



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***REMOVAL OF NITRATE AND SULFATE FROM CONTAMINATED  
WATER USING NANOFILTRATION TECHNOLOGY***

**SEYED MOHSEN HASHEMI ARDESTANI**

**FK 2016 166**



**REMOVAL OF NITRATE AND SULFATE FROM CONTAMINATED  
WATER USING NANOFILTRATION TECHNOLOGY**

By

**SEYED MOHSEN HASHEMI ARDESTANI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**June 2016**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright© Universiti Putra Malaysia



## DEDICATION

*I lovingly dedicate this thesis to my father who is an honest doctor and my lovely mother that have supported me all the way since the beginning of my life.  
My lovely wife and anyone who supported me on this path.*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

## REMOVAL OF NITRATE AND SULFATE FROM CONTAMINATED WATER USING NANOFILTRATION TECHNOLOGY

By

**SEYED MOHSEN HASHEMI ARDESTANI**

**June 2016**

**Chairman : Professor Thamer Ahmed Mohamed, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

Nanofiltration systems are mostly applicable in removing small soluble particles in water. This study focuses on the effect conditions such as feed pressure, flow and contamination concentration on the percentage removal and permeate flux. Nitrate and sulfate are hazardous contaminants in water. To simulate them, potassium salts  $\text{KNO}_3$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  were used to represent contaminants in water sources. In this study, a pilot plant was constructed to test the performance of nanofiltration. The results revealed that the removal of multivalent ions such as sulfate ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) ions by NF90 is not totally dependent on the water condition with a removal rate not less than 93%. On the other hand, for monovalent ions such as nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), water condition significantly affects the system performance. The membrane performance time was stabilized around 60 minutes after the operation and membrane fouling occurred after 480 minutes. In addition, NF90 can remove more than 500 mg/L of nitrate from the polluted water which make it acceptable for drinking ( $C_p < 50$  mg/L). The impact of sulfate with concentrations of 250 mg/L and 1250 mg/L on nitrate removal (250 mg/L) was examined. Findings indicated that the rate of nitrate removals decreased by 6.2% and 30.6% at sulfate concentrations of 250 mg/L and 1250 mg/L respectively. The Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was applied to investigate the interaction effect of parameters on percentage removal and permeate flux as responses. The parameters were manipulated in five ranges in the presence of sulfate (concentration was 1250 mg/L), such that feed nitrate concentrations were 50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 mg/L, feed flow rates were 400, 600, 800, 1000 and 1200 L/h; and feed pressures were 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 bar. Among all parameters, pressure played a positive role in the procedure in such a way that percentage removal and permeate flux increased by increasing the pressure. Percentage removal increased rapidly in the initial stages but after 10 bar of pressure, it gradually decreased. Flow variations did not significantly affect the removal rate, but it caused an increase in the permeate flux. The increase of nitrate concentration had a negative effect on nitrate removal where nitrate level increased in the permeate stream. Moreover, the

flux did not change considerably by increasing nitrate concentration. Interaction effects were studied to find optimal conditions that lead to providing high quality water, saving energy and improving nanofiltration performance in water treatment industry. The optimum condition by RSM for nitrate removal is predicted to be at 10.14 bar, flow 1200 L/h and nitrate concentration 117.73 mg/L. The respective response for nitrate removal was 93.77% and 92.97 (L/m<sup>2</sup>h) for permeate flux. Predicted results by RSM modeling were verified using experimental results where the two sets of outcomes were closed with an error less than 5%. The findings also indicated that the performance of NF90 is acceptable for removing nitrate and sulfate in polluted water with percentage removals range of 81.74-97.89% and 93.48-100% respectively. Results from this approach are applicable in water treatment procedures to improve nanofiltration performance.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

## **PENYINGKIRAN NITRAT DAN SULFAT DARI AIR TERCEMAR MENGUNAKAN TEKNOLOGI NANOFILTRASI**

Oleh

**SEYED MOHSEN HASHEMI ARDESTANI**

**Jun 2016**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Thamer Ahmed Mohamed, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Kejuteraan**

Sistem penapisan nano kebiasaannya digunakan untuk mengeluarkan zarah-zarah larutan air. Kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada kondisi-kondisi yang membawa kepada keberkesanan proses ini, seperti tekanan suapan, aliran dan kepekatan pencemaran terhadap peratusan penyingkiran dan flux penyerapan. Nitrat dan Sulfat adalah bahan pencemaran berbahaya di dalam air. Bagi mensimulasikan pencemaran di dalam punca-punca air, garam kalium  $\text{KNO}_3$  dan  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  telah digunakan. Dalam kajian ini, kilang perintis telah dibina bagi menguji keberkesanan sistem penapisan nano. Keputusan kajian telah menunjukkan bahawa penyingkiran ion multivalen seperti ion-ion sulfat ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) oleh NF90 tidak sepenuhnya bergantung kepada kondisi air, iaitu dengan kadar penyingkiran tidak melebihi 93%. Bagi ion manovalen pula seperti nitrat ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), kondisi air sangat mempengaruhi kebolehpayaan sistem. Kebolehpayaan membran berada dalam keadaan stabil sekitar 60 minit selepas operasi dan pencemaran membran berlaku selepas 480 minit. NF90 juga boleh menyingkirkan lebih daripada 500 mg/L nitrat daripada air tercemar yang boleh digunakan untuk minum ( $\text{Cp} < 50$  mg/L). Kesan sulfat dengan konsentrasi 250 mg/L dan 1250 mg/L terhadap penyingkiran nitrat (250 mg/L) juga diperiksa. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa kadar penyingkiran nitrat berkurangan sehingga 6.2% dan 30.6% bagi kepekatan sulfat, masing-masing 250 mg/L dan 1250 mg/L. Kaedah tindakan permulaan (RSM) telah digunakan untuk mengkaji kesan interaksi parameter-parameter ke atas peratusan penyingkiran dan penyerapan flux. Parameter ini telah di manipulasikan dalam lima julat dengan keberadaan sulfat (konsentrasi 1250 mg/L), iaitu kepekatan nitrat suapan pada 50, 100, 150, 200 dan 250 mg/L, kadar aliran adalah pada 400, 600, 800, 1000 dan 1200 L/h; dan tekanan suapan pada 4, 6, 8, 10 dan 12 bar. Daripada kesemua parameter ini, tekanan memainkan peranan penting yang menyebabkan peratusan penyingkiran dan penyerapan fluk meningkat dengan peningkatan tekanan. Peratusan penyingkiran meningkat dengan cepat pada permulaannya tetapi selepas tekanan pada 10 bar, ia perlahan-lahan menurun. Variasi aliran tidak memberi kesan ketara kepada kadar penyingkiran tetapi ia menyebabkan kesan negatif terhadap penyingkiran nitrat, iaitu kadar nitrat meningkat semasa aliran

meresap. Tambahan pula, fluk tidak berubah dengan ketara dengan peningkatan konsentrasi nitrat. Kesan-kesan tindakbalas dikaji untuk mencari kondisi optimum yang membawa kepada penyediaan air berkualiti tinggi, penjimatan tenaga dan penambahbaikan prestasi dalam industri rawatan air. Kondisi optimum oleh RSM bagi penyingkiran nitrat dijangka berlaku pada 10.14 bar, aliran 1200 L/h dan konsentrasi nitrat 117.73 mg/L. Tindak balas penyingkiran nitrat ialah 93.77% dan 92.97 (L/m<sup>2</sup>h) untuk resapan fluk. Jangkaan keputusan oleh RSM model telah disemak menggunakan keputusan sebenar dan keputusan tersebut menunjukkan persamaan dengan ralat kurang dari 5%. Keputusan daripada kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa prestasi NF90 adalah baik dan sesuai untuk penyingkiran nitrat dan sulfat daripada air tercemar dengan peratus penyingkiran sebanyak 81.74-97.89% dan 93.48-100%. Keputusan dari pendekatan ini adalah bersesuaian untuk prosedur rawatan air untuk memperbaiki prestasi penapisan nano.





## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In The Name of GOD, The Most Merciful and Most Beneficent

All praises do to GOD, Lord of the universe. Only by His grace and mercy this thesis can be completed.

