail who helped me to determine gas exchange parameters.

I want also to express my deepest gratitude to my beloved wife who supported me in my study and endured difficult days in Malaysia. I have also many thankful to my mother, brothers, sisters, relatives and friends who supported me with their prayers and endure the pain of being away for a few years. Finally, I want to thank the Kurdistan regional government's Human Capacity Development Program who sponsored my PhD and spend generously on my study and life in Malaysia. I pray to Allah to give me an opportunity to use my experience for renovation of my country in aspect forest and education.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 8 September 2016 to conduct the final examination of Sherzad Omar Hamad on his thesis entitled "Effects of Different Light Intensities, Fertilizer Levels and Shading Periods on Three Shade-Tolerant Tree Species under Controlled Environment" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Kamziah binti Abd Kudus, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ahmad Ainuddin bin Nuruddin, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Arifin bin Abdu, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Choi, Jungkee, PhD

Professor
Kangwon National University
Korea
(External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 December 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Zaki Hamzah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Hazandy Abdul Hamid, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohamad Azani Alias, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Forestry
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Sherzad Omar Hamad (GS35449)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor
Dr. Mohd Zaki Hamzah, _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor
Dr. Hazandy Abdul Hamid _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory Committee: Associate Professor
Dr. Mohamad Azani Alias _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Background	1
1.2 Justification	4
1.3 Objectives of the Study	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Species Description	6
2.1.1 <i>Neobalanocarpus heimii</i> (king) P. S. Ashton	6
2.1.2 <i>Shorea materialis</i> Ridl.	6
2.1.3 <i>Intsia palembanica</i> Miq	7
2.2 Tree Tolerance	8
2.3 Forest Succession	8
2.4 Light Intensity	10
2.4.1 Effect of Light Intensity on the Survival Rate	10
2.4.2 Effect of Light Intensity on the Growth Performance	11
2.4.3 Effect of Light Intensity on the Leaf Physiology	13
2.4.4 Effect of Light Intensity on the Plant Biomass	15
2.4.5 Methods of Light Manipulation in Forest Rehabilitation	16
2.5 Methods of Light Manipulation in Forest Rehabilitation	17
2.6 Nutrient Requirements	19
2.6.1 Function of Nitrogen, Potassium, and Phosphorus	19
2.6.2 Effect of Fertilizer on the Survival Rate and Growth Performance	19
2.6.3 Effect of Fertilizer on the Physiological Traits	21
2.7 Effect of Shading Periods on the Growth Performance	22
3 GENERAL METHODOLOGY	23
3.1 General Overview	23
3.2 Location of the Study Site	23
3.3 Tree Species Under the Study	23
3.4 Soil Medium	24
3.5 The Care of the Seedlings	24
3.6 Experimental Design	25
3.7 Light Measurement	26
3.8 The Studied Parameters	26
4 EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LIGHT INTENSITIES AND	30

FERTILIZER LEVELS ON THE GROWTH PERFORMANCE AND PHYSIOLOGICAL TRAITS OF THREE SHADE TOLERANT TREE SPECIES		
4.1	Introduction	30
4.2	Materials and Methods	31
4.2.1	Experimental Design	31
4.2.2	Measurement of Survival Rate and Growth Performanc	32
4.2.3	Measurement of Physiological Traits	32
4.2.4	Data Analysis	34
4.3	Results	34
4.3.1	Survival Percentage	34
4.3.2	Growth Performance	36
4.3.3	Physiological Traits	44
4.3.4	Correlation Coefficients	60
4.4	Discussions	64
4.4.1	Influence of Light on the Survival Rate	64
4.4.2	Influence of Fertilizer on the Survival Rate	64
4.4.3	Influence of Light on the Growth Performance	65
4.4.4	Influence of Fertilizer on the Growth Performance	67
4.4.5	Influence of Light on the Physiological Properties	69
4.4.6	Influence of Fertilizer on the Physiological Properties	71
4.4.7	Correlation Coefficients	73
4.5	Conclusions	73
5	SURVIVAL, GROWTH, BIOMASS, MORPHOLOGICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES OF THREE SHADE TOLERANT TREE SPECIES TO VARIOUS SHADING PERIODS	75
5.1	Introduction	75
5.2	Materials and Methods	76
5.2.1	Experimental Design	76
5.2.2	Measurement of Survival Rate and Growth Performance	77
5.2.3	Measurement of Plant Biomass and Leaf Morphology	77
5.2.4	Measurement of Leaf Physiology	78
5.2.5	Data Analysis	78
5.3	Results	79
5.3.1	Survival Percentage	79
5.3.2	Growth Performance	80
5.3.3	Biomass Allocation and Biomass Ratio	89
5.3.4	Leaf Morphology	98
5.3.5	Leaf Physiology	104
5.3.6	Correlation Coefficients	114
5.4	Discussions	122
5.4.1	Survival Response to Shade Period	122
5.4.2	Growth Response to Shade Period	122
5.4.3	Biomass Allocation and Biomass Ratio Response to Shade Period	123
5.4.4	Leaf Morphology Response to Shade Period	125
5.4.5	Leaf Physiology Response to Shade Period	126
5.4.6	Correlation Coefficients	127
5.5	Conclusions	128
6	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	130

6.1	General Conclusions	130
6.2	Recommendations	131
REFERENCES		132
BIODATA OF STUDENT		147
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		148



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Some information of the species before starting the study on 1/5/2014	24
3.2	The physical and chemical traits of the soil used in the research	25
3.3	Average of light quantity and relative light intensity under shade houses and open area	29
4.1	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the survival percentage of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	35
4.2	Effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean survival percentage of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	35
4.3	Effect of interaction between different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean survival percentage of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	35
4.4	Effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean survival percentage of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	36
4.5	Effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean survival percentage of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time	36
4.6	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the height, diameter, and leaf number increment of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	37
4.7	Effect of different light intensities on the mean height, diameter, and leaf number increment of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	37
4.8	Effect of different fertilizer levels on the mean height, diameter, and leaf number increment of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	37
4.9	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the height, diameter, and leaf number increment of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	39
4.10	Effect of different light intensity on the mean height, diameter, and leaf number increment of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	39
4.11	Effect of different fertilizer levels on the mean height, diameter, and leaf number increment of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	40
4.12	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the height, diameter, and leaf number increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time	42
4.13	Effect of different light intensities on the mean height, diameter, and leaf	42

	number increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time	
4.14	Effect of different fertilizer levels on the mean height, diameter, and leaf number increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time	42
4.15	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the chlorophyll content of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	44
4.16	Effect of different light intensity and fertilizer level on the mean chlorophyll content of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	44
4.17	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the some physiological traits of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments.	46
4.18	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the chlorophyll content of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	49
4.19	Effect of different light intensity and fertilizer level on the mean chlorophyll content of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	49
4.20	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the some physiological traits of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments	51
4.21	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities, fertilizer levels and their interactions on the chlorophyll content of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time	55
4.22	Effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean chlorophyll content of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time	55
4.23	The analysis of variance for the effect of different light intensities , fertilizer levels and their interactions on the some physiological traits of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments	57
4.24	Pearson correlation coefficients among growth variables over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>N. heimii</i>	60
4.25	Pearson correlation coefficients among growth variables over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>S. materialis</i>	61
4.26	Pearson correlation coefficients among growth variables over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>I. palembanica</i>	61
4.27	Pearson correlation coefficients among physiological variables over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>N. heimii</i>	61
4.28	Pearson correlation coefficients among physiological variables over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>S. materialis</i>	62

4.29	Pearson correlation coefficients among physiological variables over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>I. palembanica</i>	62
4.30	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological traits and growth performance over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>N. heimii</i>	63
4.31	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological traits and growth performance over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>S. materialis</i>	63
4.32	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological traits and growth performance over all treatments (Light intensity * Fertilizer) (n=27) of <i>I. palembanica</i>	64
5.1	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the survival percentage of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	79
5.2	Effect of different shade periods on the mean survival percentage of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	79
5.3	Effect of different shade periods on the mean survival percentage of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	79
5.4	Effect of different shade periods on the mean survival percentage of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time	80
5.5	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the growth traits of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	81
5.6	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the growth traits of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	84
5.7	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the growth traits of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time.	87
5.8	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the biomass allocation of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study.	90
5.9	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the biomass ratio of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study	91
5.10	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the biomass allocation of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study	93
5.11	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the biomass ratio of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study	94
5.12	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the biomass allocation of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study	95

