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Introduction: Patient documentation is central to patient care. Proper documentation effects on safety and continuing of care. Incomplete patient records ranged from 22 to 100% in Iranian hospitals and resulted to increased patient length of stay and increased discharge waiting time. Medical record quality consisted of four components; reliability that used for stability and consistency of data, accessibility of patient data that essential for risk management and healthcare costs, accuracy for coding and lastly completeness of the medical record. The study aims to determine the impact of medical record quality on discharge waiting time in private teaching hospital.

Methodology: An intervention study was carried out at two private teaching hospitals in Mashhad Iran, whereby pre, post and follow up intervention measurement were taken. Hospitals had two matched wards; that are male and female wards with surgical and internal medicine patients. Sample size was 146. After discharge ordered by doctor measurements on discharge waiting time were recorded in the pro-forma with 7 items, and medical record quality components with 69 items that were adopt from patient records and previous studies also recorded as a base-line data. The intervention was arranged totally 6 sessions for physicians and medical students at intervention hospital to ensure them to fulfilment elements of medical records quality components that is; completeness, reliability, accuracy and accessibility on patients’ records. The intervention was in the form of workshops, lectures, reminders and official letters, face to face feedback based on prepared protocol. Post intervention and follow-up data on discharge waiting-time and medical record quality components were taken with interval of 5 months. The data analysed by SPSS 19.

Results: Totally 979 questionnaires were accepted from two hospitals. The average of response rate was 96.8 and 94% in intervention and control hospital respectively. Totally 537 (55%) of respondents were female. The length of stay was 1.84±1.81 days, age were 39.21±20.43 years. Wednesday was the busiest day for discharge (19.20%). All medical record quality components and discharge waiting time were normally distributed. The completeness of records had the highest score (3.90±0.35) and
followed by reliability was 3.37±1.05, accuracy was 2.71±0.87 and accessibility was
the lowest score (2.56±0.83) among medical record quality components. Discharge
waiting time was 2.74±1.37. Medical record quality components and discharge waiting
time were no significance differences between intervention and control hospital during
base line (P>0.05), except for accuracy (P<0.001). After third stages assessment all
medical record quality components and discharge waiting time were statistically
significance in intervention and control hospital. Analysis of variance of the medical
record quality components and discharge waiting time showed that; reliability
(P<0.001), accuracy (P<0.001), completeness (P<0.001), accessibility (P<0.01) and
discharge waiting time (P<0.04), all variables were statistically significant. The
multiple regression analysis revealed completeness and accessibility were associated
with discharge waiting time in intervention hospital (β=-0.09 & β=0.11) (F=4.54, P=
0.001). The MANCOVA analysis in intervention and control hospital, after adjusting
for age, gender, length of stay and ward demonstrated that hospital, time and
interaction were significant (P<0.001) with large effect size (0.58 & 0.61) and among
adjusted variables gender was significant (P=0.01) with small effect size (0.24)

**Conclusion:** Medical record needs quality indicators for the improvement of patient
medical history. Medical record quality needs continuous assessment in Iranian
hospitals and this study’s results propose new intervention methods. Completeness for
patient records and discharge summary still need improvement and physicians should
be involved in this procedure. The study described that discharge waiting time in
private teaching hospital is similar to private sector and was less than public hospitals.
The study revealed that discharge waiting time could be predicted by two of the
medical record quality components; completeness and accessibility. There are a lot of
issues in patient discharge delay viewpoint of time, costs and clinic that are
recommended for future studies.

**Keywords:** medical record quality, discharge waiting time, completeness, reliability
accessibility,
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Pengenalan: Kualiti dalam rekod pesakit adalah salah satu aspek yang penting dalam kualiti penjagaan kesihatan. Dokumentasi pesakit adalah penting kepada penjagaan pesakit. Dokumentasi yang betul memberi kesan kepada keselamatan dan penjagaan berterusan pesakit. Rekod pesakit yang tidak lengkap adalah antara 22-100% di hospital Iran, dan ini adalah penyebab kepada tempoh tinggal pesakit yang panjang dan juga masa menunggu discaj yang lama. Kualiti rekod perubatan terdiri daripada empat komponen iaitu; kebolehpercayaan yang digunakan untuk kestabilan dan ketekalan data, akses data pesakit yang penting bagi pengurusan risiko dan kos penjagaan kesihatan, ketepatan untuk pengekodan dan akhir sekali ialah kesempurnaan rekod perubatan. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menentukan kesan kualiti rekod perubatan kepada masa menunggu discaj di hospital yang dikaji.