I wish to express my foremost appreciation to Professor Thamer Ahmed Mohamed and Professor Megat Johari for patiently guiding me through the course of this thesis to its eventual end, enlightening me scientifically and resolving my technical crises.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to Professor Megat Johari and Malaysia-Japan International Institute of Technology (MJIT). He was always there to provide everything I needed in the laboratory.

Finally, I want to express my love and wish peace and prosperity for my country (IRAN), and I hope I can fulfill my mission to contribute to its development and education for my people and all the human being community, especially in water treatment.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 3 June 2016 to conduct the final examination of Seyed Mohsen Hashemi Ardestani on his thesis entitled "Removal of Nitrate and Sulfate from Contaminated Water using Nanofiltration Technology" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Aimrun Wayayok, PhD**  
Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Luqman Chuah Abdullah, PhD**  
Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Marlinda binti Abdul Malek, PhD**  
Associate Professor Ir.  
Universiti Tenaga Nasional  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)



---

**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**  
Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 February 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Thamer Ahmed Mohamed, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Megat Johari Megat Mohd Noor, PhD**

Professor, Ir  
Malaysia-Japan International Institute of Technology  
University of Technology, Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mohsen Nourouzi Mobarekeh, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Seyed Mohsen Hashemi Ardestani, GS32101

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature:  
Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Prof. Madya Ir. Dr. Raizal Saifulnaz Muhammad Rashid**  
*Ketua*  
Jabatan Kejuruteraan Awam  
Fakulti Kejuruteraan  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
43400 Serdang, Selangor  
Tel: 03-89466377, Fax: 03-86567129

Signature:  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Prof. Madya Ir. Dr. Raizal Saifulnaz Muhammad Rashid**  
*Ketua*  
Jabatan Kejuruteraan Awam  
Fakulti Kejuruteraan  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
43400 Serdang, Selangor  
Tel: 03-89466377, Fax: 03-86567129

Signature:  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Prof. Madya Ir. Dr. Raizal Saifulnaz Muhammad Rashid**  
*Ketua*  
Jabatan Kejuruteraan Awam  
Fakulti Kejuruteraan  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
43400 Serdang, Selangor  
Tel: 03-89466377, Fax: 03-86567129

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xviii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Importance of Study	3
1.4 Research objectives	4
1.5 Scope and limitation of the study	4
<b>2 REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Background of research about water treatment by nanofiltration	6
2.1.1 General	6
2.1.2 Mechanisms of separation	7
2.1.3 Softening by membrane process and its advantages	8
2.1.4 Comparison of nanofiltration processes and conventional processes	9
2.2 The membrane process	11
2.3 Products and processes	12
2.4 Membrane materials	12
2.4.1 Selecting the type of membrane by material characteristics	12
2.4.2 Cellulose acetate (CA)	14
2.4.3 Polysulfone polymer (PSO)	15
2.4.4 Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)	15
2.4.5 Composite membranes	15
2.5 Membrane modules	16
2.5.1 Module design	16
2.5.2 The common examined modules used in various industries	16
2.5.2.1 Sprials wound module	16
2.5.2.2 Tubular module	18
2.5.2.3 Plate-and-frame (flat sheet) module	19
2.5.2.4 Fiber module	20
2.6 Membrane fouling	21
2.7 Parameters affecting nanofiltration performance	22
2.7.1 Feed pressure	22



	2.7.2	Temperature	23
	2.7.3	Recovery	25
	2.7.4	Feed water flow and salt concentration	25
	2.8	The pH	26
	2.9	Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	27
	2.9.1	Central Composite Design (CCD)	28
	2.10	Summery	28
<b>3</b>		<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>29</b>
	3.1	Introduction	29
	3.2	Analysing membrane and membrane housing specifications for designing pilot plant	30
	3.2.1	The NF90-2540 membrane specifications	30
	3.2.2	Membrane housing NF90-2540 specification	32
	3.3	Designing, calculating and manufacturing pilot plant system	33
	3.3.1	The base of this design	33
	3.3.2	The Tank	34
	3.3.3	The pump	34
	3.3.4	The Pipe	36
	3.3.5	The pilot plant framework	37
	3.3.6	The flow meter and pressure gauge	38
	3.3.7	The Temperature	38
	3.3.8	The pH	39
	3.3.9	The pilot plant conceptual scheme	39
	3.3.10	The final pilot plant	40
	3.4	Simulation of water pollution in laboratory	42
	3.4.1	The simulation of nitrate	42
	3.4.2	The simulation of sulfate	45
	3.5	Methods and equipments of measurement for nitrate and sulfate bconcentrations in water	45
	3.5.1	Measuring nitrate concentration	45
	3.5.2	Measuring sulfate concentration	46
	3.6	The testing process	46
	3.6.1	Fouling time evaluation	47
	3.6.2	To evaluate the effects of conditions on nitrate and sulfate removl 73 by NF90	48
	3.6.2.1	The pressure evaluation	48
	3.6.2.2	The flow evaluation	48
	3.6.2.3	The concentration evaluation	48
	3.6.3	Evaluation on the influence of sulfate concentration on nitrate removal by NF90	48
	3.6.4	To find and analyse optimal nitrate removal in the presence of sulfate by Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	49
	3.7	The process of washing membrane	51
<b>4</b>		<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>53</b>
	4.1	Introduction	53
	4.2	The calibration curve of nitrate measurement by DR6000 Hach spectrophotometer	53

4.3	Fouling time results	54
4.4	Results and discussions regarding the conditions affecting nitrate removal by NF90	56
4.4.1	Pressure evaluation	56
4.4.2	Flow evaluation	58
4.4.3	Concentration evaluation	59
4.5	Sulfate removal rate and influence of sulfate concentration on nitrate removal by NF90	61
4.5.1	Calibration curve of sulfate and measuring interference of sulfate and nitrate level on each other	61
4.5.2	Sulfate water pollution removal by NF90	62
4.5.3	Comparing nitrate and sulfate removal by NF90	64
4.5.4	The influence of sulfate concentration on nitrate removal by NF90	65
4.6	Optimal nitrate removal in the presence of sulfate (as 1250 mg/L) by Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	66
4.6.1	The RSM design	66
4.6.2	Percentage removal analysis by RSM	68
4.6.3	Flux analysis by RSM	74
4.6.4	Verification of regression model for nitrate percentage removal and flux by diagnostic plot	77
4.6.5	Process optimization	82
4.6.5.1	Validation of optimization process	83
4.6.6	Three-dimensional response surface plots	84
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>93</b>
5.1	Conclusions	93
5.2	Recommendations	94
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>95</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>108</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>113</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>114</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Types of membrane processes for the production of various products	12
2.2	Common polymer membranes used for membrane fabrication	13
2.3	Chemical resistance of several membranes in different conditions	14
2.4	Types and variables of tubular membranes	19
2.5	Types and variables of fiber systems	21
3.1	The summary of experiments conducted	29
3.2	Specifications of NF90-2540 membrane	31
3.3	The NF90-2540 membrane housing specifications	32
3.4	The specifications of Fuji power pump used in this study	35
3.5	Points suggested by RSM in experimental design	50
3.6	Materials and equipments	52
4.1	Fouling time evaluation results	54
4.2	Applying minimum pressure while other conditions are in the middle range	57
4.3	Applying maximum pressure while other conditions are in the middle range	57
4.4	Minimum flow rate condition while other parameters are in the middle range	58
4.5	Maximum flow rate condition while other parameters are in the middle range	59
4.6	Nitrate concentration 50 mg/L while other condition quantities are in the middle range	60
4.7	Nitrate concentration 250 mg/L while other condition quantities are in the middle range	60
4.8	Nitrate concentration 500 mg/L while other conditions are in the middle range	60

4.9	Sulfate removal by NF90	63
4.10	Evaluation of the effect of sulfate concentration (250 mg/L) on Nitrate Concentration (250 mg/L)	65
4.11	Evaluation of the effect of sulfate concentration (1250 mg/L) on Nitrate Concentration (250 mg/L)	65
4.12	The RSM design and data collection at 60 minute, nitrate removal with sulfate 1250 (mg/L)	67
4.13	Sequential Model Sum of Squares for R%	68
4.14	ANOVA for nitrate percentage removal	69
4.15	R-Squared ( $R^2$ ) analysis of nitrate percentage removal (quadratic model)	70
4.16	Sequential Model Sum of Squares for flux	74
4.17	ANOVA for flux	74
4.18	R-Squared ( $R^2$ ) analysis of flux (linear model)	75
4.19	Constraints of parameters and responses related to obtaining the optimization models	82
4.20	Optimization operations for nitrate removal in determined conditions	83
4.21	Validation of nitrate removal in the optimal condition	83
4.22	Validation of flux in the optimal condition	84