5.13	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the biomass ratio of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study	97
5.14	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the leaf morphology of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study	99
5.15	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the leaf morphology of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study	100
5.16	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the leaf morphology of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at twelve months of the study	102
5.17	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the leaf physiology of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings over time	105
5.18	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the leaf physiology of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time	108
5.19	The analysis of variance for the effect of different shade periods on the leaf physiology of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time	112
5.20	Pearson correlation coefficients between leaf morphology and growth properties of <i>N. heimii</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	115
5.21	Pearson correlation coefficients between leaf morphology and growth properties of <i>S. materialis</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	115
5.22	Pearson correlation coefficients between leaf morphology and growth properties of <i>I. palembanica</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	116
5.23	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological characteristics and growth properties of <i>N. heimii</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	116
5.24	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological characteristics and growth properties of <i>S. materialis</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	117
5.25	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological characteristics and growth properties of <i>I. palembanica</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	117
5.26	Pearson correlation coefficients between leaf morphology and biomass allocation of <i>N. heimii</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	118
5.27	Pearson correlation coefficients between leaf morphology and biomass allocation of <i>S. materialis</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	118

5.28	Pearson correlation coefficients between leaf morphology and biomass allocation of <i>I. palembanica</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	119
5.29	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological characteristics and biomass of <i>N. heimii</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	119
5.30	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological characteristics and biomass of <i>S. materialis</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	120
5.31	Pearson correlation coefficients between physiological characteristics and biomass of <i>I. palembanica</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	120
5.32	Pearson correlation coefficients between growth properties and biomass allocation of <i>N. heimii</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	121
5.33	Pearson correlation coefficients between growth properties and biomass allocation of <i>S. materialis</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	121
5.34	Pearson correlation coefficients between growth properties and biomass of <i>I. palembanica</i> at the end of the experiment for all shade period treatments (n=12)	121

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Experimental design of the study.	27
3.2	Structure of shading and open area	28
3.3	Light measurement under (A) full sunlight, (B) 50%RLI and (C) 30%RLI by using a light meter (WatchDog Mini Station model 2475; Spectrum Technologies Inc., Plainfield, IL, USA)	28
4.1	Diameter measurement at 5 cm above the soil surface by a digital vernier caliper (Digimatic caliper Mitutoyo-Japan)	32
4.2	Chlorophyll measurement by a portable chlorophyll meter SPAD-502 (Minolta Co. Ltd. Japan)	33
4.3	Gas exchange measurement by using a portable photosynthesis system (LI-6400; Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA)	34
4.4	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean height increment of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different in each study time by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	40
4.5	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean diameter increment of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different in each study time by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	41
4.6	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean height increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	43
4.7	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean diameter increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	43
4.8	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean leaf number increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	43
4.9	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean chlorophyll content of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	45

4.10	Effect of different light intensity on the mean (A) photosynthetic rate, (B) stomatal conductance, and (C) abaxial stomatal density of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	47
4.11	Effect of different levels of fertilizer on the mean (A) photosynthetic rate, (B) stomatal conductance, and (C) abaxial stomatal density of <i>N. heimii</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	48
4.12	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean chlorophyll content of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	50
4.13	Effect of different light intensity on the mean (A) photosynthetic rate, (B) stomatal conductance and (C) abaxial stomatal density of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	52
4.14	Effect of different levels of fertilizer on the mean (A) photosynthetic rate, (B) stomatal conductance and (C) abaxial stomatal density of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	53
4.15	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean photosynthetic rate of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	54
4.16	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean stomatal conductance of <i>S. materialis</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	54
4.17	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean chlorophyll content of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at three months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	56
4.18	Effect of different light intensity on the mean (A) photosynthetic rate, (B) stomatal conductance, (C) abaxial stomatal density, and (D) adaxial stomatal density of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	58

4.19	Effect of different levels of fertilizer on the mean (A) photosynthetic rate, (B) stomatal conductance, (C) abaxial stomatal density and (D) adaxial stomatal density of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	59
4.20	The interactive effect of different light intensities and fertilizer levels on the mean stomatal conductance of <i>I. palembanica</i> seedlings at six months-after treatments. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	60
5.1	Leaf area measurement by using a digital leaf area meter (LI-COR, LI-3100 AREA METER, USA).	78
5.2	Effect of different shade periods on the mean height increment of <i>N. heimii</i> grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	81
5.3	Effect of different shade periods on the mean diameter increment of <i>N. heimii</i> grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	82
5.4	Effect of different shade periods on the mean leaf number increment of <i>N. heimii</i> grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	82
5.5	Growth performance of <i>N. heimii</i> in different shade periods	83
5.6	Effect of different shade periods on the mean height increment of <i>S. materialis</i> grown at 50% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	84
5.7	Effect of different shade periods on the mean diameter increment of <i>S. materialis</i> grown at 50% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	85
5.8	Effect of different shade periods on the mean leaf number increment of <i>S. materialis</i> grown at 50% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	85
5.9	Growth performance of <i>S. materialis</i> in different shade periods	86
5.10	Effect of different shade periods on the mean height increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	87

5.11	Effect of different shade periods on the mean diameter increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	88
5.12	Effect of different shade periods on the mean leaf number increment of <i>I. palembanica</i> grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$.	88
5.13	Growth performance of <i>I. palembanica</i> in different shade periods	89
5.14	Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) stem mass, (B) leaf mass, (C) root mass and (D) total plant mass of <i>N. heimii</i> grown under 70% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	90
5.15	Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) leaf mass ratio, (B) root mass ratio and (C) root to shoot mass ratio of <i>N. heimii</i> grown under 70% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	92
5.16	Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) stem mass, (B) leaf mass, (C) root mass and (D) total plant mass of <i>S. materialis</i> grown under 50% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	93
5.17	Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) stem mass ratio, (B) leaf mass ratio, (C) root mass ratio, and (D) root to shoot mass ratio of <i>S. materialis</i> grown under 50% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	95
5.18	Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) stem mass, (B) leaf mass, (C) root mass and (D) total plant mass of <i>I. palembanica</i> grown under 70% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	96
5.19	Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) stem mass ratio, (B) leaf mass ratio, (C) root mass ratio, and (D) root to shoot mass ratio of <i>I. palembanica</i> grown under 70% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$	98
5.20	Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) leaf area and (B) leaf area ratio (LAR) of <i>N. heimii</i> grown under 70% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by	99

Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$

- 5.21 Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) leaf area, (B) leaf area ratio, and (C) specific leaf area of *S. materialis* grown under 50% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 101
- 5.22 Effect of different shade periods on the mean (A) leaf area, (B) leaf area ratio, and (C) specific leaf area of *I. palembanica* grown under 70% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 103
- 5.23 Effect of different shade periods on the mean chlorophyll content of *N. heimii* grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 105
- 5.24 Effect of different shade periods on the mean photosynthetic rate of *N. heimii* grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 106
- 5.25 Effect of different shade periods on the mean total photosynthesis of *N. heimii* grown under 70% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 106
- 5.26 Effect of different shade periods on the mean stomatal conductance of *N. heimii* grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 107
- 5.27 Effect of different shade periods on the mean abaxial stomatal density of *N. heimii* grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 107
- 5.28 Effect of different shade periods on the mean chlorophyll content of *S. materialis* grown at 50% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 109
- 5.29 Effect of different shade periods on the mean photosynthetic rate of *S. materialis* grown at 50% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 109
- 5.30 Effect of different shade periods on the mean total photosynthesis of *S. materialis* grown under 50% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's 110

Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$

- 5.31 Effect of different shade periods on the mean stomatal conductance of *S. materialis* grown at 50% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$. 110
- 5.32 Effect of different shade periods on the mean abaxial stomatal density of *S. materialis* grown at 50% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 111
- 5.33 Effect of different shade periods on the mean chlorophyll content of *I. palembanica* grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 112
- 5.34 Effect of different shade periods on the mean photosynthetic rate of *I. palembanica* grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 113
- 5.35 Effect of different shade periods on the mean total photosynthesis of *I. palembanica* grown under 70% shading at twelve months of the study. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 113
- 5.36 Effect of different shade periods on the mean stomatal conductance of *I. palembanica* grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 114
- 5.37 Effect of different shade periods on the mean abaxial stomatal density of *I. palembanica* grown at 70% shading over time. Means with the same letters are not significantly different by Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) Test at $p \leq 0.05$ 114

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
a. s. l.	Above Sea Level
cm	Centimeter
°C	Degree Celsius
D.F	Degree of freedom
F	Fertilizer NPK
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
g	Gram
L	Light intensity
LAR ($\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$)	leaf area ratio: ratio between leaf area and total dry mass per plant
LMR ($\text{g} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$)	Leaf mass ratio: ratio between leaf dry mass and total dry mass per plant
m	Meter
mm	Millimeter
μmol	Micromole
NPK	Nitrogen Phosphorus Potassium
#	Number
P	Probability value
PAR	Photosynthetic Active Radiation
PPFD	Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density
R:S	Root to shoot mass ratio: ratio between root dry mass and shoot (stem and leaves) dry mass per plant
RGR	Relative Growth Rate
RLI	Relative Light Intensity
RMR ($\text{g} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$)	Root mass ratio: ratio between root dry mass and total dry mass per plant
s^{-1}	Per second
SAS	SAS Statistical Analysis System
SHP	Shade period
SLA ($\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$)	Specific leaf area: ratio between leaf area and leaf dry mass per plant
SMR ($\text{g} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$)	Stem mass ratio: ratio between stem dry mass and total dry mass per plant
SPAD	A portable instrument that uses to measure chlorophyll content.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Background