Metodologi: Satu kajian intervensi dijalankan pada dua hospital pengajaran swasta di Mashhad Iran, di mana pengukuran di ambil pada sebelum, selepas dan susulan intervensi. Hospital yang dipilih dipadankan dari segi wad lelaki dan wanita, dan wad pembedahan dan perubatan dalam. Saiz sampel adalah 146 dan persamaan yang baik di kalangan hospital intervensi dan kawalan (95% selang keyakinan; 0.89- k0.98). Selepas pesakit di discaj oleh doktor ukuran masa menunggu discaj di rekodkan dalam pro-forma mengandungi 7 pekara, dan kualiti rekod perubatan yang terdiri dari 69 pekara berdasarkan rekod perubatan pesakit juga di rekodkan sebagai data awal, Pakar perubatan di hospital intervensi diberikan intervensi untuk memenuhi kesempurnaan, keboleh percayaan, ketepatan dan akses rekod perubatan. Intervensi yang dijalankan adalah dalam bentuk bengkel, syarahan, peringatan dan surat pekeliling. Data selepas intervensi dan susulan bagi masa menunggu discaj dan kualiti rekod perubatan diambil dalam jangkamasa setiap 6 bulan.

Keputusan: Sejumlah 979 soal selidik telah diterima kedua-dua hospital. Purata kadar respons adalah 96.8% di hospital intervensi dan 94% hospital kawalan. 537 (55%) responden adalah perempuan.Tempoh penginapan adalah 1.84 ± 1.81 hari, umur adalah 39.21 ± 20.43 tahun. Hari rabu adalah hari yang paling sibuk bagi discaj pesakit
(19.20%). Data bagi komponen kualiti rekod perubatan dan masa menunggu discaj mempunyai distribusi normal. Kesempurnaan rekod mempunyai skor tertinggi (3.90 ± 0.35) dan diikuti oleh kebolehpercayaan adalah 3.37 ± 1.05, ketepatan adalah 2.71 ± 0.87 dan akses adalah skor yang paling rendah (2.56 ± 0.83). Rekod komponen kualiti perubatan dan masa menunggu discaj didapati tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan antara hospital intervensi dan kawalan hospital pada data asas (P> 0.05), kecuali ketepatan (P <0.001). Selepas peringkat ketiga penilaian semua komponen kualiti rekod perubatan dan masa menunggu discaj menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan secara statistik antara hospital intervensi dan kawalan. Analisis varians kepada komponen kualiti rekod perubatan dan masa menunggu discaj mendapati; kebolehpercayaan (P <0.001), ketepatan (P <0.001), kesempurnaan (P <0.001), akses (P <0.01) dan masa menunggu discaj (P <0.04), semua pemboleh ubah adalah signifikan secara statistik. Analisis regresi berganda menunjukkan kesempurnaan dan akses dikaitkan dengan masa menunggu discaj di hospital intervensi (β = -0.09 & β = 0.11) (F = 4.54, P = 0.001). Analisis MANCOVA dalam hospital intervensi dan kawalan, selepas pelarasan bagi umur, jantina, LOS dan wad menunjukkan bahawa hospital, masa dan interaksi adalah signifikan (P <0.001) dengan saiz kesan besar (0.58 & 0.61) dan di antara pemboleh ubah diselaraskan jantina adalah signifikan (P = 0.01) dengan saiz kesan kecil (0.24).