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Various sources of water pollutions	2
2.1	NF Performance compared to RO and UF membranes	7
2.2	Scheme of a typical membrane softening plant	9
2.3	A water treatment factory by membrane technology	10
2.4	The filtration process for separation of materials	11
2.5	A configuration of a spiral wound module	17
2.6	Spiral wound modules	17
2.7	The tubular membrane configurations	18
2.8	Schematic diagram of flat-sheet module	20
2.9	Fiber modules	21
2.10	Effects of pressure on membrane performance	23
2.11	Performance versus temperature	24
2.12	Effects of temperature on nitrate removal 100 mg/L as $\text{NO}_3^-$	24
2.13	Performance versus recovery	25
2.14	Effect of feed salt concentration on membrane performance	26
2.15	Influence of pH and sulfate concentration on nitrate removal% by NF90, while nitrate concentration 275 mg/L and pressure 8 bar	27
3.1	Simplified methodology flowchart	30
3.2	NF90-2540 received from Filmtec Company	32
3.3	The received NF90-2540 membrane housing	33
3.4	Batch process	33
3.5	The tank graduating and balancing	34

3.6	The schematic diagram of nanofiltration pilot plant in a batch recirculation mode which is used in present study.	35
3.7	The pump used in Malaysia car wash service units	36
3.8	The pump used in this study	36
3.9	Pilot plant framework	37
3.10	The flow meter and pressure gauge which is installed on the feed, permeate and retentate stream	38
3.11	The installed temperature controller and temperature meter on the feed tank	39
3.12	The pH meter which is used	39
3.13	The pilot plant conceptual scheme	40
3.14	The final pilot plant	41
3.15	Installing the membrane inside the pilot plant	41
3.16	The schematic plan and dimensions (meter) of pilot plant	42
3.17	Water purification system which provides pure water for all the experiments	43
3.18	Preparing nitrate solution to be used in this study	44
3.19	Opening and closing the valve on retentate stream to remove the remained liquid	44
3.20	The spectrophotometer DR 6000 HACH	45
4.1	The calibration curve of nitrate	53
4.2	Effect of time on nitrate percentage removal	55
4.3	Effect of time on flux	55
4.4	Percentage removal performance based upon flux at the proposed time	56
4.5	The calibration curve of sulfate	62
4.6	Comparing nitrate and sulfate removal by NF90	64
4.7	Interaction between nitrate concentrations and pressure while flow is at 800 L/h	72

4.8	Interaction between flow and pressure while concentration is at 150 mg/L as NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	72
4.9	Interaction between nitrate concentration and flow while pressure is at 8 bar	73
4.10	The color spectrum used in contour and 3D plots to describe percentage removal and flux	73
4.11	Interaction between feed flow and pressure while concentration is 150 mg/L as NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	76
4.12	Interaction between nitrate concentration and pressure while flow is 800 L/h	76
4.13	Interaction between nitrate concentration and feed flow while pressure is 8 bar	77
4.14	Plot of normal% probability versus residual for (a) nitrate percentage removal and (b) flux	79
4.15	The plot of residual against predicted response for (a) nitrate percentage removal and (b) flux	80
4.16	Plotted predicted response versus the actual response for (a) percentage removal and (b) flux	81
4.17	3D plots on the effect of 3 parameters to percentage removal	85
4.18	3D plots on the effect of 3 parameters to Flux	87
4.19	3D plots on percentage removal towards optimal feature selection	89
4.20	3D plots on flux towards optimal feature selection	91

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

WHO	World Health Organization
MNDWQS	Malaysian National Drinking Water Quality Standard
STC	FILMTEC™ Membranes, Standard Test Conditions
DES	Design-Expert® Software version 7
NF90	Nanofiltration membrane model 90-2540 from Dow-Filmtec CO
$\text{NO}_3^-$	Nitrate
$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	Sulfate
$\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$	Potassium sulfate
$\text{KNO}_3$	Potassium nitrate
$C_f$	Concentration of feed water
$C_p$	Concentration of permeate water
R%	Percentage removal
Flux	Permeate flux ( $\text{L}/\text{m}^2\text{h}$ )
Flow	Feed flow ( $\text{L}/\text{h}$ )
Pressure	Feed pressure (bar)
Concentration	Feed concentration ( $\text{mg}/\text{L}$ )
$R^2$	Regression
NF	Nanofiltration
RO	Reverse Osmosis
RSM	Response Surface Methodology
UV	Ultraviolet

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the study

In most developing countries, water supply and sanitation are among the most critical concern. It is worth mentioning that water is a limited resource and demands for consumption are increasing rapidly. In spite of the fact that 70% of the earth is covered by water, less than one percent is available as freshwater for human use (Grifen, 2010). Ocean contains the main part of water on this “blue planet”. This water is too salty to drink and inappropriate for many other applications. Around two-thirds of the freshwater accessible on Earth resides in ice caps and glaciers in the frozen form; a small fraction of which is available for potable water uses. Twenty percent of the world’s population is currently living without access to safe water for drinking, personal hygiene, and domestic use. The World Health Organization (WHO) Commission on Health and Environment has reported that waterborne diseases have significant negative health impacts world-wide (Castellano, et al., 2007). The problem of waterborne-associated illnesses has been decreased by the improvements in water treatment methods; although, outbreaks due to viral contamination continue to occur (Anderson et al., 2003). Water pollution means any chemical, physical or biological change in the quality of water. Such changes impose harmful effects on living conditions and environment. Consuming polluted drinking water by people or animals can lead to serious problems in their health and life conditions. Human activities directly and indirectly usually engender water pollutions. Water pollution problem has expanded today and an appropriate policy is required to hinder it; therefore, a study in this area seems inevitable. Water pollution originates from two sources: point and nonpoint sources as demonstrated in Figure 1. Point sources discharge pollutants at specific locations through pipelines or sewers into the surface water. For examples sewage treatment plants, factories, underground mines, oil wells, oil tankers, chemical products in agriculture, especially fertilizer. Nonpoint sources are the ones that cannot follow single sources of discharge. For instance traffic, acid deposition from the air, pollutants that enter the water through groundwater; and pollutants that are spread through rivers. Actually nonpoint pollution is difficult to control and supervised due to the difficulty in tracing its perpetrators (Lenntech and Internships, 2014).





**Figure 1.1 : Various sources of water pollutions**

The presence of nitrate and sulfate in surface and ground waters is attributed to wastewater outfalls and agricultural runoffs. A huge portion of nitrate and sulfate in surface and ground waters occurs as a result of over-fertilizing, which can result in accelerated growth of algae and weed (eutrophication).

## 1.2 Problem statement

According to Malaysian National Drinking Water Quality Standard (MNDWQS) and WHO, the maximum concentration of nitrate in drinking water is 50 mg/L as  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Jamaludin et al., 2013). Statistical analyses indicated that nitrate is strongly correlated with urea in water samples; which points to the fact that surface and groundwater contamination is mainly because of nitrogenous fertilizers ( Di and Cameron, 2002; Di and Cameron, 2007). Industrials wastewater from dairy and swine are reported to contain nitrate more than 200 mg/L (Almeida et al., 1995). Furthermore, some industries produced wastewater containing nitrate greater than 1000 mg/L such as those producing explosives, fertilizers, cellophanes, pectins, and finishing metal industries (Glass and Silverstein, 1999; Peyton et al., 2001; Watanabe et al., 2001). According to recorded data in Bachok Malaysia, the existing nitrate levels are higher than the National Standard at about 150 mg/L as  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  (Roslan et al., 2014). Nowadays, an important area in Malaysia economy is agriculture, where there has been a substantial development in rubber cultivation, oil palm, cocoa, fruits and vegetables. This in return has resulted in the increase usage of nitrogenous fertilizers (Yusoff et al., 1990). For instance, such contaminants exist in the maters of Johor Bahru and Kelantan. The nitrate level in drinking water wells has raised due to high levels of nitrogenous fertilizers used in tobacco and rice agro systems in Kelantan, especially during the months of fertilizer application (Libra et al., 1986; Sharma and Willett, 1994, 1996; Yusoff et al., 1990). Formerly nitrate levels had been below 10 mg/L as  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  coordinates set by National Guidelines for Raw Drinking Water Quality (Huang et al., 2015). The aforementioned study in Kelantan, also illustrated that nitrate contamination is an outstanding and an insistent factor in groundwater. On average, 15% of well water samples contain nitrate concentrations more than the maximum acceptable level (Libra et al., 1986; Sharma and Willett, 1994, 1996; Yusoff