Forest is defined by FAO (2010) as a land that covers more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and their crowns cover more than 10%. It excludes urban parks, orchards, and other agricultural tree crops. Based on this definition, the world's total forest area in 2015 was about 3.9 billion hectares or about 31% of the global land area. The tropical forests represent 44% of the total forest area in the world as they cover approximately 1.7 billion hectares which are about 13.5% of the earth's land area (Keenan et al., 2015). The tropical rainforests are located in four biogeographic realms; the Neotropical, the Afrotropical, the Indomalayan and the Australian realms (Butler, 2007; Primack and Corlett, 2005). The Malaysian tropical forest belongs to the Indomalayan realms was approximately covering 20.456 million hectares i.e. 62% of Malaysia's total land surface in 2010 (FAO, 2011)

According to Whitmore (1990), tropical forests are the richest ecosystems on the earth's land area in terms of components and biodiversity. Tropical rainforests have many significant benefits to the global ecosystem and human life. For instance, 50% to 90% of the world's species live inside these forests. Tropical rainforests are considered as the core that controls the global and local climate systems by acting as heat and water pumps. They also reduce the effect of global warming through absorbing significant amount of carbon dioxide. Moreover, they are excellent source of oxygen, foods, medicines, and high-quality timbers in the world. Tropical rainforests protect earth's land from the floods, droughts, and erosion (Butler, 2014; Drinnen, 2000; WWF-Global, 2015).

Particularly, the tropical rainforests of Southeast Asia (including Malaysia) are believed to be the oldest and one of the most biologically diverse in the world (WWF-Malaysia, 2015). The tropical forests in Peninsular Malaysia are considered as one of the wealthiest forests in the world. They contain approximately 94 woody families from 760 genres and 4100 species (Lim and Faridah, 1992). Despite the importance of the forests, the world's forest areas especially tropical rainforests are being reduced annually by human activities. One of the main causes that contributes to the forests degradation is the deforestation due to the advance of the agriculture frontier, overexploitation of forest for wood and energy, poor forest management, and forest fires (WWF-Germany, 2005). Over the past twenty-five years (1990 - 2015), the global forest area declined by 3%, and the tropical forest area dropped by an average of 7.8 million hectares per year (Keenan et al., 2015).

As a part of the total, Malaysia's tropical forest area was not far from the degradation. Over the past two decades (1990 - 2010), about 1.92 million hectares i.e. 8.6% of the total forest area in Malaysia have been decreased due to deforestation (Blaser et al., 2011). According to Butler (2013), Malaysia had the world's highest rate of forest loss between 2000 and 2012, as the forest loss increased about 14.4% compared to 2000. Butler (2015) also reported that Malaysia is one of the top 15 countries of forest loss between 2012 and 2014, where Malaysia's tropical forest reduced by 484,770 hectares annually. Moreover, the remaining forests face dangers due to the unsustainable management and, illegal logging (WWF-Malaysia, 2015).

Tropical forest loss leads to a decline of timber production and an increase of the species extinction (Butler, 2012). This decline leads also to many environmental problems such as flooding, erosion, landslides, desertification and other natural disasters (Kobayashi et al., 2001). Consequently, some shade-tolerant species particularly, trees that provide heavy hardwood timbers are facing a serious risk of extinction due to the increasing demand in the world market annually. For instance, *Neobalanocarpus heimii* is believed that has been extinct in Thailand and Singapore (Oldfield et al., 1998), whereas, this species has been listed as vulnerable in Peninsular Malaysia because of overexploitation and poor regeneration (L. S. L. Chua, 1998). *Shorea materialis* is another shade-tolerant species that has been listed as critically endangered in Peninsular Malaysia due to the overutilization (Ashton, 1998; Oldfield et al.; 1998). It is also believed that *Intsia palembanica* species would face endangered in the very near future due to the illegal logging (Wong et al., 2009). Therefore, restoration of these species is an important process to keep their populations away from extinction and to produce high-quality timbers with accordance to the domestic and international markets demand.

Nevertheless, in order to achieve a successful forest restoration programs, foresters have to acquire the silvic knowledge that governs regeneration and growth of the species, which include knowledge on roles of biotic and abiotic factors. Among these factors, the quantity of light and nutrient are the most important factors that affect the plant growth and physiology (Kozłowski et al., 1997; Silva and Uchida, 2000).

Light influences growth performance directly through its impacts on the photosynthesis, respiration, stomatal conductance, and chlorophyll synthesis (Kozłowski and Pallardy, 1997a; Kramer and Kozłowski, 1960). Additionally, it also impacts the plant growth indirectly through its affects on other climatic factors such as air and soil temperature, humidity and soil moisture (Mori, 1979). Several studies have been carried out to determine the effect of different light intensity on the survival, growth and physiology of some late successional tree species. For instance, Sulaiman (1997) stated that the seedlings of *Shorea acuminata* planted under 2-9% RLI had better growth than those under 20-55% RLI. Moreover, Collet et al. (2002) showed that the stem of *Fagus sylvatica* seedlings grown under 36.6 % RLI were higher and thicker than those planted under 9.1% RLI. Tong (2006) reported that the optimum height, diameter, and leaf numbers of *Shorea roxburghii* were at 50% RLI, whereas the optimum growth properties of *Dyera costulata* and *Eusideroxylon zwageri* were at 25% RLI. One and two years after planting, Barizan and Newbery

(2008) discovered that seedlings of *Hopea odorata* and *Dryobalanops oblongifolia* in 20-55% RLI and 8-9% RLI had significantly higher survival rate than those in 2-3% RLI. They also found that the height, diameter, leaf number, stem mass, leaf mass and root mass of these two diptirocarp species grown under 20-55% RLI were significantly greater than those under 2-9% RLI. Aminah et al. (2013) revealed that survival rate, height and diameter increments of *Neobalanocarpus heimii* seedlings under 25%, 50%, and 100% RLIs were not significantly differed from each other. In contrast, Shahamanim et al. (2014) demonstrated that seedlings of *N. heimii* grown at 50% RLI had significantly higher height increment, diameter increment, photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, and stomatal conductance than those at 30% and 100% RLIs. In addition, Cai et al. (2008) reported that photosynthetic rate and leaf nitrogen concentration of three *Bauhinia* sp. was increased with increasing light intensity from 5 to 25% RLI.

Additionally, some experiments have been conducted to investigate the optimum levels of fertilizer that should be applied for potted seedlings in order to produce healthy and high quality plant stocks in the nursery. For example, Gunatilleke et al. (1997) reported that total biomass, height, and leaf number of eight *Shorea* species growing in pots were significantly increased by application of Mg and P together in different levels compared to unfertilized seedlings. Saner et al. (2010) exhibited that application of 1.25 g NPK fertilizer three times of a period 13 months caused to a significant improvement in dry weight of *Vatica albiramis* seedlings planted in pots. Aminah et al. (2013) found that plant height and diameter increments of *N. heimii* seedlings received 1 and 2 g Blue NPK monthly during eleven months were significantly increased compared with the control. Shahamanim et al. (2014) displayed that *N. heimii* seedlings treated with 10g of NPK Blue monthly for twelve months had significantly higher height increment, diameter increment, photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, and stomatal conductance than those fertilized by 10 g of goat dung. Irino et al. (2004) revealed that photosynthetic rate of *Dryobalanops lanceolata* seedlings was significantly varied among fertilizer treatments as the highest photosynthetic rate was recorded in the seedlings that treated with 2 g NPK while its lowest value was detected at 10 g NPK.

On the other hand, a few empirical studies reported that shade tolerant tree species are able to survive and grow satisfactorily under full sunlight during establishment stages if they are supported by a suitable level of nutrients (Amrhein et al., 2012; Nussbaum et al., 1995; Tripathi and Raghubanshi, 2013). While other researches revealed that sufficient sunlight is required in determining the plant response to fertilizer applications (Brown et al., 1999; Gunatilleke et al., 1997; Shahamanim et al., 2014). In addition, light (Kenzo et al., 2008) and fertilizer (Barizan and Newbery, 2008) requirement are different among various species even in the same taxonomic group.

Therefore, there are still more studies should be performed to discover the optimal amount of light and fertilizer for different tropical shade tolerant tree species in the nursery stage to provide enough number of plant stocks with a high quality for the purpose of reforestation in the degraded secondary forest areas as usually performed in enrichment planting.