Kata kunci: rekod perubatan, kualiti, pelepasan menunggu masa, kesempurnaan, akses, kebolehpercayaan
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Patient documentation is central to patient care (Mandeville, 2008). Patient record documentation is one of the critical aspects of healthcare quality and hospital management. Quality of medical records helps in sharing valuable information for the continuance of patient care, decrease of medical errors and compliance to legal and medical requirements, as well as the preparation of suitable information for policy making and decision making (Minvielle et al., 2010).

Despite the long-standing use of medical records in hospitals, the quality has a number of problems in their use. Worldwide medical record issues include incomplete and inaccurate patient identification, low availability and accessibility of the records, low quality and quantity of accuracy in data entry and low levels of attention from physicians and hospital management on the medical record (WHO, 2006). Physicians are primarily responsible to complete the medical records, followed by nurses, secretaries and other healthcare professionals who also play a role. Completeness of records is an important part of patient care. Incomplete medical records in several studies in Iran varied from 22 to 100% based on records, wards and personnel in charge in Iranian hospitals (Karbasi H. Ziai, 2006; Faramarz Pourasghar et al., 2008; Setareh, Bagherian, Mahmoodabadi, Amini, Rafati, 2010; Somi, Piri, 2004). Most studies in the field of medical record quality have focused on completeness. However, many of the research methods up to now were descriptive in Iran hospitals.

The studies were reported incomplete and unreliable medical records between 31.3% and 40%. (Setareh, Bagherian, Mahmoodabadi, Amini, Rafati, 2010; Somi, Piri, 2004). The study of Ajami (2007) into the application of the medical record in research revealed that 37.5% of researchers were reluctant to use medical records due to incomplete and inaccessible information. There is also inaccuracy in the coding of diseases based on ICD10 in Iranian hospitals. Farzandipour reported that 22.7% of coding in the medical record is incorrect (Farzandipour, Sheikhtaheri, & Sadoughi, 2010). Another study on mechanism of statistical information production in all hospitals affiliated to Tehran Medical Science University revealed that 57% of requested information was registered in admission record, which was most on demographic information and conducted to low retrieving data from medical records. Most of the medical record studies in Iran limited to qualitative analysis of records and fewer go through providers’ point of view (Faramarz Pourasghar et al., 2008). The other important components of the medical record, such as reliability and accessibility, have been studied few in Iran hospitals.

The patients’ records have been known as source of data for decision making, especially in emergency situations but the physicians believed that patients record were not accessible on time. The studies in Iran described that quality of documentation
could be affected by illegibility, missing records, physician workload and insufficient quality control on medical records (Faramarz Pourasghar et al., 2008; Somi, Piri, 2004).

1.2 Problem statement

Proper documentation of patient record is identifying critical aspects of quality in healthcare. Inaccessible and inaccurate patient record conducted to wrong or mistiming treatment and effects on patient satisfaction and patient stability. It also raises patient and hospital costs. The researchers are not interested using patients’ records because of inaccessible and incomplete information (Attena et al., 2010). This trend causes gap between what healthcare face and what healthcare performed in Iran. Because of incomplete records insurance company deducted hospital funds and face the hospitals with more restriction of resources. The inaccurate data in patients’ records also resulted to miss measurement of disease prevalence and low accuracy in Iran hospitals (Farzandipour et al., 2010). Unreliable records leads to failure in effective treatment and patient safety. The Iranian hospitals need more studies on reliable data and improve patient record reliability (Somi, Piri, 2004). The studies in western countries reported completeness of over 85% in patient records, whereas studies in Iran revealed completeness levels of 65% or less and low accessibility to discharge summary for continuing of treatment (Hoseinpourfard, Abbasi Dezfuli, Ayoubian, Izadi, & Mahjob, 2012; Faramarz Pourasghar et al., 2008; Setareh, Bagherian, Mahmoodabadi, Amini, Rafati, 2010; Somi, Piri, 2004), as well as an increase to patients’ length of stays and discharge waiting time (DWT) in hospitals (Hoseinpourfard et al., 2012; Metz, Son, Winter, & Chae, 2011; F Pourasghar, MalekaFzali, Kazemi, Ellenius, & Fors, 2008; Wagner & Hogan, 1996).