et al., 1990). Recently most of the rivers involve more than 10 mg/L NO<sub>3</sub>-N and occasionally some exceed 50 mg/L NO<sub>3</sub>-N (Horne, 1995). According to Engineering Services Division Ministry of Health Malaysia (2004) the allowable maximum level of sulfate in drinking water is 250 mg/L. All the 106 surface water samples contain sulfate with concentrations ranging from 2 to 358 mg/L (Bast, 1990). In another study on surface water, sulfate was detected in 101 of 154 samples ranging from 15 to 321 mg/L (Bast, 1990). The highest sulfate concentration was detected in the spring water and the results demonstrated that sulfate levels in groundwater were more than 1000 mg/L (Abdalla and Scheytt, 2012). One of the main constituents of fertilizers is potassium sulfate (Xinpeng Xu et al., 2014) termed as sulfate of potash (S.O.P) in the market (Nor et al., 2013). This fertilizer is one of the sources of surface water contamination (Nagarajan and Rajmohan, 2010). According to other recordings as in Gebeng river, Pahang, Malaysia, the rate of sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) was 639.1667 mg/L (Hossain and Mir, 2013). There are reports on samples that are extracted from groundwater pollution in Spain with 275 mg/L and 500 mg/L of nitrate and sulfate concentrations, respectively (Santafé-Moros et al., 2005a). In this study, the removals of contaminants namely, nitrate and sulfate in water were examined using nanofiltration method. The current study investigates the effects of main parameters (feed pressure, feed flow and feed concentration) on percentage removal and permeate flux (produced water) by nanofiltration technology. Furthermore the study aims at determining the optimum conditions for removing nitrate and sulfate.

### 1.3 Importance of Study

In the recent years, nanofiltration has been vastly used for water treatment all over the world. Concerning the surface and groundwater pollution, a new cost-effective technology is required for water treatment. Homeowners are increasingly concerned on contaminants in their water supply since it may result in serious health, taste and odor problems. To consider the human health, nitrate as an important element must be controlled in drinking water due to its negative effects. This study and modeling was performed by taking into consideration the extended removal of the hazardous ions to produce high quality drinking water. High concentrations of nitrate will cause methemoglobinemia in infants and could cause cancer. Nitrate converts hemoglobin to methemoglobin in the blood and as a result of which oxygen is not carried out in the body cells; and it may lead to death from asphyxiation or oxygen deficiency (Purushotham et al., 2011). In addition, gastrointestinal disorders, indigestion and inflammation of the stomach, multiple digestive tract impairment, abdominal pain, gastroenteritis, diarrhea; blood in urine and feces can all be attributed to nitrate content in potable water (Moore, 1952; Suthar et al., 2009). Sulfate ion is one of major anions occurring in natural waters (Daniels, 1988). A higher sulfate content may also have a laxative effect on water distribution systems (Raju et al., 2011). Sulfate concentration more than 250 mg/L can lead to bitter or medicinal taste of water. High sulfate levels may also be corrosive for plumbing; particularly copper pipe. Applying corrosion resistant plumbing materials like plastic pipe is common practise in areas at high sulfate level water (Lewis, 2004). The respiratory problems and diarrhea may cause due to high concentration of sulfate in drinking water (Maiti, 1982; Majidano et al., 2010). Because of the increase in potable water demands, investigation on alternative methods for preparation of high quality water seems essential. This is not only due to accelerating demand for water resources, but also developments in the standards and

increase in water pollution levels. Therefore, engineers have been looking for new methods such as membranous approach that is widely extended. Nanofiltration is one of the most accepted methods for purifying water in order to supply healthy drinking water from surface and ground waters with high nitrate and sulfate concentrations (Van der Bruggen et al., 2001). Regarding water pollution, pilot study and performance review are essential in enhancing new technology and identifying economic advantages in water treatment. The rejection of ions such as nitrate and sulfate via nanofiltration membranes is a complicated procedure. This study leads to more profound understanding of ions behaviour in the process of nitrate and sulfate removal by nanofiltration membrane. Although the surface characteristics and pore size in all membrane are specified, experimental tests on the membrane are inevitable (Torabian et al., 2009). The results of this study are practical and useful in water treatment industry, which can improve nanofiltration performance in water treatment.

#### **1.4 Research objectives**

In order to examine the removal of contamination elements to reach drinking water standard by nanofiltration membrane method, a set of variables such as water pressure, flow rate and contaminant concentration were investigated. This method leads to optimizing the operating condition.

This study aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. To design a pilot plant in order to study the effects of feed pressure, feed flow and contaminant concentration on nitrate removal and permeate flux rate by NF90.
2. To test the fouling performance and find the maximum permeated nitrate and sulfate concentration using single membrane element.
3. To determine the optimal conditions for nitrate removal and flux in the presence of sulfate.

#### **1.5 Scope and limitation of the study**

Membrane Filmtec NF90 is a commercial nanofiltration membrane with spiral modules in which all subsystems are affected by this technology where all components comply with FDA standards (Dow, 2013; Gray, 2008). The reasons for choosing the proposed membrane, compared to other membrane models, is as it follows:

- 1) Providing high productivity performance while removing a high percentage of salt, nitrate, sulfate, hardness, iron and organic compounds used in a wide variety of industrial, municipal and commercial water treatment applications (DOW, 2005).
- 2) High permeate flux output in high quantities by implementation of proper rejection technique.
- 3) Using low energy leads to the reduction of power consumption and costs.
- 4) Durability of tool with favorable cleanability features for long life of the element.

5) Agreeable quality of constituent parts.

Chemical fertilizers such as potassium sulfate [ $K_2SO_4$ ] and potassium nitrate [ $KNO_3$ ] are among the main causes of water pollution. Due to their importance in water pollution, these contaminants used in this study and the other particles are neglected.

As to the limitations of this study, the positively charged basic cations such as potassium are united with the negatively charged nitrate and sulfate ions to maintain their electric balance. During this procedure, they turn into soil particles which ultimately are dissolved in surface and ground waters. In addition, the manipulated samples of water pollutants used in this study, which exceed the acceptable drinking water standards, comply with the actual pollutants. In order to simulate the concentrations of sulfate and nitrate, potassium salts ( $K_2SO_4$  and  $KNO_3$ ) were used. Meanwhile, the concentration of sulfate and nitrate were measured before and after each experiment. The samples were manipulated in five ranges for nitrate, and two ranges for sulfate. The nitrate concentrations used are 50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 mg/L; sulfate 250 and 1250 mg/ and the flow rates are 400-1200 L/h. The range applied of feed pressure are 4 to 12 bar as maximum level, based on the proposed recommendations by membrane housing manufacturers and safety requirement (DOW, 2005).