On the other hand, it is commonly assumed that shade tolerant tree species are unable to survive well under full sunlight in the early establishment stage while strong light is required for better growth (Suzuki et al., 2006). Based on shade tolerant theory, many of the shade tolerant species in Malaysia, such as *N. heimii* seedlings have been planted under heavy shade in many rehabilitation projects including the enrichment planting projects carried out by the Forestry Department of Peninsular Malaysia (Appanah and Weinland, 1993; Mohd Zaki et al., 2009). One such example is the planting of *N. heimii* in between rows of 3-year old *Acacia mangium* in the Multi-storey Forest Management in Chikus, Bidor, Perak. After eight years under the shade, *N. heimii* was exposed to sunlight by cutting down the adjacent *A. mangium*, and the trees recorded remarkable growth (Mohd Zaki et al., 2011). However, two questions below are still unanswered:

1. Would the species performed better if it was exposed to full sunlight earlier than eight years?
2. What would the appropriate shade periods of different shade tolerant species before exposing them to strong light?

These two important questions have to be answered comprehensively through measuring survival rate, growth, biomass, leaf morphology and physiology of *N. heimii*, *S. materialis* and *I. palembanica* before they are planted in more rehabilitation projects in the near future to overcome the impending problems of conservation and production.

For that reasons, these studies should be conducted to more understanding of ecophysiology and growth requirements of these three species.

1.2 Justification

These three shade tolerant species (*N. heimii*, *S. materialis* and *I. palembanica*) are the most common and commercial trees in Malaysia because they produce heavy hardwood timbers which are highly valued for their strength, durability and workability. Moreover, these three species have faced a serious risk of extinction as mentioned early. In addition, there were no exact report on the combination effect of different light intensities and fertilizer NPK (15N:15P₂O₅:15K₂O) levels and effect of shading periods on the growth and physiological properties especially of *S. materialis* and *I. palembanica* in controlled environment. Furthermore, the three species are considered as slow growing tree species. Therefore, more investigations are required in order to get more knowledge on growth requirements in terms of the adequate light condition, fertilizer levels and shading periods of the studied species.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main aim of the present study was to improve growth and physiological properties of *N. heimii*, *S. materialis* and *I. palembanica* so as to success their

reforestation in Malaysia through better understanding of their ecophysiology and growth requirements. For this purpose, two specific objectives were identified in this study. These objectives are as follows:

1. To investigate the effects of different light intensities, fertilizer levels, and their interactions on the survival, growth performance and physiological traits of three shade tolerant species. In addition, to determine the correlation coefficient within and between growth and physiological variables of each the species overall treatment combinations.
2. To examine the effect of different shading periods on the survival, growth performance, plant biomass, morphology, and physiology of each the species. In addition, to identify which variables of leaf morphology and leaf physiology play a significant role in driving growth and biomass of the species.

REFERENCES

- Abdu, A., Tanaka, S., Jusop, S., Majid, N.M., Ibrahim, Z., Sakurai, K. & Wasli, M. E. (2008a). Assessment on soil fertility status and growth performance of planted dipterocarp species in Perak, Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 8(21), 3795–3805.
- Abdu, A., Tanaka, S., Jusop, S., Majid, N.M., Ibrahim, Z. & Sakurai, K. (2008b). Rehabilitation of degraded tropical rainforest in Peninsular Malaysia with a multi-storied plantation technique of indigenous dipterocarp species. *J. Forest Environ.*, 50, 141–152.
- Abdul Hamid, H., Abdu, A., Ismail, M.K., Rahim, M.K.A., Senin, A.L., Rahman, W.A. & Nazri, W. M. (2011). Gas exchange of three dipterocarp species in a reciprocal planting. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 10(8), 408–413.
- Abrams, M. D., & Kubiske, M. E. (1990). Leaf structural characteristics of 31 hardwood and conifer tree species in central Wisconsin: influence of light regime and shade-tolerance rank. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 31, 245–253.
- Adjers, G., Hadengganan, S., Kuusipalo, J., Nuryanto, K. & Vesa, L. (1995). Enrichment planting of dipterocarps in logged-over secondary forests: Effect of width, direction and maintenance method of planting line on selected *Shorea* species. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 73(94), 259–270.
- Adjers, G., Kuusipalo, J., Hadengganan, S., Nuryanto, K. & Vesa, L. (1996). Performance of ten dipterocarp species in restocking logged-over forest areas subjected to shifting cultivation. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 9(2), 151–160.
- Aleric, K. M., & Kirkman, L. K. (2005). Growth and photosynthetic responses of the federally endangered shrub, *Lindera melissifolia* (Lauraceae), to varied light environments. *American Journal of Botany*, 92(4), 682–689.
- Aminah, H., & Lokmal, N. (2002). Effects of fertiliser treatments on growth of *Dyera costulata* stock plants and rooting ability of their stem cuttings. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 14(3), 412–420.
- Aminah, H., Naimah, C.L., Raja Barizan, R.S. & Mohd Noor, M. (2013). Effect of light intensity and fertiliser levels on the stock plants of chengal (*Neobalanocarpus heimii*) and rooting of its subsequent cuttings. *Sains Malaysiana*, 42(3), 257–263.
- Aminuddin, H. M. (1982). Light requirements of *Dyera costulata* seedlings. *Malayan Forester*, 45(2), 203–216.
- Amrhein, N., Apel, K., Baginsky, S., Buchmann, N., Geisler, M., Keller, F., Körner, C., Martinoia, E., Merbold, L., Müller, C., Paschke, M., & Schmid, B. (2012). *Plant Response to Stress*. Zurich, Switzerland: Zurich - Basel Plant Science Center.

- Appanah, S., & Weinland, G. (1993). *Planting quality timber trees in Peninsular Malaysia: a review*. Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Ashton, P. (1998). *Shorea materialis*. In IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2015.4. Retrieved April 20, 2015, from <http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/31972/0>
- Ashton, P. M. S., & De Zoysa, N. D. (1989). Performance of *Shorea trapezifolia* (Thwaites) Ashton seedlings growing in different light regimes. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 1(4), 356–364.
- Atwell, B. J., Kriedemann, P. E., & Turnbull, C. G. (1999). Sunlight: an all-pervasive source of energy. In *Plants in action: adaptation in nature, performance in cultivation* (1st ed., pp. 380–415). Macmillan Education Australia Pty Ltd, Melbourne, Australia.
- Azman, H., Razali, W.M., Shahrulzaman, I. & Rahman, A. K. (1990). Growth performance of indigenous species under enrichment planting in logged-over forests. In *Malaysian Forestry and Forest Products Research Proceeding* (pp. 30–40).
- Ball, J. (2007). Back to Basics: The Roles of N, P, K and Their Sources. Retrieved January 17, 2015, from www.noble.org/ag/soils/back2basics/
- Barizan, R. S. R., & Newbery, D. M. (2008). Early establishment of dipterocarp seedlings in Berkelah Forest Reserve, Pahang. In H. T. Chan, I. Shamsudin, & P. Ismail (Eds.), *An In-Depth Look At Enrichment Planting. Malaysian Forest Record 47* (pp. 89–105). Kepong, Malaysia.: Forest Research Institute Malaysia.
- Barker, M. G., Press, M. C., & Brown, N. D. (1997). Photosynthetic characteristics of dipterocarp seedlings in three tropical rain forest light environments: A basis for niche partitioning? *Oecologia*, 112(4), 453–463.
- Basri, M. H. A. (2014). *Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on BRIS fertility and growth performance of selected kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L.) varieties*. (Master dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia).
- Bjorkman, O. (1981). Responses to different quantum flux densities. In *Physiological Plant Ecology I Responses to the Physical Environment*. (Vol. 12A, pp. 57–107). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- Blaser, J., Sarre, A., Poore, D., & Johnson, S. (2011). *Status of tropical forest management 2011*. Yokohama, Japan: ITTO Technical Series No 38. International Tropical Timber Organization.
- Booth, W. E. (1996). Comparative morphology and physiology of gap and understorey seedling leaves from a mixed dipterocarp forest . In *Tropical Rainforest Research. Monographiae Biologicae* (Vol. 74, pp. 367–375).
- Brown, N. D., & Whitmore, T. C. (1992). Do dipterocarp seedlings really partition tropical rain forest gaps? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*