DWT could affect patient satisfaction and hospitals’ costs. Early DWT causes a reduction in nursing and physician workloads, increases patient admission and saves costs in hospitals. DWT is a costly process for hospitals, and the annual cost of DWT for a 30 bed ward is estimated at over USD 971,544 (Hendy, JH Patel, Kordbacheh, 2012). Iranian hospitals have long DWT which cause patient dissatisfaction, discharge delays and can be considered as a quality failure. (Ajami & Ketabi, 2007; Aliramaei, Kan’ani, Afrasibiabian, stifiaie, Naseri, Ghasrsaz, 2013; Ameryoun, Pourtaghi, Bahadori, Ebrahiminia, 2013; Kebriae, Kazemi, & Khosravi, 2010; Samadbyk, 2001). Reduce DWT increase bed turnover, decrease physician and nurse workload and save funds for hospitals (Khanna, Boyle, Good, & Lind, 2012).

Quality of patient records could be improved through proper intervention (Attena et al., 2010). Although extensive research has been carried out on the medical records quality, no single study exists which adequately covers the various items in patients’ records for completeness and the implementation of an intervention. There is also unknown knowledge about the effects of medical record components on DWT. There is a gap that intervention in one department in the hospital could be affected on other part of hospital.
1.3 **Significance of study**

The study was conducted to improve medical record quality through proper intervention in Iranian hospitals. There were no studies on quality improvement on medical record quality components (reliability, accuracy, completeness and accessibility). Iranian hospitals need to know the areas of strength and weakness in the medical records kept and prepare an intervention for quality improvement and this study prepared proper environment. The quality improvement procedure is important for hospital before accreditation. The study was essential for hospital management to know the effects of intervention on MRQ components and as predictor on DWT. Although the study not only intended to improve MRQ components but also reduced DWT resulting into saves for the hospital.

The private teaching hospitals established the recent years since the private medical education developed in Iran. There is not any study that focussing on private teaching hospitals, it is essential to know the trends of hospital management in private teaching hospitals because there are less funds compared to public hospitals. On the other hand quality of medical education is critical for approving the teaching hospital by ministry of health and medical education (MOH&ME).

The study could be generated as new methods of intervention in Iranian hospitals. The results could be used for MOH&ME in field of hospital management and improve paper-based medical records towards electronic medical record versions.

1.4 **Research questions**

In this study, the researcher is going to answer the following questions:

1. What is the current situation of the medical records’ quality components (completeness, accuracy, accessibility and reliability) and discharge waiting time regarding intervention and control hospitals?

2. What is the impact of medical record quality intervention on medical record quality components?

3. What is the effect of medical records’ quality components (completeness, accessibility, accuracy and reliability) on discharge waiting time in hospitals?

4. What are the effects of patient age, length of stay, ward and gender on the medical records quality components (completeness, accessibility, accuracy and reliability) and discharge waiting time?
1.5 Objectives

1.5.1 General objective

In this study the general objective was to develop, implement and evaluate the medical record quality intervention on quality of medical record and discharge waiting time in private teaching hospital in Mashhad - Iran.

1.5.2 Specific objectives

The specific objectives for this study are as follows:

1.5.2.1 To identify the situation of medical records quality components and discharge waiting time at baseline, week 20 and week 40 of the study.

1.5.2.2 To develop and implement a medical record quality intervention module to improve medical record quality components (reliability, accuracy, completeness and accessibility).

1.5.2.3 To evaluate the impact of medical record quality module on medical record quality components (reliability, accuracy, completeness and accessibility) between and within intervention and control hospitals.

1.5.2.4 To evaluate the impact of medical record quality module on discharge waiting time between and within intervention and control hospitals.

1.5.2.5 To evaluate medical record quality components (reliability, accuracy, completeness and accessibility) as predictors on discharge waiting time.

1.6 Hypothesis

1- There is a significant mean difference on medical record quality components (completeness, accuracy, accessibility and reliability) before and after intervention, between and within hospitals.

2- There is a significant mean difference of discharge waiting time after intervention between and within intervention and control hospitals.
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