## REFERENCES

- 8039 HACH Co. (2014). Nitrate 8039 measurement, 584(Edition 9), 1–10.
- 8051 HACH CO. (2013). Method 8051 Sulfate mesurment, (Edition 8), 1–8.
- Abdalla, F., & Scheytt, T. (2012). Hydrochemistry of surface water and groundwater from a fractured carbonate aquifer in the Helwan area, Egypt. *Journal of Earth System Science*, 121(1), 109–124. doi:10.1007/s12040-012-0140-7
- Abitoye, J. O., Mukherjee, P., & Jones, K. (2005). Ion implantation: effect on flux and rejection properties of NF membranes. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39(17), 6487–6493.
- Adinarayana, K., & Ellaiah, P. (2002). Response surface optimization of the critical medium components for the production of alkaline protease by a newly isolated *Bacillus* sp. *J Pharm Pharm Sci*, 5(3), 272–278.
- Allgeier, S. C., & Summers, R. S. (1995). Evaluating NF for DBP control with the RBSMT. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, 87(3), 87–99.
- Almeida, J. S., Reis, M. A. M., & Carrondo, M. J. T. (1995). Competition between nitrate and nitrite reduction in denitrification by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 46(5), 476–484.
- Altaee, A., Sharif, A. O., & Hamdan, M. (2015). Nanofiltration separation of highly concentrated multivalent electrolyte draw solution; a pilot plant study. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 3994(December 2015), 1–11. doi:10.1080/19443994.2015.1108876
- Anderson, A. D., Heryford, A. G., Sarisky, J. P., Higgins, C., Monroe, S. S., Beard, R. S., ... Robbins, D. E. (2003). A waterborne outbreak of Norwalk-like virus among snowmobilers—Wyoming, 2001. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 187(2), 303–306.
- Anderson, M. J., & Whitcomb, P. J. (2005). *RSM simplified: optimizing processes using response surface methods for design of experiments*. Productivity Press.
- Axeon co. (2015). *SS Pressure Vessels Features*. Retrieved from <http://www.axeonwater.com/AXEON-SS-Series-Stainless-Steel.html>
- Bannoud, A. H. (2001). Elimination of hardness and sulfate content in water by nanofiltration. *Desalination*, 137(1–3), 133–139.
- Bashir, M. J. K., Aziz, H. A., Yusoff, M. S., & Adlan, M. N. (2010). Application of response surface methodology (RSM) for optimization of ammoniacal nitrogen removal from semi-aerobic landfill leachate using ion exchange resin. *Desalination*, 254(1), 154–161.

- Bast, C. (1990). TOXICITY SUMMARY FOR SULFATE. Retrieved from [http://rais.ornl.gov/tox/profiles/sulfate\\_f\\_V1.html](http://rais.ornl.gov/tox/profiles/sulfate_f_V1.html)
- Baticle, P., Kiefer, C., Lakhchaf, N., Larbot, A., Leclerc, O., Persin, M., & Sarrazin, J. (1997). Salt filtration on gamma alumina nanofiltration membranes fired at two different temperatures. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *135*(1), 1–8.
- Beg, Q. K., Sahai, V., & Gupta, R. (2003). Statistical media optimization and alkaline protease production from *Bacillus mojavensis* in a bioreactor. *Process Biochemistry*, *39*(2), 203–209.
- Berg, P., & Gimbel, R. (1998). Rejection of trace organics by nanofiltration. *Vom Wasser*, *90*, 319–336.
- Berg, P., Hagemeyer, G., & Gimbel, R. (1997). Removal of pesticides and other micropollutants by nanofiltration. *Desalination*, *113*(2), 205–208.
- Bergman, R. A. (1995). Membrane softening versus lime softening in Florida: A cost comparison update. *Desalination*, *102*(1), 11–24.
- Bergman, R. A. (1996). Cost of membrane softening in Florida. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, *88*(5), 32–43.
- Bezerra, M. A., Santelli, R. E., Oliveira, E. P., Villar, L. S., & Escalera, L. A. (2008a). Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta*, *76*(5), 965–977.
- Bezerra, M. A., Santelli, R. E., Oliveira, E. P., Villar, L. S., & Escalera, L. A. (2008b). Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta*, *76*(5), 965–977. doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2008.05.019
- Bowen, W. R., & Welfoot, J. S. (2002). Modelling the performance of membrane nanofiltration—critical assessment and model development. *Chemical Engineering Science*, *57*(7), 1121–1137.
- Box, G. E. P., & Hunter, J. S. (1957). Multi-factor experimental designs for exploring response surfaces. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 195–241.
- Carvalho, a. L., Maugeri, F., Prádanos, P., Silva, V., & Hernández, a. (2011). Separation of potassium clavulanate and potassium chloride by nanofiltration: Transport and evaluation of membranes. *Separation and Purification Technology*, *83*(1), 23–30. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2011.07.019
- Castellano, J. J., Shafii, S. M., Ko, F., Donate, G., Wright, T. E., Mannari, R. J., ... Robson, M. C. (2007). Comparative evaluation of silver-containing antimicrobial dressings and drugs. *International Wound Journal*, *4*(2), 114–122.



- Cathie Lee, W. P., Mah, S.-K., Leo, C. P., Wu, T. Y., & Chai, S.-P. (2014a). Phosphorus removal by NF90 membrane: Optimisation using central composite design. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 45(4), 1260–1269. doi:10.1016/j.jtice.2014.02.011
- Cathie Lee, W. P., Mah, S.-K., Leo, C. P., Wu, T. Y., & Chai, S.-P. (2014b). Phosphorus removal by NF90 membrane: Optimisation using central composite design. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 45(4), 1260–1269. doi:10.1016/j.jtice.2014.02.011
- Change, G. (2006). Membrane bioreactor technology (MBR) with an EU perspective for advanced municipal wastewater treatment strategies for the 21st century. *Contract*.
- Chellam, S., & Taylor, J. S. (2001). Simplified analysis of contaminant rejection during ground-and surface water nanofiltration under the information collection rule. *Water Research*, 35(10), 2460–2474.
- Choi, H., Zhang, K., Dionysiou, D. D., Oerther, D. B., & Sorial, G. a. (2005). Influence of cross-flow velocity on membrane performance during filtration of biological suspension. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 248, 189–199. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2004.08.027
- Choi, S., Yun, Z., Hong, S., & Ahn, K. (2001). The effect of co-existing ions and surface characteristics of nanomembranes on the removal of nitrate and fluoride. *Desalination*, 133(1), 53–64. doi:10.1016/S0011-9164(01)00082-0
- de la Rubia, A., Rodríguez, M., León, V. M., & Prats, D. (2008). Removal of natural organic matter and THM formation potential by ultra- and nanofiltration of surface water. *Water Research*, 42(3), 714–22. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2007.07.049
- DES (Design of Experiments software) Flux. (2016). *RSM,ANOVA, report of permeat flux*.
- DES report (Design of Experiments software). (2016). *RSM,ANOVA,report of percentage removal*.
- Di, H. J., & Cameron, K. C. (2002). Nitrate leaching in temperate agroecosystems: sources, factors and mitigating strategies. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 64(3), 237–256.
- Di, H. J., & Cameron, K. C. (2007). Nitrate leaching losses and pasture yields as affected by different rates of animal urine nitrogen returns and application of a nitrification inhibitor—a lysimeter study. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 79(3), 281–290.
- DOW. (2005). *FILMTEC™ Reverse Osmosis Membranes - Technical Manual. FILMTEC™ Reverse Osmosis Membranes* (Vol. Form No. 6). Retrieved from <http://www.dowwaterandprocess.com/en>

- Dow, C. C. (2013). *Water & Process Solutions FILMTEC™ Reverse Osmosis Membranes Technical Manual*. Retrieved from <http://www.dowwaterandprocess.com/en/resources/reverse-osmosis-technical-manual#/accordion/F36C1D89-9385-480A-9242-575D600E6F81>
- Duran, F. E., & Dunkelberger, G. W. (1995). A comparison of membrane softening on three South Florida groundwaters. *Desalination*, 102(1), 27–34.
- El-Shamy, A. (2009). Effect of permeate suction on the performance of spiral wound nanofiltration module.
- Engineered Services Division Ministry of Health Malaysia. (2004). *National Drinking Water Quality Standard*. Malaysia, Engineering services division ministry of health. Retrieved from <http://www.moh.gov.my/english.php>
- Eriksson, P. (1988). Nanofiltration extends the range of membrane filtration. *Environmental Progress*, 7(1), 58–62.
- FILMTEC, N.-2540. (1985). NF90 Product Information, (609), 1–2. Retrieved from <http://www.filmtec.com>
- Fu, P., Ruiz, H., Thompson, K., & Spangenberg, C. (1994). Selecting membranes for removing NOM and DBP precursors. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, 86(12), 55–72.
- Gaid, A., Bablon, G., Turner, G., Franchet, J., & Christophe Protais, J. (1998). Performance of 3 years' operation of nanofiltration plants. *Desalination*, 117(1), 149–158.
- Garcia, F., Ciceron, D., Saboni, A., & Alexandrova, S. (2006). Nitrate ions elimination from drinking water by nanofiltration: Membrane choice. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 52, 196–200. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2006.03.023
- Gilmour, S. G. (2006). Response surface designs for experiments in bioprocessing. *Biometrics*, 62(2), 323–331.
- Glass, C., & Silverstein, J. (1999). Denitrification of high-nitrate, high-salinity wastewater. *Water Research*, 33(1), 223–229.
- Gray, B. N. F. (2008). *Drinking Water Quality: Problems and Solutions*. *Zhurnal Eksperimental'noi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki*. University of Dublin. Retrieved from <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:No+Title#0>
- Grifen. (2010). D. Understanding heat budget. Retrieved from <http://zolushka4earth.wordpress.com>