- London B: Biological Sciences*, 335(1275), 369–378.
- Brown, N., Press, M., & Bebbler, D. (1999). Growth and survivorship of dipterocarp seedlings: differences in shade persistence create a special case of dispersal limitation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 354, 1847–1855.
- Bruzon, J. B. (1982). Fertilization of potted white lauan (*Shorea contorta* Vidal) seedlings in the nursery of the Dipterocarp. Forest Research Center, FORI (Forest Research Inst.). *Sylvatrop*, 7, 21–25.
- Burslem, D.F.R.P., Grubb, P.J. & Turner, I. M. (1995). Responses to nutrient addition among shade-tolerant tree seedlings of lowland tropical rain forest in Singapore. *Journal of Ecology*, 83, 113–122.
- Burslem, D. F. R. P., Grubb, P. J., & Turner, I. M. (1996). Responses to simulated drought and elevated nutrient supply among shade-tolerant tree seedlings of lowland tropical forest in Singapore. *Biotropica*, 28(4), 636–648.
- Burton, P. J., & Mueller-Dombois, D. (1984). Response of *Metrosideros polymorpha* Seedlings to Experimental Canopy Opening. *Ecology*, 65(3), 779–791.
- Butler, R. (2007). Where Rainforests are Located: Biogeographical Tropical Forest Realms. Retrieved December 29, 2015, from <http://rainforests.mongabay.com/0102.htm>
- Butler, R. (2012). How to Save Tropical Rainforests. Retrieved February 10, 2015, from <http://rainforests.mongabay.com/1001.htm>
- Butler, R. (2013). Malaysia has the world's highest deforestation rate, reveals Google forest map. Retrieved February 10, 2015, from <http://news.mongabay.com/2013/1115-worlds-highest-deforestation-rate.html>
- Butler, R. (2014). Tropical Rainforests of the World. Retrieved December 31, 2015, from <http://rainforests.mongabay.com/0101.htm>
- Butler, R. (2015). Global forest loss reached 46 million acres in 2014. Retrieved January 2, 2016, from <http://news.mongabay.com/2015/09/global-forest-loss-reached-46-million-acres-in-2014/>
- Cai, Z. Q., Poorter, L., Han, Q., & Bongers, F. (2008). Effects of light and nutrients on seedlings of tropical *Bauhinia* lianas and trees. *Tree Physiology*, 28(8), 1277–1285.
- Capers, R. S., Chazdon, R. L., Redondo, A., & Alvarado, B. V. (2005). Successional dynamics of woody seedling communities in wet tropical secondary forests. *Journal of Ecology*, 93(6), 1071–1084.
- Chazdon, R. L. (2008). Chance and determinism in tropical forest succession. In W. Carson & S. Schnitzer (Eds.), *Tropical Forest Community Ecology* (pp. 384–408). John Wiley & Sons.

- Chazdon, R. L., Brenes, A. R., & Alvarado, B. V. (2005). Effects of climate and stand age on annual tree dynamics in tropical second-growth rain forests. *Ecology*, *86*(7), 1808–1815.
- Chazdon, R. L., Finegan, B., Capers, R. S., Salgado-Negret, B., Casanoves, F., Boukili, V., & Norden, N. (2010). Composition and dynamics of functional groups of trees during tropical forest succession in northeastern Costa Rica. *Biotropica*, *42*(1), 31–40.
- Choo, K. T., Lim, S. C., & Gan, K. S. (1999). *Timber Technology Bulletin: Heavy Hardwoods (II)* (139-258 No. 12). Timber Technology Centre (TTC), FRIM, Kepong, 52109 Kuala Lumpur.
- Choudhury, N. K., & Behera, R. K. (2001). Photoinhibition of photosynthesis: Role of carotenoids in photoprotection of chloroplast constituents. *Photosynthetica*, *39*(4), 481–488.
- Chua, L. S. L. (1998). *Neobalanocarpus heimii*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.3. Retrieved from <http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/biblio/32314/0>
- Chua, S. C., Ramage, B. S., Ngo, K. M., Potts, M. D., & Lum, S. K. Y. (2013). Slow recovery of a secondary tropical forest in Southeast Asia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *308*, 153–160.
- Closa, I., Irigoyen, J. J., & Goicoechea, N. (2010). Microclimatic conditions determined by stem density influence leaf anatomy and leaf physiology of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) growing within stands that naturally regenerate from clear-cutting. *Trees - Structure and Function*, *24*, 1029–1043.
- Collet, C., Lanter, O., & Pardos, M. (2002). Effects of canopy opening on the morphology and anatomy of naturally regenerated beech seedlings. *Trees - Structure and Function*, *16*(4–5), 291–298.
- Coomes, D. A., & Grubb, P. J. (2000). Impacts of root competition in forests and woodlands: a theoretical framework and review of experiments. *Ecological Monographs*, *70*(2), 171–207.
- Cornelissen, J. H. C., Werger, M. J. A. & Zhangcheng, Z. (1994). Effects of canopy gaps on the growth of tree seedlings from subtropical broad-leaved evergreen forests of southern China. *Vegetatio*, *110*, 43–54.
- Cuzzuol, G. R. F., & Milanez, C. R. D. (2012). Morphological and physiological adjustments in juvenile tropical trees under contrasting sunlight irradiance. In M. Najafpour (Ed.), *Advances in Photosynthesis - Fundamental Aspects* (pp. 501–518). In Tech.
- Dang, T. (2003). *Effects of Some Nursery Practices on the Growth of Endospermum Chinense Benth Seedlings*. (Master dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia).
- Davidson, R., Mauffette, Y., & Gagnon, D. (2002). Light requirements of seedlings: a method for selecting tropical trees for plantation forestry. *Basic and Applied*

- Ecology*, 3(3), 209–220.
- Day, P. R. (1965). Particle fractionation and particle-size analysis. In *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 1: physical and mineralogical properties, including statistics of measurement and sampling* (pp. 545–567).
- Denslow, J. S., Schultz, J. C., Vitousek, P. M., & Strain, B. R. (1990). Growth responses of tropical shrubs to treefall gap environments. *Ecology*, 71(1), 165–179.
- Drinnen, K. (2000). *Tropical Rainforest* (Third Edit). Moody Gardens' Education Department.
- Engel, V. L., & Poggiani, F. (1991). Estudo da concentração de clorofila nas folhas e seu espectro de absorção de luz em função do sombreamento em mudas de quatro espécies florestais nativas. *Revista Brasileira de Fisiologia Vegetal*, 3(1), 39–45.
- Evans, J. R., & Poorter, H. (2001). Photosynthetic acclimation of plants to growth irradiance: the relative importance of specific leaf area and nitrogen partitioning in maximizing carbon gain. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 24(8), 755–767.
- Ewell, J. J., & Bigelow, S. W. (1996). Plant life-forms and tropical ecosystem functioning. In G. H. Orians, R. Dirzo, & J. H. Cushman (Eds.), *Biodiversity and ecosystem processes in tropical forests* (pp. 101–126). New York: Springer.
- FAO. (2010). *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010: Main report* (Vol. 163).
- FAO. (2011). *State of the World's Forests 2011*. FAO, Rome.
- FD, & JICA. (2000). *Wood sample of Malaysian hardwoods and small- scaled fast-growing forest plantation species. A guide to their characteristics, properties and uses*. Forestry department Peninsular Malaysia (FD) and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA).
- Fetcher, N., Haines, B. L., Cordero, R. A., Lodge, D. J., Walker, L. R., Fernandez, D. S., & Lawrence, W. T. (1996). Responses of tropical plants to nutrients and light on a landslide in Puerto Rico. *Journal of Ecology*, 84(3), 331–341.
- Flores, M. P. (1992). Effects of nurse trees, fertilizer and mulch treatments on the growth performance of interplanted white Lauan (*Shorea contorta* (Vidal) Merr. and Rolfe) seedlings. In *International Conference on Reforestation with Philippine Species for Biodiversity Protection and Economic Progress; Palo Leyte; 3-6 March 1997; Visayas State College of Agriculture-Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Technische Zusammenarbeit, Applied Tropical Ec.*
- Gonçalves, J. F. C., Barreto, D. C. D. S., Santos, U. M. Dos, Fernandes, A. V., Sampaio, P. D. T. B., & Buckeridge, M. S. (2005). Growth, photosynthesis and stress indicators in young rosewood plants (*Aniba rosaeodora* Ducke) under different light intensities. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 17(3), 325–334.