- Hamzaoui, A. H., Jamoussi, B., & M'nif, A. (2008). Lithium recovery from highly concentrated solutions: Response surface methodology (RSM) process parameters optimization. *Hydrometallurgy*, 90(1), 1–7.
- Hossain, M. A., & Mir, S. I. (2013). SURFACE WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF TUNGGAK RIVER, 47–53.
- Hossain, M. a, Mir, S. I., Nasly, M. a, & Aziz, E. a. (2012). International Journal of Civil Engineering and Assessment of Spatial Variation of Surface Water Quality at Gebeng Industrial Estate , Pahang , Malaysia, 3, 9–12.
- Huang, Y. F., Ang, S. Y., Lee, K. M., & Lee, T. S. (2015). Quality of Water Resources in Malaysia.
- Isar, J., Agarwal, L., Saran, S., & Saxena, R. K. (2006). A statistical method for enhancing the production of succinic acid from *Escherichia coli* under anaerobic conditions. *Bioresource Technology*, 97(13), 1443–1448.
- Jamaludin, N., Sham, S. M., & Ismail, S. N. S. (2013). Health risk assessment of nitrate exposure in well water of residents in intensive agriculture area. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(5), 442.
- Johnston, P. G., Pennycuik, P. R., & Rendel, J. M. (1970). Effects of Temperature on Membrane Permeability to Ions. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences*, 23(4), 1047–1060.
- Kabay, N., Bundschuh, J., Hendry, B., Bryjak, M., Yoshizuka, K., Bhattacharya, P., & Anac, S. (2010). *The global arsenic problem: challenges for safe water production*. CRC Press/Balkema.
- Körbahti, B. K., & Tanyolaç, A. (2008). Electrochemical treatment of simulated textile wastewater with industrial components and Levafix Blue CA reactive dye: Optimization through response surface methodology. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 151(2), 422–431.
- Kosuri, M. R. (2009). *Polymeric Membranes for Super Critical Carbon Dioxide Separations*. ProQuest.
- Koyuncu, I. (2002). Reactive dye removal in dye/salt mixtures by nanofiltration membranes containing vinylsulphone dyes: effects of feed concentration and cross flow velocity. *Desalination*, 143(3), 243–253. doi:10.1016/S0011-9164(02)00263-1
- Krieg, H. M., Modise, S. J., Keizer, K., & Neomagus, H. (2005). Salt rejection in nanofiltration for single and binary salt mixtures in view of sulphate removal. *Desalination*, 171(2), 205–215.
- Lalia, B. S., Kochkodan, V., Hashaikeh, R., & Hilal, N. (2013). A review on membrane fabrication: Structure, properties and performance relationship. *Desalination*, 326, 77–95.



- Lanxess. (2012). Guidelines for the Design of Reverse Osmosis Membrane Systems.
- Lenntech, A., & Internships, I. (2014). Water pollution FAQ Frequently Asked Questions, 1–2.
- Lewis, R. J. (2004). *Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials*. (R. J. Lewis, Ed.). Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi:10.1002/0471701343.sdp23171
- Li, Y., Lu, J., GU, G., & MAO, Z. (2005). Characterization of the enzymatic degradation of arabinoxylans in grist containing wheat malt using response surface methodology. *Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists*, 63(4), 171–176.
- Libra, R. D., Hallberg, G. R., Hoyer, B. E., & Johnson, L. G. (1986). Agricultural impacts on groundwater quality: the Big Spring basin study, Iowa. In *Proceedings of the Agricultural Impacts on Ground Water-A Conference, Omaha, Nebraska. National Water Well Association, Dublin, Ohio* (pp. 253–273).
- Lozier, J. C., Jones, G., & Bellamy, W. (1997). Integrated membrane treatment in Alaska. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, 89(10), 50–64.
- Madireddi, K., Babcock Jr, R. W., Levine, B., Huo, T. L., Khan, E., Ye, Q. F., ... Stenstrom, M. K. (1997). Wastewater reclamation at Lake Arrowhead, California: an overview. *Water Environment Research*, 350–362.
- Maiti, T. C. (1982). The dangerous acid rain. *Sci Report*, 9(6), 360–363.
- Majidano, S. A., Khuhawar, M. Y., & Channar, A. H. (2010). District Nawabshah, Sindh, Pakistan. *J. Chem. Soc. Pak*, 32(6), 745.
- Martínez, X. (2015). Membrane Technology, (May 2015), 213–254. Retrieved from <https://www.google.com/imgres?imgurl=x-raw-image:///9046f3bc8e2267eb6cc3ec5c37c51c134062cde8bda845e939528c8e466297b9&imgrefurl=http://www.ctnc.es/recursos/publico/PonenciasVIISymposium/13-%2520Xavier%2520Martinez%2520CTM.pdf&h=238&w=356&tbnid=62cijrzzRpAy>
- McClellan, S. A. (1989). Membrane softening: treatment process comes of age, *J. AWWA*, 81, 47–51.
- Merck millipore. (2015). Elix® Essential Water Purification System. Retrieved October 17, 2015, from [http://www.merckmillipore.com/MY/en/product/Elix-Essential-Water-Purification-System,MM\\_NF-C105153#overview](http://www.merckmillipore.com/MY/en/product/Elix-Essential-Water-Purification-System,MM_NF-C105153#overview)
- Montovay, T., Assenmacher, M., & Frimmel, F. H. (1996). Elimination of pesticides from aqueous solution by nanofiltration. *Magyar Kemiai Folyoirat*, 102(5), 241–247.

- Moore, E. (1952). Physiological effects of the consumption of saline drinking water. *A Progress*.
- Mulford, L. A., Taylor, J. S., Nickerson, D. M., & Chen, S.-S. (1999). NF performance at full and pilot scale. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, 91(6), 64–75.
- Muralidhara, H. S., & Kumar, N. S. K. (2008, July 31). Extended-life water softening system, apparatus and method. Google Patents. Retrieved from <https://www.google.com/patents/US20080179250>
- Muralidhar, R. V, Chirumamila, R. R., Marchant, R., & Nigam, P. (2001). A response surface approach for the comparison of lipase production by *Candida cylindracea* using two different carbon sources. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 9(1), 17–23.
- Nagarajan, R., & Rajmohan, N. (2010). Evaluation of groundwater quality and its suitability for drinking and agricultural use in Thanjavur city, Tamil Nadu, India. *Environmental ...*, 171(1–4), 289–308. doi:10.1007/s10661-009-1279-9
- Najafpour, G. (2015). *Biochemical engineering and biotechnology*. Elsevier.
- Nor, A. M., Faramarzi, M., Yunus, M. M., & Ibrahim, S. (2013). Nitrate and Sulfate Estimations in Water Sources using a Planar Electromagnetic Sensor Array and Artificial Neural Network Method, 15(1), 497–504. Retrieved from [http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs\\_all.jsp?arnumber=6879235](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs_all.jsp?arnumber=6879235)
- Norman, D. (2014). The Filtration Spectrum. | Dave Norman. Retrieved December 30, 2014, from <http://www.dave-norman.co.uk/2014/06/17/the-filtration-spectrum/>
- Ong, C., Ibrahim, S., & Sen Gupta, B. (2007). A survey of tap water quality in Kuala Lumpur. *Urban Water Journal*, 4(1), 29–41. doi:10.1080/15730620601145923
- Park, G. L., Schäfer, A. I., & Richards, B. S. (2013). Renewable energy-powered membrane technology: Supercapacitors for buffering resource fluctuations in a wind-powered membrane system for brackish water desalination. *Renewable Energy*, 50, 126–135.
- Paugam, L., Diawara, C. K., Schlumpf, J. P., Jaouen, P., & Quéméneur, F. (2004). Transfer of monovalent anions and nitrates especially through nanofiltration membranes in brackish water conditions, 40, 237–242. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2004.02.012
- Paugam, L., Taha, S., & Cabon, J. (2001). Nanofiltration de solutions de nitrate d'ammonium. Etude des paramètres influents. *Revue Des Sciences de ...*, 14, 511–523. doi:10.7202/705430ar

- Paugam, L., Taha, S., Cabon, J., & Dorange, G. (2003). Elimination of nitrate ions in drinking waters by nanofiltration. *Desalination*, 152(1–3), 271–274. doi:10.1016/S0011-9164(02)01073-1
- Paugam, L., Taha, S., Dorange, G., Jaouen, P., & Quéméneur, F. (2004a). Mechanism of nitrate ions transfer in nanofiltration depending on pressure, pH, concentration and medium composition. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 231(1), 37–46.
- Paugam, L., Taha, S., Dorange, G., Jaouen, P., & Quéméneur, F. (2004b). Mechanism of nitrate ions transfer in nanofiltration depending on pressure, pH, concentration and medium composition. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 231(1–2), 37–46. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2003.11.003
- Peyton, B. M., Mormile, M. R., & Petersen, J. N. (2001). Nitrate Reduction with *Halomonas campisalis*: Kinetics of Denitrification at pH 9 and 12.5% NaCl. *Water Research*, 35(17), 4237–4242.
- Piasecka, A., Souffreau, C., Vandepitte, K., Vanysacker, L., Bilad, R. M., De Bie, T., Declerck, P. (2012). Analysis of the microbial community structure in a membrane bioreactor during initial stages of filtration. *Biofouling*, 28(2), 225–238.
- Purushotham, D., Rao, A. N., Prakash, M. R., Ahmed, S., & Babu, G. A. (2011). Environmental Impact on Groundwater of Maheshwaram Watershed, Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, 77(6), 539–548.
- Quality, D. (1996). Silver in Drinking-water Background document for development of. *Environmental Health*, 2, 1.
- Raju, N. J., Shukla, U. K., & Ram, P. (2011). Hydrogeochemistry for the assessment of groundwater quality in Varanasi: a fast-urbanizing center in Uttar Pradesh, India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 173(1–4), 279–300.
- Rastogi, N. K., & Rashmi, K. R. (1999). Optimisation of enzymatic liquefaction of mango pulp by response surface methodology. *European Food Research and Technology*, 209(1), 57–62.
- Ratanatamskul, C., Urase, T., & Yamamoto, K. (1998). Description of behavior in rejection of pollutants in ultra low pressure nanofiltration. *Water Science and Technology*, 38(4), 453–462.
- Richards, L. A. (2012). *The removal of inorganic contaminants using nanofiltration and reverse osmosis*. Heriot-Watt University.
- Richards, L. a., Vuachère, M., & Schäfer, A. I. (2010). Impact of pH on the removal of fluoride, nitrate and boron by nanofiltration/reverse osmosis. *Desalination*, 261(3), 331–337. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2010.06.025

- Robinson, A. H. (2005). *Landfill leachate treatment. Membr. Technol.* (Vol. 56). Retrieved from <http://www.pcimembranes.pl/article.html,content:62,page:1>
- Roslan, A. A., Sham, S. M., Ismail, S., & Norkhadijah, S. (2014). Nitrate Levels in Well Water and Population Health Risk of Kota Bharu and Bachok, Kelantan in Different Planting Phases. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 8(15), 9–11.
- S. S. Madaeni. (2003). *Membranes and Membrane Processes*. Razi University Publications, Kermanshah, Iran or Taghebostan Publication. Retrieved from <http://www.razi.ac.ir/Portal/Home/Default.aspx?CategoryID=461383a0-0bec-4920-9d79-d60be0a7edb6>
- Santafé-Moros, A., Gozávez-Zafrilla, J. M., & Lora-García, J. (2005a). Performance of commercial nanofiltration membranes in the removal of nitrate ions. *Desalination*, 185(1–3), 281–287. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2005.02.080
- Santafé-Moros, A., Gozávez-Zafrilla, J. M., & Lora-García, J. (2005b). Performance of commercial nanofiltration membranes in the removal of nitrate ions. *Desalination*, 185(1–3), 281–287. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2005.02.080
- Santafé-Moros, A., Gozávez-Zafrilla, J. M., & Lora-García, J. (2007). Nitrate removal from ternary ionic solutions by a tight nanofiltration membrane. *Desalination*, 204(1–3), 63–71. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2006.04.024
- Schaep, J., Van der Bruggen, B., Uytterhoeven, S., Croux, R., Vandecasteele, C., Wilms, D., ... Vanlerberghe, F. (1998). Removal of hardness from groundwater by nanofiltration. *Desalination*, 119(1), 295–301.
- Schneider, B. M. (1994). Nanofiltration Compared to Other Softening Processes--Part 1. *Ultrapure Water*, 11(7), 65–74.
- Schwinge, J. (2004). Spiral wound modules and spacers Review and analysis. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 242(1–2), 129–153. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2003.09.031
- Sharma, M. L., & Willett, I. R. (1994). Proceedings of an international workshop held in Kota Bharu, Kelantan, Malaysia, 24-27 October 1994: Agricultural Impacts on Groundwater Quality. In *Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research Proceedings*.
- Sharma, M. L., & Willett, I. R. (1996). *Agricultural impacts on groundwater quality: proceedings of an international workshop held in Kota Bharu, Kelantan, Malaysia, 24-27 October 1994*. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research.
- Sharma, S., Malik, A., & Satya, S. (2009). Application of response surface methodology (RSM) for optimization of nutrient supplementation for Cr (VI) removal by *Aspergillus lentulus* AML05. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 164(2–3), 1198–1204. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.09.030

- Simmons, B. F. (1992). *Treatment and disposal of wastewaters produced with coalbed methane by reverse osmosis*. Springer.
- Singh, K. P., Gupta, S., Singh, A. K., & Sinha, S. (2011). Optimizing adsorption of crystal violet dye from water by magnetic nanocomposite using response surface modeling approach. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 186(2–3), 1462–1473. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.12.032
- Sombekke, H. D. M., Voorhoeve, D. K., & Hiemstra, P. (1997). Environmental impact assessment of groundwater treatment with nanofiltration. *Desalination*, 113(2), 293–296.
- Song, W., Ravindran, V., Koel, B. E., & Pirbazari, M. (2004). Nanofiltration of natural organic matter with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/UV pretreatment: fouling mitigation and membrane surface characterization. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 241(1), 143–160.
- Suthar, S., Bishnoi, P., Singh, S., Mutiyar, P. K., Nema, A. K., & Patil, N. S. (2009). Nitrate contamination in groundwater of some rural areas of Rajasthan, India. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 171(1), 189–199.
- Tan, L., & Sudak, R. G. (1992). Removing color from a groundwater source. *Journal (American Water Works Association)*, 79–87.
- Taylor, J. S., Thompson, D. M., & Carswell, J. K. (1987). Applying membrane processes to groundwater sources for trihalomethane precursors. *Journal of the American Water Works Association*, 79(8), 72–82.
- Teófilo, R. F., & Ferreira, M. M. C. (2006). Quimiometria II: planilhas eletrônicas para cálculos de planejamentos experimentais, um tutorial. *Química Nova*, 29(2), 338.
- Thakur, C., Srivastava, V. C., & Mall, I. D. (2009). Electrochemical treatment of a distillery wastewater: Parametric and residue disposal study. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 148(2), 496–505.
- Torabian, A., & Harandi, M. (2009). Nitrate removal from drinking water by using commercial nanofiltration. *Asian Journal of ...*, 21(1), 666–672. Retrieved from <http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/20093256213.html>
- Torabian, A., Harandi, M. S., Bidhendi, G. N., Ghadimkhani, A., & Safaefar, M. (2007). Evaluation of Efficiency Nitrate Removal of a Nanofiltration Membrane from Drinking Water under Different Operating Conditions. *Water and Wastewater Consulting Engineers Research Development*, 18(61), 15–23.
- Torabian, A., Harandi, M. S., Bidhendi, G. R. N., & Ghadimkhani, A. (2009). Nitrate removal from drinking water by using commercial nanofiltration. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, 21(1), 666–672.