- Gonçalves, J. F. D. C., Marengo, R. A., & Vieira, G. (2001). Concentration of photosynthetic pigments and chlorophyll fluorescence of mahogany and tonka bean under two light environments. *Revista Brasileira de Fisiologia Vegetal*, 13(2), 149–157.
- Gratani, L. (2014). Plant phenotypic plasticity in response to environmental factors. *Advances in Botany*, 2014, 1–17.
- Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N., Perera, G.A.D., Burslem, D.F.R.P., Ashton, P.M.S. & Ashton, P. S. (1997). Responses to nutrient addition among seedlings of eight closely related species of *Shorea* in Sri Lanka. *Journal of Ecology*, 85, 301–311.
- Herbert, D. A., & Fownes, J. H. (1995). Phosphorus limitation of forest leaf area and net primary production on a highly weathered soil. *Biogeochemistry*, 29(3), 223–235.
- Hokmalipour, S., & Darbandi, M. H. (2011). Effects of nitrogen fertilizer on chlorophyll content and other leaf indicate in three cultivars of Maize (*Zea mays* L .). *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 15(12), 1780–1785.
- Hopkins, W. G., & Huner, N. P. A. (2009). *Introduction to Plant Physiology* (Fourth Edi). United States of America: Wiley.
- Irino, K.O., Iba, Y., Ishizuka, S., Kenzo, T., Ripot, S., Kendawang, J.J., Miyashita, N., Nara, K., Hogetsu, T., Ninomiya, I. & Iwasaki, K. (2004). Effects of controlled-release fertilizer on growth and ectomycorrhizal colonization of pot-grown seedlings of the dipterocarp *Dryobalanops lanceolata* in a tropical nursery. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 50(5), 747–753.
- Ishida, A., Nakano, T., Matsumoto, Y., Sakoda, M., & Ang, L. H. (1999). Diurnal changes in leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence in tropical tree species with contrasting light requirements. *Ecological Research*, 14(2), 77–88.
- Itoh, A., Yamakura, T., & Lee, H. S. (1999). Effects of light intensity on the growth and allometry of two bornean dryobalanops (dipterocarpaceae) seedlings. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 11(3), 610–618.
- Jaenicke, H. (1999). *Good tree nursery practices: practical guidelines for research nurseries*. Nairobi, Kenya: International Centre for Research in Agroforestry.
- Johns, R.J., Laming, P.B., den Outer, R.W. & Sosef, M. S. M. (1993). *Intsia thourars*. In I. Soerianegara & R. H. M. J. Lemmens (Eds.), *Plant Resources of South-East Asia No. 5(1). Timber trees: major commercial timbers* (pp. 264–270). Bogor, Indonesia: (PROSEA).
- Joker, D., & Nadarajan, J. (2003). *Neobalanocarpus heimii*. Seed leaflet No. 70. FRIM.
- Jones Jr, J. B. (2001). *Laboratory Guide for Conducting Soil Tests and Plant Analysis*. Boca Raton London New York Washington: CRC Press.

- Kachi, N., Okuda, T., Yap, S. K., & Manokaran, N. (1995). Biodiversity and regeneration of canopy tree species in a tropical rainforest in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Environmental Science*, 9, 17–36.
- Kalácska, M., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., Rivard, B., Calvo-Alvarado, J. C., Journet, A. R. P., Arroyo-Mora, J. P., & Ortiz-Ortiz, D. (2004). Leaf area index measurements in a tropical moist forest: A case study from Costa Rica. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 91(2), 134–152.
- Keenan, R. J., Reams, G. A., Achard, F., Freitas, J. V. De, Grainger, A., & Lindquist, E. (2015). Dynamics of global forest area : Results from the FAO Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 352, 9–20.
- Kenzo, T., Yoneda, R., Matsumoto, Y., Azani, A.M. & Majid, M. N. (2011). Growth and photosynthetic response of four Malaysian indigenous tree species under different light conditions. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 23(3), 271–281.
- Kenzo, T., Yoneda, R., Matsumoto, Y., Azani, M.A. & Majid, N. M. (2008). Leaf photosynthetic and growth responses on four tropical tree species to different light conditions in degraded tropical secondary forest , Peninsular Malaysia. *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly: JARQ*, 42(4), 299–306.
- Keong, C. H. (2006). Review of trade in merbau (*Intsia* spp.) from major range States to Germany and the EU: A preliminary assessment. In *An information document prepared by TRAFIC for the Sixteenth meeting of the CITES Plant Committee on request of the German CITES Scientific Authority (Bundesamt für Naturschutz)*. TRAFIC Internasional, Selangor-Malaysia.
- Kitao, M., Lei, T. T., Koike, T., Tobita, H., Maruyama, Y., Matsumoto, Y., & Ang, L. H. (2000). Temperature response and photoinhibition investigated by chlorophyll fluorescence measurements for four distinct species of dipterocarp trees. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 109(3), 284–290.
- Kobayashi, S., Turnbull, J. W., & Cossalter, C. (2001). Rehabilitation of Degraded Tropical Forest Ecosystems Project. In S. Kobayashi, J. W. Turnbull, T. Toma, T. Mori, & N. M. N. A. Majid (Eds.), *Rehabilitation of Degraded Tropical Forest Ecosystems* (pp. 1–16). Workshop Proceedings 2-4 November 1999 Bogor, Indonesia.
- Kozlowski, Theodore T. & Pallardy, S. G. (1997a). *Growth Control in Woody Plants*. San Diego, New York, Boston, London, Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto: Academic Press, Inc.
- Kozlowski, Theodore T. & Pallardy, S. G. (1997b). *Physiology of Woody Plants* (Second). San Diego, New York, Boston, London, Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto: Academic Press.
- Kozlowski, T. T. (1971). *Growth and Development of Trees: Seed Germination, Ontogeny, and Shoot Growth*. Academic press (Vol. 1). New York and London, USA.
- Kozlowski, T. T., Kramer, P. J., & Pallardy, S. G. (1991). *The Physiological Ecology*

of Woody Plants. Academic Press, New York.

- Kramer, P. J., & Kozlowski, T. T. (1960). *Physiology of trees*.
- Krause, G.H., Grube, E., Koroleva, O.Y., Barth, C. & Winter, K. (2004). Do mature shade leaves of tropical tree seedlings acclimate to high sunlight and UV radiation? *Functional Plant Biology*, 31(7), 743–756.
- Lambers, H., Chapin III, F. S., & Pons, T. L. (2008). *Plant Physiological Ecology* (Second Edi). Springer.
- Lawrence, D. (2001). Nitrogen and phosphorus enhance growth and luxury consumption of four secondary forest tree species in Borneo. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 17(6), 859–869.
- Lawrence, D. (2003). The response of tropical tree seedlings to nutrient supply: meta-analysis for understanding a changing tropical landscape. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 19(3), 239–250.
- Lebrija-Trejos, E., Meave, J. A., Poorter, L., Pérez-García, E. A., & Bongers, F. (2010). Pathways, mechanisms and predictability of vegetation change during tropical dry forest succession. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 12(4), 267–275.
- Lee, S. L., Ng, K. K. S., Saw, L. G., Norwati, A., Salwana, M. H. S., Lee, C. T., & Norwati, M. (2002). Population genetics of *Intsia palembanica* (Leguminosae) and genetic conservation of virgin jungle reserves in peninsular Malaysia. *American Journal of Botany*, 89(3), 447–459.
- Li, F. L., Bao, W. K., & Wu, N. (2009). Effects of water stress on growth, dry matter allocation and water-use efficiency of a leguminous species, *Sophora davidii*. *Agroforestry Systems*, 77(3), 193–201.
- Lim, M. T., & Faridah, H. I. (1992). Indigenous species plantations for Malaysia. In A. S. Sajab, R. A. Kader, M. S. H. Othman, A. Mohd., F. H. Ibrahim, & M. H. Sahri (Eds.), *Indigenous Species for Forest Plantations*. Proceedings of a national seminar held on 23 - 24 April 1992 at Faculty of Forestry Universiti Pertanian Malaysia, Serdang.
- Lim, S. C., Gan, K. S., & Choo, K. T. (1998). *Timber Technology Bulletin: Heavy Hardwoods (I)* (No. 8). Timber Technology Centre (TTC), FRIM, Kepong, 52109 Kuala Lumpur.
- Manokaran, N., Lafrankie, J. V., Kochummen, KM., Quah, E. S., Klahn, J. E., Ashton, P. S. & Hubbell, S. P. (1992). Stand table and distribution of species in the 50-hectare research plot at Pasoh Forest Reserve. Research data No.1, Forest Reserch Institute Malaysia, 454pp.
- Martin, J., & Gower, T. (1996a). Forest Succession. *Forestry Facts*, (78), 2–4. <http://doi.org/10.1038/312109a0>
- Martin, J., & Gower, T. (1996b). Tolerance of Tree Species. *Forestry Facts*, 79, 1–2.

<http://doi.org/http://forestandwildlifeecology.wisc.edu/sites/default/files/pdfs/publications/79.PDF>

- Martin, P. H., Canham, C. D., & Marks, P. L. (2009). Why forests appear resistant to exotic plant invasions: intentional introductions, stand dynamics, and the role of shade tolerance. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(3), 142–149.
- McDaniel, S., & Ostertag, R. (2010). Strategic light manipulation as a restoration strategy to reduce alien grasses and encourage native regeneration in Hawaiian mesic forests. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 13(3), 280–290.
- Minotta, G., & Pinzauti, S. (1996). Effects of light and soil fertility on growth, leaf chlorophyll content and nutrient use efficiency of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) seedlings. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 86(96), 61–71.
- Mitamura, M., Yamamura, Y., & Nakano, T. (2009). Large-scale canopy opening causes decreased photosynthesis in the saplings of shade-tolerant conifer, *Abies veitchii*. *Tree Physiology*, 29(1), 137–145.
- Mohamad, A. (1986). Light requirements of *Shorea materialis* seedlings. *Pertanika*, 9(3), 285–289.
- Mohd Zaki, Hamzah, Arifin, A., Mohamad Azani, A., Nik Muhamad, M., Mohd Nazre, S., & Fujiwara, K. (2011). Rehabilitation of degraded areas: have they contributed towards overall forest recovery. Paper presented at the International Conference: New Perspective of Tropical Forest Rehabilitations for Better Forest Function and Management, Gadjahmada University.
- Mohd Zaki, Hamzah, Arifin, A., Zaidey, A.K., Azirim, A.N., Zahari, I., Hazandy, A.H., Affendy, H., Wasli, M.E., Shamshuddin, J., & Muhamad, M. N. (2009). Characterizing soil nutrient status and growth performance of planted dipterocarp and non-dipterocarp species on degraded forest land in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Science*, 9(24), 4215–4223.
- Mori, T. (1979). Physiological studies on some dipterocarp and rattan species of Peninsular Malaysia as a basis for artificial regeneration. *Research Pamphlet-ForestResearch Institute Kepong (Malaysia)*. No. 78.
- Mori, T., Nakashizuka, T., Sumizono, T., & Yap, S. K. (1990). Growth and photosynthetic responses to temperature in several malaysian tree species. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 3(1), 44–57.
- Myster, R. W. (2003). Vegetation dynamics of a permanent pasture plot in Puerto Rico. *Biotropica*, 35(3), 422–428.
- Naidu, C. V., & Swamy, P. M. (1995). Seasonal pattern of photosynthetic rate and its relationship with chlorophyll content, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase activity and biomass production. *Biologia Plantarum*, 37(2), 349–354.
- Ng, F. S. P. (1992). Leaf senescence in kapur (*Dryobalanops aromatica*, Dipterocarpaceae) under different canopy conditions. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 4(4), 275–280.

- Nicholson, D. I. (1960). Light requirements of seedlings of five species of Dipterocarpaceae. *Malayan Forester*, 23(4), 344–356.
- Nussbaum, R., Anderson, J., & Spencer, T. (1995). Factors limiting the growth of indigenous tree seedlings planted on degraded rainforest soils in Sabah, Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 74(1–3), 149–159.
- Oechel, W. C., Lowell, W., & Jarrell, W. (1981). Nutrient and environmental controls on carbon flux in Mediterranean shrubs from California. In N. S. Marganis & H. A. Mooney (Eds.), *Components of Productivity of Mediterranean-Climatic Regions: Basic and Applied Aspects* (pp. 51–59). Dr. W. Junk.
- Ogilvy, T. (2004). *Regeneration Ecology of Broad Leaved Trees in Caledonian Forest*. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Edinburgh).
- Oldfield, S., Lusty, C., & MacKinnon, A. (1998). *The World List of Threatened Trees*. World Conservation Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Oldfield, S. (1991). *Pre-project Study on the Conservation Status of Tropical Timbers in Trade: Final Report* (Vol. II). Cambridge, United Kingdom: World Conservation Monitoring Centre.
- Orwa, C., Mutua, A., Kindt, R., Jamnadass, R., & Anthony, S. (2009). Agroforestry tree database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. Retrieved from (<http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sites/treedbs/treedatabases.asp>)
- Otsamo, R., & Kurniati, L. (1999). Early performance of ten timber species planted under *Acacia mangium* plantation on an *Imperata cyundrica* grassland site in South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *International Tree Crops Journal*, 10(2), 131–144.
- Pallardy, S. G. (2008). *Physiology of Woody Plants* (Third Edit). Elsevier Inc.
- Perrin, P. M., & Mitchell, F. J. G. (2013). Effects of shade on growth, biomass allocation and leaf morphology in European yew (*Taxus baccata* L.). *European Journal of Forest Research*, 132(2), 211–218.
- Poorter, H., & Nagel, O. (2000). The role of biomass allocation in the growth response of plants to different levels of light, CO₂, nutrients and water: a quantitative review. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 27, 595–607.
- Portsmouth, A., & Niinemets, Ü. (2007). Structural and physiological plasticity in response to light and nutrients in five temperate deciduous woody species of contrasting shade tolerance. *Functional Ecology*, 21(1), 61–77.
- Primack, R., & Corlett, R. (2005). *Tropical Rain Forests: An Ecological and Biogeographical Comparison*. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Purschke, O., Schmid, B. C., Sykes, M. T., Poschlod, P., Michalski, S. G., Durka, W., ... Prentice, H. C. (2013). Contrasting changes in taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional diversity during a long-term succession: Insights into assembly

- processes. *Journal of Ecology*, 101(4), 857–866.
- Radin, J. W., Hartung, W., Kimball, B. a, & Mauney, J. R. (1988). Correlation of stomatal conductance with photosynthetic capacity of cotton only in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere: mediation by abscisic acid? *Plant Physiology*, 88, 1058–1062.
- Rees, M., Condit, R., Crawley, M., Pacala, S., & Tilman, D. (2001). Long-term studies of vegetation dynamics. *Science*, 293(5530), 650–655. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1062586>
- Reich, P. B., Tjoelker, M. G., Walters, M. B., Vanderklein, D. W., & Buschena, C. (1998). Close association of RGR, leaf and root morphology, seed mass and shade tolerance in seedlings of nine boreal tree species grown in high and low light. *Functional Ecology*, 12, 327–338.
- Romell, E., Hallsby, G., Karlsson, A. & Garcia, C. (2008). Artificial canopy gaps in a Macaranga spp. dominated secondary tropical rain forest—effects on survival and above ground increment of four under-planted dipterocarp species. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 255, 1452–1460.
- Salifu, K. F., Jacobs, D. F., & Birge, Z. K. D. (2009). Nursery nitrogen loading improves field performance of bareroot Oak seedlings planted on abandoned mine Lands. *Restoration Ecology*, 17(3), 339–349.
- Saner, P., Philipson, C., Ong, R.C., Majalap, N., Egli, S. & Hector, A. (2010). Positive effects of ectomycorrhizal colonization on growth of seedlings of a tropical tree across a range of forest floor light conditions. *Plant and Soil*, 338(1–2), 411–421.
- Santelices, R., Espinoza, S., & Cabrera, A. (2015). Effect of four levels of shade on survival, morphology and chlorophyll fluorescence of *Nothofagus alessandrii* container-grown seedlings. *iForest - Biogeosciences and Forestry*, 8(5), 638–641.
- Santelices, R., Espinoza, S., & Cabrera, A. M. (2015). Effects of shading and slow release fertilizer on early growth of *Nothofagus leonii* seedlings from its northernmost distribution in Central Chile. *Bosque (Valdivia)*, 36(2), 179–185.
- Santiago, L. S., Wright, S. J., Harms, K. E., Yavitt, J. B., Korine, C., Garcia, M. N., & Turner, B. L. (2012). Tropical tree seedling growth responses to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium addition. *Journal of Ecology*, 100(2), 309–316.
- Sasaki, S., & Mori, T. (1981). Growth responses of dipterocarp seedlings to light. *Malayan Forester*, 44(2/3), 319–345.
- Schlüter, U., Muschak, M., Berger, D. & Altmann, T. (2003). Photosynthetic performance of an *Arabidopsis* mutant with elevated stomatal density (sdd1-1) under different light regimes. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54(383), 867–874.
- Schwarzwallner, W., Chai, F. Y. C., & Hahn-Schilling, B. (1999). Growth

characteristics and response to illumination of some Shorea species in the logged-over mixed dipterocarp forest of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 11(3), 554–569.

- Shahanim, M. F., Barizan, R. R., & O Normaniza, A. N. B. (2014). Growth performance and physiology parameters of chengal seedlings under different light and fertiliser treatments in the nursery. In *Proceedings of the conference on forestry and forest products research 2013 (Vol. 1, No. 6, p. 365)*.
- Sheil, D. (2001). Long-term observations of rain forest succession, tree diversity and responses to disturbance. *Plant Ecology*, 155(2), 183–199.
- Sheil, D., Salim, A., Chave, J., Vanclay, J., & Hawthorne, W. D. (2006). Illumination-size relationships of 109 coexisting tropical forest tree species. *Journal of Ecology*, 94, 494–507.
- Shiva, M. P., & Jantan, I. (1998). Non-timber forest products from dipterocarps. In S. Appanah & J. M. Turnbull (Eds.), *A Review of Dipterocarps—Taxonomy, ecology and silviculture* (pp. 187–197). CIFOR/FRIM. Centre for International Forest Research, Bogor, Indonesia.
- Shono, K., Davies, S. J., & Chua, Y. K. (2007). Performance of 45 native tree species on degraded Lands in Singapore. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 19(1), 25–34.
- Silva, J. A., & Uchida, R. S. (2000). *Plant Nutrient in Hawaii's Soils Tropical and Subtropical Agriculture, Chapter 3, Essential Nutrients for Plant Growth*.
- Soepadmo, E., Saw, L. G., & Chung, R. C. K. (2002). *Tree Flora of Sabah and Sarawak (Vol. Four)*. Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Sabah Forestry Department and Sarawak Forestry Department, Kuala Lumpur.
- Soerianegara, I., & Lemmens, R. H. M. J. (1993). *Plant resources of South-East Asia No. 5 (1) Timber trees: major commercial timbers*. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation (PUDOC).
- Suhaili, R., Majid, N. M., Hamzah, M. Z., & Paudyal, B. K. (1998). Initial growth performance of indigenous timber species by open planting technique on degraded forest land. *Malaysian Forester*, 4(61), 232–242.
- Sulaiman, R. B. R. (1997). *Studies on the early establishment of dipterocarp seedlings in a Malaysian logged hill forest*. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Stirling).
- Suzuki, K., Ishii, K., Sakurai, S., & Sasaki, S. (2006). *Plantation Technology in Tropical Forest Science*. Tokyo: Springer-Verlag.
- Symington, C. F. (1943). Malayan forest records no. 16. Foresters' manual of dipterocarps. (reprinted with plates and historical introduction, University of Malaya Press, Kuala Lumpur, 1974).
- Takahashi, K., & Mikami, Y. (2006). Effects of canopy cover and seasonal reduction