- Tsuru, T., Urairi, M., Nakao, S.-I., & Kimura, S. (1991). Negative rejection of anions in the loose reverse osmosis separation of mono-and divalent ion mixtures. *Desalination*, 81(1), 219–227.
- Van der Bruggen, B., Everaert, K., Wilms, D., & Vandecasteele, C. (2001). Application of nanofiltration for removal of pesticides, nitrate and hardness from ground water: rejection properties and economic evaluation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 193(2), 239–248.
- Van der Bruggen, B., Schaep, J., Wilms, D., & Vandecasteele, C. (2000). A comparison of models to describe the maximal retention of organic molecules in nanofiltration. *Separation Science and Technology*, 35(2), 169–182.
- Van der Bruggen, B., & Vandecasteele, C. (2002). Modelling of the retention of uncharged molecules with nanofiltration. *Water Research*, 36(5), 1360–1368.
- Van der Bruggen, B., & Vandecasteele, C. (2003a). Removal of pollutants from surface water and groundwater by nanofiltration: overview of possible applications in the drinking water industry. *Environmental Pollution*, 122(3), 435–445.
- Van der Bruggen, B., & Vandecasteele, C. (2003b). Removal of pollutants from surface water and groundwater by nanofiltration: overview of possible applications in the drinking water industry. *Environmental Pollution (Barking, Essex : 1987)*, 122(3), 435–45. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12547533>
- Van Geluwe, S., Vinckier, C., Braeken, L., & Van der Bruggen, B. (2011). Ozone oxidation of nanofiltration concentrates alleviates membrane fouling in drinking water industry. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 378(1–2), 128–137. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2011.04.059
- Ventresque, C., Turner, G., & Bablon, G. (1997). Nanofiltration: from prototype to full scale. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, 89(10), 65–76.
- Vidal-Broto' ns, D., Fito, P., & Gras, M. (2003). Membrane Separation Mass Transfer. In *Encyclopedia of Agricultural, Food, and Biological Engineering* (pp. 602–613). Taylor & Francis.
- Visvanathan, C., Marsono, B. D., & Basu, B. (1998). Removal of THMP by nanofiltration: Effects of interference parameters. *Water Research*, 32(12), 3527–3538.
- Wagner. (2001a). *Membrane Filtration Handbook: Practical Tips and Hints*. Osmonics. Retrieved from <http://books.google.com.sg/books?id=3NQJaAEACAAJ>
- Wagner, J. (2001b). *Membrane Filtration Handbook: Practical Tips and Hints* (Vol. 129). Osmonics Minnetonka, MN. doi:10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2

- Wang, X.-L., Tsuru, T., Nakao, S., & Kimura, S. (1997). The electrostatic and steric-hindrance model for the transport of charged solutes through nanofiltration membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 135(1), 19–32.
- Watanabe, T., Motoyama, H., & Kuroda, M. (2001). Denitrification and neutralization treatment by direct feeding of an acidic wastewater containing copper ion and high-strength nitrate to a bio-electrochemical reactor process. *Water Research*, 35(17), 4102–4110.
- Watson, B. M., & Hornburg, C. D. (1989). Low-energy membrane nanofiltration for removal of color, organics and hardness from drinking water supplies. *Desalination*, 72(1), 11–22.
- Waypa, J. J., Elimelech, M., & Hering, J. G. (1997). Arsenic removal by RO and NF membranes. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, 89(10), 102–114.
- WHO 4thed. (2011). *WHO*.
- Wijmans, J. G., & Baker, R. W. (1995). The solution-diffusion model: a review. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 107(1), 1–21.
- World Health Organization - Who 4th ed. (2011). *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*.
- Wu, D., Zhou, J., & Li, Y. (2009). Effect of the sulfidation process on the mechanical properties of a CoMoP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> hydrotreating catalyst. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 64(2), 198–206.
- Wu, Y., Zhou, S., Qin, F., Ye, X., & Zheng, K. (2010). Modeling physical and oxidative removal properties of Fenton process for treatment of landfill leachate using response surface methodology (RSM). *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 180(1), 456–465.
- Xu, L., Du, L. S., Wang, C., & Xu, W. (2012). Nanofiltration coupled with electrolytic oxidation in treating simulated dye wastewater. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 409–410(2012), 329–334. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2012.04.001
- Xu, X., Gaddis, J. L., & Spencer, H. G. (2000). Dynamic formation of a self-rejecting membrane by nanofiltration of a high-formula-weight dye. *Desalination*, 129(3), 237–245.
- Xu, X., He, P., Qiu, S., Pampolino, M. F., Zhao, S., Johnston, A. M., & Zhou, W. (2014). Estimating a new approach of fertilizer recommendation across small-holder farms in China. *Field Crops Research*, 163, 10–17.
- Xu, X., & Spencer, H. G. (1997). Transport of electrolytes through a weak acid nanofiltration membrane: Effects of flux and crossflow velocity interpreted using a fine-porous membrane model. *Desalination*, 113(1), 85–93.

- Xu, Y., & Lebrun, R. E. (1999). Investigation of the solute separation by charged nanofiltration membrane: effect of pH, ionic strength and solute type. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 158(1), 93–104.
- Yahya, M. T., Cluff, C. B., & Gerba, C. P. (1993). Virus removal by slow sand filtration and nanofiltration. *Water Science & Technology*, 27(3–4), 445–448.
- Yeh, H.-H., Tseng, I., Kao, S.-J., Lai, W.-L., Chen, J.-J., Wang, G. T., & Lin, S.-H. (2000). Comparison of the finished water quality among an integrated membrane process, conventional and other advanced treatment processes. *Desalination*, 131(1), 237–244.
- Yuan, X., Liu, J., Zeng, G., Shi, J., Tong, J., & Huang, G. (2008). Optimization of conversion of waste rapeseed oil with high FFA to biodiesel using response surface methodology. *Renewable Energy*, 33(7), 1678–1684.
- Yusoff, K., Sulaiman, W. N. A., & Sharif, Z. (1990). A general survey of nitrate-nitrogen levels in well-water under different landuses. *Pertanika*, 13(1), 79–83.
- Zhang, F., Jing, W., Xing, W., & Xu, N. (2009). Experiment and calculation of filtration processes in an external-loop airlift ceramic membrane bioreactor. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 64, 2859–2865. doi:10.1016/j.ces.2009.02.046
- Zhang, Y., Cao, C.-Y., Feng, W.-Y., Xue, G.-X., & Xu, M. (2011). Performance of a pilot-scale membrane process for the concentration of effluent from alkaline peroxide mechanical pulping plants. *BioResources*, 6(3), 3044–3054.
- Zhou, N. (2010). *PARAMETRIC STUDY OF ULTRAFILTRATION MEMBRANE SYSTEM & DEVELOPMENT OF FOULING CONTROL MECHANISM*.



## BIODATA OF STUDENT

The student was born on May 29, 1984 in Tehran, Iran. He received his diploma of in Mathematics and physics (2001). Then he perused the BSc. Civil Engineering, Azad University (2009). Then he decided to continue his studies to get MSc degree in Water Engineering, in faculty of Engineering at University Putra Malaysia. His current research is about removing small soluble particles in water. He also has five years work experience in civil engineering projects as designer, calculator, supervisor and presenter. The student can be reached via email address: moh.ardestani@gmail.com.



## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Seyed Mohsen H.Ardestani, Thamer Ahmad Mohammad and MegatJohari Megat Mohd Noor. (2015). Optimum operation conditions for nitrate removal by nanofiltration (NF90 membrane) using cenral composite design. *Desalination and Water Treatment*. (Under publication process)
- Seyed Mohsen H.Ardestani, Thamer Ahmad Mohammad and MegatJohari Megat Mohd Noor. (2015). Nitrate and Sulfate removal by nanofiltration technology. *Desalination and Water Treatment*. (Under publication process)





UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION: \_\_\_\_\_

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT:

REMOVAL OF NITRATE AND SULFATE FROM CONTAMINATED WATER USING NANOFILTRATION TECHNOLOGY

NAME OF STUDENT : SEYED MOHSEN HASHEMI ARDESTANI

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

\*Please tick (v )

**CONFIDENTIAL**

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

**RESTRICTED**

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

**OPEN ACCESS**

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

**PATENT**

Embargo from \_\_\_\_\_ until \_\_\_\_\_  
(date) (date)

Approved by:

*Prof. Madya Ir. Dr. Rajkal Saifulnaz Muhammad Rashid*  
(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Fakulti Kejuruteraan  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
43400 Serdang, Selangor

Date : \_\_\_\_\_  
Tel: 03-89466377, Fax: 03-86567189

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Student)  
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentiality or restricted. ]