- in rainfall on leaf phenology and leaf traits of the fern *Oleandra pistillaris* in a tropical montane forest, Indonesia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 22, 599.
- Thompson, W. A., Huang, L. K., & Kriedemann, P. E. (1992). Photosynthetic response to light and nutrients in sun-tolerant and shade-tolerant rain-forest trees .II. leaf gas-exchange and component processes of photosynthesis. *Functional Plant Biology*, 19(1), 19–42.
- Tong, P.S. and Ng, F. S. P. (2008). Effect of light intensity on growth, leaf production, leaf lifespan and leaf nutrient budgets of *Acacia mangium*, *Cinnamomum iners*, *Dyera costulata*, *Eusideroxylon zwageri* and *Shorea roxburghii*. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 20(3), 218–234.
- Tong, P. S. (2006). *Effect of Light Level on Growth and Shoot Development of Five Species of Tropical Saplings*. (Master dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia).
- Tripathi, S. N., & Raghubanshi, A. S. (2013). Seedling growth of five tropical dry forest tree species in relation to light and nitrogen gradients. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, 7(3), 250–263.
- Tuomela, K., Kuusipalo, J., Vesa, L., Nuryanto, K., Sagala, A. P. S., & Ådjers, G. (1996). Growth of dipterocarp seedlings in artificial gaps: An experiment in a logged-over rainforest in South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 81(1–3), 95–100.
- Turner, I. M. (1989). A shading experiment on some tropical rain forest tree seedlings. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 1(4), 383–389.
- Turner, I. M. (2004). *The Ecology of Trees in the Tropical Rain Forest*. Cambridge University Press.
- Turner, I. M., Brown, N. D., & Newton, A. C. (1993). The effect of fertiliser application on dipterocarp seedling growth and mycorrhizal infection.. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 57, 329–337.
- Vandermeer, J., de la Cerda, I. G., Boucher, D., Perfecto, I., & Ruiz, J. (2000). Hurricane disturbance and tropical tree species diversity. *Science*, 290(5492), 788–791.
- Veenendaal, E. M., Swaine, M. D., Lecha, R. T., Walsh, M. F., Abebrese, I. K., & Owusu-Afriyie, K. (1996). Responses of West African forest tree seedlings to irradiance and soil fertility. *Functional Ecology*, 10(4), 501–511.
- Venkateswarlu, B., Shanker, A. K., Shanker, C., & Maheswari, M. (2012). *Crop Stress and its Management: Perspectives and Strategies*. Springer Science Business Media B.V.
- Vincent, A., & Davies, S. J. (2003). Effects of nutrient addition, mulching and planting-hole size on early performance of *Dryobalanops aromatica* and *Shorea parvifolia* planted in secondary forest in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 180(1–3), 261–271.

- Walters, M. B., Kruger, E. L., & Reich, P. B. (1993). Relative growth rate in relation to physiological and morphological traits for northern hardwood tree seedlings: species, light environment and ontogenetic considerations. *Oecologia*, 96(2), 219–231.
- Wang, K. H., Azim, A. A. A., & Sahri, M. H. (2014). Cambial activity of “*dipterocarpus costulatus*” in relation to different stem diameters and climate factors. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 26(4), 581–588.
- Watling, J. R., Robinson, S. A., Woodrow, I. E., & Osmond, C. B. (1997). Responses of rainforest understorey plants to excess light during sunflecks. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 24(1), 17–25.
- Whitmore, T. C. (1990). *An Introduction to Tropical Rain Forests*. Clarendon Press.
- Widiyatno, Purnomo, S., Soekotjo, Na’iem, M., Hardiwinoto, S., & Kasmujiono. (2013). The growth of selected *Shorea* spp in secondary tropical rain forest: the effect of silviculture treatment to improve growth quality of *Shorea* spp. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 17, 160–166.
- Wong, K. N., Tan, W. L., & Chew, F. T. (2009). Identification and characterization of microsatellite loci in *Intsia palembanica* (Leguminosae), a valuable tropical timber species. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 9(1), 360–4.
- Wong, S. C., Cowan, I. R., & Farquhar, G. D. (1979). Stomatal conductance correlates with photosynthetic capacity. *Nature*, 282, 424–426.
- Wong, T. M. (2002). *A Dictionary of Malaysian Timbers. Revised by Lim, S. C & Chung, RCK Malayan Forest Records No. 30*. Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong.
- WuChao, H. (2010). *Effect of Different Light Intensity and Fertilizer Levels on Quality of Dalbergia odorifera Container Seedlings*. (Master dissertation, Nanjing Agricultural College).
- WWF-Germany. (2005). *Borneo : Treasure Island at Risk*. WWF for a living planet.
- WWF-Global. (2015). Why is the Amazon rainforest important? Retrieved December 31, 2015, from http://wwf.panda.org/what_we_do/where_we_work/amazon/about_the_amazon/why_amazon_important/
- WWF-Malaysia. (2015). Forests. Retrieved February 10, 2015, from http://www.wwf.org.my/about_wwf/what_we_do/forests_main/
- Wyatt-Smith, J. (1953). Manual of Malayan timber trees: Leguminosae. FRI Kepong Research Pamphlet No. 2. Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Yavitt, J. B., & Wright, S. J. (2008). Seedling growth responses to water and nutrient augmentation in the understorey of a lowland moist forest, Panama. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 24(1), 19–26.

Youngblood, A., & Ferguson, D. E. (2003). Changes in needle morphology of shade-tolerant seedlings after partial overstory canopy removal. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 33(7), 1315–1322.

Zainudin, S. R. (1990). *Studies on germination and seedling growth of Neobalanocarpus heimii (King) Ashton*. (Master dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia).

Zipperlen, S. W., & Press, M. C. (1996). Photosynthesis in relation to growth and seedling ecology of two dipterocarp rain forest tree species. *Journal of Ecology*, 84, 863 – 876.



BIODATA OF STUDENT

Sherzad Omar Hamad was born in July 1982 in Erbil, Kurdistan, Iraq. He completed his primary, intermediate, and secondary school education in 2000. He got admission into the Department of Plant Production, College of Agriculture, University of Salahaddin, Kurdistan, Iraq, in 2000/2001. In addition, he obtained his BSc in Plant Production in 2004/2005. He graduated as the first best student in the whole of the College. After his graduation, he was directly employed as a tutor at the same Department and College. In 2010, he obtained his MSc at the Department of Horticulture and Forestry at the same College. During this time, he published an academic paper under title “Effect of Some Pretreatments and Sowing Periods on Seed Germination Percentage of *Acacia farnesiana*, *Gleditsia triacanthos* and *Robinia pseudoacacia* in the Plastic House” at *Zanco Journal of Pure and Applied Science*, 23 (4) (2011). He has worked as an Assistant Lecturer at the College until he started his PhD in Forest Silviculture at the Department of Forest Production, Faculty of Forestry, Universiti Putra Malaysia in February 2013. He was married and he has three children.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Sherzad**, O. H., Mohd Zaki, H., Hazandy, A. H., Mohamad Azani, A., & Noordin, W. D. (2015). Growth and Physiological Responses of *Shorea materialis* Ridl. Seedlings to Various Light Regimes and Fertilizer Levels under Nursery Condition. *The Malaysian Forester*, 78(1 & 2), 133–150.
- Sherzad**, O. H., Mohd Zaki, H., Mohamad Azani, A., & Hazandy, A. H. Effect of different shade periods on *Neobalanocarpus heimii* biomass and leaf morphology. *Journal of Tropical Forest science* (In review).
- Sherzad**, O. H., Mohd Zaki, H., Hazandy, A. H., & Mohamad Azani, A. Survival, growth and physiological responses of *Neobalanocarpus heimii* seedlings to different shade periods (In process).



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION: _____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT:

EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT LIGHT INTENSITIES, FERTILIZER LEVELS AND SHADING PERIODS ON THREE SHADE-TOLERANT TREE SPECIES UNDER CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT

NAME OF STUDENT: SHERZAD OMAR HAMAD

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (✓)

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]